# PERTURBATION THEORY FOR LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS OF A TORAL MAP: EXTENSION OF A RESULT OF SHUB AND W LLK INSON.

by David Ruelle\*.

Abstract. Starting from a hyperbolic toral automorphism, we obtain, for a small volume preserving perturbation, an exact and rigorous second order perturbation expansion of the Lyapunov exponents.

K eyw ords: Lyapunov exponent, toral autom orphism, hyperbolicity.

<sup>\*</sup> M athem atics D ept., Rutgers University, and IHES. 91440 Bures sur Y vette, France. < ruelle@ihes.fr>

We consider volume preserving perturbations F of a dieom orphism  $F_0 = (;J)$  of  $T^{m+1} = T^m$  T, where is a hyperbolic automorphism of  $T^m$ , and J is a translation of T. Writing  $F = F_0 + aF^0$ , we shall show that the Lyapunov exponents for (F; volume) can be expanded to second order in a (Theorem 1). In particular, the central Lyapunov exponent of  $T^m$  of (F; volume), to second order in a, is generally  $T^m$  0 (Corolary 11). For a special family of perturbations one obtains particularly simple formulae, rest noted by Shub and Wilkinson [10]. We recover their result in Theorem 12. We deviate from [10] mostly in that we don't have dierentiability of of only a second order expansion around  $T^m$  1. The ideas used here are largely those in Shub and Wilkinson [10], and can be appreciated in the background provided by Hirsh, Pugh and Shub [6], Burns and Wilkinson [3], Ruelle and Wilkinson [9]. For recent work related to Lyapunov exponents, see also Bonatti, Gomez-Mont and Viana [2], Avila and Bochi [1].

A first completing the writing of this paper, the author received a preprint by D. D olgopyat [4], which develops sim ilar ideas in a more general setting, but without the speci c form ulas we obtain here.

#### 1. Theorem .

Let be a hyperbolic autom orphism of T  $^m$ , and J:y  $^m$  y +  $^m$  (m od 1) a translation of T. De ne F $_0$  = (;J), and let F = F $_0$  + aF $^0$  be a C  $^2$  perturbation of F $_0$ , volume preserving to rst order in a. (We take F $^0$ :T  $^{m+1}$   $^m$  R  $^{m+1}$  and F $_0$  + aF $^0$ () has to be understood (m od 1) in each component). Let  $_1$  <  $_2$  < :::be the Lyapunov exponents of (F $_0$ ; volume) and m $_1$ ; m $_2$ ; :::their multiplicities (the exponent = 0 occurs with multiplicity 1). Also let  $_a^{(1)}$   $_a^{(2)}$  :::be the Lyapunov exponents of (F; volume) repeated according to multiplicity. Then we have the second order expansion

$$^{m_1+X::+m_r}$$
 $_{a}^{(')} = m_{r} + a^2L_r + o(a^2)$ 
 $^{\prime}=m_1+::+m_{r-1}+1$ 

If  $m_r = 1$ , and writing  $r = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , we have

$$a^{(')} = 0^{(')} + a^2 L^{(')} + o(a^2)$$

(this applies in particular to  $c = a^{(1)}$  for  $a^{(1)} = 0$ ).

An explicit expression for  $L_r$  can be obtained (see Proposition 9). We do not assume ergodicity of (F; volume), and therefore we use integrated Lyapunov exponents (averaged over the volume), see however Remark 15(a).

If one likes one m ay to  $F_0$  +  $aF^0$  add term s of higher order in a so that the sum F is exactly volume preserving. These higher order terms will not change our results, and are om itted in what follows.

## 2. Normal hyperbolicity.

As in [10], we invoque the theory of normal hyperbolicity of [6]. We start from the fact that  $F_0$  is normally hyperbolic to the smooth bration of T  $^{m+1}$  by circles fxg T.

Taking som e k 2 we apply [6] Theorem s (7.1), (7.2). Thus we obtain a  $C^1$  neighborhood U of  $F_0$  in the  $C^k$  di eom orphism s of  $T^{m+1}$  such that, for F 2 U, there is an equivariant bration :  $T^{m+1}$ !  $T^m$  with

$$F =$$

The bers  $^1$  fxg are  $C^k$  circles form ing a continuous bration of  $T^{m+1}$  (this bration is in general not smooth). Furtherm ore there is a TF—invariant continuous splitting of  $TT^{m+1}$  into three subbundles:

$$TT^{m+1} = E^s + E^u + E^c$$

such that  $E^c$  is 1-dim ensional tangent to the circles  $^1$  fxg,  $E^s$  is  $m^s$ -dim ensional contracting and  $E^u$  is  $m^u$ -dim ensional expanding for TF.

