Spaces of Functions Satisfying Sim ple D i erential Equations

W olfram Koepf

Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum fur Inform ationstechnik Berlin, Heilbronner Str. 10, D-10711 Berlin, Federal Republic of Germ any

Dieter Schmersau

Freie Universitat Berlin, Fachbereich Mathematik, Amimallee 3, D-14195 Berlin, Federal Republic of Germany

Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum Berlin (ZIB), Technical Report TR 94-2, 1994

Abstract:

In [6]{ [9] the rst author published an algorithm for the conversion of analytic functions for which derivative rules are given into their representing power series $\sum_{k=0}^{p} a_k z^k$ at the origin and vice versa, in plementations of which exist in M athematica [19],

(s. [9]), M aple [12] (s. [4]) and R educe [5] (s. [13]).

O ne main part of this procedure is an algorithm to derive a homogeneous linear di erential equation with polynom ial coe cients for the given function. We call this type of ordinary di erential equations simple.

W hereas the opposite question to nd functions satisfying given di erential equations is studied in great detail, our question to nd di erential equations that are satis ed by given functions seems to be rarely posed.

In this paper we consider the family F of functions satisfying a simple di erential equation generated by the rational, the algebraic, and certain transcendental functions. It turns out that F form s a linear space of transcendental functions. Further F is closed under multiplication and under the composition with rational functions and rational powers. These results had been published by Stanley who had proved them by theoretical algebraic considerations.

In contrast our treatment is purely algorithm ically oriented. We present algorithms that generate simple dimential equation for f + g, f g, f r (r rational), and f \Re^{eq} (p;q2 N₀), given simple dimential equations for f, and g, and give a prioriestim ates for the order of the resulting dimential equations. We show that all order estimates are sharp.

After nishing this article we realized that in independent work Salvy and Zimmermann published similar algorithms. Our treatment gives a detailed description of those algorithms and their validity.

1 Simple functions

M any m athem atical functions satisfy a hom ogeneous linear di erential equation with polynom ial coe cients. W e call such an ordinary di erential equation simple. A loo a function f that satis es a simple di erential equation is called simple. The least order of such a simple di erential equation ful lled by f is called the order of f.

Technically there is no di erence between a di erential equation with polynom ial coe cients, and one with rational coe cients, as we can multiply such a di erential equation by its common denom inator, so we may consider the case that the coe cients are members of the eld K [x] where K [x] is one of Φ [x], R [x], or C [x].

Examples of simple functions are

- 1. all rational functions p=q (p; q polynom ials) are simple of order 1: $pqf^0 + (pq^0 qp^0) f = 0$,
- 2. all algebraic functions f are simple (see e.g. [2] [3], [18], and [10]) of the order of f,
- 3. the power function x satisfies the simple differential equation $x f^{0} = 0$,
- 4. the exponential function e^x satis es the simple di erential equation $f^0 = 0$,
- 5. the logarithm function $\ln x$ satis as the simple dimensial equation $x f^{0} + f^{0} = 0$,
- 6. the sine function $\sin x$ and the cosine function $\cos x$ satisfy the simple dimensial equation $f^{0} + f = 0$,
- 7. the inverse sine function $\arcsin x$ and the inverse cosine function $\arccos x$ satisfy the simple di erential equation $(x^2 \quad 1) f^{0} + x f^{0} = 0$,
- 8. the inverse tangent function $\arctan x$ and the inverse cotangent functions $\operatorname{arccot} x$ satisfy the simple di erential equation $(1 + x^2) f^{0} + 2x f^{0} = 0$,
- 9. the inverse secant function arcsecx and the inverse cosecant function arccscx satisfy the simple dimensial equation $(x^3 \ x) f^0 + (2x^2 \ 1) f^0 = 0$,
- 10. the error function erfx satis as the simple dimensial equation $f^{0} + 2x f^{0} = 0$.

Note that for rational functions with rational coe cients, algebraic functions given by a rational coe cient equation, the power function for 2 QI, and in all other examples we have K [x] = QI[x].

A loo m any special functions are simple with K $[x] = \bigoplus [x]$: A iry functions (see e.g. [1], x 10.4), Bessel functions (see e.g. [1], Ch. 9{11), all kinds of orthogonal polynom ials (see e.g. [16], [17]), and functions of hypergeom etric type (see [6]).

N ot allelem entary transcendental functions, how ever, are simple, the simplest example of which probably is the tangent function tan x, compare [15], E xam ple 2.5.

Theorem 1 The functions tan x and sec x do not satisfy simple di erential equations.

Proof: It is easily seen that the tangent function $f(x) = \tan x$ satis as the nonlinear dimension equation

$$f^{0} = 1 + f^{2} : (1)$$

D i erentiation of (1) yields after further substitution of (1)

$$f^{00} = (1 + f^2)^0 = 2ff^0 = 2f(1 + f^2);$$

and inductively we get representations of $f^{(k)} = P_k(f)$ (k 2 N) by polynom ial expressions P_k in f. A sum e now, the tangent function would satisfy the simple dimential equation

$$p_k f^{(k)} = 0 \quad (p_k \text{ polynomials});$$

$$k=0$$
(2)

then we can substitute $f^{(k)}$ by P_k arriving at an algebraic identity for the tangent function. This obviously is a contradiction to the fact that the tangent function is transcendental, and therefore a di erential equation of type (2) cannot be satis ed.

Let us now consider $f(x) = \sec x$. In this case we may establish the nonlinear dimension

$$f^{02} = f^4 f^2$$

from which, by di erentiation, the second order di erential equation

$$f^{0} = 2f^{3} \quad f \tag{3}$$

follows. If now a simple di erential equation (2) would be valid for f, then also

$$p_1 f^0 = \sum_{\substack{k=0\\k \in 1}}^{X^n} p_k f^{(k)};$$

and taking the square we get

$$p_{1}^{2} f^{02} = p_{1}^{2} (f^{4} - f^{2}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1_{2} \\ B & X^{n} \\ 0 & p_{k} f^{(k)} \\ B \\ k \in 1 \end{bmatrix} p_{k} f^{(k)} A = :$$

If we substitute (3), and the corresponding representations for $f^{(k)}$ (k = 3; ...; n) which we get by dimension (3), we arrive at an algebraic identity, and the conclusion follows by the transcendency of f, again.

By sim ilarm eans we see that the elementary functions cotx, and cscx as well as the corresponding hyperbolic functions are not simple. Moreover, the generating functions

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{x}} \ 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{k} \mathbf{B}_{k} \frac{\mathbf{x}^{k}}{k!}; \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{x}=2}}{\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{x}} + 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{k} \frac{\mathbf{E}_{k}}{2^{k+1}} \frac{\mathbf{x}^{k}}{k!}$$

of the Bernoulli and Euler numbers B_k , and E_k (k 2 \mathbb{N}_0) (see e.g. [1], (23.1)) satisfy the nonlinear di erential equations

$$f^{0} = \frac{1}{x}$$
 (1 x) f f²; and $f^{02} = \frac{f^{2}}{4}$ f⁴;

respectively, and so by a similar procedure are realized not to be simple. As exactly the simple di erential equations correspond to simple recurrence equations for the Taylor series coe cients (see e.g. [6]), this implies the following

C orollary 1 Neither the Bernoulli nor the Euler numbers satisfy a nite homogeneous linear recurrence equation with polynom ial coe cients.

