

From the Birkho -Gustavson normalization to the Bertrand-Darboux integrability condition

Yoshio Uwano

Department of Applied Mathematics and Physics, Kyoto University
Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

Abstract. The Bertrand-Darboux integrability condition for a certain class of perturbed harmonic oscillators is studied from the viewpoint of the Birkho -Gustavson (BG)-normalization: In solving an inverse problem of the BG-normalization on computer algebra, it is shown that if the perturbed harmonic oscillators with a homogeneous cubic-polynomial potential and with a homogeneous quartic-polynomial potential share the same BG-normal form up to degree-4, then both oscillators satisfy the Bertrand-Darboux integrability condition.

AMS classification scheme numbers: 70K 45, 70H 06, 37J40, 37J40, 37K 10

Short title: From the Birkho -Gustavson normalization to the Bertrand-Darboux integrability condition

March 28, 2024

1. Introduction

The Bertrand-Darboux theorem is well known to provide a necessary and sufficient condition for two-degree-of-freedom natural Hamiltonian systems associated with the Euclidean metric to admit an integral of motion quadratic in momenta (Darboux 1901). Moreover, that condition is necessary and sufficient for the natural Hamiltonian systems to be separable in either Cartesian, polar, parabolic or elliptic coordinates (Marshall and Wojciechowski 1988). This theorem thereby provides a sufficient condition for the complete integrability of the natural Hamiltonian systems, which will be referred to as the Bertrand-Darboux integrability condition (BDIC) in the present paper. The BDIC has been studied repeatedly from various viewpoints; the separation of variables (Marshall and Wojciechowski 1988, Grosche et al 1995 in the path-integral formulation), the complete integrability (Perelomov 1990), and so-called the direct method (Hietarinta 1987), for example (see also Whittaker 1944 as an older reference and the references in the above-cited literatures).

The aim of the present paper is to show that the BDIC is obtained as an outcome of a study on the Birkhoff-Gustavson (BG)-normalization (Gustavson 1966, Moser 1968) for the Hamiltonians of the perturbed harmonic oscillators with homogeneous cubic-polynomial potentials (PHOCP's). In solving an inverse problem of the BG-normalization for a given PHOCP-Hamiltonian with a help of computer algebra, the family of the perturbed harmonic oscillators with homogeneous quartic-polynomial potentials (PHOQP's) is identified which share the same BG-normal form up to degree-4 with the given PHOCP. Consequently, a new deep relation is found between the BDIC for the PHOCP's and that for the PHOQP's: It is shown that if a PHOCP and a PHOQP share the same BG-normal form up to degree-4 then both oscillators are integrable in the sense that they satisfy the BDIC. It is worth noting that the present work was inspired in the debugging process of the computer-program named 'ANFER' for the BG-normalization (Uwano et al 1999, Uwano 2000), where the one-parameter Henon-Heiles system was taken as an example.

Before the outline of the present paper, the inverse problem of the BG-normalization is explained very briefly. The BG-normalization has been a powerful method for nonlinear Hamiltonian systems. For example, when a two-degree-of-freedom Hamiltonian system with a 1:1-resonant equilibrium point is given, the BG-normalization of its Hamiltonian around the equilibrium point provides an 'approximate' Hamiltonian system: The truncation of the normalized Hamiltonian up to a finite degree is associated with the approximate Hamiltonian system, which provides a good account of the surface of section with sufficiently small energies (Kummer 1976, Cushman 1982). Such a good approximation implies that finding the class of Hamiltonian systems admitting the same BG-normalization up to a finite degree amounts to finding a class of Hamiltonian systems which admit the surface of section similar to each other. The following question has been hence posed by the author as an inverse problem of the BG-normalization (Chekanov et al 1998, 2000, Uwano et al 1999): What kind of polynomial Hamiltonians can be brought into a given polynomial Hamiltonian in BG-normal form? Since elementary algebraic operations, differentiation, and integration of polynomials have to be repeated many times to solve the inverse problem, computer algebra is worth applying to solve the inverse problem; see Uwano et al (1999) and Uwano (2000) for the program named 'ANFER' and Chekanov et al (1998, 2000) for GITA¹.

The organization of this paper is outlined as follows. Section 2 sets up the

ordinary and the inverse problems of the BG-normalization for Hamiltonians, which will be often referred to as 'the ordinary problem for Hamiltonians' and 'the inverse problem for BG-normal form Hamiltonians', respectively, henceforth. Although the setting-up of the ordinary problem seems to be merely a review of Moser (1968), it is of great use to define the ordinary problem in an mathematically-sound form: Through the review, the class of canonical transformation to be utilized in the BG-normalization can be specified explicitly. In section 3, the one-parameter Henon-Heiles Hamiltonian is taken as an example to illustrate how the ordinary and the inverse problem are proceeded. From the solution of the inverse problem, it follows that if the one-parameter Henon-Heiles system and the perturbed harmonic oscillator with a homogeneous-quartic polynomial potential (PHOQP) share the same BG-normal form up to degree-4 then both dynamical systems satisfy the BDI, so that they are integrable. In section 4, the discussion in section 3 made for the one-parameter Henon-Heiles Hamiltonian is extended to the Hamiltonians of the perturbed harmonic oscillators with homogeneous-cubic polynomial potentials (PHOCP's): The ordinary and the inverse problems of the BG-normalization for the PHOCP Hamiltonians are dealt with there. In the ordinary problem, the Hamiltonians for PHOCP's are normalized up to degree-4. For the BG-normal form thus obtained, the inverse problem is solved up to degree-4. Both of the problems are solved with (a prototype of) the symbolic-computing program ANFER working on Reduce 3.6 (Uwano 2000). It is shown that if a PHOCP and a PHOQP share the same BG-normal form up to degree-4 then both oscillators are integrable in the sense that they satisfy the BDI. Section 5 is for concluding remarks including a conjecture on a further extension.

2. Setting-up the ordinary and the inverse problems of BG-normalization

In this section, the ordinary and the inverse problems of the BG-normalization are reviewed for the two-degree-of-freedom Hamiltonians (Uwano et al 1999).

2.1. The ordinary problem

We start with defining the ordinary problem along with a review of Moser (1968). Let $R^2 \times R^2$ be the phase space with the canonical coordinates $(q;p)$ ($q;p \in R^2$), and $K(q;p)$ be the Hamiltonian expressed in power-series form,

$$K(q;p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (p_j^2 + q_j^2) + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} K_k(q;p); \quad (1)$$

where each $K_k(q;p)$ ($k = 3, 4, \dots$) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree- k in $(q;p)$.

Remark 1. The convergent radius of the power series (1) may vanish. This happens to any K that is not analytic but differentiable around the origin, for example. In such a case, the power series (1) is considered only in a formal sense. We will, however, often eliminate the word 'formal' from such formal power series henceforth.

We normalize the Hamiltonian K through the local canonical transformation of the following form. Let $(Q;P)$ be another canonical coordinates working around the origin of $R^2 \times R^2$. We consider the canonical transformation of $(q;p)$ to $(Q;P)$ associated

with a generating function (Goldstein 1950) in power-series form,

$$W(q;) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{X^2}{q_j} + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} W_k(q;); \quad (2)$$

where each W_k is a homogeneous polynomial of degree- k in $(q;)$ ($k = 3; 4; \dots$). The $W(q;)$ is said to be of the second-type since $W(q;)$ is a function of the old' position variables q and the new' momentum ones (see Goldstein 1950). The canonical transformation associated with $W(q;)$ is given by the relation

$$(q; p) \rightarrow (q;) \text{ with } p = \frac{\partial W}{\partial q} \text{ and } = \frac{\partial W}{\partial}; \quad (3)$$

which leaves the origin of $R^2 \times R^2$ invariant on account of (2). By $G(;)$, we denote the Hamiltonian brought from $K(q; p)$ by

$$G = \frac{\partial W}{\partial}; \quad = K - q; \frac{\partial W}{\partial q} \quad (4)$$

through the transformation (3). The BG-normalization for K is accomplished by choosing the generating function $W(q;)$ in (4) to put $G(;)$ in BG-normal form:

Definition 2.1. Let $G(;)$ be written in the power-series form,

$$G(;) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X^2 \left(\frac{^2}{j} + \frac{^2}{j} \right) + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} G_k(;); \quad (5)$$

where each $G_k(;)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree- k ($k = 3; 4; \dots$) in $(;)$. The power series $G(;)$ is said to be in BG-normal form up to degree- r if and only if

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X^2 \left(\frac{^2}{j} + \frac{^2}{j} \right); G_k(;); = 0 \quad (k = 3; \dots; r) \quad (6)$$

holds true, where $f; g$ is the canonical Poisson bracket (Arnold 1980) in $(;)$.