If  $_{\rm r}$  < 0 (and F is in a suitable C  $^{1}$ -sm all neighborhood U of F $_{0}$ ), we can introduce a continuous vector subbundle E  $^{\rm r}$  of T T  $^{\rm m+1}$  which consists of vectors contracting under T F  $^{\rm n}$  faster than ( $_{\rm r}$  + ) $^{\rm n}$  where > 0 and  $_{\rm r}$  + <  $_{\rm r+1}$ . In fact E  $^{\rm r}$  is a hyperbolic (attracting) xed point for the action induced by T F  $^{\rm 1}$  on the bundle of m  $_{1}$  + :::+ m  $_{\rm r}$  dim ensional linear subspaces of T T  $^{\rm m+1}$  (over F  $^{\rm 1}$  acting on T  $^{\rm m+1}$ ).

If  $_{\rm r}$  > 0, replacement of F by F  $^{1}$  similarly yields a continuous subbundle E  $^{\rm r}$  of m  $_{\rm r}$  + :::dim ensional subspaces.

#### 3. Proposition.

A ssum e that F is of class  $C^k$ , k 2, and that F is  $C^k$  close to  $F_0$ . The bundles  $E^r$ ,  $E^r$ , when restricted to a circle  $^1$  fxg are of class  $C^{k-1}$ , continuously in x.

If G denotes the (G rassm annian) m anifold of m  $_1+:::+$  m  $_r$  dimensional linear subspaces of R  $^{m+1}$ , we may identify the bundle of m  $_1+:::+$  m  $_r$  dimensional linear subspaces of T T  $^{m+1}$  with T  $^{m+1}$  G. We denote by E 2 G the spectral subspace of the matrix dening corresponding to the smallest m  $_1+:::+$  m  $_r$  eigenvalues (in absolute value, and repeated according to multiplicity).

If  $F_0$  is the action de ned by  $TF_0$  on  $TT^{m+1}$  G, the circles fxg T fEg form an  $F_0$  invariant bration of  $T^{m+1}$  fEg, to which  $F_0$  is normally hyperbolic. If F is  $C^k$  close to  $F_0$ , the corresponding  $C^{k-1}$  action F is normally hyberbolic to a pertubed bration where fxg T fEg is replaced by  $E^rj^{-1}$  fxg. A coording to [6] Theorem 7.4, Corollary (8.3) and the following Remark 2, we not that the  $C^{k-1}$  circle  $E^rj^{-1}$  fxg  $T^{m+1}$  G depends continuously on x 2  $T^{m+1}$ . Similarly for E.

Note that in [10], the  $C^r$  section theorem is used in a similar situation, giving estimates uniform in x. However, continuity in x (not just uniform ity) will be essential for us in what follows.

#### 4. Corollary.

The splitting  $TT^{m+1} = E^s + E^u + E^c$  when restricted to a circle  $\ ^1$  fxg is of class  $C^{k-1}$ , continuously in x.

It is clear that  $E^c j^{-1}$  fxg is of class  $C^{k-1}$  because it is the tangent bundle to the  $C^k$  circle  $^1$  fxg. As to  $E^s$ ,  $E^u$ , they are special cases of  $E^r$ ,  $E^r$ .  $\square$ 

Notation.

Remember that  $F = F_0 + aF^0$ , and  $x F^0$ . We shall use the notation  $a, E_a^r$ , :::to indicate the a-dependence of  $E^r$ , :::

# 5. Proposition.

For small > 0 there is a continuous function x 7  $_x$  from T  $^m$  to C  $^k$  (T ( ; )! T  $^m$ ) such that  $_x$  (y;0) = 0 and  $_a$   $^1$  fxg = f(x +  $_x$  (y;a);y):y 2 T g.

To see this de ne F :  $T^{m+1}$  ( ; )!  $T^{m+1}$  ( ; ) by F (; a) = ((F<sub>0</sub> + aF  $^0$ )(); a) and observe that F is normally hyperbolic to the 2-dim ensional manifolds

and these are thus  $C^k$  2-dim ensional submanifolds of  $T^{m+1}$  (;).

We may in the same manner replace  $a^1$  fxg by  $[a_2(\ ;)(a^1$  fxg;a) in Proposition 3 and Corollary 4. Writing  $E_a$  for  $E_a^r$ ,  $E_a^r$ ,  $E_a^s$ ,  $E_a^u$ ,  $E_a^c$ , we obtain that (;a) 7 Eq. (), when restricted from  $T^{m+1}$  (; ) to  $[a_2(\ ;)(a^1$  fxg;a) is of class  $C^{k-1}$ . We rephrase this as follows:

## 6. Proposition.

The map

where  $E_a$  stands for  $E_a^r$ ,  $E_a^r$ ,  $E_a^s$ ,  $E_a^u$ ,  $E_a^c$ , is continuous  $T^m$ !  $C^{k-1}$  (T ( ; )! G rassmannian of  $R^{m+1}$ ) where we have used the identication  $TT^{m+1} = T^{m+1} - R^{m+1}$ .

