Coherent Response in a Chaotic Neural Network

Haruhiko Nishim ura¹, Naofum i Katada¹ and Kazuyuki Aihara²

¹Studies of Inform ation Science, H yogo U niversity of E ducation, 942–1 Y ashirocho, H yogo 673–1494, Japan, E -m ail:f haru, katada g@ life hyogo-u ac.jp ²T he U niversity of Tokyo, 7–3–1 H ongo, B unkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan, and CREST, Japan Science and Technology C orporation (JST), 4–1–8 H on-cho, K aw aguchi, Saitam a 332, Japan, E -m ailaihara@ sattu-tokyo ac.jp

A bstract

We set up a signal-driven scheme of the chaotic neural network with the coupling constants corresponding to certain information, and investigate the stochastic resonance-like e ects under its determ inistic dynamics, comparing with the conventional case of H op eld network with stochastic noise. It is shown that the chaotic neural network can enhance weak subthreshold signals and have higher coherence abilities between stim ulus and response than those attained by the conventional stochastic m odel.

K eyw ords: chaos, coherence, noise, nonlinear, recurrent neural network, refractoriness, stochastic resonance

1 Introduction

Stochastic resonance (SR) is known as a phenom enon in which the presence of noise helps a nonlinear system in am plifying a weak (under barrier) signal [1]. The features of system s exhibiting SR seem to be applicable to some natural system s such as sensory neurons, which are noisy and operate as threshold system s. Since SR produces an inform ation-transm itting phenom enon, its positive role in the neuronal processes is to be revealed. In fact, a single neuron m odel described by the FitzH ugh-N agum o (FHN) equations exhibits SR behavior [2] and this SR e ect is found in the real sensory neurons located in the tail fan of cray sh [3]. The FHN equations driven by white noise and an arbitrary aperi-odic signal are also exam ined in the context of excitable system s with threshold dynam ics [4, 5]. One can expect that the SR e ect will be m ore pronounced in an ensem ble of system s than in a single system. In view of a collective response of globally coupled bistable system s to periodic forcing, a neural network with dynam ics of the Hop eld type [6] is studied, under the assumption that white noise and the periodic signal are identical for all neurons [7].

G iven the basic three ingredients, that is, a form of threshold, a source of noise and a weak input signal, SR can generally be observed in a large variety of system s. Considering the fact that determ inistic chaos resembles the feature of noise and provides a source of uctuation, we have a natural question whether SR-like behavior can be observed in determ inistic dynam ical systems in the absence of noise. Two di erent approaches to this problem are known. One way is to substitute the stochastic noise by a chaotic source. This situation in which the chaos is supplied as an additive noise, resembles the conventional setup for SR and yields SR-like enhancement as expected [8, 9]. The other approach is to use the intrinsic chaotic dynam ics of a nonlinear map. No external source is

necessary to provide the random ness. This m ethod generates a sort of activated hopping process which is then synchronized by a weak periodic signal [10, 11].

Until now, diverse types of chaos have been con med at several hierarchical levels in the real neural systems from single cells to cortical networks (e.g. ionic channels, spike trains from cells, EEG) [12]. By producing chaos as e ective noise spontaneously, biological system s m ay enhance their functions through signal ampli cation. This scenario is likely to have occurred even in the associative mem ory dynam ics. The chaotic neural network model [13] is known as a fram ework beyond the Hop eld neural network [6] with only equilibrium point attractors. Its dynam ic retrieving and learning features have been studied [14, 15, 16]. In this paper, we set up a signal-driven scheme of the chaotic neural network with the coupling constants corresponding to certain inform ation, and investigate the SR-like e ects under its determ inistic dynam ics, com paring with the conventional case of the Hop eld network with stochastic noise.

2 M odels

For N neurons connected by synaptic couplings $w_{\,\rm ij}$ with $w_{\,\rm ii}$ = 0, the system (signal-driven scheme) is described by

$$X_{i}(t+1) = f(h_{i}(t) + S_{i}(t))$$
; (1)

where X_i: output of neuron i(1 X_i 1), w_{ij}: synaptic weight from neuron j to neuron i, f: output function de ned by f (y) = tanh (y=2") with the steepness parameter ", h_i: internal potential, S_i: contribution of external input signal. This form is a simple and possible incorporation of stimuli as the changes of neuronalactivity. In the case of chaotic neural network (CNN) [13], the internal potential is given by

