D etecting barriers to transport: A review of di erent techniques

G.Bo etta¹, G.Lacorata^{2;3}, G.Redaelli³ and A.Vulpiani⁴

January 14, 2022

¹D ipartim ento di Fisica G enerale and INFM, Universita di Torino Via Pietro Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy and Istituto di Cosmogeo sica del CNR, Corso Fium e 4, 10133 Torino, Italy. ²D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita \La Sapienza", Piazzale A klo M oro 5, 00185 Rom a, Italy. ³D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita dell' A quila Via Vetoio 1, 67010 Coppito, L'A quila, Italy. ⁴D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita \La Sapienza" and INFM, Unita di Rom a 1, Piazzale A klo M oro 5, 00185 Rom a, Italy.

Abstract

We review and discuss some di erent techniques for describing local dispersion properties in uids. A recent Lagrangian diagnostics, based on the Finite Scale Lyapunov Exponent (FSLE), is presented and compared to the Finite T in e Lyapunov Exponent (FTLE), and to the O kubo-W eiss (OW) and Hua-K lein (HK) criteria. We show that the OW and HK are a limiting case of the FTLE, and that the FSLE is the most e cient method for detecting the presence of cross-stream barriers. We illustrate our indings by considering two examples of geophysical interest: a kinemiatic meandering jet model, and Lagrangian tracers advected by stratospheric circulation.

1 Introduction

Transport processes play a crucial role in m any natural phenom ena. Am ong the m any examples, we just m ention particle transport in geophysical ows which is of great interest for atm ospheric and oceanic issues. The m ost natural fram ework for investigating such processes is the Lagrangian view point, in which the tracer trajectory x (t) is advected by a given Eulerian velocity eld u (x;t) according to the di erential equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = u(x;t) \tag{1}$$

Despite of the simple form al relation (1), the problem of connecting the Eulerian properties of u (x;t) to the Lagrangian properties of the trajectories x (t), and viceversa, is a very di cult task. In the last 20 30 years the scenario has become even more complex by the recognition of the ubiquity of Lagrangian chaos (chaotic advection). Even very simple Eulerian elds can generate unpredictable Lagrangian trajectories which are practically indistinguishable from those obtained in a complex, turbulent, ow [1, 2, 3, 4].

In the follow ing we will restrict our attention to the case of two-dimensional incompressible velocity eld u (x;t), with x = (x;y). Incompressibility is automatically satisfied by introducing the stream function = (x;t) and consequently dening the velocity eld in terms of partial derivatives as u = (x; x). The evolution equation (1) then becomes

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = _{y}; \qquad \frac{dy}{dt} = _{x}: \qquad (2)$$

Form ally (2) is a Ham iltonian system with Ham iltonian (x;t). Chaotic trajectories x (t) typically appear as a consequence of the time dependence of [2].

M any geophysical ows, when observed at su ciently large scale, are within this class [5, 6]. M oreover time dependence can be often considered a perturbation over a given steady ow, i.e. (2) represents a quasi-integrable H am iltonian system. It is well known that in quasi-integrable H am iltonian system s chaos can be quite non uniform in the phase space, due to the presence of the invariant KAM tori with a chaotic layer around them [3]. The presence of these regular islands (also called coherent structures in the context of geophysical ow s) is of particular in portance for the dispersion process because they act as barriers to transport. The sensitivity of di erent

diagnostics of transport to the presence of barriers will be the main topic of our investigation. In particular, we will consider the Finite Time Lyapunov Exponent (FTLE), the O kubo-W eiss (OW) and Hua-K lein criteria (HK), and the local Finite Scale Lyapunov Exponent (FSLE).

We discuss these di erent m ethods by considering two examples. First, we study transport properties in a kinem atic m eandering jet m odel, form erly introduced for describing the Gulf stream [7, 5]. Second, we analyze a large number of stratospheric isoentropic trajectories, computed according to (1) from assimilated wind elds, describing Lagrangian m otion around the polar vortex. In both situations our results show that the existence of barriers limiting the dispersion across the stream is well described by the FSLE but it is completely m issed by the OK and HK criteria, which can only depict the landscape of alternating unstable hyperbolic and stable elliptic points of the ow. The FTLE will be discussed in relation to the OW and HK exponents and to the FSLE.