Notation.

From now on we write  $E_a$  for  $E_a^r$ ,  $E_a^r$ ,  $E_a^s$ ,  $E_a^u$ ,  $E_a^c$ . When a=0,  $E_0$  is a trivial subbundle of  $TT^{m+1}=T^{m+1}-R^{m+1}$ , and we shall write  $E_0=T^{m+1}-E$ , denoting thus by E a spectral subspace of the matrix on  $R^{m+1}$  dening (;1). We denote by  $E^?$  the complementary spectral subspace.

Taking k = 2 we have then:

#### 7. Corollary.

There are linear maps G (x;y); R (x;y;a): E! E? such that G (x;y) depends continuously on (x;y) 2 T m T, R (x;y;a) on (x;y;a) 2 T m T (x;y;a) (x;y;a)

$$E_a(x + _x(y;a);y) = fX + aG(x;y)X + R(x;y;a)X : X 2 Eg$$

and  $j\Re (x;y;a)$  jj is o(a) uniform ly in x;y.

Notice now that, if x = a(x;y), then x = x + a(y;a), where a(y;a) = 0 (a). Now

$$E_a(x;y) = E_a(x + y(y;a);y) = fX + aG(x;y)X + R(x;y;a)X : X 2 Eg$$

di ers from

$$E_a(x + x(y;a);y) = fX + aG(x;y)X + R(x;y;a)X : X 2 Eg$$

by the replacement \*! x in the right-hand side, and since dist(\*;x) = 0 (a), we not that dist(\*E  $_a$ (x;y);\*E  $_a$ (x+  $_x$ (y;a);y)) = 0(a). Therefore, changing the denition of R, we can again write:

#### 8. Corollary.

There are linear maps G (x;y);R (x;y;a):E!  $E^?$ , depending continuously on their arguments, such that

$$E_a(x;y) = fX + aG(x;y)X + R(x;y;a)X : X 2 Eg$$

and  $j\mathbb{R}(x;y;a)$  j is o(a) uniform by in x;y.

We may write TF = T (F<sub>0</sub> + aF<sup>0</sup>) = D<sub>0</sub> + aD<sup>0</sup>() where D<sub>0</sub> does not depend on and preserves the decomposition TM = E + E<sup>?</sup>. If we apply TF to an element X + aGX + RX of E<sub>a</sub> (as in Corollary 8) we obtain  $X_1$  + element of E<sup>?</sup> 2 E<sub>a</sub>, with  $X_1$  2 E:

$$X_1 = D_0 X + aD^0 X + a^2 D^0 G X + aD^0 R X$$
 projected on E (1)

Under (TF) $^{\hat{}}$ , the volume element in  $E_a$ () is multiplied by a factor M (;a), and the projection in E of (TF) $^{\hat{}}$  is equal to the projection in E of multiplied by a factor N (;a) such that

$$M (;a) = N (;a) + (;a) + (;a) + (;a)$$

for suitable 'a. We may compute N from (1):

$$N (;a) = N_{(0)} + aN_{(1)} () + a^2N_{(2)} () + o(a^2)$$

To proceed we take now  $E_a = E_a^r$ , and assum  $e_r < 0$ . We have then, writing d for the volume element in  $T^{m+1}$ ,

$$L_{a} = \sum_{a=0}^{m_{1}+X::+m_{r}} Z \qquad Z$$

$$L_{a} = \sum_{a=0}^{m_{1}+X::+m_{r}} Z \qquad Z$$

$$= d \log M \ (;a) = d \log N \ (;a)$$

$$= L_{(0)} + aL_{(1)} () + a^{2}L_{(2)} () + o(a^{2})$$
(2)

M ore precisely, we shall prove

9. Proposition.

If r < 0, we have

w here

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{X^{1}} d T_{E} (D_{0}^{1} D^{0} ()) T_{E} (D_{0}^{1} D^{0} (F_{0}^{n})) 0$$

and E is the spectral subspace of the matrix de ning corresponding to the smallest  $m_1 + \dots + m_r$  eigenvalues (in absolute value, and repeated according to multiplicity).

The proof that L 0 is postponed to Remark 15 (b).

The proposition is obtained by comparing formula (2) with the formula (5) below, which we shall obtain by a second order perturbation calculation.

