# M ultistability and nonsm ooth bifurcations in the quasiperiodically forced circle m ap

H inke O singa School of M athem atical Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter EX 4 4Q E, UK hm osinga@ exeteracuk Jan W iersig M ax-P lanck-Institut fur Physik kom plexer System e, D -01187 D resden, G erm any jw iersig@ m pipks-dresden m pg.de Paul G lendinning D epartm ent of M athem atics, UM IST, M anchester M 60 1Q D, UK p a glendinning@ um ist.acuk

Ulrike Feudel

Institut fur Physik,

Universitat Potsdam, PF 601553, D-14415 Potsdam, Germany

ulrike@agnld.uni-potsdam.de

April 16, 2024

#### A bstract

It is well-known that the dynam ics of the A mol<sup>2</sup>d circle m ap is phase-locked in regions of the param eter space called A mol<sup>2</sup>d tongues. If the m ap is invertible, the only possible dynam ics is either quasiperiodic m otion, or phase-locked behavior with a unique attracting periodic orbit. Under the in uence of quasiperiodic forcing the dynam ics of the m ap changes dramatically. Inside the A mol<sup>2</sup>d tongues open regions of multistability exist, and the param eter dependency of the dynam ics becomes rather complex. This paper discusses the bifurcation structure inside the A mol<sup>2</sup>d tongue with zero rotation number and includes a study of nons-m ooth bifurcations that happen for large nonlinearity in the region with strange nonchaotic attractors.

## 1 Introduction

The A mold circle map [A mold, 1965]

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \frac{K}{2} \sin(2x_n) \mod 1$$
 (1)

is one of the paradigm s for studying properties of nonlinear dynam ical system s, both because it is a very simple map, and because of its great physical relevance (see, e.g. Bohr et al., 1985]). Using the circle map one can model the structure of phase-lockings (devil's staircase) of a periodically forced nonlinear oscillator [Jensen et al., 1983; Jensen et al., 1984] and the current-voltage characteristics of a driven Josephson junction Bohr et al., 1984]. The phaselocked regions of the A mold circle map form the well-known A mold tongues [A mold, 1983; Hall, 1984]. If K j < 1 there is a unique periodic attractor with a particular rotation number in each tongue.

In this paper we study the structure of the phase-locked regions of the A mol<sup>4</sup> circle m ap driven by a rigid rotation with an irrational frequency. This system exhibits di erent kinds of dynamics, namely quasiperiodic motions with two and three incommensurate frequencies, chaotic attractors, and strange nonchaotic attractors (SNAs). SNAs have a strange geometrical structure, but unlike chaotic attractors they do not exhibit a sensitive dependence to changes in the initial conditions, i.e. their dynamics is not chaotic. They have been found in many quasiperiodically forced systems [G rebogi et al., 1984], and also in the quasiperiodically forced circle map [D ing et al., 1989; Feudel et al., 1995].

P revious investigations show that regions of bistability occur in phase-locked regions of the quasiperiodically forced circlem ap [G lendinning et al., 2000] and the phase-locked regions change in shape depending on the strength of the forcing. This change in shape is related to the em ergence of SNAs [Feudel et al., 1997]. Based on these studies the aim of this paper is twofold. We study regions in parameter space where m one than two attractors coexist (pockets of multistability). Secondly, we discuss the relation between these multistable regions and the appearance of strange nonchaotic attractors. In our discussion of the way these attractors are created and destroyed we are led to a description of nonstandard (nonsmooth) bifurcations of the invariant curves.

If the unforced circle map is modiled by introducing additional nonlinearities, coexisting attractors with the same rotation number can occur within the phase-locked regions [M cG energies & Peckham, 1996]. In our system, multistability within the phase-locked regions is induced by the forcing rather than an additional nonlinear term. In quasiperiodically forced systems the coexisting attractors may be either invariant curves or SNAs depending on the strength of the forcing. Our investigation focuses on how these multistable regions appear and disappear under variation of the system's parameters: the nonlinearity K and the forcing am plitude ". For the tongue with zero rotation number the multistable regions open and close by sm ooth saddle-node or pitchfork bifurcations of invariant curves if K and " are sm all. For larger K these saddle-node and pitchfork bifurcations become nonsm coth: instead of merging uniform ly (sm ooth bifurcation), the relevant stable and unstable invariant curves appear to collide only in a dense set of points.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls in portant properties of the A mol<sup>d</sup> circle m ap relevant for this study and their changes under the in uence of quasiperiodic forcing. In particular we discuss the phase-locked region with zero rotation number. Within this phaselocked region we nd pockets of multistability with a rather complex bifurcation structure which is analyzed in Sec. 3. Sm ooth and nonsm ooth saddle-node and pitchfork bifurcations, leading to coexisting attractors, are studied in Sec. 4 to get a better understanding of the changes in the bifurcation structure depending on the strength of nonlinearity and forcing. Furtherm ore, we investigate the transition between smooth and nonsmooth bifurcations and its implications to the dynamics of the system. In the fullparameter space we nd bifurcations of codimension two. In Sec. 5 we discuss a special codimension-2 point that involves only nonsmooth bifurcations. It turns out that the unfolding of this point is very dierent from the smooth analog. Finally, in Sec. 6, we brie y discuss phase-locked regions with small, but nite, rotation number. We conclude this paper with a summary in Sec. 7 and an Appendix with details on the numerical computations. For readers with a black and white copy of this article we provide a supplementary website [0 singa et al., 2000].

# 2 The Quasiperiodically Forced Circle Map

The quasiperiodically forced circle map is a map on the torus with lift

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{n+1} &= \mathbf{x}_n + \frac{K}{2} \sin (2 \mathbf{x}_n) + \sin (2 \mathbf{\#}_n); \\ \mathbf{\#}_{n+1} &= \mathbf{\#}_n + ! \mod 1; \end{aligned}$$
 (2)

where  $\#_n$  and  $x_n$  modulo 1 give the coordinates on the torus. The parameter is the phase shift, K denotes the strength of nonlinearity (K > 0), " is the forcing amplitude, and the forcing frequency ! is irrational. Throughout this paper we choose to work with ! = (5 1)=2.

#### 2.1 The unforced system

Let us recall the behavior of the unforced circle m ap (1). The dynam ics of this m ap can be either periodic, quasiperiodic, or chaotic, depending on the parameters and K. The critical line K = 1 divides the parameter space into two regions. If K < 1 the m ap is invertible and the motion can only be periodic (phase-locked) or quasiperiodic. For K > 1 the m ap is non invertible and chaotic motion is possible.

