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## A bstract:

In 目] [9] the rst author published an algorithm for the conversion of analytic functions for which derivative rules are given into their representing pow er series ${ }^{\mathrm{E}} a_{k} z^{k}$ at the origin and vige versa, im plem entations of which exist in $M$ athem at ica 19], (s. [1]), $M$ aple [12] (s. [4]) and Reduce 5] (s. 13]).

O ne $m$ ain part of this procedure is an algorithm to derive a hom ogeneous linear di erential equation $w$ ith polynom ial coe cients for the given function. We call this type of ordinary di erentialequations sim ple.
W hereas the opposite question to nd functions satisfying given di erentialequations is studied in great detail, our question to nd di erentialequations that are satis ed by given functions seem s to be rarely posed.
In this paper we consider the fam ily $F$ of functions satisfying a simple di erential equation generated by the rational, the algebraic, and certain transcendental functions. It tums out that $F$ form s a linear space of transcendental functions. Further $F$ is closed under $m$ ultiplication and under the com position $w$ ith rational functions and rational powers. T hese results had been published by Stanley who had proved them by theoretical algebraic considerations.
In contrast our treatm ent is purely algorithm ically oriented. W e present algorithm s that generate simple di erentialequation for $f+g, f \quad g, f r\left(r\right.$ rational), and $f \quad k^{=q}$ (p;q2 $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ ), given simple di erentialequations forf, and $g$, and give a prioriestim ates for the order of the resulting di erentialequations. W e show that all order estim ates are sharp.
A fter nishing this article we realized that in independent work Salvy and Zim mer$m$ ann published sim ilar algorithm s. O ur treatm ent gives a detailed description of those algorithm s and their validity.

## 1 Simple functions

$M$ any $m$ athem atical functions satisfy a hom ogeneous linear di erential equation $w$ th polynom ial coe cients. W e call such an ordinary di erential equation sim ple. A lso a function $f$ that satis es a sim ple di erential equation is called sim ple. The least order of such a sim ple di erential equation ful led by $f$ is called the order of $f$.

Technically there is no di erence between a di erential equation with polynom ial coe cients, and one $w$ ith rational coe cients, as we can $m$ ultiply such a di erential equation by its com m on denom inator, so wem ay consider the case that the coe cients are $m$ embers of the eld $K[x]$ where $K[x]$ is one of $\Phi[x], R[x]$, or $\mathbb{C}[x]$.

## E xam ples of sim ple functions are

1. all rational functions $p=q$ ( $p$; q polynom ials) are simple of order 1: $p q f^{0}+\left(p q^{0} q p^{0}\right) f=0$,
2. all algebraic functions $f$ are simple (see e.g. [2] [ [3], [18], and [10]) of the order of f,
3. the power function $x$ satis es the simple di erential equation $x f^{0} \quad f=0$,
4. the exponential function $e^{x}$ satis es the simple di erential equation $f^{0} f=0$,
5. the logarithm function $\ln \mathrm{x}$ satis es the simple di erential equation $\mathrm{xf}^{\infty}+\mathrm{f}^{0}=0$,
6. the sine function $\sin x$ and the cosine function cos satisfy the simple di erential equation $f^{\infty}+f=0$,
7. the inverse sine function $\arcsin \mathrm{x}$ and the inverse cosine function arcoos x satisfy the sim ple di erential equation $\left(x^{2} \quad 1\right) f^{\infty}+x f^{0}=0$,
8. the inverse tangent function arctan $x$ and the inverse cotangent functions arcoot $x$ satisfy the sim ple di erential equation $\left(1+x^{2}\right) f^{\infty}+2 x f^{0}=0$,
9. the inverse secant fiunction arcsecx and the inverse cosecant function arccscx satisfy the sim ple di erential equation $\left(x^{3} \quad x\right) f^{\infty}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 x^{2} & 1)\end{array} f^{0}=0\right.$,
10. the error function erf $x$ satis es the simple di erential equation $f^{\infty}+2 x f^{0}=0$.

N ote that for rational functions w ith rational coe cients, algebraic functions given by a rational coe cient equation, the power function for $2 \mathrm{Q} \|$, and in all other exam ples we have $\mathrm{K}[\mathrm{x}]=\mathrm{Q} \mid \mathrm{x}]$.

A lso $m$ any special functions are sim ple with $K[x]=\Phi[x]$ : A iry functions (see e.g. [1], x 10.4), Bessel functions (see e.g. [1], Ch.9\{11), all kinds of orthogonal polynom ials (see e. g. 16], [17]), and functions of hypergeom etric type (see 目]).

N ot allelem entary transcendental functions, how ever, are sim ple, the sim plest exam ple ofw hich probably is the tangent function $\tan \mathrm{x}$, com pare [15], Exam ple 2.5 .

Theorem 1 The functionstan $x$ and $\sec x$ do not satisfy sim ple di erential equations.
Proof: It is easily seen that the tangent function $f(x)=\tan x$ satis es the nonlinear di erential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}^{0}=1+\mathrm{f}^{2}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

D i erentiation of yields after further substitution of $\mathbb{1}$

$$
\mathrm{f}^{\infty}=\left(1+\mathrm{f}^{2}\right)^{0}=2 \mathrm{f} \mathrm{f}^{0}=2 \mathrm{f}\left(1+\mathrm{f}^{2}\right) ;
$$

and inductively we get representations of $f^{(k)}=P_{k}(f)(k 2 N)$ by polynom ial expressions $P_{k}$ in $f$.
A ssum e now, the tangent function would satisfy the sim ple di erential equation

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{f}^{(\mathrm{k})}=0 \quad\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}\right. \text { polynom ials) ; }
$$

then we can substitute $f^{(k)}$ by $P_{k}$ arriving at an algebraic identity for the tangent function. This obviously is a contradiction to the fact that the tangent function is transcendental, and therefore a di erential equation of type (2) cannot be satis ed.

Let us now consider $f(x)=\sec x$. In this case we may establish the nonlinear di erential equation

$$
\mathrm{f}^{\complement}=\mathrm{f}^{4} \quad \mathrm{f}^{2}
$$

from which, by di erentiation, the second order di erential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\infty}=2 f^{3} \quad f \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

follow s. If now a sim ple di erential equation (2) would be valid for $f$, then also

$$
\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{f}^{0}=\mathrm{X}_{\substack{\mathrm{k}=0 \\ \mathrm{k} \notin 1}}^{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{f}^{(\mathrm{k})} ; ~ ; ~ ; ~}
$$

and taking the square we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \quad 1_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we substitute (3), and the corresponding representations for $f^{(k)}(k=3 ;:: ; n)$ which we get by di erentiating (3), we arrive at an algebraic identily, and the conclusion follow s by the transcendency of $f$, again.

By sim ilarm eans we see that the elem entary functions cotx, and $\csc x$ as well as the corresponding hyperbolic functions are not sim ple. M oreover, the generating functions

$$
\frac{x}{e^{x} 1}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{B}_{k}} \frac{\mathrm{x}^{k}}{\mathrm{k}!} ; \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{e^{x=2}}{e^{x}+1}={ }_{k=0}^{X^{A}} \frac{E_{k}}{2^{k+1}} \frac{x^{k}}{k!}
$$

of the B emoulli and E uler num bers $B_{k}$, and $E_{k}\left(k 2 N_{0}\right)$ (see e.g. [1], (23.1)) satisfy the nonlinear di erential equations

$$
\mathrm{f}^{0}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{x}} \quad(1 \quad \mathrm{x}) \mathrm{f} \quad \mathrm{f}^{2} ; \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{f}^{\complement}=\frac{\mathrm{f}^{2}}{4} \quad \mathrm{f}^{4} ;
$$

respectively, and so by a sim ilar procedure are realized not to be sim ple. A s exactly the sim ple di erential equations correspond to sim ple recurrence equations for the Taylor series coe cients (see e.g. [6]), th is im plies the follow ing
C orollary 1 N either the Bemoulli nor the Euler num bers satisfy a nite hom ogeneous linear recurrence equation w th polynom ial coe cients.

