

New contiguity relation of the sixth Painlevé equation from a truncation

Robert Conte and Micheline M usettez
 Service de physique de l'état condensé, CEA {Saclay
 F {91191 G if-sur-Y vette Cedex, France

E-m ail: Conte@ drecam .saclay.cea.fr
 Dienst Theoretische Natuurkunde, Vrije Universiteit Brussel
 Pleinlaan 2, B {1050 Brussels, Belgium
 E-m ail: M M usette@ vub.ac.be

Submitted February 2001, accepted 24 October 2001

Abstract

For the master Painlevé equation $P_6(u)$, we define a consistent method, adapted from the Weiss truncation for partial differential equations, which allows us to obtain the first degree birational transformation of Okamoto. Two new features are implemented to achieve this result. The first one is the homography between the derivative of the solution u and a Riccati pseudopotential. The second one is an improvement of a conjecture by Fokas and Ablowitz on the structure of this birational transformation. We then build the contiguity relation of P_6 , which yields one new second order nonautonomous discrete equation.

Keywords: Painlevé equations, birational transformation, contiguity relation, Schlesinger transformation, singular manifold method, truncation.

PACS 02.30.+g

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The fundamental homography	4
3	The truncation	5
4	The elementary birational transformation of P_6	6
4.1	The affine representation	6
4.2	The birational representation	7
5	Contiguity relation	7
6	On Schlesinger transformations	9
7	Conclusion	10
8	Appendix. One-family truncation for P_6	10

To appear in Physica D. Preprint S2001/009. Corresponding author RC, e-m ail Conte@ drecam .saclay.cea.fr, phone + 33{1{69087349, fax + 33{1{69088786.

1 Introduction

Second order first degree algebraic ordinary differential equations (ODEs) define six and only six functions [1], which satisfy neither a first order ODE nor a linear ODE. Since the sixth of these Painlevé equations P₆ can generate the five others by a confluence process [2], we will mainly concentrate on it

$$P_6 : u'' = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{u-1} + \frac{1}{u-x} u'^2 - \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x-1} + \frac{1}{u-x} u' + \frac{u(u-1)(u-x)}{x^2(x-1)^2} + \frac{x}{u^2} + \frac{x-1}{(u-1)^2} + \frac{x(x-1)}{(u-x)^2}$$

It depends on four arbitrary complex constants $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$.

The purpose of this article is twofold : (i) we first present a new, direct method, only based on the singularity structure of this ODE, in order to derive a first degree birational transformation for P₆; (ii) we then establish for P₆ the contiguity relation, interpreted as a discrete Painlevé equation.

A birational transformation is defined by

$$u = r(U^0; U; X); U = R(u^0; u; x); \quad (1)$$

with r and R rational functions, and it maps an equation

$$E(u) = P_n(u; x; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = 0; \quad (2)$$

into the same equation with different parameters

$$E(U) = P_n(U; X; A) = 0; A = (A; B; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta); \quad (3)$$

with some homography (usually the identity) between x and X . The parameters (A) must obey as many algebraic relations as elements in \mathbb{C} . The degree of a birational transformation is by definition the highest degree in U^0 or u^0 of the numerator and the denominator of (1).

There are at least three uses of a birational transformation. The first one is an equivalence relation: two solutions exchanged by the transformation are considered as identical. The second use is to generate from a known solution a countable number of new solutions which may be of physical interest [3]. The third point of view, which we develop here, is the construction of a contiguity relation in order to define a nonautonomous discrete Painlevé equation.

The interest of being able to handle P₆ is to avoid the tedious consideration of the other daughter Painlevé equations.

Indeed, all the similar results for these equations can be easily obtained by action of the confluence, among them all the first degree birational transformations of all P_n equations [5].

There currently exist two birational transformations of P₆. Garnier [6, 7] was the first to find a birational transformation of P₆, to establish a theorem of Schwarz on the problem of P₆ plateau. This transformation, which has second degree, was later rediscovered by several authors [8, 9, 10]. There also exists a first degree birational transformation, found by Okamoto [9] while studying the Weyl group which preserves the Hamiltonian of P₆, and rediscovered recently [11] in a different context. This transformation reads

$$T_6 : \frac{N}{u-U} = \frac{x(x-1)U^0}{U(U-1)(U-x)} + \frac{0}{U} + \frac{1}{U-1} + \frac{x}{U-x} \quad (4)$$

$$= \frac{x(x-1)u^0}{u(u-1)(u-x)} + \frac{0}{u} + \frac{1}{u-1} + \frac{x}{u-x}, \quad (5)$$