The rotation number is used to characterize the di erent kinds of motion. It is de ned as

$$(;K) = \lim_{N \leq 1} \frac{x_N x_0}{N};$$
(4)

where  $x_N$  is the N th iterate of (1), starting from  $x_0$ . It can be shown that (;K) does not depend on  $x_0$  if K < 1. If the rotation number is rational, the attracting motion is periodic, otherw ise it is quasiperiodic. For K < 1 the parameter space is split into regions with rational rotation number, the phase-locked regions or A mol<sup>0</sup>d tongues, and regions with irrational rotation number corresponding to quasiperiodic motion. For example, the main tongue, the phase-locked region with zero rotation number, is bounded by the curves  $= _0$  (K), where  $_0$  (K) = K = 2. For any choice of and K, with j j<  $_0$  and K < 1, there are exactly two xed points, one is attracting and the other is repelling. At the boundary j j=  $_0$  the two the K -dependency of the boundary (K) is nonlinear, but it is always a curve of saddle-node bifurcations.

## 2.2 The forced system

A variety of behavior is possible in the coupled m aps (2) { (3). The rotation number (4) exists, but depends on " and ! in addition to and K, and the direct analogs of the periodic and quasiperiodic m otion of the uncoupled A mol<sup>0</sup>d m ap are invariant curves (the graph of a function  $\# 7 \times (\#)$ ) and m otion which is dense on the torus, respectively. In the form er case the rotation number is rationally related to ! ( =  $r_1 + r_2$ ! with  $r_i$  rational, i = 1;2) and in the latter



Figure 1: The boundary j = 0 (";K) of the phase-locked region with zero rotation number; "2 [0;5] runs from left to right, K 2 [0;1] from back to front, and j j 2 [0;0:16] from bottom to top. Pairs of invariant curves inside the phase-locked region (j < 0) are annihilated at its boundary leading either to three-frequency quasiperiodic motion (yellow area) or SNAs (red area); see App.A 1.

case there is no such rational relation. For both rationally and irrationally related rotation numbers strange nonchaotic attractors (SNAs) may also be possible. An SNA has a strange geometric structure, that is, it can be viewed as the graph of an everywhere discontinuous function # 7 x (#), but the dynamics on the attractor is not chaotic, because typical Lyapunov exponents in the x{direction are negative (there is always a zero Lyapunov exponent in the #{direction}.

If the rotation number is rationally related to ! then the motion is said to be phase-locked and the regions of parameter space in which the motion is phase-locked are analogous to the A mol<sup>0</sup>d tongues of the unforced map. On the boundaries of the phase-locked regions we expect to see saddle-node bifurcations. There is an additional complication in the forced maps [Feudel et al., 1995] in that the saddle-node bifurcations may be smooth (two invariant curves converge uniform ly from inside the phase-locked region) or nonsmooth. In the smooth saddle-node bifurcation the nontrivial Lyapunov exponent in the x direction goes to zero at the bifurcation point. In the nonsmooth saddle-node bifurcation the two invariant curves appear to collide only on a dense set of points. M oreover, the typical nontrivial Lyapunov exponent remains negative. These nonsmooth saddle-node bifurcations seem to be associated with the appearance of SNAs outside the phase-locked region [D ing et al., 1989; Feudel et al., 1995; G lendinning, 1998].

It can be shown that one mechanism of the appearance of SNAs is related to changes in the shape of the phase-locked regions [Feudelet al., 1997; G lendinning et al., 2000]. For the unforced m ap the width of a phase-locked region increases monotonically with increasing nonlinearity K; this is no longer the case for positive forcing amplitude ". Moreover, as Fig. 1 shows, for

xed K the width of the phase-locked region oscillates as " increases. In particular, there are certain values of " for which the width of the phase-locked region becomes extremely small.



Figure 2: The bifurcation structure for K = 0.8 of the phase-locked region with zero rotation number (a) with detail of the rst overlap (b).

Unfortunately, using only num erical m ethods, we cannot decide whether the region actually closes or not at those "-values. Form ore details on num erical com putations we refer to App.A 1.

For small xed nonlinearity K the boundary  $_0$  (";K) of the phase-locked region can be approximated by (the modulus of) a Bessel function of order zero using inst order perturbation theory [G lendinning et al., 2000]. Numerical simulations also revealed regions of bistability in the vicinity of the zeroes of the Bessel function, where the width of the phase-locked region is very small. The bistability regions are bounded by saddle-node bifurcations of invariant curves, which has been con immed by second order perturbation theory [G lendinning & W iersig, 1999].

The study in [G lendinning et al., 2000; G lendinning & W iersig, 1999] only applies for K close to 0. We wish to study what happens to the phase-locked region with zero rotation number for larger K. However, we restrict our considerations to the invertible case K < 1, so that chaos is ruled out. We nd that the regions of bistability contain other regions where even m ore attractors coexist. In the following we describe how these regions appear and disappear as a parameter varies. We also study sm ooth and nonsm ooth bifurcations and m ake some remarks on the appearance of SNAs.

The majority of the rest of this paper describes the results of numerical simulations of Eqs. (2) { (3). A s such, the reader should bear in m ind that our conclusions are based on numerical observations and m ay turn out to be m isleading in places. We have m ade every e ort to avoid such problem s (see the Appendix) and believe that the phenomena reported are su ciently interesting and m athem atically intractable to m erit this numerical investigation, even if we remain uncertain of some of the outcomes. The reader is encouraged to maintain a healthy scepticism throughout.

# 3 The Internal Structure of the M ain Tongue for K = 0.8

In the simplest case the boundary j = 0 (";K) represents the disappearance of two invariant curves, a stable and an unstable one. However, for  $j \leq 0$  (";K) more than two invariant curves may exist that disappear before this boundary is crossed. Such pockets of multistability are found near local minim a of 0 (";K), cf. the region of bistability predicted by perturbation analysis for small K [G lendinning et al., 2000; G lendinning & W iersig, 1999]. For example, Fig. 2 (a) shows a cross-section of Fig. 1 at K = 0.8, with both positive and negative sides of the boundary of the phase-locked region. The outer boundary is the function j = 0 (";0.8). Extra curves are drawn marking the boundaries of pockets of multistability, which is best seen in the enlargements Figs. 2 (b), 4 and 5. These pockets of multistability can be considered as overlaps of di erent \bubbles" with the same rotation number as in Fig. 2 (a). For better visualization



Figure 3: Sketch of the bifurcations along the line = 0 and K = 0.8 in the rst (a) and second (b) overlap. Show n are invariant circles (represented by one point) versus ". C losed curves represent stable and dashed curves represent unstable circles. The colors correspond with the colors of the bifurcation curves in Figs. 2, 4 and 5.



Figure 4: The bifurcation structure for K = 0.8 in the second overlap (a) seem s to be the same as in Fig. 2 (b). How ever, an enlargement (b) shows that the structure is much more complicated.

we have chosen di erent colors for bifurcations of di erent pairs of invariant curves.