## 2 C onstruction of simple functions

If sim ple functions are given, then we m ay construct further exam ples of sim ple functions by the follow ing procedures: integration, di erentiation, addition, multiplication, and the com position w ith certain functions, nam ely rational functions and rational powers. Before we prove this main result, we consider an im portant particular case. W ew illprove that if $f$ is $\operatorname{sim} p l e$, and $q 2 \mathrm{~N}$, then f $x^{1=q}$ is sim ple, too. To get this result, we need the follow ing

Lem mallet 1 : L ! R be in nitely often di erentiable, let be $\mathrm{D} \mathrm{R}^{+} ;$; and let $q 2 \mathrm{~N}$ $w$ th $q>2$ be given. C onsider the function $h: D!R w$ th $h(x): f\left(x^{1=q}\right)$.
(a) Then we have for r 2 N with $1 \quad \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{q} 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{r=q} f^{(r)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)=X_{k=1}^{r} C_{q r k}^{0} x^{k} h^{(k)}(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith constants $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{qrk}}^{0}$, in particular

$$
C_{q 11}^{0}=q ; \quad C_{q r 1}^{0}=q(q \quad 1) \quad(q \quad r+1) ; \quad \text { and } \quad{ }_{q r}^{0} f=q^{r} ;
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(q)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)=X_{k=1}^{X^{q}} C_{q 0 k}^{1} x^{k}{ }^{1} h^{(k)}(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith constants $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} 0 \mathrm{k}}^{1}$, in particular

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} 01}^{1}=\mathrm{q}!; \quad \text { and } \quad C_{\mathrm{q} 0 \mathrm{q}}^{1}=\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{q}}:
$$

(b) Further for s 2 N we have

$$
f^{(s q)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)=X_{k=s}^{X^{q q}} C_{q 0 k}^{s} x^{k} \quad{ }^{s} h^{(k)}(x)
$$

w ith constants $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} 0 \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{s}}$, in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{q ; 0 ; s q}^{s}=q^{s q} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for r 2 N w ith $1 \quad \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{q} 1$

$$
x^{r=q} f(s q+r)\left(x^{1=q}\right)={ }_{k=s+1}^{s X^{+} r} C_{q r k}^{s} x^{k} s^{s} h^{(k)}(x)
$$

w ith constants $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{qrk}}^{\mathrm{s}}$, in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{sq}+\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{sq+r}}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: D i erentiating the identity $f\left(x^{1=q}\right)=h(x)$, we get

$$
\mathrm{x}^{1=\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{f}^{0}\left(\mathrm{x}^{1=\mathrm{q}}\right)=\mathrm{qxh} \mathrm{~h}^{0}(\mathrm{x}) ;
$$

a further di erentiation yields

$$
x^{2=q} f^{\infty}\left(x^{1=q}\right)+x^{1=q} f^{0}\left(x^{1=q}\right)=q^{2} x h^{0}(x)+q^{2} x^{2} h^{\infty}(x) ;
$$

and therefore

$$
x^{2=q} f^{\infty}\left(x^{1=q}\right)=q(q \quad 1) x h^{0}(x)+q^{2} x^{2} h^{\infty}(x):
$$

D i erentiating once $m$ ore and rearranging sim ilarly, gives

$$
x^{3=q} f^{\infty}\left(x^{1=q}\right)=q\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 2
\end{array}\right) x h^{0}(x)+3 q^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 1
\end{array}\right) x^{2} h^{\infty}(x)+q^{3} x^{3} h^{\infty}(x):
$$

A ssum e now, for r 2 N w th $1 \quad r \quad q \quad 1$ the relation

$$
x^{r=q} f^{(r)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)=X_{k=1}^{r} C_{q r k}^{0} x^{k} h^{(k)}(x)
$$

w ith

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{qr} 1}^{0}=\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{q} \quad 1) \quad(\mathrm{q} \quad \mathrm{r}+1) ; \quad \text { and } \quad{ }_{\mathrm{qry}}^{0} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{q}^{r}
$$

is valid, then by another di erentiation we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{(r+1)=q} f^{(r+1)}\left(x^{1=q}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & r) C_{q r 1}^{0} x h^{0}(x) \\
& =+X_{k=2}^{r}(k q
\end{array} r\right) C_{q r k}^{0}+q C_{q ; r k}^{0} 1 x^{k} h^{(k)}(x)+q C_{q r r}^{0} x^{r+1} h^{(r+1)}(x):
\end{aligned}
$$

For r q 1, we set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{r}+1 ; 1}^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{q} & \mathrm{r}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{qr} 1}^{0}=\mathrm{q}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{q} & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{q} & \mathrm{r}
\end{array}\right) ; \\
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{r}+1 ; r+1}^{0}:
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{r}+1 ; \mathrm{k}}^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{k} \mathrm{q} & \mathrm{r}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{qrk}}^{0}+\mathrm{qC}_{\mathrm{q} ; r ; \mathrm{k}}^{0} 1 \quad \quad(2 \quad \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{r}):
$$

For $r=q$ the sam e $m$ ethod applies, if we set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} 01}^{1}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{q} 1 ; 1}^{0}=\mathrm{q}!; \\
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} 0 \mathrm{k}}^{1}=\mathrm{qC}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{q} 1 ; \mathrm{q} 1}^{0}=\mathrm{qq}^{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{1}=\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{q}} ;
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} 0 \mathrm{k}}^{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(\mathrm{k} & 1
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{q}+1\right) \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{q} 1 ; \mathrm{k}}^{0}+\mathrm{qC}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{q}}^{0} 1 ; \mathrm{k} \quad 1 \quad(2 \quad \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{q} \quad 1) ;
$$

which proves (a).
To prove (b) we assum e that for som e s 2 N

$$
f^{(s q)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)=X_{k=s}^{X^{q}} C_{q 0 k}^{s} x^{k} \quad s^{s} h^{(k)}(x)
$$

w ith

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} ; 0 ; s q}^{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{sq}}:
$$

If $w e$ de ne the functions $f_{s}$ and $h_{s}$ by

$$
f_{s}(x)=f^{(s q)}(x) ; \quad \text { and } \quad h_{s}(x):=x_{k=s}^{x^{q}} C_{q 0 k}^{s} x^{k}{ }^{s} h^{(k)}(x) ;
$$

then we m ay apply (a), and get for 1 r $q 1$ that

$$
x^{r=q} f_{s}^{(r)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)=X_{k=1}^{r} C_{q r k}^{0} x^{k} h_{s}^{(k)}(x) ;
$$

and further

$$
f_{s}^{(q)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)=X_{k=1}^{X^{q}} C_{q 0 k}^{1} x^{k}{ }^{1} h_{s}^{(k)}(x) ;
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{r=q} f(s q+r)\left(x^{1=q}\right)=X_{k=1}^{r} C_{q r k}^{0} x^{k} h_{s}^{(k)}(x) ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{((s+1) q)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)=X_{k=1}^{x^{q}} C_{q 0 k}^{1} x^{k}{ }^{1} h_{s}^{(k)}(x): \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To nish our proof, we w ill calculate the derivatives $h_{s}^{(k)}(k=1 ;::: ; q)$ algorithm ically. W e have

$$
h_{s}(x)=X_{j=s}^{X^{\mathrm{q}}} C_{q 0 j}^{s} x^{j}{ }^{s} h^{(j)}(x) ;
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\quad C_{q ; 0 ; j}^{s+1} X^{s} x^{j}{ }^{1} h^{(j)}(x)+X^{s q}(j \quad s) C_{q 0 j}^{s} x^{j} s^{1} h^{(j)}(x) \\
& j=s+1 \quad j=s+1 \\
& ={\underset{j}{ }=s+1}_{X^{q}}(j \quad s) C_{q 0 j}^{s}+C_{q ; 0 ; j}^{s} 1 x^{j} s^{1} h^{(j)}(x)+C_{q ; 0 ; s q}^{s} x^{s q} q_{h}^{(s q+1)}(x):
\end{aligned}
$$