$$j = j + \frac{1}{2} X_k + \frac{1}{2}, \quad j, k = 1; 0; 1; x; \quad (6)$$

$$j = j + \frac{1}{2} X_k + \frac{1}{2} \quad (7)$$

The transformation is clearly birational since the l.h.s. is homographic in both u and U . In the above, the monodromy exponents $\alpha = (\alpha_1; 0; 1; \alpha_x)$ are defined as

$$\alpha_1 = 2; \quad \alpha_0 = -2; \quad \alpha_1 = 2; \quad \alpha_x = 1 - 2; \quad (8)$$

and similarly for their uppercase counterparts, while the odd-parity constant N takes the equivalent expressions

$$N = \sum_k \left(\alpha_k^2 - \beta_k^2 \right) \quad (9)$$

$$= 1 - \sum_k \alpha_k = 1 + \sum_k \beta_k \quad (10)$$

$$= 2(\alpha_j - \beta_j); \quad j = 1; 0; 1; x: \quad (11)$$

The transformation of Garnier is an integer power [12] of this first degree transformation.

To achieve our goal (rely only on the singularity structure to find a birational transformation), we need to improve the singular manifold method so that it succeeds to obtain a birational transformation for P6. Originally introduced for partial differential equations (PDEs) by Weiss, Tabor and Carnevale [13], the singular manifold method is a powerful tool for deriving Backlund transformations, by considering only the singularity structure of the solutions. Its current achievements are detailed in summer school proceedings, see Refs. [14, 15]. An extension to ODEs has been proposed [16, 17, 18] to derive a birational transformation for the Painlevé equations, but its application to the master equation P6 is still an open problem. We solve it here by implementing an essential piece of information, which has up to now been overlooked.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we exploit the information that there always exists a homography between the derivative of the solution of the considered Painlevé equation P_n and the Riccati pseudopotential Z introduced in the "truncation" assumption. This reduces the problem to finding two functions of two variables instead of two functions of three variables.

In Section 3, we implement this homography in the definition of a truncation, which allows us to overcome the major difficulty, coming, in the case of P6, from a residual coefficient which remains undetermined (technically coming from the value 1 of the Fuchs index). This difficulty is the main reason why P6 has never been handled before by methods based on the singularity structure. We also improve a previous conjecture [19] on the necessary form of the birational transformation. The truncation then becomes easy to solve and admits, up to the four homographies on $(U; x)$ which conserve x , a unique solution, which is the transformation T_6 of Okamoto.

In Section 4, we give the various representations of this transformation.

In Section 5, we solve the recurrence relation between the monodromy exponents of P6, and we build the contiguity relation, which defines a unique nonautonomous second order difference equation.

Finally, in Section 6, we propose a weaker definition of a Schlesinger transformation so as to identify this notion with that of birational transformation.

2 The fundamental homography

From the result of Richard Fuchs [20], the P6 equation is obtained from the complete integrability of a linear differential system of order two. Therefore the pseudopotential of the singular manifold method has only one component Z [21], which can be chosen so as to satisfy some Riccati ODE.

Each Pn equation which admits a birational transformation has one or several (four for P6) couples of families of movable simple poles with opposite residues u_0 , therefore both the one-family truncation and the two-family truncation [22, 14, 15] are applicable. In the present paper, we consider only the one-family truncation, whose assumption is

$$u = u_0 Z^{-1} + U; u_0 \notin 0; x = X; \quad (12)$$

$$Z^0 = 1 + z_1 Z + z_2 Z^2; z_2 \notin 0; \quad (13)$$

in which u and U satisfy (2) and (3), $(Z; z_1; z_2)$ are rational functions of $(x; U; U^0)$ to be determined. After this is done, the relation (12) represents half of the birational transformation.

Besides the equation (13), there exists a second Riccati equation in the present problem, this is the Painlevé equation (3) itself. Indeed, any N-th order, first degree ODE with the Painlevé property is necessarily [23, pp. 396{409}] a Riccati equation for $U^{(N-1)}$, with coefficients depending on x and the lower derivatives of U , in our case

$$U^{(N)} = A_2(U; x)U^{(N-1)} + A_1(U; x)U^{(N-2)} + A_0(U; x); \quad (14)$$

Since the group of invariance of a Riccati equation is the homographic group, the variables U^0 and Z are linked by a homography, the three coefficients g_j of which are rational in $(U; x)$. Let us denote it as

$$(U^0 + g_2)(Z^{-1} - g_1) - g_0 = 0; g_0 \notin 0; \quad (15)$$

This allows us to obtain the two coefficients z_j of the Riccati pseudopotential equation (13) as explicit expressions of $(g_j; \partial_U g_j; \partial_x g_j; A_2; A_1; A_0; U^0)$. Indeed, eliminating U^0 between (14) and (15) defines a first order ODE for Z , whose identification with (13) modulo (15) provides three relations.