### 3.1 Bifurcations for K = 0.8 in the rst region of overlap

The rst overlap is enlarged in Fig. 2 (b). The orange and purple curves enclose a rhombus shaped region where two attracting and two repelling invariant circles exist. This region of bistability is bounded by curves of saddle-node bifurcations that end in pitchfork bifurcations on the line = 0. Note that if = 0 the map has a symmetry (x 7 x; # 7 # + 1=2) which implies that the rotation number in the x{direction is always zero and pitchfork bifurcations should be expected. The bifurcation sequence for = 0 is sketched in Fig. 3(a) where each circle is represented as a point and " increases along the horizontal axis. The bottom and top lines are identical, representing the modulo 1 computations. The purple and orange dots are the pitchfork bifurcations that mark the crossing of the purple and orange curves in Fig. 2(b) along = 0, respectively.

### 3.2 B ifurcations for K = 0.8 in the second region of overlap

Figure 4 (a) shows a detail of the second overlap. This picture is very similar to Fig. 2 (b), but the bifurcation diagram along = 0 in Fig. 3 (b) reveals a more complex structure. Let us rst discuss Fig. 3 (b). The rst bifurcation (light blue dot) is the same pitch fork bifurcation as the purple dot in Fig. 3 (a): the stable circle becomes unstable, creating two new stable circles. As "increases two other stable circles are born in a pair of saddle-node bifurcations (red dots). We



F igure 5: D etails of the bifurcation structure for K = 0.8 in the second overlap. The last pitch fork bifurcation on the line = 0 is subcritical (b) as opposed to supercritical in Fig. 2 (b).

now have four di erent attractors. Note that these saddle-node bifurcations happen at the same values of " due to the sym m etry along the line = 0, as referred to earlier.

At the purple dots the two attracting circles from the pitchfork bifurcation disappear in a pair of saddle-node bifurcations. Note that this pair of saddle-node bifurcations is connected to the purple pitchfork bifurcation of Fig. 3 (a) via the purple curve o = 0 in Fig. 2 (a). We are now left with two attractors and two repellors. These last two attractors do not disappear in a pitchfork bifurcation as in Fig. 3 (a) (orange dot). Instead, they disappear in a pair of saddle-node bifurcations (dark blue dots) with two repellors that are born in a pitchfork bifurcation (green dot) for slightly sm aller ". Note that this pitchfork bifurcation is subcritical, as opposed to the supercritical orange one in Fig. 3 (a).

The unfolding of these bifurcations inside the phase-locked region with € 0 is shown in detail in Figs. 4 and 5. The curves are colored according to the colors of the bifurcations in Fig. 3(b). A sexpected, for € 0 pairs of saddle-node bifurcations no longer happen at the same values of ". They form two di erent curves that cross each other exactly at = 0. We already mentioned earlier that the purple curves connect all the way left in a pitchfork bifurcation on the line = 0 in the rst overlap. The light blue curves start in the pitchfork bifurcation at

= 0 and become the outer boundary j j=  $_0$  (";0:8) once they cross the purple curves. The red curves form swallow tails with the purple curves on the right side and the dark blue curves on the left side; see Fig. 4 (b). Finally, the dark blue curves form swallow tails with red and green curves; see Fig. 5.

# 4 The Structure of B ifurcations in the (";K)-plane

The bifurcation structure depends on the strength of the nonlinearity K. We study the twoparameter dependence only on the cross-section = 0, because the unfolding inside the phaselocked region with  $\neq 0$  is similar to that discussed in Sec. 3. As expected, the regions of overlap change shape with K. In particular, only the regions with no more than two attractors persist for small K and moderate ". This is shown in Fig. 6 with a cross-section at = 0 in the (";K)-plane of the second overlap; see App.A 2 for details on how this picture was generated.

In the following sections we describe the bifurcations in more detail. Section 4.1 discusses the sequence of bifurcations that happen as K decreases. For small K (less than approximately 0.8 for the bifurcations we have looked at), saddle-node and pitchfork bifurcations happen via a uniform collision of invariant curves: at the moment of bifurcation, two (saddle-node) or three (pitchfork) curves merge at each value of #. We call these bifurcations smooth bifurcations. For K close to 1 the attractors may become extrem ely wrinkled, which gives rise to nonsmooth



Figure 6: A cross-section at = 0 of the second overlap over a 200 200 grid. A point in the white region corresponds to two invariant curves, one is stable and the other is unstable. The region in which the stable curve is extrem ely wrinkled (large phase sensitivity, see App.A 2) is marked as well.



Figure 7: Sketches of the bifurcations along the line = 0 in the second overlapping region as K decreases from 0.8 to 0. The bifurcation structure of Fig. 3(b) for K = 0.8 transforms into one like Fig. 3(a) by \absorbing" pairs of saddle-node bifurcations in the second pitchfork bifurcation.

bifurcations: at the moment of bifurcation the invariant curves now collide only in a dense set of #-values. The nonsm ooth pitchfork and nonsm ooth saddle-node bifurcations are described in detail in Secs. 42 and 4.3, respectively.

## 4.1 Sm ooth bifurcations for = 0 in the second region of overlap

Figure 6 indicates that at most two attractors exist for small K and moderate ". This means that for xed small K, the bifurcation portrait looks like Fig. 3 (a). Hence, as we decrease K from K = 0.8 to 0, the extra pairs of saddle-node bifurcations (see Fig. 3 (b)) need to disappear somehow. It turns out that the last pitchfork bifurcation, the green dot in Fig. 3 (b), \absorbs" these saddle-node bifurcations one by one. In doing so, the pitchfork bifurcation switches from subcritical to supercritical and vice versa (a standard codimension-2 bifurcation). A sketch of this process along the line = 0 is shown in Fig. 7 (a) { (c).

In the (;")-plane the picture changes as follows. The rst swap, Fig. 7(a), comes about as the pitchfork point and the intersection point of the dark blue curves on = 0 collapse; see Fig. 5(b). When K decreases, these points move closer together, causing the slope of the dark blue curves to become steeper and the ends of the swallow tail to move closer to = 0. Upon collision the green curves disappear and the dark blue curves end in a supercritical pitchfork bifurcation.

In the second swap the dark blue curves disappear in a similar way via a collision of the pitchfork point and the intersection point of the two red curves, making the pitchfork subcritical again. Note that, in order for this to happen, the pitchfork point crosses the intersection point of the two purple curves; com pare Figs. 4 (b) and 5 (a). Figures 7 (a) { (b) show why this is a crossing and not a collision: the pair of purple saddle-node bifurcations happens \far out" in state space from the pitchfork bifurcation. Therefore, the crossing is only a crossing in this projection on the ( ;")-plane.

The third swap, Fig. 7(c), is identical to the rst, causing the disappearance of the red curves. In this bifurcation diagram at most two attractors coexist, which is the desired situation for K small.