$$h_{i}(t) = {}_{i}(t) + {}_{i}(t) ;$$
 (2)

$$i(t) = \underset{j=1}{\overset{X^{-}}{w_{ij}}} k_{f}^{d} X_{j}(t \ d) ;$$
 (3)

$$_{i}(t) = \sum_{\substack{d=0 \\ d=0}}^{X^{t}} X_{i}(t \ d) \quad _{i}; \qquad (4)$$

where $_i$: threshold of neuron $i_{k_f}(k_r)$: decay factor for the feedback (refractoriness) (0 k_f ; $k_r < 1$); : refractory scaling parameter. Owing to the exponentially decaying form of the past in uence, the dynamics of f $_i$ g and f $_i$ g can be described as follows:

$$i(t) = k_{fi}(t 1) + w_{ij}X_{j}(t);$$
 (5)
 $j=1$

$$_{i}(t) = k_{r i}(t 1) X_{i}(t) _{i}(1 k_{r}) :$$
 (6)

W hen $= k_f = k_r = 0$, the network corresponds to the conventional discretetime H op eld network (we call the H op eld network point (HNP)):

$$X_{i}(t+1) = f \underset{j=1}{X^{N}} w_{ij}X_{j}(t) _{i}$$
 (7)

W e also look into the case that stochastic uctuations are attached to HNP in Eq.(7):

$$h_{i}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{X^{N}} w_{ij}X_{j}(t) + F_{i}(t) ; \qquad (8)$$

where $F_i(t)$ is a neuron-independent G aussian white noise dened by $\langle F_i(t) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle F_i(t)F_j(t^0) \rangle = D^2_{t;t^0}_{i;j}$, and D is the noise intensity parameter W e call Eq.(8) a stochastic neural network (SNN).

The synaptic con guration fw $_{i\,j}g$ is determined by storing pattern inform ation in the network as minim a of the computational energy :

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij}^{X} w_{ij} X_{i} X_{j}$$
(9)

at HNP. This is done by using a local iterative learning rule [17] for p patterns $f_i g_i (1; N)$, $(=1; P_i = +1 \text{ or } 1)$ in the following form :

$$w_{ij}^{new} = w_{ij}^{old} + w_{ij}$$
(10)

with

$$w_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} (1_{i})_{ij};$$
 (11)

where $P_{N}_{i j=1} w_{ijj}$ and (h) is the unit step function.

3 Simulations and Results

To carry out computational experiments, we consider a network with N = 156, f $_{i}g = 0$ and " = 0.015 (unless otherwise stated), and use non-orthogonal 20 random patterns R¹ R²⁰ as a set of external signal: $fS_{i}g = sf_{i}g($ = 1; ;20). s is the strength factor of signal $_{i}g($ i= 1; ;N) is represented by 12 13 binary data and a half of $_{i}$'s has +1 and the other half has 1. 10 patterns R¹ R¹⁰ are stored with the above learning rule of Eq.(10) (p = 10), and then the corresponding multi-stable landscape is made on the network dynam ics.

As the tem poral input signal $fS_i(t)g$, we take two kinds of signals. One is a random train composed of stored patterns $R^1 = R^{10}$ (e.g. $\mathbb{R}^3 ! \mathbb{R}^7 ! \mathbb{R}^2 !$

]) with a duration for every pattern and the other is a similar sequence of non-stored patterns R¹¹ R²⁰. Figure 1 shows typical examples of the results of tem poral behaviors of the neural networks to input signal fS₁(t)g. An input random train of stored patterns (T_I = 100) is given in Fig.1 (a) by the relative overlap with pattern R⁴:

$$m_{I}^{4}(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i}(t)_{i}^{4}; \quad S_{i} = S_{i} = s:$$
 (12)



Figure 1: (a) T in e series of the input signal $fS_i(t)g$ with $T_I = 100$ used in our simulations. (b) The behaviors of the output response $fX_i(t)g$ in CNN with di erent values of the refractory parameter for the signal (s=0.5). (c) Those in SNN with di erent values of the noise intensity parameter D. In (a) (c) the ordinate is the relative overlaps with the pattern R^4 [Eqs.(12),(13)].