W e m ay w rite this as

$$
h_{s}^{0}(x)=\sum_{j=s+1}^{\text {sad }} \mathrm{D}_{j}^{1} x^{j} s{ }^{1} h^{(j)}(x)
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(j \quad s) C_{q 0 j}^{s}+C_{q ; 0 ; j}^{s} 1 & \text { if } s+1 \quad j \quad s q \\
C_{q ; 0 ; s q}^{s}=q^{s q} & \text { if } j=s q+1
\end{array}
$$

$$
D_{j}^{1}=
$$

C ontinuing iteratively in the sam e fashion, we get representations

$$
h_{s}^{(k)}(x)=\sum_{j=s+k}^{s \alpha^{+k}} D_{j}^{k} x^{j s k^{k}} h^{(j)}(x)
$$

w ith

W e substitute these equations in (8) to get

$$
x^{r=q} f(s q+r)\left(x^{1=q}\right)=X_{k=1}^{r}{ }_{j=s+k}^{s g+k} C_{q r k}^{0} D{ }_{j}^{k} x^{j} s_{h}^{(j)}(x):
$$

For $j w$ th $s+1$ j sq+rwe use the notation

$$
M_{j}:=\mathrm{fk} 2 \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{j} 1 \quad \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{r} \text { and } \mathrm{s}+\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{j} \quad \mathrm{sq}+\mathrm{kg}:
$$

Then $M_{\text {sq }} \mathrm{r}=$ frg, and

$$
x^{r=q} f(s q+r)\left(x^{1=q}\right)={ }_{j=s+1}^{s q+r} C_{q r j}^{s} x^{j} s_{h}^{(j)}(x)
$$

w ith
for $s+1$ j $s q+r$, in particular

$$
C_{q ; r ; s q+r}^{s}=C_{q r r}^{0} D_{s q+r}^{r}=q^{r} q^{s q}=q^{s q+r}
$$

proving (7).
To prove (6), we substitute Equations (10) in (9) to get
w ith
for $s+1 \quad j \quad(s+1) q$, in particular

$$
C_{q ; 0 ;(s+1) q}^{s+1}=C_{q 0 q}^{1} D_{s q+q}^{q}=q q^{s q}=q^{(s+1) q}
$$

nishing our proof.

Rem ark 1 W e rem ark that one easily can establish that all constants $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{qrk}}^{\mathrm{s}}$ are integers. Further, we note that using the di erential operator $q: q x \frac{d}{d x}$ (compare with the proof of 目], Theorem 8.1) Equation (4) gets the sim ple form

$$
x^{r=q} f^{(r)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)=q\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 2
\end{array}\right) \quad q\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) h(x)
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & r & q
\end{array}\right):\right.
$$

$N$ ext we give som e applications of the lem ma. First assume that $f^{0}=f$, a solution of which is given by the exponential function $f(x)=e^{x}$. Then $f^{(q)}=f$, and so $f^{(q)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)=f\left(x^{1=q}\right)$, and therefore we get for $h(x)=e^{x^{1=q}}$ the di erential equation

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} 0 \mathrm{k}}^{1} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~h}^{(\mathrm{k})}=\mathrm{h} \text {; }
$$

e. $g$. for $q=3$ we have the di erential equation for $e^{x^{1=3}}$

$$
27 x^{2} h^{\infty}+54 x h^{\infty}+6 h^{0}=h:
$$

Further we consider the hom ogeneous Euler di erential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{f}^{(\mathrm{k})}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith constants $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{k}=0$; :: : ; n$), \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \in 0$. A s by the Lem m a we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t^{r} f^{(r)}(t)_{t=x^{1=q}} & =X_{k=1}^{x^{r}} C_{q r k}^{0} x^{k} h^{(k)}(x) \quad\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & r & q & 1
\end{array}\right) ; \\
t^{q} f^{(q)}(t)_{t=x^{1=q}} & =X_{k=1}^{q} C_{q 0 k}^{1} x^{k} h^{(k)}(x) ; \\
t^{s q} f^{(s q)}(t)_{t=x^{1=q}} & =X_{k=s}^{S q} C_{q 0 k}^{s} x^{k} h^{(k)}(x) \quad(s 2 N) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and
we im m ediately conclude the follow ing
C orollary 2 A ssum e, the function $f$ satis es a hom ogeneous Euler di erential equation (1) of order $n$. Then the function $f \quad X^{1=q}$ satis es a hom ogeneous Euler di erential equation of the sam e order $n$.

A s an application of C orollary 2 we get
C orollary 3 A ssum e $P$ is a polynom ial of degree $n$, then $P \quad x^{1=q}$ satis es a hom ogeneous Euler di erential equation of order $n+1$.

Proof: $\quad P$ satis es the di erential equation $x^{n+1} f^{(n+1)}=0$.
O ur next step is to prove another lem mawhich wewill need to prove that iff is simple, and q 2 N , then $s o$ is $f \quad x^{1=q}$.

Lem ma2 Let $f: D!R$ be an in nitely often di erentiable function that is sim ple of order n , let be $\mathrm{D} \mathrm{R}^{+} ;$, and let $q 2 \mathrm{~N}$ with $\mathrm{q}>2$ be given. Consider the function $h: D$ ! $R$ w th $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x}):=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}^{1=\mathrm{q}}\right.$ ). Then the linear space $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{f}}$ over $\mathrm{K}[\mathrm{x}$ ] (i.e. w th rational fiunction coe cients) generated by the fiunctions $x^{r=q} f^{(k)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)\left(r ; k 2 \mathbb{N}_{0}\right)$ has dim ension $n q$.

Proof: $G$ İven sim ple $f$ of order $n$, the linear space $L_{f}$ is generated by the functions of the $\mathbb{N}_{0} \quad \mathbb{N}_{0}$ m atrix

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y}) ; & \mathrm{yf}(\mathrm{y}) ; & \mathrm{y}^{2} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y}) ; & ::: ; & \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y}) ; & ::: \\
\mathrm{f}^{0}(\mathrm{y}) ; & \mathrm{y} \mathrm{f}^{0}(\mathrm{y}) ; & \mathrm{y}^{2} \mathrm{f}^{0}(\mathrm{y}) ; & ::: ; & \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{f}^{0}(\mathrm{y}) ; & ::: \\
\mathrm{f}^{\infty}(\mathrm{y}) ; & \mathrm{y} \mathrm{f}^{\infty}(\mathrm{y}) ; & \mathrm{y}^{2} \mathrm{f}^{\infty}(\mathrm{y}) ; & ::: ; & \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{f}^{\infty}(\mathrm{y}) ; & ::: \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\mathrm{f}^{(\mathrm{k})}(\mathrm{y}) ; & \mathrm{yf} & \\
(\mathrm{k})(\mathrm{y}) ; & \mathrm{y}^{2} \mathrm{f}^{(\mathrm{k})}(\mathrm{y}) ; & ::: ; & \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{f}^{(\mathrm{k})}(\mathrm{y}) ; & :::
\end{array}
$$

using the abbreviation $y:=x^{1=q}$.
At $m$ ost the rst $q$ expressions $f\left(x^{1=q}\right) ; x^{1=q} f\left(x^{1=q}\right) ;::: ; x^{(q \quad 1)=q} f\left(x^{1=q}\right)$ of the nst row are linearly independent (over K [X]) as the expressions for $r=s q(s 2 N)$ depend linearly on the rst expression $f(y)\left(y^{s q}=x^{s}\right.$ is a polynom ial), further the expressions for $r=s q+1(s 2 \mathrm{~N})$ depend linearly on the second expression y $f(y)$, and so on. T he sam e conclusion follow sfor each other row so that we obtain that $L_{f}$ is generated by the $q \quad N_{0} m$ atrix $M$


