$N$ onlocal B oundary D ynam ics of Traveling Spots in a Reaction-D i usion System

L M.Pismen<br>D epartm ent of $C$ hem ical Engineering and $M$ inerva $C$ enter for $N$ on linear $P$ hysics of $C$ om plex System $s$, Technion \{ Israel Institute of Technology, 32000 H aifa, Israel
(A pril 16, 2024)


#### Abstract

The boundary integralm ethod is extended to derive closed integro-di erentialequations applicable to com putation of the shape and propagation speed of a steadily moving spot and to the analysis of dynam ic instabilities in the sharp boundary lim it. Expansion of the boundary integral near the locus of traveling instability in a standard reaction-di usion m odel proves that the bifurcation is supercritical w henever the spot is stable to splitting, so that propagating spots can be stabilized w ithout introducing additional long-range variables.


Localized structures in non-equlibrium system s (dissipative solitons) have been studied both in experi$m$ ents and com putations in various applications, including chem icalpattems in solutions $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ and on surfaces $\left[{ }_{2}^{1}\right]$,
 dynam ic solitary structures, in particular, in optical [4] and gas discharge system $s$ [']-T] has been recently driven by their possible role in inform ation transm ission and processing.

A variety of observed phenom ena can be reproduced qualitatively $w$ th the help of simple reaction-di usion
 this type included nonlocal interactions due to gas transport $[9,1]$ A great advantage of scale separation is a possibility to construct analytically strongly nonlinear structures in the shanp interface lim it. An altemative approach based on $G$ inzburg\{Landau models supplem ented by quintic and/or fourth-order di erential (Sw ift $H$ ohenberg) term $s$ [ $144^{-1}$ '] have to rely on num erics in $m$ ore than one dim ension.
D ynam ical solitary structures are most interesting from the point of view of both theory and potential applications. E xistence of traveling spots in sharp-interface m odels is indicated by translational instability of a stationary spot [1] [1]. This instability is a $m$ anifestation of a general phenom enon of parity breaking ( $\operatorname{Ising}\{\mathrm{B}$ loch) bifurcation $\left[\begin{array}{lll}{[5} & 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ which takes a single stable front into a pair of counter-propagating fronts form ing the front and the back of a traveling pulse. N um erical sim ulations, how ever, failed to produce stable traveling spots in the basic activator-inhibitor $m$ odel, and the tendency of $m$ oving spots to spread out laterally had to be suppressed either by global interaction in a nite region "[1. 1 ] or by adding an extra inhibitor w ith specially designed properties $\left[\begin{array}{l}{\left[1 \bar{T}_{1}\right] \text {. }}\end{array}\right.$

The dynam ical problem is di cult for theoretical study, since a m oving spot loses its circular shape, and a free-boundary problem is form idable even for sim plest kinetic $m$ odels. $N$ um erical sim ulation is also problem atic, due to the need to use ne grid to catch shanp gradients of the activator; therefore actual com putations w ere carried out for $m$ oderate scale ratios. A large am ount of num erical data, such as the inhibitor eld far from the spot contour, is super uous. This could be overcom e if it was possible to reduce the PDE solution to localdynam ics of a sharp boundary. Unfortunately, a purely local equation of front $m$ otion [ $[1]$ is applicable only when the curvature far exceeds the di usion scale of the long-range variable, whereas a spot typically su ers splitting instability [G] before grow ing so large. On the other hand, the nonlocal boundary integral $m$ ethod [18 ble only when the inhibitor dynam ics is fast com pared to the characteristic propagation scale of a front $m$ otion, i.e. under conditions when no dynam ic instabilities arise and traveling spots do not exist.