Let us equate the homogeneous-polynomial part of degree- k ($k = 3; 4; \dots$) in (4). Then equation (4) is put into the series of equations,

$$G_k(q;) + (D_{q; } W_k) = K_k(q;) + k(q;) \quad (k = 3; 4; \dots); \quad (7)$$

where $D_{q; }$ is the differential operator,

$$D_{q; } = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{X^2}{q_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial} - j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} : \quad (8)$$

The $k(q;)$ in (7) is the homogeneous polynomial of degree- k in $(q;)$ which is uniquely determined by $W_3; W_4, K_3; K_4, G_3; G_4$ given: In particular, we have $_3(q;) = 0$ and

$$_4(q;) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{X^2}{2} \frac{\partial W_3}{\partial q_j}^2 + \frac{\partial K_3}{\partial p_j} (q;) \frac{\partial W_3}{\partial q_j} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial W_3}{\partial}^2 - \frac{\partial G_3}{\partial j} (q;) \frac{\partial W_3}{\partial j} : \quad (9)$$

Since we will deal with only the BG-normalization up to degree-4 in the present paper, we will not get the expression of ϕ_k for $k > 4$ into more detail (see Uwano et al 1999, if necessary).

To solve equation (7), the direct-sum decomposition induced by D_{q_i} of the spaces of homogeneous polynomials is of great use. Let $V_k(q_i)$ denote the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree- k in (q_i) with real-valued coefficients ($k = 0, 1, \dots$). Since the differential operator D_{q_i} acts linearly on each $V_k(q_i)$, the action of D_{q_i} naturally induces the direct-sum decomposition,

$$V_k(q_i) = \text{image } D_{q_i}^{(k)} \oplus \ker D_{q_i}^{(k)} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots); \quad (10)$$

of $V_k(q_i)$, where $D_{q_i}^{(k)}$ denotes the restriction,

$$D_{q_i}^{(k)} = D_{q_i} \circ j_{V_k(q_i)} \quad (k = 3, 4, \dots); \quad (11)$$

Remark 2. We have $\ker D_{q_i}^{(k)} = \{0\}$ and $\text{image } D_{q_i}^{(k)} = V_k(q_i)$ if k is odd.

For D_{q_i} and $D_{q_i}^{(k)}$ ($k = 3, 4, \dots$), we have the following easy to prove.

Lemma 2.2. Equation (6) is equivalent to

$$(D_{q_i} \circ (G_k \circ j_{q_i})) (q_i) = (D_{q_i}^{(k)} \circ (G_k \circ j_{q_i})) (q_i) = 0 \quad (k = 3, \dots; r); \quad (12)$$

Namely, $G_k \circ j_{q_i} \in \ker D_{q_i}^{(k)}$ ($k = 3, \dots; r$).

According to (10), let us decompose $K_k(q_i)$ and $\phi_k(q_i)$ ($k = 3, 4, \dots$) to be

$$\begin{aligned} K_k(q_i) &= K_k^{\text{image}}(q_i) + K_k^{\text{ker}}(q_i); \\ \phi_k(q_i) &= \phi_k^{\text{image}}(q_i) + \phi_k^{\text{ker}}(q_i); \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_k^{\text{image}}(q_i) &; \phi_k^{\text{image}}(q_i) \in \text{image } D_{q_i}^{(k)}; \\ K_k^{\text{ker}}(q_i) &; \phi_k^{\text{ker}}(q_i) \in \ker D_{q_i}^{(k)}; \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Since $G_k \in \ker D_{q_i}^{(k)}$ by Lemma 2.2 and since $D_{q_i} \circ W_k \in \text{image } D_{q_i}^{(k)}$, we obtain

$$G_k(q_i) = K_k^{\text{ker}}(q_i) + W_k^{\text{ker}}(q_i) \quad (k = 3, 4, \dots); \quad (15)$$

as a solution of (7), where W_k is chosen to be

$$W_k(q_i) = D_{q_i}^{(k)-1} (K_k^{\text{image}} \circ j_{q_i} + \phi_k^{\text{image}} \circ j_{q_i}) (q_i); \quad (16)$$

What is crucial of (16) is that $W_k \in \text{image } D_{q_i}^{(k)}$ ($k = 3, 4, \dots$): For a certain integer

3, let us consider the sum, $W = W_3 + (\text{any polynomial in } \ker D_{q_i}^{(3)})$, where G_k and W_k with $k < 3$ are given by (15, 16). Even after such a modification, W satisfy (7) with $k = 3$ still, which leads another series of solutions of (7) with $k > 3$. Therefore, under the restriction, $W_k \in \text{image } D_{q_i}^{(k)}$ ($k = 3, 4, \dots$), we can say that (15) with (16) is the unique solution of (4). To summarize, the ordinary problem is defined as follows:

Definition 2.3 (The ordinary problem). For a given Hamiltonian $K(q;p)$ in power series (1), bring $K(q;p)$ into the BG-normal form $G(\cdot; \cdot)$ in power series (5) which satisfy (4) and (6) with $r = 1$, where the second-type generating function W of the form (2) is chosen to satisfy (4) and

$$W_k(q; \cdot) \in \text{Im} \mathcal{D}_{q_j}^{(k)} \quad (k = 3; 4; \dots): \quad (17)$$

Theorem 2.4. The BG-normal form $G(\cdot; \cdot)$ for the Hamiltonian $K(q;p)$ is given by (5) with (15), where the second-type generating function $W(q; \cdot)$ in power series (2) is chosen to be (16).

Remark 3. The convergent radius of the BG-normal form G in power-series (5) vanishes in general (see Moser 1968). In such a case, G is considered only in a formal sense. However, like in Remark 1 for K , we will often eliminate 'formal' from such formal power series henceforth.

2.2. The inverse problem

To define the inverse problem of the BG-normalization appropriately, we review the key equation (4) of the ordinary problem from a viewpoint of canonical transformations. Let us regard the power series $W(q; \cdot)$ in (4) as a third-type generating function, a generating function of the 'new' position variables q and the 'old' momentum ones (Goldstein 1950), which provides the inverse canonical transformation,

$$(\cdot; \cdot) \mapsto (q;p) \quad \text{with} \quad q = \frac{\partial(W)}{\partial p} \quad \text{and} \quad p = \frac{\partial(W)}{\partial q}; \quad (18)$$

of (3). Equation (4) is rewritten as

$$K(q; \frac{\partial(W)}{\partial q}) = G(\frac{\partial(W)}{\partial p}; q); \quad (19)$$

which is combined with (18) to show the following.

Lemma 2.5. Let $G(\cdot; \cdot)$ of (5) be the BG-normal form for the Hamiltonian $K(q;p)$ of (1), which satisfies (4) with a second-type generating function $W(q; \cdot) \in \text{Im} \mathcal{D}_{q_j}$. The Hamiltonian $K(q;p)$ is restored from $G(\cdot; \cdot)$ through the canonical transformation (18) associated with the third-type generating function $W(q; \cdot) \in \text{Im} \mathcal{D}_{q_j}$.

We are now in a position to pose the inverse problem in the following way: Let the Hamiltonian $H(q;p)$ be written in the form,

$$H(q;p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j^2 + q_j^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} H_k(q;p); \quad (20)$$

where each $H_k(q;p)$ ($k = 3; 4; \dots$) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree- k in $(q;p)$. Further, let a third-type generating function $S(\cdot; q)$ be written in the form,

$$S(q; \cdot) = \sum_{j=1}^n q_j \frac{\partial S}{\partial p_j} + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} S_k(q; \cdot); \quad (21)$$

where each $S_k(q; \cdot)$ ($k = 3; 4; \dots$) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree- k in $(q; \cdot)$.

Definition 2.6 (The inverse problem). For a given BG-normal form, $G(q; p)$, in power series (5), identify all the Hamiltonians $H(q; p)$ in power series (20) which satisfy

$$H(q; \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}) = G(q; \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}); \quad (22)$$

where the third-type generating function $S(q; p)$ in power series (21) is chosen to satisfy (22) and

$$S_k(q; p) \in \text{ageD}_{q; p}^{(k)} \quad (k = 3; 4; \dots); \quad (23)$$

We solve the inverse problem in the following way. On equating the homogeneous-polynomial part of degree- k in (22), equation (22) is put into the series of equations,

$$H_k(q; p) - (D_{q; p} S_k)(q; p) = G_k(q; p) - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j H}{\partial p^j} (q; p) \frac{\partial^j S}{\partial q^j} \quad (k = 3; 4; \dots); \quad (24)$$

where $D_{q; p}$ is given by (8). The $G_k(q; p)$ is the homogeneous polynomial of degree- k in $(q; p)$ determined uniquely by $H_3, \dots, H_k, G_3, \dots, G_k, S_3, \dots, S_k$ given. In particular, we have $S_3(q; p) = 0$ and

$$H_4(q; p) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j H_3}{\partial p^j} (q; p) \frac{\partial^j S_3}{\partial q^j} + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{\partial^2 H_3}{\partial p^2} (q; p) \frac{\partial^2 S_3}{\partial q^2} + \frac{1}{3!} \frac{\partial^3 H_3}{\partial p^3} (q; p) \frac{\partial^3 S_3}{\partial q^3}; \quad (25)$$

Remark 4. As is easily seen from (9) and (25), H_4 takes a similar form to H_3 due to (21). Indeed, since the substitution $W = S$ in (4) provides (22), H_k for each $k > 4$ can be obtained as G_k given by (7) with $H_3; \dots, H_k; H$ and $S_3; \dots, S_k; S$ in place of $K_3; \dots, K_k; K$ and $W_3; \dots, W_k; W$. Such a similarity will be utilized effectively in future in writing the program ANFER for the ordinary and the inverse problems in a unified form.