To rst order in a we have

$$F^{n} = (F_{0} + aF^{0})^{n} = F_{0}^{n} + a^{X^{n}} + a^{y^{n}} = F_{0}^{n} + a^{y^{n}} + a^{y^{n}} = F_{0}^{n} + a^{y^{n}}$$

hence

$$T F^{n} = D_{0}^{n} + a D_{0}^{n j} D^{0} (F^{j 1}) D_{0}^{j 1}$$

If we apply TF  $^n$  to X + aG X + R X 2 E  $_a$  we obtain X  $_n$  + element of E  $^2$  2 E  $_a$ , with X  $_n$  2 E  $_a$ . To zero-th order in a, X  $_n$  = D  $_0^n$  X , so we may write to rst order X  $_n$  = D  $_0^n$  X + aY  $_n$  ( ). Therefore, to rst order in a,

$$D_0^n X + a Y_n () + a G (F^n) D_0^n X = D_0^n X + a D_0^{n-j} D_0^n (F^{j-1}) D_0^{j-1} X + a D_0^n G () X$$

and, taking the components along  ${\rm E\,}^{?}$  ,

$$G (F^{n})D_{0}^{n}X = D_{0}^{n}D_{0}^{j}D_{0}^{0}(F^{j1})D_{0}^{j1}X + D_{0}^{n}G ()X$$

where D  $_{?}^{0}$  (:) is D  $^{0}$  (:) followed by taking the component along E  $^{?}$  , or

$$X^{n}$$
 $D_{0}^{j}D_{?}^{0}(F^{j})^{1})D_{0}^{j}X + G()X = D_{0}^{n}G(F^{n})D_{0}^{n}X$ 
 $j=1$ 

When n! 1, the right-hand side tends to zero (exponentially fast, remember that X 2 E, G X 2 E?). Therefore

G ( )X = 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{X^{1}} D_{0}^{j} D_{2}^{0} (F^{j})^{1} )D_{0}^{j} X$$

which we shall use in the form

G ( )X = 
$$D_0^{n-1} D_?^0 (F_0^n) D_0^n X$$
 (3)

where we have written  $F_0^n$  instead of  $F^n$  since G is evaluated to order 0 in a. (The right-hand side is an exponentially convergent series).

Returning to (1) we see that, to second order in a,

$$X_1 = D_0 X + aD^0() X + a^2 D^0() G() X$$
 projected on E  
=  $D_0(1 + aD_0^1 D^0() + a^2 D_0^1 D^0() G() X$  projected on E

Let now  $(u^{(i)})$  and  $(u^{(i)?})$  be conjugate bases of E. Also let (i) for  $i=1; :::; m_1 + :::+ m_r$  be the eigenvalues of D<sub>0</sub> restricted to E. Then, to second order in a,

N ( ;a) 
$$^{m_1+ :::+ m_r}_1 u^{(`)}$$

is, up to a factor of absolute value 1,

$$(u^{(i)?};D_0^1D^0()u^{(i)}) = (u^{(i)?};D_0^1D^0()u^{(i)})$$

$$= 1 \qquad i=1$$

$$+ a^2 \sum_{i < j} ((u^{(i)?};D_0^1D^0()u^{(i)})(u^{(j)?};D_0^1D^0()u^{(j)})$$

$$= 1 \qquad i=1$$

$$(u^{(i)?};D_0^1D^0()u^{(j)}) = (u^{(i)?};D_0^1D^0()u^{(i)}) + a^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u^{(i)?};D_0^1D^0()G()u^{(i)})]^{^i}$$

so that

$$N (;a) = ( j^{(i)}) [1 + fa (u^{(i)?};D_0^1 D^0()u^{(i)})$$

$$+ a^2 ((u^{(i)?};D_0^1 D^0()u^{(i)}) (u^{(j)?};D_0^1 D^0()u^{(j)})$$

$$= ( u^{(i)?};D_0^1 D^0()u^{(j)}) (u^{(j)?};D_0^1 D^0()u^{(i)})$$

$$= ( u^{(i)?};D_0^1 D^0()u^{(j)}) (u^{(j)?};D_0^1 D^0()u^{(i)}) + a^2 (u^{(i)?};D_0^1 D^0()G()u^{(i)})g]$$

