

SUBSPACES CONTAINING BIORTHOGONAL FUNCTIONALS OF BASES OF DIFFERENT TYPES

M.I. OSTROVSKII

ABSTRACT. The paper is devoted to two particular cases of the following general problem. Let α and β be two types of bases in Banach spaces. Let a Banach space X has bases of both types and a subspace $M \subset X^*$ contains the sequence of biorthogonal functionals of some α -basis in X . Does M contain a sequence of biorthogonal functionals of some β -basis in X ?

The following particular cases are considered:

$(\alpha, \beta) = (\text{Schauder bases, unconditional bases}),$

$(\alpha, \beta) = (\text{Nonlinear operational bases, linear operational bases}).$

The paper contains an investigation of some of the spaces constructed by S. Belletti in "The J -sum of Banach spaces", J. Funct. Anal. **48** (1982), 95–106. (These spaces are used in some examples.)

We use the standard Banach space notation as can be found in [LT2], [PP], [S2].

1. **Definition 1.** Let X be a Banach space with (unconditional) basis. A subspace $M \subset X^*$ is called (*unconditionally*) *basic* if it contains all biorthogonal functionals of some (unconditional) basis of X .

Basic subspaces have been studied in [DK], [O2].

Theorem 1. *Let X be a non-reflexive Banach space with an unconditional basis. There exists a subspace of X^* which is basic but is not unconditionally basic.*

Proof. Let $(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be an unconditional basis of X and $x_i^* (i \in \mathbb{N})$ be its biorthogonal functionals. Then either (x_i) is boundedly complete or it is not. Suppose first that (x_i) is boundedly complete. Then X can be equivalently renormed to become the dual of the space $N = [x_i^*]_{i=1}^\infty$, in natural duality. (We use square brackets to denote the closure of linear span.) The space N is a non-reflexive Banach space and (x_i^*) is an unconditional shrinking basis of it. So by James' theorems [LT2, p. 9, 22] this basis is not boundedly complete and the space N contains a sequence of blocks

$$m_i = \sum_{k=n_{i-1}+1}^{n_i} a_k x_k^*; \quad \|m_i\| = 1,$$

equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 . Let

$$m_i^* = \sum_{k=n_{i-1}+1}^{n_i} b_k x_k$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B15, 46B20.

Key words and phrases. Banach Space, Basis, Biorthogonal functional.

This is an English translation of the paper published in "Teoriya Funktsii, Funktsional'nyi Analiz i ikh Prilozheniya", vol. 57 (1992), pp. 115–127

be chosen so that $m_i^*(m_i) = 1$ and $\sup_i \|m_i^*\| = C < \infty$. Let $P : N \rightarrow N$ be defined by

$$P(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_i^*(m) m_i.$$

It is clear that P is a projection onto the isomorphic copy of c_0 . One can modify Zippin's arguments [Z, p. 76] to construct shrinking basis $(q_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in $\ker P$. We have

$$X = ([q_i]_{i=1}^{\infty})^* \oplus ([m_i]_{i=1}^{\infty})^*.$$

It is clear that the second space is an isomorphic copy of l_1 .

By $c(\alpha)$ we denote the space of all continuous functions on the set of all ordinals not greater than α provided with order topology. For countable ordinal α we have $(c(\alpha))^* = l_1$ and $c(\alpha)$ has a shrinking basis ([LT1, p. 177, 213], [LT2, p. 10]).

Let $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a shrinking basis of the space $L := c(\omega^{\omega^2})$ and let (s_i^*) be the sequence of its biorthogonal functionals. The system

$$\{q_i^*\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \cup \{s_i^*\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \quad (1)$$

after any enumeration preserving the order in each of the sequences forms a boundedly complete basis of X [LT2, p. 9]. Let $M \subset X^*$ be the closure of the linear span of the biorthogonal functionals of the system (1). Since the basis (1) is boundedly complete, it follows that M does not contain any proper closed total subspace. It is clear that M is isomorphic to $L \oplus [q_i]$.

It is clear that M is a basic subspace. We shall prove that M is not unconditionally basic. Let us suppose that it is not the case and let $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an unconditional basis of X whose biorthogonal functionals $\{u_i^*\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ belong to M . From the remark above we obtain that $M = [u_i^*]_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and hence M have an unconditional basis. But by Maurey - Rosenthal theorem [MR], L and therefore M contains weakly null normalized sequences with no unconditional subsequence, a contradiction [LT2, p. 7, 19]. Thus, if $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is boundedly complete, then we are done.

Suppose now that $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is not boundedly complete. As before let us introduce $N = [x_i^*]_{i=1}^{\infty}$. It is easy to see that there exists a functional $x^{**} \in X^{**} \setminus X$ such that $x^{**}|_N \neq 0$. The space $M = \ker x^{**} \cap N$ is a total subspace of X^* . By [DK, Theorem 3] M is a basic subspace. By [O3, Theorem 1] M is not unconditionally basic.

2. Definition 2. A subspace $M \subset X^*$ is said to be *norming* if there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$(\forall x \in X) \left(\sup_{0 \neq f \in M} |f(x)| / \|f\| \geq c \|x\| \right).$$

Remark. M.I.Kadets [K] proved that if X is separable and $M \subset X^*$ is a norming subspace, then X has a nonlinear operational basis all of whose biorthogonal functionals are in M . V.P.Fonf [F] proved that every subspace with the last property is norming.

