PELCZYNSKI'S PROPERTY (V) ON SPACES OF VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTIONS

NARCISSE RANDRIANANTOANINA

Prelim inary Version

Abstract. Let E be a separable Banach space and be a compact Hausdor space. It is shown that the space C (; E) has property (V) if and only if E does. Similar result is also given for Bochner spaces L^p (; E) if 1 and is a nite Borelm easure on .

1. Introduction

Let E and F be Banach spaces and suppose T : E ! F is a bounded linear operator. The operator T is said to be unconditionally converging if T does not x any copy of c_0 . A Banach space E is said to have Pelczynski's property (V) if every unconditionally converging operator with domain E is weakly compact. In a fundam ental paper [14], Pelczynski showed that if is a compact Hausdor space then the space C (), of all continuous scalar valued functions on , has property (V); and he asked ([14] Remark 1, p. 645; see also [9] p. 183) if for a Banach space E the abstract continuous function space C (; E) has property (V). This question has been considered by several authors. Perhaps the sharpest result so far is in the paper of Cembranos, Kalton, E. Saab and P. Saab [5] where they proved that if E has property (U) and contains no copy of '1 then C (; E) has property (V). There are however many known examples of Banach spaces that have property (V) but fail to satisfy the above conditions. For instance, K isliakov in [12] (see also D ealban [7] independently) showed that the disk algebra has property (V); Bourgain did the same for ball algebras and polydisk algebras in [4] and H 1 in [3]. For more inform ation and examples of spaces with property (V), we refer to [11] and [17].

In this note, we obtain a positive answer to the above question for the separable case; namely we prove that if E is a separable Banach space then C (;E) has property (V) if and only if E does. We present also some applications of the main theorem to Banach spaces of compact operators as well as for Bochner function spaces.

Our notation is standard B anach space term inology as may be found in the books [8] and [9].

2. Definitions and some preliminary results

Denition 1. Let E be a Banach space. A series $\sum_{n=1}^{p} x_n$ in E is said to be weakly unconditionally Cauchy (W UC) if for every x in E, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{p} j_x(x_n)j$ is convergent.

There are many criteria for a series to be a W UC series (see for instance [8]). The following proposition was proved by Pelczynski in [14].

Proposition 1. For a Banach space E, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) A subset H E is relatively weakly compact whenever $\lim_{n \ge 1} \sup_{x \ge H} x_n$ in E;
- (ii) For any Banach space F, every bounded operator T:E! F that is unconditionally converging is weakly compact.

Denition 2. A subset H E is called a (V)-subset if $\lim_{n \mid 1} \sup_{x \mid 2H} j_x$ $(x_n) j = 0$ for every W UC series $\sum_{n=1}^{p} x_n$ in E.

So a Banach space E has property (V) if (and only if) every (V)-subset of E is relatively weakly compact. This leads us to study (V)-subsets of the dual of C (; E) for a given Banach space E and a compact Hausdor space .

Recall that the space C (;E) is isometrically isomorphic to the Banach space M (;E) of all weak*-regular E -valued measures of bounded variation dened on the -eld of Borel subsets of and equipped with norm km $k=jm\ j()$, where $jm\ j$ is the variation of m. In this section we study dierent structures of subsets of M (;E).

Let us begin by recalling some classical facts: Fix a probability measure on and let m 2 M (;E) with jmj and be a lifting of \mathbb{L}^1 () (see [10] and [20]). For x 2 E, the scalar measure xom has density dxom=d 2 \mathbb{L}^1 (). We dene (m)(!)(x) = (dxom=d)(!). It is well known that

and

for every m easurable subset A of . Note also that ! 7 (m)(!)(! E) is weak*-scalarly m easurable.

The following proposition can be deduced from [2] but we will present a direct proof for sake of completeness.

Proposition 2. Let H be a bounded subset of M (; E). If H is a (V)-subset then $V(H) = f_{jn} j_{m} 2 H g$ is relatively weakly compact in M ().

Proof. Assume that V (H) is not relatively weakly compact. Since the space C () has property (V), there exists a W U C series $p = e_n$ in C (), sequence $(m_n)_n$ in H and "> 0 so that he_n ; $p = p_n$ in C (), there exists a weak *-scalarly m easurable map $p = p_n$: ! E so that:

- (a) kg_n ()k = 2 $\frac{1}{L}$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} j(A) = \frac{R}{A} kg_n$ (!)k = 0 (!)k = 0 for all A = 0
- (b) $he; m_n (A) i = {R \atop A} he; g_n (!) id (!) for e 2 E and A 2 ;$
- (c) $(g_n) = g_n$.

