

# A NEW METHOD TO INTRODUCE ADDITIONAL SEPARATED VARIABLES FOR HIGH-ORDER BINARY CONSTRAINED FLOWS

Yunbo Zeng<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University  
Beijing 100084, China

**Abstract.** Degrees of freedom for high-order binary constrained flows of soliton equations admitting  $2 \times 2$  Lax matrices are  $2N + k_0$ . It is known that  $N + k_0$  pairs of canonical separated variables for their separation of variables can be introduced directly via their Lax matrices. In present paper we propose a new method to introduce the additional  $N$  pairs of canonical separated variables and  $N$  additional separated equations. The Jacobi inversion problems for high-order binary constrained flows and for soliton equations are also established. This new method can be applied to all high-order binary constrained flows admitting  $2 \times 2$  Lax matrices.

**Keywords:** separation of variables, Jacobi inversion problem, high-order binary constrained flow, Lax representation, factorization of soliton equations.

**Classification numbers:** 02.90.+p

---

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: yzeng@tsinghua.edu.cn

## 1. Introduction.

For a finite-dimensional integrable Hamiltonian systems (FD IHS), let  $m$  denote the number of degrees of freedom, and  $P_i; i = 1; \dots; m$ ; be functionally independent integrals of motion in involution, the separation of variables means to construct  $m$  pairs of canonical separated variables  $v_k; u_k; k = 1; \dots; m$ , [1,2,3]

$$f_{u_k}; u_1 g = f_{v_k}; v_1 g = 0; \quad f_{v_k}; u_1 g = \delta_{k1}; \quad k; l = 1; \dots; m; \quad (1.1)$$

and  $m$  functions  $f_k$  such that

$$f_k(u_k; v_k; P_1; \dots; P_m) = 0; \quad k = 1; \dots; m; \quad (1.2)$$

which are called separated equations. The equations (1.2) give rise to an explicit factorization of the Liouville tori. For the FD IHSs with the Lax matrices admitting the  $r$ -matrices of the  $XXZ$ ;  $XXZ$  and  $XYZ$  type, there is a general approach to their separation of variables [1-6]. The corresponding separated equations enable us to express the generating function of canonical transformation in completely separated form as an abelian integral on the associated invariant spectral curve. The resulted linearizing map is essentially the Abel map to the Jacobian variety of the spectral curve, thus providing a link with the algebro-geometric linearization methods given by [7-9].

The separation of variables for a FD IHS requires that the number of canonical separated variables  $u_k$  should be equal to the number  $m$  of degrees of freedom. In some cases, the number of  $u_k$  resulted by the normal method may be less than  $m$  and one needs to introduce some additional canonical separated variables. So far very few models in these cases have been studied. These cases remain to be a challenging problem [3].

The separation of variables for constrained flows of soliton equations has been studied (see, for example, [4,10-14]). In recent years binary constrained flows of soliton hierarchies have attracted attention (see, for example, [15-22]). However the separation

of variables for binary constrained flows has not been studied. The degree of freedom for high-order binary constrained flows admitting  $2 \times 2$  Lax matrices  $M = \begin{pmatrix} A(\lambda) & B(\lambda) \\ C(\lambda) & A(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$  is a natural number  $2N + k_0$ . Via the Lax matrix  $M$ ,  $N + k_0$  pairs of canonical separated variables  $u_1; \dots; u_{N+k_0}$  can be introduced by the set of zeros of  $B(\lambda)$  and  $v_k = A(u_k)$ , and  $N + k_0$  separated equations can be found from the generation function of integrals of motions. In previous papers [23,24] we presented a method with two different ways for determining additional  $N$  pairs of canonical separated variables and additional  $N$  separated equations for first binary constrained flows with  $2N$  degree of freedom. The main idea in [23,24] is to construct two functions  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$  and define  $u_{N+1}; \dots; u_{2N}$  by the set of zeros of  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  and  $v_{N+k} = \mathcal{A}(u_{N+k})$ . The ways for constructing  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$  in [23] and [24] are some different. In present paper we propose a completely different method from that in [23,24] to introduce the additional  $N$  separated variables and  $N$  separated equations for high-order binary constrained flows with  $2N + k_0$  degree of freedom. It is observed that the introduction of  $v_k$  has some link with integrals

of motion and should lead to the separated equations. We find that there are  $N$  integrals of motion  $P_{N+k_0+1}; \dots; P_{2N+k_0}$  among the  $2N+k_0$  integrals of motion for the high-order binary constrained flows which commute with  $A(\cdot)$  and  $B(\cdot)$ . This observation and property stimulate us to directly use the additional integrals of motion to define both the  $N$  pairs of additional separated variables and  $N$  separated equations by  $v_{N+k_0+j} = P_{N+k_0+j}; j = 1; \dots; N$ . Then we can find the conjugated variables  $u_{N+k_0+j}; j = 1; \dots; N$ ; commuting with  $A(\cdot)$  and  $B(\cdot)$ . In contrast to the method in [23,24], this method is easier to be applied to the high-order binary constrained flows.

We will also present the separation of variables of soliton equations. The first step is to factorize  $(1+1)$  dimensional soliton equations into two commuting  $x$  and  $t$  FDIHSs via high-order binary constrained flows, namely the  $x$  and  $t$  dependences of the soliton equations are separated by the  $x$  and  $t$  FDIHSs obtained from the  $x$ - and  $t$ -binary constrained flows. The second step is to produce separation of variables for the  $x$  and  $t$  FDIHSs. Finally, combining the factorization of soliton equations with the Jacobi inversion problems for  $x$  and  $t$  FDIHSs enables us to establish the Jacobi inversion problems for soliton equations. If the Jacobi inversion problem can be solved by the Jacobi inversion technique [7], one can obtain the solution in terms of the Riemann-theta function for soliton equations. We illustrate the method by KdV, AKNS and Kaup-Newell (KN) hierarchies. The paper is organized as follows.

In section 2, we first recall the high-order binary constrained flows and factorization of the KdV hierarchy. Then propose the method for introducing the  $N$  pairs of additional separated variables. We illustrate the method by both first binary constrained flow and second binary constrained flow. Finally we present the separation of variables for KdV hierarchy. In section 3 and 4, the method is applied to the AKNS hierarchy and KN hierarchy, respectively. In fact this method can be applied to all high-order binary constrained flows and other soliton hierarchies admitting  $2 \times 2$  Lax pairs.

## 2. Separation of variables for the KdV equations.

We first recall the high-order binary constrained flows of the KdV hierarchy.

### 2.1 High-order binary constrained flows of the KdV hierarchy.

Consider the Schrodinger equation [25]

$$\psi_{xx} + (\lambda + u)\psi = 0$$

which is equivalent to the following spectral problem

$$\psi_x = U(u; \lambda) \psi; \quad U(u; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ u & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2} : \quad (2.1)$$

Take the time evolution law of  $\psi$  as

$$\psi_{t_n} = V^{(n)}(u; \lambda) \psi; \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 V^{(n)}(u; \cdot) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & a_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n+1-i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; \\
 a_0 &= b_0 = 0; \quad c_0 = 1; \quad a_1 = 0; \quad b_1 = 1; \\
 b_{k+1} &= Lb_k = \frac{1}{2}L^{k+1}u; \quad a_k = \frac{1}{2}b_{k,x}; \quad c_k = \frac{1}{2}b_{k,xx} - b_{k+1} - b_k u; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots; \\
 L &= \frac{1}{4}\partial^2 - u + \frac{1}{2}\partial^{-1}u_x; \quad \partial = \partial_x; \quad \partial^{-1}\partial = \partial\partial^{-1} = 1; \quad (2.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

The compatibility condition of (2.1) and (2.2) gives rise to the  $n$ -th KdV equation which can be written as an infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian system [25]

$$u_{t_n} = -2b_{n+2,x} = \partial L^n u = \partial \frac{H_n}{u}; \quad (2.4)$$

with the Hamiltonian  $H_n = \frac{4b_{n+3}}{2n+3}$  and  $\frac{H_n}{u} = -2b_{n+2}$ :

For  $n = 1$  we have

$$t_1 = V^{(1)}(u; \cdot); \quad V^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2}u - \frac{1}{4}u_x + \frac{1}{4}u_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}u^2 - \frac{1}{4}u_x; \quad (2.5)$$

and the equation (2.4) for  $n = 1$  is the well-known KdV equation

$$u_{t_1} = -\frac{1}{4}(u_{xxx} + 6uu_x); \quad (2.6)$$

The adjoint spectral problem reads

$$\psi_x = U^T(u; \cdot) \psi; \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (2.7)$$

By means of the formula in [26], we have

$$\frac{dH_n}{du} = \text{Tr} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\partial U(u; \cdot)}{\partial u} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

According to [15-22], the high-order binary  $x$ -constrained flows of the KdV hierarchy (2.4) consist of the equations obtained from the spectral problem (2.1) and the adjoint spectral problem (2.7) for  $N$  distinct real numbers  $\lambda_j$  and the restriction of the variational

derivatives for the conserved quantities  $H_{k_0}$  (for any fixed  $k_0$ ) and  $\lambda_j$ :

$$\lambda_{j,x} = -2\lambda_j; \quad \lambda_{j,xx} = -\lambda_j u - \lambda_j; \quad (2.8a)$$

$$x_1 = x_2 + u_2; \quad x_2 = x_1; \quad (2.8b)$$

$$\frac{H_{k_0}}{u} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{u} = 2b_{k_0+2} + \langle x_2; x_1 \rangle = 0; \quad (2.8c)$$

Hereafter we denote the inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  by  $\langle \cdot; \cdot \rangle$  and

$$i = (x_1; \dots; x_N)^T; \quad i = (x_1; \dots; x_N)^T; \quad i = 1; 2; \dots = \text{diag}(x_1; \dots; x_N);$$

The binary  $t_n$ -constrained flows of the KdV hierarchy (2.4) are defined by the replicas of (2.2) and its adjoint system for  $N$  distinct real number  $j$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt_n} x_j &= V^{(n)}(u; x_j) \frac{1}{2} x_j; \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt_n} x_j = (V^{(n)}(u; x_j))^T \frac{1}{2} x_j; \quad j = 1; \dots; N; \end{aligned} \quad (2.9a)$$

as well as the  $n$ -th KdV equation itself (2.4) in the case of the higher-order constraint for  $k_0 = 1$

$$u_{t_n} = 2b_{n+2}; \quad (2.9b)$$

(1) For  $k_0 = 0$ , we have

$$b_2 = \frac{1}{2}u = \frac{1}{2} \langle x_2; x_1 \rangle; \quad \text{i.e.}; \quad u = \langle x_2; x_1 \rangle; \quad (2.10)$$

By substituting (2.10), (2.8a) and (2.8b) becomes a finite-dimensional Hamiltonian system (FDHS) [18]

$$x_1 = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1}; \quad x_2 = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2}; \quad x_1 = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1}; \quad x_2 = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2}; \quad (2.11)$$

$$F_1 = \langle x_1; x_2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle x_2; x_1 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle x_2; x_1 \rangle^2;$$