The remaining of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce and discuss the di erent diagnostics for characterizing dispersion. Section 3 is devoted to the analysis of the meandering jet and of the polar vortex. Finally, in Section 4 we present some conclusions.

2 Characterization of local transport properties

In presence of Lagrangian chaos, two close trajectories typically separate exponentially in time [4]. Thus the natural statistics we adopt for describing chaotic particle spreading is the relative dispersion statistics. Relative separation between two particles R (t) = x° (t) x (t) evolves according to the velocity di erence

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = u(x(t) + R(t);t) \quad u(x(t);t):$$
(3)

As far as particle separation remains much smaller than the typical length scale $\frac{1}{2}$ of the velocity eld, we can linearize (3) around the trajectory x and, for a generic time dependent ow, we expect exponential grow th of the separation, i.e.

$$R (t) ' R (0)e^{t}$$
 (4)

where is the Lagrangian Lyapunov Exponent (LE).

In the opposite limit, $R = \frac{1}{2}$, the two particles feel uncorrelated velocity elds and one recovers the standard di usive regime, i.e.

$$hR^2$$
 (t) i' 2D t (5)

where the average is taken over m any particle pairs and where D is the di usion coe cient.

It is in portant to remark that, in most realistic situations, both asymptotic regimes, i.e. very small R (t) for (4) and very large R (t) for (5) cannot be attained. From one side, particles separation can be not su ciently small to justify the linearization leading to (4). In the opposite limit, large separations cannot be reached in presence of boundaries at scales comparable with $l_{\rm E}$. As a consequence, the asymptotic quantities as and D cannot be computed and a non-asymptotic characterization of transport is needed [8].

Let us discuss, now, some techniques one can use to characterize local dynam ical properties of a system, in particular the relative dispersion rate as a function of the initial position.

2.1 The Finite Time Lyapunov Exponent

Let us start from the de nition of the Lagrangian Lyapunov Exponent:

$$= \lim_{t! 1} \lim_{R (0)! 0} \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{R(t)}{R(0)}$$
(6)

In (6) one basically assumes that the linearization of the perturbation R (t) on a generic reference trajectory holds for an in nite time. This is correct only if the perturbation can be considered in nitesimal at any time. The characteristic time naturally associated to the LE is known as the predictability time $T = {}^1$, which is the characteristic time at which one can predict the position of the tracer in the future. The FTLE is obtained by avoiding the limit t ! 1 in (6). This gives the instantaneous growth rate over a nite interval as

$$(t) = \lim_{R (t)!} \frac{1}{0} \ln \frac{R(t+1)}{R(t)}$$
(7)

which, at variance with α , depends on the initial point x (t). The FTLE is distributed around a mean value which is nothing but the LE, $\langle \rangle > = 0$,

where the average is computed over a virtually in nite number of intervals along the trajectory [11].

In principle, even at very sm all R (t), one must wait a certain time interval, T_w , needed to drive the perturbation along the Lyapunov direction [12]. This is necessary if we want to measure intrinsic properties of the system. In presence of many degrees of freedom, the possibility that the waiting time T_w is of the same order of the predictability time T cannot be excluded (see [9] for a review on the predictability time in extended system s).

Let us now discuss som e practical shortcom ings arising when we want to analyze realistic situations described by experim ental or model data. First, as we already rescaled, in quasi-integrable H am iltonian systems, di erent regions in the phase space can display di erent behaviors [2]. A s a consequence one has a non trivial spatial distribution of Lyapunov exponents: zero if the trajectory lies in a regular island, positive if it di uses across the stochastic layer. In special cases, when for instance structures of the velocity eld are \localized" persistent features, at least within tim e intervals considerably longer than the characteristic Lagrangian tim e, a more re ned description in terms of nite-tim e Lyapunov exponent can be more appropriate.

In order to measure (t) at a point x (t) one can make use of the following procedure. Backward integration in time for an interval T bring the trajectory at the point x (t T). An in nitesimal perturbation x (t T) is switched on and it is integrated forward to x (t). It T is su ciently long, i.e. T T_w, the perturbation x (t) will be aligned along the Lyapunov eigenvector and a further integration to x (t+) will give the FTLE according to (7) [12]. In general, T_w is not known a priori and can vary with the initial conditions. M ore serious problem s arise from the limits of resolution in the know ledge of experimental or simulated Lagrangian data, which can easily disrupt the linear approximation scenario.