Now since C (;E) is norming for M (;E), there exists $_n$ 2 C (;E), with $k_n k = 1$ and such that $h_n ; m_n i k m_n k$ "=2; i.e.,

or

Z
$$h_n(!;g_n(!)id(!))$$
 $kg_n(!)kd(!)$... $\frac{n}{2}$:

Notice also that since k_n (!)k 1, h_n (!); g_n (!)i kg (!)k and we get that z

$$\begin{array}{lll} e_{n}\;(!\;)_{n}\;(!\;)\;dm_{n}\;(!\;) & e_{n}\;(!\;)\;djm_{n}\;j(!\;) \\ & Z \\ & = & e_{n}\;(!\;)\;(h_{n}\;(!\;);g_{n}\;(!\;)i\quad kg_{i}\;(!\;)k)\;d\quad(!\;) \\ & Z & Z \\ & kg_{n}\;(!\;)kd\;(!\;) & h_{n}\;(!\;);g_{n}\;(!\;)id\;(!\;) \\ & Z & Z \\ & kg_{n}\;(!\;)kd\;(!\;) & kg_{n}\;(!\;)kd\;(!\;) & \frac{"}{2}\;=\frac{"}{2}\;: \end{array}$$

So for each n 2 N,

Z
$$e_n(!)_n(!)dm_n > \frac{\pi}{2}$$
:

Fix $_n = e_n$ (), (); the function $_n$ belongs to C (;E) and we claim that $_{_{n=1}}^{p}$ $_n$ is a W UC series in C (;E). For that it is enough to notice that for any nite subset of N, we get

$$X$$
 $e_n (!)_n (!)_E = \sup_{kx \ k \ 1 \ n2} X$
 $e_n (!)h_n (!);x i$
 $C \text{ (for som e constant C)}.$

Now h_n ; m_n i "=2,8 n 2 N. Contradiction with the assumption that H is a (V)-subset. \square

For the next proposition, we will use the following notation: for a given m easure m 2 M (;E) and A 2, m A denotes the measure (! E) given by m A (B) = m (A \ B) for any B 2.

Proposition 3. Let H be a (V)-subset of M (;E) and $(A_m)_{m \ 2H}$ | a collection of measurable subsets of . Then the subset fm A_m ; m 2 H g is a (V)-subset of M (;E).

Proof. Assume that H is a (V)-subset of M (;E). By Proposition 3, V (H) is relatively weakly compact in M (). Let be a control measure for V (H). Fix a sequence $(m_{n-A_{m_n}})_{n2N}$ in fm $_{A_m}$; m 2 H g. We need to show that the countable subset fm $_{n-A_{m_n}}$; n 2 Ng is a (V)-subset. Let $_{n=1}^p$ fn be a W UC series in C (;E) with sup kfnk 1. For "> 0 (xed), there exists > 0 such that if A 2 , (A) < then jm j(A) < "=2,8 m 2 H; for each n 2 N, choose a compact set C_n and an open set O_n such that C_n A_m O_n and O_n n C_n < . Fix a continuous

function g_n : ! [0;1] with g_n (C_n) = 1 and g_n (nO $_n$) = 0 and let $_n$ = $g_n f_n$. It is not discult to see that $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$! if $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$! if $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$! if $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$! if $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$! if $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$! if $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$! if $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$! if $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$! if $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$! if $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$! if $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$! if $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$! if $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$! if $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$! if $_n$ is a W UC series and hence $_n$!

$$jm_{n A_{mn}}; f_{n} ij = f_{n A_{mn}} dm_{n}$$

$$z$$

$$= f_{n} dm_{n}$$

$$z^{A_{mn}} z$$

$$f_{n} dm_{n} + f_{n} dm_{n}$$

$$z^{C_{n}} z^{A_{mn} nC_{n}} z$$

$$f_{n} dm_{n} + f_{n} dm_{n} + f_{n} dm_{n}$$

$$z^{C_{n}} z^{A_{mn} nC_{n}} z$$

$$f_{n} dm_{n} + f_{n} dm_{n} + f_{n} dm_{n} + f_{n} dm_{n}$$

$$f_{n}; m_{n} ij + jm_{n} j(O_{n} nC_{n}) + jm_{n} j(A_{mn} nC_{n})$$

$$f_{n}; m_{n} ij + 2jm_{n} j(O_{n} nC_{n})$$

$$f_{n}; m_{n} ij + ":$$

This implies that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{n \to \infty} \mathfrak{f}_n$ if and since " is arbitrary, we conclude that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathfrak{f}_n$ if \mathfrak{f}_n i

If we denote by M 1 (;E) the set fm 2 M 2 (;E); jm 2 g then we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. Let H be a (V)-subset of M (;E) and consider the control measure of V (H). For "> 0 xed, there exists N 2 N and H $_{"}$ a (V)-subset of M (;E) with H $_{"}$ N M $_{"}^{1}$ (;E) so that H $_{"}$ + "B (where B denotes the closed unit ball of M (;E).