Under the constraint (2.10) and the x-FDHS (2.11), the binary  $t_1$ -constrained flow obtained from (2.9a) with  $V^{(1)}$  given by (2.5) can also be written as a  $t_1$ -FDHS

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt_1} x_1 = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1}; \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt_1} x_2 = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_2}; \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt_1} x_1 = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1}; \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt_1} x_2 = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_2}; \quad (2.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &= \langle x_2^2; x_1 \rangle + \langle x_1; x_2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle x_2; x_1 \rangle \langle x_2; x_1 \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \langle x_2; x_1 \rangle \langle x_1; x_2 \rangle + \frac{1}{8} (\langle x_2; x_2 \rangle \langle x_1; x_1 \rangle)^2; \end{aligned}$$

The Lax representation for the  $x$ -constrained flow (2.8) and the  $t_n$ -constrained flow (2.9) can be deduced from the adjoint representation of (2.1) and (2.2) by using the method in [27,28]

$$M_x = [\mathcal{U}; M]; \quad M_{t_n} = [\mathcal{V}^{(n)}; M]; \quad (2.13)$$

where  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}^{(n)}$  are obtained from  $U$  and  $V^{(n)}$  under the system (2.8), and the Lax matrix  $M$  is of the form

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A(\lambda) & B(\lambda) \\ C(\lambda) & A(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} :$$

The Lax matrix  $M$  for  $x$ -FDHS (2.11) and  $t_1$ -FDHS (2.12) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1_j 1_j - 2_j 2_j}{\lambda_j}; \quad B(\lambda) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{2_j 1_j}{\lambda_j}; \\ C(\lambda) &= \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \langle 2; 1 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1_j 2_j}{\lambda_j}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

The generating function of integrals of motion for (2.11) and (2.12) yields

$$A^2(\lambda) + B(\lambda)C(\lambda) - P(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^N \left[ \frac{P_j}{\lambda_j} + \frac{P_{N+j}^2}{(\lambda_j)^2} \right]; \quad (2.15)$$

where  $P_1, \dots, P_{2N}$  are independent integrals of motion for the FDHSs (2.11) and (2.12)

$$\begin{aligned} P_j &= \frac{1}{2} 1_j 2_j + \left( \frac{1}{2} \lambda_j + \frac{1}{4} \langle 2; 1 \rangle \right) 2_j 1_j \\ &+ \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_j - \lambda_k} [(1_j 1_j - 2_j 2_j)(1_k 1_k - 2_k 2_k) + 4 1_j 2_j 2_k 1_k]; \end{aligned} \quad (2.16a)$$

$$P_{N+j} = \frac{1}{4} (1_j 1_j + 2_j 2_j); \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (2.16b)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$F_1 = 2 \sum_{j=1}^N P_j; \quad F_2 = 2 \sum_{j=1}^N (P_j + P_{N+j}^2); \quad (2.17)$$

With respect to the standard Poisson bracket

$$ff;gg = \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial 1_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial 1_j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial 2_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial 2_j} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial 1_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial 1_j} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial 2_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial 2_j} \right); \quad (2.18)$$

by calculating the formulas like (2.31), it is easy to verify that

$$fA^2(\cdot) + B(\cdot)C(\cdot); A^2(\cdot) + B(\cdot)C(\cdot)g = 0; \quad (2.19)$$

which implies that  $P_1; \dots; P_{2N}$  are in involution, (2.11) and (2.12) are FD IHSs and commute with each other. The construction of (2.11) and (2.12) ensures that if  $(\cdot_1; \cdot_2; \cdot_1; \cdot_2)$  satisfies the FD IHSs (2.11) and (2.12) simultaneously, then  $u$  defined by (2.10) solves the KdV equation (2.6).

Set

$$A^2(\cdot) + B(\cdot)C(\cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^N \mathbb{F}_k \cdot^k; \quad (2.20)$$

where  $\mathbb{F}_k; k = 1; 2; \dots$  are also integrals of motion for both the x-FD IHSs (2.11) and the  $t_n$ -binary constrained flows (2.9). Comparing (2.20) with (2.15), one gets

$$\mathbb{F}_0 = 1; \quad \mathbb{F}_1 = 0; \quad \mathbb{F}_k = \sum_{j=1}^N \left[ \binom{k}{j} {}^2P_j + (k-2) \binom{k}{j} {}^3P_{N+j}^2 \right]; \quad k = 2; 3; \dots \quad (2.21)$$

By employing the method in [28,29], the  $t_n$ -FD IHS obtained from the  $t_n$ -binary constrained flow (2.9) is found to be of the form

$${}_{1;t_n} = \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial \cdot_1}; \quad {}_{2;t_n} = \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial \cdot_2}; \quad {}_{1;t_n} = \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial \cdot_1}; \quad {}_{2;t_n} = \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial \cdot_2}; \quad (2.22a)$$

$$F_{n+1} = \sum_{m=0}^N \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^m \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_{m+1} = n+2}^N \mathbb{F}_{l_1} \dots \mathbb{F}_{l_{m+1}}; \quad (2.22b)$$

where  $l_1 = 1; \dots; l_{m+1} = 1; \quad {}_0 = 1; \quad {}_1 = \frac{1}{2}; \quad {}_2 = \frac{3}{2};$  and [28,29]

$${}_m = 2 \sum_{l=1}^N \binom{m}{l} {}^{m-1}P_{l-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^N \binom{m}{l} {}^{m-1}P_{l-1}; \quad m = 3; \quad (2.22c)$$

The  $n$ -th KdV equation (2.4) is factorized by the x-FD IHS (2.11) and the  $t_n$ -FD IHS (2.22).

(2) For  $k_0 = 1$ , one gets

$$b_3 = \frac{1}{8} (u_{xx} + 3u^2) = \frac{1}{2} < \cdot_2; \cdot_1 > ; \quad (2.23)$$

By introducing  $q = u; p = \frac{1}{4}u_x$ ; (2.8a), (2.8b) and (2.23) can be written as a x-FD IHS

$${}_{ix} = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \cdot_i}; \quad {}_{ix} = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \cdot_i}; \quad i = 1; 2; \quad q_x = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial p}; \quad p_x = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q}; \quad (2.24)$$

$$F_1 = \langle \quad \quad \quad \rangle + \langle \quad \quad \quad \rangle - q \langle \quad \quad \quad \rangle + 2p^2 + \frac{1}{4}q^3 :$$

Under the constraint (2.23),  $V^{(1)}$  becomes

$$\mathcal{V}^{(1)} = \quad \quad \quad \frac{p}{2} \quad \quad \quad \frac{1}{2}q \quad \quad \quad : \quad (2.25)$$

Under the constraint (2.23) and the x-FDHS (2.24), the binary  $t_1$ -constrained flow consists of (2.9a) with  $V^{(1)}$  replaced by  $\mathcal{V}^{(1)}$  and (2.9b) given by (2.6) can also be written as a  $t_1$ -FDHS

$$it_1 = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \quad}; \quad it_1 = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \quad}; \quad i=1,2; \quad q_{t_1} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial p}; \quad p_{t_1} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q}; \quad (2.26)$$

$$F_2 = \langle \quad \quad \quad \rangle + \langle \quad \quad \quad \rangle - \frac{1}{2}q \langle \quad \quad \quad \rangle - \frac{1}{2}q \langle \quad \quad \quad \rangle \\ + p \langle \quad \quad \quad \rangle - p \langle \quad \quad \quad \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \quad \quad \quad \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{4}q^2 \langle \quad \quad \quad \rangle :$$

The Lax representations for the x-FDHS (2.24) and the  $t_1$ -FDHS (2.26), which can be deduced from the adjoint representation of (2.1) and (2.2), are given by (2.13) with  $\mathcal{V}^{(1)}$  defined by (2.25) and  $\mathcal{U}$  obtained from  $U$  by using  $q$  instead of  $u$  as well as  $M$  given by

$$A(\quad) = p + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\quad_{1j} \quad_{1j} \quad_{2j} \quad_{2j}}{j}; \quad B(\quad) = \frac{1}{2}q + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\quad_{2j} \quad_{1j}}{j}; \\ C(\quad) = \quad^2 - \frac{1}{2}q + \frac{1}{2} \langle \quad \quad \quad \rangle - \frac{1}{4}q^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\quad_{1j} \quad_{2j}}{j}; \quad (2.27)$$

The generating function of integrals of motion for (2.24) and (2.26) yields

$$A^2(\quad) + B(\quad)C(\quad) - P(\quad) = \quad^3 + P_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N \left[ \frac{P_j}{j} + \frac{P_{N+j}^2}{(\quad_j)^2} \right]; \quad (2.28)$$

where  $P_0, \dots, P_{2N}$  are independent integrals of motion for the FDHSs (2.24) and (2.26) and  $P_0 = \frac{1}{2}F_1$ ,

$$P_j = \frac{1}{2} \quad_{jj}^2 \quad_{2j} \quad_{1j} + \frac{1}{2} \quad_{jj} \quad_{1j} \quad_{2j} - \frac{1}{4} \quad_{jj} q \quad_{2j} \quad_{1j} - \frac{1}{4} q \quad_{1j} \quad_{2j} \\ + \frac{1}{2} p (\quad_{1j} \quad_{1j} - \quad_{2j} \quad_{2j}) + \frac{1}{4} (\langle \quad \quad \quad \rangle - \frac{1}{2}q^2) \quad_{2j} \quad_{1j}$$



$$+ \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k \in j} \frac{1}{j_k} [(\mathbf{1}_j - \mathbf{1}_j - \mathbf{2}_j - \mathbf{2}_j)(\mathbf{1}_k - \mathbf{1}_k - \mathbf{2}_k - \mathbf{2}_k) + 4 \mathbf{1}_j - \mathbf{2}_j - \mathbf{2}_k - \mathbf{1}_k]; \quad (2.29a)$$

$$P_{N+j} = \frac{1}{4} ( \quad_{1j} \quad_{1j} + \quad_{2j} \quad_{2j} ); \quad j = 1; :::; N : \quad (2.29b)$$

We have

$$F_1 = 2P_0; \quad F_2 = 2 \sum_{j=1}^N P_j; \quad (2.30)$$

Similarly, it can be shown that (2.24) and (2.26) are FD IHSs and commute with each other. The KdV equation (2.6) is factorized by  $x$ -FD IHS (2.24) and  $t_1$ -FD IHS (2.26). If  $(\psi_1; \psi_2; p; q)$  satisfies the FD IHSs (2.24) and (2.26) simultaneously, then  $u = q$  solves the KdV equation (2.6).