W hen R (t) attains nite sizes, i.e. is of the order of the characteristic lengths of the system, the so-called F inite-Scale Lyapunov Exponent (FSLE) give an appropriate description of the intrinsic physical properties of dispersion at di erent scales of motion. W e will discuss this point in Section 2.3.

2.2 The Okubo-Weiss and Hua-Klein criteria

In two-dimensional turbulent ows, the stirring properties of initially small material lines are related to the combined e ect of eddy and jet features of

the velocity eld. In cases when continuous velocity elds are given, a popular way used to characterize the local rate of separation of initially close trajectories is the O kubo-W eiss (OW) criterion [13, 14], based on the computation of the eigenvalues of the velocity gradient tensor. If explicit time dependence cannot be neglected, H ua and K lein (HK) [10] have proposed a generalization of the OW criterion, based on the computation of the eigenvalue of the acceleration gradient tensor, related to the distribution of the pressure eld.

Let us recall the two criteria in the case of 2D incompressible velocity eld with Lagrangian evolution given by (2). The evolution of an in nitesimal separation R (t) is given in the tangent space as

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \begin{array}{ccc} xy & yy \\ xx & xy \end{array} R M R ; \qquad (8)$$

where the Jacobian M has the property $M^2 = {}_01 \text{ with } {}_0 = \det(M)$. At small times, the solution of (8) is

$$R (t) = 1 + M t + \frac{1}{2}N t^{2} R (0) + O (t^{3})$$
(9)

where $N = {}_01 + dM = dt$. The O kubo-W eiss criterion consists in computing 0, i.e. the product of the eigenvalues eigenvalues of M. We recall that the quantity ${}_0$ can be written in terms of the square strain 2 and the square vorticity !² as

$$_{0} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \end{array} \right)^{2}$$
(10)

If $_0$ is positive, the two eigenvalues of M are real, the velocity eld is locally hyperbolic and strain overcom es rotation. For negative $_0$, we have in aginary eigenvalues and a predom inance of rotation over strain.

O f course, the O kubo-W eiss criterion m ay not be su cient to determ ine the local strain-vorticity balance in a time dependent ow. In this respect, the H ua-K lein criterion, being based on the sign of the largest eigenvalue of the N m atrix $_{+} = _{0} + _{1}$, with

$$_{1} = \frac{d_{xy}^{2}}{dt} \frac{d_{xx}^{2}}{dt} \frac{d_{xx}^{2}}{dt} \frac{d_{yy}}{dt}$$
(11)

gives a \m ore Lagrangian" description. Both of them provide a picture of the distribution of stable elliptic points and unstable hyperbolic points in the ow. Let us observe that in the case of stationary velocity eld, dM = dt = 0 and one has $_{+} = _{0}$.

Let us remark the relationship existing between the HK criterion and the FTLE. The \instantaneous" Lyapunov exponent estim ates the growth rate of a typical perturbation within a nite time interval, after the perturbation has aligned along the most unstable direction, so that it is an intrinsic property shared by all the trajectories (except for a set of zero probability measure). The HK eigenvalue + estimates the local maximum strain rate, regardless any alignment time of the perturbation, so that, from the Lagrangian point of view, it may be biased by transient behaviors. In other words, measuring + corresponds to measuring at very small (the integration time step) starting with a perturbation always aligned along the locally most unstable direction. In practical situations it can happen that the HK eigenvalue and the FTLE give similar local descriptions but, from a theoretical point of view, starting with a perturbation along the local most unstable direction is as arbitrary as choosing any other direction: after a transient, the time evolution will drive the (in nitesim al) perturbation de nitely along the most unstable Lyapunov eigenvector.

2.3 The local Finite Scale Lyapunov Exponent

In most cases of interest, the linear regime during which the exponential growth of the inter-particle distance occurs can be not resolved. Particle spreading is generally observed on large spatial scales, of the order of the characteristic lengths of the system, and appropriate non linear techniques must be employed to quantify relative dispersion rates (see [15] for a review about non asymptotic properties of transport and mixing).