Definition 3. A subspace $M \subset X^*$ is said to be *quasibasic* if there exists a sequence of continuous finite-dimensional linear operators $v_n : X \rightarrow X$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) such that

$$1) (\forall x \in X) \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n(x) - x\| = 0 \right);$$

$$2) (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) (im(v_n^*) \subset M)$$

where the operators v_n^* are adjoint to v_n .

Remark. It is easy to see that a subspace $M \subset X^*$ is quasibasic if and only if M contains all biorthogonal functionals of some linear operational basis of X .

Definition 4. A Banach space X is said to have the *total property of bounded approximation* (TPBA in short) if every norming subspace M of X^* is quasibasic.

This property was introduced independently and almost in the same time by I.Singer [S1], F.S.Vakher [V1] and V.A.Vinokurov-A.N.Plichko [ViP] (we would like to note that [S1] is based on the lecture given in 1975). Later on this property was investigated by many authors (see [G], [GP], [MP], [O1], [V2], [VP], [VGP]), some of these results are discussed in [S2, pp. 776-779, 865]. The term TPBA appeared in [V2]. The purpose of the present paper is to make some additions to abovementioned works.

It is clear that if $X \in \text{TPBA}$ then X is separable and has the bounded approximation property (BAP). Our aim is to find conditions under which the converse is also true.

Definition 5. Let $X(1)$ and $X(2)$ be finite-dimensional subspaces of a Banach space X , such that $X(1) \subset X(2) \subset X$ and let $\lambda > 0$. The pair $(X(1), X(2))$ is said to be λ -*approximable* if there exists a continuous linear operator $u : X \rightarrow X(2)$ satisfying the conditions $\|u\| \leq \lambda$ and $u|_{X(1)} = I_{X(1)}$. A sequence

$$(X(1, i), X(2, i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$$

of pairs of subspaces of X is said to be *uniformly approximable* if there exists $0 < \lambda < \infty$ such that all of the pairs $(X(1, i), X(2, i))$ are λ -approximable.

Definition 6. Let U and V be subspaces of a Banach space X . The number

$$\delta(U, V) = \inf\{\|u - v\| : u \in S(U), v \in V\}$$

is called the *inclination* of U to V .

Let M be a subspace of X^* . We shall denote by M^\perp the set $\{x^{**} \in X^{**} : (\forall x^* \in M)(x^{**}(x^*) = 0)\}$. It is known [PP, p. 32] that M is norming if and only if $\delta(M^\perp, X) > 0$. (We identify X with its canonical image in X^{**}).

Let $\phi : X^{**} \rightarrow X^{**}/M^\perp$ be the natural quotient mapping. The space X^{**}/M^\perp is naturally isometric to M^* . If M is a norming subspace then $\phi|_X$ is an isomorphic embedding.

Theorem 2. Let X be a separable Banach space (SBS) with the BAP. Let M be a norming subspace of X^* . Subspace M is quasibasic if and only if the sequence $(\phi(X(1, i)), \phi(X(2, i)))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly approximable in M^* for every uniformly approximable in X sequence $(X(1, i), X(2, i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$.

Proof. Necessity. Let M be a quasibasic subspace of X^* and let

$$(X(1, i), X(2, i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$$

be a uniformly approximable sequence in X . Let $\{v_n\}$ be a sequence of operators for which the conditions of Definition 3 are satisfied. By Banach-Steinhaus theorem we have $\sup_n \|v_n\| = \beta < \infty$. Therefore we can select a subsequence $\{v_{n(i)}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{v_n\}$ such that

$$(\forall x \in X(1, i))(\|v_{n(i)}(x) - x\| \leq \|v_{n(i)}(x)\| / \dim(X(1, i)))$$

Using standard reasoning (see [JRZ]) we can find operators $A_i : X \rightarrow X$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) such that $\|A_i\| \leq 2$ and

$$(\forall x \in X(1, i))(A_i v_{n(i)}(x) = x).$$

Since the sequence $(X(1, i), X(2, i))_{i=1}^\infty$ is uniformly approximable, then for some $\lambda < \infty$ there exists a sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of operators, $u_i : X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$(\forall i \in \mathbb{N})(\text{im}(u_i) \subset X(2, i));$$

$$\sup_i \|u_i\| = \lambda < \infty;$$

$$u_i|_{X(1, i)} = I_{X(1, i)}.$$

Let $T_i = u_i A_i v_{n(i)}$. We have

$$T_i|_{X(1, i)} = I_{X(1, i)}; \quad (2)$$

$$\text{im}(T_i) \subset X(2, i); \quad (3)$$

$$\text{im}(T_i^*) = \text{im}(v_{n(i)}^* A_i^* u_i^*) \subset \text{im}(v_{n(i)}^*) \subset M; \quad (4)$$

$$\|T_i\| \leq 2\lambda\beta. \quad (5)$$

Conditions (3) and (4) means that T_i can be represented in the form $T_i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n(i)} f_k^i(x) x_k^i$, where $x_k^i \in X(2, i)$, $f_k^i \in M$. Let operators $R_i : M^* \rightarrow M^*$ be given by the equalities $R_i(m^*) = \sum_{k=1}^{n(i)} m^*(f_k^i) \phi(x_k^i)$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$). It is clear that R_i are $\sigma(M^*, M)$ -continuous and that $\phi(B(X))$ (where $B(X)$ is the closed unit ball of X) is $\sigma(M^*, M)$ -dense in some ball of non-zero radius of M^* [PP, p. 32]. By (5) it follows that R_i are uniformly continuous operators on M^* . By (2) it follows that $R_i|_{\phi X(1, i)} = I_{\phi X(1, i)}$, and by (3) it follows that $\text{im}(R_i) \subset \phi X(2, i)$. The necessity is proved.