Like in the ordinary problem, we solve (24) for H_k and S_k by using the direct-sum decomposition (10) of $V_k(q; p)$, the vector spaces of homogeneous polynomials of degree- k ($k = 3; 4; \dots$). Let us decompose H_k and S_k to be

$$H_k(q; p) = H_k^{\text{image}}(q; p) + H_k^{\text{ker}}(q; p); \quad (26)$$

$$S_k(q; p) = S_k^{\text{image}}(q; p) + S_k^{\text{ker}}(q; p);$$

where

$$H_k^{\text{image}}(q; p); S_k^{\text{image}}(q; p) \in \text{ageD}_{q; p}^{(k)}; \quad (27)$$

$$H_k^{\text{ker}}(q; p); S_k^{\text{ker}}(q; p) \in \text{kerD}_{q; p}^{(k)};$$

Then on equating $\text{kerD}_{q; p}^{(k)}$ -part in (24), H_k^{ker} is determined to be

$$H_k^{\text{ker}}(q; p) = G_k(q; p) - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j H}{\partial p^j} (q; p) \frac{\partial^j S}{\partial q^j}; \quad (28)$$

Equating $\text{ageD}_{q; p}^{(k)}$ -part of (24), we have

$$H_k^{\text{image}}(q; p) - (D_{q; p} S_k)(q; p) = G_k^{\text{image}}(q; p); \quad (29)$$

Since the pair of unidentified polynomials, H_k^{image} and S_k exists in (29), H_k^{image} is not determined uniquely in contrast with H_k^{ker} ; such a non-uniqueness is of the very nature of the inverse problem. Accordingly, equation (29) is solved to as

$$H_k^{\text{image}}(q; p) \in \text{imageD}_{q; p}^{(k)} : \text{chosen arbitrarily}; \quad (30)$$

$$S_k(q; p) = D_{q; p}^{(k)} \sum_{\text{imageD}_{q; p}^{(k)}}^1 (H_k^{\text{image}}(q; p) + H_k^{\text{image}}(q; p)) (q; p); \quad (31)$$

($k = 3; 4; \dots$). Now we have the following.

Theorem 2.7. For a given BG-normal form $G(q; p)$ in power series (5), the solution $H(q; p)$ of the inverse problem is given by (20) subject to (28) and (30), where the third-type generating function $S(q; p)$ in (22) is chosen to be (21) subject to (31).

2.3. The degree-2 ordinary and inverse problems

In the preceding subsections, we have defined the ordinary and the inverse problems of BG-normalization, and then found their solutions in power-series. From a practical point of view, however, we usually deal with the BG-normal forms not in power-series but in polynomial. Indeed, as mentioned in section 1, when we utilize the BG-normalization to provide an approximate system for a given system, we truncate the normalized Hamiltonian up to a finite degree. Hence it is natural to think of a 'finite-degree version' of both the ordinary and the inverse problems (Uwano et al 1999).

Definition 2.8 (The degree-2 ordinary problem). For a given Hamiltonian $K(q; p)$ of the form (1) (possibly in polynomial form) and an integer 2 , bring K into the polynomial $G(q; p)$ of degree-2 in BG-normal form which satisfy (4) up to degree-2, where the second-type generating function $W(q; p)$ in (4) is chosen to be the polynomial of degree-2 subject to (4) up to degree-2 and (17) with $k = 3; \dots; 2$.

Remark 5. The reason why we think of only the even-(2-)degree case is that the BG-normal form of any 1:1 resonant Hamiltonian consists of even-degree terms only (see Remark 2 and Lemma 2.2).

Definition 2.9 (The degree-2 inverse problem). For a given BG-normal form, $G(q; p)$, of degree-2 with an integer 2 , identify all the polynomial-Hamiltonians $H(q; p)$ of degree-2 which satisfy (22) up to degree-2, where the third-type generating function $S(q; p)$ is chosen to be the polynomial of degree-2 subject to (22) up to degree-2 and (23) with $k = 3; \dots; 2$.

In closing this section, we wish to mention of the way to solve the (degree-2) ordinary and the inverse problems on computer algebra. Although the discussion throughout this section is mathematically complete, it is not easy to calculate even on computer algebra (15,16) and (28,30,31) as they present because we are faced with a highly combinatorial difficulty in calculating $\binom{k}{h}$ and $\binom{k}{h}$: To calculate $\binom{k}{h}$ for example, $G_3; \binom{k}{h}G, S_3; \binom{k}{h}S$, and $H_3; \binom{k}{h}H$ have to be kept on computer. What is worse is that the dimension, $\sum_{h=1}^4 \binom{4}{h} \binom{1}{h-1}$; of V , to which G, S and H belong rises in a combinatorial manner as k increases, where the symbol $\binom{k}{h}$ indicates the binomial coefficient. These facts will cause a combinatorial increase of the memory-size on computer required for calculation. To get rid of such a difficulty, we break the transformations, (4) and (22) into a recursion of certain canonical transformations of simpler form, which will be presented in Appendix for the degree-4 case.

3. Example: The one-parameter Henon-Heiles system

In this section, we take the one-parameter Henon-Heiles-Hamiltonian

$$K(q;p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 (p_j^2 + q_j^2) + q_1^2 q_2 + q_2^3 \quad (2R); \quad (32)$$

as an example to illustrate how the ordinary and the inverse problems are proceeded. As is mentioned in section 1, this example inspired the present work.

3.1. The degree-4 ordinary and inverse problems

In order to present the results in compact forms, the complex variables defined by

$$z_j = q_j + ip_j; \quad \bar{z}_j = q_j - ip_j \quad (j = 1, 2); \quad (33)$$

will be of great use. In terms of z and \bar{z} , K is written in the form,

$$K(q;p) = \frac{1}{2} (z_1 \bar{z}_1 + z_2 \bar{z}_2) + \frac{1}{8} (z_2^3 + 3z_2^2 \bar{z}_2 + 3z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{z}_2^3) \\ + \frac{1}{8} (z_1^2 z_2 + z_1^2 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{z}_1 z_2^2 + \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^2 + 2z_1 \bar{z}_1 z_2 + 2z_1 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2): \quad (34)$$

Using a prototype of ANFER (Uwano et al 1999, Uwano 2000), we see that the BG-normal form for K is given, up to degree-4, by,

$$G(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{2} (z_1 \bar{z}_1 + z_2 \bar{z}_2) \\ + \frac{1}{48} \left(5 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 + 45 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2 + (8 + 36i) z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \right. \\ \left. + 3 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2 + 3 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 + 6 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + 6 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \right); \quad (35)$$

which is well known (see Kummer 1976, Cushman 1982). We proceed to the degree-4 inverse problem for the BG-normal form G in turn. Solving (22) with G in place of K by ANFER, we have the Hamiltonians in polynomial of degree-4 of the following form as the solution;

$$H(q;p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 (p_j^2 + q_j^2) + H_{;3}(q;p) + H_{;4}(q;p) \quad (36)$$

with

$$H_{;3}(q;p) \\ = a_1 z_1^3 + a_2 z_1^2 z_2 + a_3 z_1 z_2^2 + a_4 z_2^3 + a_5 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \\ + a_6 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2 + a_7 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 + a_8 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2 + a_9 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 + a_{10} z_2^2 \bar{z}_2 \\ + \bar{a}_1 \bar{z}_1^3 + \bar{a}_2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{a}_3 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{a}_4 \bar{z}_2^3 + \bar{a}_5 z_1 \bar{z}_1^2 \\ + \bar{a}_6 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + \bar{a}_7 z_1 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{a}_8 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{a}_9 z_1 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{a}_{10} z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 \quad (37)$$