Since  $\log j^{(i)} j = \binom{i}{0}$  we obtain, to second order in a,

$$L_{a} = \int_{a}^{Z} d \log N (;a) = m_{1} + \dots + m_{r} + \int_{r}^{Z} d [f :: g \frac{a^{2} X}{2} (u^{(i)?}; D_{0}^{1} D^{0}()u^{(i)}))^{2}]$$

where f:: p has the same meaning as above. Write

$$X_{i}(u^{(i)}) = (u^{(i)?}; D_{0}^{1}; F^{0}(u^{(i)}))$$

The rst term of d f::g is

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ A & d & (u^{(i)?}; D_0^1 TF^0()u^{(i)}) = A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ A & d & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which vanishes because  $^R$  d  $_{\frac{\theta}{\theta}}$  :::= 0. The next term in  $^R$  d f:::g is

$$a^{2} \overset{X}{\overset{Z}{\overset{}}} d \quad \left( \frac{0}{0} \overset{i}{\overset{}} \right) \left( \frac{0}{0} \overset{j}{\overset{}} \right) \quad \left( \frac{0}{0} \overset{j}{\overset{}} \right) \left( \frac{0}{0} \overset{j}{\overset{}} \right) \left( \frac{0}{0} \overset{j}{\overset{}} \right) = a^{2} \overset{X}{\overset{Z}{\overset{}}} d \quad \left( \frac{0}{0} \overset{i}{\overset{}} \left( \overset{0}{\overset{}} \overset{j}{\overset{}} \right) \right) \quad \frac{0}{0} \overset{j}{\overset{}} \left( \overset{0}{\overset{}} \overset{j}{\overset{}} \right) \left( \frac{0}{0} \overset{j}{\overset{}} \right)$$

which vanishes as above. Thus we are left with

and we may write, using (3),

where we have introduces conjugate bases  $(u^{(j)})$ ,  $(u^{(j)?})_p$  of E, indexed by  $j = m_1 + :::+ m_r + 1;:::;m + 1, and __i is over i __m + :::+ m_r + 1, __j is over <math>j > m_1 + :::+ m_r + 1$ . The above expression is also

and integration by part gives thus

Z X d 
$$(u^{(i)?};D_0^1D^0()G()u^{(i)})$$

The fact that  $F = F_0 + aF^0$  is volume preserving is expressed by  $Tr_{R^{m+1}}(D_0^1 D^0()) = 0$  hence

$$Z \qquad X \qquad d \qquad (u^{(i)?}; D_0^1 D^0()G()u^{(i)})$$

$$= X^{\frac{1}{2}} Z$$

$$= \qquad d T_{\frac{n}{2}} (D_0^1 D^0())T_{\frac{n}{2}} (D_0^1 D^0(F_0^n))$$

and introducing this in (4) yields

$$L_{a} \quad (m_{1} + :::+ m_{r} r)$$

$$= a^{2} \begin{bmatrix} & d & T_{E} (D_{0}^{1} D^{0} ()) T_{E} (D_{0}^{1} D^{0} (F_{0}^{n})) + \frac{1}{2} & d & (T_{E} (D_{0}^{1} D^{0} ()))^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{a^{2}}{2} \quad d \quad T_{E} (D_{0}^{1} D^{0} ()) T_{E} (D_{0}^{1} D^{0} ()) T_{E} (D_{0}^{1} D^{0} (F_{0}^{n}))$$

$$= (5)$$

where the last step used the invariance of dunder  $F_0^n$  .  $\square$ 

## 10. Proof of Theorem 1.

We use Proposition 9, the corresponding result with F replaced by F  $^1$ , and the fact that  $^P_{i=1}^m_{a}^{(i)} = 0$  (because F is volume preserving). This gives an estimate of all the sums of  $^{(i)}_{a}$  that occur in Theorem 1.  $\square$ 

# 11. Corollary.

In the situation of Theorem 1, the central Lyapunov exponent is

$$c = \frac{a^{2}}{2} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad d \quad \text{[Tr' (D_{0}^{1} D^{0} ())Tr' (D_{0}^{1} D^{0} (F_{0}^{n})) } \quad \text{Tr' (D_{0}^{1} D^{0} ())Tr' (D_{0}^{1} D^{0} (F_{0}^{n}))]}$$

$$= \frac{a^{2}}{2} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad d \quad \text{[Tr' (D_{0}^{1} D^{0} ()) } \quad \text{Tr' (D_{0}^{1} D^{0} ())]Tr' (D_{0}^{1} D^{0} (F_{0}^{n}))}$$

where  $Tr^s$ ,  $Tr^\mu$ ,  $Tr^c$  denote the traces over the spectral subspaces  $E^s$ ,  $E^u$ ,  $E^c$  of D<sub>0</sub> corresponding to eigenvalues < 1, > 1, or = 1 in absolute value ( $E^c$  is one dimensional).

Since F preserves the volume, the sum of all Lyapunov exponents vanishes. Therefore  $^{\rm c}$  is m inus the sum of the negative Lyapunov exponents, given by (5), m inus the sum of the positive Lyapunov exponents. Note that replacing F by F  $^{\rm 1}$ , E $^{\rm s}$  by E $^{\rm u}$  (and, to the order considered, D  $^{\rm 0}$ () by D  $^{\rm 0}$ ()) replaces the sum of the negative Lyapunov exponents by m inus the sum of the positive exponents. This gives the rst form ula for  $^{\rm c}$ .