Sufficiency. If X has the BAP and is separable then it is easy to find a sequence $\{X(i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of subspaces of X such that $X(1) \subset X(2) \subset \dots \subset X(n) \subset \dots$; $\text{cl}(\cup_{n=1}^\infty X(n)) = X$ and the pairs $(X(1, i), X(2, i)) = (X(i), X(i+1))$ forms a uniformly approximable sequence. Our supposition implies that the sequence $(\phi(X(1, i)), \phi(X(2, i)))$ is a uniformly approximable sequence in M^* . Let the operators $R_i : M^* \rightarrow M^*$ be such that $\sup_i \|R_i\| < \infty$; $\text{im}(R_i) \subset \phi X(2, i)$ and $R_i|_{\phi X(1, i)} = I_{\phi X(1, i)}$.

Lemma 1 [JRZ, p. 494]. *Let L and N be Banach spaces with $\dim(N) < \infty$. Let F be a finite dimensional subspace of L^* , let Q be an operator from L^* into N and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is a weak* continuous operator R from L^* to N such that $R|_F = Q|_F$ and $\|R\| \leq \|Q\|(1 + \varepsilon)$.*

By this lemma we may without loss of generality assume that operators R_i are weak* continuous, i.e.

$$R_i(m^*) = \sum_{k=1}^{n(i)} m^*(f_k^i) \phi x_k^i,$$

where $f_k^i \in M$, $x_k^i \in X(2, i)$. Let operators $T_i : X \rightarrow X$ be given by $T_i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n(i)} f_k^i(x) x_k^i$. We have

$$\sup \|T_i\| < \infty; \quad (6)$$

$$T_i|_{X(1,i)} = I_{X(1,i)}; \quad (7)$$

$$\text{im}(T_i^*) \subset M \quad (8)$$

By (6), (7) and the equality $\text{cl}(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} X(n)) = X$ we obtain:

$$(\forall x \in X) \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n(x) - x\| = 0 \right).$$

By (8) it follows that M is quasibasic. The theorem is proved.

Using this theorem we can obtain the following result of [MP].

Corollary. *Let X be a SBS with the BAP. Let M be a norming subspace of X^* , such that the subspace $M^\perp \subset X^{**}$ has a complement, which contains X . Then M is quasibasic.*

Proof. Let us show that M satisfies the assumptions of theorem 2. Let

$$(X(1, i), X(2, i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$$

be a uniformly approximable sequence in X . Let Y be a complement of M^\perp , such that $Y \supset X$. It is clear that the restriction of ϕ to Y is an isomorphism between Y and M^* . Therefore, it is sufficient to show that the sequence $(X(1, i), X(2, i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly approximable in Y . But it is clear that the second conjugates of operators, which uniformly approximate pairs $(X(1, i), X(2, i))$ in X , realize uniform approximation of pairs $(X(1, i), X(2, i))$ in X^{**} and, hence, in Y .

Remarks. 1. Existence of the complement mentioned in the corollary is not necessary. It follows from the following result of [VP]: every \mathcal{L}_∞ -space (in the sense of Lindenstrauss-Pełczyński) has the TPBA.

2. The assertion of the corollary becomes wrong if we omit the condition $Y \supset X$ (see Remark after Theorem 3).

Theorem 2 reduces the problem of characterization of the TPBA to the following one: for what SBS with the BAP there exist a weak* closed subspace H of X^{**} such that $\delta(H, X) > 0$, and the quotient mapping $Q : X^{**} \rightarrow X^{**}/H$ maps some uniformly approximable sequence in X on the sequence which is not uniformly approximable in X^{**}/H . We shall describe one of the approaches to this problem.

Definition 7. Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$. We shall say that a sequence

$$(Z(1, i), Z(2, i))_{i=1}^{\infty} \quad (Z(1, i) \subset Z(2, i))$$

of pairs of subspaces of a Banach space Z is *f-approximable* if there exists a sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of linear continuous operators $u_i : Z \rightarrow Z(2, i)$ such that $u_i|_{Z(1,i)} = I_{Z(1,i)}$ and $\sup_i (\|u_i\|/f(i)) < \infty$.

Proposition. *Let H be a weak* closed subspace of X^{**} and let $\delta(H, X) > 0$. Let ϕ denote the quotient mapping $\phi : X^{**} \rightarrow X^{**}/H$. Let us suppose that X contains a uniformly approximable sequence $(X(1, i), X(2, i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that for some sequence $(Y(1, i), Y(2, i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of pairs of subspaces of X^{**} the following conditions are satisfied.*

$$\phi X(1, i) = \phi Y(1, i); \quad \phi X(2, i) = \phi Y(2, i); \quad (9)$$

$$(\forall i \in \mathbb{N}) (\delta(Y(2, i), H) > 0). \quad (10)$$

and, furthermore, the sequence $(Y(1, i), Y(2, i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is not f -approximable in X^{**} for f defined by $f(i) = 1/\delta(Y(2, i), H)$. Then subspace H^{\top} (where $H^{\top} = \{x^* \in X^* : (\forall x^{**} \in H)(x^{**}(x^*) = 0)\}$) is a norming nonquasibasic subspace.