It is the aim of this Letter, to extend the nonlocal boundary integral m ethod to dynam ical problem $s$, and to nd out w ith its help conditions of supercriticalbifurcation for steadily $m$ oving spots. $W$ e consider the standard F itzH ugh \{ N agum o m odel including tw o variables \{ a short-range activator $u$ and a long-range inhibitor $v$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{2} u_{t} & ={ }^{2} r^{2} u+V^{0}(u) \quad v ;  \tag{1}\\
v_{t} & =r^{2} v \quad v+u: \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere $V(u)$ is a sym $m$ etric double-w ellpotentialw ith $m$ inim a at $u=1$; $\quad 1$ is a scale ratio, and other param $e^{-}$ ters are scaled in such a way that the e ects ofbias and curvature on the $m$ otion of the front separating the upand down states of the short-range variable are of the sam e order of $m$ agnitude. The local norm al velocity of the front is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}={ }^{1}(\mathrm{bv} \quad)+O() ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is curvature and b is a num erical factor dependent on the form of $V(u)$; for exam ple, $b=3=\overline{2}$ for the quartic potentialV $(u)=\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & u^{2}\end{array}\right)^{2}$. By de nition, the velocity is positive when the dow n-state $u<0$ advances.

In the shanp boundary approxim ation valid at 1 , a closed equation ofm otion for a solitary spot propagating w ith a constant speed can be w ritten by expressing the local curvature in Eq. $(\overline{3})$ w ith the help of a suitable param etrization of the spot boundary, and resolving

Eq. (2-1) rew ritten in a coordinate fram e propagating $w$ ith a speed c (as yet unknow $n$ ). It is convenient to shift the long-range variable $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{w}+$, so thatw $(1)=0 \mathrm{when}$ the up-state $u=1 \quad O()$ prevails at in nity. The stationary equation ofw in the coordinate fram e translating w ith the speed c is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { c } \quad \mathrm{r} w+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{w}=2 \mathrm{H} \text {; } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, neglecting $O($ ) corrections, $H=1$ inside and $\mathrm{H}=0$ outside the spot. The solution can be presented in the form of an integralover the spot area $S$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}} \quad\right) \mathrm{d}^{\mathfrak{R}} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the kemel $G$ contains a modi ed Bessel function $K_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(r)=\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{1}{2} c} \mathrm{~K}_{0} \quad \underset{j}{ }{ }^{q} \overline{1+\frac{1}{4} c^{2}} \quad: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This integral can be transform ed into a contour integral w ith the help of the $G$ auss theorem. To avoid divergent expressions, the contour should exchude the point $\mathrm{x}=$
. C learly, exchuding an in nitesim al circle around this point does not a ect the integral ${ }_{1}^{(1)}(\mathbf{P})$, since the kemel (G) is only logarithm ically divergent. Replacing $G(r)=$ $r^{2} G(r)+c \quad r G(r)(r \in 0)$, we transform the integral in Eq. $\mathbf{F}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{s}^{Z} G(x \quad) d_{I}^{Z}=S_{s}^{Z} \quad H(x \quad \xi d \\
& =\mathrm{O}_{0} \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{x} \quad(\mathrm{~s})) \mathrm{ds} \text {; } \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H(r)=r G(r)+C G(r)$ and $n$ is the norm alto the contour ${ }^{0}$. The vectorG reen's function H corresponding to the kemel in Eq. (⿹ㅣ) is com puted as

$$
\begin{align*}
H(r)=e^{\frac{1}{2} c r} \frac{1}{2} c K_{0} \quad j r j \overline{1+\frac{1}{4} c^{2}} \\
q \frac{q}{1+\frac{1}{4} c^{2}} \frac{r}{j r j} K_{1} \quad j r j \overline{1+\frac{1}{4} c^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