## 4.2 Nonsmooth pitchfork bifurcations

For K close to 1 the situation is more complicated, because some of the invariant curves are very wrinkled and the pitchfork bifurcation becomes nonsmooth. This bifurcation has been found by Sturm an [1999] in a similar map. Let us discuss what happens for = 0, along the line K = 0.9 as we approach the pitchfork bifurcation by decreasing ", starting in the yellow region in Fig. 6. Figure 8(a) shows all invariant curves just before the bifurcation. The two stable invariant curves (black and blue) correspond to the two outer branches of the pitchfork, the unstable invariant curve (red) relates to the inner branch of the pitchfork separating the two outer ones.



Figure 8: Nonsmooth pitchfork bifurcation with = 0 and K = 0.9: (a) before the collision (" = 1:56765): attractors in blue and black, unstable invariant curves in green and red; (b) after the collision (" = 1:5675): SNA (red) and the unstable invariant curve not taking part in the bifurcation (green).

The fourth invariant curve (green) is also unstable, but it is far away" and does not take part in the bifurcation. A swe decrease " towards the bifurcation point the three invariant curves (blue, black and red) approach each other, but due to their wrinkled structures they appear to collide only in a dense set of #-values instead of merging uniform ly as in a sm ooth bifurcation. This indicates that at them on ent of bifurcation the attractor is an SNA. Num erical evidence suggests that this SNA persists and sm oothes out to an invariant curve over a sm all "-interval; see for example the red attractor in Fig. 8(b) for " below the bifurcation value. It is possible that we see the reverse of fractalization, a mechanism for the appearance of SNAs reported in N ishikawa & K aneko, 1996]. However, if we use the method of rational approximations for testing whether the attractor is an SNA, we get con icting results; see App. A.3 for m ore details. We rem ark that we get these con icting num erical results only for the nonsm ooth pitchfork bifurcation. In any case, after a further decrease in " the attractor is clearly a sm ooth invariant curve.

It is important to note that the nonsmooth pitchfork bifurcation is uniquely de ned as the moment of collision of three invariant curves and the locus of bifurcation lies on a curve in the (";K)-plane. The process of fractalization is a gradual process where the moment of transition from an invariant curve to an SNA is not well-de ned num erically. We wish to emphasize that it is, therefore, completely unclear whether the set of parameter pairs (";K) with = 0 that exhibit SNAs after the nonsmooth pitchfork bifurcation has zero or nite size.

Since the boundary of the region of bi- or multistability for = 0 is given by smooth and nonsmooth pitchfork bifurcations there is a codimension-2 point in the (";K)-plane where the smooth and the nonsmooth pitchfork bifurcation curves meet. An approximation of this codimension-2 point is (";K) = (1.564, 0.89). In any neighborhood of this point we always all three kinds of dynam ical behaviors: one stable invariant curve, two stable invariant curves, and one SNA; although the latter may only exist on the nonsmooth bifurcation curve itself.

## 4.3 Nonsmooth saddle-node bifurcations

If K is small and "m oderate then the saddle-node bifurcations observed num erically involve two invariant curves on the torus which converge and destroy each other. At larger values of K, simulations suggest that two invariant curves touch on an orbit at the bifurcation point, so points of intersection of these sets are dense on the curves. (Strictly speaking, the invariant sets are no longer continuous at the bifurcation point, but we will continue to refer to them as curves.) For quasiperiodically forced circle m aps we can distinguish two types of these nonsm ooth saddlenode bifurcations: one-sided and two-sided. In the one-sided nonsm ooth saddle-node bifurcation, the collisions occur between pairs of invariant curves on the cylinder. An example is shown in Fig. 9(a) where the invariant curves and some of their translates by one in the x direction are computed close to the bifurcation point. In the two-sided case each stable invariant curve on the cylinder touches both the unstable invariant curve im mediately above it and the unstable invariant curve immediately below it. On the torus this implies that at the bifurcation point the attractor is everywhere discontinuous. An example of a two-sided nonsm ooth saddle-node bifurcation is shown in Fig. 10(a). These two-sided nonsmooth saddle-node bifurcations are described in Feudel et al., 1995], where it is shown that after the bifurcation (with = 0 xed) the map has an SNA with unbounded motion in the x direction (Fig. 10(b)) and K despite the fact that the rotation number remains zero due to the symmetry of Eqs. (2) { (3) = 0. This implies that the di usion in the x direction is extremely slow; see Feudel when et al., 1995; Stark et al., 1999] for further details. In general, the two-sided nonsm ooth saddlenode bifurcation is of codim ension two, but it occurs as a codim ension-1 phenom enon due to the symmetry if = 0. Figure 11 shows the range of dynamics observed in the third region of overlap in the plane = 0. Unbounded SNAs are observed in the blue regions and two-sided nonsm ooth saddle-node bifurcations occur on the boundary between the white and blue regions.

The unbounded SNA of Fig. 10(b) must contain orbits which are unbounded above and orbits which are unbounded below [Stark et al., 1999]. This bidirectional di usive motion of the unbounded SNA with = 0 becomes e ectively unidirectional if j jis very small, leading to a nonzero rotation number [Stark et al., 1999]. This suggests that these unbounded SNAs lie on the boundary of the phase-locked region. Fig. 12 shows this boundary in (";K; ) - space. It is clear that the height (i.e. the width in ) of the boundary is very small, if not zero, in regions of the (";K) plane with = 0 which have unbounded SNAs (com pare the low plateau on the left of Fig. 12 with the region of unbounded SNAs of Fig. 11). As the height of the boundary becomes non-negligible we observe that the saddle-node bifurcation on the boundary correspond to saddle-node bifurcations with non-negligible height. These red regions of the boundary correspond to saddle-node bifurcations with nonzero rotation num bers outside the phase-locked region [D ing et al., 1989; G lendinning, 1998].

If the phase-locked region really has zero height on the plateau, then it is wrong to refer to saddle-node bifurcations on the interior of the plateau: these points would correspond to a transition from an SNA with negative rotation number to an SNA with positive rotation number through an SNA with zero rotation number as increases through zero. In this full three-parameter unfolding the two-sided nonsm ooth saddle-node bifurcations are of codimension two, occurring on curves bounding the plateau and separating parts of the boundary of the phase-locked region with unbounded SNAs from parts with one-sided nonsm ooth saddle-node bifurcations.



Figure 9: One-sided nonsmooth saddle-node bifurcation with = 0.001 and "= 2.58: (a) before the collision (K = 0.865): attractors in black, blue and green, repellors in red, purple and orange; (b) after the collision (K = 0.868): attractor with the same initial condition as the black attracting invariant curve in (a); repellor with the same e initial condition as the purple repelling invariant curve. The attractor m oves upwards in forward time and the repellor m oves dow nwards in reverse time. The rotation number is nonzero.