2 Construction of simple functions

If simple functions are given, then we may construct further examples of simple functions by the following procedures: integration, di erentiation, addition, multiplication, and the composition with certain functions, namely rational functions and rational powers. Before we prove this main result, we consider an important particular case. We will prove that if f is simple, and q 2 N, then $f = x^{1-q}$ is simple, too. To get this result, we need the following

Lem mallet f:D! R be in nitely often diementiable, let be D $\mathbb{R}^+ \in ;$, and let q 2 \mathbb{N} with q > 2 be given. Consider the function h:D! R with h(x) = f(x^{1=q}).

(a) Then we have for r2 N with 1 r q 1

$$x^{r=q} f^{(r)} (x^{1=q}) = \int_{k=1}^{X^{r}} C_{qrk}^{0} x^{k} h^{(k)} (x)$$
(4)

with constants C_{ark}^{0} , in particular

$$C_{q11}^{0} = q;$$
 $C_{qr1}^{0} = q(q 1)$ $(q r+1);$ and $_{qr}^{0}C = q^{r};$

and

$$f^{(q)}(x^{1=q}) = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{q}} C_{q0k}^{1} x^{k-1} h^{(k)}(x)$$
(5)

with constants $C^{\,\,1}_{\,\,q0k}$, in particular

$$C_{q01}^{1} = q!;$$
 and $C_{q0q}^{1} = q^{q}:$

(b) Further for s 2 N we have

$$f^{(sq)}(x^{1=q}) = \sum_{k=s}^{X^{eq}} C_{q0k}^{s} x^{k-s} h^{(k)}(x)$$

with constants $C_{\alpha 0k}^{s}$, in particular

$$C_{q;0;sq}^{s} = q^{sq};$$
 (6)

and forr2 N with 1 r q 1

$$x^{r=q} f^{(sq+r)} (x^{1=q}) = \int_{k=s+1}^{sq+r} C_{qrk}^{s} x^{k-s} h^{(k)} (x)$$

with constants $C_{\rm grk}^{\rm \ s}$, in particular

$$C_{q;r;sq^{+}r}^{s} = q^{sq^{+}r} :$$
(7)

Proof: Dierentiating the identity $f(x^{1=q}) = h(x)$, we get

$$x^{1=q} f^{0}(x^{1=q}) = qx h^{0}(x);$$

a further di erentiation yields

$$x^{2=q} f^{(0)}(x^{1=q}) + x^{1=q} f^{(0)}(x^{1=q}) = q^2 x h^{(0)}(x) + q^2 x^2 h^{(0)}(x) ;$$

and therefore

$$x^{2=q} f^{(0)}(x^{1=q}) = q (q - 1) x h^{0}(x) + q^{2} x^{2} h^{(0)}(x) :$$

D i erentiating once m ore and rearranging sim ilarly, gives

$$x^{3=q} f^{00}(x^{1=q}) = q (q - 1) (q - 2) x h^{0}(x) + 3q^{2} (q - 1) x^{2} h^{00}(x) + q^{3} x^{3} h^{00}(x) :$$

Assumence, for $r \ge N$ with 1 r q = 1 the relation

$$x^{r=q} f^{(r)} (x^{1=q}) = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{r}} C_{qrk}^{0} x^{k} h^{(k)} (x)$$

with

$$C_{qr1}^{0} = q(q \ 1)$$
 (q r+1); and $_{qrr}^{0}C = q^{r}$

is valid, then by another di erentiation we get

$$x^{(r+1)=q} f^{(r+1)} (x^{1=q}) = (q r) C_{qr1}^{0} x h^{0} (x)$$

$$= + \sum_{k=2}^{X^{r}} (kq r) C_{qrk}^{0} + qC_{qrrk}^{0} x^{k} h^{(k)} (x) + qC_{qrr}^{0} x^{r+1} h^{(r+1)} (x) :$$

Forr q 1, we set

$$C_{q;r+1;1}^{0} \coloneqq (q \ r) C_{qr1}^{0} = q(q \ 1) \qquad (q \ r);$$
$$C_{q;r+1;r+1}^{0} \coloneqq qC_{qrr}^{0} = qq^{r} = q^{r+1};$$

and

$$C_{q;r+1;k}^{0} \coloneqq (kq r) C_{qrk}^{0} + qC_{q;r;k-1}^{0} \qquad (2 k r):$$

For r = q the sam e m ethod applies, if we set

$$C_{q01}^{1} := C_{qq}^{0}_{1;1} = q!;$$

$$C_{q0k}^{1} := qC_{qq}^{0}_{1;q-1} = qq^{q-1} = q^{q};$$

and

$$C_{q0k}^{1} \coloneqq ((k \ 1)q+1)C_{qxq\ 1;k}^{0} + qC_{qxq\ 1;k}^{0} + ((k \ 1)q+1)C_{qxq\ 1;k}^{0} + qC_{qxq\ 1;k}^{0} + qC_{qxq\$$

which proves (a).

To prove (b) we assume that for some s 2 $\,\mathrm{N}$

$$f^{(sq)}(x^{1=q}) = \sum_{k=s}^{X^{eq}} C_{q0k}^{s} x^{k-s} h^{(k)}(x)$$

with

$$C_{q;0;sq}^{s} = q^{sq}$$
:

If we de ne the functions $f_{\,\rm s}$ and $h_{\,\rm s}$ by

 $f_{s}(x) \coloneqq f^{(sq)}(x);$ and $h_{s}(x) \coloneqq \overset{X^{eq}}{\underset{k=s}{\overset{S}{\leftarrow}}} C^{s}_{q0k} x^{k-s} h^{(k)}(x);$

then we may apply (a), and get for 1 r q 1 that

$$x^{r=q} f_{s}^{(r)} (x^{1=q}) = \prod_{k=1}^{X^{r}} C_{qrk}^{0} x^{k} h_{s}^{(k)} (x) ;$$

and further

$$f_{s}^{(q)}(x^{1=q}) = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{q}} C_{q0k}^{1} x^{k-1} h_{s}^{(k)}(x) ;$$

henœ

$$x^{r=q} f^{(sq+r)}(x^{1=q}) = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{r}} C_{qrk}^{0} x^{k} h_{s}^{(k)}(x); \qquad (8)$$

and

$$f^{((s+1)q)}(x^{1=q}) = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{q}} C_{q0k}^{1} x^{k-1} h_{s}^{(k)}(x) :$$
(9)

To nish our proof, we will calculate the derivatives $h_s^{(k)}$ (k = 1;:::;q) algorithm ically. We have

$$h_{s}(x) = \int_{j=s}^{x^{eq}} C_{q0j}^{s} x^{j} h^{(j)}(x);$$

and therefore

$$\begin{split} h_{s}^{0}(\mathbf{x}) &= \begin{array}{c} X^{eq} & X^{eq} \\ C_{q0j}^{s} \mathbf{x}^{j \ s} \mathbf{h}^{(j+1)}(\mathbf{x}) + & (j \ s) C_{q0j}^{s} \mathbf{x}^{j \ s \ 1} \mathbf{h}^{(j)}(\mathbf{x}) \\ & j = s & j = s + 1 \end{array} \\ &= \begin{array}{c} S^{eq} & X^{j \ s \ 1} \mathbf{h}^{(j)}(\mathbf{x}) + & (j \ s) C_{q0j}^{s} \mathbf{x}^{j \ s \ 1} \mathbf{h}^{(j)}(\mathbf{x}) \\ & j = s + 1 \end{array} \\ &= \begin{array}{c} X^{eq} & (j \ s) C_{q0j}^{s} + C_{qj0j}^{s} \mathbf{x}^{j \ s \ 1} \mathbf{h}^{(j)}(\mathbf{x}) + & (j \ s) C_{q0j}^{s} \mathbf{x}^{j \ s \ 1} \mathbf{h}^{(j)}(\mathbf{x}) \\ & j = s + 1 \end{array} \\ &= \begin{array}{c} X^{eq} & (j \ s) C_{q0j}^{s} + C_{qj0j}^{s} \mathbf{x}^{j \ s \ 1} \mathbf{h}^{(j)}(\mathbf{x}) + C_{qj0jsq}^{s} \mathbf{x}^{sq \ q} \mathbf{h}^{(sq+1)}(\mathbf{x}) : \\ & j = s + 1 \end{array} \end{split}$$