N ow, we consider the rst colum $n$. W ewill show that at most the rst $n$ expressions of this colum $n$ are linearly independent. By the given sim ple di erential equation for $f$ there are polynom ials $p$, and $p_{k}(k=0 ;::: ; n$ 1) such that

$$
p(y) f^{(n)}(y)=\mathbb{X}_{k=0}^{1} p_{k}(y) f^{(k)}(y) ;
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(x^{1=q}\right) f^{(n)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)=\mathbb{x}_{k=0}^{1} p_{k}\left(x^{1=q}\right) f^{(k)}\left(x^{1=q}\right): \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ifp ( $x^{1=q}$ ) tums out to be a polynom ialin $x$, then this equation tells that $f^{(n)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)$ depends linearly (over $K[x]$ ) on the elem ents $x^{r=q} f^{(k)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)\left(r 2 N_{0} ; 0 \quad k \quad n \quad 1\right)$ of the row sabove in our schem e. A s this, how ever, is not necessarily the case, we construct a polynom ialr such that $p\left(x^{1=q}\right) r\left(x^{1=q}\right)$ is a polynom ial in $x$.
$T$ herefore we use the com plex factorization $p(y)=c\left(\begin{array}{ll}y & y_{1}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}y & y_{2}\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}y\end{array}\right) y o f p$, and by the identity

$$
\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{q}} \quad \mathrm{y}_{1}^{q}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{y} & \mathrm{y}_{1}
\end{array}\right)_{j=0}^{\mathbb{X}^{1}} \mathrm{y}^{j} \mathrm{y}_{l}^{q} 1 \quad j
$$

we see that $m$ ultiplication of p by the polynom ial

$$
r(y):=\sum_{l=1}^{y^{n} @_{j=0}^{1} y^{j} y_{l}^{q} 1}{ }^{1} j_{A}
$$

yields a polynom ials in the variable $x^{q}$. Even though, for technical reasons, we use a com plex factorization, it tums out that $r 2 R[x](\mathbb{x}])$ if $p 2 R[x](\mathbb{x}])$. Hence $P(x):=s\left(x^{1=q}\right)=$ $p\left(x^{1=q}\right) r\left(x^{1=q}\right)$ is a polynom ial in $x$. Thus we see that multiplying (12) by $r(y)$ generates the representation

$$
P(x) f^{(n)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)=X_{k=0}^{1} P_{k}\left(x^{1=q}\right) f^{(k)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)
$$

w ith polynom ials $P$, and $P_{k}(k=0 ;::: ; n \quad 1)$.
This equation tells that $f^{(n)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)$ depends linearly on the functions of the row sabove. Sim ilarly, by an induction argum ent, $f^{(j)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)(j>n)$ depend linearly on those functions $x^{r=q} f^{(k)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)$ ( $0 \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{q} 1 ; 0 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{n} \quad 1$ ) of the rst n row of M .
$C$ onsidering the other colum $n s$, the sam e argum ent can be applied to show that $y^{r} f{ }^{(j)}(y)$ depend linearly on the functions of the rst $n$ row $S$ of $M$. This show $S$ nally that $L_{f}$ is generated by the nq fiunctions $\mathrm{x}^{r=q} f^{(k)}\left(\mathrm{x}^{1=q}\right)(0 \quad \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{q} \quad 1 ; 0 \quad \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{n} \quad 1)$, and therefore has dim ension $\mathrm{n} q .2$

Lemman shows immediately that for $h: f \quad x^{1}=q$ the linear space $L_{h}$ over $K[x]$ generated by $h ; h^{0} ; h^{\infty} ;::$ is a subset of $L_{f}$ (declared in Lemma(2), and so by Lem ma is of dim ension $n$. $T$ hus we have proved the follow ing $T$ heorem (com pare [15], Theorem 2.7, [14], M aple function algebraicsubs).

Theorem 2 Let $f$ be simple of order $n$, and let $q 2 N . T$ hen $f x^{1=q}$ is simple of order n q. 2
W hereas $T$ heorem 1 gives a com plete answ er with regard to the existence of a sim ple di erential equation, in 15], $T$ heorem 2.7, it is show $n$ that this is true for the com position $w$ ith each algebraic function ' $(x)$ with ' $(0)=1)$, it does not tell anything how such a di erential equation $m$ ay be obtained. Therefore we continue to describe an algorithm that generates the di erential equation of low est order for $h$, which gives a second proof for $T$ heorem 8 .

A lgorithm to Theorem 2: G iven the di erential equation

$$
\left.\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{x}^{(\mathrm{k})}(\mathrm{x})=0 \quad \text { (} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} \text { polynom ials }(\mathrm{k}=0 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}) ; \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} 60\right)
$$

for $f$ we have to construct a simple di erential equation for $h(x):=f\left(x^{1=q}\right)(q 2 N ; q>1)$. In this construction Lem m a 11 will play a key role as it did in the proof of c orollary 2. Therefore we prepare the given polynom ials $p_{k}$ in the follow ing way: If $P(x)=\int_{j=0}^{P_{j}} a_{j} x^{j}$ is any polynom ialw ith $a_{N} \in 0$, then we decom pose $P$ by the q polynom ials

$$
P_{m}(x):=x_{j \underset{j}{x^{\mathbb{N}}} \underset{(m \text { od } q)}{j=0}}^{x_{j}^{j}} \quad a_{j} \quad(m=0 ; 1 ;::: ; q \quad 1)
$$

in the form

$$
P(x)=X_{m=0}^{1} P_{m}(x) ;
$$

and $P$ is the zero polynom ial if and only if $P_{m}$ is the zero polynom ial for allm $=0 ; 1 ;::: ; q$. If
 have

$$
P_{m}(x)=x^{m} \underbrace{x^{N}}_{j \underset{j}{j=0}(m \text { od } q)} a_{j} x^{s_{j} q}:
$$

If we set

$$
P_{m}(y):=x_{j m^{j=0}(m \text { od } q)}^{x^{\mathrm{N}}} a_{j} y^{S_{j}}
$$

we have $P_{m}(x)=x^{m} P_{m}\left(x^{q}\right)$, and therefore we arrive at the decom position $P(x)={ }_{m=0}^{q} x^{m} P_{m}\left(x^{q}\right)$ $w$ ith the polynom ials $P_{m}$. For the degrees of the polynom ials $P_{m}(y)$ we obtain the relations
and $P_{r_{N}}(y) 60$, wherewe use the representation $N=S_{N} q^{+} r_{N}\left(S_{N} 2 N_{0} ; r_{N} 2 N_{0} ; 0 \quad r_{N} \quad q \quad 1\right)$.
N ow we continue with 13). W e di erentiate (13) iteratively $1:=n(q 1)$ tim es to get the equations

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{x^{+1}} P_{k l}(x) f^{(k)}(x)=0
$$

w ith polynom ials $p_{k l}(l=0 ; 1 ;::: ; 1)$, and we note that $p_{n+1 ; 1}=p_{n} 60$, and that $p_{k 0}=p_{k}$. These form a set of $1+1=n(q \quad 1)+1$ equations.

