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A bstract
Suppose we have a natural $H$ am iltonian $H$ of $n$ particles on the line, centre of $m$ ass $m$ om entum $P$ and a further independent quantity $Q$, cubic in the $m$ om enta. If these are each $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ invariant and m utually P oisson com $m$ ute we have the C alogero M oser system $w$ ith potential $\left.V=\frac{1}{6}{ }_{i \epsilon_{j}}\right\}\left(q_{i} \quad q_{j}\right)+$ const.

## 1 Introduction

$T$ he follow ing note deals $w$ ith $m$ any particle $H$ am iltonian system $s$ on the line and their integrability. A lthough such system $s$ arise in $m$ any physical settings and have been extensively studied there still is no sim ple way to detem ine their integrability or otherw ise. General argum ents $\left.[\overline{[ }] \bar{T}_{1}\right]$ tell us that $m$ any particle H am iltonian system s for su ciently repulsive potentials are integrable, yet there appear few direct $m$ ethods of actually solving for such system $s$. $T$ he integrable system s we can actually solve seem to form a very privileged class. The result presented here sheds som e light on this state of a airs. W ew ill follow a less well know n route to the study of integrable system $s$, that em ploying functional equations.
$H$ ere we address the follow ing question: W hat $S_{n}$ invariant, natural $H$ am iltonian system $s$ of $n$-particles on the line and conserved centre ofm ass $m$ om entum adm it a third independent, $S_{n}$ invariant, mutually Poisson com $m$ uting quantity, cubic in the $m$ om enta? ( $T$ he precise statem ent and explanation of these tem $s w i l l$ be given below.) O ur answer is som ew hat surprising. T his data characterises the $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{C}$ alogero M oser system s . T his is the \rigidity" of our title. A though no restrictions were placed on further Poisson com $m$ uting invariants we arrive at a system for which su cient exist to yield com plete integrability. The mixture of sym $m$ etry and polynom ialm om entum is powerful. Such natural requirem ents and our result go som eway in explaining the ubiquity of this class of $m$ odels. The situation is som ew hat rem in iscent of the original work of Ruijenaars and Schneider [2] who, when dem anding certain com mutation properties, discovered a class of H am iltonian system s that proved to be integrable. It is also analogous to what one encounters w th W and related algebras, where a few commutation relations specify the whole structure. Indeed, the connections betw een


There are obvious generalisations to this work which will be taken up in the discussion. Before tuming to the statem ent and proof of the result (given in the follow ing tw o sections) it is perhaps worth $m$ aking som e general rem arks on connections betw een integrable system $s$ and functionalequations. Functionalequations have of course a long and interesting history in

[^0]connection $w$ th $m$ athem atical physics and touch upon $m$ any branches of $m$ athem atics $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ $T$ hey have arisen in the context of com pletely integrable system $s$ in several di erent ways. $W$ e have already $m$ entioned the $m$ entioned the work of $R$ uijsenaars and Schneider. H ietarinta sim ilarly derived a functionalequation when seeking a second quartic integral for tw o particle system $s$ on the line [1]. A further way in which they arise is by assum ing an ansatz for a Lax pair, the consistency of the Lax pair yielding functionaland algebraic constraints. In this m anner C alogero discovered the elliptic C alogero -M oserm odel [13] and B ruschi and C alogero
 this route appear [ [4] as particular exam ples of

$$
1(x+y)=\frac{\begin{array}{ll}
2(x) & 2(y) \\
3(x) & 3(y)
\end{array}}{\begin{array}{l}
4(x) \\
5(x)
\end{array}} \begin{aligned}
& 4(y) \\
& 5(y)
\end{aligned}:
$$