W e m ay w rite this as

$$h_{s}^{0}(x) = \int_{j=s+1}^{s_{x}+1} D_{j}^{1} x^{j-s-1} h^{(j)}(x)$$

with

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} (& & & (j & s) C_{q0j}^{s} + C_{q;0;j-1}^{s} & & \text{if } s+1 & j & sq \\ D_{j}^{1} \coloneqq & & C_{q;0;sq}^{s} = q^{sq} & & & \text{if } j = sq+1 \end{array} ;$$

Continuing iteratively in the same fashion, we get representations

$$h_{s}^{(k)}(x) = \int_{j=s+k}^{s_{x}+k} D_{j}^{k} x^{j-s-k} h^{(j)}(x)$$

with

 $D_{j}^{k} = \begin{array}{c} (D_{j}^{k} 1 + (j s k + 1) D_{j}^{k} 1 & \text{if } s + k j s q + k 1 \\ q^{sq} & \text{if } j = sq + k \end{array} ; (10)$

W e substitute these equations in (8) to get

$$x^{r=q} f^{(sq+r)}(x^{1=q}) = \sum_{k=1}^{X^r} \sum_{j=s+k}^{sq+k} C_{qrk}^0 D_j^k x^{j-s} h^{(j)}(x) :$$

For jwith s+1 j sq+rwe use the notation

 $M_j = fk 2 \mathbb{N} j 1 k r and s + k j sq + kg$:

Then M $_{sq+r} = frg$, and

$$x^{r=q} f^{(sq+r)}(x^{1=q}) = \int_{j=s+1}^{sq+r} C_{qrj}^{s} x^{j-s} h^{(j)}(x)$$

with

$$C_{qrj}^{s} \coloneqq \sum_{k2M_{j}}^{X} C_{qrk}^{0} D_{j}^{k}$$

for s+1 j sq+r, in particular

$$C_{qrrsq+r}^{s} = C_{qrr}^{0} D_{sq+r}^{r} = q^{r} q^{sq} = q^{sq+r}$$

proving (7).

To prove (6), we substitute Equations (10) in (9) to get

$$f^{((s+1)q)}(x^{1=q}) = \int_{j=s+1}^{(s+1)q} C_{q0j}^{s+1} x^{j-s-1} h^{(j)}(x)$$

with

$$C_{q0j}^{s+1} \coloneqq \begin{array}{c} X \\ K^{2M}_{j} \end{array} C_{q0k}^{1} D_{j}^{k}$$

for s + 1 j (s + 1) q, in particular

$$C_{q;0;(s+1)q}^{s+1} = C_{q0q}^{1}D_{sq+q}^{q} = qq^{sq} = q^{(s+1)q}$$

nishing our proof.

2

R em ark 1 W e rem ark that one easily can establish that all constants C_{qrk}^{s} are integers. Further, we note that using the di erential operator $q \coloneqq qx \frac{d}{dx}$ (com pare with the proof of [6], Theorem 8.1) Equation (4) gets the simple form

$$x^{r=q} f^{(r)}(x^{1=q}) = q(q 1)(q 2) q((r 1))h(x)$$
 (1 r q):

Next we give some applications of the lemma. First assume that $f^0 = f$, a solution of which is given by the exponential function $f(x) = e^x$. Then $f^{(q)} = f$, and so $f^{(q)}(x^{1-q}) = f(x^{1-q})$, and therefore we get for $h(x) := e^{x^{1-q}}$ the dimensional equation

$$\sum_{k=1}^{X^{q}} C_{q0k}^{1} x^{k} h^{(k)} = h;$$

e.g. for q = 3 we have the di erential equation for $e^{x^{1-3}}$

$$27 x^2 h^{00} + 54 x h^{0} + 6 h^0 = h$$
:

Further we consider the hom ogeneous Euler di erential equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{X^{n}} a_{k} x^{k} f^{(k)} = 0$$
(11)

with constants a_k (k = 0; ...; n), $a_n \in 0$. As by the Lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{r} f^{(r)} (t) &= x^{1=q} &= & \sum_{k=1}^{X^{r}} C_{qrk}^{0} x^{k} h^{(k)} (x) & (1 \quad r \quad q \quad 1); \\ t^{q} f^{(q)} (t) &= \sum_{t=x^{1=q}}^{X^{q}} &= & \sum_{k=1}^{X^{q}} C_{q0k}^{1} x^{k} h^{(k)} (x); \\ t^{sq} f^{(sq)} (t) &= \sum_{t=x^{1=q}}^{X^{eq}} &= & \sum_{k=s}^{X^{eq}} C_{q0k}^{s} x^{k} h^{(k)} (x) & (s \leq N); \end{aligned}$$

and

$$t^{sq+r} f^{(sq+r)}(t) = x^{1=q} = \sum_{k=s+1}^{sq+r} C_{qrk}^{s} x^{k} h^{(k)}(x) (1 r q 1);$$

we immediately conclude the following

C orollary 2 Assume, the function f satis es a hom ogeneous Euler di erential equation (11) of order n. Then the function f $x^{l=q}$ satis es a hom ogeneous Euler di erential equation of the same order n. 2

As an application of Corollary 2 we get

C orollary 3 Assume P is a polynomial of degree n, then P $x^{1=q}$ satisfies a homogeneous Euler differential equation of order n + 1.

Proof: P satis es the di erential equation $x^{n+1} f^{(n+1)} = 0$.

Our next step is to prove another lemma which we will need to prove that if f is simple, and q 2 \mathbb{N} , then so is f x^{1-q} .

2

Lem m a 2 Let f: D ! R be an in nitely often di erentiable function that is simple of order n, let be D $R^+ \notin ;$, and let $q \ge N$ with q > 2 be given. Consider the function h: D ! R with $h(x) \coloneqq f(x^{1=q})$. Then the linear space L_f over K [x] (i.e. with rational function coe cients) generated by the functions $x^{r=q} f^{(k)}(x^{1=q})$ ($r; k \ge N_0$) has dimension nq.

Proof: Given simple f of order n, the linear space L_f is generated by the functions of the $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N}_0$ matrix

±(Υ);	у±(у);	y²±(γ);	:::;	у [⊥] ± (у);	:::
f ⁰ (y);	уf ⁰ (у);	y ² f ⁰ (y);	:::;	y ^r f ⁰ (y);	:::
f ⁰⁰ (y);	уf ⁰⁰ (у);	y² f ⁰⁰ (y);	:::;	y ^r f ⁰⁰ (y);	:::
÷	÷	÷		÷	
f ^(k) (y);	yf ^(k) (y);	y² f ^(k) (y);	:::;	y ^r f ^(k) (y);	:::
÷	÷	÷		÷	

using the abbreviation $y := x^{1=q}$.