To obtain the second formula, express  $Tr^uTr^u$   $Tr^sTr^s$  in terms of  $Tr^u$   $Tr^s$ , and rem em ber that (because F preserves the volume)  $Tr^s + Tr^u + Tr^c = 0$  when applied to D<sub>0</sub><sup>1</sup> D<sup>0</sup>().

The above formula (5) takes a particularly sim ple form in a special case described in the next theorem .

## 12. Theorem .

De ne h;  $g_a : T^m T ! T^m T by$ 

$$h \begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} x \\ Jy + x \end{array} \qquad x \qquad \qquad ; \qquad g_a \begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} x + a \quad (y) \pmod{1} \\ y \end{array}$$

and let  $f_a = g_a$  h.

Denote by  $_a^s$  (resp.  $_a^u$ ) the sum of the smallest m  $_a^s$  (resp. the largest m  $_a^u$ ) Lyapunov exponents for (f<sub>a</sub>; volum e). A lso let  $_a^c = _a^s _a^u$  be the \central exponent". Then  $_a^s$ ,  $_a^u$ ,  $_a^c$  have expansions of order 2 in a:

Here  $^{0s}$  (y) and  $^{0u}$  (y) are the components of the derivative  $^{0}$  (y) 2 R  $^{m}$  in the stable and unstable subspaces E  $^{s}$  and E  $^{u}$  for . A lso, we have used r : R  $^{m}$  ! R to denote the derivative of the map : T  $^{m}$  ! T with the obvious identications.

This theorem is a simple (but nontrivial) extension of the result proved by Shub and W ilkinson [10]. In the situation that they consider =  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , J = identity, = (1;0),  $^0 = ^{01}$ . Remark that, in the notation of [10],  $u_0 = ((1;1) \cdot v_0) = (m - 1) = ((1;0) \cdot v_0)$  so that the form ula given in Proposition II of [10] agrees with our result above].

Notation.

We shall henceforth om it the (m od 1). We shall keep r to denote the derivative in  $T^m$ . With obvious abuses of notation, the reader m ay nd it convenient to think of r as an m m matrix (with integer entries and determinant 1), and r as a row r -vector (with integer entries not all zero).

#### 13. Reform ulation of the problem.

Note that  $f_a^1 = h^1 \quad g_a^1$  where  $h^1$ ,  $g_a^1$  are obtained from h,  $g_a$  by the replacements ;J;;!  $^1$ ;J;. These replacements also interchange the stable and unstable subspaces for and replace  $^s$ ,  $^u$  by  $^u$ ,  $^s$ . Therefore the formula for  $^u$  in the theorem follows from the formula for  $^s$ . And the formula for  $^c = ^s \quad ^u$  also follows. To complete the proof of the theorem we turn now to the formula for  $^s$ .

De ne

$$^{\wedge} \begin{array}{c} X \\ V \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ V+ X \end{array}$$

then

$$F_0 \stackrel{X}{y} = \stackrel{\wedge}{}^1 h \stackrel{X}{y} = \frac{x}{Jy}$$

$$\hat{g}_a \stackrel{X}{y} = \stackrel{\wedge}{}^1 g_a \stackrel{X}{y} = \frac{x + a (y + x)}{y a(r) (y + x)}$$

so that

$$F \quad \stackrel{X}{y} = \stackrel{\wedge}{}_{1} f_{a} \stackrel{\wedge}{y} = g_{a} F_{0} \quad \stackrel{X}{y} = \frac{x + a (Jy + x)}{Jy \ a(r) (Jy + x)}$$

Finally,  $F = F_0 + aF^0 w$  ith

$$F_0 = X = X = (Jy + X)$$

Since F is conjugate (linearly) to  $f_a$ , we may compute s from F instead of  $f_a$ .

#### 14. Proof of Theorem 12.

W rite  $R^{m+1} = E^s + E^u + R$ . We shall apply Proposition 9 with  $E = E^s$ ,  $E^? = E^u + R$ . Using = (x;y) and X 2 E<sup>s</sup>, Y 2 E<sup>u</sup>, Z 2 R we may write

$$D_0 \quad \begin{array}{c} X + Y \\ Z \end{array} = \quad \begin{array}{c} (r)(X + Y) \\ Z \end{array}$$