Proof. Let g_m : ! R $_+$ be the density of jn jw ith respect to . Z $\lim_{N~!~1~~f!~:g_m~(!~)>~N~g}g_m~(!~)d~(!~)=~0~~un~iform~ly~on~~H~~:$

Choose N 2 N so that

$$g_m$$
 (!)d (!) < " f! g_m (!)>N g

and let $A_m = f!$; g_m (!) Ng. It is clear that $H_m = fm_{A_m}$; m = 2 H g is a subset of NM 1 (;E) and is a (V)-subset by Proposition 4. A lso each measure m in H satis es $m = m_{A_m} + m_{A_m}$ with $m_{A_m} k < m$.

Our next proposition can be viewed as a generalization of Theorem 1 of [15] for sequences of weak*-scalarly measurable maps. We denote by (e_n) the unit vector basis of c_0 , (;;) a probability space and for any Banach space F, F₁ stands for the closed unit ball of F.

Proposition 4. Let Z be a separable subspace of a realBanach space E and $(f_n)_n$ be a sequence of maps from to E that are weak*-scalarly measurable with $\sup kf_n k_1$ 1. Let a; b be real numbers with a < b then:

There exist a sequence g_n 2 convff $_n$; f_{n+1} ;:::g, m easurable subsets C and L of with (C [L) = 1 such that)

(i) ! 2 C and T 2 L $(c_0; Z)_1$ then either

(ii) ! 2 L, there exists k 2 N so that for each in nite sequence of zeros and ones, there exists T 2 L $(c_0; Z)_1$ such that for n k,

$$m_n = 1 = 1$$
 $m_n (!); Te_n i$ $m_n = 0 = 1$ $m_n (!); Te_n i$ $m_n = 0 = 1$

The proof is a further extension of the techniques used in [19] and [15]. We will begin by introducing some notations, some of which were already used in [19] and [15].

Let f_n : ! E be a sequence as in the statement of the proposition. We write $u \quad \text{f (or } (u_n) \quad (f_n)) \text{ if there exists } k \text{ 2 N and } p_1 < q_1 < p_2 < q_2 < \\ q_n < \\ \text{so that for } n \quad k,$

$$u_n = \sum_{i=p_n}^{X^{i_n}} {}_if_i \quad \text{; with} \quad {}_i2 \quad \text{[0;1] and} \quad \sum_{i=p_n}^{X^{i_n}} {}_i = 1 \text{ :}$$

Consider L $(c_0; Z)_1$ the closed unit ballof L $(c_0; Z)$ with the strong operator topology. It is not dicult to see (using the fact that Z is separable) that L $(c_0; Z)_1$ is a Polish space; in particular it has a countable basis $(O_n)_n$. Since L $(c_0; Z)_1$ is a metric space, we can assume that the O_n 's are open balls.

The letter K will stand for the set of all (strongly) closed subsets of L (c_0 ; Z)₁.

We will say that ! 7 K (!)(! K) is measurable if the set f! : K (!) \ O n 6 ; g is a measurable subset of for every n 2 N .

Let $h_n = \sum_{i=p_n}^{p_n} {}_i f_i \text{ with } \sum_{i=p_n}^{p_n} {}_i = 1$, i = 0 and $p_i < q_1 < p_2 < q_2 < id>V be an open subset of L <math>(c_0; Z)_1$ and ! 7 K (!) a xed measurable map, we set

(1)
$$\overline{h_n} (!) = \sup_{k = q_n} \operatorname{supfhh}_n (!); Te_k i; T 2 V \setminus K (!)g$$

(2)
$$(h) (!) = \lim_{n \mid 1} \sup_{n} \overline{h}_n (!)$$

Notice that the de nition of \overline{h}_n depends on the representation of h_n as a block convex combination of f_n 's. Sim ilarly we set

(3)
$$\widehat{h}_{n} (!) = \inf_{k \in q_{n}} \inf fhh_{n} (!); Te_{k}i; T 2 V \setminus K (!)g$$

(4)
$$\text{ '(h)(!)} = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{n} \widehat{h}_{n} \text{ (!)} :$$

The proof of the following lemma is just a notational adjustment of the proof of Lemma 2 of [15].