Proof. Let us suppose that it is not the case and apply Theorem 2. We obtain that the sequence $(\phi X(1, i), \phi X(2, i))$ is uniformly approximable in X^{**}/H . This means that for some $0 < \lambda < \infty$ there exist operators $u_i : X^{**}/H \rightarrow \phi X(2, i)$ such that

$$u_i|_{\phi X(1, i)} = I_{\phi X(1, i)} \quad (11)$$

and $\|u_i\| \leq \lambda$. Let operators $v_i : X^{**} \rightarrow Y(2, i)$ be defined by

$$v_i = (\phi|_{Y(2, i)})^{-1} u_i \phi.$$

This operators are well-defined because $\text{im}(u_i) \subset \phi X(2, i) = \phi Y(2, i)$, and the inequality $\delta(Y(2, i), H) > 0$ implies that the inverse of $\phi|_{Y(2, i)}$ exists. It is easy to see that $\|(\phi|_{Y(2, i)})^{-1}\| = f(i)$. Therefore,

$$(\forall i \in \mathbb{N})(\|v_i\| \leq \lambda f(i)).$$

Furthermore, by (9) and (11) we have $v_i|_{Y(1, i)} = I_{Y(1, i)}$. This contradicts the assumption that $(Y(1, i), Y(2, i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is not f -approximable. The proposition is proved.

The verification of the conditions of the proposition for concrete spaces is laborious. Therefore, the following criterion is of interest.

Theorem 3. *Let X^{**} contains a reflexive uncomplemented subspace Y which is isomorphic to a complemented subspace Z of X and is such that $\delta(Y, X) > 0$. Then X does not have the TPBA.*

Proof. Let $T : Y \rightarrow Z$ be an isomorphism. Let us consider the subspace $H = \{y - Ty : y \in Y\}$ of X^{**} . We shall check that it satisfies all the conditions of the proposition with $f(i) \equiv C > 0$.

Since $\delta(X, Y) > 0$, then H is isomorphic to Y and, hence, reflexive. Therefore, subspace H is weak* closed by Krein-Smulian theorem. It is easy to see that $\delta(H, X) > 0$ and, therefore, [PP, p. 29–34] subspace $M = H^{\top} \subset X^*$ is norming.

It is clear that we may restrict ourselves to the case when X is a SBS with the BAP. In this case Z is also a SBS with the BAP. Let $Z(1) \subset Z(2) \subset \dots \subset Z(n) \subset \dots$ be a sequence of finite-dimensional subspaces of Z such that

$$\text{cl}(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} Z(n)) = Z, \quad (12)$$

and the sequence $(Z(i), Z(i+1))$ is uniformly approximable in Z and, hence, is uniformly approximable in X .

Let us introduce the following sequences of subspaces: $X(1, i) = Z(i)$, $X(2, i) = Z(i+1)$, $Y(1, i) = T^{-1}Z(i)$, $Y(2, i) = T^{-1}Z(i+1)$.

Let us show that the sequence $(Y(1, i), Y(2, i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is not uniformly approximable in X^{**} . In fact, if we assume that for some operators $u_i : X^{**} \rightarrow Y(2, i)$ we have $\sup_i \|u_i\| < \infty$ and

$$u_i|_{Y(1, i)} = I_{Y(1, i)}, \quad (13)$$

then by reflexivity of Y we can define the operator $u : X^{**} \rightarrow Y$ by the equality $u(x) = w - \lim_A u_i(x)$, where A is some ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . By (12) and (13) this operator is a projection onto Y . This contradicts the fact that Y is uncomplemented

It is easy to check that all the other conditions of the proposition are also satisfied. The theorem is proved.

Corollary. *There exists a SBS X with a basis which is isometric to its bidual but does not have the TPBA.*

Proof. Let $X = (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus J)_p$ ($p \neq 1, 2, \infty$), where J is the James' space (nonreflexive space with a basis, such that J^{**} is isometric to J , and J has codimension one in J^{**} (see [LT2, p. 25])). It is clear that X has a basis and is isometric to its second dual. Furthermore, we have $X^{**} = X \oplus l_p$. By well-known results ([BDGJN], [R]) l_p contains an uncomplemented subspace isomorphic to l_p . On the other hand, X contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to l_p . We are in the conditions of Theorem 3.

Remark. If we develop the construction of Theorem 3 for the space X from the corollary, then the subspace H would be complemented in X^{**} .

In fact, let $P : X \rightarrow Z$ be the projection, whose existence is supposed and let $Q : X^{**} \rightarrow X$ be the projection corresponding to the decomposition $X^{**} = X \oplus l_p$. Then PQ is a projection of X^{**} on Z and $PQ|_Y = 0$. Therefore, the operator $(I_{X^{**}} - T^{-1})PQ$ is a projection of X^{**} onto H .