W hen x is a boundary point, ${ }^{0}$ consists of the spot boundary cut at this point and closed by an in nitesim ally sm all sem icircle about $x$. The integral over the sem icircle equals to. De ning the extemal nom al to
as the tangent $t=x^{0}(s)$ rotated clockw ise by $=2$, the required value of the long-range variable on the spot boundary (param etrized by the arc length $s$ or ) is expressed, using the 2D cross product , as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{~s})=\quad+-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{)}) \quad \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{d}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain a closed integralequation of a steadily moving spot, it rem ains to de ne a shift of param etrization
accompanying shape-preserving translation. Recall that Eq. (3) determ ines the propagation velocity $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}$ along the nom al to the boundary. In addition, one can introduce arbitrary tangential velocity $a_{t}$ which has no physical $m$ eaning but $m$ ight be necessary to account for the fact that each $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ aterial point" on a translated contour is, generally, m apped onto a point with a di erent param etrization even when the shape rem ains unchanged. The tangential velocity can be de ned by requiring that each $m$ aterial point be translated strictly parallel to the direction of $m$ otion, i.e. $c_{n} n+q_{t}=c$. Taking the cross product with $c$ yields $c_{t}=c_{n}(c \quad t)$ $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}c & t\end{array}\right): T$ hen elim inating ${ }_{t} c$ gives the norm al velocity $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{c} \quad \mathrm{t}$ necessary for translating the contour along the $x$ axis with the velocity c. U sing this in Eq. (B]) yields the condition of stationary propagation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \quad x^{0}(s)={ }^{1}[b v(s) \quad(s)]: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he form and the propagation speed of a slow ly $m$ oving and w eakly distorted circular contour can be obtained by expanding Eq. $\left(\underline{1} \underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ in $c=j$ jnear the point of traveling bifurcation $=0$, which is also determ ined in the course of the expansion. For a circular contour $w$ th a radius a, Eq. $(\underline{1})$ ) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
v()= & +a^{Z} e^{\frac{1}{2} c a\left(\cos \cos ^{\prime}\right)} \\
& \frac{1}{2} \cos ^{\prime} K_{0} \quad e^{q} \frac{q}{1+\frac{1}{4} c^{2}} \sin \frac{1}{2} j \quad, j \\
& +\sin \frac{1}{2} j \quad, j \frac{q}{1+\frac{1}{4} c^{2}} \\
& K_{1} \quad 2 a \quad 1+\frac{1}{4} c^{2} \sin \frac{1}{2} j \quad, j d^{\prime} ; \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where or' is the polar angle counted from the direction of $m$ otion. The angular integrals that appear in the successive term s of the expansion are evaluated iteratively, starting from $0(a)=I_{0}(a) K_{0}(a)$ and using the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k(a)=Z_{Z^{0}}^{\sin ^{2 k+1}-K_{1} 2 a \sin -} \frac{d=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d k}{d a} ;}{k(a)=\sin ^{2 k}-K_{0} 2 a \sin -d=\frac{1}{2 a} \frac{d\left(a k_{1}\right)}{d a}:}
\end{aligned}
$$

E ect of sm allboundary distortions on $v$ can be com puted directly w th the help ofE q. (5) tion should be carried out only over a sm all area swept by the displaced spot boundary. This approach is most useful for stability analysis $w$ ith respect to $s m$ all perturbations of a know n static shape, and is easier than using the expansion ofE q. ( $(\underline{1}) \mathrm{w}$ ith a perturbed boundary. For a circular spot, we expand the perturbations of both $v$ and in the Fourier series

$$
e(; t)=() \quad a={ }_{n}^{x} c^{n} a_{n} e^{n t} \operatorname{cosn} ;
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm(; t)={ }_{n}^{x} \operatorname{ton}_{n} e^{n t} \cos n: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The curvature is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
() & =\frac{22^{2}}{\left({ }^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)^{3=2}} \\
& =a^{1}+3(c=a)^{2} a_{2} e^{2 t} \cos 2+o\left(c^{3}\right): \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the displaced point should rem ain on the bound－ ary，the distortion $e(r)$ should be com pensated by rigid displacem ent of the spot by an increm ent e（ ）when $\mathbb{E}()$ is com puted（see the inset in $F$ ig． $1_{1}^{1} 1$ ）．T he resulting equa－ tion for eigenvalues $n$ follow ing from Eq．$(\overline{3})$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z^{n}=\frac{n^{2}}{a^{2}} \frac{1}{2} a_{0}^{Z} \operatorname{cosn} d \\
& 0  \tag{14}\\
& \left.K_{0} \quad 2 a^{\prime}\right) \quad e() \cos \left(\prime^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.q \frac{n}{1+}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ca}\left(\cos \quad \cos ^{\prime}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG．1．The bifurcation diagram for stationary spots at $=1 . C$ \｛ existence boundary，$S$ \｛ locus of splitting instabil－ Ity．The stability region is bounded by the locus of breathing instability $B$ ，branching $O$ at the point of double zero eigen－ value $D$ ，and the locus of traveling instability $T$ ．Inset：a circular spot distorted by second and third harm onics with am plitudes proportional to $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ．The shape is characteristic to a spot propagating to the right，and the am plitudes are chosen in such a way that the curvature on the back side vanishes． T he center of the gray circle is shifted from the black to the gray spot to com pensate the distortion at $=0$ ，so that the integral is taken over the area betw een the black contour and the gray circle when the e ect of sm all distortions on the $v$ eld at this point is com puted．

