

Multistep cascading and fourth-harmonic generation

Andrey A. Sukhorukov, Tristram J. Alexander, and Yuri S. Kivshar

Australian Photonics Cooperative Research Centre, Research School of Physical Sciences and Engineering
Optical Sciences Centre, Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia

Solomon M. Saltiel

Quantum Electronics Department, Faculty of Physics, University of Sofia, Sofia 1164, Bulgaria

We apply the concept of multistep cascading to the problem of fourth-harmonic generation in a single quadratic crystal. We analyze a new model of parametric wave mixing and describe its stationary solutions for two- and three-color plane waves and spatial solitons. Some applications to the optical frequency division as well as the realization of the double-phase-matching processes in engineered QPM structures with phase reversal sequences are also discussed.

Cascading effects in optical materials with quadratic (second-order or $^{(2)}$) nonlinear response provide an efficient way to lower the critical power of all-optical switching devices [1]. The concept of multistep cascading [2] brings new ideas into this field, leading to the possibility of an enhanced nonlinearity-induced phase shift and generation of multicolor parametric spatial solitons. In particular, multistep cascading can be achieved by two nearly phase-matched second-order nonlinear processes, second-harmonic generation (SHG) and sum-frequency mixing (SFM), involving the third-harmonic wave [3,4]. In this Letter, we extend the concept of multistep cascading to nonlinear effects of the fourth order and the fourth-harmonic generation (FHG) in a single noncentrosymmetric crystal. In particular, we analyze a new model of multistep cascading that involves the FHG process, and describe its stationary solutions for normal modes | plane waves and spatial solitons. Our study provides the first systematic analysis of the problem of FHG via a pure cascade process, observed experimentally more than 25 years ago [5] and later studied in a cascading limit only [6].

We consider the FHG via two second-order parametric processes: $! + ! = 2!$ and $2! + 2! = 4!$, where $!$ is the frequency of the fundamental wave. In the approximation of slowly varying envelopes with the assumption of zero absorption of all interacting waves, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial A}{\partial z} &= \frac{i}{2k_1} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial x^2} + i_1 A S e^{i k_1 z}; \\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial z} &= \frac{i}{2k_2} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} + i_1 A^2 e^{i k_1 z} + i_2 S T e^{i k_2 z}; \\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} &= \frac{i}{2k_4} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + i_2 S^2 e^{i k_2 z};\end{aligned}$$

where A , S , and T are the envelopes of the fundamental-frequency (FF), second- (SH) and fourth-harmonic (FH) waves respectively, $i_{1,2}$ are proportional to the elements of the second-order susceptibility tensor, and $k_1 = 2k_1 - k_2$ and $k_2 = 2k_2 - k_4$ are the corresponding wave-vector mismatch parameters. We introduce the normalized envelopes (u , v , w) according to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}A(x; z) &= (16z_d)^{-1/2} u(x=a; z=2z_d) \exp(-i k_1 z/2), \\ S(x; z) &= (8z_d)^{-1/2} v(x=a; z=2z_d), \text{ and } T(x; z) = \\ &= (4z_d)^{-1/2} w(x=a; z=2z_d) \exp(i k_2 z/2), \text{ where } a \text{ is the characteristic beam width, and } z_d = k_1 a^2 \text{ is the diffraction length of the FF component. In order to describe a family of nonlinear modes characterized by the propagation constant } p, \text{ we look for solutions in the form } u(x; z) = \\ &= U(x) \exp(i p z) \exp(i k_1 z/2), \quad v(x; z) = V(x) \exp(i p z) \exp(i k_1 z/2), \\ &\text{ and } w(x; z) = W(x) \exp(i p z) \exp(i k_2 z/2), \text{ and obtain the normalized equations:}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}is \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} + s \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} - i_1 U + U V &= 0; \\ 2is \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} + s \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} - V + V W + \frac{1}{2} U^2 &= 0; \\ 4is \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} + s \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x^2} - W + \frac{1}{2} V^2 &= 0;\end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here $s = \text{sign}(\epsilon) = \pm 1$, $\epsilon = \epsilon_1(4 - 2)$ is a relative strength of two parametric processes, and the normalized mismatches are defined as $\epsilon = 4 - \epsilon_1$ and $\epsilon_1 = 1 - 4 + \epsilon_1$, where $\epsilon_1 = 8 k_2 z_d$ and $\epsilon_1 = k_4 z_d$.