Figure 10: Two-sided nonsmooth saddle-node bifurcation with = 0 and " = 2:58 (cf. the transition from the white to the blue region in Fig. 11): (a) before the collision (K = 0:927): attractors in black, blue and green, repellors in red, purple and orange; (b) after the collision (K = 0:928): SNA with the same initial condition as the black attracting invariant curve in (a). The strange repellor is not shown.



Figure 11: Section = 0 of the third overlap region. In the region of large phase sensitivity the attractor is very wrinkled or even an SNA.



Figure 12: A small part of the boundary j = 0 (";K) of the phase-locked region with zero rotation number; "2 [2:52;2:62] runs from right to left, K 2 [0;1] also from right to left, and j j 2 [0;0:004] from bottom to top; compare Fig. 11. A grid of 80 160 points in the (";K)-plane is taken. Red regions with negative Lyapunov exponent (using < 0:005 as criterion) correspond to transitions to SNAs (the yellow spots are due to the nite threshold).



Figure 13: (a) M agni cation of Fig.11. The white region corresponds to pairs of invariant curves, regardless of their phase sensitivity. (b) M agni cation of (a).

## 5 Nonsmooth bifurcation points of codim ension two

In the full parameter space, and also already in the (";K)-plane with = 0, we expect codimension-2 bifurcations. We have already seen some of these; for example, the transition from yellow to red in Figs. 1 and 12 is the codimension-2 bifurcation curve marking the transition from a smooth saddle-node bifurcation to a nonsmooth one, respectively [Kuznetsov et al., 2000]. Another example is the codimension-2 point, mentioned in Sec. 4.2, where the smooth and nonsmooth pitchfork bifurcation curves meet. Note that there is no curve of codimension-2 points in this case, since the pitchfork bifurcation is restricted to the plane = 0. A s discussed in the previous section, there is also the two-sided nonsmooth saddle-node bifurcation curve.

In this section we want to draw attention to an interesting codimension-2 point in the plane

= 0. This point can be seen in Fig. 11 and in the enlargements Figs. 13(a) (b) as the point where the region with unbounded SNAs (blue) and the bistable region (yellow) touch. It can be characterized as the moment where two nonsmooth (supercritical) pitchfork bifurcations happen simultaneously, i.e. a region of overlap is pulled apart; compare also the sketch in Fig. 7 of [G lendinning et al., 2000].

## 5.1 Sm ooth analog of the nonsm ooth codim ension-2 bifurcation point

Let us not discuss the smooth analog of this nonsmooth codim ension-2 bifurcation point. Suppose for = 0 and some K < 1 xed the bifurcation diagram involves only two supercritical pitchfork bifurcations that occur in the order as shown in Fig. 14(a). Now assume that as we increase K, this order is switched before we reach K = 1, without changing the type of pitchfork bifurcation from supercritical to subcritical. As shown in Fig. 14(b), this means that we necessarily need extra curves of saddle-node bifurcations.

The sm ooth analog of the nonsm ooth codimension-2 bifurcation point is the point where the two pitchfork bifurcations happen at the same parameter values. In the (";K)-plane the complete bifurcation diagram should look like Fig.15. The two supercritical pitchfork bifurcation curves are colored light-blue and magenta. The green and light-green curves are saddle-node bifurcation curves; compare also the colors in Figs. 14 (a) { (b). Above the green and light-green curves, but below the light-blue and magenta curves there are four attractors. If we cross either



Figure 14: Two di erent bifurcation diagram s along the line = 0 that both involve two (sm ooth) supercritical pitchfork bifurcations. Shown are invariant curves (represented by one point) versus "with K xed. If the pitchfork bifurcation creating two attractors does not happen rst, we necessarily need extra curves of saddle-node bifurcations (b).

the light-blue or the m agenta curve from this region there are three attractors. Above the lightblue and m agenta curves there are two attractors. We distinguish ve qualitatively dierent K -intervals numbered I{V in Fig. 15. Interval I corresponds to Fig. 14(a) and V to Fig. 14(b). The qualitative behavior in the intervals II{IV is given in Figs. 16(a){(c), respectively. The codimension-2 point that we are discussing here is the intersection point of the light-blue and m agenta pitchfork bifurcation curves. The intersections of the green and light-blue curves, and the light-green and m agenta curves are only intersections in this projection onto the (";K)-plane as can be seen in Fig. 16, where the transition from Fig. 16(a) to (b) m arks the crossing of green and light-blue, and the transition from Fig. 16(b) to (c) represents the crossing of light-green and m agenta.

### 5.2 A nonsm ooth bifurcation point of codim ension two

The nonsm ooth version of Fig. 15 looks surprisingly simple in contrast; see Fig. 17. The coloring of the bifurcation curves is as in Fig. 15 with the restriction that all curves represent nonsm ooth bifurcations. The upper pair of bifurcation curves corresponds to two-sided nonsm ooth saddle-node bifurcations and the lower pair to nonsm ooth pitchfork bifurcations.

We now have four regions with distinctive dynamics. To the left and to the right of the two pitchfork bifurcation curves there is one attractor. In between the two curves of pitchfork bifurcations there are two attractors. This is illustrated for a particular choice of the parameters in Fig. 18 (b). The two attractors (black and blue) are two invariant curves separated by unstable invariant curves (green and red). In contrast to the previously discussed case of the nonsm ooth pitchfork bifurcation, both unstable invariant curves are now close to the attracting invariant curves, because we are near the codimension-2 bifurcation point. The two dimension must be bifurcations are illustrated in Figs. 18 (a) and (c). If we decrease the forcing am plitude ", the black, blue and green invariant curves disappear in a nonsm ooth pitchfork bifurcation to form the green attractor coexisting with the red unstable invariant curve, as shown in Fig. 18 (a). This corresponds to a crossing of the light-blue nonsm ooth pitchfork bifurcation curve in Fig. 17. We cross the magenta nonsm ooth pitchfork bifurcation curves disappear and form the red attractor coexisting with the green unstable invariant curves disappear and form the red attractor coexisting with the green unstable invariant curves disappear and form the red attractor coexisting with the green unstable invariant curves disappear and form the red attractor coexisting with the green unstable invariant curve as in Fig. 18 (c). The fourth region between the nonsm ooth saddle-node bifurcations is characterized by the existence of unbounded SNAs.

F in ally, we rem ark that the SNA region seems to have a fractal-like structure in the neighborhood of the codim ension-2 point; com pare Figs. 13(a) { (b). This would im ply that the boundary



F igure 15: Unfolding of the sm ooth analog of the nonsm ooth codim ension-2 bifurcation point. G reen and lightgreen curves are saddle-node bifurcations, light-blue and m agenta curves correspond to pitchfork bifurcations.



Figure 16: Qualitative bifurcation diagram s for K -values in the K -intervals II (a), III (b), and IV (c) in Fig. 15. The equivalent diagram s for the K -intervals I and V are shown in Fig. 14.

of the phase-locked region is fractal!