At most the st q expressions $f(x^{1=q}); x^{1=q} f(x^{1=q}); :::; x^{(q-1)=q} f(x^{1=q})$ of the st row are linearly independent (over K [x]) as the expressions for r = sq (s 2 N) depend linearly on the st expression f (y) ($y^{sq} = x^s$ is a polynom ial), further the expressions for r = sq + 1 (s 2 N) depend linearly on the second expression y f (y), and so on. The sam e conclusion follows for each other row so that we obtain that L_f is generated by the q N₀ matrix M

Now, we consider the rst column. We will show that at most the rst n expressions of this column are linearly independent. By the given simple dimential equation for f there are polynomials p, and p_k (k = 0;:::;n 1) such that

$$p(y) f^{(n)}(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} p_k(y) f^{(k)}(y);$$

or

$$p(x^{1=q}) f^{(n)}(x^{1=q}) = \prod_{k=0}^{N} p_k(x^{1=q}) f^{(k)}(x^{1=q}) :$$
(12)

If $p(x^{1=q})$ turns out to be a polynom ial in x, then this equation tells that $f^{(n)}(x^{1=q})$ depends linearly (over K [x]) on the elements $x^{r=q} f^{(k)}(x^{1=q})$ (r 2 \mathbb{N}_0 ; 0 k n 1) of the row s above in our scheme. As this, how ever, is not necessarily the case, we construct a polynom ial r such that $p(x^{1=q}) r(x^{1=q})$ is a polynom ial in x.

Therefore we use the complex factorization $p(y) = c(y y_1)(y y_2)$ (y m)yof p, and by the identity

$$y^{q}$$
 $y_{1}^{q} = (y \quad y_{1}) \int_{j=0}^{x^{1}} y^{j} y_{1}^{q^{1}}$

we see that multiplication of p by the polynom ial

$$r(y) \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ Y^{n} & X^{1} \\ 0 & y^{j} y_{1}^{q-1} \end{bmatrix}_{k=1}^{j}$$

yields a polynom ials in the variable x^q . Even though, for technical reasons, we use a complex factorization, it turns out that $r \ge R[k]$ ($\[mathbb{Q}\[mathbb{k}\]$) if $p \ge R[k]$ ($\[mathbb{Q}\[mathbb{k}\]$). Hence $P(x) \coloneqq s(x^{1=q}) = p(x^{1=q})r(x^{1=q})$ is a polynom ial in x. Thus we see that multiplying (12) by r(y) generates the representation

$$P(x) f^{(n)}(x^{1=q}) = \sum_{k=0}^{k} P_k(x^{1=q}) f^{(k)}(x^{1=q})$$

with polynom ials P, and P_k (k = 0;...;n 1).

This equation tells that $f^{(n)}(x^{1=q})$ depends linearly on the functions of the row s above. Similarly, by an induction argument, $f^{(j)}(x^{1=q})$ (j > n) depend linearly on those functions $x^{r=q} f^{(k)}(x^{1=q})$ (0 r q 1;0 k n 1) of the rst n row s of M.

Considering the other columns, the same argument can be applied to show that $y^r f^{(j)}(y)$ depend linearly on the functions of the rst n rows of M. This shows nally that L $_f$ is generated by the nq functions $x^{r=q} f^{(k)}(x^{1=q})$ (0 r q 1;0 k n 1), and therefore has dimension nq. 2

Lemma 1 shows immediately that for $h = f x^{1=q}$ the linear space L_h over K [x] generated by $h;h^0;h^0;:::$ is a subset of L_f (declared in Lemma 2), and so by Lemma 2 is of dimension nq. Thus we have proved the following Theorem (compare [15], Theorem 2.7, [14], M aple function algebraic subs).

Theorem 2 Let f be simple of order n, and let q 2 N. Then f x^{1-q} is simple of order nq. 2

W hereas Theorem 2 gives a complete answer with regard to the existence of a simple di erential equation, in [15], Theorem 2.7, it is shown that this is true for the composition with each algebraic function '(x) with '(0) =), it does not tell anything how such a di erential equation may be obtained. Therefore we continue to describe an algorithm that generates the di erential equation of low est order for h, which gives a second proof for Theorem 2.

A lgorithm to Theorem 2: G iven the di erential equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{X^{n}} p_{k}(x) f^{(k)}(x) = 0 \qquad (p_{k} \text{ polynom ials } (k = 0; ...; n); p_{n} \in 0) \qquad (13)$$

for f we have to construct a simple di erential equation for $h(x) \coloneqq f(x^{1=q})$ (q 2 N; q > 1). In this construction Lemma 1 will play a key role as it did in the proof of C orollary 2. Therefore we prepare the given polynom ials p_k in the following way: If $P(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{N} a_j x^j$ is any polynom ial with $a_N \notin 0$, then we decompose P by the q polynom ials

$$P_{m}(x) := a_{j}x^{j} \qquad (m = 0;1;\ldots;q \ 1)$$

$$j = 0 \qquad j m \pmod{q}$$

in the form

$$P(x) = {{}^{X_{m} 1} P_{m}(x) ; \atop m = 0}$$

and P is the zero polynom ial if and only if P_m is the zero polynom ial for all m = 0;1;:::;q = 1. If m 2 f0;1;:::;q 1g then j m (mod q) if and only if $j = s_j q + m$ with $s_j 2 N_0$. Therefore we have

If we set

$$P_{m}(y) \coloneqq X^{N} a_{j} y^{s_{j}} \\ j m^{j=0}_{(m \text{ od } q)}$$

we have $P_m(x) = x^m P_m(x^q)$, and therefore we arrive at the decomposition $P(x) = \frac{\Phi^1}{m=0} x^m P_m(x^q)$ with the polynom ials P_m . For the degrees of the polynom ials $P_m(y)$ we obtain the relations

Q

$$deg P_{m} (y) = s_{N} (0 m < r_{N}); (14)$$

$$s_{N} 1 (r_{N} < m q 1)$$

and P_{r_N} (y) 6 0, where we use the representation $N = s_N q + r_N (s_N 2 N_0; r_N 2 N_0; 0 r_N q 1).$

Now we continue with (13). We dierentiate (13) iteratively $l \coloneqq n (q \ 1)$ times to get the equations

$$\sum_{k=0}^{k+1} p_{k1}(x) f^{(k)}(x) = 0$$
 (15)

with polynom ials p_{k1} (l = 0;1;:::;1), and we note that $p_{n+1;1} = p_n \in 0$, and that $p_{k0} = p_k$. These form a set of l + 1 = n (q - 1) + 1 equations.

We decompose each polynom $ialp_{k1}$ (l= 0;1;:::;1) in the way introduced above to get

$$p_{kl}(x) = \int_{m=0}^{\infty} x^{m} Q_{klm} (x^{q})$$

with polynom ials Q_{km} . This brings our 1 + 1 equations (15) into the form

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X^{+1}X^{1} & & \\ & & Q_{klm} & (x^{q}) & x^{m} f^{(k)} & (x) & = 0 & & (l = 0; 1; \dots; l): \\ & & k = 0 m = 0 & & \end{array}$$
 (16)

If we write $k = s_k q + r_k$ ($s_k 2 \mathbb{N}_0$; $r_k = 0$;1;:::;q 1), a brief look in Lemma 1 shows that for the purpose to arrive at a di erential equation for h the \variables" to be eliminated in the above equations are the terms $x^m f^{(k)}(x)$ where m 2 f0;1;:::;q 1gn fr_kg. Every derivative $f^{(k)}$ so generates q 1 unknowns, and as we have nq+1 derivatives, these are (nq+1) (q 1) = q(1 + 1) 1 unknowns. Therefore we need to generate q equations out of each of our 1 + 1 equations (16) to arrive at a set of q(1 + 1) equations, i. e. enough equations to yield the di erential equation searched for.