The general analytic solution of this has been given by B raden and Buchstaber [ ingly, N ovikov's schoolhave show $n$ that the $H$ irzebruch genera associated w ith the index theorem s of know $n$ elliptic operators arise as solutions of functionalequations which are particular exam ples of this. T he string inspired $W$ itten index was show n by $O$ chanine to be described by H irzebruch's construction where now the elliptic function solutions were im portant [2d]. A sim ilar approach based upon an ansatz and consequent functional equations was used by Inozem tsev []$\left._{1}^{1}\right]$ to construct generalisations of the C alogero $M$ oser m odels, while in $[\underline{1}]$ this route was used to construct new solutions to the W DVV equations. Various functionalequations have also arisen when studying the properties of $w$ ave-functions for associated quantum integrable problem s. G utkin found several functional relations by requiring a nondi ractive
 seeking factorizable ground-state w ave-fiunctions. A recurring equation in this latter approach is

| 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{y})$ | $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z})$ | $x+y+z=0:$ |
| $\mathrm{f}^{0}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\mathrm{g}^{0}(\mathrm{y})$ | $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\mathrm{z})$ |  |

 case of this equation [10,

Theorem 1 Let fbe a three-tim es di erentiable function satisfying the functionalequation

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
f(x) & f(y) & f(z)=0 ; \\
f^{0}(x) & f^{0}(y) & f^{0}(z)
\end{array}
$$

Up to the $m$ anifest invariance

$$
f(x)!f(x)+\quad ;
$$

the solutions of (1기) are one of $f(x)=\}(x+d), f(x)=e^{x}$ or $f(x)=x$. Here $\}$ is the $W$ eierstrass \}-fiunction and 3d is a lattice point of the \}-function.

Perhaps one reason for the underlying connection between integrability and functional equations is that fact that Baker-A khiezer functions obey such relations. Such connections betw een integrable functional equations and algebraic geom etry have been studied by Buchstaber and $K$ richever []$\left._{1}^{1}\right]$ and $D$ ubrovin, Fokas and Santini $\left.{ }^{[1]} \bar{S}_{-1}^{\prime}\right]$. W hatever, these connections betw een functional equations and com plete integrability w arrant further investigation.

## 2 The R esult

T he result discovered is the follow ing:
Theorem 2 Let $H$ and $P$ be the (natural) $H$ am iltonian and centre of $m$ ass $m$ om entum

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{i=1}^{X^{n}} p_{i}^{2}+V ; \quad P={ }_{i=1}^{X^{n}} p_{i}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

D enote by $Q$ an independent third order quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=X_{i=1}^{X^{n}} p_{i}^{3}+\frac{1}{6}{ }_{i \neq j \neq k}^{X} d_{i j k} p_{i} p_{j} p_{k}+{ }_{i \neq j}^{X} d_{i j} p_{i}^{2} p_{j}+\frac{1}{2}_{i j}^{X} a_{i j} p_{i} p_{j}+{ }_{i}^{X} b_{i} p_{i}+c: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If these are $S_{n}$ invariant and $P$ oisson com $m$ ute,

$$
\mathrm{fP} ; \mathrm{Hg}=\mathrm{fP} ; \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{fQ} ; \mathrm{Hg}=0 \text {; }
$$

then $\left.V=\frac{1}{6}{ }_{i \epsilon_{j}}^{P}\right\}\left(q_{i} \quad q_{j}\right)+$ const and we have the C alogero-M oser system.
Som e explanatory rem arks are in order. H ere $S_{n}$ invariance $m$ eans that for any coe cient ${ }_{i j}\left(q_{1} ; q_{2} ;::: ; q_{h}\right)$ in the expansions above we have (i) (j) $\left(q_{(1)} ; q_{(2)} ;::: ; q_{(n)}\right)$ for all 2 $S_{n}$. In particular $V\left(q_{1} ; q_{2} ;:: ; q_{n}\right)=V\left(q_{(1)} ; q_{(2)} ;::: ; q_{(n)}\right)$ for all $2 S_{n}$. We rem ark that had we begun w th particles of possibly di erent particle $m$ asses, $H=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{i=1}^{P^{n}} m_{i} p_{i}^{2}+V$, the e ect of $S_{n}$ invariance is such as to require these $m$ asses to be the sam $e$. Thus we are assum ing the $S_{n}$ invariant $H$ am iltonian of the introduction. $F$ inally, by $\backslash a n$ independent third order quantity" $Q$ wem ean one functionally independent of $H$ and $P$ and forwhich one cannot obtain an invariant of low er degree by subtracting $m$ ultiples of ${ }^{3}$ and P H. W e are not dealing w th quadratic conserved quantities here.