U sing the constant zero－order term in the expansion of Eq．（111）together with $=a^{1}$ in Eq．（ $\underline{I}_{1}^{-1}$ ）yields the stationarity condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.=\quad(b a)^{1}+a \mathbb{K}_{1}(a) I_{0}(a) \quad K_{0}(a) I_{1}(a)\right]: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

A stationary solution stable against collapse or uniform sw elling exists in the region in the param etric plane ；
（ $F$ ig．．$\overline{1}_{1}^{-1}$ ）bounded by the cusped curve $C$ and the axis $=0 ;>2=b$ ．$T$ his curve is draw $n$ as a param etric plot w ith（a）given by Eq．（15）and（a）by Eq．（14）w ith $\mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{n}$ and 0 set to zero（or，equivalently，by the condition $\mathrm{F}_{0}^{0}(\mathrm{a})=0$ ，where $\mathrm{F}_{0}(\mathrm{a})$ is the righthand side ofE $q$ ．（1－5）．

The rst－orderterm in the expansion ofE $q \cdot(\overline{1} \downarrow)$ ispro－ portional to cos ，and should com pensate at the travel－ ing bifurcation point the lefthand side of Eq．（ $1 \mathbf{1}_{1}^{-1}$ ）．T his yields the bifurcation condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\mathrm{b} \text { a }\left[\mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{K}_{0}(\mathrm{a}) \quad \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{K}_{1}(\mathrm{a})\right)+2 \mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{K}_{1}(\mathrm{a})\right] ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which coincides $w$ ith the know $n$ result obtained by other m eans []$\left._{[1]}^{1} \overline{1}\right]$ ．The curve $T$ in $F$ ig．$\overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ，show s the traveling instability threshold for $0=1$ ．The static spot is un－ stable below this curve；the locus shifts up（to sm aller radii）as decreases，and exits the existence dom ain at
$<1=4$ ．At $>1$ ，the dom inant instability at large radii is a static splitting instability．Its locus，determ ined by Eq．（1－14）w th $\mathrm{n}=2$ and $\mathrm{c}=2=0$ ，is the curve S in Fig．${ }^{111}$ ．

A nother possible dynam ic instability is breathing in－
 $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{n}=0^{-}$and $0=\mathrm{i}$ ！．The frequency！as a function of the spot radius a is com puted by solving the equation
$!=a^{2} \operatorname{Im} F(a ;!)=\operatorname{ReF}(a ;!)$ ，where $F(a ;!)$ is the right－hand side of Eq．（14）com puted as

$$
\begin{align*}
F(a ;!)= & 2 \quad a I_{1} a^{p} \overline{1+i!} \quad K_{1} \quad a^{p} \overline{1+i!} \\
& \text { b } a^{p} \overline{1+i!} K_{0} \quad a^{p} \overline{1+i!}: \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The curve B in Fig．㞒 shows the bifurcation locus at
$=1$ ．The instability region retreats to sm all radii （large ）at large and spreads downwards as de－ creases．The balloon of stable solutions disappears al－ together at＜0：5 after the tips of both dynam ic loci $m$ eet on the existence boundary．

In the second order，Eq．（1－11）yields a constant term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}^{(2 ; 0)}=\quad \mathrm{a}^{2}\left[\mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{K}_{0}(\mathrm{a}) \quad \text { b }(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{K}_{1}(\mathrm{a})\right)+\mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{K}_{1}(\mathrm{a})\right] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a dipole term $\mathrm{v}^{(2 ; 2)}=\mathrm{q}^{(2 ; 2)} \cos 2$ ，where

$$
\begin{array}{r}
q^{(2 ; 2)}=\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\left[a\left(I_{0}(a) K_{1}(a) \quad \text { I }(a) K_{0}(a)\right)\right. \\
 \tag{19}\\
\left.3 \text { I }_{1}(a) K_{1}(a)+2 I_{2}(a) K_{2}(a)\right]:
\end{array}
$$