## 6 Tongues with Nonzero Rotation Numbers

We studied the structure of the phase-locked region with zero rotation number in great detail. In this section we brie y discuss the geometry of the tongues with nonzero rotation numbers that are close to the main tongue. More precisely, we determ ine the boundaries of the tongues with rotation numbers  $= 1=F_k$ , with  $F_k$  the kth Fibonacci number  $F_k = F_{k-1} + F_{k-2}$  and  $F_1 = F_2 = 1$ , using the same numerical procedure as before; see App. A 1. Several tongues for K = 0.99 are shown in Fig. 19. The uctuations of the widths of the tongues with > 0 are due to numerical errors, which are of the same magnitude as the widths them selves. The thick borderline  $_0$  (";K) in this parameter regime corresponds to transitions to SNAs or bifurcations of SNAs of the type discussed in Sec. 4.3.

How these tongues approach the boundary  $_0$  (";K) for xed (";K) as k goes to in nity depends in general on " and K ; com pare " = 2:55 and " = 2:61 in Fig. 19. This behavior can be quanti ed with a scaling law for the distance  $_0$  between the main tongue and the tongues



F igure 17: Unfolding of the nonsm ooth codim ension-2 bifurcation point. The green and light-green curves correspond to nonsm ooth saddle-node bifurcations, while the light-blue and the m agenta curves represent nonsm ooth pitchfork bifurcations.

with nonzero rotation numbers . We used several parameter pairs (";K) in Fig. 20 and our numerical calculations strongly suggest the scaling law for " and K such that  $_0 = 0$ , and  $_0$  otherwise. This agrees with the linear scaling in the high-" limit conjectured by D ing et al. [1989], the square-root scaling in the A mol<sup>0</sup>d circle m ap for " = 0 and K > 0 (see e.g. [M acK ay & Tresser, 1984]) and the trivial linear scaling of the pure rotation for " = K = 0. The square root scaling is associated with the one-sided saddle-node bifurcation, and the linear scaling is related to the change in the rotation number of the SNAs as in Sec. 4.3. The change between these scalings is associated with a two-sided nonsm ooth saddle-node bifurcation curves meet (presum ably the nonsm ooth analog of a cusp bifurcation point). A detailed study of this curve of codim ension-2 points could be of interest.

# 7 Summary

We have studied the structure of the phase-locked regions in the quasiperiodically forced circle map. In particular, we have found regions of multistability where several attractors coexist. These regions of multistability appear due to the emergence of additional pairs of invariant curves as a result of saddle-node or pitchfork bifurcations under the variation of the forcing amplitude. As a result, these regions look like overlaps of phase-locked regions with the same rotation number.

Opening and closing of these pockets of multistability are due to saddle-node and pitchfork bifurcations of invariant curves. These bifurcations can be either smooth or nonsmooth depending on the strength of nonlinearity and the forcing am plitude. This is organized by the type of interaction between the stable and unstable invariant curves. In the smooth case these curves approach each other uniform ly and then touch uniform ly in each value of #, so that the bifurcation boks like a simple merging of the invariant curves, analogous to the unforced case. Nonsmooth saddle-node bifurcations appear due to a wrinkled structure of the participating invariant curves, which collide at the bifurcation only in a dense set of #-values. The result of this bifurcation is the emergence of a strange nonchaotic attractor. Sim ilar to the nonsmooth saddle-node bifurcation we ind a nonsmooth pitchfork bifurcation, but the details of this bifurcation are still unclear. Both for the saddle-node and the pitchfork bifurcation there are codim ension-2 points in parameter space marking the transition from a smooth to a nonsmooth bifurcation. The exact determ ination of the codim ension-2 pitchfork bifurcation point and the self(sim ilarity properties in its neighborhood should be possible by using renorm alization group



Figure 18: Nonsmooth pitchfork bifurcations in the neighborhood of the codimension-2 point K = 0.83811, = 0 and " = 2.55483: (a) to the left of the bistable region (K = 0.838, " = 2.5542); (b) within the bistable region close to the higher codimension point (K = 0.838, " = 2.55483); (c) to the right of the bistable region (K = 0.838, " = 2.5551).



Figure 19: Section K = 0.99 of the regions with rotation numbers  $= 1=F_k$ , k being 11; :::; 16, close to the (grey) region with = 0; com pare Figs. 11{12.



Figure 20: The distance  $_0$  of the tongue with rotation number  $= 1=F_k$  to the main tongue, where k ranges from 11 to 18; com pare also Fig. 19. The data can be approximated by straight lines in a ln ln plot with slopes 1.032 0.013 for " = 2:55 (squares) and 0:488 0.025 for " = 2:61 (stars). The nonlinearity K is in both cases equal to 0:99.

techniques.

We have also investigated a nonsmooth codim ension-2 bifurcation involving the merging of two nonsmooth pitchfork bifurcations and two nonsmooth saddle-node bifurcations which leads to regions of unbounded SNAs. This has no straightforward analog in smooth bifurcations.

The positions of phase-locked regions in the neighborhood of the region with zero rotation number were also described. Fixing the nonlinearity K and the forcing ", we found that the rotation number of these regions scales linearly with whenever the width of the main tongue appears to be zero, and as  $\frac{1}{0}$  otherwise.

## A cknow ledgem ents

We thank for the hospitality of the M ax P lanck Institute for P hysics of C om plex System s in D resden, where this collaboration started during the W orkshop and Sem inar \B eyond Q uasiperiodicity: Structures and C om plex D ynam ics" (January 1999), and where the work was com pleted in M arch 2000. H  $\Omega$ . was supported by an AFO SR /D D RE M U R I grant AFS-5X +F 496209610471 while she was em ployed at C altech in Pasadena, U SA.JW. acknow ledges nancial support by the EU through a TM R N etwork on the dynam ics of spatially extended system s under contract num ber FM R X C T 960010. P G. is grateful for a travel grant from the British C ouncil. U F. acknow ledges nancial support by the D eutsche Forschungsgem einschaft (H eisenberg-P rogram and Sfb 555).

## References

Amol<sup>2</sup>d, V. I. [1965] \Sm all denom inators I," Trans. Am er. M ath. Soc. 2nd. series 46, 213{284.

- A mol<sup>0</sup>d, V.I. [1983] \R em arks on the perturbation-theory for problem s of m athieu type," Russian M athem atical Surveys 38, 215{233.
- Bohr, T., Bak, P.& Jensen, M.H. [1984] \Transition to chaos by interaction of resonances in dissipative system s. II. Josephson junctions, charge-density waves, and standard maps," Phys. Rev. A 30, 1970{1981.
- Bohr, T., Bak, P. & Jensen, M. H. [1985] \M ode-locking and the transition to chaos in dissipative system s," Physica Scripta T 9, 50 {58.