W e decom pose each polynom ial $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{kl}}(\mathrm{l}=0 ; 1 ;::: ; 1)$ in the w ay introduced above to get

$$
p_{k l}(x)=X_{m=0}^{1} x^{m} Q_{k l m}\left(x^{q}\right)
$$

w ith polynom ials $Q_{k l m}$. This brings our $1+1$ equations 15) into the form

$$
x_{k=0 m=0}^{x^{+1} Q_{k l m}\left(x^{q}\right) \quad x^{m} f^{(k)}(x)=0 \quad(l=0 ; 1 ;::: ; 1): ~}
$$

If we w rite $k=s_{k} q+r_{k}\left(s_{k} 2 N_{0} ; r_{k}=0 ; 1 ;:: ; q \quad 1\right)$, a brief look in Lem $m$ a 1 , show s that for the purpose to arrive at a di erential equation for $h$ the \variables" to be elim inated in the above equations are the term $s x^{m} f^{(k)}(x)$ where $m 2 f 0 ; 1 ;::: ; q \quad 1 g n f r_{k} g$. Every derivative $f^{(k)}$ so generates $q 1$ unknowns, and as we have nq+1 derivatives, these are $(n q+1)(q \quad 1)=q(1+1) \quad 1$ unknowns. Therefore we need to generate $q$ equations out of each of our $1+1$ equations (16) to arrive at a set of $q(1+1)$ equations, i. e. enough equations to yield the di erential equation searched for.
$T$ his is done by multiplying each of the equations 16) by the factors $x^{p}(p=0 ;::: ; q 1)$ generating the $q(1+1)$ equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1=0 ; 1 ;::: ; 1 ; k=s_{k} q+r_{k} ; s_{k} 2 N_{0} ; r_{k}=0 ; 1 ;:: ; q \quad 1 ; p=0 ; 1 ;:: ; q \quad 1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

of the $q(1+1) \quad 1$ unknowns $x^{j} f^{(k)}(x)$, where we use the abbreviations

$$
Q_{k l p j}(y):=\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(y Q_{k ; i ; q+j p}(y)\right. & \text { if } j=0 ;::: ; p & 1  \tag{18}\\
Q_{k ; i ; j}(y) & \text { if } j=p ;::: ; q & 1
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k l 0 j}(y):=Q_{k l j}(y) \quad(j=0 ; 1 ;::: ; q \quad 1): \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e will show that these unknowns alw ays can be elim inated, therefore arriving at a di erential equation of order ng for $h$.
$W$ e note, that if we di erentiate (13) iteratively, generating $q$ new equations at each step by multiplication with $x^{p}(p=0 ;::: ; q 1)$, and checking at each step if the unknowns can be elim inated, this algorithm obviously results in the di erential equation of low est order valid for $h$.

N ow we show that in the last step the algorithm alw ays succeeds. Therefore we rew rite the equations system (17) using the $q$ q $m$ atrices

$$
A_{k l}(y):=Q_{k l p j}(y)_{p j}:
$$

$W$ ith the aid of these $m$ atrioes each block of equations $w$ ith $x e d l$ can be $w$ ritten as the $m$ atrix equation

Because of (18) and (19) the $m$ atrioes $A_{k 1}(y)$ are of the follow ing special form

From the relations $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{kl}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{f}^{(\mathrm{k})}(\mathrm{x})=0 \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{kl}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}=0}^{\mathrm{m}}{ }_{\mathrm{m}}^{1} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{klm}}\left(\mathrm{x}^{q}\right), \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{l} ; 1}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}) 60$, and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k} 0}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x})$ we see that the m atrix $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{kl}}(\mathrm{y})$ is com pletely determ ined by the polynom ial $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k} 1}(\mathrm{x})$, and we have

$$
A_{n+1 ; 1}(y)=A_{n 0}(y)=: A_{n}(y):
$$

In particular, them atrix $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{y})$ is com pletely determ ined by the nonvanishing coe cient polynom ial $p_{n}(x)$ of $f^{(n)}$ in the original equation (13). W e w ill show now that the $m$ atrix $A_{n}(y)$ is invertible. Let $p_{n}(x)={ }_{j=0}^{\mathbb{P}} a_{j} x^{j} w$ ith $a_{N} \in 0 ; N=s_{N} q+r_{N}\left(s_{N} ; r_{N} 2 N_{0} ; 0 \quad r_{N} \quad q \quad 1\right)$. We have $p_{n}(x)=p_{n 0}(x)=x_{m=0}^{m} Q_{n 0 m}\left(x^{q}\right)$. If we use the notation $Q_{m}(y):=Q_{n 0 m}(y)$, then for $Q_{m}$ the degree relations 14) read
and we have $Q_{r_{N}} 60 . T$ his inform ation leads to the follow ing observations about the degrees of the polynom ialentries of the $m$ atrix $A_{n}$ : The entries of $A_{n}$ for $w$ hich the di erence of colom $n$ index and row index is $\left(r_{N}+1\right)$ (region $I$ ) have degrees $S_{N} \quad 1$, the entries forwhich $0 \quad r_{N}$ (region II) have degrees $S_{N}$, further if $r_{N}+1 \quad 1$ (region III), then the degrees again are $s_{N}$, and nally if $\quad r_{N}$ (region IV), then the degrees are $s_{N}+1$.

A ssum e next that $p_{n}(0) \in 0$, then we get $\not A_{n}(0) j=Q Q_{0}^{q}(0)=p_{n}^{q}(0) \in 0$, and therefore $A_{n}(y)$ is invertible. To obtain the sam e result if $p_{n}(0)=0$, we use our degree observations. By the $W$ eierstra representation of the determ inant $D=j_{j k} j$ of a $q$ q matrix ( $d_{j k}$ )

$$
D=\frac{X}{2 S_{q}} \operatorname{sign} d_{1 ;(1)} d_{2 ;(2)}^{q ;} \mathrm{C}_{(1)}
$$

where the sum is to be taken over all perm utations $2 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{q}}$, we observe that in the W eienstra representation of the determ inant of our $m$ atrix $A_{n}(y)$ the sum $m$ ands
occur. N ow it follow s that

$$
\operatorname{deg} y^{r_{N}} Q Q_{r_{N}}^{q}(y)=q s_{N}+r_{N}=N=\operatorname{deg} p_{n}(x)>0 ;
$$

and for all other sum $m$ ands $P(y)$ using our degree observations we get the relation

$$
\operatorname{deg} P(y)<q s_{N}+r_{N}=N:
$$

Hence the degree of $\lambda_{n}(y) j$ equals $N$, thus $\lambda_{n}(y) j$ cannot be the zero polynom ial, and $A_{n}(y)$ therefore is invertible.
$U$ sing the inverse $A_{n}{ }^{1}$, we can now w rite

U sing the fact that the inhom ogeneous parts of these equations do not vanish, it is now easily established that the unknowns $x^{j} f^{(k)}(x)$ can be elim inated, which nishes the algorithm . 2

A fter these preparations we are ready to state our m ain theorem (com pare [15], 14]).
Theorem 3 Let the two functions $f$ and $g$ be sim ple of order $n$ and $m$, respectively, and let $r$ be rational. Then
(a) $f(x) d x$ is simple of order $n+1$;
(b) $f^{0}$ is simple of order $n$;
(c) $\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{g}$ is simple of order $\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}$;
(d) f $g$ is simple of order nm ;
(e) $f \quad r$ is simple of order $n$;
(土) f $\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{p} ; q 2 \mathbb{Z})$ is simple of order $\mathrm{n} q$ :
P roof: (a) : Let $h={ }^{R} f(x) d x$, and let $f$ satisfy the sim ple di erentialequation (f), then obviously