The constant tem is positive and causes contraction of the average radius of the $m$ oving spot by an increm ent $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{2} b v^{(2 ; 0)}$ ．
$T$ he second－order dipolar term in the right－hand side of Eq．$\left(1 \underline{O}_{\underline{\prime}}^{-}\right), \mathbb{E}^{(2 ; 2)}=⿷^{(2 ; 2)} a_{2} \cos 2$ ，asw ellas the third－order rst harm onic term，$e^{(3 ; 1)}=⿷^{(3 ; 1)} a_{2}$ cos ，needed for the solvability condition to follow，are read from Eq．（14）
w th $\mathrm{n}=2$ and $2=0$, respectively, in zero and rst order in c:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 母^{(2 ; 2)}=3 a^{2}+2 b\left[I_{1}(a) K_{1}(a) \quad \mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{K}_{2}(\mathrm{a})\right]  \tag{20}\\
& \mathrm{q}^{(3 ; 1)}=\mathrm{b} \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{K}_{1}(\mathrm{a}): \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

The coe cient $\mathbf{q}^{(2 ; 2)}$ vanishes at the splitting instability threshold (curve S in Fig. (171) , and m ust be negative when the circular spot is stable. C onsequently, the distortion am plitude is $\mathrm{a}_{2}=\mathrm{q}^{(2 ; 2)}=\mathrm{q}^{(2 ; 2)}<0$, so that the dipole term causes contraction of the $m$ oving spot in the direction ofm otion and expansion in the norm aldirection.

Continuing the expansion to the third order, we com pute the rstharm onic term contributing to the solvability condition. The latter has the form ec $=\mathrm{kc}^{3}$, where $e=0$ and the coe cient $k$ determ ining the character of the bifurcation is com puted as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{b} \quad \mathrm{q}^{(3 ; 1)} \quad \quad_{0}^{0}(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{v}^{(2 ; 0)} \quad \mathrm{g}^{(3 ; 1)} \mathrm{q}^{(2 ; 2)}=\mathrm{q}^{(2 ; 2)} \quad: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term is the coe cient at the rst harm onic in the third order of the expansion of Eq. (11 $\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). The second term takes into account the second-order radius correction to the rst-order rst harm onic term. T he last term gives the e ect of dipolar shape distortion; it becom es dom inant when the locus of splitting instability is approached. Stable traveling solution should be observed beyond the traveling instability threshold, i.e. at $e<0$; hence, the condition of supercritical bifurcation is $k<0$. The num erical check of the sym bolically com puted expression show s that the traveling bifurcation is alw ays supercritical w hen the spot is stable to splitting. $T$ he traveling solution bifurcating supercritically $m$ ust be stable, at least close to the bifurcation point w here it inherits stability of the stationary spot to other kinds of perturbations.
$T$ he third harm onic term that appears in the third order of the expansion delineates, togetherw ith the secondorder dipolar term, the characteristic shape of a translating spot, pointed in the direction of $m$ otion and spread sidew ise, as in the inset in $F$ ig. served in num ericalsim ulations [171]. B eyond the range of the bifurcation expansion, the shape, as well as the propagation speed can be determ ined by solving num erically Eq. (1]) w ith v (s) given by Eq. ( $\bar{l}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and curvature com puted using the fully nonlinearexpression in E q. (13) . A 1though the boundary integralm ethod reduces a PDE to a 1D integro-di erentialequation, the equation is rather di cult. Iterative num erical solution [2] dow $n$ rather close to the bifurcation point, as soon as the shape distortion becom es strong enough to atten the spot at the back side. Since the boundary integralequation is non-evolutionary, there is no way to distinguish betw een a purely num erical failure of convergence and a physical instability that would lead to lateral spreading observed in PDE sim ulations [ $\left[\mathrm{II}^{1}\right]$ ].

The above bifurcation expansion proves that a stable traveling solution does exist in the basic model ( $\left.\overline{1} \underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right), ~(\underline{\bar{Z}})$ in the sharp boundary lim it. The result is applicable at $1 \quad \mathrm{c}$-. It can be extended straightforw ardly to m odels w th m ore than one long-range variable, provided all long-range equations are linear. Stable traveling spot solutions should be, indeed, $m$ ore robust in an extended model where they have been obtained in PDE sim ulations $\left[1 \overline{7}_{1}\right]$, whereas in the basic $m$ odel they require ne param etric tuning aided by the analytical theory.
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