Responses of CNN ($k_f = 0.1$, $k_r = 0.7$) and SNN to this signal with strength s = 0.5 are shown in Fig.1 (b) and (c) by

$$m_{0}^{4}(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} X_{i}(t)_{i}^{4} :$$
 (13)

In CNN [Fig.1(b)], as we can see from three cases where is 0.125, 0.375 and 0.625, the performance of output response is largely a ected by its refractory scale parameter. The response ts well the input signal when is 0.375. From Fig.1(c), similar behaviors are observed for SNN against the noise intensity parameter D (= 0.25; 0.75 and 1.5), except for the appearance of noise-driven uctuations.

To evaluate the coherence between the signal fS_i (t)g and the response fX_i (t)g, we introduce the correlation coe cient r and the discrimination e ciency n. These quantities are de ned as

$$r = \frac{\overline{D \operatorname{ev}(\mathfrak{m}_{1}^{4})D \operatorname{ev}(\mathfrak{m}_{0}^{4})}}{\overline{D \operatorname{ev}(\mathfrak{m}_{1}^{4})^{2}}^{1=2}\overline{D \operatorname{ev}(\mathfrak{m}_{0}^{4})^{2}}^{1=2}}$$
(14)

and

$$n = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X^{N}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i}(t) X_{i}(t)} ; S_{i} = S_{i} = s ; \qquad (15)$$

where D ev (Y) is the deviation Y \overline{Y} and the overbar denotes an average over time. In naively means the rate of information transfer from stimulus to response.

The numerical results of r and n plotted against refractory factor are given in Fig2, calculated using the tem poral data at every di erent values. The results for $fS_i(t)g$ of stored patterns $R^1 = R^{10}$ are in contrast with the results for $fS_i(t)g$ of non-stored patterns R^{11} R^{20} in the range of larger than about 0.2 wherein the response is hopping out and into a well (m in in um of the energy) corresponding to a stored pattern state. This movability causes an increased coherence with stored pattern stimuli. Under the same conditions of input signal, dependences of r and n on the noise intensity D in SNN are exam ined as shown in Fig.3. Between stored and non-stored pattern signals, a quite di erence like in CNN is also con med. Com paring the results for stored pattern signal, we can see that in CNN there appears a projected plateau for both r and n, and in this at region both of their values are kept very close to 1. Contrary to this, in SNN r and n have gradual variations with D and n degrades faster than r, which seems to be consistent with conventional SR. This comparison indicates that CNN can cause SR-like phenom ena with high perform ance which cannot be attained in SNN.

In CNN, the stronger the signal strength s is, this -range of the at plateau becom es wider. Conversely, this range becom es narrow er for weaker s. We illustrate this e ect as coherent -range versus signal strength s in Fig.4, together with the relationship of maximum Lyapunov exponent $_1$ [18] to when there exists no signal forcing. As s decreases, the -range (r 0:9) becom es narrow and at last disappears. Then the value coincides with at the sudden rise point of the Lyapunov exponent $_1$. This fact tells that sensitive and exible responses happen around the boundary between order and disorder, in other



Figure 2: Correlation coe cient r (solid line) and discrimination e ciency n (dotted line) as a function of refractory parameter in CNN simulations with Eq.(2). The results for the input signals of stored and non-stored pattern trains are shown.



Figure 3: r (solid line) and n (dotted line) as a function of noise intensity parameter D in SNN simulations with Eq.(8).



Figure 4: Dependence of the coherent -range (r 0:9) and the r_{max} point on the input signal strength s (the left-axis) in CNN, shown together with the maximum Lyapunov exponent 1 (the right-axis) as a function of parameter in CNN with no signal forcing (s = 0).

words, the edge of chaos [19]. At < , the refractory term (X_i) in Eq.(6) m akes a well (m in im um of the energy) shallow in e ect and helps the input signal drive the network state. On the other hand, at > the chaotic attractor is driven by the input signal and stabilized to the corresponding network state.

Figure 5 shows return m aps of the internal potential h_i for a neuron (i = 12) in CNN with no signal forcing (s = 0) when > . In the case of = 58=128, the trajectories of h_i are attracted into the region of square (0.5 0.5) such that controllable by the input signal s = 0.5. When = 96=128 (a deeper chaotic state), however, the trajectories are attracted outward so that chaos cannot be suppressed by the input signal s = 0.5.

We have investigated all the above phenomena in other conditions of the parameters and the input signals, and have found similar results and overall tendency.