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~h}^{(\mathrm{k}+1)}=0 ;
$$

and so $h$ is simple of order $n+1$.
(b) : Let $h=f^{0}$, and (2) be valid. If $p_{0} 0$ then (A) is a simple di erential equation valid for $h$, and so $h$ is simple of order $n$. If $p_{0} 60$, how ever, then, dividing by $p_{0}, w e w$ rite (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{f}^{(\mathrm{k})} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith rational functions $r_{k}$. If $w e$ di erentiate the original di erential equation, we get a further di erential equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{XX}^{+1} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{f}^{(\mathrm{k})}=0 \\
& \mathrm{k}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ ith polynom ials $P_{k}(k=0 ;::: ; n+1)$. W e substitute $f$ by 20), and $m$ ultiply by the com $m$ on denom inator to get a simple di erential equation of order $n$ for $h$.
(c) : F irst we give an algebraic argum ent for the existence statem ent. Let $f$ and $g$ satisfy sim ple di erential equations of order $n$ and $m$, respectively. $W$ e consider the linear space $L_{f}$ of functions w ith rational coe cients generated by $f ; f^{0} ; f^{\infty} ;::: ; f^{(k)} ;:::$. As $f ; f^{0} ;::: ; f^{(n)}$ are linearly dependent by (2), and as the sam e conclusion follow sfor $f^{0} ; f^{\infty} ;::: ; f^{(n+1)}$ by di erentiation, and so on inductively, the dim ension of $L_{f}$ is $n . S i m i l a r l y ~ L_{g}$ has dim ension $m$. We now build the sum $L_{f}+L_{g}$ which is ofdim ension $n+m$.Asf+g; $(f+g)^{0} ;::: ;(f+g)^{(k)} ;::$ : are elem ents of $L_{f}+L_{g}$, arbitrary $n+m+1 m$ any of them are linearly dependent. In particular, $f+g$ is sim ple of order $\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}$.
N ow we like to present an algorithm which generates the di erential equation for the sum : W e $m$ ay bring the given di erential equations for $f$ and $g$ into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(n)}=\sum_{j=0}^{1} P_{j} f^{(j)} ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{(m)}=\prod_{k=0}^{n_{X} 1} q_{k} g^{(k)} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith rational functions $p_{j}$, and $q_{k}$ respectively. By iterative di erentiation and recursive substitution of 21), and 22), we generate sets of rules

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(1)}=X_{j=0}^{X^{1}} p_{j}^{1} f^{(j)} \quad(l=n ;::: ; n+m) ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{(1)}={ }_{k=0}^{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{X}}^{1}} q_{k}^{1} g^{(\mathrm{k})} \quad \quad(\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{m} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}) ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith rational functions $p_{j}^{1}$, and $q_{k}^{1}$, respectively. $N$ ow, by iterative di erentiation of the de ning equation $h:=f+g$, we generate the set of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& h=f+g \\
& h^{0}=f^{0}+g^{0} \\
& h^{\infty}=f^{\infty}+g^{\infty}  \tag{25}\\
& \vdots \\
& \vdots \\
& h^{(n+m)}=f^{(n+m)}+g^{(n+m)}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we take the rst $m$ axfn; $\mathrm{m} g$ of these equations, and use the rules (23) and (24) to elim inate all occurrences of $f^{(1)}(1 \quad n)$, and $g^{(1)}(1 \quad m)$. On the right hand sides rem ain the $n+m$ variables $\mathrm{f}^{(1)}(\mathrm{l}=0 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1)$ and $\mathrm{g}^{(1)}(\mathrm{l}=0 ;::: ; \mathrm{m} \quad 1) . \mathrm{W}$ e solve the rem aining linear system ofequations for the variables $h^{(1)}(l=0 ;::: ; m$ axfn $; m g)$ trying to elm inate the variables $f^{(1)}(l=0 ;::: ; n \quad 1)$ and $g^{(1)}(1=0 ;::: ; m \quad 1)$. If this procedure is successful, it generates the simple di erential equation for $h$, searched for.

If the procedure fails, how ever, we go on taking the rst $m$ axfn; $m g+1$ of equations (25), doing the sam em anipulations, and so on, until we take the whole set of equations (25), where the
procedure m ust stop.
(d): This situation is handled in exactly the same way as case (c). The only di erence is that to di erentiate the product $h: f g$ we use the Leibniz rule, and the variables to be elim inated are the $n m$ products $f^{(j)} g^{(k)}(j=0 ;::: ; n \quad 1 ; k=0 ;::: ; m \quad 1)$. It is easily seen that this procedure generates a di erential equation for $h$ of order $n m$.
$T$ hat the algorithm stops is seen by the fact that nally we arrive at a set of $n m+1$ equations w ith nm variables to be elim inated, where all equations actually possess a nonvanishing inhom ogeneous part $h^{(1)}$.


D i erentiating the identity $h=f \quad r$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{0}=f^{0} r{ }^{0} r \quad \text { or } \quad f^{0} r=h^{0}=r^{0} ; \\
h^{\infty}=f^{0} r \alpha_{r+} f^{\infty} r Q_{r}^{\infty} \quad \text { or } \quad f^{\infty} r=\frac{1}{r^{\infty}} h^{\infty} \quad f^{0} \quad r \quad \infty_{r}=\frac{1}{r^{\infty}} \quad h^{\infty} \frac{r^{\infty}}{r^{0}} h^{0} \quad ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and inductively it follow s

$$
h^{(k)}=X_{j=1}^{X^{k}} r_{j} \quad f^{(j)} \quad r \quad\left(r_{j} \text { rational functions }\right):
$$

Solving for $\mathrm{f}^{(k)} \quad \mathrm{r}$ and substituting the previous results we are lead to a representation

$$
f^{(k)} r=X_{j=1}^{X^{k}} R_{j} \quad h^{(j)}
$$

w th rational functions $R_{j}$.
Substituting these results in 26) and multiplying by the com $m$ on denom inator yields a sim ple di erential equation for $h$, and we see that the order of $h$ is $n$.
(f): The statem ent follow s im m ediately by an application of $T$ heorem $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {a }}$ and (e).
$R$ em ark 2 W e rem ark that again our proofs provide algorithm $s$ to generate the di erential equations searched for.

Further we note that by $T$ heorem (1) the functions $1=g$ and $f=g$ in general are not simple, if $f$ and $g$ are, as $\sec x=1=\cos x$ and $\tan x=\sin x=\cos x$ show .
$N$ ote that $T$ heorem $\mathrm{B}^{(\mathrm{f})}$ generalizes T heorem 8.1 in [6].
The follow ing consideration strengthens $T$ heorem 3 (c), and brings it in connection with the findam ental system $s$ of sim ple di erential equations.

C orollary 4 A ssum e, $f$ satis es a simple di erential equation $F$ of order $n$, $g$ satis es a sim ple di erential equation $G$ of order $m$, and $F$ and $G$ do not have any com $m$ on nontrivial solution. $T$ hen the order of any simple di erential equation satis ed by the sum $h:=f+g$ is $n+m$. In particular, $h$ satis es no sim ple di erential equation of order $<n+m$, and exactly one di erential equation $H$ of order $n+m$ which is generated by the algorithm given in Theorem $\mathrm{B}^{3}$ (c).

Proof: Let $f_{1} ; f_{2} ;::: ; f_{n}$ be a fundam entalsystem of the di erentialequation $F$, and $g_{1} ; g_{2} ;::: ; g_{m}$ be a fundam entalsystem of the di erentialequation $G . T$ hen $f_{1} ; f_{2} ;::: ; f_{n}$ are linearly independent (in the usual sense), and $g_{1} ; g_{2} ;::: ; g_{m}$ are linearly independent, as well. Assum e now, the $n+m$ functions $f_{1} ; f_{2} ;:: ; ; f_{n} ; g_{1} ; g_{2} ;::: ; g_{m}$ are linearly dependent. Then there are constants $a_{j}(k=$ $j ;::: ; n)$ and $b_{k}(k=1 ;::: ; m)$, not allequal to zero, such that

From the linear independence of the subsets $f_{1} ; f_{2} ;::: ; f_{n}$, and $g_{1} ; g_{2} ;::: ; g_{m}$ it follow $s$ that at least one of the num bers
$a_{j}(j=1 ;::: ; n)$, and one of the num bers $b_{k}(k=1 ;::: ; m)$ does not vanish. $T$ herefore by the linearity of $F$ and $G$ the functions $f:={ }_{j=1}^{p^{n}} a_{j} f_{j}$ and $g:=p_{k=1}^{p^{n}} b_{k} g_{k}$ are solutions of $F$, and $G$, respectively, which by 27) agree, and we have a contradiction.