## 2.2 The separation of variables for the KdV equations.

(1) For the case  $k_0 = 0$ , we first consider the separation of variables for FDIHSs (2.11) and (2.12). With respect to the standard Poisson bracket (2.18), it is found that for the  $A(\cdot)$  and  $B(\cdot)$  given by (2.14) we have

$$f_A(\cdot); A(\cdot)g = f_B(\cdot); B(\cdot)g = 0; \quad f_A(\cdot); B(\cdot)g = \frac{1}{2(\cdot)} [B(\cdot) - B(\cdot)]: \quad (2.31)$$

An effective way to introduce the separated variables  $v_k; u_k$  and to obtain the separated equations is to use the Lax matrix  $M$  and the generating function of integrals of motion. The commutator relations (2.31) and the equation (2.15) enable us to define the first  $N$  pairs of the canonical variables  $u_1; \dots; u_N$  by the set of zeros of  $B(\lambda)$  [1-3]

$$B(\lambda) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{2j-1}{j} \frac{R(\lambda)}{K(\lambda)}; \quad (2.32a)$$

w h e r e

$$R(\mathbf{u}) = \prod_{k=1}^N (u_k); \quad K(\mathbf{u}) = \prod_{k=1}^N (u_k);$$

and  $v_1; \dots; v_N$  by

$$v_k = 2A(u_k); \quad k = 1, \dots, N; \quad (2.32b)$$

The commutator relations (2.31) guarantee that  $u_1, \dots, u_N$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_N$  satisfy the canonical conditions (1.1) [1–3]. Then substituting  $u_k$  into (2.15) gives rise to the  $N$  separated equations

$$v_k = 2A(u_k) = 2^p \frac{1}{P(u_k)} = 2^{\frac{p}{t}} \frac{X^N}{u_k + \sum_{j=1}^N \left[ \frac{P_j}{u_k} + \frac{P_{N+j}^2}{(u_k)_j^2} \right]}; \quad k = 1, \dots, N : \quad (2.33)$$

The FD H Ss (2.11) and (2.12) have  $2N$  degrees of freedom, we need to introduce the other  $N$  pairs of canonical variables  $v_k; u_k; k = N + 1; \dots; 2N$ . Notice that  $P_{N+j}$  given by (2.16b) are integrals of motion for the FD H Ss (2.11) and (2.12), and satisfy

$$fB(\cdot); P_{N+j}g = fA(\cdot); P_{N+j}g = 0; \quad (2.34)$$

Thus we may define

$$v_{N+j} = 2P_{N+j} = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{q}_j \dot{q}_j + \dot{p}_j \dot{p}_j); \quad j = 1; \dots; N; \quad (2.35a)$$

which also give rise to the separated equations. It is easy to see that if we take

$$u_{N+j} = \ln \frac{\dot{q}_j}{\dot{p}_j}; \quad j = 1; \dots; N; \quad (2.35b)$$

then

$$fv_{N+j}; u_{N+k}g = \delta_{jk}; \quad fv_{N+j}; v_{N+k}g = fu_{N+j}; u_{N+k}g = 0; \quad j, k = 1; \dots; N; \quad (2.36)$$

$$fB(\cdot); u_{N+j}g = fA(\cdot); u_{N+j}g = fB(\cdot); v_{N+j}g = fA(\cdot); v_{N+j}g = 0; \quad (2.37)$$

We have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** Assume that  $\dot{q}_j; \dot{p}_j; \dot{q}_j \in \mathbb{R}; i = 1; 2; j = 1; \dots; N$ . Introduce the separated variables  $u_1; \dots; u_{2N}$  and  $v_1; \dots; v_{2N}$  by (2.32) and (2.35). If  $u_1; \dots; u_N$  are single zeros of  $B(\cdot)$ , then  $v_1; \dots; v_{2N}$  and  $u_1; \dots; u_{2N}$  are canonically conjugated, i.e., they satisfy (1.1).

**Proof.** By following the similar method in [1-6, 23, 24], it is easy to show that  $v_1; \dots; v_N$  and  $u_1; \dots; u_N$  satisfy (1.1). Notice  $B^0(u_k) \neq 0$ . Hereafter the prime denotes the differentiation with respect to  $\cdot$ . It follows from (2.36) and (2.37) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= fu_{N+k}; B(u_1)g = B^0(u_1)fu_{N+k}; u_1g + fu_{N+k}; B(\cdot)g|_{u_1} = B^0(u_1)fu_{N+k}; u_1g; \\ &fv_k; u_{N+1}g = 2fA(u_k); u_{N+1}g \\ &= 2A^0(u_k)fu_k; u_{N+1}g + fA(\cdot); u_{N+1}g|_{u_k} = 2A^0(u_k)fu_k; u_{N+1}g; \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

which leads to  $fu_{N+k}; u_1g = fu_{N+k}; v_1g = 0$ . Similarly we can show that  $fv_{N+k}; u_1g = fv_{N+k}; v_1g = 0$ . These together with (2.36) complete the proof.

It follows from (2.32a) and (2.35b) that

$$u = \langle \dot{q}; \dot{p} \rangle = 2 \sum_{j=1}^N (\dot{q}_j \dot{p}_j); \quad (2.39)$$

$$2j-1j = 2 \frac{R(j)}{K^0(j)}; \quad \frac{1j}{2j} = e^{u_{N+j}}; \quad j = 1, \dots, N;$$

or

$$1j = \frac{S \frac{2R(j)e^{u_{N+j}}}{K^0(j)}}{K^0(j)}; \quad 2j = \frac{S \frac{2R(j)e^{u_{N+j}}}{K^0(j)}}{K^0(j)}; \quad j = 1, \dots, N: \quad (2.40)$$

The separated equations are given by (2.33) and (2.35a). Replacing  $v_k$  by the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial u_k}$  of the generating function  $S$  of the canonical transformation and interpreting the  $P_i$  as integration constants, the equations (2.33) and (2.35a) give rise to the Hamilton-Jacobi equations which are completely separable and may be integrated to give the completely separated solution

$$S(u_1, \dots, u_{2N}) = \sum_{k=1}^N \int \frac{1}{2} \frac{p}{P(\cdot)} d + 2P_{N+k} u_{N+k}: \quad (2.41)$$

The linearizing coordinates are then

$$Q_i = \frac{\partial S}{\partial P_i} = \sum_{k=1}^N \int \frac{1}{(i)^2} \frac{p}{P(\cdot)} d; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (2.42a)$$

$$Q_{N+i} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial P_{N+i}} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^N \int \frac{P_{N+i}}{(i)^2} \frac{p}{P(\cdot)} d + 2u_{N+i}; \quad i = 1, \dots, N: \quad (2.42b)$$

By using (2.17), the linear flow induced by (2.11) is then given by

$$Q_i = i + x \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial P_i} = i + 2x; \quad Q_{N+i} = 2u_{N+i} + x \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial P_{N+i}} = 2u_{N+i}; \quad i = 1, \dots, N: \quad (2.43)$$

Hereafter  $i, i = 1, \dots, 2N$ ; are arbitrary constants. Combining the equation (2.42) with the equation (2.43) leads to the Jacobi inversion problem for the FDIHS (2.11)

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \int \frac{1}{(i)^2} \frac{p}{P(\cdot)} d = i + 2x; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (2.44a)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \int \frac{P_{N+i}}{(i)^2} \frac{p}{P(\cdot)} d + u_{N+i} = u_{N+i}; \quad i = 1, \dots, N: \quad (2.44b)$$

If, by using the Jacobi inversion technique [7],  $1j, 2j, \dots, 2j-1$  given by (2.39) and (2.40) can be obtained from (2.44), then  $2j, 1j$  can be found from the first and the

last equation in (2.11) by an algebraic calculation, respectively. The  $(\phi_1; \phi_2; \phi_1; \phi_2)$  provides the solution to the FD IHS (2.11).

By using (2.17), the linear flow induced by (2.12) is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_i &= \phi_i + \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_i} t_1 = \phi_i + 2\phi_i t_1; \\ Q_{N+i} &= 2\phi_{N+i} + \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_{N+i}} t_1 = 2\phi_{N+i} + 4\phi_{N+i} t_1; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

where  $\phi_i$  are arbitrary constants. Combining the equation (2.42) with the equation (2.45) leads to the Jacobi inversion problem for the FD IHS (2.12)

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k} \frac{1}{\left(\phi_i\right)^2 P(\phi)} d\phi = \phi_i + 2\phi_i t_1; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (2.46a)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k} \frac{P_{N+i}}{\left(\phi_i\right)^2 P(\phi)} d\phi + u_{N+i} = \phi_{N+i} + 2\phi_{N+i} t_1; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (2.46b)$$

Finally, since the KdV equation (2.6) is factorized by the FD IHSs (2.11)

and (2.12), combining the equation (2.44) with the equation (2.46) give rise to the Jacobi inversion problem for the KdV equation (2.6)

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k} \frac{1}{\left(\phi_i\right)^2 P(\phi)} d\phi = \phi_i + 2x + 2\phi_i t_1; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (2.47a)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k} \frac{P_{N+i}}{\left(\phi_i\right)^2 P(\phi)} d\phi + u_{N+i} = \phi_{N+i} + 2\phi_{N+i} t_1; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (2.47b)$$

If, by using the Jacobi inversion technique [7],  $u$  given by (2.39) can be found in terms of Riemann theta functions by solving (2.47), then  $u$  provides the solution of the KdV equation (2.6).

In general, since the  $n$ -th KdV equation (2.4) is factorized by the  $x$ -FD IHS (2.11) and the  $t_n$ -FD IHS (2.22), the above procedure can be applied to find the Jacobi inversion problem for the  $n$ -th KdV equation (2.4). We have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.** The Jacobi inversion problem for the  $n$ -th KdV equation (2.4) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k} \frac{1}{\left(\phi_i\right)^2 P(\phi)} d\phi &= \phi_i + 2x \\ &+ t_n \sum_{m=0}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^m \sum_{l_1+\dots+l_{m+1}=n+2}^X \phi_i^{l_1+1} \phi_{l_1}^2 \dots \phi_{l_m}^2; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^N u_k \frac{P_{N+i}}{(P_i)^2 P(\cdot)} d + u_{N+i} = P_{N+i}$$

$$+ t_n \sum_{m=0}^N \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^m \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_{m+1} = n+2}^N (l_{m+1} - 2) \sum_{i=1}^{l_{m+1}-3} P_{N+i} P_{l_1} \dots P_{l_m}; \quad i = 1, \dots, N;$$

where  $l_1 = 1, \dots, l_{m+1} = 1$  and  $P_{l_1}, \dots, P_{l_m}$  are given by (2.21).

(2) For the case  $k_0 = 1$ , we now consider the separation of variables for FD IHSs (2.24) and (2.26). With respect to the standard Poisson bracket, it is found that the  $A(\cdot)$  and  $B(\cdot)$  given by (2.27) also satisfy commutator relation (2.31). In the same way, the first  $N+1$  pairs of the canonical variables  $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$  can be introduced by the set of zeros of  $B(\cdot)$

$$B(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2}q + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{2j-1j}{j} = \frac{R(\cdot)}{K(\cdot)}; \quad (2.48a)$$

where

$$R(\cdot) = \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} (u_k); \quad K(\cdot) = \sum_{k=1}^N (u_k);$$

and  $v_1, \dots, v_{N+1}$  by

$$v_k = 2A(u_k); \quad k = 1, \dots, N+1; \quad (2.48b)$$

Then substituting  $u_k$  into (2.28) gives rise to the first  $N+1$  separated equations

$$v_k = 2A(u_k) = 2 \frac{P}{P(u_k)} = 2 \frac{v}{u} \frac{1}{u_k^3 + P_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N \left[ \frac{P_j}{u_k} + \frac{P_{N+j}^2}{(u_k - j)^2} \right]};$$

$$k = 1, \dots, N+1; \quad (2.49)$$

The additional  $N$  pairs of canonical variables can also be defined by the same way

$$v_{N+1+j} = 2P_{N+j} = \frac{1}{2} (u_{1j} - u_{1j} + u_{2j} - u_{2j}); \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (2.50a)$$

$$u_{N+1+j} = \ln \frac{1j}{2j}; \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (2.50b)$$

In the same way we can show the following proposition.