Lem m a 1. There exists
$$(g_n)$$
 (f_n) such that if (h_n) (g_n) then
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} k (g) \quad \overline{h}_n k_1 = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} k'(g) \quad \overline{h}_n k_1 = 0.$$

M ain construction:

Fix a < b and let be the rst uncountable ordinal. Set $h_h^0=f_n$, we construct as in [15] for < , sequences $h=(h_n)_n$, measurable maps K : ! K with the following properties:

(5) for
$$< < ; h h ;$$

For < and h f with $h_n = \frac{p_n}{p_n} \ _i f_i$ we de ne

(6)
$$\overline{h}_{n,;';} (!) = \sup_{k \in g_{n}} \sup fh_{n} (!); Te_{k}i; T 2 0 \cdot \setminus K (!)g$$

$$\cdot, (h) (!) = \lim_{n!} \sup_{1} \overline{h}_{n;';} (!)$$

$$\widehat{h}_{n,;';} (!) = \inf_{k \in g_{n}} \inf fh_{n} (!); Te_{k}i; T 2 0 \cdot \setminus K (!)g$$

$$'\cdot, (h) (!) = \lim_{n!} \inf \widehat{h}_{n;';} (!) :$$

Then for each of the form + 1 and each h h, we have

$$\lim_{n \to 1} k_{1}, (h_{1}) \overline{h}_{n}, k_{1} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to 1} k'$$
; (h) \hat{h}_n ;; $k_1 = 0$:

If is limit, we set

(7)
$$K (!) = K (!) :$$

If = +1

(8) K (!) =
$$fT 2 K$$
 (!); $T 2 O \cdot$) , (h)(!) b; ', (h)(!) ag:

The construction is done in the same manner as in [15] and is a direct application of Lemma 1.

As in [15], one can x an ordinal < such that for a.e. ! 2 , K (!) = K $_{+1}$ (!):

Let $h = h^{+1}$,

$$C = f! : K (!) = ;g \text{ and}$$

 $M = f! : K (!) = K_{+1} (!) \in ;g:$

Clearly C and M are measurable and (C [L) = 1.

The next lem m a is the analogue of Lem m a 4 of [15].

Lem m a 2. Let ! 2 C and T 2 L $(c_0; Z)_1$. If u h then either

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{n \mid 1}{\text{lim}} \; \underset{n \mid 1}{\text{suph}} u_n \; (! \;) \text{;} T \, e_n \, \text{i} & \text{b or} \\ \underset{n \mid 1}{\text{lim}} \; \underset{n \mid 1}{\text{in}} \; \text{fh} u_n \; (! \;) \text{;} T \, e_n \, \text{i} & \text{a} \end{array}$$

Proof. Let ! 2 C, T 2 L $(c_0; Z)_1$ and x u h f (say u = $\sum_{j=a_n}^{p_n} jf_j$); let S : c_0 ! c_0 be an operator de ned as follows $Se_{b_n} = e_n$ and $Se_j = 0$ if $j \in b_n$, n 2 N. The operator S is obviously bounded linear with kSk = 1. So T S 2 L $(c_0; Z)_1 = K_0$ (!). Since T S $\geq K$ (!), there exists a least ordinal for which T S $\geq K$ (!). The ordinal cannot be a lim it so = +1 and T S 2 K (!). By

the de nition of K (), there exists 2 N w ith T 3 S 2 Obut either 3 ; (h)(!) bor 4 ; (h)(!) a. Now since u h, we get that either

or

$$\lim_{n \to 1} \inf_{n \to 1} \operatorname{flu}_n(!); Te_n i \quad \text{`} \cdot ; (u)(!) \quad \cdot ; (h)(!) \quad a:$$

The lem mais proved. \square

The following property of the measurable subset M is somewhat stronger than that obtain in Lemma 5 of [15] and is the main adjustment of the entire proof.

Lem m a 3. There exists a subsequence (n (i)) of integers such that for a.e.! 2 M, if is an in nite sequence of zeros and ones then there exists an operator T 2 L (c_0 ; Z)₁ (which may depend on ! and) such that:

$$h_{n(i)} = 1 = 0$$
 $h_{n(i)} (!); Te_{i}i$ $h_{n(i)} (!); Te_{i}i$

Proof. Let us denote by F the set of nite sequences of zeros and ones and F 1 the set of in nite sequences of zeros and ones. For s 2 F , js jw ill denote the length of s. Let $s = (s_1; \ldots; s_n)$ and $r = (r_1; \ldots; r_m)$ with n = m. We say that s < r if $s = r_i$ for i = m. Let us $x = r_i$ as block convex combination of (f_n) :

$$h_n = \int_{i=p_n}^{x_n} f_i :$$

We will construct sequences of integers n (i) and m (i); measurable sets B $_{\rm i}$ M and measurable maps Q (s;): M! N (for s 2 F) such that:

(9)
$$q_{n (1)} < m (1) < q_{n (2)} < m_2 < n (5) q m (i) < 3$$

(10)
$$8 s 2 F ; supfQ (s;!);! 2 M q < 1 ;$$

(11)
$$(M nB_i) 2^i;$$

(12) For all s 2 F; diam
$$(O_{Q(s;!)})$$
 $\frac{1}{p_j}$;