It turns out that a SBS X with the BAP but without the TPBA need not satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. *There exists a SBS X with a basis such that $X^{**} = X \oplus Y$ and Y does not contain infinite-dimensional subspaces which are isomorphic to subspaces of X , but $X \notin TPBA$.*

Proof. We need to use the variant of the proof of James-Lindenstrauss theorem ([J], [L]), which is due to S.F.Bellenot [B]. We use the following particular case of the construction of [B].

Let $(X_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of finite-dimensional subspaces of a Banach space Z , such that $\text{cl}(\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n) = Z$. For ease of notation we adopt the convention that $X_0 = \{0\}$.

Let $(x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence with $x_i \in X_i$. If (x_i) is finitely non-zero, then we define the norm $\|\cdot\|_J$ by

$$2\|(x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}\|_J^2 = \sup \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|x_{p(i)} - x_{p(i+1)}\|^2 + \|x_{p(k)}\|^2 \right),$$

where the sup is over all integer sequences $(p(i))_{i=1}^k$ with $0 \leq p(1) < p(2) < \dots < p(k)$. The completion of the space of all finitely non-zero sequences under this norm will be denoted by $J(X_n)$.

We shall call the sequence $(x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$, $x_i \in X_i$ *eventually constant*, if for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $x_n = x_{n+1} = x_{n+2} = \dots$. We endow the space of all eventually constant sequences with the semi-norm

$$\|(x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}\|_{\Omega} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k\|,$$

and denote this space by $\Omega(X_n)$. We denote by $K(X_n)$ the space of all sequences (x_i) with $x_i \in X_i$ and whose norm

$$\|(x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}\|_K = \sup_n \|(x_0, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)\|_J$$

is finite. It is clear that $K(X_n) \supset \Omega(X_n)$.

Theorem 5 [B]. *Let the sequence $(X_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be as above. Then*

- (I) $\Omega(X_n)$ is dense in $K(X_n)$.
- (II) $(J(X_n))^{**} = K(X_n)$ and $(J(X_n))^{**}/J(X_n)$ is isometric to Z .
- (III) *If the spaces X_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) have uniformly bounded basic constants, then $J(X_n)$ has a basis.*

Let us turn to the proof of theorem 4. We fix some $1 < p < 2$ and let $Z = l_p$. For X_n we take the linear spans of the first n vectors of the unit vector basis of l_p . Let us introduce the space $X = J(X_n) \oplus l_2$. The space X has a basis by part III of Theorem 5.

Lemma 2. *The space X^{**} can be represented in the form: $X^{**} = X \oplus l_p$.*

Proof. Denote by $\{e_i^n\}_{i=1}^n$ the unit vector basis of X_n . Let us introduce the vectors

$$f_i = (0, \dots, 0, e_i^i, e_i^{i+1}, \dots) \in K(X_n).$$

Let us show that the sequence $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of l_p . We have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i f_i \right\|_K = \left\| (0, a_1 e_1^1, a_1 e_1^2 + a_2 e_2^2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i^n, \dots) \right\|_K.$$

Recall the definition of K -norm and choose $p(1) = 0$ and $p(2) = n$. We obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i f_i \right\|_K \geq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i^n \right\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Since this inequality is valid for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i f_i \right\|_K \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty |a_i|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum a_i f_i \right\| &= 2^{-1/2} \sup_{(p(i))} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{s=p(i)+1}^{p(i+1)} |a_s|^p \right)^{2/p} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\sum_{s=1}^{p(k)} |a_s|^p \right)^{2/p} \right)^{1/2} \leq 2^{-1/2} \sup_{(p(i))} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{s=p(i)+1}^{p(i+1)} |a_s|^p + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{s=1}^{p(k)} |a_s|^p \right)^{1/p} < 2^{1/2} \sup_{(p(i))} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{p(k)} |a_s|^p \right)^{1/p} = 2^{1/2} \left(\sum_{s=1}^\infty |a_s|^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

From here and from the proof of Theorem 5 in [B] it follows that the restriction of the quotient mapping $K(X_n) \rightarrow K(X_n)/J(X_n)$ to the closure of the linear span of $\{f_i\}$ is an isomorphism. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 3. *Every infinite-dimensional subspace of X contains a subspace isomorphic to l_2 .*

Proof. Since $X = J(X_n) \oplus l_2$, then it is sufficient to show that every infinite-dimensional subspace of $J(X_n)$ contains a subspace isomorphic to l_2 .

It is easy to see (it is shown in the proof of part III of Theorem 5 in [B]) that the vectors $f_i^n = (0, \dots, 0, e_i^n, 0, \dots)$ form a basis of $J(X_n)$.

The equality $(J(X_n))^{**} = J(X_n) \oplus l_p$ implies separability of $(J(X_n))^*$. Therefore, every infinite-dimensional subspace of $J(X_n)$ contains a weakly null sequence $(x_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ which is bounded away from zero. By the well-known arguments [LT2, p. 7] it follows that we can select a subsequence $(x_{n(k)})_{k=1}^\infty$ of (x_k) , which is equivalent to the sequence of the form

$$h_k = \sum_{n=r(k)+1}^{r(k+1)-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^n f_i^n \right).$$

It can be directly verified that the sequence (h_k) is equivalent to the unit vector basis of l_2 . The lemma is proved.

Lemma 4. $X \notin TPBA$.