Proposition 3. Assume that  $x_j, i_j, i_j \in \mathbb{R}; i = 1, 2, \dots, j = 1, \dots, N$ . Introduce the separated variables  $u_1, \dots, u_{2N+1}$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_{2N+1}$  by (2.48) and (2.50). If  $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$  are single zeros of  $B(\cdot)$ , then  $v_1, \dots, v_{2N+1}$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_{2N+1}$  are canonically conjugated, i.e., they satisfy (1.1).

It follows from (2.48) and (2.50) that

$$u = q = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} u_j - 2 \sum_{j=1}^N x_j; \quad (2.51a)$$

$$1j = \frac{S \left( \frac{2R(x_j)e^{u_{N+1+j}}}{K^0(x_j)} \right)}{K^0(x_j)}; \quad 2j = \frac{S \left( \frac{2R(x_j)e^{-u_{N+1+j}}}{K^0(x_j)} \right)}{K^0(x_j)}; \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (2.51b)$$

The separated equations (2.49) and (2.50a) may be integrated to give the completely separated solution for the generating function  $S$  of the canonical transformation

$$S(u_1, \dots, u_{2N+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \int_{u_k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P(x)} dx + 2 \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{P_{N+k} u_{N+1+k}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P(x)} dx; \quad (2.52)$$

where  $P(\cdot)$  is given by (2.28).

In the exactly same way, one gets the Jacobi inversion problem for the FD IHS (2.24)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \int_{u_k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P(x)} dx = 0 + 2x; \quad (2.53a)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \int_{u_k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x - i) P(x)} dx = i; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (2.53b)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \int_{u_k}^{\infty} \frac{P_{N+i}}{(x - i)^2 P(x)} dx + u_{N+1+i} = P_{N+i}; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (2.53c)$$

the Jacobi inversion problem for the FD IHS (2.26)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \int_{u_k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P(x)} dx = 0; \quad (2.54a)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \int_{u_k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x - i) P(x)} dx = i + 2t_1; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (2.54b)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \int_{u_k}^{\infty} \frac{P_{N+i}}{(x - i)^2 P(x)} dx + u_{N+1+i} = P_{N+i}; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (2.54c)$$

Finally we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4. The Jacobi inversion problem for the KdV equation (2.6)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} Z_{u_k} \frac{1}{P(\lambda)} d = 0 + 2x; \quad (2.55a)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} Z_{u_k} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_i}\right)^2 P(\lambda)} d = \lambda_i + 2t_1; \quad i = 1; \dots; N; \quad (2.55b)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} Z_{u_k} \frac{P_{N+i}}{\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_i}\right)^2 P(\lambda)} d + u_{N+1+i} = \lambda_{N+i}; \quad i = 1; \dots; N; \quad (2.55c)$$

If, by using the Jacobi inversion technique [7],  $u$  given by (2.51a) can be found in terms of Riemann theta functions by solving (2.55), then  $u$  provides the solution of the KdV equation (2.6).

In general, since the  $n$ -th KdV equation (2.4) is factorized by the  $x$ -FD IHS (2.24) and the  $t_n$ -FD IHS obtained from (2.9) under (2.24), the above procedure can be applied to find the Jacobi inversion problem for the  $n$ -th KdV equation (2.4).

(3) The method can be applied to all high-order binary constrained flows

(2.8) and (2.9) as well as the whole KdV hierarchy. For any fixed  $k_0$ ,

by introducing the so-called Jacobi-Ostrogradsky coordinates, the high-order binary  $x$ -constrained flow (2.8) can be transformed into a  $x$ -FD IHS with degree of freedom  $2N + k_0$ . Under the  $x$ -FD IHS, the binary  $t_n$ -constrained flow (2.9) can also be transformed into a  $t_n$ -FD IHS. The Lax representation for the  $x$ - and  $t_n$ -FD IHS can be deduced from the adjoint representation of (2.1) and (2.2) by using the method in [27,28]. By means of the Lax matrix we can introduce the first  $N + k_0$  canonical variables  $u_1; \dots; u_{N+k_0}$  by the set of zeros of  $B(\lambda)$  and  $v_k = 2A(u_k); k = 1; \dots; N + k_0$ . Then the additional  $N$  canonical separated variables can be defined by

$$v_{N+k_0+j} = 2P_{N+j} = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{1j} \lambda_{1j} + \lambda_{2j} \lambda_{2j}); \quad u_{N+k_0+j} = \ln \frac{1j}{2j}; \quad j = 1; \dots; N;$$

Finally, since the  $n$ -th KdV equation (2.4) is factorized by the  $x$ -FD IHS and the  $t_n$ -FD IHS, in the exactly same way we can obtain the Jacobi inversion problem for (2.4). The above scheme can be applied to all soliton equations admitting 2-2 Lax pairs.

### 3. The separation of variables for the AKNS equations.

#### 3.1 Binary constrained flows of the AKNS hierarchy.

For the AKNS spectral problem [30]

$$x = U(u; \lambda); \quad U(u; \lambda) = \frac{q}{r}; \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2}; \quad u = \frac{q}{r}; \quad (3.1)$$

Take

$$t_n = V^{(n)}(u; \lambda); \quad V^{(n)}(u; \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{a_i}{c_i} \frac{b_i}{a_i} \lambda^{n-i}; \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= 1; \quad b_0 = c_0 = 0; \quad a_1 = 0; \quad b_1 = q; \quad c_1 = r; \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{2}qr; \dots; \\ \begin{pmatrix} a_{k+1} \\ b_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} &= L \begin{pmatrix} a_k \\ b_k \end{pmatrix}; \quad a_k = \lambda^{-1}(qa_k - rb_k); \quad k = 1, 2, \dots; \\ L &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 2r\lambda^{-1}q \\ 2q\lambda^{-1}q & \lambda + 2q\lambda^{-1}r \end{pmatrix}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

The AKNS hierarchy associated with (3.1) and (3.2) reads [30]

$$u_{t_n} = \frac{q}{r} \frac{r}{t_n} = JL^n \frac{r}{q} = J \frac{H_{n+1}}{u}; \quad n = 1, 2, \dots; \quad (3.4)$$

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad H_n = \frac{2a_{n+1}}{n+1}; \quad \frac{c_n}{b_n} = \frac{H_n}{u}; \quad n = 1, 2, \dots;$$

For  $n = 2$  we have

$$t_2 = V^{(2)}(u; \lambda); \quad V^{(2)} = \frac{\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2}qr}{r + \frac{1}{2}r_x} \frac{q}{\lambda^2} - \frac{\frac{1}{2}q_x}{\frac{1}{2}qr}; \quad (3.5)$$

and the AKNS equation (3.4) for  $n = 2$  reads

$$q_{t_2} = \frac{1}{2}q_{xx} + q^2 r; \quad r_{t_2} = \frac{1}{2}r_{xx} - r^2 q; \quad (3.6)$$

The adjoint AKNS spectral problem is of the same form as equation (2.7).

We have

$$\frac{\overline{u}}{u} = \frac{\overline{q}}{r} = \text{Tr} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\partial U(u; \lambda)}{\partial u} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (3.7)$$

which should be read componentwise [26].

The binary  $x$ -constrained flows of the AKNS hierarchy (3.4) are defined by [15,17,21]

$$1_{;x} = 1 + q_2; \quad 2_{;x} = r_1 + 2; \quad (3.8a)$$

$$1_{;x} = 1 - r_2; \quad 2_{;x} = q_1 - 2; \quad (3.8b)$$



$$\frac{H_{k_0+1}}{u} \sum_{j=1}^{X^N} \frac{j}{u} = \frac{a_{k_0+1}}{b_{k_0+1}} \frac{\langle 1; 2 \rangle}{\langle 2; 1 \rangle} = 0; \quad (3.8c)$$

(1) For  $k_0 = 0; \quad = 1$ , we have

$$\frac{c_1}{b_1} = \frac{r}{q} = \frac{\langle 1; 2 \rangle}{\langle 2; 1 \rangle}; \quad (3.9)$$

By substituting (3.9) into (3.8a) and (3.8b), one gets a x-FDHS [15,17]

$$1_x = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial 1}; \quad 2_x = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial 2}; \quad 1_x = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial 1}; \quad 2_x = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial 2}; \quad (3.10)$$

$$F_1 = \langle 2; 2 \rangle \langle 1; 1 \rangle + \langle 2; 1 \rangle \langle 1; 2 \rangle;$$

Under the constraint (3.9) and the FDHS (3.10), the binary  $t_2$ -constrained flow obtained from (3.2) with  $V^{(2)}$  given by (3.5) and its adjoint equation for  $N$  distinct real number  $j$  can also be written as a  $t_2$ -FDHS

$$1_{t_2} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial 1}; \quad 2_{t_2} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial 2}; \quad 1_{t_2} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial 1}; \quad 2_{t_2} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial 2}; \quad (3.11)$$

$$F_2 = \langle 2; 2 \rangle \langle 1; 1 \rangle + \langle 2; 1 \rangle \langle 1; 2 \rangle + \langle 2; 1 \rangle \langle 1; 2 \rangle \frac{1}{2} (\langle 2; 2 \rangle \langle 1; 1 \rangle) \langle 2; 1 \rangle \langle 1; 2 \rangle;$$

The Lax representation for the FDHSs (3.10) and (3.11) which can also be deduced from the adjoint representation of (3.1) and (3.2) are presented by (2.13) with the entries of the Lax matrix  $M$  given by [21]

$$A(j) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{X^N} \frac{1_j 1_j 2_j 2_j}{j}; \quad (3.12a)$$

$$B(j) = \sum_{j=1}^{X^N} \frac{2_j 1_j}{j}; \quad C(j) = \sum_{j=1}^{X^N} \frac{1_j 2_j}{j}; \quad (3.12b)$$

A straightforward calculation yields

$$A^2(j) + B(j)C(j) - P(j) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{X^N} \left[ \frac{P_j}{j} + \frac{P_{N+j}^2}{(j)^2} \right]; \quad (3.13)$$

where  $P_1, \dots, P_{2N}$  are independent integrals of motion for the FDHSs

(3.10) and (3.11)

$$P_j = \sum_{k \in j} \sum_{l \in j} \sum_{m \in j} \left[ \left( \sum_{k \in j} \sum_{l \in j} \sum_{m \in j} \right) \left( \sum_{k \in j} \sum_{l \in j} \sum_{m \in j} \right) + 4 \sum_{k \in j} \sum_{l \in j} \sum_{m \in j} \right]; \quad (3.14a)$$

$$P_{N+j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{k \in j} \sum_{l \in j} \sum_{m \in j} + \sum_{k \in j} \sum_{l \in j} \sum_{m \in j} \right); \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (3.14b)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$F_1 = \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \sum_{k \in j} \sum_{l \in j} \sum_{m \in j} P_j + P_{N+j}^2 \right) - \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right)^2; \quad (3.15a)$$

$$F_2 = \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \sum_{k \in j} \sum_{l \in j} \sum_{m \in j} P_j + 2 \sum_{k \in j} \sum_{l \in j} \sum_{m \in j} P_{N+j}^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right) \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \sum_{k \in j} \sum_{l \in j} \sum_{m \in j} P_j + P_{N+j}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right)^3; \quad (3.15b)$$

Similarly, it is easy to show that  $P_1, \dots, P_{2N}$  are in involution, (3.10) and (3.11) are FD IHSs. The AKNS equation (3.6) is factorized by the x-FD IHS (3.10) and the  $t_2$ -FD IHS (3.11), namely, if  $(q; r)$  satisfies the FD IHSs (3.10) and (3.11) simultaneously, then  $(q; r)$  given by (3.9) solves the AKNS equation (3.6). In general, the factorization of the  $n$ -th AKNS equations (3.4) will be presented in the end of section 3.2.