Let us consider a very small (in nitesimal) initial perturbation R (0) on a trajectory x. For a chaotic system, after the initial transient, R (t) typically grows exponentially in time according to (4). We wait until R (t) reaches a certain threshold i, at a given time t_i . Let x_i the position of the trajectory x at time t_i . At a later time t_f , R (t) will reach a larger threshold f = r i with an assigned r > 1. We now denote the r ampli cation time of R (t), relatively to the initial position x_i , as $(i_i;x_i;r) = t_f$ §. From this quantity,

the localFinite Scale Lyapunov Exponent is de ned as:

$$r(i;x_{i}) = \frac{1}{(i;x_{i};r)} \ln r$$
 (12)

The exponent $r(i;x_i)$ is a measure of the local ampli cation rate of a perturbation of size i on a trajectory passing by the point x_i . In the case of periodic time dependence in the equation of motion, the local FSLE will depend also on the initial phase.

The above described prescription is necessary in order to characterize the perturbation growth as an intrinsic property of the system. Of course, in realistic situations, we have to consider the following problems: the ow is not simply periodic and thus _r may depend explicitly on time. Moreover, we cannot observe distances below a certain threshold because of nite resolution, and thus the initial perturbation cannot be considered in nitesin al. Another important remark is that in practical applications it may not be possible to have information on a uniform distribution of initial conditions. In particular, let us consider a 2-D time dependent velocity eld (e.g. the surface circulation of a sea or an isoentropic layer of the stratosphere) and the relative Lagrangian dispersion of trajectories. U sually one cannot set the initial distance between two particles to an arbitrarily sm all size, and wait until the relative position vector is aligned with the most unstable direction and starts expanding with a typical rate measured by the LE. Finite resolution imposes a lower limit to physically reasonable distance sizes, say

, and we can only hope to take into account all the possible realizations of the local dispersion rate by taking the average of $_{\rm r}$ (;x) (r > 1) over a large number of directions around the initial point x, i.e. on a sphere with radius . Furtherm ore, if is not very small if compared to the characteristic lengths of the system, the linear regime of instability is already expired. The FSLE, by its nature, is a non linear indicator of trajectory instability so it can measure relative dispersion rates at nite scales of motion. How long can we follow two trajectories so that their FSLE is still meaningful as a local diagnostics? The answer depends essentially on how much rapidly the velocity eld varies in time relatively to the Lagrangian characteristic time. For instance, if $T_{\rm E}$ is the time scale within which the Eulerian structures, e.g. current system s, change their geom etrical and physical aspects, local FSLE's are meaningful only if they are observed on times $T_{\rm E}$, i.e. in an almost fozen eld approximation.

Let us now brie y discuss the main objective of the present paper, i.e. the detection of barriers in particle transport. We seed the ow with an uniform distribution of Lagrangian tracers and compute the FSLE for any trajectory according to the prescription given above.

If $'_E$ represents some characteristic length in the ow, e.g. the typical size of the eddies around the Gulf Stream in the North Atlantic O cean or around the polar jet current in the stratosphere, then particles trapped inside vortices or traveling down jet stream s m ay never separates beyond the scale $'_E$, giving $_r() = 0$ for $_E'$. On the contrary, particles located in the chaotic layer will give a positive FSLE. Thus at an appropriate value of the threshold , the m ap of FSLE (12) can be used as an e cient indicator of the presence of barriers in transport.

W e will see how a simple periodically perturbed m eandering jet can be a signi cant test to show that a more appropriate diagnostics is required when m acroscopic barriers are under investigation. An interesting result related to geophysical data analysis concerns the barrier e ects of the jet current of the stratospheric polar vortex (southern hem isphere). This technique is being used also to study local mixing properties in ocean system s.

3 Results

3.1 Num erical experim ents

We rst discuss a simple, but not trivial, kinem atic model in which a barrier to motion is known to exist for certain values of the parameters. The transition to the barrier-breakdown occurs by variation of some parameters. We use this model to show what kind of inform ation can be extracted from the di erent techniques previously discussed. The system, form erly introduced as a model of transport across the Gulf Stream [7, 5], consists a time periodic stream line pattern form ing an oscillating meandering (westerly) current with recirculations along its boundaries:

$$= \tanh \frac{y}{1 + k^2 B^2 \sin^2 kx} + cy$$
(13)

where k is the spatial wave number of the structure, c is the retrograde velocity in the fr ed", B is the amplitude of the meanders which varies

periodically in time as

$$B = B_0 + \cos(!t+)$$
 (14)

The system can be fully mixing, i.e. any portion of the domain is de nitely visited from any initial condition, in a certain portion of the parameter space (;!) where in particular one has cross stream transport. This system has two separatrices, with a spatial periodic structure, see Fig. 1, coinciding with the borderlines of the current. At very small the chaotic layer is restricted to a limited region around the separatrices, and no cross stream mixing occurs. In order to have large-scale chaotic mixing, i.e. particles jumping across the jet from a northern recirculation to a southern one, and vice-versa, one needs the overlap of the resonances [16], when and ! are larger than certain critical thresholds.