Proof. It is known [BDGJN] that for every $1 < p < 2$ there exists a sequence $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of finite-dimensional subspaces of l_p such that the following conditions are satisfied: $\dim(W_i) = i$;

$$\sup_i d(W_i, l_2^i) = C < \infty;$$

$$(\exists 0 < c_1 \leq c_2 < \infty)(\forall \{w_i\}_{i=1}^\infty; w_i \in W_i)$$

$$(c_1(\sum \|w_i\|^p)^{1/p} \leq \|\sum w_i\| \leq c_2(\sum \|w_i\|^p)^{1/p});$$

and the sequence $(W_i, W_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ is not uniformly approximable.

Let $W = \text{cl}(\text{lin}(\cup_{i=1}^\infty W_i))$. By Lemma 2 we have $X^{**} = X \oplus l_p = J(X_n) \oplus l_2 \oplus l_p$. Let us represent l_2 as an infinite direct sum: $l_2 = (\sum_{i=1}^\infty U_i)_2$, where U_i are subspaces isometric to l_2^i . Let the isomorphisms $T_i : W_i \rightarrow U_i$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are such that

$$\|T_i\| \leq 1; \|T_i^{-1}\| \leq C. \quad (14)$$

Let us introduce the operator $T : W \rightarrow l_2$ by the equality $T((w_i)_{i=1}^\infty) = (T_i w_i)_{i=1}^\infty$. It is clear that T is a bounded operator and that

$$TW_i = U_i. \quad (15)$$

Let $H = \{x - Tx : x \in W\} \subset X^{**}$. Let us check that H satisfies all the conditions of the proposition.

The subspace H is weak* closed by reflexivity of W . It is clear that $\delta(H, X) > 0$. Let $X(1, i) = X(2, i) = U_i$, $Y(1, i) = Y(2, i) = W_i$. It is clear that

$$(X(1, i), X(2, i))_{i=1}^\infty$$

is uniformly approximable and $(Y(1, i), Y(2, i))_{i=1}^\infty$ is not. Condition (15) implies (9), and (14) implies (10). Moreover, we have $\inf_i \delta(Y(2, i), H) > 0$. The lemma is proved.

Theorem 4 follows immediately from Lemmas 2, 3 and 4.

3. Definition 8. Let X be a subspace of a Banach space Z and let M be a subspace of X^* . The subspace M is said to be *boundedly extendable* onto Z if there exists an isomorphic embedding $\pi : M \rightarrow Z^*$ such that

$$(\forall f \in M)(\forall x \in X)((\pi(f))(x) = f(x))$$

Theorem 6. *Let X be a SBS with the BAP. The space X does not have the TPBA if and only if there exist a Banach space Z such that X is a subspace of Z and the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (a) X^\perp is uncomplemented in Z^* ;
- (b) X^* contains a norming subspace M which is boundedly extendable onto Z .

Proof. Sufficiency is proved in [V2]. Here is a shorter proof of it.

Let us show that the subspace M from the formulation of the theorem is not quasibasic. Let us assume the contrary. Let finite-dimensional continuous operators $u_n : X \rightarrow X$ be such that

$$(\forall x \in X) \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n(x) - x\| = 0 \right);$$

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) (\text{im}(u_n^*) \subset M).$$

Therefore, the operators u_n can be represented in the following form: $u_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{p(n)} x_{i,n}^*(x) x_{i,n}$, where $x_{i,n}^* \in M$; $x_{i,n} \in X$. Let us denote by $r : Z^* \rightarrow X^*$ the operator of the restriction and by $\pi : M \rightarrow Z^*$ the operator, whose existence follows from the definition of a boundedly extendable subspace. Let us introduce the operators $\alpha_n : Z^* \rightarrow Z^*$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) by the equalities $\alpha_n(z^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{p(n)} z^*(x_{i,n}) \pi(x_{i,n}^*) = \pi u_n^* r(z^*)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

It is easy to see that the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded. Let the operator $Q : Z^* \rightarrow Z^*$ be defined by $Q(z^*) = w^* - \lim_A \alpha_n(z^*)$, where A is some ultrafilter on the set of natural numbers. Let us show that Q is a projection and that $\ker(Q) = X^\perp$.

The relation $X^\perp \subset \ker(Q)$ follows immediately from the definition of Q . Furthermore, we have

$$rQz^* = w^* - \lim_A r\alpha_n(z^*) = w^* - \lim_A u_n r(z^*) = r(z^*). \quad (*)$$

Therefore, $\ker(Q) = X^\perp$. The equality $Q^2 = Q$ follows by (*) and the fact that $Q(z^*)$ depends only on $r(z^*)$. Therefore, X^\perp is a complemented subspace of Z^* . This contradiction completes the proof.