where  $\,^{0}$  denotes the derivative of  $\,$  . Therefore

$$Tr_E (D^0()D_0^1) = (r^0)^0 (Jy + x)$$

and (5) contains the integrals

$$Z = d T_{E} (D_{0}^{1} D^{0}()) T_{E} (D_{0}^{1} D^{0}(F_{0}^{n}))$$

$$Z = d [(r)^{0s} (Jy + x)][(r)^{0s} (J^{n+1}y + N^{n+1}x)]$$

Perform ing a change of variables x = x, y = Jy + x we not that this is

We claim that this last integral vanishes unless n = 0. This is because, if  $n \in 0$ ,

$$Z dx 0(J^ny + x x) = 0$$

Returning to (5) we have thus

$$\int_{a}^{s} + \int_{0}^{u} = \frac{a^{2}}{2} d \left( T_{E} \left( D_{0}^{1} D^{0} () \right) \right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{a^{2}}{2} dy ((r)^{0} (y))^{2}$$

which is the formula given for  $^{\rm s}_0$  in Theorem 12. And according to Section 13 this completes our proof.  $\square$ 

## 15. Final rem arks.

(a) Shub and W ilkinson [10] showed that close to a di eom orphism (hyperbolic automorphism of T  $^2$ ) (identity on T) there is a C  $^1$  open set of ergodic volume preserving C  $^2$  di eom orphism s of T  $^3$  with central Lyapunov exponent  $^c$  > 0. They remark that their result extends to the situation where is a hyperbolic automorphism of T  $^m$  with one-dimensional expanding eigenspace. More generally, if is any hyperbolic automorphism of T  $^m$ , Theorem 12 gives close to (; rotation of T) in C  $^2$  (T  $^{m+1}$ ) a dieom orphism F with  $^c$  > 0. Since  $^c$  is given by an integral over the volume of a local \central \*\* stretching exponent, we have  $^c$  > 0 in a C  $^1$  neighborhood of F . But by a result of Dolgopyat and W ilkinson [5] (Corollary 0.5), stable ergodicity is here C  $^1$  open and dense in the C  $^2$  volume preserving dieom orphisms: we have center bunching and stable dynamical coherence because we consider perturbations of (; rotation of T) for which the center foliation is C  $^1$ , see [6], [7]. In conclusion, close to (hyperbolic automorphism of T  $^m$ ) (rotation on T) there is a C  $^1$  open set V of ergodic volume preserving C  $^2$  dieomorphisms of T  $^m$  +1 with

central Lyapunov exponent  $^{c} > 0$  (or also with  $^{c} < 0$ ). In particular, if F 2 V, the conditional measures of the volume on the circles  $^{1}$  fixed are atom ic, as discussed in [9].

(b) The coe cient L in Proposition 9 is 0. Consider indeed the unitary operator U de ned by U = F on  $L^2$  (T  $^{m+1}$ ; volum e), and let E (:) be the corresponding spectral measure, so that

$$U = \int_{T}^{T} e^{2i} E (d)$$

If ( ) =  $T_{E} (D_0^1 D^0 ())$  we have a measure 0 on T de ned by (d ) = ( ;E (d ) ) and the Fourier coe cients

of this m easure tend to zero exponentially. Therefore (d ) = ()d has a sm ooth density and

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-1}^{X^{1}} c_{n} = \frac{1}{2}$$
 (0) 0

(c) Suppose now that F is not necessarily a volume preserving perturbation of F $_0$ . We may still hope that F has an SRB measure  $_a$ . If F $_0$  were hyperbolic, we would have an expansion

$$a = 0 + a + o(a)$$

(see [8]) with  $_0$  = Lebesgue m easure and a distribution. For sm ooth , ( ) is given (because  $_0$  is Lebesgue m easure) by the simple formula (see [8])

( ) = 
$$X^{1}$$
 0 ((  $F_{0}^{n}$ )  $xdiv(F^{0} F_{0}^{1}$ )

Sim ilarly (replacing F by F  $^1$  , hence F  $_0$  , D  $_0^1$  D  $^0$ ( ) by F  $_0^{-1}$  , D  $^0$ (F  $_0^{-1}$  )D  $_0^{-1}$  we see that the anti-SRB state has an expansion

$$a = 0 + a + o(a)$$

with

We can now estimate the Lyapunov exponents for (F;  $_a$ ) to second order in a even though we are not sure of the existence of the SRB measure  $_a$ . We simply assume that we can use the formula for (). Going through the proof of Proposition 9 we have to replace