In Figure 3 we report the OW indicator $_0(x)$ as function of the initial position. Incidentally, the HK indicator $_+$ (x shows no signi cant di erences from $_0$ and it is not shown. It is important to observe that both the OW and HK criteria are not able to detect the existence or not of a dynam ical barrier, i.e. the two gures are practically indistinguishable.

The nite time Lyapunov exponent (x) is shown in Figure 4. The computation is done according to (7) with initial separation R (t) = for all the particle pairs, without the \waiting procedure" (i.e. $T_w = 0$). This is because we want to m in ic a realistic situation of data analysis in which arbitrarily sm all separations cannot be attained.

We note that, although the indicator is able to detect the jet core (the low FTLE value lament inside the chaotic current), the transition between the con ned chaos regime and the large scale mixing regime is not observed (com pare Figure 4a and Figure 4b). The asymmetry of the FTLE map is due to the dependence of this indicator on the initial phase of the periodic ow.

Let us consider the local FSLE as r(x), computed on the same trajectories and with the same initial separation of Figure 4. Figure 5 contains the results of the r maps, before and after the overlap of the resonances, in what we can call the M elnikov [17] and the Chirikov [16] regimes, respectively. The amplication factor r and the lower threshold are chosen such that the upper threshold r is of the order of the jet width. In one case, black regions of zero FSLE values, i.e. particle pairs which never reach the

upper limiting separation, are located in the jetcore and in the centers of the recirculation: when chaos is still con ned in the vicinity of the separatrices, no cross-stream transport is allowed. In the other case, after the separatrix breaking has occurred, no zero FSLE values are present, indicating that particles can spread apart over any distance from any initial condition.

Let us conclude this section by remarking that a Lagrangian diagnostic based on the FSLE shows is major skill in detecting dynam ical barriers in the ow.

3.2 Geophysical data

W e now consider a geophysical example regarding Lagrangian motion on an isoentropic layer (i.e. at constant potential temperature) at a low stratospheric level, in presence of the winterly polar vortex [19], characterized by a quasi-zonal robust jet stream.

The typical ow pattern is usually represented by means of stereographic maps of isoentropic Potential Vorticity (PV), see Figure 6, and the modulus of its gradient shown in Figure 7. In winter, the stratospheric PV can be considered as a quasi-conserved quantity over a timescale of about 2–3 weeks. It is also widely accepted in literature that the outer border of the polar vortex, usually identied by the maximum horizontal gradient of isoentropic PV [22], can act as a strong barrier to meridional cross stream transport.

The kinematics is remarkably similar to that of the previously discussed meandering jet model, if we imagine the latter as closed on itself in a circular geometry. The Lagrangian data set we have used for the analysis account of about 10^4 trajectory pairs, initially uniform by distributed over the southern hem isphere on the 475K isoentropic surface (lower stratosphere). Trajectories are calculated by means of the University of L'Aquila Trajectory M odel [21, 24] using analyzed wind, pressure, and temperature elds from the U K.M eteorological O ce (UKM O) [20] provided by the British Atm ospheric D ata Centre (BADC). Latitude coverage goes from poles to about tropics. The trajectories run from June 30th, 1997 up to a maximum observation time of 20 days. The initial distance between pair particles is R_i ' 10K m.

Being the trajectory evolution simulated with wind elds relative to the southern hem isphere winterly season, we are observing a situation of stable polar vortex regim e [23].

The local properties of the particle relative dispersion are obtained by computing the 0 kubo-W eiss eigenvalue $_0$ and the localFSLE (r). Spatial derivatives of the velocity elds used for the calculations are estimated as nite di erences over spatial grid steps of the order of 100 K m.