## 3 The Proof

O ur proof has ve steps. We begin by noting that the Poisson commutativity fQ;Hg=0 yields (w ith $f q_{i} ; p_{j} g=i j$ )
$T$ he steps then are:

1. $F$ irst we show that the $d_{i j k}$ and $d_{i j}$ term $s$ in $(\underset{1}{-1}) m$ ay be taken to be zero.
$H$ aving $m$ ade this sim pli cation we then focus on the term $s$ rem aining in ' and quadratic in the $m$ om enta:

$$
\begin{align*}
@_{j} b_{i}+@_{i} b_{j} & =0 ; \quad i \in j ;  \tag{5}\\
@_{i} b_{i} \quad 3 @_{i} V & =0 ;  \tag{6}\\
\mathrm{b}_{i} @_{i} V & =0: \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

2. Second, using (

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}={\underset{i \in j}{X} W \quad\left(q_{i} \quad q_{j}\right)+U\left(q_{j}\right) ; ~}_{i \neq} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W$ is an even function.
3. $T$ hird, using $f P ; Q g=0$, we $m$ ay set $U=0$.
4. Fourth, that we m ay rew rite $\left(\overline{T_{1}}\right)$ in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& i<j<k \quad W^{0}\left(q_{i} \quad q_{j}\right) \quad W^{0}\left(q_{j} \quad q_{k}\right) \quad W^{0}\left(q_{k} \quad q_{i}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

5. Finally we argue that each term in the sum ( $(\underset{-1}{(\mathbf{d}})$ itself vanishes and so we arrive at an equation of the form [11]). T he result then follow s sim ply.

Step 1. W e begin by focusing on the tem $s$ in ( $\overline{4}$ ) quartic in the $m$ om enta. For 1 di erent from $i ; j ; k$ we see that $@_{1} d_{i j k}=0$, and so $d_{i j k}=d_{i j k}\left(q_{i} ; q_{j} ; q_{k}\right)$. Further, from the coe cients of $p_{i}^{3} p_{j}, p_{i}^{2} p_{j}^{2}$ and $p_{i}^{2} p_{j} p_{k}$ (for $i ; j ; k$ distinct) respectively, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{i} d_{i j}=0 ; \quad @_{j} d_{i j}=0 ; \quad @_{j} d_{i k}+@_{k} d_{i j}+@_{i} d_{i j k}=0: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst and third of these together show $\varrho_{1}^{2} d_{i j k}=0$ and $s o d_{i j k}$ is at most linear in $q_{i}$. By sym $m$ etry

$$
d_{i j k}=q_{i} q_{j} q_{k}+\left(q_{i} q_{j}+q_{j} q_{k}+q_{k} q_{i}\right)+\left(q_{i}+q_{j}+q_{k}\right)+:
$$

N ow using $\mathrm{fP} ; \mathrm{Qg}=0$ show $\mathrm{s}===0$. $\mathrm{Thus} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ is a constant. T his fact, together $w$ th the second and third equations of $\left(1 \underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, show $s @_{k}^{2} d_{i j}=0$. $T$ herefore $d_{i j}$ is at $m$ ost linear in $q_{k}$ (for $\left.k \in i ; j\right)$. The rst two equations of $\left(1 \underline{1}()\right.$ show $d_{j}$ is independent of $q_{i}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{j}$. N ow a sim ilar argum ent em ploying $\mathrm{fP} ; \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{g}=0$ yields $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ to be constant. By subtracting appropriatem ultiples ofP ${ }^{3}$ and PH wem ay then rem ove the $d$ term sfrom $Q$. O ur assum ption of independencem eans that the leading term ofQ does not vanish when doing this. Thus (after such a subtraction and a possible rescaling) wem ay set the $d$ term $s$ in $Q$ to be zero. H enceforth we w ill assum e this simpli cation has been $m$ ade.
Step 2. Suppose $i ; j ; k$ are distinct. Then from ( $\underline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ) we obtain $\left(@_{i j}=@_{i} @_{j}\right.$ etc.)

$$
@_{j k} b_{i}+@_{i k} b_{j}=0 ; \quad @_{j k} b_{i}+@_{i j} b_{k}=0:
$$

Taking the di erence of these we see $@\left(@_{k} b_{j} \quad @_{j} b_{k}\right)=0$ and so

$$
@_{k} b_{j}+@_{j} b_{k}=2 F\left(q_{j} ; q_{k}\right):
$$

Combining this with $@_{k} b_{j}+@_{j} b_{k}=0$ we obtain

$$
@_{j} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{F}\left(q_{j} ; q_{k}\right)=F\left(q_{k} ; q_{j}\right)=\quad @_{k} b_{j}:
$$