(14)
$$8!2M;s2F;K(!)\setminus O_{Q(s;!)} \in ;;$$

(15)
$$8 \text{ s 2 F ; 8 i } p = \text{jsj; 8 ! 2} \quad B_{j};$$

$$s_{i} = 1 =) \quad 8 \text{ T 2 O}_{Q(s;!)}; \quad \sup_{q_{n(i)}} h_{n(i)}(!); Te_{k}i \quad b$$

$$s_{i} = 0 =) \quad 8 \text{ T 2 O}_{Q(s;!)}; \quad \inf_{q_{n(i)}} h_{n(i)}(!); Te_{k}i \quad a :$$

The construction is done in a sim ilar fashion as in [15]; the only dierence is on the selection of the measurable map Q(s;): ! N so that (12) is satisfied. For that we consider instead of N, the subset M N de ned by

$$M = k 2 N ; diam O_k \frac{1}{j_{5j}}$$

and since N and M are equipped with the discrete topology, we can replace N by M and use the same argument to get Q (s;):! M [f0g.

To complete the proof, let $L={S\atop k}{T\atop i}{B\atop k}B_i$. It is clear that $(M\ n\,L)=0$. Fix ! 2 ${T\atop i}{B\atop i}{B\atop i}$ and 2 ${F\atop i}{T\atop i}$, denote $=(i)_{i2\,N}$. Let

$$^{(m)} = (_1; :::;_m) 2 F ; 8 m 2 N ;$$

By (15), we get that form 2 N and 8 i $\,$ m ; 8 ! 2 $^{\rm T}_{\rm i \ j \ m}$ B_j,

$$\begin{array}{l} _{i}=\text{ 1 =)} & \text{ 8 T 2 O}_{Q\text{ ((m)};!)}\text{ ; } \sup_{q_{n\text{ (i)}}} h_{n\text{ (i)}}\text{ (!); } T\text{ } e_{k}\text{ i } & \text{ b} \\ \\ _{i}=\text{ 0 =)} & \text{ 8 T 2 O}_{Q\text{ ((m)};!)}\text{ ; } \inf_{q_{n\text{ (i)}}} h_{n\text{ (i)}}\text{ (!; } T\text{ } e_{k}\text{ i } & \text{ a :} \end{array}$$

It is easy to check that the same conclusion holds for T $2 \overline{O}_{Q(m);!}$ (the closure of $O_{Q(m);!}$) for the strong operator topology). So if we let $A = \frac{T}{m_{2N}} \overline{O}_{Q(m);!}$, A Θ ; in fact $(\overline{O}_{Q(m);!})_{m_{2N}}$ is a nested sequence of nonempty closed sets (by (13)) of a complete metric space and such that diam $(\overline{O}_{Q(m);!})$! 0 (m! 1) (by (12)) so $A \Theta$; (see for instance [13] p. 270).

It is now clear that if ! $2^{T}_{i k} B_{i}$ and T 2 A, for i k,

$$\begin{array}{lll} & & & \sup_{q_{n\;(i)}} \; \sup_{k \; m \;(i)} \; hh_{n\;(i)}\;(!\;); T\; e_{k}\; i & b \\ \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\$$

We complete the proof as in [15]: choose k(i) 2 $[q_{h(i)}; m(i)]$ such that

$$\sup_{q_{n(i)}} \ \, h_{n(i)} \, \, (!); T \, e_{k} \, i = \, h_{n(i)} \, (!); T \, e_{k(i)} \, i$$

for $_{i} = 1$ and

$$\inf_{q_{n\;(i)}} \inf_{k \; m \;(i)} hh_{n\;(i)}\;(!\;); T\;e_{k}\;i = \; hh_{n\;(i)}\;(!\;); T\;e_{k\;(i)}\;i$$

for $_i$ = 0. The sequence (k (i)) is an increasing sequence by (9) so one can construct an operator $S:c_0$! c_0 with $Se_i=e_{k(i)}$ 8 i.2 N and it is now clear that if

$$h_{n(i)}(!);T$$
 Sei b
 $h_{n(i)}(!);T$ Sei a:

The proof of the lem m a is complete. For the proposition, we take $g_i = h_{n\;(i)}$ for i.2 N. \Box

3. Main Theorem

Theorem 1. Let E be a separable Banach space and be a compact Hausdor space. Then the space C (; E) has property (V) if and only if E has property (V).