and

$$H_{;4}(q;p) \\ = c_1 z_1^4 + c_2 z_1^3 z_2 + c_3 z_1^2 z_2^2 + c_4 z_1 z_2^3 + c_5 z_2^4 + c_6 z_1^3 \bar{z}_1 + c_7 z_1^3 \bar{z}_2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + c_8 z_1^2 z_2 \bar{z}_1 + c_9 z_1^2 z_2 \bar{z}_2 + c_{10} z_1 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 + c_{11} z_1 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2 + c_{12} z_2^3 \bar{z}_1 + c_{13} z_2^3 \bar{z}_2 \\
& + \bar{c}_1 \bar{z}_1^4 + \bar{c}_2 \bar{z}_1^3 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{c}_3 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{c}_4 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^3 + \bar{c}_5 \bar{z}_2^4 + \bar{c}_6 z_1 \bar{z}_1^3 + \bar{c}_7 z_2 \bar{z}_1^3 \\
& + \bar{c}_8 z_1 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{c}_9 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{c}_{10} z_1 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{c}_{11} z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{c}_{12} z_1 \bar{z}_2^3 + \bar{c}_{13} z_2 \bar{z}_2^3 \\
& + 8 a_9 \bar{a}_{10} z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_9 \bar{a}_9 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_{10} \bar{a}_9 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 \\
& \quad + a_5 \bar{a}_6 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_6 \bar{a}_5 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_6 \bar{a}_6 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \\
& + 6 a_1 \bar{a}_1 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_{10} \bar{a}_{10} z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_4 \bar{a}_4 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_5 \bar{a}_5 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \\
& + 4 a_8 \bar{a}_5 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_8 \bar{a}_6 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_8 \bar{a}_9 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_9 \bar{a}_7 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 \\
& \quad + a_9 \bar{a}_8 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_1 \bar{a}_2 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_{10} \bar{a}_7 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_2 \bar{a}_1 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 \\
& \quad + a_3 \bar{a}_4 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_4 \bar{a}_3 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_5 \bar{a}_8 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_6 \bar{a}_7 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 \\
& \quad + a_6 \bar{a}_8 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_7 \bar{a}_{10} z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_7 \bar{a}_6 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_7 \bar{a}_9 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \\
& + \frac{8}{3} (a_2 \bar{a}_2 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_3 \bar{a}_3 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2) \\
& + 2 a_8 \bar{a}_{10} z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_8 \bar{a}_7 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_8 \bar{a}_7 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_8 \bar{a}_8 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2 \\
& \quad + a_1 \bar{a}_3 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_{10} \bar{a}_8 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_2 \bar{a}_4 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_3 \bar{a}_1 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \\
& \quad + a_4 \bar{a}_2 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_5 \bar{a}_7 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_7 \bar{a}_5 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_7 \bar{a}_7 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \\
& \quad + a_7 \bar{a}_8 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_7 \bar{a}_8 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \quad a_8 \bar{a}_6 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 \quad a_7 \bar{a}_9 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 \\
& \quad a_9 \bar{a}_5 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \quad a_9 \bar{a}_7 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \quad a_9 \bar{a}_9 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2 \quad a_{10} \bar{a}_6 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \\
& \quad a_5 \bar{a}_9 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 \quad a_6 \bar{a}_{10} z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 \quad a_6 \bar{a}_6 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \quad a_6 \bar{a}_8 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \\
& + \frac{4}{3} a_2 \bar{a}_3 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_2 \bar{a}_3 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_3 \bar{a}_2 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_3 \bar{a}_2 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \\
& + \frac{2}{3} a_2 \bar{a}_2 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_3 \bar{a}_3 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{48} \begin{matrix} 8z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 & 5z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 & 6z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 & 6z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \\ 36 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 & 45 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + 3 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + 3 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \end{matrix} ;
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where a_n ($n = 1; \dots; 10$) and \bar{c}_n ($n = 1; \dots; 13$) are complex-valued parameters chosen arbitrarily. In (38), the polynomial with the coefficients (c_n) expresses H_{i4}^{image} and the polynomial whose coefficients are written in terms of (a_n) and (f_k) does H_{i4}^{ker} . From the lengthy expression (36, 37, 38), one might understand an effectiveness of computer algebra in the inverse problem.

It is worth pointing out that if we choose (a_n) and (c_n) to be

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 = a_3 = a_5 = a_8 = a_9 = 0; \\
2a_2 = 2a_6 = a_7 = \frac{1}{4}; \quad 3a_4 = a_{10} = \frac{3}{8};
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

and

$$c_n = 0 \quad (n = 1; \dots; 13); \tag{40}$$

respectively, H_{i4} becomes equal to the one-parameter Henon-Hiles Hamiltonian K_{i4} .

3.2. The Bertrand-Darboux integrability condition

We wish to find the condition for (a_n) and (c_n) to bring H_{i4} into the Hamiltonian of the perturbed harmonic oscillator with a homogeneous-quartic polynomial potential

(PHOQP). To bring $H_{j=0}$ into a PHOQP-Hamiltonian, we have to make $H_{j=3}$ vanish. The vanishment of $H_{j=3}$ is realized by the substitution

$$a_n = 0 \quad (n = 1; \dots; 10) \quad (41)$$

in (37). We bring $H_{j=0}$ ($q; p$) into a homogeneous polynomial of degree-4 in q in turn. To do this, we have to find the set of non-vanishing $(c_i; (\bar{c}_m))$ for which the following identities for z hold true;

$$\begin{aligned} {}_1q_1^4 &= c_1 z_1^4 + c_6 z_1^3 \bar{z}_1 - \frac{5}{48} z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + \bar{c}_1 \bar{z}_1^4 + \bar{c}_6 z_1 \bar{z}_1^3; \\ {}_2q_1^3 q_2 &= c_2 z_1^3 z_2 + c_7 z_1^3 \bar{z}_2 + c_8 z_1^2 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \\ &\quad + \bar{c}_2 \bar{z}_1^3 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{c}_7 z_2 \bar{z}_1^3 + \bar{c}_8 z_1 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2; \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}_3q_1 q_2^3 &= c_{11} z_1 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2 + c_{12} z_2^3 \bar{z}_1 + c_4 z_1 z_2^3 \\ &\quad + \bar{c}_4 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^3 + \bar{c}_{12} z_1 \bar{z}_2^3 + \bar{c}_{11} z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^2; \\ {}_4q_2^4 &= c_5 z_2^4 + c_{13} z_2^3 \bar{z}_2 - \frac{15}{16} z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{c}_{13} z_2 \bar{z}_2^3 + \bar{c}_5 \bar{z}_2^4; \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}_5q_1^2 q_2^2 &= c_{10} z_1 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 + c_3 z_1^2 z_2^2 + c_9 z_1^2 z_2 \bar{z}_2 \\ &\quad + \bar{c}_{10} z_1 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{c}_3 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{c}_9 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{16} z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + \frac{1}{16} z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 - \frac{3}{4} z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6} z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 - \frac{1}{8} z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 - \frac{1}{8} z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2; \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{c}_m ($m = 1; \dots; 5$) are real-valued parameters, and $z_j + \bar{z}_j = 2$ ($j = 1; 2$). To make the identities hold true from the first to the fourth, we have to choose the parameters appearing in those identities to be

$$\begin{aligned} {}_1 &= 16c_1 = 4c_6 = \frac{5}{18}; \quad {}_2 = c_2 = c_7 = c_8 = 0; \\ {}_3 &= c_4 = c_{11} = c_{12} = 0; \quad {}_4 = 16c_5 = 4c_{13} = \frac{5}{2}; \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

The fifth identity holds true if and only if the overdetermined system of equations,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_5 &= 16c_3 = 8c_9 = 8c_{10}; \\ {}_5 &= {}_3 - \frac{2}{3}; \\ {}_5 &= {}_2; \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

admits a solution. By a simple calculation, we see that (45) admits the solution,

$${}_5 = 16c_3 = 8c_9 = 8c_{10} = \frac{5}{3}; \quad (46)$$

if and only if satisfies

$${}_5 = \frac{1}{3}; \quad (47)$$

To summarize, we have the following.

Theorem 3.1. The one-parameter Henon-Heiles Hamiltonian $K(q; p)$ shares its BG-norm al form up to degree-4 with the Hamiltonian of the perturbed harmonic oscillator

with a homogeneous-quartic polynomial potential (PHOQP) if and only if the parameter satisfies (47). Under (47), the PHOQP-Hamiltonian sharing the BG-normal form with $K_{1=3}$ is given by

$$Q(q;p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 (p_j^2 + q_j^2) - \frac{5}{18} (q_1^4 + 6q_1^2 q_2^2 + q_2^4); \quad (48)$$

After theorem 3.1, one might ask whether $K_{1=3}$ and Q have specific meanings or not. Those who are familiar with the separability of dynamical systems may recognize immediately that $H_{1=3}$ and Q are separable in q_1, q_2 (see Pereboom 1990). We can hence answer this question affirmatively owing to the Bertrand-Darboux theorem concerning not only the separability but also the integrability. The theorem is stated as follows (see Marshall and Wojciechowski 1988, Yamaguchi and Nambu 1998, Grosche et al 1995, for example).

Theorem 3.2 (Bertrand-Darboux). Let F be a natural Hamiltonian of the form,

$$F(q;p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 p_j^2 + V(q); \quad (49)$$

where $V(q)$ a differentiable function in q . Then, the following three statements are equivalent for the Hamiltonian system with F .

- (1) There exists a set of non-vanishing real-valued constants, $(\alpha; \beta; \gamma; \delta)$, for which $V(q)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial q_2^2} - \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial q_1^2} &= (2\alpha q_1 q_2 - \gamma q_2 - \delta q_1 + \beta) \\ &+ 2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial q_1 \partial q_2} (q_2^2 - q_1^2 + \alpha q_2 - \gamma q_1 + \beta) \\ &+ \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_1} (6\alpha q_2 + 3\beta) - \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_2} (6\alpha q_1 + 3\gamma) = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

- (2) The Hamiltonian system with F admits an integral of motion quadratic in momenta.
- (3) The Hamiltonian F is separable in either Cartesian, polar, parabolic or elliptic coordinates.

Due to the statement (2) in theorem 3.2, a natural Hamiltonian system with F is always integrable if (50) holds true. In this regard, we will refer to (50) as the Bertrand-Darboux integrability condition (BDIC) henceforth.