W e w ish to further restrict the form off. If we apply $@_{i}$ to ( $\left.\overline{-1} \overline{1}\right)$ and then use ( $\overline{(\underline{6})}$ ) we see

$$
@_{i} @_{i} b_{j}=@_{i} @_{j} b_{i}=3 @_{i} @_{j} V=@_{j} @_{i} b_{j}
$$

and so

$$
\left(@_{i}+@_{j}\right) @_{i} b_{j}=0:
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{i} b_{j}=F\left(q_{i} \quad q_{j}\right) ; \quad F(x)=F(x): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

U pon integrating we obtain $(\bar{q})$ where $W^{0}(x)=F(x)$ and $W$ is an even function. (In principle upon integrating the odd fiunction $F$ we obtain a function $\frac{f}{f}$ where ${ }^{\frac{1}{f}}{ }^{0}(x)=F(x)$ and
 not de ned, as happens for singular potentials. H ow ever setting $W \quad(x)=\frac{1}{2}$ 南 ( $x$ ) + 南 ( $x$ ) again yields ( $\overline{8} \overline{1})$ up to a constant, which at this stage $m$ ay be inconporated into the arbitrary function $U$.) $W$ e have em ployed the $S_{n}$ sym $m$ etry throughout this step to identify each of the possibly di erent functions F,W and U arising from each pair as the sam e.
 $@_{i} U\left(q_{i}\right)=0$ and so $U\left(q_{i}\right)$ is a constant. Such a constant $m$ ay be rem oved altogether by subtracting an appropriate m ultiple of from $Q$, or sim ply inconporated into a rede nition of W (x). W hatever, we m ay take $\mathrm{U}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}^{2}={\underset{j \not i}{X} W^{2}\left(q_{j} \quad q_{i}\right)+2_{j \neq k \neq i}^{X} W \quad\left(q_{j} \quad q_{i}\right) W \quad\left(q_{k} \quad q_{i}\right): ~}_{\text {: }} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\left.@_{i} b_{i}^{2}=2_{j \neq i}^{X} W\left(q_{j} \quad q_{i}\right) F\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q_{j} & q_{i}
\end{array}\right)+2_{j \notin k \notin i}^{X} @_{i}\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
W & \left(q_{j}\right. & q_{i}
\end{array}\right) W \quad\left(q_{k} \quad q_{i}\right)\right)
$$

W hen we sum this expression over ithe rst term will vanish using oddness and evenness properties. T hus we arrive at

$$
0={\underset{i \neq j \notin k}{X} \quad @_{i}\left(\mathbb{W} \quad\left(q_{j} \quad q_{i}\right) W \quad\left(q_{k} \quad q_{i}\right)\right): ~}_{\text {in }}
$$

De ne $A_{i j k}$ by

$$
A_{i j k}=@_{i}\left(W_{j i} W_{k i}\right)+@_{j}\left(W_{i j} W_{k j}\right)+@_{k}\left(W_{i k} W_{j k}\right)=\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
W_{i j} & W_{j k} & W_{k i} \\
F_{i j} & F_{j k} & F_{k i}
\end{array} ;
$$

$w$ here we use the shorthand $W_{i j}=W \quad\left(q_{i} \quad q_{j}\right)$. Then from the functional form of $W$ we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i j k}=A_{j i k}=A_{j k i}=\quad\left(q_{i} \quad q_{j} ; q_{j} \quad q_{k} ; q_{k} \quad q_{i}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is fully sym $m$ etric in $i ; j ; k$. Thus
which is equation ( $\bar{q}_{1}$ ).
Step 5. W e now wish to argue that $A_{i j k}=0$. If we apply $\complement_{i j k}$ to $\left(\underline{1} \overline{1} \underline{i}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ we nd that

$$
@_{i j k} A_{i j k}=0 ;
$$

as only one term in the sum depends on $i ; j ; k$. Thus $@_{j k} A_{i j k}$ is independent of $q_{i}$, and consequently due to the functional form $[\overline{1} \overline{1}-1)$ it $m$ ust be a function of $q_{j} \quad q_{k}$ only. Therefore we m ust have

$$
@_{j k} A_{i j k}=B\left(q_{j} \quad q_{k}\right) ;
$$

and so, after integration and use of sym $m$ etry,

$$
A_{i j k}=E\left(q_{i} \quad q_{j}\right)+E\left(q_{j} \quad q_{k}\right)+E\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(q_{k}\right. & q_{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