(2) For  $k_0 = 1; \quad = \frac{1}{2}$ , (3.8c) yields

$$r_x = \langle \quad 1; \quad 2 \rangle; \quad q_k = \langle \quad 2; \quad 1 \rangle; \quad (3.16)$$

The equations (3.8a), (3.8b) and (3.16) can be written as a x-FD IHS

$$i_x = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q_1}; \quad r_x = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q}; \quad i_x = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q_1}; \quad q_k = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial r}; \quad i = 1, 2; \quad (3.17)$$

$$F_1 = \langle \quad 2; \quad 2 \rangle - \langle \quad 1; \quad 1 \rangle + r \langle \quad 2; \quad 1 \rangle + q \langle \quad 1; \quad 2 \rangle;$$

Under the constraint (3.16) and the FD IHS (3.17),  $V^{(2)}$  becomes

$$V^{(2)} = \frac{r^2 + \frac{1}{2}qr}{r + \frac{1}{2} \langle \quad 1; \quad 2 \rangle} - \frac{q + \frac{1}{2} \langle \quad 2; \quad 1 \rangle}{\frac{1}{2}qr}; \quad (3.18)$$

Then under the constraint (3.16) and the FD IHS (3.17), the binary  $t_2$ -constrained consisting of replicas (3.5) and its adjoint system for  $N$  distinct real number  $j$  as well as (3.6) can also be written as a  $t_2$ -FD IHS

$$i_{t_2} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q_1}; \quad r_{t_2} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q}; \quad i_{t_2} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q_1}; \quad q_{t_2} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial r}; \quad i = 1, 2; \quad (3.19)$$

$$F_2 = \langle \psi^2; \psi_2 \rangle - \langle \psi^2; \psi_1 \rangle + q \langle \psi; \psi_2 \rangle + r \langle \psi; \psi_1 \rangle \\ + \frac{1}{2}qr(\langle \psi; \psi_2 \rangle - \langle \psi; \psi_1 \rangle) + \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi; \psi_1 \rangle \langle \psi; \psi_2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2}q^2r^2.$$

The Lax matrix  $M$  for FDHS (3.17) and (3.19) is given by

$$A(\lambda) = \lambda + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\psi_j \psi_{j+1} - \psi_{j+1} \psi_j}{\lambda}; \quad (3.20a)$$

$$B(\lambda) = q + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\psi_j \psi_{j+1}}{\lambda}; \quad C(\lambda) = r + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\psi_j \psi_{j+1}}{\lambda}; \quad (3.20b)$$

A straightforward calculation yields

$$A^2(\lambda) + B(\lambda)C(\lambda) - P(\lambda) = \psi^2 + P_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N \left[ \frac{P_j}{\lambda} + \frac{P_{N+j}^2}{(\lambda)^2} \right]; \quad (3.21)$$

where  $P_0, \dots, P_{2N}$  are independent integrals of motion in involution for the FDHSs (3.17) and (3.19)

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \psi; \psi_2 \rangle - \langle \psi; \psi_1 \rangle) + qr; \\ P_j = \frac{1}{2}[\psi_j \psi_{j+1} \psi_{j+2} - \psi_{j+1} \psi_{j+2} \psi_j + q \psi_j \psi_{j+1} + r \psi_{j+1} \psi_j] \\ + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k \notin j}^N \frac{1}{\lambda} [(\psi_j \psi_{j+1} - \psi_{j+1} \psi_j)(\psi_k \psi_{k+1} - \psi_{k+1} \psi_k) + 4 \psi_j \psi_{j+1} \psi_k \psi_{k+1}]; \\ P_{N+j} = \frac{1}{4}(\psi_j \psi_{j+1} + \psi_{j+1} \psi_j); \quad j = 1, \dots, N.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$F_1 = 2 \sum_{j=1}^N P_j; \quad F_2 = 2 \sum_{j=1}^N (\psi_j P_j + P_{N+j}^2) - \frac{1}{2}P_0^2; \quad (3.22)$$

It is easy to show that  $P_1, \dots, P_{2N}$  are in involution, (3.17) and (3.18) are FDHSs and commute each other. The AKNS equation (3.6) is factorized by the x-FDHS (3.17) and the  $t_2$ -FDHS (3.19), namely, if  $(\psi; \psi_2; q; \psi_1; \psi_2; r)$  satisfies the FDHSs (3.17) and (3.19) simultaneously, then  $(q; r)$  solves the AKNS equation (3.6).

### 3.2 The separation of variables for the AKNS equations .

(1) For  $k_0 = 0$  case, we present the Jacobi inversion problem for (3.10) and (3.11) as well as for (3.6). With respect to the standard Poisson bracket,  $A(\cdot)$  and  $B(\cdot)$  given by (3.12) satisfy

$$fA(\cdot); A(\cdot)g = fB(\cdot); B(\cdot)g = 0; \quad fA(\cdot); B(\cdot)g = \frac{1}{2} [B(\cdot) - B(\cdot)]: \quad (3.23)$$

In contrast with the  $B(\cdot)$  for the constrained KdV flows, the  $B(\cdot)$  given by (3.12b) has only  $N-1$  zeros, one has to define the canonical variables  $u_k; v_k; k = 1; \dots; N$ ; in a little different way:

$$B(\cdot) = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{2j-1j}{j} = e^{u_N} \frac{R(\cdot)}{K(\cdot)}; \quad R(\cdot) = \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} (\cdot - u_k); \quad K(\cdot) = \prod_{k=1}^N (\cdot - v_k); \quad (3.24a)$$

$$v_k = A(u_k); \quad k = 1; \dots; N-1; \quad v_N = \frac{1}{2} (\langle \cdot - u_1 \rangle - \langle \cdot - u_{N-1} \rangle): \quad (3.24b)$$

The equation (3.24a) yields

$$u_N = \ln \langle \cdot - u_{N-1} \rangle: \quad (3.24c)$$

Then it is easy to verify that

$$f u_N; B(\cdot)g = f v_N; A(\cdot)g = 0; \quad f v_N; u_N g = 1; \quad (3.25a)$$

$$f u_N; A(\cdot)g = \frac{B(\cdot)}{\langle \cdot - u_{N-1} \rangle}; \quad f v_N; B(\cdot)g = B(\cdot): \quad (3.25b)$$

The commutator relations (3.23) and (3.25) guarantee that  $u_1; \dots; u_N; v_1; \dots; v_N$  satisfy the canonical conditions (1.1). Similarly, we define

$$v_{N+j} = P_{N+j}; \quad u_{N+j} = \ln \frac{1j}{2j}; \quad j = 1; \dots; N: \quad (3.26)$$

In the same way we can show the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.** Assume that  $j; i; j; i \in \mathbb{R}; i = 1; 2; j = 1; \dots; N$ . Introduce the separated variables  $u_1; \dots; u_{2N}$  and  $v_1; \dots; v_{2N}$  by (3.24) and (3.26). If  $u_1; \dots; u_{N-1}$  are single zeros of  $B(\cdot)$ , then  $v_1; \dots; v_{2N}$  and  $u_1; \dots; u_{2N}$  are canonically conjugated, i.e., they satisfy (1.1).

It follows from (3.24) that

$$q = e^{u_N}; \quad (3.27)$$

$$2j-1j = e^{u_N} \frac{R(\cdot - j)}{K(\cdot - j)}; \quad \frac{1j}{2j} = e^{u_{N+j}}; \quad j = 1; \dots; N;$$

or

$$1j = \frac{S \frac{e^{u_N + u_N + j} R(j)}{K^0(j)}}{K^0(j)}; \quad 2j = \frac{S \frac{e^{u_N + u_N + j} R(j)}{K^0(j)}}{K^0(j)}; \quad j = 1; \dots; N; \quad (3.28)$$

It is easy to see from (3.13) that

$$v_N = \frac{1}{2} (< 1; 1 > - < 2; 2 >) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N P_i; \quad (3.29)$$

Then the separated equations obtained by substituting  $u_k$  into (3.13) and using (3.24) and the separated equations (3.26) and (3.29) may be integrated to give the generating function of the canonical transformation

$$S(u_1; \dots; u_{2N}) = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k}^Z \frac{1}{P(\cdot)} d\cdot - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N P_i u_N + \sum_{i=1}^N P_{N+i} u_{N+i}; \quad (3.30)$$

The linearizing coordinates are then

$$Q_i = \frac{\partial S}{\partial P_i} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k}^Z \frac{1}{(P(\cdot))^2} d\cdot - \frac{1}{2} u_N; \quad i = 1; \dots; N; \quad (3.31a)$$

$$Q_{N+i} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial P_{N+i}} = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k}^Z \frac{P_{N+i}}{(P(\cdot))^2} d\cdot + u_{N+i}; \quad i = 1; \dots; N; \quad (3.31b)$$

By using (3.15a), the linear flow induced by the FD IHS (3.10) together with the equations (3.31) leads to the Jacobi inversion problem for the FD IHS (3.10)

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k}^Z \frac{1}{(P(\cdot))^2} d\cdot - u_N = \sum_{i=1}^N (2 - P_i) x_i; \quad i = 1; \dots; N; \quad (3.32a)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k}^Z \frac{P_{N+i}}{(P(\cdot))^2} d\cdot + u_{N+i} = \sum_{i=1}^N (P_{N+i} + 2P_{N+i} x_i); \quad i = 1; \dots; N; \quad (3.32b)$$

By using (3.15b), the linear flow induced by the FD IHS (3.11) and the equations (3.31) result in the Jacobi inversion problem for the FD IHS (3.11)

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k}^Z \frac{1}{(P(\cdot))^2} d\cdot - u_N$$

$$= u_i + 2 \sum_{k=1}^N (P_k + u_i P_k + P_{N+k}^2) + \frac{3}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^N P_k \right)^2 t_2; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (3.33a)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{P_{N+i}}{(u_i)^2} \frac{d}{dt} + u_{N+i} = u_{N+i} + P_{N+i} \left( 4 \sum_{k=1}^N P_k \right) t_2; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (3.33b)$$

Then, since the AKNS equations (3.6) are factorized by the FD IHSs (3.10) and (3.11), combining the equations (3.32) with the equations (3.33) gives rise to the Jacobi inversion problem for the AKNS equations (3.6)

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{(u_i)^2} \frac{d}{dt} u_N$$

$$= u_i + 2 \sum_{k=1}^N P_k x + 2 \sum_{k=1}^N (P_k + u_i P_k + P_{N+k}^2) + \frac{3}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^N P_k \right)^2 t_2; \quad (3.34a)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{P_{N+i}}{(u_i)^2} \frac{d}{dt} + u_{N+i} = u_{N+i} + 2P_{N+i}x + P_{N+i} \left( 4 \sum_{k=1}^N P_k \right) t_2; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (3.34b)$$

If  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N$  defined by (3.27) and (3.28) can be solved from (3.34) by using the Jacobi inversion technique, then  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N$  can

be obtained from the first equation and the last equation in (3.10) by an algebraic calculation, respectively. Finally  $q$  and  $r = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$

provides the solution to the AKNS equations (3.6).