First, we analyze the plane-wave solutions of Eq. (1) which do not depend on x . In this case, the total intensity I is conserved, and we present it in terms of the unscaled variables as $I = I_u + I_v + I_w$, where $I_u = |u|^2$, $I_v = |v|^2$, and $I_w = |w|^2$. Solutions $f(u; v; w)$, which do not depend on z , are the so-called normal modes. The simplest one-component FH mode $f(0; 0; w)$ exists at $\epsilon = 0$. It has a fixed phase velocity $\epsilon = 4$ and an arbitrary amplitude, being unstable for $I_w > 2 = 4$ due to a parametric decay instability.

Two-mode solution $f(0; \frac{1}{2}; 1g)$ describes a parametric coupling between SH and FH waves, and it exists for $\epsilon > 0$, bifurcating at $\epsilon = 0$ from the FH mode. Coupling of this two-mode plane wave to a FF wave can lead to its decay instability, provided $j_1 j_2 < \frac{(cr)}{2} = \frac{p}{2}$. To understand the physical meaning of this inequality, we note that the family of solutions characterized by the propagation constant p corresponds to a straight line in the $(\epsilon; \epsilon_1)$ parameter space, see Figs. 1(a,b). Moreover, all such lines include the point $(4; 1=4)$ as the

asymptotic limit for $j \rightarrow +1$. This special point belongs to the instability region if the relative strength of the FF-SH interaction exceeds a critical value, i.e. for $\alpha_1 > \alpha_1^{(cr)} = 1/(8\sqrt{2}) \approx 0.088$. However, for $\alpha_1 < \alpha_1^{(cr)}$ this decay instability is suppressed for highly intense waves, owing to a strong coupling with the FH field.




FIG. 1. (a,b) Existence and stability of three-mode plane waves for (a) $\alpha_1 = 4$ and (b) $\alpha_1 = 4$. Light shading | stable, black | unstable, dark shading | oscillatory unstable, and blank | no solutions. Open circles mark exact phase-matching, dash-dotted lines correspond to the lower plots. (c,d) Intensity vs. λ for (c) $\alpha_1 = 0.5$; (d) $\alpha_1 = 1$ and $\alpha_2 = 0.04$. Thick dashed/solid vertical line | one-wave (FH) modes, thick curves | two-wave (SH + FH) modes, thin curves | three-wave modes. Solid | stable, dashed | unstable, and dotted | oscillatory unstable modes. Open circles mark the bifurcation points. The legend beneath shows the stability of three-wave modes by using the same shadings as in the upper plots.

Finally, a three-mode solution, $V_0 = 1$, $W_0 = 2$, $U_0 = 2V_0(1 - W_0) = 0$, exists for (i) $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $0 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_2^{(cr)}$, (ii) $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $\alpha_2 < \alpha_2^{(cr)}$, and (iii) $\alpha_1 < 0$ and $\alpha_2 > 0$. In the limit $j \rightarrow +1$, such three-wave modes are possible only for $\alpha_1 > \alpha_1^{(cr)}$. In the region (i), stability properties of the three-wave solutions are determined by a simple criterion: the modes are stable if $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 > 0$, and unstable, otherwise. For the parameter regions (ii) and (iii), oscillatory instabilities are possible as well. Existence and stability of all types of stationary plane-wave solutions of the model (1) are summarized in Figs. 1 (a-d).

In general, the system (1) is nonintegrable and its dynamics are irregular. However, we find that in some cases a quasi-periodic energy exchange between the harmonics is possible. Figure 2 (a) shows one such case, when the intensities of unstable two-wave and stable three-wave stationary modes are close to each other, and an unstable two-wave mode periodically generates a FF component. Less regular dynamics are observed for other cases, such as for the generation of both SH and FH waves from an

input FF wave [Fig. 2 (b)]. This example also illustrates the possibility of effective energy transfer to higher harmonics close to the double phase-matching point.