This is done by multiplying each of the equations (16) by the factors x^p (p = 0; ...; q = 1) generating the q(l + 1) equations

$$\begin{array}{l} x^{+1q}x^{p-1} \\ Q_{k;l,m-p}(x^{q}) & x^{m} f^{(k)}(x) \\ k=0 \ m=p \end{array} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{l} x^{+1}x^{1} \\ Q_{klpj}(x^{q}) & x^{j} f^{(k)}(x) = 0; \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} x^{+1q}x^{q} \\ Q_{klpj}(x^{q}) & x^{j} f^{(k)}(x) = 0; \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} x^{p-1} \\ Q_{klpj}(x^{q}) & x^{j} f^{(k)}(x) = 0; \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} x^{p-1} \\ Q_{klpj}(x^{q}) & x^{j} f^{(k)}(x) = 0; \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} x^{p-1} \\ Q_{klpj}(x^{q}) & x^{j} f^{(k)}(x) = 0; \end{array}$$

$$(l = 0; 1; ...; l; k = s_k q + r_k; s_k 2 \mathbb{N}_0; r_k = 0; 1; ...; q 1; p = 0; 1; ...; q 1)$$

of the q(1 + 1) 1 unknowns $x^{j} f^{(k)}(x)$, where we use the abbreviations

$$Q_{klpj}(y) \coloneqq \begin{array}{c} (& y Q_{k;l;q+j p}(y) & \text{if } j = 0; \dots; p \quad 1 \\ Q_{k;l;j p}(y) & \text{if } j = p; \dots; p \quad 1 \end{array}$$
(18)

and

$$Q_{kDj}(y) = Q_{klj}(y)$$
 (j = 0;1;:::;q 1): (19)

We will show that these unknowns always can be eliminated, therefore arriving at a di erential equation of order ng for h.

We note, that if we dierentiate (13) iteratively, generating q new equations at each step by multiplication with x^p (p = 0; ...; q 1), and checking at each step if the unknowns can be eliminated, this algorithm obviously results in the dierential equation of lowest order valid for h.

Now we show that in the last step the algorithm always succeeds. Therefore we rewrite the equations system (17) using the q q matrices

$$A_{kl}(y) \coloneqq Q_{klpj}(y) =$$

W ith the aid of these matrices each block of equations with xed l can be written as the matrix equation 0

$$\begin{array}{c} & f^{(k)}(x) \\ \mathbb{X}^{+1} \\ & A_{k1}(x^{q}) \\ \mathbb{B} \\ & x^{2} f^{(k)}(x) \\ \mathbb{C} \\ & x^{2} f^{(k)}(x) \\ \mathbb{C} \\ & \mathbb{$$

Because of (18) and (19) the matrices $A_{k1}(y)$ are of the following special form

From the relations $\sum_{k=0}^{m+1} p_{k1}(x) f^{(k)}(x) = 0$ with $p_{k1}(x) = x^m \sum_{m=0}^{m-1} Q_{klm}(x^q)$, $p_{n+1;1}(x) = p_n(x) \in 0$, and $p_{k0}(x) = p_k(x)$ we see that the matrix $A_{k1}(y)$ is completely determined by the polynomial $p_{k1}(x)$, and we have

$$A_{n+1;1}(y) = A_{n0}(y) = :A_n(y) :$$

In particular, the matrix $A_n(y)$ is completely determined by the nonvanishing coe cient polynomial $p_n(x)$ of $f^{(n)}$ in the original equation (13). We will show now that the matrix $A_n(y)$ is invertible. Let $p_n(x) = \int_{j=0}^{N} a_j x^j w \pm h a_N \in 0$; $N = s_N q + r_N (s_N; r_N 2 N_0; 0 r_N q 1)$. We have $p_n(x) = p_{n0}(x) = x^m \int_{m=0}^{q-1} Q_{n0m}(x^q)$. If we use the notation $Q_m(y) \coloneqq Q_{n0m}(y)$, then for Q_m the degree relations (14) read

$$deg Q_{m} (y) = s_{N} (0 m < r_{N});$$

$$s_{N} 1 (r_{N} < m q 1)$$

Q

and we have Q_{r_N} 6 0. This inform ation leads to the following observations about the degrees of the polynom ialentries of the matrix A_n: The entries of A_n for which the dimense of colom n index and row index is $(r_N + 1)$ (region I) have degrees s_N 1, the entries for which 0 r_N (region II) have degrees s_N , further if $r_N + 1$ 1 (region III), then the degrees again are s_N , and nally if r_N (region IV), then the degrees are $s_N + 1$.

Assume next that $p_n(0) \notin 0$, then we get $j_{A_n}(0) j = Q_0^q(0) = p_n^q(0) \notin 0$, and therefore $A_n(y)$ is invertible. To obtain the same result if $p_n(0) = 0$, we use our degree observations. By the W eierstra representation of the determ inant $D = jd_{jk}jofaq q m atrix (d_{jk})$

$$D = \sum_{\substack{2 \, S_q}}^{X} sign \quad d_{1; (1)} \, d_{2; (2)} \qquad q; \, q_q)$$

where the sum is to be taken over all permutations $2 S_q$, we observe that in the W eierstra representation of the determ inant of our matrix A_n (y) the sum m ands

$$Q_0^{q}(y); \quad y Q_1^{q}(y); \dots; \quad y^{r_N} Q_{r_N}^{q}(y); \dots; y^{q-1} Q_{q-1}^{q}(y)$$

occur. Now it follows that

deg
$$y^{r_{N}} Q_{r_{N}}^{q} (y) = qs_{N} + r_{N} = N = deg p_{n} (x) > 0$$
;

and for all other sum m ands P (y) using our degree observations we get the relation

$$\deg P(y) < qs_N + r_N = N$$
:

Hence the degree of $A_n(y)$ jequals N, thus $A_n(y)$ j cannot be the zero polynomial, and $A_n(y)$ therefore is invertible.

Using the inverse A_n^{1} , we can now write

U sing the fact that the inhomogeneous parts of these equations do not vanish, it is now easily established that the unknowns $x^{j}f^{(k)}(x)$ can be eliminated, which nishes the algorithm. 2

A fler these preparations we are ready to state our main theorem (com pare [15], [14]).

Theorem 3 Let the two functions f and g be simple of order n and m, respectively, and let r be rational. Then

(a) f(x) dx is simple of order n + 1;

- (b) f^0 is simple of order n;
- (c) f + g is simple of order n + m;
- (d) f g is simple of order nm;
- (e) f r is simple of order n;
- (f) f $x^{p=q}$ (p;q2ZZ) is simple of order nq:

Proof: (a): Let $h = \int_{-R}^{R} f(x) dx$, and let f satisfy the simple di erential equation (2), then obviously

$$\sum_{k=0}^{X^{n}} p_{k} h^{(k+1)} = 0$$
;

and so h is simple of order n + 1.