Comparing (2.20) with (3.13), one gets

$$F_0 = 1; \quad F_k = \sum_{j=1}^N \left[ \binom{k}{j} P_j + (k-1) \binom{k}{j} P_{N+j}^2 \right]; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots; \quad (3.35)$$

where  $F_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$  are also integrals of motion for both the FD IHS (3.10) and the  $t_n$ -binary constrained flow. The  $n$ -th AKNS equations (3.4) are factorized by the  $x$ -FD IHS (3.10) and the  $t_n$ -FD IHS with the Hamiltonian  $F_n$  given by

$$F_n = 2 \sum_{m=0}^N \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^m \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_{m+1} = n+1} F_{l_1} \dots F_{l_{m+1}}; \quad (3.36)$$

where  $l_1 = 1, \dots, l_{m+1} = 1; m$  are given by (2.22c). We have the proposition:

Proposition 6. The Jacobi inversion problem for the  $n$ -th AKNS equations (3.4) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k} \frac{1}{\left( \frac{1}{i} \right)^2 P} d u_N = \frac{1}{i} + \sum_{k=1}^N P_k x \\ & + 2t_n \sum_{m=0}^N \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^m \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_{m+1} = n+1}^N X^{l_1 + \dots + l_{m+1}} P_{l_1} \dots P_{l_m}; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \\ & \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k} \frac{P_{N+i}}{\left( \frac{1}{i} \right)^2 P} d u_{N+i} = \frac{1}{N+i} + 2P_{N+i} x \\ & + 4t_n \sum_{m=0}^N \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^m \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_{m+1} = n+1}^N (l_{m+1} - 1) \frac{1}{i}^{l_1 + \dots + l_m} P_{N+i} P_{l_1} \dots P_{l_m}; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \end{aligned}$$

where  $l_1 = 1, \dots, l_{m+1} = 1$ ; and  $P_{l_1}, \dots, P_{l_m}$ ; are given by (3.35).

(2) For  $k_0 = 1$  case, with respect to the standard Poisson bracket,  $A(\cdot)$  and  $B(\cdot)$  given by (3.20) also satisfy the commutator relation (2.31). One define the first  $N+1$  pair of canonical variables  $u_k, v_k; k = 1, \dots, N+1$ ; in the following way:

$$B(\cdot) = q + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{2j-1}{j} = e^{u_{N+1}} \frac{R(\cdot)}{K(\cdot)}; \quad (3.37a)$$

with

$$R(\cdot) = \sum_{k=1}^N (u_k); \quad K(\cdot) = \sum_{k=1}^N (v_k);$$

and

$$v_k = 2A(u_k); \quad k = 1, \dots, N; \quad (3.37b)$$

$$v_{N+1} = P_0 = q r \frac{1}{2} (\langle -1; -1 \rangle - \langle -2; -2 \rangle); \quad (3.37c)$$

The equation (3.24a) yields

$$u_{N+1} = \ln q; \quad (3.37d)$$

Then it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{u_{N+1}}; B(\cdot) g &= f_{v_{N+1}}; A(\cdot) g = 0; & f_{v_{N+1}}; u_{N+1} g &= 1; \\ f_{u_{N+1}}; A(\cdot) g &= 0; & f_{v_{N+1}}; B(\cdot) g &= B(\cdot); \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Similarly, we define

$$v_{N+1+j} = 2P_{N+j}; \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (3.39a)$$

$$u_{N+1+j} = \ln \frac{1}{2j}; \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (3.39b)$$

In the same way we can show the following proposition.

Proposition 7. Assume that  $j, i, j \in \mathbb{R}; i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Introduce the separated variables  $u_1, \dots, u_{2N+1}$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_{2N+1}$  by (3.37) and (3.39). If  $u_1, \dots, u_N$  are single zeros of  $B(\cdot)$ , then  $v_1, \dots, v_{2N+1}$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_{2N+1}$  are canonically conjugated, i.e., they satisfy (1.1).

It follows from (3.37) that

$$q = e^{u_{N+1}}; \quad (3.40a)$$

$$s_{1j} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2e^{u_{N+1} + u_{N+1+j} R(\cdot)}}{K^0(\cdot)} d\mu; \quad s_{2j} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2e^{u_{N+1} - u_{N+1+j} R(\cdot)}}{K^0(\cdot)} d\mu; \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (3.40b)$$

The first  $N$  separated equations can be found by substituting  $u_k$  into (3.21) and using (3.37b), the last  $N+1$  separated equations are given by (3.37c) and (3.39a). They may be integrated to give

$$S(u_1, \dots, u_{2N+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_k}{P(\cdot)} d\mu + 2P_{N+k} u_{N+1+k} + P_0 u_{N+1}; \quad (3.41)$$

with  $P(\cdot)$  given by (3.21). Then the Jacobi inversion problem for the FD IHS (3.17) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_k}{P(\cdot)} d\mu + u_{N+1} &= 0; \\ \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_k}{\binom{i}{i}} \frac{1}{P(\cdot)} d\mu &= x_i + 2x; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \\ \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_k}{\binom{i}{i}^2} \frac{P_{N+i}}{P(\cdot)} d\mu + u_{N+1+i} &= x_{N+i}; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

The Jacobi inversion problem for the FD IHS (3.19) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_k}{P(\cdot)} d\mu + u_{N+1} &= 0 - P_0 t_2; \\ \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_k}{\binom{i}{i}} \frac{1}{P(\cdot)} d\mu &= x_i + 2x_i t_2; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \\ \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_k}{\binom{i}{i}^2} \frac{P_{N+i}}{P(\cdot)} d\mu + u_{N+1+i} &= x_{N+i} + 2P_{N+i} t_2; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

Finally we have



Proposition 8. The Jacobi inversion problem for the AKNS equation (3.6) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k} \frac{1}{P(\lambda)} d\lambda + u_{N+1} &= 0 \quad P_0 t_2; \\ \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k} \frac{1}{(\lambda - \lambda_i) P(\lambda)} d\lambda &= \lambda_i + 2(x + \lambda_i t_2); \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \\ \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k} \frac{P_{N+i}}{(\lambda - \lambda_i)^2 P(\lambda)} d\lambda + u_{N+1+i} &= \lambda_{N+i} + 2P_{N+i} t_2; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

If  $\lambda_j; \lambda_j; q$  defined by (3.40) can be solved from (3.44) by using the Jacobi inversion technique, then  $\lambda_j; \lambda_j$  and  $r$  can be obtained from the equations in (3.17) by an algebraic calculation, respectively. Finally  $(q; r)$  provides the solution to the AKNS equations (3.6).

(3) The above procedure can be applied to all high-order binary constrained flows (3.8) and whole AKNS hierarchy (3.4).

#### 4. The separation of variables for the Kaup-Newell equations.

##### 4.1 Binary constrained flows of the Kaup-Newell hierarchy.

For the Kaup-Newell spectral problem [31]

$$x = U(u; \lambda); \quad U(u; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q \\ r & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ r \end{pmatrix}; \quad (4.1)$$

take

$$t_n = V^{(n)}(u; \lambda); \quad V^{(n)}(u; \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{2i} & b_{2i+1} \\ c_{2i+1} & a_{2i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{2n-2i} & \lambda^{2n-2i-1} \\ \lambda^{2n-2i-1} & \lambda^{2n-2i} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$a_0 = 1; \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{2}qr; \quad b_1 = q; \quad c_1 = r; \quad b_3 = \frac{1}{2}(q^2r + q_k); \quad c_3 = \frac{1}{2}(qr^2 - r_x); \dots;$$

and in general  $a_{2k+1} = b_{2k} = c_{2k} = 0$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{2k+1} \\ b_{2k+1} \end{pmatrix} = L \begin{pmatrix} c_{2k} \\ b_{2k} \end{pmatrix}; \quad a_{2k} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (qc_{2k-1;x} + rb_{2k-1;x}); \quad k = 1, 2, \dots; \quad (4.3)$$

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} r & -q \\ -q & r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} :$$

Then the compatibility condition of equations (4.1) and (4.2) gives rise to the Kaup-Newell hierarchy [31]

$$u_{t_n} = \frac{q}{r} \frac{c_{2n-1}}{b_{2n-1}} = J \frac{H_{2n-2}}{u}; \quad n = 1, 2, \dots; \quad (4.4)$$

where the Hamiltonian  $H_n$  and the Hamiltonian operator  $J$  are given by

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial \\ \partial & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad H_{2n} = \frac{4a_{2n+2}rc_{2n+1}qb_{2n+1}}{2n}; \quad \frac{c_{2n+1}}{b_{2n+1}} = \frac{H_{2n}}{u};$$

For  $n = 2$  we have

$$t_2 = V^{(2)}(u; q, r); \quad V^{(2)} = \frac{q^4}{r^3 + \frac{1}{2}(qr^2 - r_x)} \left( q^3 + \frac{1}{2}(q^2r + q_x) \right) + \frac{1}{2}qr^2 \quad (4.5)$$

and the coupled derivative nonlinear Schrödinger (CDNS) equations obtained from the equation (4.4) for  $n = 2$  read

$$q_{t_2} = \frac{1}{2}q_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}(q^2r)_x; \quad r_{t_2} = \frac{1}{2}r_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}(r^2q)_x; \quad (4.6)$$

The adjoint Kaup-Newell spectral problem is the equation (2.7) with  $U$  given by (4.1). We have [26]

$$\frac{\overline{q}}{u} = \frac{\overline{q}}{\overline{r}} = \text{Tr} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial U(u; q, r)}{\partial u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad (4.7)$$