Thus we have proved that $f_{1} ; f_{2} ;::: ; f_{n} ; g_{1} ; g_{2} ;::: ; g_{m}$ are linearly independent. As the zero function is a solution of both $F$, and $G$, 辻 tums out that $f_{1} ; f_{2} ;::: ; f_{n} ; g_{1} ; g_{2} ;::: ; g_{m} m$ ust satisfy any linear di erentialequation $H$ for the sum, and so form a fundam entalsystem for $H$. Therefore the order of $H$ is $n+m$.

W e mention that, on the other hand, if the algorithm presented in $T$ heorem 3 (c) generates a di erential equation of order $<\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n}$ for $\mathrm{h}:=\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{g}$, then the simple di erential equations F for
 linearly dependent. W e gain this insight w thout solving any of the di erential equations!

From Theorem 3 (d) a sim ilar statem ent can be obtained for the product $h:=$ fg: If our algorithm generates a di erentialequation oforder $<n \mathrm{~m}$ for h , then the product of the fiundam ental system $S S_{F}$ and $S_{G}$ of $F$ and $G$, i.e. the set
ff $g$ if $2 S_{F} ; g 2 S_{G} g$, is linearly dependent. A gain, we gain this insight w ithout solving any of the di erential equations.

In connection w ith Theorem 3( d ) for the product $\mathrm{h}:=\mathrm{fg}$ we note that for the special case of the square $h:=f^{2}=f \quad f$ the bound for the order of $h$ can be considerably strengthened.
C orollary 5 Let $f$ be simple of order $n$, then $h: f^{2}$ is simple of order $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.
P roof: A carefillstudy of the algorithm given in the proof of $T$ heorem $\mathrm{B}^{(\mathrm{B}}$ (d) show sthat the order cannot exceed $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. This depends on the fact that the variables to be elim inated are the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ di erent products $\mathrm{f}^{(\mathrm{j})} \mathrm{f}^{(\mathrm{k})}(\mathrm{j}=0 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{k}=0 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1)$.

## 3 A lgorithm ic calculation of sim ple di erential equations

Theorem 3 enables us to de ne the linear space $F$ of functions generated by the algebraic functions, $\exp x, \ln x, \sin x, \cos x, \arcsin x, \arctan x,(a n d$, if $w e w i s h, f u r t h e r s p e c i a l$ functions satisfying sim ple di erential equations, and the functions that are constructed by an application of nitely many of the procedures (a) $\{(f)$ of $T$ heorem 3. The theorem then states in particular that $F$ form $s$ a di erential ring.
$T$ he algorithm which generates the sim ple di erential equation of low est order for $f$ given in目] [ 9$]$ is strengthened by T heorem 3.

A lgorithm 1 ( $F$ ind a sim ple di erential equation) Let f 2 F . Then the follow ing procedure generates the sim ple di erential equation of low est order valid for $f$ :
(a) F ind out whether there exists a sim ple di erential equation for f of order $\mathrm{N}:=1$. Therefore di erentiate $f$, and solve the linear equation

$$
\mathrm{f}^{0}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{A}_{0} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=0
$$

for $A_{0}$; i. e. set $A_{0}:=\frac{f^{0}(x)}{f(x)}$. Is $A_{0}$ rational in $x$, then you are done after $m$ ultiplication $w$ ith its denom inator.
(b) Increase the order N of the di erential equation searched for by one. Expand the expression

$$
f^{(\mathbb{N})}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad{ }_{1} \mathrm{f}^{(\mathbb{N}}{ }^{1)}(\mathrm{x})+\quad \text { † } \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{x}) ;
$$

and check, if the sum $m$ ands contain exactly $N$ rationally independent expressions (i. e.: linearly independent over $K[x]$ ) considering the num bers $A_{0} ; A_{1} ;::: ; A_{N} \quad 1$ as constants. Just in that case there exists a solution as follow s: Sort w ith respect to the rationally independent term $s$ and create a system of linear equations by setting their coe cients to zero. Solve this system for the num bers $A_{0} ; A_{1} ;::: ; A_{N} \quad 1$. Those are rational functions in $x$, and there exists a unique solution. A fterm ultiplication by the com $m$ on denom inator of $A_{0} ; A_{1} ;::: ; A_{N} \quad$ you get the di erential equation searched for. Finally cancel com $m$ on factors of the polynom ial coe cients.
(c) If part (b) was not successful, repeat step (b).

P roof: The proof given in [G] show s that the given algorithm indeed generates the sim ple di erential equation of low est order valid for $f$ whenever such a di erential equation exists. Theorem 3 now guarantees the existence of such a di erential equation, and therefore the algorithm does not end in an in nite loop.

Rem ark 3 Obviously f 2 F can be checked by a pattem $m$ atching $m$ echanism applied to the given expression $f$, and $T$ heorem 3 then $m$ oreover gives a prioriestim ates for the possible order of the solution di erential equation.
$W$ e further point out that an actual im plem entation of the algorithm should be able to decide the rational dependency of a set of functions. O therw ise, it $m$ ay fail to nd the sim ple di erential equation of low est order. A $n$ exam ple of this type is given by $f(x):=\sin (2 x) 2 \sin x$ cos $x$, for which the algorithm yields the di erential equation $f^{\infty}+f=0$ rather than $f^{0}=0$ if the rational dependency of $\sin (2 x)$, and $\sin x \cos x$ is not discovered.

In a forthcom ing paper 11] we discuss the m ore general situation of functions $f$ that depend on other special functions not contained in F, like A iry functions, B essel functions and orthogonal polynom ials.

W e m ention that the algorithm s presented in $T$ heorem 3 can be com bined to get the follow ing di erent

A lgorithm 2 ( $F$ ind a sim ple di erential equation) Letbef 2 F . Then recursive application of the algorithm s presented in $T$ heorem 3 generates a sim ple di erential equation for $f$.

Rem ark 4 W e note that, in general, we cannot control the order of the resulting di erential equation as the algorithm uses recursive descent through the expression tree of the given $f$. G iven a sum of two functions or order $n$ and $m$, however, it is easily seen that the order of the resulting di erentialequation is the low est possible orderwhich is $m$ axfn;m $g$. Sow ith the given algorithm, it is principally im possible to derive any di erentialequation oforder low er than tw o for the exam ple function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}):=\sin (2 \mathrm{x}) \quad 2 \sin \mathrm{x} \cos \mathrm{x}$ as the lowest order di erential equations for the sum $m$ and functions $\sin (2 x)$, and $\sin x \cos x$ are of order two.
$T$ his can be interpreted as follow $s$ : By construction, for a sum $f:=f_{1}+f_{2}$ a di erentialequation is obtained that is valid not only for $f_{1}+f_{2}$, but for any linear combination ${ }_{1} f_{1}+f_{2}$ or $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$. In other words, this subalgorithm generates a di erential equation for the linear hull of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$.
through the quotient

## 4 Sharpness of the orders

In this section we give exam ples that show that the bounds for the least orders that are given in $T$ heorem s 2 级, and in C orollary 5 in fact $m$ ay be assum ed.