- D ing, M., G rebogi, C. & Ott, E. [1989] \Evolution of attractors in quasiperiodically forced system s: From quasiperiodic to strange nonchaotic to chaotic," Phys. Rev. A 39 (5), 2593 {2598.
- Feudel, U., Grebogi, C. & Ott, E. [1997] \Phase-locking in quasiperiodically forced systems," Physics Reports 290, 11{25.
- Feudel, U., Kurths, J. & Pikovsky, A. [1995] \Strange non-chaotic attractor in a quasiperiodically forced circle m ap," Physica 88D, 176(186.
- G lendinning, P. [1998] \Interm ittency and strange nonchaotic attractors in quasiperiodically forced circle maps," Phys. Lett. A 244, 545 [550.
- G lendinning, P., Feudel, U., Pikovsky, A. & Stark, J. [2000] \The structure of mode-locked regions in quasi-periodically forced circle maps," (to appear in Physica D).
- G lendinning, P. & W iersig, J. [1999] \F ine structure of m ode-locked regions of the quasi-periodically forced circle m ap," Phys. Lett. A 257, 65{69.
- G rebogi, C., Ott, E., Pelikan, S. & Yorke, J.A. [1984] \Strange attractors that are not chaotic," Physica 13D, 261 {268.
- Hall, G.R. [1984] \Resonance zones in two-parameter families of circle homeomorphisms," SIAM J. Math. Anal. 15 (6), 1075 (1081.
- Jensen, M.H., Bak, P.& Bohr, T. [1983] \Complete devil's staircase, fractal dimension, and universality of mode-locking structure in the circle map," Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1637{1639.
- Jensen, M.H., Bak, P.& Bohr, T. [1984] \Transition to chaos by interaction of resonances in dissipative system s.I. circle m aps," Phys. Rev. A 30, 1960 (1969.
- Kuznetsov, S., Neumann, E., Pikovsky, A. & Sataev, I. [2000] \Critical point of tori-collision in quasiperiodically forced systems," preprint, University of Potsdam (submitted to Phys. Rev. E).
- MacKay, R.S. & Tresser, C. [1984] \Transition to chaos for two-frequency system s," J. Physique Lett. 45, L741{L746.
- McGehee, R.P. & Peckham, B.B. [1996] \Arnold am es and resonance surface folds," Int. J. Bif. and Chaos 6, 315{336.
- N ishikawa, T. & Kaneko, K. [1996] \Fractalization of torus revisited as a strange nonchaotic attractor," Phys. Rev. E 54 (6), 6114{6124.
- O singa, H., W iersig, J., G lendinning, P. & Feudel, U. [2000] \Multistability and nonsm ooth bifurcations in the quasiperiodically forced circle map," http://www.m.pipksdresden.m.pg.de/eprint/jwiersig/0004003/.
- Pikovsky, A. & Feudel, U. [1994] \Characterizing strange nonchaotic attractors," CHAOS 5 (1), 253 {260.
- Stark, J., Feudel, U., G Lendinning, P. & Pikovsky, A. [1999] \Rotation numbers for quasiperiodically forced monotone circle maps," preprint, University College London.
- Sturm an, R. [1999] \Scaling of interm ittent behaviour of a strange nonchaotic attractor," Phys. Lett. A 259, 355{365.

# Appendix: Details on the Numerical Computations

We now explain our numerical computations in more detail and give values for the accuracy parameters. The Appendix is organized such that each section is related to one section in the main text. Appendix A 1 explains how to compute the tongue boundary for the main tongue with zero rotation number and relates to Sec. 2.2. Appendix A 2 discusses the generation of F ig. 6 in Sec. 4. Here, we also discuss how to identify SNA susing the phase sensitivity exponent, which is related to the derivative of  $x_n$  with respect to the external phase #. The numerical issues that arise when determ ining the nonsm ooth pitchfork bifurcation and the accompanying

fractalization process, as reported in Sec. 42, are described in more detail in App. A.3. In contrast to the method for identifying SNAs in the second region of overlap as described in App. A 2, we used another more e cient method for determining the regions where SNAs exist in the third region of overlap. This method is explained in App. A 4.

#### A.1 Num erical computation of the boundary of the phase-locked region

The boundary =  $_0$  (";K) of the phase-locked region with = 0 is half its width due to the sym metry. It is approximated by estimating the boundary point  $_0$  on a grid of 320 40 points in the (";K)-plane. Following [Stark et al., 1999] we determ ine the rotation number (4) within an accuracy of 1=N by averaging over a sam ple of 25 orbits of length N = F<sub>28</sub> = 317811 (after 1000 preiterations to eliminate the e ect of transients), where F<sub>k</sub> are the F ibonacci numbers  $F_1 = F_2 = 1$  and  $F_k = F_{k-1} + F_{k-2}$ . The Fibonacci numbers  $F_k$  are used since the value  $\#_{F_k}$  after  $F_k$  iterations is close to the initial value  $\#_0$  due to the fact that ratios of Fibonacci numbers are good rational approximants of our irrational driving frequency !. The initial interval [ $_0$ ;  $_0^+$ ] = [0;02] is repeatedly bisected, preserving the relation ( $_0$ ) < 1=N < ( $_0^+$ ) to ensure that  $_0 2$  [ $_0$ ;  $_0^+$ ], until  $_0^+$  of the mean value is maller than our num erical accuracy . Note that, as remarked in the Sec. 2.2, the question of whether  $_0$  really vanishes or is just very small cannot be answered by using only numerical methods.

To distinguish between sm ooth and nonsm ooth saddle-node bifurcations of invariant curves on the boundary of the phase-locked region we compute the nontrivial Lyapunov exponent

$$(;";K) = \lim_{N \leq 1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \ln \frac{e_{n+1}}{e_{n}} = \lim_{N \leq 1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \ln j l + K \cos 2 x_n j;$$
(5)

Vanishing (yellow regions in Fig. 1) indicates sm ooth saddle-node bifurcation while negative indicates nonsm ooth saddle-node bifurcation (red regions); see Feudel et al. [1997] and Sec. 2.2.

## A.2 The cross-section = 0 of the second region of overlap

Figure 6 shows the bifurcation structure at a cross-section = 0. The picture was generated as follows. For each grid point N  $= F_{32} = 2178309$  iterations of Eqs. (2) { (3) were computed using 25 di erent initial conditions ( $x_0$ ; # $_0 = 0$ ). We take advantage of the fact that inside the tongue with zero rotation number, each attractor is represented as a single-valued function x = X (#), # 2 [0;1). (This function is smooth in the case of a nonstrange attractor and discontinuous everywhere in the case of an SNA.) This means that the number of di erent attractors is equal to the number of di erent N th iterates, i.e., di erent x -values. By setting a tolerance of 10<sup>-6</sup> the number of attractors was determ ined num erically.