For the PHOCP's and the PHOQP's, Yamaguchi and Nambu (1998) have given a more explicit expression of the BDIC (50) convenient for our purpose:

Lemma 3.3. Let $F^{(k)}(q;p)$ ($k = 3; 4$) be the Hamiltonians of the form,

$$F^{(k)}(q;p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 (p_j^2 + q_j^2) + P^{(k)}(q) \quad (k = 3; 4); \quad (51)$$

with

$$P^{(3)}(q) = f_1 q_1^3 + f_2 q_1^2 q_2 + f_3 q_1 q_2^2 + f_4 q_2^3; \quad (52)$$

or

$$P^{(4)}(q) = g_1 q_1^4 + g_2 q_1^3 q_2 + g_3 q_1^2 q_2^2 + g_4 q_1 q_2^3 + g_5 q_2^4; \quad (53)$$

where f_k ($k = 1; \dots; 4$) and g_k ($k = 1; \dots; 5$) are real-valued parameters. For the PHOCP with $F^{(3)}(q;p)$, the BDIC (50) is equivalent to either of the following conditions, (54a), (54b), or (54c);

$$3(f_1 f_3 + f_2 f_4) - (f_2^2 + f_3^2) = 0; \quad (54a)$$

$$f_1 = 2f_3; \quad f_2 = f_4 = 0; \quad (54b)$$

$$f_4 = 2f_2; \quad f_1 = f_3 = 0; \quad (54c)$$

For the PHOQP with $F^{(4)}(q;p)$, the BDIC (50) is equivalent to either of the following conditions, (55a) or (55b);

$$g_3 = 2g_1 = 2g_5; \quad (55a)$$

$$g_2 = g_4 = 0;$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 9g_2^2 + 4g_3^2 - 24g_1 g_3 - 9g_2 g_4 = 0; \\ & 9g_4^2 + 4g_3^2 - 24g_3 g_5 - 9g_2 g_4 = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (55b)$$

$$(g_2 + g_4)g_3 - 6(g_1 g_4 + g_2 g_5) = 0;$$

The integrability of the Henon-Heiles system with $n = 1=3$ and the PHOQP with Q can be confirmed now by showing that (50) holds true both for $K_{1=3}$ and Q . Let us start with showing the integrability of the one-parameter Henon-Heiles system with $n = 1=3$. If we choose (f_h) to be

$$f_1 = f_3 = 0; \quad f_2 = 1; \quad f_4 = \frac{1}{3}; \quad (56)$$

the Hamiltonian $F^{(3)}$ becomes $K_{1=3}$. Evidently, the (f_h) given by (56) satisfy the BDIC (54a), so that the one-parameter Henon-Heiles system with $n = 1=3$ is integrable.

We proceed to showing the integrability of the PHOQP with Q given by (48) in turn. In order to bring $F^{(4)}$ into Q , we choose (g_k) to be

$$6g_1 = g_3 = 6g_5 = \frac{5}{3}; \quad g_2 = g_4 = 0; \quad (57)$$

By a simple calculation, we see that the (g_k) given by (57) satisfy the BDIC (55b), so that the PHOQP with Q is integrable. To summarize, we have the following.

Theorem 3.4. If the one-parameter Henon-Heiles system and the perturbed harmonic oscillator with a homogeneous-quartic polynomial potential admit the same Birkhoff-Gustavson normalization up to degree-4, then both dynamical systems are integrable in the sense that they satisfy the Bertrand-Darboux integrability condition.

4. Extension: The perturbed harmonic oscillators with homogeneous-cubic polynomial potentials

4.1. The degree-4 ordinary and the inverse problems of PHOCP

In this section, the discussion in section 3 for the one-parameter Henon-Heiles system is extended to the perturbed harmonic oscillators with homogeneous-cubic polynomial potentials (PHOCP's). To start with, we solve the degree-4 ordinary problem for the PHOCP-Hamiltonian $F^{(3)}(q;p)$ given by (51) with (52). By ANFER, we see that the BG-normal form for $F^{(3)}$ is given, up to degree-4, by

$$\begin{aligned} G(\cdot; \cdot) = & \frac{1}{2} \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_2 \\ & \frac{15}{16} (f_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_1 + f_4^2 \bar{z}_2^2 \bar{z}_2) - \frac{3}{4} (f_1 f_3 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + f_2 f_4 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2) \\ & \frac{5}{8} (f_1 f_2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + f_1 f_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + f_3 f_4 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_2^2 + f_3 f_4 \bar{z}_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2) \\ & \frac{5}{24} (f_2 f_3 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + f_2 f_3 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + f_2 f_3 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_2^2 + f_2 f_3 \bar{z}_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2) \\ & \frac{1}{6} (f_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + f_3^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2) - \frac{5}{48} (f_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_1 + f_3^2 \bar{z}_2^2 \bar{z}_2) \\ & \frac{1}{8} (f_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 + f_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2 \bar{z}_1 + f_3^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 + f_3^2 \bar{z}_2^2 \bar{z}_1) \\ & + \frac{1}{16} (f_1 f_3 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 + f_1 f_3 \bar{z}_2^2 \bar{z}_1 + f_2 f_4 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 + f_2 f_4 \bar{z}_2^2 \bar{z}_1): \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Note that if we choose (f_h) to be

$$f_1 = f_3 = 0; \quad f_2 = 1; \quad f_4 = \cdot; \quad (59)$$

G becomes G , the BG-normal form for the one-parameter Henon-Heiles Hamiltonian.

We solve the degree-4 inverse problem for the BG-normal form G in turn: By ANFER, we have the following polynomial of degree-4 as the solution;

$$H(q;p) = \frac{1}{2} X^2 + \sum_{j=1}^2 p_j^2 + q_j^2 + H_3(q;p) + H_4(q;p); \quad (60)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} H_3(q;p) = & a_1 z_1^3 + a_2 z_1^2 z_2 + a_3 z_1 z_2^2 + a_4 z_2^3 + a_5 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 + a_6 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2 \\ & + a_7 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 + a_8 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2 + a_9 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 + a_{10} z_2^2 \bar{z}_2 \\ & + \bar{a}_1 \bar{z}_1^3 + \bar{a}_2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{a}_3 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{a}_4 \bar{z}_2^3 + \bar{a}_5 z_1 \bar{z}_1^2 + \bar{a}_6 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 \\ & + \bar{a}_7 z_1 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{a}_8 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{a}_9 z_1 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{a}_{10} z_2 \bar{z}_2^2; \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_4(q;p) = & c_1 z_1^4 + c_2 z_1^3 z_2 + c_3 z_1^2 z_2^2 + c_4 z_1 z_2^3 \\ & + c_5 z_2^4 + c_6 z_1^3 \bar{z}_1 + c_7 z_1^3 \bar{z}_2 + c_8 z_1^2 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \\ & + c_9 z_1^2 z_2 \bar{z}_2 + c_{10} z_1 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 + c_{11} z_1 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2 + c_{12} z_2^3 \bar{z}_1 + c_{13} z_2^3 \bar{z}_2 \\ & + \bar{c}_1 \bar{z}_1^4 + \bar{c}_2 \bar{z}_1^3 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{c}_3 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{c}_4 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^3 \\ & + \bar{c}_5 \bar{z}_2^4 + \bar{c}_6 z_1 \bar{z}_1^3 + \bar{c}_7 z_2 \bar{z}_1^3 + \bar{c}_8 z_1 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 \\ & + \bar{c}_9 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{c}_{10} z_1 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{c}_{11} z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{c}_{12} z_1 \bar{z}_2^3 + \bar{c}_{13} z_2 \bar{z}_2^3 \\ & + 8(a_6 \bar{a}_6 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_9 \bar{a}_9 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_5 \bar{a}_6 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + a_6 \bar{a}_5 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_9 \bar{a}_{10} z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_{10} \bar{a}_9 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2) \\
& + 6(a_1 \bar{a}_1 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_4 \bar{a}_4 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_5 \bar{a}_5 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_{10} \bar{a}_{10} z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2) \\
& + 4(a_1 \bar{a}_2 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_8 \bar{a}_9 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_3 \bar{a}_4 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_5 \bar{a}_8 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \\
& \quad + a_6 \bar{a}_7 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_6 \bar{a}_8 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_7 \bar{a}_9 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_7 \bar{a}_{10} z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2) \\
& + 4(a_2 \bar{a}_1 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_4 \bar{a}_3 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_8 \bar{a}_5 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_7 \bar{a}_6 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \\
& \quad + a_8 \bar{a}_6 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_9 \bar{a}_7 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_{10} \bar{a}_7 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_9 \bar{a}_8 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2) \\
& + \frac{8}{3}(a_2 \bar{a}_2 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_3 \bar{a}_3 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2) \\
& + 2(a_6 \bar{a}_6 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_7 \bar{a}_7 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_8 \bar{a}_8 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_9 \bar{a}_9 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2) \\
& + 2(a_1 \bar{a}_3 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_2 \bar{a}_4 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_5 \bar{a}_7 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_5 \bar{a}_9 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 \\
& \quad a_6 \bar{a}_8 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_6 \bar{a}_{10} z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_7 \bar{a}_8 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 \\
& \quad + a_7 \bar{a}_8 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_7 \bar{a}_9 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_8 \bar{a}_{10} z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2) \\
& + 2(a_3 \bar{a}_1 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_4 \bar{a}_2 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_7 \bar{a}_5 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_9 \bar{a}_5 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \\
& \quad a_8 \bar{a}_6 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_{10} \bar{a}_6 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_8 \bar{a}_7 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \\
& \quad + a_8 \bar{a}_7 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_9 \bar{a}_7 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_{10} \bar{a}_8 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2) \\
& + \frac{4}{3}(a_2 \bar{a}_3 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + a_2 \bar{a}_3 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 + a_3 \bar{a}_2 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_3 \bar{a}_2 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2) \\
& + \frac{2}{3}(a_2 \bar{a}_2 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + a_3 \bar{a}_3 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2) \\
& \quad \frac{15}{16}(f_1^2 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + f_4^2 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2) - \frac{3}{4}(f_1 f_3 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + f_2 f_4 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2) \\
& \quad \frac{5}{8}(f_1 f_2 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + f_1 f_2 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + f_3 f_4 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 + f_3 f_4 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2) \\
& \quad \frac{5f_2 f_3}{24}(z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 + z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2) \\
& \quad \frac{1}{6}(f_2^2 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 f_3^2 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2) \\
& \quad \frac{1}{8}(f_2^2 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + f_2^2 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + f_3^2 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + f_3^2 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2) - \frac{5}{48}(f_2^2 z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + f_3^2 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2) \\
& + \frac{1}{16}(f_1 f_3 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + f_1 f_3 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + f_2 f_4 z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + f_2 f_4 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2);
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