For the one-family truncation, these are

$$g_0 = g_2^2 A_2 - g_2 A_1 + A_0 + \partial_x g_2 - g_2 \partial_U g_2; \quad (16)$$

$$z_1 = A_1 - 2g_1 + \partial_U g_2 - \partial_x \text{Log } g_0 + (2A_2 - \partial_U \text{Log } g_0)U^0; \quad (17)$$

$$z_2 = -g_1 z_1 - g_1^2 - g_0 A_2 - \partial_x g_1 - (\partial_U g_1)U^0; \quad (18)$$

Therefore, the natural unknowns in the present problem are the two coefficients $g_1; g_2$ of the homography, which are functions of the two variables $(U; x)$, and not the two functions $(z_1; z_2)$ of the three variables $(U^0; U; x)$.

Remark. One must also consider the case when the relation between Z^{-1} and U^0 is a line, excluded in (15). Assuming

$$G_1(U^0 + G_2) - Z^{-1} = 0; G_1 \notin 0; \quad (19)$$

the equation analogous to (16) is now

$$\partial_U G_1 + G_1^2 + A_2 G_1 = 0; \quad (20)$$

which for P6 admits no solution G_1 rational in U .

3 The truncation

Just like the field u is represented, see Eq. (12), by a Laurent series in Z which terminates ("truncated series"), the l.h.s. $E(u)$ of the P_n equation (supposed written as a polynomial, i.e. without denominators involving u) can also be written as a truncated series in Z . This is achieved by the elimination of $u; Z^0; U^0; U^0$ between (2), (3), (12), (13) and (15), followed by the elimination of $(g_0; z_1; z_2)$ from (16)-(18) (q denotes the singularity order of P_n written as a differential polynomial in u , it is 6 for P_6),

$$E(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{q+2} E_j(U; x; u_0; g_1; g_2; A) Z^{j+q-2} = 0; \quad (21)$$

$$8j : E_j(U; x; u_0; g_1; g_2; A) = 0; \quad (22)$$

The nonlinear determining equations $E_j = 0$ are independent of U^0 , and this is the main difference with previous work. In particular, in all cases successfully processed to date [17, 18], both g_1 and $2A_2 - \partial_U \text{Log} g_0$ vanish, which cancels the coefficient of U^0 in z_1 and z_2 . In the case of P_6 , we are going to see that the truncation possesses a solution if and only if $(z_1; z_2)$ depends on U^0 .

Another difference is the greater number ($q+3$ instead of $q+1$) of equations $E_j = 0$, which is due to the additional elimination of U^0 with (15).

The $q+3$ determining equations (22) in the three unknown functions $u_0(x)$, $g_1(U; x)$, $g_2(U; x)$ (and the unknown scalars $;$ $;$ $;$ in terms of $A; B; ;$) must be solved, as usual, by increasing values of their index j .

This truncation for P_6 possesses a very nice invariance, which drastically helps the practical resolution. For any solution $g_j(U; x)$, there exist three other solutions, generated by the action on $g_j(U; x)$ of the four homographies of U which conserve x and P_6 , namely the identity and

$$H_{badc} : = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ B & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ A & & & & \end{pmatrix}; \quad x = X; \quad u = \frac{x}{U}, \quad (23)$$

$$H_{dcba} : = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ B & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ C & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ A & & & \end{pmatrix}; \quad x = X; \quad u = \frac{x(x-1)}{U-x}, \quad (24)$$

$$H_{cdab} : = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ B & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ C & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ A & & & \end{pmatrix}; \quad x = X; \quad u = \frac{1-x}{U-1}, \quad (25)$$

$$H_{badc}^2 = H_{dcba}^2 = H_{cdab}^2 = 1; \quad H_{badc}H_{dcba}H_{cdab} = 1; \quad (26)$$