FIG. 2. Dynamics of plane waves: (a) Instability of a two-wave mode [corresponding to $\alpha_1 = 2.1$ in Fig. 1 (c)], (b) Generation of higher harmonics from a FF input [parameters correspond to Fig. 1 (d)]. FF, SH, and FH components are shown by dotted, solid, and dashed curves, respectively.

Equations (1) may have a different physical meaning provided the normalized amplitude v stands for the mode of the fundamental frequency ω . Then, Eqs. (1) describe the optical frequency division by two (the field u) via parametric amplification and down-conversion (see, e.g., [7]), provided both FF (v) and SH (w) fields are launched simultaneously at the input. Such a frequency division parametric process is in fact shown in Fig. 2 (a), where this time the generated wave corresponds to the frequency $\omega/2$, and is shown as dotted.

We now look for spatially localized solutions of Eqs. (1), quadratic solitons. First, we note that two-wave solitons consisting of the SH and FH components can be approximated as [2,8]:

$$V_0(x) = V_m \text{sech}^p(x=p); \quad W_0(x) = W_m \text{sech}^2(x=p); \quad (2)$$

$$V_m^2 = \frac{W_m^2}{(W_m - 1)}; \quad p = \frac{4(W_m - 1)^3}{(2 - W_m)}; \quad p = \frac{1}{(W_m - 1)};$$

where all parameters are functions of α only. Bright solitons either do not exist or are unstable being in resonance with linear waves [4] outside the parameter region $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $\alpha_2 > 0$, at $s = +1$. We find that in this region, similar to plane-wave modes, three-wave solitons exist for $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_1^{(cr)}$, where the critical (cut-off) value $\alpha_1^{(cr)}$ corresponds to a bifurcation from the two-wave solution (2). In order to find $\alpha_1^{(cr)}$, we should solve the first equation of the system (1) with the SH profile from Eq. (2) (see also [2]). However, such a linear eigenvalue problem has no exact analytical solution for arbitrary p , and thus we introduce an approximation $V_0(x) = V_m \text{sech}^2(x=q)$, requiring that the functions coincide at the amplitude level $V_m = 2$, and then define the scaling parameter as $q = p \cosh^{-1}(2^{1/p}) = \cosh^{-1}(2^{1/2})$. Such an approximation adequately describes an effective soliton waveguide, and thus should provide overall good accuracy (except for some limiting cases). After solving the eigenvalue problem with the potential $V_0(x)$, we obtain an approximate expression for the bifurcation points: $\alpha_1^{(cr)} = \frac{1}{(1 + 4V_m^2 - 1 - 2n^2)} = (4q^2)$, where n is the

order of the mode guided by the two-component parametric soliton waveguide [2]. For a single-harmonic mode ($n = 0$) the behavior of this cut-off is very similar to that of the plane waves. Indeed, in the cascading limit ($\alpha_1 \rightarrow 1$), we have $V_m \propto 2^{p-}$, and $\alpha_1^{(cr)} \propto 2^{p-}$, which differs by 2 from the corresponding result for plane waves. The critical value of α_1 for one-harmonic solitons can also be found from the approximate solution, $\alpha_1^{(cr)} \approx 0.132$. We performed numerical simulations and found that the accuracy of our approximation is of the order of (and usually better than) 1% in a wide range of parameters ($\alpha > 10^{-2}$ and $\alpha_1 > 10^{-2}$), see Fig. 3 (a).




FIG. 3. (a) Regions of existence and stability of three-mode parametric solitons [shading is the same as in Fig. 1 (a)]. Open diamonds | analytical approximation, dark circle | exact phase-matching point. The dash-dotted line corresponds to the solutions at $\alpha = 2$ and $\alpha_1 = 0.15$, for which the power vs. α dependences are shown in (b): thick | two-wave (SH + FH), and thin | three-wave solitons; solid and dashed lines mark stable and unstable solutions, respectively. Open circle is the bifurcation point. (c,d) Development of a decay instability of a two-wave soliton corresponding to $\alpha = 1$ in (b), and generation of a three-component soliton: (c) FF, SH, and FH peak intensities vs. distance shown by dotted, solid, and dashed curves, respectively; (d) evolution of the FF component. For all the plots $\alpha^{(cr)} = 2$.