(where $E(x)=E(x)$ and $\left.E^{\infty}(x)=B(x)\right) \cdot W$ e $m$ ay therefore rew rite (1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0={\underset{i<j}{x} E\left(q_{i} \quad q_{j}\right): ~}_{\text {i }} \text { : } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the partial derivative $@_{i j}$ of this expression then gives $@_{i j} E\left(q_{i} q_{j}\right)=0$, as only this term depends on both $i$ and $j$. This, together $w$ ith the evenness of $E$ tells us that $E$ is a constant. In conjunction w ith (1-1 distinct triple i; j;k

$$
0=,
$$

But this is none other than $[\overline{1})$. The even solution of this is $W(x)=\}(x)$, up to a constant. F inally, using ( $\left.\overline{-1} \mathbf{B}_{1}\right)$ and ( $\overline{\text { G/ }}$ ) we obtain the stated conclusion.

## 4 D iscussion

O ur result $m$ ay be interpreted as a rigidity theorem for the $a_{n} C$ alogero $M$ oser system and in part explains this m odels' ubiquity: dem anding a cubic invariant together w ith $S_{n}$ invariance necessitates the $m$ odel. A detailed scrutiny of our proof show s several generalisations possible. A natural generalisation is to replace the $S_{n}$ invariance $w$ ith the invariance of a generalW eyl group $W$ and $m$ ake connection $W$ th the $C$ alogero $M$ oser $m$ odels associated to other root system $\left.s[2], 2]^{2}\right] . Q$ uite $a$ bit is known about the quantum generalisations in this regard. $G$ iven a com $m$ utative ring $R$ of $W$-invariant, holom onphic, di erential operators, whose highest order term s generate $W$-invariant di erentialoperators $w$ ith constant coe cients, then the potential term for the Laplacian $H$ (the quantum $H$ am iltonian) has $C$ alogero $-M$ oser potential appropriate to $W$ [ $2,1,26]$. o ur result suggests som ething strongerm ay be possible: that the form of the potentialm ay be dictated from just a few elem ents ofR . Taniguchi's results [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ ] are indicative of the rigidity of these m odels: if H is the quantum H am iltonian just discussed, and $\mathrm{Q}_{1 ; 2}$ are holom orphic (but not a priori $W$-invariant) di erential operators of appropriate degrees for which $\left.Q_{1 ; 2} ; H\right]=0$, then $Q_{1 ; 2} 2 \mathrm{R}$ and consequently $\left.Q_{1} ; Q_{2}\right]=0$. Interestingly in the present work we have em ployed a functional equation elsew here encountered in the quantum regim e.

A further generalisation of this w ork w ould be to replace the naturalH am iltonian structure of our theorem w ith (say) H am iltonians of R uijsenaars type. W e rem ark in passing that there are still several unsolved functional equations surrounding this m odel. O ne m ight also seek to relax the full $S_{n}$ invariance im posed here. By so doing this $w$ ill allow the Toda m odels. A $s$ show $n$ by Inozem tsev [ $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \bar{i}\right]$, the Toda $m$ odels arise as scaling lim its of the $C$ alogero $M$ oser m odel, the latter being the \generic" situation [ $\underline{4}^{\prime}$ ]. It w ould be interesting to understand this in term $s$ of the coadjjint descriptions of these $m$ odels.

Though perhaps not obvious, this w ork arose from trying to understand m odels con jectured to be integrable (see for exam ple [1] $\overline{1} 1])$. G iven a putative integrable $H$ am iltonian, whatm ight the invariants look like? T he present w ork tells us that for $S_{n}$ invariant system s not of $C$ alogeroM oser type we should look for conserved quantities quartic and above in the $m$ om enta.
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