(b): Let $h = f^0$, and (2) be valid. If $p_0 = 0$ then (2) is a simple dimension valid for h, and so h is simple of order n. If $p_0 = 0$, however, then, dividing by p_0 , we write (2) as

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{n}} r_{k} f^{(k)}$$
(20)

with rational functions r_k . If we di erentiate the original di erential equation, we get a further di erential equation

$$P_{k} f^{(k)} = 0$$

k=0

with polynom ials P_k (k = 0;:::;n + 1). We substitute f by (20), and multiply by the common denom inator to get a simple di erential equation of order n for h.

(c): First we give an algebraic argument for the existence statement. Let f and g satisfy simple di erential equations of order n and m, respectively. We consider the linear space L_f of functions with rational coe cients generated by f;f⁰;f⁰;:::;f^(k);:::. As f;f⁰;:::;f⁽ⁿ⁾ are linearly dependent by (2), and as the same conclusion follows for f⁰;f⁰;f⁰;:::;f⁽ⁿ⁺¹⁾ by di erentiation, and so on inductively, the dimension of L_f is n. Sim ilarly L_g has dimension m. We now build the sum $L_f + L_g$ which is of dimension n + m. As f+g; (f+g)⁰;:::; (f+g)^(k);::: are elements of $L_f + L_g$, arbitrary n + m + 1 many of them are linearly dependent. In particular, f + g is simple of order n + m.

Now we like to present an algorithm which generates the dimension for the sum : We may bring the given dimension for f and g into the form

$$f^{(n)} = \prod_{j=0}^{K} p_j f^{(j)}; \qquad (21)$$

and

$$g^{(m)} = \int_{k=0}^{n_{X}} q_{k} g^{(k)}; \qquad (22)$$

with rational functions p_j , and q_k respectively. By iterative dimension and recursive substitution of (21), and (22), we generate sets of rules

$$f^{(l)} = \int_{j=0}^{N} p_j^{l} f^{(j)} \qquad (l = n; ...; n + m);$$
(23)

and

$$g^{(l)} = \prod_{k=0}^{n_{X}} q_{k}^{l} g^{(k)} \qquad (l = m ; :::; n + m);$$
(24)

with rational functions p_j^l , and q_k^l , respectively. Now, by iterative dimension of the dening equation h = f + g, we generate the set of equations

$$h = f + g$$

$$h^{0} = f^{0} + g^{0}$$

$$h^{0} = f^{0} + g^{0} \qquad : \qquad (25)$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$h^{(n+m)} = f^{(n+m)} + g^{(n+m)}$$

Next, we take the rst m axfn;m g of these equations, and use the rules (23) and (24) to elim inate all occurrences of f⁽¹⁾ (l n), and g⁽¹⁾ (l m). On the right hand sides remain the n + m variables f⁽¹⁾ (l= 0;:::;n 1) and g⁽¹⁾ (l= 0;:::;m 1). We solve the remaining linear system of equations for the variables h⁽¹⁾ (l= 0;:::;m axfn;m g) trying to elim inate the variables f⁽¹⁾ (l= 0;:::;n 1) and g⁽¹⁾ (l = 0;:::;m 1). If this procedure is successful, it generates the simple di erential equation for h, searched for.

If the procedure fails, however, we go on taking the rst m axfn;mg + 1 of equations (25), doing the same manipulations, and so on, until we take the whole set of equations (25), where the

procedure must stop.

(d): This situation is handled in exactly the same way as case (c). The only difference is that to differentiate the product h = fg we use the Leibniz rule, and the variables to be eliminated are the nm products $f^{(j)}g^{(k)}$ (j = 0;:::;n 1; k = 0;:::;m 1). It is easily seen that this procedure generates a differential equation for h of order nm.

That the algorithm stops is seen by the fact that nally we arrive at a set of nm + 1 equations with nm variables to be eliminated, where all equations actually possess a nonvanishing inhom ogeneous part $h^{(l)}$.

(e): Let h = f r for som e rational r, and let f satisfy (2). W e com pose (2) with r, and get

$$0 = \begin{pmatrix} X^n \\ p_k & r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f^{(k)} & r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X^n \\ q_k & f^{(k)} & r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_k & rational functions \end{pmatrix} :$$
(26)

D i erentiating the identity h = f r leads to

$$h^{0} = f^{0} r^{0} r \text{ or } f^{0} r = h^{0} = r^{0};$$

$$h^{0} = f^{0} r^{0} r^{0} r + f^{0} r^{0} r \text{ or } f^{0} r = \frac{1}{r^{0}} h^{0} f^{0} r^{0} r = \frac{1}{r^{0}} h^{0} \frac{r^{0}}{r^{0}} h^{0};$$

and inductively it follows

$$h^{(k)} = {X^k \atop j=1} r_j \quad f^{(j)} \quad r \qquad (r_j \text{ rational functions}) :$$

Solving for f^(k) r and substituting the previous results we are lead to a representation

$$f^{(k)} \quad r = \sum_{j=1}^{X^k} R_j \quad h^{(j)}$$

with rational functions R j.

Substituting these results in (26) and multiplying by the common denominator yields a simple dimension for h, and we see that the order of h is n.

2

(f): The statem ent follows immediately by an application of Theorem 2 and (e).

R em ark 2 W e rem ark that again our proofs provide algorithm s to generate the di erential equations searched for.

Further we note that by Theorem 1 the functions 1=g and f=g in general are not simple, if f and g are, as $\sec x = 1 = \cos x$ and $\tan x = \sin x = \cos x$ show.

Note that Theorem 3 (f) generalizes Theorem 8.1 in [6].

The following consideration strengthens Theorem 3 (c), and brings it in connection with the fundam ental systems of simple di erential equations.

C orollary 4 Assume, f satis es a simple di erential equation F of order n, g satis es a simple di erential equation G of order m, and F and G do not have any common nontrivial solution. Then the order of any simple di erential equation satis ed by the sum h = f + g is n + m. In particular, h satis es no simple di erential equation of order < n + m, and exactly one di erential equation H of order n + m which is generated by the algorithm given in Theorem 3 (c).

Proof: Let $f_1; f_2; \ldots; f_n$ be a fundam ental system of the di erential equation F, and $g_1; g_2; \ldots; g_m$ be a fundam ental system of the di erential equation G. Then $f_1; f_2; \ldots; f_n$ are linearly independent (in the usual sense), and $g_1; g_2; \ldots; g_m$ are linearly independent, as well. A sum e now, the n + m functions $f_1; f_2; \ldots; f_n; g_1; g_2; \ldots; g_m$ are linearly dependent. Then there are constants a_j (k = j; \ldots; n) and b_k (k = 1; \ldots; m), not all equal to zero, such that

From the linear independence of the subsets $f_1; f_2; \ldots; f_n$, and $g_1; g_2; \ldots; g_m$ it follows that at least one of the numbers

 a_j (j = 1;:::;n), and one of the num bers b_k (k = 1;:::;m) does not vanish. Therefore by the linearity of F and G the functions $f \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} P^n \\ a_j f_j \text{ and } g \coloneqq b_k g_k \text{ are solutions of F, and G,} \\ j=1 \end{bmatrix} b_{k=1} b_k g_k$ are solutions of F, and G, respectively, which by (27) agree, and we have a contradiction.

Thus we have proved that $f_1; f_2; \ldots; f_n; g_1; g_2; \ldots; g_m$ are linearly independent. As the zero function is a solution of both F, and G, it turns out that $f_1; f_2; \ldots; f_n; g_1; g_2; \ldots; g_m$ must satisfy any linear di erential equation H for the sum, and so form a fundam ental system for H. Therefore the order of H is n + m.