The binary  $x$ -constrained flows of the Kaup-Newell hierarchy (4.4) are defined by

$$1_{;x} = q^2 r_1 + q r_2; \quad 2_{;x} = r r_1 + q^2 r_2; \quad (4.8a)$$

$$1_{;x} = q^2 r_1 - r r_2; \quad 2_{;x} = q r_1 - q^2 r_2; \quad (4.8b)$$

$$\frac{H_{k_0}}{u} - \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{c_j}{u} = \frac{c_{2k_0+1}}{b_{2k_0+1}} \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0; \quad (4.8c)$$

For  $k_0 = 0$ , we have

$$\frac{c_1}{b_1} = \frac{r}{q} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad (4.9)$$

By substituting (4.9) into (4.8a) and (4.8b), the first binary  $x$ -constrained flow becomes a FDHS

$$1_x = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial r_1}; \quad 2_x = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial r_2}; \quad 1_x = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial r_1}; \quad 2_x = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial r_2}; \quad (4.10)$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$F_1 = \langle \psi^2_{2j} \rangle - \langle \psi^2_{1j} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi_{2j} \psi_{1j} \rangle + \langle \psi_{1j} \psi_{2j} \rangle :$$

Under the constraint (4.9) and the FDHS (4.10), the binary  $t_2$ -constrained flow obtained from (4.5) and its adjoint equation for  $N$  distinct real numbers  $j$  can also be written as a FDHS

$$1;t_2 = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \psi_{1j}}; \quad 2;t_2 = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \psi_{2j}}; \quad 1;t_2 = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \psi_{1j}}; \quad 2;t_2 = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \psi_{2j}}; \quad (4.11)$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 = & \langle \psi^4_{2j} \rangle + \langle \psi^4_{1j} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi_{2j} \psi_{1j} \rangle + \langle \psi^3_{1j} \psi_{2j} \rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi^3_{2j} \psi_{1j} \rangle + \langle \psi_{1j} \psi_{2j} \rangle - \frac{1}{32} \langle \psi_{2j} \psi_{1j} \rangle^2 + \langle \psi_{1j} \psi_{2j} \rangle^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{8} (\langle \psi^2_{2j} \rangle - \langle \psi^2_{1j} \rangle) \langle \psi_{2j} \psi_{1j} \rangle + \langle \psi_{2j} \psi_{1j} \rangle : \end{aligned}$$

The Lax representation for the FDHSs (4.10) and (4.11) are presented by (2.13) with the entries of the Lax matrix  $M$  given by

$$A(j) = 1 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\psi_{1j}^2 \psi_{2j}^2}{\psi_{1j}^2 \psi_{2j}^2}; \quad (4.12a)$$

$$B(j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\psi_{1j} \psi_{2j}}{\psi_{1j}^2 \psi_{2j}^2}; \quad C(j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\psi_{1j} \psi_{2j}}{\psi_{1j}^2 \psi_{2j}^2}; \quad (4.12b)$$

A straightforward calculation yields

$$A^2(j) + B(j)C(j) - P(j) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^N \left[ \frac{P_j}{\psi_{1j}^2 \psi_{2j}^2} + \frac{\psi_{1j}^4 \psi_{2j}^4}{(\psi_{1j}^2 \psi_{2j}^2)^2} \right]; \quad (4.13)$$

where  $P_j, j = 1, \dots, 2N$ , are  $2N$  independent integrals of motion for the FDHSs (4.10) and (4.11)

$$\begin{aligned} P_j = & \frac{1}{2} \psi_{1j}^2 \psi_{2j}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \langle \psi_{2j} \psi_{1j} \rangle + \frac{1}{8} \langle \psi_{1j} \psi_{2j} \rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k \in j} \frac{1}{\psi_{1j}^2 \psi_{2j}^2} \left[ \psi_{1j}^2 \psi_{2j}^2 (\psi_{1k} \psi_{2k} + 2 \psi_{1j} \psi_{2k} + \psi_{1k}^2 + \psi_{2k}^2) \psi_{1j} \psi_{2j} \psi_{2k} \psi_{1k} \right]; \end{aligned}$$

$$j = 1; \dots; N \quad (4.14a)$$

$$P_{N+j} = \frac{1}{4} (p_{1j} p_{1j} + p_{2j} p_{2j}); \quad j = 1; \dots; N : \quad (4.14b)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$F_1 = \sum_{j=1}^N p_j; \quad F_2 = \sum_{j=1}^N (p_j^2 p_j + p_{N+j}^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N p_j^2; \quad (4.15a)$$

$$\langle p_{2j} p_{2j} \rangle + \langle p_{1j} p_{1j} \rangle = 4 \sum_{j=1}^N p_{N+j}; \quad (4.15b)$$

By inserting  $\epsilon = 0$ , (4.13) leads to

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} (\langle p_{2j} p_{2j} \rangle + \langle p_{1j} p_{1j} \rangle) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{P(0)} \sum_{j=1}^N [p_j^2 + p_{N+j}^2]; \quad (4.16)$$

With respect to the standard Poisson bracket it is found that

$$fA(\cdot); A(\cdot)g = fB(\cdot); B(\cdot)g; \quad (4.17a)$$

$$fA(\cdot); B(\cdot)g = \frac{1}{2(p_1^2 - p_2^2)} [B(\cdot) - B(\cdot)]; \quad (4.17b)$$

Then  $fA^2(\cdot) + B(\cdot)C(\cdot); A^2(\cdot) + B(\cdot)C(\cdot)g = 0$  implies that  $p_j; j = 1; \dots; 2N$  are in involution. The CDNS equations (4.6) are factorized by the  $x$ -FD IHS (4.10) and the  $t_2$ -FD IHS (4.11), namely, if  $(p_1; p_2; p_1; p_2)$  satisfies the FD IHSs (4.10) and (4.11) simultaneously, then  $(q; r)$  given by (4.9) solves the CDNS equations (4.6). The factorization of the  $n$ -th Kaup-Newell equations (4.4) will be presented in the end of section 4.2.

#### 4.2 The separation of variables for the Kaup-Newell equations.

Since the commutator relations (4.17) are quite different from (2.31) and (3.23), we have to modify a little bit of the method presented in sections 2 and 3. Let us denote  $e = p_1^2; e_j = p_j^2$ . The entries of the Lax matrix  $M$  given by (4.12) can be rewritten as

$$A(e) = 1 + \frac{1}{4} (\langle p_{2j} p_{2j} \rangle + \langle p_{1j} p_{1j} \rangle) + \frac{1}{2} e \overline{A}(e); \quad B(e) = \frac{1}{2} e \overline{B}(e); \quad (4.18a)$$

where

$$\bar{A}(e) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{e_{j-1} e_{j+1}}{e_j}; \quad \bar{B}(e) = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{e_{j-1} e_{j+1}}{e_j}; \quad (4.18b)$$

It is easy to see that

$$f\bar{A}(e); \bar{A}(e)g = f\bar{B}(e); \bar{B}(e)g = 0; \quad (4.19a)$$

$$f\bar{A}(e); \bar{B}(e)g = \frac{1}{e} [\bar{B}(e) - \bar{B}(e)]: \quad (4.19b)$$

It follows from (4.16) and (4.18a) that

$$A(e) = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{1 + [P_j e_{j-1} + P_{N+j}^2]} + \frac{1}{2} e \bar{A}(e); \quad (4.19c)$$

The commutator relations (4.19) and the generating function of integrals of motion (4.13) enable us to introduce  $u_1, \dots, u_N$  in the following way:

$$\bar{B}(e) = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{e_{j-1} e_{j+1}}{e_j} = e^{u_N} \frac{R(e)}{K(e)}; \quad (4.20a)$$

with

$$R(e) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (e^{u_k}); \quad K(e) = \sum_{k=1}^N (e^{e_k});$$

and  $v_1, \dots, v_N$  by  $\bar{A}(e)$ :

$$v_k = \bar{A}(u_k); k = 1, \dots, N-1; \quad (4.20b)$$

$$v_N = \ln \langle e^{u_N} \rangle; \quad (4.20c)$$

The eq. (4.20a) yields

$$u_N = \ln \langle e^{u_N} \rangle; \quad (4.20d)$$

Similarly we define

$$v_{N+j} = 2P_{N+j}; \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (4.21a)$$

$$u_{N+j} = \ln \frac{1}{2j}; \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (4.21b)$$

Then we have

Proposition 9. Assume that  $j, i_j, i_j \in \mathbb{R}; i = 1, 2, \dots, j = 1, \dots, N$ . Introduce the separated variables  $u_1, \dots, u_{2N}$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_{2N}$  by (4.20) and (4.21). If  $u_1, \dots, u_{N-1}$  are single zeros of  $\bar{B}(\cdot)$ , then  $v_1, \dots, v_{2N}$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_{2N}$  are canonically conjugated, i.e., they satisfy (1.1).

It follows from (4.9), (4.20a), (4.20d) and (4.21b) that

$$q = \frac{1}{2} e^{u_N}; \quad (4.22a)$$

$$1_j = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{u_N + u_{N+j} R(\frac{2}{j})}}{j K^0(\frac{2}{j})} d\lambda; \quad 2_j = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{u_N - u_{N+j} R(\frac{2}{j})}}{j K^0(\frac{2}{j})} d\lambda; \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (4.22b)$$

By substituting  $u_k$  into (4.13) and using (4.16) and (4.19c), one gets the first  $N-1$  separated equations

$$v_k = \bar{A}(u_k) = \frac{2}{u_k} \left[ \frac{q}{P(u_k)} - \frac{p}{P(0)} \right]; \quad k = 1, \dots, N-1; \quad (4.23a)$$

where  $P(0)$  are given by (4.16) and

$$P(e) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^N \left[ \frac{P_j}{e^{\frac{2}{j}}} + \frac{4P_{N+j}^2}{(e^{\frac{2}{j}})^2} \right];$$

It follows from (4.15b), (4.16) and (4.20c) that

$$v_N = 2 \frac{p}{P(0)} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^N P_{N+i}; \quad (4.23b)$$

The separated equations (4.23) and (4.21a) may be integrated to give the generating function of the canonical transformation

$$\begin{aligned} S(u_1, \dots, u_{2N}) = & \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_k \frac{2}{e} \frac{q}{P(e)} de - 2 \frac{p}{P(0)} \ln j_k \\ & + 2 \frac{p}{P(0)} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^N P_{N+i} u_N + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N P_{N+i} u_{N+i}; \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

The Jacobi inversion problem for the FD IHS (4.10) is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_k \frac{1}{e(e^{\frac{2}{i}}) P(e)} de + \frac{1}{2} \frac{p}{P(0)} \ln j_k + \frac{1}{2} \frac{p}{P(0)} u_N = \sum_{i=1}^N 2x_i;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left[ \frac{1}{e(e^{\frac{2}{i}})^2} \frac{4P_{N+i}}{P(e)} d^e - \frac{P_{N+i}}{P(0)} \ln j_k \right] \\
& \left( \frac{P_{N+i}}{P(0)} + 1 \right) u_N + u_{N+i} = \quad_{N+i}; \quad i = 1; \dots; N : \quad (4.25)
\end{aligned}$$