Necessity. Let $X \notin \text{TPBA}$ and M be a norming nonquasibasic subspace of X^* . Let $Z = M^*$. There is a natural isomorphic embedding of X into Z . Therefore (after corresponding renorming) we may consider X as a subspace of Z . The subspace M is a norming subspace of X . Furthermore, M is boundedly extendable to Z in a natural way. It remains to prove that X^\perp is uncomplemented subspace of Z^* . Assume the contrary. In this case $M^{**} = Z^*$ can be represented in the form $X^\perp \oplus U$, moreover U is isomorphic to X^* in a natural way. Since $X \in \text{BAP}$ then there exists vectors $\{x_{i,n}\}_{i=1}^{p(n)} \subset X$ and $\{x_{i,n}^*\}_{i=1}^{p(n)} \subset X^*$ such that

$$(\forall x \in X) \left(x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{p(n)} x_{i,n}^*(x) x_{i,n} \right).$$

We denote by $S : X^* \rightarrow U$ the natural isomorphism. Let us introduce the sequence $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of the operators, $T_n : Z \rightarrow Z$ by the equalities:

$$T_n(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{p(n)} (Sx_{i,n}^*)(z) x_{i,n}.$$

This sequence is uniformly bounded. It converges to the identity operator on $X \subset Z$. By Lemma 1 and separability of X we can find a sequence of weak* continuous operators on $M^* = Z$, such that their restrictions to X converge to the identity operator. Hence, M is a quasibasic subspace of X^* . The theorem is proved.

4. The result of [MP] cited after Theorem 2 implies that if a SBS X with the BAP is such that every closed norming subspace M of X^* has a finite codimension, then $X \in \text{TPBA}$. Therefore, it is useful to study the class of such spaces and to compare it with the class of quasireflexive SBS with the BAP. (Recall that a Banach space X is called *quasireflexive* if $\dim(X^{**}/X) < \infty$).

W.J.Davis and W.B.Johnson [DJ] gave examples of nonquasireflexive SBS such that every closed norming subspace M of X^* is of finite codimension. The argument in [DJ] is based on the following observation. If M is a norming subspace of X^* then, on the one hand, $M^\perp \subset X^{**}$ is isomorphic to a subspace of X^{**}/X and, on the other hand, M^\perp is isometric to $(X^*/M)^*$. Therefore, if X^{**}/X does not contain infinite-dimensional subspaces which are isomorphic to dual spaces, then X^* does not contain closed norming subspaces of infinite codimension. The purpose of the final part of the present paper is to show that the converse statement is false.

Theorem 7. *There exists a Banach space Y with a basis, such that the quotient space Y^{**}/Y is an infinite-dimensional reflexive SBS, but Y^* does not contain closed norming subspaces of infinite codimension.*

Proof. We use the construction due to S.F.Bellenot [B], which is described above. Let $p > 2$, $Z = l_p$ and X_n be the linear span of the first n elements of the unit vector basis of l_p . Let $Y = J(X_n)$.

Lemma 5. *The space Y^{**} does not contain isomorphic copies of l_p .*

Proof. Assume the contrary. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in Y , that is equivalent to the unit vector basis of l_p . Since Y^{**} is isometric to $K(X_n)$, then we can represent f_i in the form

$$f_i = (x_0^i, x_1^i, \dots, x_n^i, \dots), \quad (16)$$

where $x_n^i \in X_n$. By part I of theorem 5 we may assume that the sequence (16) is eventually constant. Let $f_1 = (x_0^1, x_1^1, \dots, x_{n(1)-1}^1, x^1, x^1, \dots, x^1, \dots)$.

Since the sequence $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is weakly null, then for every $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ we can find a natural number $m(2)$ such that $f_{m(2)}$ satisfies the condition $\|x_0^{m(2)}\| + \dots + \|x_{n(1)+1}^{m(2)}\| < \varepsilon_2$. We have

$$f_{m(2)} = (x_0^{m(2)}, \dots, x_{n(2)-1}^{m(2)}, x^{m(2)}, \dots, x^{m(2)}, \dots).$$

It is clear that we may suppose that $n(2) - 1 > n(1) + 1$. Let $\varepsilon_3 > 0$. We can find a natural number $m(3)$ such that $f_{m(3)}$ satisfies the condition $\|x_0^{m(3)}\| + \dots + \|x_{n(2)+1}^{m(3)}\| < \varepsilon_3$. We have $f_{m(3)} = (x_0^{m(3)}, \dots, x_{n(3)-1}^{m(3)}, x^{m(3)}, \dots, x^{m(3)}, \dots)$.

We continue in an obvious manner.

We choose the sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ quickly converging to zero in order that the sequence

$$g_1 = f_1;$$

$$g_2 = (0, \dots, 0, x^{m(2)}, \dots, x^{m(2)}, \dots, x^{m(2)}, \dots);$$

$$g_3 = (0, \dots, 0, x_{n(2)+2}^{m(3)}, \dots, x_{n(3)-1}^{m(3)}, x^{m(3)}, \dots, x^{m(3)}, \dots);$$

.....

is equivalent to the unit vector basis of l_p . Later on for the sake of convenience we let $m(1) = 1$, $n(0) = -1$.

Let $\{p(k, i)\}_{k=1, i=1}^{\infty, s(k)}$ be a collection of natural numbers such that $n(k-1) + 1 = p(k, 1) < \dots < p(k, s(k)) = n(k)$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s(k)-1} \|x_{p(k, i+1)}^{m(k)} - x_{p(k, i)}^{m(k)}\|^2 \geq \|g_k\|_K^2.$$

Let us estimate $\|\sum_k a_k g_k\|_K$. For this let us consider the sequence $(p(i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ that consists of the following integers:

$$\begin{aligned} p(1, 1) &< p(1, 2) < \dots < p(1, s(1)) < \\ p(2, 1) &< p(2, 2) < \dots < p(2, s(2)) < \dots < \\ p(r, 1) &< p(r, 2) < \dots < p(r, s(r)). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$2\|\sum_{k=1}^r a_k g_k\| \geq \sum_{k=1}^r a_k^2 \|g_k\|_K^2.$$

Since $p > 2$, then this estimate contradicts the fact that $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of l_p . The lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 7. The space Y has a basis by the part III of theorem 5. Part II of theorem 5 implies that Y^{**}/Y is isometric to l_p .