We mention that, on the other hand, if the algorithm presented in Theorem 3 (c) generates a di erential equation of order < m + n for h = f + g, then the simple di erential equations F for f and G for g must have a common nonzero solution, i.e. the fundamental systems F and G are linearly dependent. We gain this insight without solving any of the di erential equations!

From Theorem 3 (d) a similar statement can be obtained for the product $h \coloneqq fg$: If our algorithm generates a dimential equation of order < nm for h, then the product of the fundamental systems S_F and S_G of F and G, i.e. the set

ff g jf 2 S_F ; g 2 S_G g, is linearly dependent. A gain, we gain this insight without solving any of the di erential equations.

In connection with Theorem 3 (d) for the product h = fg we note that for the special case of the square $h = f^2 = f$ f the bound for the order of h can be considerably strengthened.

Corollary 5 Let f be simple of order n, then h = f^2 is simple of order $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

Proof: A careful study of the algorithm given in the proof of Theorem 3 (d) shows that the order cannot exceed $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. This depends on the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimension of the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the fact that the variables to be eliminated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dim

3 A loorithm ic calculation of simple di erential equations

Theorem 3 enables us to de ne the linear space F of functions generated by the algebraic functions, exp x, $\ln x$, $\sin x$, $\cos x$, $\arcsin x$, $\arctan x$, (and, if we wish, further special functions satisfying simple di erential equations,) and the functions that are constructed by an application of nitely many of the procedures (a) { (f) of Theorem 3. The theorem then states in particular that F form s a di erential ring.

The algorithm which generates the simple di erential equation of lowest order for f given in $[6]{9}$ is strengthened by Theorem 3.

A lgorithm 1 (Find a simple di erential equation) Let f 2 F. Then the following procedure generates the simple di erential equation of low est order valid for f:

(a) Find out whether there exists a simple di erential equation for f of order N = 1. Therefore di erentiate f, and solve the linear equation

$$f^{0}(x) + A_{0}f(x) = 0$$

for A_0 ; i.e. set $A_0 := \frac{f^0(x)}{f(x)}$. Is A_0 rational in x, then you are done after multiplication with its denominator.

(b) Increase the order N of the di erential equation searched for by one. Expand the expression

$$f^{(N)}(x) + A_{N-1}f^{(N-1)}(x) + bfA(x);$$

and check, if the summands contain exactly N rationally independent expressions (i.e.: linearly independent over K [x]) considering the numbers $A_0; A_1; \ldots; A_{N-1}$ as constants. Just in that case there exists a solution as follows: Sort with respect to the rationally independent term s and create a system of linear equations by setting their coe cients to zero. Solve this system for the numbers $A_0; A_1; \ldots; A_{N-1}$. Those are rational functions in x, and there exists a unique solution. A fler multiplication by the common denom inator of $A_0; A_1; \ldots; A_{N-1}$ you get the dimential equation searched for. Finally cancel common factors of the polynom ial coe cients.

(c) If part (b) was not successful, repeat step (b).

Proof: The proof given in [6] shows that the given algorithm indeed generates the simple di erential equation of lowest order valid for f whenever such a di erential equation exists. Theorem 3 now guarantees the existence of such a di erential equation, and therefore the algorithm does not end in an in nite loop. 2

R em ark 3 Obviously f 2 F can be checked by a pattern matching mechanism applied to the given expression f, and Theorem 3 then moreover gives a priori estimates for the possible order of the solution di erential equation.

We further point out that an actual implementation of the algorithm should be able to decide the rational dependency of a set of functions. O therwise, it may fail to nd the simple di erential equation of lowest order. An example of this type is given by $f(x) := \sin (2x)$ 2 sin x cos x, for which the algorithm yields the di erential equation $f^{0} + f = 0$ rather than $f^{0} = 0$ if the rational dependency of sin (2x), and sin x cos x is not discovered.

In a forthcom ing paper [11] we discuss the more general situation of functions f that depend on other special functions not contained in F, like Airy functions, Bessel functions and orthogonal polynom ials.

W e m ention that the algorithms presented in Theorem 3 can be combined to get the following dierent $\$

A lgorithm 2 (Find a simple di erential equation) Let be f 2 F. Then recursive application of the algorithm s presented in Theorem 3 generates a simple di erential equation for f.

R em ark 4 W e note that, in general, we cannot control the order of the resulting di erential equation as the algorithm uses recursive descent through the expression tree of the given f. G iven a sum of two functions or order n and m, how ever, it is easily seen that the order of the resulting di erential equation is the low est possible order which is $\max f_{n} = 0$. So with the given algorithm, it is principally in possible to derive any di erential equation of order low er than two for the example function f (x) = $\sin (2x)$ 2 sin x cos x as the low est order di erential equations for the sum m and functions sin (2x), and sin x cos x are of order two.

This can be interpreted as follows: By construction, for a sum $f = f_1 + f_2$ a dimensional equation is obtained that is valid not only for $f_1 + f_2$, but for any linear combination $_1 f_1 + _2 f_2$ or f_1 and f_2 . In other words, this subalgorithm generates a dimensional equation for the linear hull of f_1 and f_2 .

through the quotient

4 Sharpness of the orders

In this section we give examples that show that the bounds for the least orders that are given in Theorem s 2{3, and in Corollary 5 in fact m ay be assumed.

First we consider the statem ent of C orollary 5. W e get

Theorem 4 For each n 2 N there is a function f_n that is simple of order n, such that $h \coloneqq f_n^2$ is simple of order $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. Indeed, an example function of that type is

$$f_n(\mathbf{x}) \coloneqq \sum_{k=1}^{X^n} e^{\mathbf{x}^k} :$$
(28)

Proof: We show that f_n , given by (28), is simple of order n, and that f_n^2 is simple of order $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. As each function e^{x^k} (k = 1;:::;n) is simple of order 1 (satisfying the di erential equation

 f^0 kx^k ¹ f = 0), by an inductive application of Theorem 3 (c), we see that f_n is simple of order n. To show that f_n is simple of order n, we rst show that e^{x^k} (k = 1;:::;n) are rationally

independent. Suppose, any linear combination

$$r_1 e^x + r_2 e^{x^2} + r_1 e^{x^n} = 0$$
 (29)

with rational functions r_k (k = 1;:::;n) representing zero is given. From (29) we get, assuming $r_k \in 0$

$$\mathbf{r}_{k} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{x}^{k}} = \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \in k}}^{X^{n}} \mathbf{r}_{j} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{x}^{j}};$$

and dividing by $r_k \, e^{x^k}$, we get

$$1 = \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \in k}}^{X^n} R_j e^{x^j x^k}$$

with rational functions R_j (j = 1;:::;n). For k = n, we get by taking the lim it x ! 1, along the positive real axis

$$1 = \lim_{\substack{x! \ 1 \\ j=1}} R_{j} e^{x^{j} x^{n}} = 0;$$

a contradiction to the assumption $r_n \in 0$. For k < n, the right hand lim it

$$\lim_{\substack{x! \ 1 \\ j \in k}} \sum_{j=1 \atop j \in k}^{x^n} R_j e^{x^j x^k}$$

does not exist, whereas the left hand side equals 1, a contradiction to the assumption $r_k \in 0$. Therefore $r_k = 0$ (k = 1; ...; n), and e^{x^k} (k = 1; ...; n) are rationally independent.