R d  $\log N$  (;a) by a  $(\log N$  (;;a)) and (to second order in a) this adds to the right-hand side of (4) a term

$$a^{2}$$
  $X^{1}$   $X^{2}$   $X^{2$ 

Taking into account the integrations by part we obtain now instead of (5) the formula

$$L_{a} \quad (m_{1}_{1} + :::+ m_{r}_{r}) = \frac{a^{2}}{2} \int_{n=1}^{x^{4}} d T_{E} (D_{0}^{1} D^{0}()) T_{E} (D_{0}^{1} D^{0}(F_{0}^{n}))$$

$$a^{2} \int_{n=1}^{x^{4}} d T_{E} (D_{0}^{1} D^{0}()) T_{E}^{n+1} (D_{0}^{1} D^{0}(F_{0}^{n}))$$

$$(6)$$

Let  $a^2L^s$ ,  $a^2L^u$ ,  $a^2L^c$  be the  $a^2$  contributions to the sum of the noncentral negative, noncentral positive, and the central Lyapunov exponents for the SRB measure. We obtain  $a^2L^s$  from (6) when  $n_r=n^s$ . A similar calculation gives  $a^2L^u$  (it is convenient here to work via the anti-SRB measure, then replace F by F  $^1$ ). Estimating the average expansion coe cient gives  $a^2(L^s+L^u+L^c)=_a(\log \det(D_0+aD^0(:))$ , hence  $L^s+L^u+L^c$ , hence  $L^c$ . The results are

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{L}^{s} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-1}^{X^{l}} & \mathbf{Z} \\ & \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{Tr}^{s} (D_{0}^{-1} D^{0}()) \mathbf{Tr}^{s} (D_{0}^{-1} D^{0}(\mathbf{F}_{0}^{n})) \\ & \mathbf{X}^{l} & \mathbf{Z} \\ & \mathbf{d} & \mathbf{Tr}^{s} (D_{0}^{-1} D^{0}()) \mathbf{Tr}^{s}_{R^{m+1}} (D_{0}^{-1} D^{0}(\mathbf{F}_{0}^{n})) \\ & \mathbf{n} = 1 \\ & \mathbf{L}^{u} &= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-1}^{X^{l}} & \mathbf{Z} \\ & \mathbf{L}^{c} &= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-1}^{X^{l}} & \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Tr}^{s} (D_{0}^{-1} D^{0}()) \mathbf{Tr}^{s} (D_{0}^{-1} D^{0}(\mathbf{F}_{0}^{n})) \\ & \mathbf{X}^{l} & \mathbf{Z} \\ & \mathbf{d} & \mathbf{Tr}^{s} (D_{0}^{-1} D^{0}()) \mathbf{Tr}^{s} (D_{0}^{-1} D^{0}(\mathbf{F}_{0}^{n})) \\ & \mathbf{n} = 1 \\ & \mathbf{L}^{s} + \mathbf{L}^{u} + \mathbf{L}^{c} &= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-1}^{X^{l}} & \mathbf{Z} \\ & \mathbf{d} & \mathbf{Tr}^{s} (D_{0}^{-1} D^{0}()) \mathbf{Tr}^{s} (D_{0}^{-1} D^{0}(\mathbf{F}_{0}^{n})) \mathbf{Tr}^{s} (D_{0}^{-1} D^{0}(\mathbf{F}_{0}^{n})) \end{split}$$

which can be rewritten variously.

The existence of second order expansions for the Lyapunov exponents gives added interest to the question whether the SRB measure  $_{\rm a}$  really exists for (sm all) nite a.

(d) The author has not looked seriously into possible extensions of the results presented here. Generalizations are thus left for the reader to form ulate, and to prove.

#### References.

- [1] A. Avila and J. Bochi. \A formula with some applications to the theory of Lyapunov exponents." Preprint
- [2] C. Bonatti, X. Gomez-Mont and M. Viana. \Genericite d'expoants de Lyapunov non-nuls des produits deterministes de matrices." Preprint
- [3] K. Burns and A. Wilkinson. \Stable ergodicity of skew products." Ann. Sci. Ecole Nom. Sup. 32,859-889 (1999).
  - [4] D. Dolgopyat. \On di erentiability of SRB states." Preprint.
  - [5] D. Dolgopyat and A. Wilkinson. \Stable accessibility is C<sup>1</sup> dense." Preprint
- [6] M . H irsch, C  $\mathcal{L}$  . Pugh, and M . Shub. Invariant manifolds. Lect. Notes in M ath. 583 Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [7] C. Pugh, and M. Shub. \Stable ergodicity and julienne quasi-conformality." J. Eur. Math. Soc. 2,1-52 (2000).
- [8] D. Ruelle. \Di erentiation of SRB states." Commun. Math. Phys. 187,227-241 (1997).
- [9]D.Ruelle and A.W ilkinson. Absolutely singular dynamical foliations." Commun. M ath. Phys., to appear.
- [10] M . Shub and A . W ilkinson.  $\$  Pathological foliations and rem ovable exponents." Inventiones M ath. 139,495–508 (2000).