Proof. If C (;E) has property (V), then the space E has property (V) since E is isom orphic to a complemented subspace of C (;E). Conversely, assume that E has property (V). Let H be a (V)-subset of M (;E). Our goal is to show that H is relatively weakly compact. Using Corollary 1, one can assume without loss of generality that there exists a probability measure on such that jm j for each m 2 H. Observe that if E has property (V), then E is weakly sequentially complete and thus M (;E) is weakly sequentially complete as shown in [19] (Theorem 17). If H is not relatively weakly compact, then it contains a sequence $(m_n)_n$ that is equivalent to the n_1 -basis. By Theorem 14 of [19], there exists

 m_n^0 2 convfm $_n$; m_{n+1} ;:::g and 0 , (0) > 0 so that for ! 2 0 , there exists '2 N such that ($(m_n^0)(!))_n$ · is equivalent to the 'basis in E . Let

$$f_n = (m_n^0)(!) \circ (!); \quad n \ge N :$$

 $(f_n)_n$ is a sequence of weak*-scalarly measurable maps and $\sup_n k f_n k_1 < 1$.

P roposition 5. There exist a sequence g_n 2 convff $_n$; f_{n+1} ; ::: g_n , a positive number and a strongly measurable map T: ! L (c_0 ; E) $_1$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to 1} \inf \ hg_n (!); T (!)e_n i :$$

For the proof of the proposition, let $(a(k);b(k))_{k2N}$ be an enum eration of all pairs of rationals with a < b. By induction, we construct sequences (g^k) , measurable sets C_k , L_k of satisfying the following:

- (i) g^{k+1} g^k for each $k \ 2 \ N$;
- (ii) C_{k+1} C_k , L_k L_{k+1} , $(C_k [L_k) = 1$
- (iii) $8!2C_k$, 8j k, and $T2L(g;E)_1$, then either

$$\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n^j(!); Te_n i \quad b(k)$$

$$\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n^j(!); Te_n i \quad a(k);$$

(iv) 8 ! 2 L_k , there exists j 2 N such that for each in nite sequence of zeros and ones, there exists T 2 L (C_0 ; E)₁ such that if n j

$$m_n = 1$$
) $m_n^k(!); Te_n i$ $m_n(k)$ or $m_n = 0$) $m_n^k(!); Te_n i$ $m_n(k)$:

This is just an application of Proposition 4 inductively starting from $g^0=f$.

Let P = fk 2 N; b(k) > 0g and N = fk 2 N; a(k) < 0g. It is clear that N = N [P.Consider C = $_k^T$ C and L = $_k^S$ L b; we have (C [L) = 1.

Case 1: (L) > 0

Since $L = {S \atop k} L_k$, there exists $k \ge N$ such that $(L_k) > 0$. Let $(g_n) = (g_n^k)$. If $k \ge P$ (i.e., b(k) > 0) x = (1;1;1;:::). For each $! \ge L_k$, there exists $T \ge L (c_0;E)_1$ such that $hg_n(!);Te_ni$ b(k) 8 n j. We can choose the above operator measurably using the following lem m a:

Lem m a 4. There exists a strongly measurable map T: ! L(c_0 ; E)₁ such that:

- () $T(!) = 08! \ge L_k$;
- () ! 2 L_k , there exists j 2 N such that if n j, hg (!); T (!) e_n i b(k).

To see the lem ma, consider L $(c_0; E)_1$ with the strong operator topology and E_1 with the weak*-topology. The space E_1 is a compact metric space and hence is a Polish space. The space E_1^N L $(g; E)_1$ equipped with the product topology is a Polish space. Let A be the following subset of E_1^N L $(g; E)_1$:

$$f(x_n)$$
; $Tg2A$, $8j2N$; hx_n ; Te_ni $b(k)8n$ j ;

The set A is clearly a Borel subset of E $^{\rm N}$ L (G; E) $_1$ and if : E $_1$ $^{\rm N}$ L (G; E) $_1$! E $_1$ $^{\rm N}$ is the rst projection, (A) is an analytic subset of E $_1$ $^{\rm N}$. By Theorem 8.5.3 of [6], there exists a universally measurable map : (A)! L (C $_0$; E) $_1$ such that the graph of is a subset of A. Notice that if! 2 L $_{\rm k}$, ($_{\rm S}$, ($_{\rm S}$) $_1$ = (A). We do no

$$T(!) = \begin{pmatrix} (g_n(!))_{n-1} & \text{if } ! \ 2 \ L_k \\ 0 & \text{otherw ise.} \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to check that T satis es all the requirem ents of the $\operatorname{lem} m$ a. The $\operatorname{lem} m$ a is proved.

Back to the proof of the proposition, we have hg_n (!); T (!) e_n i b(k) 8 ! 2 L_k , and n j; so $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf g_n$ (!); T (!) e_n i b(k) for ! 2 L_k , and by Fatou's km m a,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{n \to \infty} hg_n(!); T(!) e_n id(!) b(k) (I_k)$$

so if = b(k) (L_k) > 0, the proof is complete for $k \ge P$.