From this it follows that applying A gorithm 1 to f_n , in each step n rationally independent are involved (the derivative of e^{x^k} is of the same type), so that the algorithm can be successful not earlier than in the nth step. As this algorithm always nds the simple dimential equaton of least order, the order of f_n is n.

Next we consider the function f_n^2 . Expanding f_n^2 we get the representation

$$f_n^2(x) = e^{2x} + e^{2x^2} + e^{2x^n}e^{2x^n}e^{x^2} + e^{x^n}e^{2x^n}e^{x^$$

consisting of $n + \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ sum m and s. A n application of Theorem 3 (c), again, show s that f_n^2 is simple of order $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, as each sum m and $f := e^{x^j} e^{x^k}$ satisfies the simple differential equation

$$f^{0} = 2(jx^{j} + kx^{k})f = 0$$

of order 1. Similarly as above one realizes that $e^{x^j}e^{x^k}$ (j;k = 1;:::;n (j \in k)) are rationally independent, and therefore by an application of A logrithm 1 we conclude that f_n^2 is simple of order $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, nishing the proof.

Next we consider the statem ent of Theorem 2.W e get

Theorem 5 For each n; q 2 N there is a function f_{nq} that is simple of order n, such that $h = f x^{1-q}$ is simple of order n q. Indeed, an example function of that type is

$$f_{nq}(x) \coloneqq \sum_{k=1}^{X^{n}} e^{x^{kq+1}}$$
 (30)

Proof: Everything follows as in Theorem 4, if we show that

$$f(x) \coloneqq e^{x^{kq+1}}$$
 $(k = 1; ...; n; q2 \mathbb{N})$

is simple of order 1, whereas

$$h(x) := e^{x^{k+1}=q}$$
 (k = 1;...;n; q2 N)

is simple of order q. The statem ent was already proven so that it remains to prove the second statem ent. This, how ever, turns out to be a consequence, of Lemma 1 (a). We start with one

rationally independent function, namely h itself. As $h(x) = f(x^{1-q})$, Lemma 1 (a) shows that in the rth di erentiation step (r q) for h the new summand

$$x^{r=q} f^{(r)} (x^{1=q})$$

is introduced. By a similar argument as in Theorem 4 one sees that for each r q = 1 the functions involved functions q = 0

h(x);
$$x^{1=q} f^{0}(x^{1=q})$$
;...; $x^{r=q} f^{(r)}(x^{1=q})$

are rationally independent.

In the qth step, how ever, by (5), $h^{(q)}$ rationally depends on $h;h^0; :::;h^{(q-1)}$, so that an arbitrary linear combination of $h;h^0; :::h^{(q)}$ contains exactly q rationally independent terms, showing that h is of order q.

Finally we consider the statem ents of Theorem 3. We get

Theorem 6 The statements of Theorem 3 are sharp which is seen by the following example functions:

- (a) For each n the function f_n given by (28) is simple of order n, and r_n is simple of order n + 1.
- (b) For each n the function f_n given by (28) is simple of order n, and f_n^0 is simple of order n, too.
- (c) For each n; m 2 N there are functions f and g that are simple of order n, and m, respectively, such that h = f + g is simple of order n + m. Indeed, example functions of that type are

$$f(x) \coloneqq e^{x^{k}} e^{x^{k}} \text{ and } g(x) \coloneqq e^{x^{k}} e^{x^{k}}$$
(31)

- (d) For each n;m 2 N there are functions f and g that are simple of order n, and m, respectively, such that h = fg is simple of order nm. Indeed, example functions of that type are given by (31).
- (e) For each n the function f_n given by (28) is simple of order n, and for each rational function r the function f r is simple of order n, too.
- (f) For each n the function f_{nq} given by (30) is simple of order n, and for each p 2 \mathbb{N} the function $f x^{p=q}$ is simple of order n q.

Proof: (a) By Theorem 4 f_n is simple of order n. As the antiderivative of f_n is not an elementary function, it is rationally independent, and so its order is n + 1.

(b) As the derivatives of each sum m and of f_n depend rationally on f_n , by the linearity the order of f_n^0 equals n, too.

(c) In Theorem 4 we already proved that f is simple of order n, and that f + g is simple of order n + m. A sim ilar argument shows that g is simple of order m, and we are done.

(d) If we expand the function f g, we get

$$f(x)g(x) = \begin{cases} x^{n} & e^{x^{k}} & e^{x^{k}} = \\ k=1 & k=n+1 & k=1 \\ j=1 \end{cases} e^{x^{k}} e^{x^{j+n}}$$

with nm rationally independent expressions. Thus the order of fg is nm .

(e) By the argumentation of Theorem 4 one sees that f_n r has order n.

(f) This follows from (e) and Theorem 5.

2

References

- A bram ow itz, M. and Stegun, I.A.: Handbook of M athem atical Functions. D over Publ., New York, 1964.
- [2] Chudnovsky, D.V. and Chudnovsky, G.V.: On expansion of algebraic functions in power and Puiseux series I. Journal of Complexity 2 (1986), 271 { 294.
- [3] Chudnovsky, D.V. and Chudnovsky, G.V.: On expansion of algebraic functions in power and Puiseux series II. Journal of Complexity 3 (1987), 1 { 25. and products.
- [4] Gruntz, D. and Koepf, W. Form al Power Series. Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum Berlin (ZIB), Preprint SC 93-31, 1993. and
- [5] Hearn, A.: Reduce User's manual, Version 3.4. The RAND Corp., Santa Monica, CA, 1987.
- [6] Koepf, W .: Power series in Computer Algebra. J. Symb. Comp. 13 (1992), 581 {603.
- [7] Koepf, W .: A lgorithm ic development of power series. In: A rti cial intelligence and symbolic m athem atical computing, ed. von J. Calmet and J. A. Campbell, International Conference A ISM C-1, Karlsruhe, Germany, August 1992, Proceedings, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 737, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (Heidelberg, 1993, 195-213.
- [8] Koepf, W .: Examples for the algorithm ic calculation of form al Puiseux, Laurent and power series. SIG SAM Bulletin 27 (1993), 20{32.
- [9] Koepf, W .: A package on form alpower series, Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum Berlin (ZIB), Preprint SC 93-27, 1993.
- [10] Koepf, W .: A new algorithm for the development of algebraic functions in Puiseux series. Preprint B-92-21 Fachbereich M athematik der Freien Universitat Berlin, 1992.
- [11] Koepf, W .: A lgorithm ic work with orthogonal polynom ials and special functions. In preparation.
- [12] Maple: Reference Manual, fth edition. Watcom publications, Waterloo, 1988.
- [13] Neun, W .: Reduce package FPS.K onrad-Zuse-Zentrum fur Informationstechnik Berlin, 1994, in preparation.
- [14] Salvy, B. and Zimmermann, P.: GFUN: A package for the manipulation of generating and holonom ic functions in one variable. Rapports Techniques 143, INRIA, Rocquencourt, 1992.
- [15] Stanley, R.P.: Dierentiably nite power series. Europ. J. Combinatorics 1, 1993, 175 (188.
- [16] Szego, G.: Orthogonal Polynom ials. Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ. Vol. 23, New York City, 1939.
- [17] Tricom i, F.G.: Vorlesungen uber Orthogonalreihen. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 76, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (Gottingen (Heidelberg, 1955.

- [18] W all, B .: Puiseux expansion. An annoted bibliography. Technical report, R ISC -Linz Report Series No. 91-46.1.
- [19] W olfram, St.: M athematica. A system for doing m athematics by C om puter. A ddison-W esley Publ. C om p., R edwood C ity.