The results are presented in the two-dimensional maps in Figures 8 and 9. A further analysis (not shown) demonstrates that $_0$ does not change substantially in time and, as a consequence, it reproduces the essential features of the HK exponent $_+$. Neither of the exponents, as in the previous example, is able to detect the presence of a barrier to transport.

On the other hand, the FSLE map (see Figure 9) detects the dynam ical barrier as the region of vanishing FSLE values. The location of the barrier is in good agreement with the de nition of polar vortex border based on geophysical considerations, e.g. the potential vorticity gradient shown in Figure 7 [22].

4 Conclusions

We have discussed several techniques proposed for describing dispersion in two-dimensional ows. In particular our analysis has been focused on the capability of these techniques to detect the presence of barriers to transport. By means of two examples of geophysical relevance, we have shown that Eulerian-based techniques, such as the O kubo-W eiss criterium and its generalization proposed by Hua and Klein, are not sensible to the presence of barriers. The Lagrangian nite-time Lyapunov exponent is, in principle, useful for describing space variations of the chaotic properties, e.g. in a quasi-integrable H am iltonian system, but it is limited to small-scale properties of dispersion. A recent non-linear Lagrangian diagnostics, based on the F in ite Scale Lyapunov Exponent, is found to give the correct description of the presence of large-scale barriers. As nal remark, we notice that the OW criterion has been recently shown to give poor information also in the case of fully developed turbulence [18]: the probability distribution function of $_{0}$, P ($_{0}$), for a typical 2D turbulent eld is not sensitive to the presence of coherent structures, i.e. P ($_0$) is the same as for a Gaussian eld.

From a general point of view, it is not a surprise that purely Eulerian statistics, such as the OW quantity, are unable to predict the behavior of Lagrangian tracers. The presence of dynam ical barriers is a fundam ental

inform ation about the transport properties of the ow and thus can be considered as a good discrim inatory for the diagnostics. It would be interesting to check the perform ance of the proposed methods on other geophysical ow s.

W e thank the British Atm ospheric D ata Center for the UKMO data.We are grateful to B.Joseph, D.Judicone, B.Legras, R.Santoleriand G.Visconti for useful discussions. This work is partially supported by MURST (contract N.9908264583).

References

- M. Henon, Sur la Topologie des Lignes de courant dans in casparticulier, C. R. A cad. Sci. Paris A 262 (1966) 312.
- [2] A.J.Lichtenberg and M.A.Lieberm an, Regular and Stochastic Motion (Springer, Berlin 1982).
- [3] JM.Ottino, The kinem atics of mixing: stretching, chaos and transport (Cam bridge University Press, Cam bridge 1989).
- [4] A. Crisanti, M. Falcioni, G. Paladin and A. Vulpiani, Lagrangian Chaos: Transport, M ixing and D i usion in Fluids, Riv. Nuovo C in . 14 (1991) 1.
- [5] R.M. Samelson, Fluid exchange across a meandering jet, J. Phys. O ceanogr. 22 (1992) 431.
- [6] H. Yang, Chaotic transport and mixing by ocean gyre circulation, in Stochastic modeling in physical oceanography (ed. by R.J.Adler, P. Muller and B.L.Rozovskiii) Birkhauser (1996) 439.
- [7] A.S. Bower, A simple kinematic mechanism for mixing uid parcels across a meandering jet, J. Phys. O ceanogr. 21 (1991) 173.
- [8] V. Artale, G. Bo etta, A. Celani, M. Cencini and A. Vulpiani, D ispersion of passive tracers in closed basins: beyond the di usion coe cient, Phys. Fluids 9 (1997) 3162.
- [9] T.Bohr, M.H.Jensen, G.Paladin and A.Vulpiani, Dynamical systems approach to turbulence (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1998).
- [10] B L. Hua and P. K lein, An exact criterion for the stirring properties of nearly two-dimensional turbulence, Physica D 113 (1998) 98.
- [11] G.Bo etta, M. Cencini, M. Falcioni and A. Vulpiani, Predictability: a way to characterize complexity, Phys. Report (submitted, 2001).
- [12] I.Goldrisch, P.L. Sulem and S.A. Orszag, Stability and Lyapunov stability of dynamical systems: a di erential approach and a numerical method Physica D 27 (1987) 311.