where a_n ($n = 1; \dots; 10$) and $c = 1; \dots; 13$ are the complex-valued parameters chosen arbitrarily, and f_k ($k = 1; \dots; 4$) the real-valued parameters in $\mathbb{P}^3(q)$ (see (52)). Namely, we have 46-degree-of-freedom in the solution, H , of the inverse problem of the PHOCP with (f_k) fixed. Note that if (f_k) are chosen to be (59) then H becomes H given by (36)–(38). Further, if (a_n) , (c) and (f_k) are chosen to be (39), (40) and (59), respectively, then H becomes K given by (32). After (60)–(62), one might understand more than after (36)–(38) the necessity of computer algebra in the inverse problem.

4.2. The Bertrand-Darboux integrability condition

We wish to find the condition for (a_n) , (c) and (f_k) to bring H into the PHOQP-Hamiltonian $F^{(4)}(q;p)$ defined by (51) with (53). In order to make $H_3(q;p)$ vanish (see (61)), we have to choose (a_n) to be (41). Our next task is then to bring $H_4 \big|_{\mathbf{j}=0}(q;p)$ into a certain $P^{(4)}(q)$. Let c_n ($n = 1; \dots; 5$) be real-valued parameters. Then we see

that $H_4 \stackrel{j=0}{=} 0$ takes the form $P^{(4)}(q)$ if and only if the following identities of z hold true for a non-vanishing $((c_n); (\bar{c}_n))$;

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_1q_1^4 &= c_1 z_1^4 + c_6 z_1^3 \bar{z}_1 - \frac{45f_1^2 + 5f_2^2}{48} z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + \bar{c}_6 z_1 \bar{z}_1^3 + \bar{c}_1 \bar{z}_1^4; \\
{}_2q_2^4 &= c_5 z_2^4 + c_{13} z_2^3 \bar{z}_2 - \frac{45f_4^2 + 5f_3^2}{48} z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{c}_{13} z_2 \bar{z}_2^3 + \bar{c}_5 \bar{z}_2^4; \\
{}_3q_1^3 q_2 &= c_2 z_1^3 z_2 + c_7 z_1^3 \bar{z}_2 + c_8 z_1^2 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \\
&\quad + \bar{c}_2 \bar{z}_1^3 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{c}_7 \bar{z}_1^3 z_2 + \bar{c}_8 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 z_1 \\
&\quad - \frac{5}{24} (3f_1 f_2 + f_2 f_3) (z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2); \\
{}_4q_1^3 q_2^3 &= c_4 z_1 z_2^3 + c_{11} z_1 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2 + c_{12} z_2^3 \bar{z}_1 \\
&\quad + \bar{c}_{12} z_1 \bar{z}_2^3 + \bar{c}_{11} z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{c}_4 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^3 \\
&\quad - \frac{5}{24} (3f_3 f_4 + f_2 f_3) (z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2); \\
{}_5q_1^2 q_2^2 &= c_3 z_1^2 z_2^2 + c_9 z_1^2 z_2 \bar{z}_2 + c_{10} z_1 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \\
&\quad + \bar{c}_{10} z_1 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{c}_9 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{c}_3 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{16} (f_1 f_3 + f_2 f_4 - 2f_2^2 - 2f_3^2) (z_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + \bar{z}_1^2 z_2^2) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{12} (9f_1 f_3 + 9f_2 f_4 + 2f_2^2 + 2f_3^2) z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2;
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where c_n ($n = 1; \dots; 5$) are real-valued parameters and $q_j = q_j(z_j + \bar{z}_j)/2$ ($j = 1; 2$). As a necessary and sufficient condition for the identities to hold true from the first to the fourth, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_1 &= 16c_1 = 4c_6 = \frac{5}{18} (9f_1^2 + f_2^2); \\
{}_2 &= 16c_5 = 4c_{13} = \frac{5}{18} (9f_4^2 + f_3^2); \\
{}_3 &= 16c_2 = 16c_7 = \frac{16}{3} c_8 = \frac{10}{9} f_2 (3f_1 + f_3); \\
{}_4 &= 16c_4 = 16c_{12} = \frac{16}{3} c_{11} = \frac{10}{9} f_3 (3f_4 + f_2);
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

As for the fifth identity, we see that it holds true if and only if the following overdetermined system of equations,

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_5 &= 16c_3 = 8c_9 = 8c_{10}; \\
{}_5 &= (f_1 f_3 + f_2 f_4) - 2(f_2^2 + f_3^2); \\
{}_5 &= -3(f_1 f_3 + f_2 f_4) - \frac{2}{3} (f_2^2 + f_3^2);
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

admits a solution. Surprisingly, the Bertrand-Darboux integrability condition (54a) for PHOCP's comes out as a necessary and sufficient condition for (65) to admit a solution! Under (54a), equation (65) admits the solution,

$${}_5 = 16c_3 = 8c_9 = 8c_{10} = (f_1 f_3 + f_2 f_4) - 2(f_2^2 + f_3^2); \tag{66}$$

which is combined with (41) and (64) to bring H into the PHOQP-Hamiltonian,

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 (p_j^2 + q_j^2) + \frac{5}{18} (9f_1^2 + f_2^2) q_1^4 - \frac{10}{9} (3f_1 + f_3) f_2 q_1^3 q_2 - \frac{5}{3} (f_2^2 + f_3^2) q_1^2 q_2^2 + \frac{10}{9} (3f_4 + f_2) f_3 q_1 q_2^3 - \frac{5}{18} (9f_4^2 + f_3^2) q_2^4; \quad (67)$$

where (f_h) are subject to the BDIC (54a). We note here that the coefficient of $q_1^2 q_2^2$ in (67) is obtained by combining (66) with (54a). To summarize, we have the following.

Theorem 4.1. The perturbed harmonic-oscillator Hamiltonian $F^{(3)}$ with a homogeneous cubic polynomial potential shares its BG-normal form with the perturbed harmonic-oscillator Hamiltonian $F^{(4)}$ with a homogeneous-quartic polynomial potential up to degree-4 if and only if the PHOCP-Hamiltonian $F^{(3)}$ satisfies the Bertrand-Darboux integrability condition (54a). Under (54a), the PHOQP-Hamiltonian $F^{(4)}$ sharing its BG-normal form with that PHOCP-Hamiltonian $F^{(3)}$ is equal to Q given by (67).

We are now in a position to show the integrability of the PHOQP with Q subject to (54a). It is easy to see that Q is given by (51) with (53) under the substitution,

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \frac{5}{18} (9f_1^2 + f_2^2); & g_2 &= \frac{10}{9} (3f_1 + f_3) f_2; & g_3 &= \frac{5}{3} (f_2^2 + f_3^2); \\ g_4 &= \frac{10}{9} (3f_4 + f_2) f_3; & g_5 &= \frac{5}{18} (9f_4^2 + f_3^2); \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

A long but straightforward calculation shows that (g_i) given by (68) with (54a) satisfy the BDIC (55b), so that the PHOQP with Q is integrable.

Theorem 4.2. If the perturbed harmonic oscillators with a homogeneous-cubic polynomial potential and with a homogeneous-quartic polynomial potential share the same BG-normal form up to degree-4, then both oscillators are integrable in the sense that they satisfy the Bertrand-Darboux integrability condition.

5. Concluding Remarks

As is shown in the previous section, the new deep relation between the BDIC for PHOCP's and that for PHOQP's are found (see theorems 4.1 and 4.2). The results obtained in the present paper are expected to provide several interesting subjects, which are listed below.

- (1) A further generalization of theorems 4.1 and 4.2 will be worth studying: A conjecture is posed as follows, which is investigated now.

Conjecture 5.1. Let $F^{(r)}(q;p)$ and $F^{(2r-2)}(q;p)$ ($r = 3; 4; \dots$) denote the perturbed harmonic oscillator Hamiltonians of the form

$$F^{(r)}(q;p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 (p_j^2 + q_j^2) + V^{(r)}(q); \quad (69)$$

$$F^{(2r-2)}(q;p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 (p_j^2 + q_j^2) + V^{(2r-2)}(q); \quad (70)$$

where $V^{(2r+2)}$ is a homogeneous-polynomial potential of degree $-(2r+2)$ and $V^{(r)}$ of degree $-r$ subject to

$$V^{(r)}(q) \in \text{Im } D_{q_i}^{(r)} : \quad (71)$$

If the perturbed harmonic oscillators with the Hamiltonian $F^{(r)}$ and with $F^{(2r+2)}$ share a BGNF up to degree $-(2r+2)$ then both oscillators are integrable in the sense that they satisfy the Bertrand-Darboux integrability condition.