Quite remarkably, for both positive α and α_1 the stability properties of solitons [see Figs. 3 (a,b)] and plane waves [see Figs. 1 (a,c)] look similar. Specifically, stability of two- and three-component solitons is defined by the Vakhitov-Kobkolov criterion $\partial P / \partial \omega > 0$, where $P = \int |\mathbf{E}|^2 dx$ is the soliton power, except for the region $\alpha_1 < \alpha_1^{(cr)}$ where two-component solutions exhibit parametric decay instability. An example of such an instability is presented in Figs. 3 (c,d), where an unstable two-wave soliton generates a stable three-wave state. Such instability-induced dynamics are very different from that of plane waves where, instead, quasi-periodic energy exchange is observed [see Fig. 2 (a)]. In the case of localized beams, diffraction leads to an effective power loss and

convergence to a new (stable) state.

Similar to other models of multistep cascading [2,4], Eqs. (1) possess various types of exact analytical solutions, which can be found at $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha_1 > 1 = 2$; $\alpha_1 = -4$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) and $\alpha = 1 = (3/2)$ or $\alpha = [(3/2) = (4 + 2)]^{1/2}$. Details will be presented elsewhere.

In order to observe experimentally the multistep cascading and multi-frequency parametric effects described above, we should satisfy the double-phase-matching conditions. Using the conventional quasi-phase-matching (QPM) technique [9] for FHG via a pure cascade process in LiTaO_3 , we find that there exists only one wavelength ($2.45 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$), for which two parametric processes can be phase-matched simultaneously by the different orders m of the QPM structure with the period $\Lambda_Q = 34 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$. However, for the so-called phase-reversal QPM structures [10] characterized by two periods, the QPM period Λ_Q and the modulation period Λ_{ph} ($\Lambda_{ph} > \Lambda_Q$), double-phase-matching is possible in a broad spectral range, provided the periods are selected to satisfy the conditions: $\Lambda_Q = 4 j k_1 + k_2 j^{-1}$, $\Lambda_{ph} = 4 m j k_1 - k_2 j^{-1}$, where m is the grating order. Thus, the engineered QPM structures suggested in [10] are more efficient than, e.g., the Fibonacci superlattices, and they can be used to achieve double-phase-matching and to support different types of multistep cascading processes.

In conclusion, we have introduced a new model of multistep cascading that describes the fourth-harmonic generation via parametric wave mixing. We have analyzed the existence and stability of the stationary solutions of this model for normal modes | plane waves and spatial solitons. We have also discussed the possibility of double-phase-matching in engineered QPM structures with phase-reversal sequences.

-
- [1] See, e.g., a review paper by G. I. Stegeman, D. J. Hagan, and L. Torner, *Opt. Quantum Electron.* **28**, 1691 (1996).
 - [2] See, e.g., Yu. S. Kivshar, A. A. Sukhorukov, and S. M. Saltiel, *Phys. Rev. E* **60**, R5056 (1999).
 - [3] K. K. Oynov and S. Saltiel, *Opt. Commun.* **152**, 96 (1998).
 - [4] Yu. S. Kivshar, T. J. Alexander, and S. Saltiel, *Opt. Lett.* **24**, 759 (1999).
 - [5] S. A. Akhmanov, A. N. Dubovik, S. M. Saltiel, I. V. Tomov, and V. G. Tunkin, *Pisma v Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **20**, 264 (1974) [*JETP Lett.* **20**, 117 (1974)].
 - [6] See, e.g., B. A. Hooper, D. J. Gauthier, and J. M. J. Madey, *Appl. Opt.* **33**, 6980 (1994).
 - [7] P. T. Nee and N. C. Wong, *Opt. Lett.* **23**, 46 (1998).
 - [8] A. A. Sukhorukov, *Phys. Rev. E* **61**, 4530 (2000).
 - [9] M. M. Fejer, G. A. M. Agel, D. H. Jundt, and R. L. Byer, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **28**, 2631 (1992).
 - [10] M. H. Chou, K. R. Parameswaran, M. M. Fejer, and I. Brener, *Opt. Lett.* **24**, 1157 (1999).