- [13] A.Okubo, Horizontal dispersion of oatable particles in the vicinity of velocity singularities such as covergences, Deep-Sea Res. 17 (1970) 445.
- [14] J.W eiss, The dynam ics of enstrophy transfer in two-dimensional hydrodynam ics, Physica D 48 (1991) 273.
- [15] G. Bo etta, A. Celani, M. Cencini, G. Lacorata and A. Vulpiani, Nonasymptotic properties of transport and mixing, Chaos 10 (2000) 50.
- [16] B.V. Chirikov, A universal instability of many-dimensional oscillator system s, Phys. Rep. 52 (1979) 263.
- [17] V K. Melnikov, On the stability of the center for time periodic perturbations, Trans. Moscow M ath. Soc. 12 (1963) 1.
- [18] M. Rivera, X. L. Wu and C. Yeung, Universal Distribution of Centers and Saddles in Two-Dimensional Turbulence, (preprint, arXiv physics/0012051, 2001).
- [19] M R.Schoeberl, LR.Lait, PA.Newman and JE.Rosen eld, The structure of the polar vortex, J.Geophys.Res. 97 (1992) 7859.
- [20] R. Swinbank and A. O'N eill, A stratosphere-troposphere data assim ilation system, M on W eather Rev. 122 (1994) 686.
- [21] G.Redaelli, Ph.D.D issertation, University of L'Aquila, 1997.
- [22] E R.Nash, PA.Newman, JE.Rosen eld and M.R.Scheberl, An objective determ ination of the polar vortex using Ertel's potential vorticity, J.Geophys.Res.101 (1996) 9471.
- [23] M. Schoeberl and Hartmann D. L., The dynamics of the Stratospheric Polar Vortex and its relation to springtim e ozone depletions, Science 251 (1991) 45.
- [24] R. Dragani, G. Redaelli, G. Visconti, A. Mariotti, V. Rudakov, A. R. MacKenzie and L. Stefanutti, High resolution stratospheric tracer elds reconstructed with lagrangian techniques: a comparative analysis of predictive skill, J. of Atm os. Sci. (in press, 2001).



Figure 1: Map of the stream function of the meandering jet model. The isolines drawn in black represent the borderlines of the current. The jet core becomes a permeable barrier to cross-stream motion depending on the parameter values (!;) of the time periodic perturbation.



Figure 2: Critical curve in the parameter space (!;) separating between M elnikov regime" (below the curve), in which chaos is conned close to the separatrices, and C hirkov regime" (above the curve), in which large-scale chaotic mixing occurs. P₁, (!;) = (0:1;0:3), and P₂, (!;) = (0:4;0:3), are the two points in the parameter space discussed in the Lagrangian simulations. ! and are adimensionalized with respect to $!_0 = 0.25$ (pulsation of recirculating orbits next to the separatrices) and B₀ = 1.2 (m ean meander am plitude).



b)



Figure 3: 0 kubo-W eiss parameter $\frac{1}{18}$ for the meandering jet system at the two parameter points P₁ (a) and P₂ (b) of Figure 2.





Figure 4: Finite time Lyapunov exponent (x) for them eandering jet system at the two parameter points P_1 (a) and P_2 (b). The number of particle pairs is 10000 with initial separation =L = 1:9 10³ uniform ally distributed on the periodic domain with spatial length L = 2 =k (with k = 0:84). The time delay is = =!_0.

a)





Figure 5: The local FSLE $_r(x)$ for the meandering jet system at the parameter space points P_1 (a) and P_2 (b). The Lagrangian trajectories are the same of Figure 4. The ampli cation factor is r = 100. Only the particle pairs that reach a relative separation of r ' 10L give a positive signal in terms of (r).

a)



Figure 6: M ap of potential vorticity (PV) taken at day June 30th 1997, relative to the 475K layer, southern hem isphere.



Figure 7: M ap of the magnitude of the gradient of potential vorticity shown in Figure 6.



Figure 8: 0 kubo-W eiss indicator $_0$ (x) for the analyzed wind elds corresponding to Figure 6, computed at the seventh day of the Lagrangian simulation, July 6th 1997.



Figure 9: The local FSLE $_r(x)$ map for the isoentropic trajectory data set relative to the 475K layer. The initial distance between Lagrangian tracers is ' 10 km and the ampli cation factor is r = 10.