First we consider the statem ent of C orollary 5. W e get
Theorem 4 For each n 2 N there is a function $f_{n}$ that is simple of order $n$, such that $h:=f_{n}^{2}$ is sim ple of order $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. Indeed, an exam ple function of that type is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{x^{n}} e^{x^{k}}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

P roof: $W$ e show that $f_{n}$, given by 28$)$, is sim ple of order $n$, and that $f_{n}^{2}$ is sim ple of order $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.
As each function $e^{\mathrm{x}^{k}}(\mathrm{k}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n})$ is sim ple of order 1 (satisfying the di erential equation $f^{0} \quad k^{k}{ }^{1} f=0$ ), by an inductive application of $T$ heorem 3 (c), we see that $f_{n}$ is sim ple of order
$n$. To show that $f_{n}$ is simple of order $n$, we rst show that $e^{x^{k}}(k=1 ;::: ; n)$ are rationally independent. Suppose, any linear com bination

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1} e^{x}+r_{2} e^{x^{2}}+\quad \text { dex } x^{n}=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith rational functions $r_{k}(k=1 ;:: ; i n)$ representing zero is given. From 29) we get, assum ing $r_{k} 60$

$$
r_{k} e^{x^{k}}=x_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{x^{n}} r_{j} e^{x^{j}} ;
$$

and dividing by $r_{k} e^{\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{k}}}$, we get

$$
I=\underbrace{X^{n}}_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \notin k}} R_{j} e^{x^{j}} x^{k}
$$

$w$ ith rational functions $R_{j}(j=1 ;::: ; n)$. For $k=n$, we get by taking the lim it $x!1$, along the positive realaxis

$$
1=\lim _{x!1^{1}}^{x_{j=1}^{1}} R_{j} e^{x^{j}} x^{n}=0 ;
$$

a contradiction to the assum ption $r_{n} 60$. For $k<n$, the right hand lim it

$$
\lim _{x!1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \notin k}}^{X^{n}} R_{j} e^{x^{j}} x^{k}
$$

does not exist, whereas the left hand side equals 1 , a contradiction to the assum ption $r_{k} 60$. Therefore $r_{k} \quad 0(k=1 ;::: ; n)$, and $e^{x^{k}}(k=1 ;::: ; n)$ are rationally independent.

From this it follow s that applying $A$ lgorithm 1 to $f_{n}$, in each step $n$ rationally independent are involved (the derivative of $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{k}}}$ is of the sam e type), so that the algorithm can be successful not earlier than in the nth step. A s th is algorithm alw ays nds the simple di erential equaton of least order, the order of $f_{n}$ is $n$.
$N$ ext we consider the fiunction $f_{n}^{2}$. Expanding $f_{n}^{2}$ we get the representation

$$
f_{n}^{2}(x)=e^{2 x}+e^{2 x^{2}}+\quad 2 x^{n} e+2 e^{x} e^{x^{2}}+\quad+x^{n} e^{1} e^{x^{n}}
$$

consisting of $n+\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 2\end{aligned}=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ sum $m$ ands. A $n$ application of $T$ heorem 3 (c), again, show sthat $f_{n}^{2}$ is sim ple of order $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, as each sum $m$ and $f:=e^{x^{j}} e^{x^{k}}$ satis es the sim ple di erential equation

$$
f^{0} \quad 2\left(j x^{j}+k x^{k}\right) f=0
$$

of order 1. Sim ilarly as above one realizes that $e^{x^{j}} e^{x^{k}}(j ; k=1 ;::: ; n(j \in k))$ are rationally independent, and therefore by an application of A lgorithm $11 w e$ conclude that $f_{n}^{2}$ is sim ple of order $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}, ~ n i s h i n g ~ t h e ~ p r o o f . ~$
N ext we consider the statem ent of $T$ heorem 2. W. We get
Theorem 5 For each $n ; q 2 N$ there is a function $f_{n q}$ that is simple of order $n$, such that $h:=$ $\mathrm{f} \mathrm{x}^{1=q}$ is sim ple of order $\mathrm{n} q$. Indeed, an exam ple function of that type is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{nq}}(\mathrm{x}):=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} e^{\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{kq}+1}}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

P roof: Everything follows as in Theorem 4, if we show that

$$
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}):=e^{\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{kq+1}}} \quad(\mathrm{k}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{~N})
$$

is sim ple of order 1, whereas

$$
h(x):=e^{x^{k+1=q}} \quad(k=1 ;::: ; n ; q 2 N)
$$

is sim ple of order $q$. T he rst statem ent $w$ as already proven so that it rem ains to prove the second statem ent. This, how ever, tums out to be a consequence, of Lem m a 1 (a). W e start with one
rationally independent function, nam ely $h$ itself. A $s h(x)=f\left(x^{1=q}\right)$, Lem $m$ a 1 (a) show $s$ that in the rth di erentiation step ( $r$ q) for $h$ the new sum $m$ and

$$
x^{r=q} f^{(r)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)
$$

is introduced. By a sim ilar argum ent as in $T$ heorem 4 one sees that for each $r$ $q \quad 1$ the functions involved functions

$$
{ }^{n} h(x) ; x^{1=q} f^{0}\left(x^{1=q}\right) ;::: ; x^{r=q} f^{(r)}\left(x^{1=q}\right)^{0}
$$

are rationally independent.
In the qth step, how ever, by (5), $h^{(q)}$ rationally depends on $h ; h^{0} ;:::$; $^{(q)}{ }^{(1)}$, so that an arbitrary linear combination of $h ; h^{0} ;:: h^{(q)}$ contains exactly $q$ rationally independent term $s$, show ing that $h$ is of order $q$.
$F$ inally we consider the statem ents of $T$ heorem $\begin{aligned} & \text { B. }\end{aligned} \mathrm{W}$ e get
Theorem 6 The statem ents of $T$ heorem 3 are sharp which is seen by the follow ing exam ple functions:
(a) For each $n$ the function $f_{n}$ given by (28) is sim ple of order $n$, and ${ }^{R} f_{n}$ is sim ple of order $n+1$.
(b) For each $n$ the function $f_{n}$ given by (28) is sim ple of order $n$, and $f_{n}^{0}$ is sim ple of order $n$, too.
(c) For each $n ; m 2 N$ there are functions $f$ and $g$ that are simple of order $n$, and $m$, respectively, such that $h:=f+g$ is simple of order $n+m$. Indeed, exam ple functions of that type are

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x):=x_{k=1}^{x^{n}} e^{x^{k}} \quad \text { and } \quad g(x):=\sum_{k=n+1}^{n x^{m}} e^{x^{k}}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) For each $n ; m 2 N$ there are functions $f$ and $g$ that are sim ple of order $n$, and $m$, respectively, such that $h:=f g$ is sim ple of order $n m$. Indeed, exam ple functions of that type are given by 31).
(e) For each $n$ the function $f_{n}$ given by (2G) is sim ple of order $n$, and for each rational function $r$ the function $f \quad r$ is simple of order $n$, too.
( $£$ ) For each $n$ the function $f_{n q}$ given by (30) is sim ple of order $n$, and for each $p 2 N$ the function f $\quad \mathrm{x}^{=q}$ is sim ple of order $\mathrm{n} q$.
P roof: (a) By Theorem $4 f_{n}$ is sim ple of order $n$. A s the antiderivative of $f_{n}$ is not an elem entary function, it is rationally independent, and so its order is $n+1$.
(b) A $s$ the derivatives of each sum $m$ and of $f_{n}$ depend rationally on $f_{n}$, by the linearity the order of $f_{n}^{0}$ equals $n$, too.
(c) In Theorem 4 we already proved that $f$ is sim ple of order $n$, and that $f+g$ is sim ple of order $n+m$. A sim ilar argum ent show $s$ that $g$ is sim ple of order $m$, and we are done.
(d) If we expand the function $f g$, we get

$$
f(x) g(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{x^{n}} e^{x^{k}}{ }_{k=n+1}^{n x^{+m}} e^{x^{k}}=X_{k=1 j=1}^{x^{n} x^{n}} e^{x^{k}} e^{x^{j+n}}
$$

$w$ th $n m$ rationally independent expressions. Thus the order of $f g$ is $n m$.
(e) By the argum entation of $T$ heorem 4 one sees that $f_{n} r$ has order $n$.
( $£$ ) T his follow s from (e) and T heorem 5 .
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