To identify the emergence of SNAs, we compute the attractors and quantify their smoothness properties using the so-called phase sensitivity exponent introduced by Pikovsky and Feudel [1994]. By formally di erentiating Eq. (2) with respect to the external phase #, we get

$$\frac{\partial x_{n+1}}{\partial \#} = (1 + K \cos 2 x_n) \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \#} + 2 \ "\cos 2 \#_n:$$
(6)

W hen Eq. (6) is iterated together with Eqs. (2) { (3), starting from some initial point  $(x_0; \#_0)$  and  $@x_0 = @ \# = 0$ , the phase sensitivity

$$N = \min_{(x_0;\#_0)} \max_{n \in N} \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \#}$$
(7)



Figure 21: M inimum number of iterations  $F_k$  for which the phase sensitivity saturates, as a function of ", with K = 0.9 and = 0. Compare with Fig. 6, where k = 33 (dashed line) is used as a threshold. In the yellow marked interval the phase sensitivity always saturates for k = 23, indicating two sm ooth attracting invariant curves. In the white marked interval with " sm aller than 1.566 saturations occurs for k = 41, indicating a quite wrinkled but sm ooth attracting invariant curve. U sing a maximum of  $F_{43}$  iterations, there is no saturation for larger ".

diverges like N for large N in the case of an SNA.On the other hand, in the case of a sm ooth attractor it saturates, i.e. the phase sensitivity exponent is zero. The criteria for saturation we employ are  $_{\rm N}$  < 10<sup>15</sup> and < 0.25 (obtained by tting the slope in a h-h diagram using three di erent N s). The black area in Fig.6 shows the parameter region for which  $_{\rm N}$  does not saturate when eight di erent initial points are iterated for up to N = F<sub>33</sub> = 3524578 time steps. How much of this black area persists as N tends to in nity? Figure 21 provides m ore num erical results for larger N and xed K = 0.9, showing the minimum number of iterations N = F<sub>k</sub> (in terms of the index of the Fibonacci number) for which the phase sensitivity saturates versus the forcing amplitude ". A lthough a large number of iterations, F<sub>43</sub> = 433494437, was used, saturation occurs only for "1.566 and "1.568. Hence the interval "2 [1.566;1.568] contains the nonsm ooth pitchfork bifurcation point, as described in Sec. 4.2, which is approximately 1.5676. In the next section we discuss the num erical determ ination of the length of this gap.

#### A.3 Num erical issues regarding the nonsm ooth pitchfork bifurcation

Figure 21 shows the minimum number of iterations  $N = F_k$  (in terms of the index of the Fibonacci number) for which the phase sensitivity exponent converges, versus ". There is no convergence of the phase sensitivity exponent, even for N as large as  $F_{43} = 433\,494\,437$ , in the interval "2 [1:566;1:568]. Close to the right side of this interval, a pitchfork bifurcation occurs, because there is one smooth attractor (white region in Fig. 21) for smaller " and there are two smooth attractors (yellow region) for larger ". Hence, we clearly have a gap when we bound the number of iterations by  $F_k = F_{43}$ , but it is unclear whether this gap has nonzero width as  $k \, ! \, 1$ .

There are otherm ethods to assess the sm oothness properties of the attractor(s). For example, in Fig. 22 we applied the method of rational approximations. This method is based on the approximation of the irrational frequency ! by rational frequencies  $!_k = F_{k-1} = F_k$  with k 2 N and  $! = \lim_{k \le 1} !_k$ , replacing the quasiperiodically forced map (2) { (3) with a sequence of



Figure 22: M inimum order k of the rational approximation for which the sensitive dependence on the initial phase  $\#_0$  vanishes (lower curve) and for which the maximum derivative of the attracting sets in  $\#_0$  2 [D;1) saturates (upper curve), as a function of "with K = 0:9 and = 0, cf. Fig. 21. W e used 1000 di erent values of  $\#_0$  2 [D;1= $F_k$ ).

periodically (with period  $F_k$ ) forced maps. The  $F_k$ th iteration of such a map is an orientationpreserving di eom orphism on a circle depending on (;";K) and on the initial phase #. The union of all attracting invariant sets of this family of di eom orphism swith  $\#_0 2$  [D;1= $F_k$ ), form s the kth approximation of the attractors of the quasiperiodically forced system. (It is su cient to consider the subinterval [D;1= $F_k$ ), since di eom orphism swith  $\#_0 2$  [n= $F_k$ ; (n + 1)= $F_k$ ), n = 1;2;:::; $F_k$  1, are topologically conjugate.) For sm ooth attractors there is a num berk for which the rational approximation of order k and larger does not depend sensitively on  $\#_0$  [P ikovsky & Feudel, 1994].

Figure 22 shows that this is here the case: the dependence on the initial phase form oderate  $k \mid$  one or two stable xed points for all ", depending on  $\#_0 \mid$  disappears as we cross the lower curve towards higher k values  $\mid$  one stable xed point in the white marked interval, corresponding to a single sm ooth attractor in the quasiperiodically forced map, and two stable xed points in the yellow marked interval, corresponding to a pair of sm ooth attractors.

However, as mentioned in  $\mathbb{P}$  ikovsky & Feudel, 1994], a vanishing dependence on  $\#_0$  is a necessary but not sulficient condition for smoothness. We also have to stipulate that the maximum derivative of the attracting sets with respect to  $\#_0$  is bounded for all  $\#_0 \ 2 \ [0;1)$  as k! 1. Note that it is nevertheless sulficient to determ ine the attracting sets only in the subinterval  $[0;1=F_k)$  since the other parts can be obtained by iterating the map (2) { (3) with the rational frequency ! k. Furtherm ore, it is elegant to iterate Eq. (6) simultaneously in order to determ ine the derivative. The maximum derivative obtained by this procedure is an approximation of the phase sensitivity. U sing the same criteria for boundedness as before, we surprisingly in that the maximum derivative always saturates at some order k, showing the upper curve in Fig. 22. The qualitative features of Figs. 21{22 persist if we choose dimensions of the region with large phase sensitivity.

## A.4 SNAs in the third region of overlap

To compute SNAs near the boundary of the tongue in the third region of overlap we use a more e ective method than the method described in App.A 2. This method takes advantage of the fact that the corresponding SNAs are unbounded in the x-direction in the lift of the map. We de ne the amplitude of an attractor at time N as

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathrm{N}} = \min_{(\mathbf{x}_{0}; \#_{0})} \max_{0 \mathrm{n} \mathrm{N}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \min_{0 \mathrm{n} \mathrm{N}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$$
:

For an unbounded SNA the asymptotic behavior of the amplitude is given by chN [Feudelet al., 1995], in all other cases the amplitude saturates for large N. The numerical algorithm is almost the same as for the phase sensitivity (7). However, by thing the slope using four dimension of the numerical algorithm is almost a necessary, so that a larger maximum number of iterations N =  $F_{40}$  = 102334155 and 10 dimension dimension can be used.