The Jacobi inversion problem for the FDHS (4.11) is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left[ \frac{1}{e(e^{\frac{2}{i}})^2} \frac{4P_{N+i}}{P(e)} d^e + \frac{1}{\frac{2}{i} P(0)} \ln j_k \right] + \frac{1}{\frac{2}{i} P(0)} u_N \\
& = \quad_i + (2 \frac{2}{i} \sum_{k=1}^N P_k) t_2; \\
& \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left[ \frac{1}{e(e^{\frac{2}{i}})^2} \frac{4P_{N+i}}{P(e)} d^e - \frac{P_{N+i}}{P(0)} \ln j_k \right] \\
& \left( \frac{P_{N+i}}{P(0)} + 1 \right) u_N + u_{N+i} = \quad_{N+i} + 2 \frac{4}{i} P_{N+i} t_2; \quad i = 1; \dots; N : \quad (4.26)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, since the CDNS equations (4.6) are factorized by the FDHS (4.10) and (4.11), combining the equation (4.25) with the equation (4.26) gives rise to the Jacobi inversion problem for the CDNS equations (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left[ \frac{1}{e(e^{\frac{2}{i}})^2} \frac{4P_{N+i}}{P(e)} d^e + \frac{1}{\frac{2}{i} P(0)} \ln j_k \right] + \frac{1}{\frac{2}{i} P(0)} u_N \\
& = \quad_i - 2x + (2 \frac{2}{i} \sum_{k=1}^N P_k) t_2; \quad i = 1; \dots; N ; \quad (4.27a) \\
& \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left[ \frac{1}{e(e^{\frac{2}{i}})^2} \frac{4P_{N+i}}{P(e)} d^e - \frac{P_{N+i}}{P(0)} \ln j_k \right] \\
& \left( \frac{P_{N+i}}{P(0)} + 1 \right) u_N + u_{N+i} = \quad_{N+i} + 2 \frac{4}{i} P_{N+i} t_2; \quad i = 1; \dots; N : \quad (4.27b)
\end{aligned}$$

If  $j_1; j_2; q$  defined by (4.22) can be solved from (4.36)

by using the Jacobi inversion technique, then  $j_2; j_1$  can

be obtained from the first equation and the last equation in (4.10), respectively.

Finally  $q$  and  $r = \langle \quad_1; \quad_2 \rangle$  provides the solution to the CDNS equations (4.6).

In general, the above procedure can be applied to the whole Kaup-Newell hierarchy (4.4). Set

$$A^2(\cdot) + B(\cdot)C(\cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^N F_k \cdot^{2k}; \quad (4.28a)$$

where  $F_k; k = 1; 2; \dots$  are also integrals of motion for both the x-FDHSs (4.10) and the  $t_n$ -binary constrained flows (2.16). Comparing (4.28a) with (4.13), one gets

$$F_0 = 1; \quad F_k = \sum_{j=1}^N \left[ \binom{2k}{j} P_j + (k-1) \binom{2k}{j} P_{N+j}^2 \right]; \quad k = 1; 2; \dots \quad (4.28b)$$

By employing the method in [28,29], the  $t_n$ -FDHS obtained from the  $t_n$ -constrained flow is of the form

$$1; t_n = \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \cdot_1}; \quad 2; t_n = \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \cdot_2}; \quad 1; t_n = \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \cdot_1}; \quad 2; t_n = \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \cdot_2}; \quad (4.29a)$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$F_n = 2 \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^m \frac{m}{m+1} \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_{m+1} = n} F_{l_1} \dots F_{l_{m+1}}; \quad (4.29b)$$

where  $l_1 = 1; \dots; l_{m+1} = 1$ ; and  $m$  are given by (2.22). Since the  $n$ -th Kaup-Newell equations (4.4) is factorized by the x-FDHS (4.10) and the  $t_n$ -FDHS (4.29). We have the following proposition.

**Proposition 10.** The Jacobi inversion problem for the  $n$ -th Kaup-Newell equations (4.4) is given by

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \left[ \frac{1}{e(e - \frac{2}{i})} \frac{u_k}{P(e)} d^e + \frac{1}{2} \frac{P}{P(0)} \ln j_k \right] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{P}{P(0)} u_N = \cdot_i \cdot 2x$$

$$+ 2t_n \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^m \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_{m+1} = n} \binom{2l_{m+1}}{i} F_{l_1} \dots F_{l_m}; \quad i = 1; \dots; N; \quad (4.30a)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \left[ \frac{4}{e(e - \frac{2}{i})^2} \frac{P_{N+i}}{P(e)} d^e + \frac{P_{N+i}}{P(0)} \ln j_k \right] + \left( \frac{P_{N+i}}{P(0)} + 1 \right) u_N + u_{N+i} = \cdot_{N+i}$$

$$+ 2t_n \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^m \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_{m+1} = n} (l_{m+1} - 1) \binom{2l_{m+1}}{i} P_{N+i} F_{l_1} \dots F_{l_m}; \quad i = 1; \dots; N; \quad (4.30b)$$



where  $l_1 = 1; \dots; l_{m+1} = 1$ ; and  $\mathbf{P}_{l_1}; \dots; \mathbf{P}_{l_m}$ ; are given by (4.28b).

For example, the third equations in the Kaup-Newell hierarchy with  $n = 3$  are of the form

$$q_{t_3} = \frac{1}{4} q_{xxx} - \frac{3}{8} (q^3 r^2 + 2qrq_x)_x; \quad r_{t_3} = \frac{1}{4} r_{xxx} - \frac{3}{8} (r^3 q^2 - 2qrr_x)_x; \quad (4.31)$$

The Kaup-Newell equations (4.31) can be factorized by the x-FD IHS (4.10) and  $t_3$ -FD IHS with the Hamiltonian  $F_3$  defined by

$$F_3 = \sum_{j=1}^N (2 \binom{4}{j} P_j + 4 \binom{6}{j} P_{N+j}^2) \left[ \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \binom{2}{j} P_j + \binom{4}{j} P_{N+j}^2 \right) \right] \sum_{j=1}^N P_j + \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right)^3; \quad (4.32)$$

The Jacobi inversion problem for the equations (4.31) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k} \frac{1}{e(e - \frac{2}{i})} d\mathbf{e} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(\frac{2}{i})} \ln j_k + \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(\frac{2}{i})} u_N \\ &= \mathbf{e}_i - 2x + \frac{2}{i} \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \binom{2}{j} P_j + \binom{2}{i} P_j + \binom{4}{j} P_{N+j}^2 \right) + \frac{3}{4} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right)^2 t_3; \quad i = 1; \dots; N; \\ & \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{u_k} \frac{\binom{4}{i} P_{N+i}}{e(e - \frac{2}{i})^2} d\mathbf{e} - \frac{P_{N+i}}{\mathbf{P}(0)} \ln j_k + \left( \frac{P_{N+i}}{\mathbf{P}(0)} + 1 \right) u_N \\ &+ u_{N+i} = -\mathbf{e}_{N+i} + \left[ 4 \binom{6}{i} P_{N+i} - \binom{4}{i} P_{N+j} \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right] t_3; \quad i = 1; \dots; N; \end{aligned}$$

In general, the method can be applied to all high-order binary constrained flows (4.8) and whole KN hierarchy (4.4) in the exactly same way.

#### 4. Concluding remarks.

For high-order binary constrained flows, the method in [1-6] allows us to directly introduce  $N + k_0$  pairs of canonical separated variables and  $N + k_0$  separated equations via the Lax matrices and generating function of integrals of motion. In this paper we propose a new method for determining additional  $N$  pairs of canonical separated variables and  $N$  additional separated equations for high-order binary constrained flows by directly using  $N$  additional integrals of motion. This method is completely different from that proposed in [23,24] and can be applied to all high-order binary constrained flows and other soliton hierarchies admitting  $2 \times 2$  Lax pairs.

Acknowledgments.

The author is grateful to Professor V.B. Kuznetsov for useful discussions. This work was supported by the Chinese Basic Research Project "Nonlinear Science".

# References.

1. Sklyanin E.K. 1989 J. Soviet. Math. 47, 2473.
2. Kuznetsov V.B. 1992 J. Math. Phys. 33, 3240.
3. Sklyanin E.K. 1995 Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 118, 35
4. Eilbeck J.C., Enol'skii V.Z., Kuznetsov V.B. and Tsiganov A.V. 1994 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27, 567.
5. Kalhns E.G., Kuznetsov V.B. and Willard Miller Jr 1994 J. Math. Phys. 35, 1710.
6. Hamad J. and Winternitz P. 1995 Commun. Math. Phys. 172, 263.
7. Dubrovin B.A. 1981 Russian Math. Survey 36, 11.
8. Krichever I.M. and Novikov S.P. 1980 Russian Math. Surveys 32, 53.
9. Adler M. and van Moerbeke P. 1980 Adv. Math. 38, 267.
10. Kulish P.P., Rauch-Wojciechowski S. and Tsiganov A.V. 1996 J. Math. Phys. 37, 3463.
11. Zeng Yunbo 1996 Phys. Lett. A 216, 26.
12. Zeng Yunbo 1997 J. Math. Phys. 38, 321.
13. Zeng Yunbo 1997 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30, 3719.
14. Zeng Yunbo 1997 J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 2277.
15. Ragnisco O. and Rauch-Wojciechowski S. 1992 Inverse Problems 8, 245.
16. Zeng Yunbo 1992 Chinese Science Bulletin 37, 1937.
17. Ma W.X. and Strampp W. 1994 Phys. Lett. A 185, 277.
18. Ma W.X. 1995 J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64, 1085.
19. Ma W.X., Fuchssteiner B. and Oevel W. 1996 Physica A 233, 331.
20. Ma W.X., Ding Q., Zhang W.G. and Lu B.Q. 1996 IL Nuovo Cimento B 111, 1135.
21. Li Yishen and Ma W.X. Binary nonlinearization of AKNS spectral problem under higher-order symmetry constraints, to appear in Chaos, Solitons and Fractals.
22. Ma W.X. and Fuchssteiner B. 1996 in: Nonlinear Physics, ed. E. A. I. nito, M. Boiti, L. Martina and F. Pempinelli, (Singapore: World Scientific) p217.
23. Zeng Yunbo and Ma W.X. The construction of canonical separated variables for binary constrained AKNS flows, to appear in Physica A.
24. Zeng Yunbo and Ma W.X. Separation of variables for soliton equations via their binary constrained flows, to appear in J. Math. Phys.
25. Newell A.C. 1985 Solitons in mathematics and physics (Philadelphia: SIAM).
26. Tu Guizhang, Gradients and adjoint representation equations of isospectral problem, preprint.
27. Zeng Yunbo and Li Yishen 1993 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26, L273.
28. Zeng Yunbo 1994 Physica D 73, 171.
29. Zeng Yunbo 1991 Phys. Lett. A 160, 541.

30. Ablowitz M. and Segur H. 1981 Solitons and the inverse scattering transform (Philadelphia: SIAM ).
31. Kaup D. J. and Newell A. C. 1978 J. Math. Phys. 19, 798.