Now if k 2 N , we consider = (0;0;:::) and choose a strongly m easurable m ap ! 7 T (!) (using sim ilar argument as in the above lem m a) with T (!) = 0 for ! $\not\equiv L_k$ and for ! 2 L_k , there exists j 2 N such that hg_n (!); T (!) e_n i = a(k) < 0 for n = j. So we get that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{n \to \infty} g_n(!); T(!)e_n i \quad a(k)$$

for each ! 2 L_k and hence

$$\lim_{n \to 1} \sup_{n \to 1} hg_n(!); T(!)e_n id(!) \quad a(k)(L_k)$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \to 1} \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} hg_n(!); T(!)e_n id(!) \quad j_a(k)j(L_k)$$

so the proof is complete if (L) > 0.

Case 2: (L) = 0

Since (C [L) = 1, we have that (nC) = 0. Choose a sequence (g_n) so that (g_n) (g_n^k) for every $k \ge N$. By the denition of the C_k 's and (iii) we have either $\lim_{n \ge 1} \sup_{n \ge 1} g_n$ (!); $Te_n i$ b(k) or $\lim_{n \ge 1} \inf_{n \ge 1} g_n$ (!); $Te_n i$ a(k) 8 k 2 N, and therefore for each ! 2 C, $\lim_{n \ge 1} \log_n$ (!); $Te_n i$ exists for every $T \ge L(c_0; E)_1$ (*).

But for ! 2 $\,^{0}$, the sequence $(f_{n}\ (!\))_{n}$ is equivalent to the $\,^{1}$ -basis in E and since (g_{n}) (f_{n}) , $(g_{n}\ (!\))_{n}$ is also equivalent to the $\,^{1}$ -basis in E and therefore $fg_{n}\ (!\)$; n $\,^{1}$ 1 g cannot be a $\,^{1}$ 1-subset of E , i.e., there exists an operator T $\,^{1}$ 2 L $(c_{0};E)_{1}$ such that $\lim_{n \to 1} \sup_{n \to 1} g_{n}\ (!\)$; $Te_{n}i > 0$; but condition $\,^{1}$ 1 in $g_{n}(!)$; $Te_{n}i > 0$. We now choose the operator T measurably using the same argument as in the above lemma: i.e., there exists T: $\,^{1}$ 1 L $(c_{0};E)_{1}$ 1 strongly measurable such that $f_{n}(!)$ 1 en if $f_{n}(!)$ 2 and $f_{n}(!)$ 3 in $f_{n}(!)$ 4 en if $f_{n}(!)$ 5 and $f_{n}(!)$ 6 of the $f_{n}(!)$ 6 and $f_{n}(!)$ 7 (!)6 en if $f_{n}(!)$ 9 and 0 otherwise.

The map! 7 (!) is measurable and we obtain

The proof of the proposition is complete.

To complete the proofofthe theorem, $x(g_n)$ (f_n) , $T: ! L(c_0;E)_1$ strongly measurable and > 0 as in Proposition 5. For each n 2 N, let $G_n: ! E$ be the measure in M (;E) dened by:

$$G_n(A) = w eak*- g_n(!)d(!):$$

It is clear that G_n 2 convfm $_n$ $_{\circ}$; m_{n+1} $_{\circ}$; m

Let :C ($^{\circ\circ}$;E)! C (;E) be an extension operator (the existence of such operator is given by Theorem 21.1.4 of [18]) and consider $t_n = (T ()_p j_{\circ\circ})$. The series $^p_{n=1} t_n$ is a W UC series in C (;E). In fact the operator S : c_0 ! C ($^{\circ\circ}$;E)

given by Se=T ()eg is easily checked to be linear and bounded and $t_n=S$ (e/s) so t_n is a W U C series.

The following estimate concludes the proof.

SO

which implies that

$$hg_n(!);T(!)e_nid(!)$$
 $2- t_n;G_nij:$

Hence

$$\lim_{n!} \inf_{1} \mathfrak{ft}_n; G_n ij = \frac{1}{3}:$$

This of course shows that fG_n ; n = 1g is not a (V)-set. The theorem is proved. \Box

Theorem 1. above has the following consequences relative to Banach spaces of compact operators. For what follows if X and Y are Banach spaces, K_w (X;Y) denotes the Banach space of weak* to weakly continuous compact operators from X to Y equipped with the operator norm. We have the following corollaries.

Corollary 2. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. If X is in jective and Y is separable and has property (V) then K_w (X; Y) has property (V).

Proof. The space K_w (X ; Y) is isometrically isomorphic to K_w (Y ; X) which is a complemented subspace of K_w (Y ; C (B_X)) C (B_X; Y) has property (V) by Theorem 1. \square

C orollary 3. Let X be a L_1 -space and Y a separable B anach space with property (V). The space K (X) ; Y has property (V).