- (2) As is pointed out after theorem 3.1, the Hamiltonians, $K_{1=3}$ and Q have a significant feature other than integrability; they are separable in $q_1 - q_2$. Such a separability can be found also in $F^{(3)}$ with (54a) and Q , the generalization of $K_{1=3}$ and Q , respectively. In fact, we can find, from theorem 4.1, the PHOCP's with

$$P^{(3)}(q) = a(q_1 + q_2)^3 + b(q_1 - q_2)^3 \quad (a, b \in \mathbb{R}); \quad (72)$$

as special cases of PHOCP's subject to the BDIC (54a), which cover all the PHOCP-Hamiltonians separable in $q_1 - q_2$ (see Pereboom 1990). Further, theorem 4.1 implies that each of the separable PHOCP's (subject to (72)) shares the same BG-normal form with the PHOQP with

$$P^{(4)}(q) = 5f(a^2(q_1 + q_2)^4 + b^2(q_1 - q_2)^4)g; \quad (73)$$

which is also known to be separable in $q_1 - q_2$ (Pereboom 1990). It is worth noting that all the PHOQP's with (73) cover a quarter of the class of all the PHOQP-Hamiltonians separable in $q_1 - q_2$ in view of $a^2, b^2 \geq 0$. Thus we reach through theorem 4.1 to the four-to-one correspondence between the PHOCP's with (72) and PHOQP's with (73) separable in $q_1 - q_2$. Since $F^{(3)}$ with (54a) and Q are thought to include several classes of Hamiltonians separable in several coordinate systems other than $q_1 - q_2$, the separability will be worth studying extensively from the BG-normalization viewpoint in future.

- (3) The perturbed oscillators referred to in theorems 4.1 and 4.2 are expected to provide good examples of the quantum bifurcation in the BG-normalized Hamiltonian systems (Uwano 1994, 1995, 1998, 1999): Since the perturbed oscillators referred to in theorems 4.1 and 4.2 are integrable, their quantum spectra are expected to be obtained exactly. Then we will be able to think of whether or not the quantum bifurcation in the BG-normalized Hamiltonian system for those oscillators approximates the bifurcation in these oscillators in a good extent.
- (4) In section 1, two approaches to the BDIC (or BD-theorem) have been mentioned. As another approach to the BDIC, the work of Yamaguchi and Nambu (1998) is worth pointing out, in which the BDIC for the PHOCP's and the PHOQP's (see Lemma 3.3) came out from the renormalization of Hamiltonian equations. It will be an interesting problem in future to study a relation between the BG-normalization and the renormalization.

(5) As is easily seen, the solution (60, 61, 62) of the inverse problem for G admits fifty real-valued parameters. We may hence expect to obtain other integrable systems, so-called the electromagnetic type (Hietarinta 1987), for example.

On closing this section, we wish to mention of the role of computer algebra in the present paper: Without computer algebra, it would have been very difficult to find theorems 3.1, 3.4, 4.1 and 4.2.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Prof. T. Iwai and Dr. Y. Y. Yamaguchi at Kyoto University, and Dr. S. I. Vinitzky at the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Russia, for their valuable comments. Thanks are also to the referees for their valuable comments to the earlier manuscript of this paper. The present work is partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid Exploratory Research no. 11875022 from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

References

- A mold V I 1980 Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics (New York: Springer-Verlag) p 215
 Chekanov N A Hongo M Rostovtsev V A Uwano Y and Vinitzky S I 1998 Physics of Atomic Nuclei 61 2029
 Chekanov N A Rostovtsev V A Uwano Y and Vinitzky S I 2000 Com. Phys. Comm. 126 47
 Cushman R 1982 Proc. R. Soc. A 382 361
 Darboux G 1901 Archives Néerlandaises (ii) 6 371
 Goldstein H 1950 Classical Mechanics 2nd ed. (Reading: Addison-Wesley) p 241
 Grosche C Pogosyan G S and Sissakian A N 1995 Fortschr. Phys. 43 453
 Gustavson F G 1966 Astronomical Journal 71 670
 Hietarinta J 1987 Phys. Rep. 147 87
 Kummer M 1976 Com. Math. Phys. 48 53
 Marshall I and Wojciechowski S 1988 J. Math. Phys. 29 1338
 Moser J K 1968 Lectures on Hamiltonian Systems Memoirs of A.M.S. 81 (Providence: A.M.S.) p 10
 Perelomov A M 1990 Integrable Systems of Classical Mechanics and Lie Algebras vol.1 (Basel: Birkhäuser-Verlag) p 81
 Spivak M 1965 Calculus on Manifolds (New York: Benjamin) p 41
 Uwano Y 1994 J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 63 Suppl. A 31
 | 1995 J. Phys. A 28 2041
 | 1998 Int. J. of Bifurcation and Chaos 8 941
 | 1999 Rep. Math. Phys. 44 267
 | 2000 <http://yangamp.i.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~uwano/>
 Uwano Y Chekanov N Rostovtsev V and Vinitzky S 1999 Computer Algebra in Scientific Computing ed Ganzha V G et al (Berlin: Springer-Verlag) 441
 Whittaker E T 1937 A Treatise on the Analytical Dynamics of Particles and Rigid Bodies 4th ed. (Cambridge: Cambridge U.P.) p 332
 Yamaguchi Y Y and Nambu Y 1998 Prog. Theor. Phys. 100 199

Appendix A. Mathematical basis for coding ANFER

In this appendix, we describe in mathematical terminology the algorithm of ANFER, a symbolic computing programme, for the degree-4 inverse problem on REDUCE 3.6 coded by the author. Although only a primitive prototype exists, those who are interested in ANFER will be able to see its source-code at Uwano (2000), <http://yangamp.i.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~uwano/>. After the algorithm, we present a key lemma supporting the algorithm. The general-degree case has been reported in Uwano et al (1999) briefly, and will be discussed in detail in a future paper by the author.

Appendix A.1. Setting-up

The setting-up of ANFER is made as follows for the degree-2 inverse problem. Let $S^{(h)}(^{(h)}; ^{(h-1)})$ ($h = 3; \dots; 2$) be the third-type generating functions of the form

$$S^{(h)}(^{(h)}; ^{(h-1)}) = \sum_{j=1}^{X^2} (^{(h)}; ^{(h-1)}) S_h(^{(h)}; ^{(h-1)}) \quad (h = 3; \dots; 2); \quad (A1)$$

where each S_h are the homogeneous polynomial of degree- h . With $S^{(h)}$, we associate the canonical transformations,

$$h : (^{(h-1)}; ^{(h-1)}) \rightarrow (^{(h)}; ^{(h)}) \quad (h = 3; \dots; 2); \quad (A2)$$

with

$$^{(h-1)} = \frac{\partial S^{(h)}}{\partial (^{(h-1)})}; \quad ^{(h)} = \frac{\partial S^{(h)}}{\partial (^{(h)})} \quad (h = 3; \dots; 2); \quad (A3)$$

From a given BG-normal form G of the form (5), we define the initial Hamiltonian $H^{(2)}$ to be the degree-2 polynomial form,

$$H^{(2)}(^{(2)}; ^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{X^2} (^{(2)})^2 + (^{(2)})^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{X^2} G_k(^{(2)}; ^{(2)}); \quad (A4)$$

In ANFER, the degree-2 inverse problem,

$$H^{(h)}(^{(h)}; \frac{\partial S^{(h)}}{\partial (^{(h)})}) = H^{(h-1)}(^{(h-1)}; \frac{\partial S^{(h)}}{\partial (^{(h-1)})}; ^{(h-1)}); \quad (A5)$$

$$S^{(h)}(^{(h)}; ^{(h)}) \text{ is image of } (^{(h)}; ^{(h-1)}) \text{ with (A1);} \quad (A6)$$

for $H^{(h-1)}$ are solved recursively with $h = 3; \dots; 2$. The resultant $H^{(2)}$ provides the solution H of the inverse problem for the BG-normal form G (Uwano et al 1999).