Let us assume that Y^* contains a closed norming subspace of infinite codimension. Then $M^\perp \subset Y^{**}$ is isomorphic to a subspace of Y^{**}/Y , i.e., to a subspace of l_p . Hence, M^\perp contains a subspace isomorphic to l_p . By Lemma 5 this is impossible. The theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

- [B] S.F.Bellenot, The J -sum of Banach spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 48 (1982), 95-106.
- [BDGJN] G.Bennett, L.E.Dor, V.Goodman, W.B.Johnson and C.M.Newman, On uncomplemented subspaces of L_p , $1 < p < 2$, Israel J. Math. 26 (1977), 178-187.
- [DJ] W.J.Davis and W.B.Johnson, Basic sequences and norming subspaces in non-quasi-reflexive Banach spaces, Israel J. Math. 14 (1973), 353-367.
- [DK] E.N.Domanskii and V.M.Kadets, On the basic regularizability of inverse operators (Russian), Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 29 (1988), 104-108.
- [F] V.P.Fonf, Operational bases and generalized summation bases, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR, Ser. A (1986), no. 11, p. 16-18. (Russian, Ukrainian).
- [G] L.V.Gladun, On Banach spaces the conjugates of which contain norming nonquasibasic subspaces, Engl. transl.: Siberian Math. J. 28 (1987), 220-223.
- [GP] L.V.Gladun and A.N.Plichko, On norming and strongly norming subspaces of a dual Banach space, Ukr. Matem. Zh. 36 (1984), 427-433. (Russian).
- [J] B.G.James, Separable conjugate spaces, Pacif. J. Math. 10 (1960), 563-571.

- [JRZ] W.B.Johnson, H.P.Rosenthal and M.Zippin, On bases, finite dimensional decompositions and weaker structures in Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.* 9 (1971), 488-506.
- [K] M.I.Kadets, Non-linear operatorial bases in a Banach space, *Teor. Funktsii, Funktsion. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 2 (1966), 128-130. (Russian).
- [L] J.Lindenstrauss, On James' paper "Separable conjugate spaces", *Israel J. Math.* 9 (1971), 279-284.
- [LT1] J.Lindenstrauss and L.Tzafriri, Classical Banach spaces, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 338 (1973).
- [LT2] J.Lindenstrauss and L.Tzafriri, Classical Banach spaces I. Sequence spaces, Berlin, Springer, 1977.
- [MR] B.Maurey and H.P.Rosenthal, Normalized weakly null sequence with no unconditional subsequence, *Stud. Math.* 61 (1977), 77-98.
- [MP] L.D.Menikhov and A.N.Plichko, Conditions for linear and finite-dimensional regularizability of linear inverse problems, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 241 (1978), 1027-1030. (Russian).
- [O1] M.I.Ostrovskii, Total property of bounded approximation, *Sib. Matem. Zh.* 30 (1989), no. 3, 180-181, Engl. transl.: *Siberian Math. J.* 30 (1989), no. 3, 488-489.
- [O2] M.I.Ostrovskii, Basic and quasibasic subspaces in dual Banach spaces, *Math. Notes* 47 (1990), 584-588.
- [O3] M.I.Ostrovskii, Regularizability of inverse linear operators in Banach spaces with bases, *Siberian Math. J.* 33 (1992), 470-476.
- [PP] Yu.I.Petunin and A.N.Plichko, The theory of characteristic of subspaces and its applications, Kiev, Vyshcha Shkola, 1980. (Russian).
- [R] H.P.Rosenthal, On the subspaces of L^p ($p > 2$) spanned by sequences of independent random variables, *Israel J. Math.* 8 (1970), 273-303.
- [S1] I.Singer, On Banach spaces in which every M -basis is a generalized summation basis, *Banach Center Publications*, 4 (1979), 237-240.
- [S2] I.Singer, Bases in Banach spaces. II, Berlin, Springer, 1981.
- [V1] F.S.Vakher, The local problem of existence of operatorial bases in Banach spaces, *Sib. Matem. Zh.*, 16 (1975), 853-855. (Russian).
- [V2] F.S.Vakher, Bounded approximation property in separable Banach spaces, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 255 (1980), 1301-1306. (Russian).
- [VP] F.S.Vakher and A.N.Plichko, The bounded approximation property and linear finite-dimensional regularizability, *Ukr. Matem. Zh.* 33 (1981), 167-171. (Russian).
- [VGP] V.A.Vinokurov, L.V.Gladun and A.N.Plichko, On norming subspaces in a dual Banach space and the regularizability of inverse operators, *Izvestiya Vuzov. Ser. matem.* (1985), no. 6, 3-10. (Russian).
- [ViP] V.A.Vinokurov and A.N.Plichko, On the regularizability of linear inverse problems by linear methods. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 229 (1976), 1037-1040. (Russian).
- [Z] M.Zippin, A remark on bases and reflexivity in Banach spaces, *Isr. J. Math.* 6 (1968), 74-79.