Proof. The space K (X ;Y) is isomorphic to K $_{\rm w}$ (X ;Y) (see [16]) and it is well known that X is injective and so K $_{\rm w}$ (X ;Y) has property (V) by Corollary 2. \square

We now turn our attention to Bochner spaces. In [1], Bombal observed that if E is a closed subspace of an order continuous Banach lattice, then L^p (;E) has property (V) if $1 and E has property (V). Our next result shows that for the separable case, property (V) can be lifted to the Bochner space <math>L^p$ (;E).

Theorem 2. Let E be a separable Banach space and (;;) be a nite measure space. If $1 , then the space <math>L^p$ (;E) has property (V) if and only if E does.

Proof. W ithout loss of generality, we will assume that is a compact Hausdor space, is a Borelm easure and is the completion of the eld of Borelm easurable subsets of . For $1 , let q such that <math>\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. It is a well known fact that the dual of L^p (;E) is isometrically isomorphic to the space M q (;E) of all vector measures F: ! E with

$$kF k_q = \sup_{A \ge 0} \frac{X}{(A)k^q} (A)^q (A)^q < 1$$

(see for instance, [9], p. 115).

Let H be a (V)-subset of M q (;E) and assume that H M^1 (;E). Since C (;E) L^p (;E) and C (;E) has property (V) by Theorem 1, H is relatively weakly compact in M (;E). Let $(m_n)_n$ H and a lifting of L^1 (). There exists G_n 2 convfm $_n$; m_{n+1} ; ::: g and G 2 M 1 (;E) such that k (G_n) (!) (G) (!) k converges to zero for a.e.!. By the Lebesgue dominated convergence

$$k (G_n)(!) (G)(!) Rd (!) 10$$

But this is equivalent to say that $kG_n - Gk_q! 0$ which proves that H is relatively weakly compact in M q (;E) (see for instance [21]). The theorem is proved. \Box

R em ark 1. As it was observed in [17], the property (V) cannot be lifted from E to L^1 (;E). In fact the space $E = (v^n_1)_{c_0}$ has property (v) but L^1 (;E) contains a complemented copy of v^n_1 hence failing property (v).

References

- 1. F.Bom bal.On '1 subspaces of vector-valued function spaces.. M ath.Proc.Camb.Phil. Soc., 101:107(112, (1987).
- 2. F.Bombal.On (V) sets and Pelczynski's property (V).G lasgow Math.J., 32:109{120, (1990).
- 3. J. Bourgain. H 1 is a Grothendieck space. Studia Math, 75:193{216, (1983).
- 4. J. Bourgain. On weak compactness of the dual of spaces of analytic and smooth functions. Bull. Soc. Math. Belg., B 35:111{118, (1983).
- 5. P.Cembranos, N.J.Kalton, E.Saab, and P.Saab. Pelczynski's Property (V) on C (; E) spaces. Math. Ann., 271:91{97, (1985).
- 6. D. L. Cohn. Measure Theory. Birkhauser, Basel, Stuttgart, (1980).
- 7. F.D ealban.W eakly compact operators on the disk algebra. J. of Algebra, 45 284 (294, (1977).
- 8. J. Diestel. Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces, volume 92 of Graduate Text in Mathematics. Springer Verlag, New York, rst edition, (1984).
- 9. J.D iestel and Jr. J.J. Uhl. Vector M easures, volum e 15 of M ath Surveys. AM S, Providence, RI, (1977).
- 10. N.D inculeanu. Vector Measures. Pergamon Press, New York, (1967).
- 11. G.G odefroy and P.Saab.W eakly unconditionally convergent series in M-ideals.Math. Scand., 64:307(318, (1990).
- 12. S.V.K isliakov.Uncom plem ented uniform algebras.M at. Zam etki, 18:91(96, (1975).
- 13. J. Munkres. Topology, a rst course. Prentice Hall, Englewood Clis, New Jersey, (1975).
- 14. A. Pelczynski. On Banach spaces on which every unconditionally converging operator is weakly compact. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., 10:641(648, (1962).
- 15. N. Randrianantoanina. Com plem ented copies of 1 and Pelczynski's property (V) in Bochner spaces. Subm itted for publication.
- 16. W .Ruess.D uality and geom etry of compact operators.M ath. Studies 90, N orth H olland, (1984).
- 17. E. Saab and P. Saab. Stability problems in Banach spaces. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 136:367(394, (1992).
- 18. Z. Sem adeni. Banach spaces of continuous functions. P. W., Warszawa, (1971).
- 19. M .Talagrand.W eak Cauchy sequences in L¹ (E).Amer.J.Math., 106:703{724, (1984).
- 20. A. Tulecea and C. Ionescu. Topics in the theory of lifting, volume 48 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und Ihrer Grenzgebiete. Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, rst edition, (1969).
- 21. A.U lger.W eak compactness in L^1 (;X).Proc.Amer.M ath.Soc., 113:143{149, (1991).

Department of M athematics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712-1082

E-m ail address: nrandri@ m ath.utexas.edu