4 Conclusion

W e have shown that the chaotic neural network can enhance weak subthreshold signals and have higher coherence abilities between stimulus and response than those attained by the conventional stochastic neural network model. The high coherent response is found to arise around the edge of chaos. This im plies that some of SR phenomena may be realized by the inherent properties of determ inistic nonlinear system s without any external noise. A nalytical study to



Figure 5: Return m aps of the internal potential for the 12th neuron (i = 12) in CNN with no signal forcing in the cases of = 58=128 and 96=128 (>).

explain these results will be important in our future work. The coherent response concept is expected to be related to researches in cognitive neuroscience from dynam ical system view points [20, 21].

References

- [1] For recent reviews, see K W iesenfeld and F M oss, \Stochastic Resonance and the Bene ts of N oise: From Ice A ges to C ray sh and SQ U ID s", N ature, 373, pp.33{36, 1995. F M oss and K W iesenfeld, \The Bene ts of Background N oise", Sci. Am. 273, pp.50-53, 1995. L G am m aitoni, P H anggi, P Jung and F M archesoni, \Stochastic Resonance", Rev. M od. Phys., 70, pp.223{287, 1998.
- [2] A Longtin, \Stochastic Resonance in Neuron Models", J.Stat. Phys., 70, pp.309{327,1993.
- [3] JK Douglass, LW ilkens, E Pantazelou and F Moss, \Noise enhancement of information transfer in cray sh mechanoreceptors by stochastic resonance", Nature, 365, pp.337{340,1993.
- [4] JJCollins, CCC how and T.T.Im ho, \Stochastic resonance without tuning", Nature, 376, pp 236{238, 1995.
- [5] D R Chialvo, A Longtin and JM uller-Gerking, \Stochastic Resonance in M odels of Neuronal Ensem bles", Phys. Rev. E 55, pp 1798 (1808, 1997.
- [6] J.J.Hop eld, \N euralN etw orks and P hysical System s with Em ergent Collective C om putational A bilities", P roc. N atl. A cad. Sci. U SA, 79, pp 2554 2558, 1982.
- [7] M E Inchiosa and A R Bulsara, \C oupling Enhanced Stochastic Resonance in Nonlinear D ynam ic E lements D riven by a Sinusoid P lus Noise", Phys. Lett. A 200, pp 283 {288, 1995.

- [8] T L Carrol and L M Pecora, \Stochastic Resonance and Crises", Phys. Rev. Lett., 70, pp 576{579, 1993.
- [9] A Crisanti, M Felcioni, G Paladin and A Vulpiani, \Stochastic Resonance in Determ inistic Chaotic System s", J. Phys., A 27, pp 597 [603, 1994.
- [10] V S Anishchenko, A B Neim an and M A Safanova, \Stochastic Resonance in Chaotic System s", J. Stat. Phys., 70, pp.183{196, 1993.
- [11] G N icolis, C N icolis and D M cK eman, \Stochastic Resonance in Chaotic D ynam ics", J. Stat. Phys., 70, pp.125{139, 1993.
- [12] See, e.g., The Handbook of Brain Theory and Neural Networks, edited by M A Arbib, The M IT Press, 1995.
- [13] K A ihara, in B ifurcation phenomena in nonlinear systems and theory of dynamical systems, edited by H K awakami, pp.143-161 (W orld Scientic, 1990); K A ihara, T Takabe and M Toyoda, Phys. Lett. A 144, pp.333{ 340,1990.
- [14] M A dachi and K A ihara, \A ssociatve D ynam ics in C haotic N eural N etwork", N eural N etworks, 10, pp.83{98, 1997.
- [15] L Chen and K A ihara, \Chaotic simulated annealing by a neural network m odel with transient chaos", Neural Networks, 8, pp.915{930, 1995.
- [16] H N ishim ura, N K atada and Y Fujita, \D ynam ic learning and retrieving scheme based on chaotic neuron model", In Complexity and D iversity (R N akam ura et al., Eds.), pp.64{66, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [17] S D iederich and M Opper, \Learning of Correlated Patterns in Spin-G lass Networks by Local Learning Rules", Phys. Rev. Lett., 58, pp.949{952, 1987.
- [18] See, e.g., T S. Parker and L O. Chua, Practical Numerical Algorithms for Chaotic Systems, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [19] C.G. Langton, \Computation at the Edge of Chaos", Physica D, 42, pp.12{37,1990.
- [20] W JFreeman, Societies of Brains, Law rence Erlbaum Associates, 1995.
- [21] H. Haken, Principles of Brain Functioning, Springer-Verlag, 1996.