Appendix A.2. Algorithm for the degree-4 inverse problem

We show how (A5, A6) is solved in the degree-4 case:

Step-I: Solving (A5, A6) with $h = 3$

Equating the homogeneous parts of degree-2, 3 and 4 in (A5) with $h = 3$, we have

$$H_2^{(3)}(^{(3)}; ^{(2)}) = H_2^{(2)}(^{(3)}; ^{(2)}); \quad (A7)$$

$$H_3^{(3)}(^{(3)}; ^{(2)}) - D_{(^{(3)}; ^{(2)})} S_3(^{(3)}; ^{(2)}) = H_3^{(2)}(^{(3)}; ^{(2)}) \quad (A8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & H_4^{(3)}(^{(3)}; ^{(2)}) \\ &= H_4^{(2)}(^{(3)}; ^{(2)}) + \sum_{j=1}^{X^2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial S_3}{\partial (^{(3)})} + \frac{\partial S_3}{\partial (^{(3)})} \frac{\partial H_3^{(3)}}{\partial (^{(3)})} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial S_3}{\partial (^{(2)})} \frac{\partial S_3}{\partial (^{(2)})} \frac{\partial H_3^{(2)}}{\partial (^{(3)}; ^{(2)})} : \end{aligned} \quad (A9)$$

In a similar way to (24-31), (A 8) is solved to be

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_3^{(3)} \ker ({}^{(3)}; {}^{(2)}) &= H_3^{(2)} \ker ({}^{(3)}; {}^{(2)}) = 0; \\
 (H_3^{(3)})^{\text{image}} ({}^{(3)}; {}^{(2)}) &\subset \text{image} D_{({}^{(3)}; {}^{(2)})}^{(3)} : \text{chosen arbitrarily}; \\
 S_3 ({}^{(3)}; {}^{(2)}) & \\
 &= D_{q; \text{image} D_{({}^{(3)}; {}^{(2)})}^{(3)}}^{(3)} H_3^{(3)} \text{image} j_{(q;)} ({}^{(3)}; {}^{(2)}):
 \end{aligned} \tag{A 10}$$

The $H_4^{(3)}$ is given by (A 9) with the substitution (A 10) into $H_3^{(3)}$ and S_3 .

Step-II: Solving (A 5, A 6) with $h = 4$

Equating the homogeneous-parts of degree-2, 3 and 4 in (A 5) with $h = 4$, we have

$$H_k^{(4)} ({}^{(4)}; {}^{(3)}) = H_k^{(3)} ({}^{(4)}; {}^{(3)}) \quad (k = 2, 3); \tag{A 11}$$

$$H_4^{(4)} ({}^{(4)}; {}^{(3)}) - (D_{({}^{(4)}; {}^{(3)})} S_4) ({}^{(4)}; {}^{(3)}) = H_4^{(3)} ({}^{(4)}; {}^{(3)}): \tag{A 12}$$

In a similar way to (24-31), (A 12) is solved to be

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_4^{(4)} \ker ({}^{(4)}; {}^{(3)}) &= H_4^{(3)} \ker ({}^{(4)}; {}^{(3)}) \\
 H_4^{(4)} \text{image} ({}^{(4)}; {}^{(3)}) &\subset \text{image} D_{({}^{(4)}; {}^{(3)})}^{(4)} : \text{chosen arbitrarily}; \\
 S_4 ({}^{(4)}; {}^{(3)}) & \\
 &= D_{q; \text{image} D_{({}^{(4)}; {}^{(3)})}^{(4)}}^{(4)} H_4^{(4)} \text{image} j_{(q;)} - H_4^{(3)} \text{image} j_{(q;)} ({}^{(4)}; {}^{(3)}):
 \end{aligned} \tag{A 13}$$

We are now in a position to show that $H_4^{(4)}(q;p)$ and $S(q;) = \sum_{j=1}^P q_j j$ are identical with $H(q;p)$ and $S(q;)$, respectively, the solution of the degree-4 inverse problem for G . Equations (25), $G_3(q;) = 0$ and $G_4(q;) = 0$ (see the line above (25)) are put together with (28, 30, 31) to show that $H_3(q;p)$ is equal to $H_3^{(3)}(q;p)$, so that we have $S_3(q;) = S_3(q;)$. Under $H_3(q;p) = H_3^{(3)}(q;p)$ and $S_3(q;) = S_3(q;)$, we see that the second term in the r.h.s. of (A 9) is equal to H_4 given by (25) with $(q;) = ({}^{(3)}; {}^{(2)})$. This fact and (A 12) are put together with (A 13) to show that $H_4^{(4)}(q;p)$ and $S_4(q;)$ are identical with $H_4(q;p)$ and $S_4(q;)$, respectively.

What is characteristic of the algorithm above is that the procedure of solving (24) with $k = 4$ is divided into a pair of steps, Step-I and Step-II (extending to the degree-2 case, we have 2 steps). Although it is not so significant in the inverse problem of lower-degree (like degree-4), such a division will contribute a lot to the reduction of the memory-size required through computation.

Appendix A.3. Composition of canonical transformations

We wish to give an explicit expression of the generating function associated with the composition $\phi_4 \circ \phi_3$ of canonical transformations, ϕ_3 and ϕ_4 , which support mathematically the algorithm given above. The general-degree version can be found in Umano et al (1999) without a proof. Such an expression is really important because little is known explicitly of the composition of non-integrable canonical although well-known is that of integrable ones (Goldstein 1950).

Lemma A.1 The composition $\varphi_4 \circ \varphi_3 : (\mathbb{R}^{(2)}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{(4)}; \mathbb{R}^{(4)})$ of the canonical transformations φ_3 and φ_4 defined by (A.2) is associated with the third-type generating function

$$S(\mathbb{R}^{(4)}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{q}_j \tilde{p}_j + S^{(3)}(\tilde{q}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)}) + S^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}^{(4)}; \tilde{p}); \quad (\text{A.14})$$

where \tilde{q} and \tilde{p} are the functions of $\mathbb{R}^{(4)}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{(2)}$ uniquely determined to satisfy

$$\tilde{q} = -\frac{\partial S^{(4)}}{\partial \mathbb{R}^{(3)}}(\mathbb{R}^{(4)}; \tilde{p}); \quad \tilde{p} = -\frac{\partial S^{(3)}}{\partial \mathbb{R}^{(3)}}(\tilde{q}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)}); \quad (\text{A.15})$$

around $(\mathbb{R}^{(4)}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)}) = 0$. The S admits the expansion,

$$S(q; p) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_j p_j + S_3(q; p) + S_4(q; p) + o_4(q; p); \quad (\text{A.16})$$

$$\frac{o_4(q; p)}{\mathcal{P}^{\frac{2}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (q_j^2 + p_j^2) \right)} \neq 0 \quad \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j^2}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (q_j^2 + p_j^2) \right)} \neq 0 \right); \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Proof: Since the third-type generating functions, $S^{(h)}$'s, satisfy

$$d^{(h-1)} d^{(h-1)} = d^{(h)} d^{(h)} = dS^{(h)} \quad (h = 3, 4) \quad (\text{A.18})$$

(Goldstein 1950), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d^{(2)} d^{(2)} = d^{(4)} d^{(4)} \\ & < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j^2 \\ & = d \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial S^{(3)}}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial S^{(3)}}{\partial p_j} \right) + S^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}^{(3)}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)}) + S^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}^{(4)}; \mathbb{R}^{(3)}) \right); \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

We express $\mathbb{R}^{(3)}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{(3)}$ in terms of \tilde{q} and \tilde{p} in turn: Applying the implicit function theorem (Spivak 1965) to the map,

$$\begin{aligned} & : (\mathbb{R}^{(3)}; \mathbb{R}^{(3)}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)}; \mathbb{R}^{(4)}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 \\ & \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}^{(3)} + \frac{\partial S^{(4)}}{\partial \mathbb{R}^{(3)}}(\mathbb{R}^{(4)}; \mathbb{R}^{(3)}); \quad \mathbb{R}^{(3)} + \frac{\partial S^{(3)}}{\partial \mathbb{R}^{(3)}}(\mathbb{R}^{(3)}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

around $(\mathbb{R}^{(3)}; \mathbb{R}^{(3)}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)}; \mathbb{R}^{(4)}) = 0$, we find the unique pair of functions, \tilde{q} and \tilde{p} , in $\mathbb{R}^{(4)}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{(2)}$ which satisfy $(\tilde{q}; \tilde{p}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)}; \mathbb{R}^{(4)}) = 0$ around $(\mathbb{R}^{(4)}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)}) = 0$. This shows (A.14) with (A.15). We move on to the proof of (A.16) with (A.17) in turn. Equation (A.15) is expanded in $(\mathbb{R}^{(4)}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)})$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q} &= \mathbb{R}^{(4)} + \frac{\partial S_4}{\partial \mathbb{R}^{(3)}}(\mathbb{R}^{(4)}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)}) + o_3^0(\mathbb{R}^{(4)}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)}); \\ \tilde{p} &= \mathbb{R}^{(2)} + \frac{\partial S_3}{\partial \mathbb{R}^{(3)}}(\mathbb{R}^{(4)}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)}) + o_3^{00}(\mathbb{R}^{(4)}; \mathbb{R}^{(2)}); \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.21})$$

where o_3^0 and o_3^{00} indicate the terms of the order higher than 3 in $\mathcal{P}^{\frac{2}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{R}_j^{(4)})^2 + (\mathbb{R}_j^{(2)})^2 \right)$. Substituting (A.21) into (A.14), we have (A.16). This completes the proof.

We are now in a position to see why the algorithm given here works well. Lemma A.1 and the setting-up (A.5, A.6), are put together to imply that we have solved through Steps-I,II the inverse problem

$$H^{(4)}(q^{(4)}; \frac{\partial S}{\partial q^{(4)}}) = H^{(2)}(q^{(2)}; \frac{\partial S}{\partial q^{(2)}}); \quad (A.22)$$

for $H^{(2)}$, where S is in $\text{age} D_{(4); (2)}$ up to degree-4. This means that $S(q; \cdot)$ coincides up to degree-4 with the generating function $S(q; \cdot)$ for the inverse problem for G , so that the degree-4 inverse problem can be solved through Steps-I,II.