Let us now state a conjecture which will strongly restrict the coefficient g_2 . From the expression of the direct half of the birational transformation,

$$u = U + u_0 - g_1(U; x) + \frac{g_0(U; x)}{U^0 + g_2(U; x)}; \quad (27)$$

the values of U which correspond to $u = 1$ are defined (apart from the poles of g_1 and g_0) by the ODE

$$U^0 + g_2(U; x) = 0; \quad (28)$$

The point $u = 1$ has the peculiarity to be a singular point of all the P_n equations and, for all known birational transformations of P_n (see the book [24] for P_2 to P_5 , Ref. [7, formula (2.8)] and

Ref. [9, p. 356] for P 6), it happens that the ODE analogous to (28) is a Riccati ODE (or a product of Riccati ODEs in [7, 10]), i.e. the unique first order first degree ODE which has the Painlevé property. In at least one other example of higher order [25], the birational transformation between two different ODEs having the Painlevé property has a denominator which defines a P 1 equation. Let us conjecture the generality of this property.

Conjecture. Given a birational transformation between two ODEs having the Painlevé property, for any singular point u of the ODE, the ODE for U defined by the direct half of the birational transformation has the Painlevé property.

This is an improvement of a previous conjecture by Fokas and Ablowitz [19, formula (2.6)] in two respects: both fields u and U are required to satisfy the same ODE, and no specific U -dependence is assumed for g_0 and g_1 ; their conjecture (whatever be u , Eq. (27) is a Riccati equation for U) happens to be true for P 2{P 5 but not for P 6.

In the case of P 6, our conjecture implies that the four expressions

$$g_2; \frac{g_0}{U + u_0 g_1}; \frac{g_0}{U - 1 + u_0 g_1}; \frac{g_0}{U - x + u_0 g_1}; \quad (29)$$

are second degree polynomials of U with coefficients depending on x . One notices immediately, from the last three fractions, that there could exist a particular solution $g_1 = 0; g_0 = g(x)U(U-1)(U-x)$. We are going to see that this is indeed the case.

The practical resolution of the truncation for P 6 is performed in Appendix 8, and the coefficients of the fundamental homography are found to be

$$g_0 = \frac{N U (U-1)(U-x)}{u_0 x (x-1)}; g_1 = 0; g_2 = \frac{U (U-1)(U-x)}{x (x-1)} - \frac{0}{U} + \frac{1}{U-1} + \frac{x-1}{U-x}, \quad (30)$$

$$u_0 = \frac{x(x-1)}{1}, \quad u_1 = \frac{1}{2} (1 - 0 - 1 - x + 1); \quad (31)$$

$$N = 1 - 1 - 0 - 1 - x; \quad (32)$$

$$z_1 = \frac{1}{x(x-1)} ((1+x-1)U + (x-1+0)(U-1) + (0+1)(U-x)) + \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x-1}, \quad (33)$$

$$z_2 = \frac{N-1}{2(x(x-1))^2} ((U-1)(U-x) + U(U-x) + U(U-1)); \quad (34)$$

Let us present this birational transformation in more detail.

4 The elementary birational transformation of P 6

The eight signs $(s_1; s_0; s_1; s_x)$ and $(S_1; S_0; S_1; S_x)$, with $s_j^2 = S_j^2 = 1$, of the monodromy exponents remain arbitrary and independent.

4.1 The affine representation

In the space of the monodromy exponents, the direct birational transformation and its inverse have an affine representation

$$= M_1 + M_0; \quad = \begin{matrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ x \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 0 \\ C \\ A \end{matrix}; \quad = \begin{matrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ x \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 0 \\ C \\ A \end{matrix}; \quad (35)$$

in which M_1 and M_0 are matrices of rational numbers. These are

$$T_6 : \begin{pmatrix} B & S_0 & 0 & C \\ C & S_1 & 1 & A \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} B & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ C & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C & B & S_0 & 0 \\ A & C & S_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} B & 1 & C \\ C & 1 & A \end{pmatrix}, \quad (36)$$

$$T_6^{-1} : \begin{pmatrix} B & S_0 & 0 & C \\ C & S_1 & 1 & A \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} B & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ C & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C & B & S_0 & 0 \\ A & C & S_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} B & 1 & C \\ C & 1 & A \end{pmatrix}, \quad (37)$$

and, depending on the signs, one has $M_1^n = 1$, with $n = 2; 3; 4$ or 6 . The convention adopted for the signs is aimed at making (6) equal to its inverse when all signs are $+1$.

4.2 The birational representation

Denoting N the odd-parity constant

$$N = \sum_k \binom{2}{k} \binom{2}{k} \quad (38)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_k S_{k-k} = 1 + \sum_k S_{k-k} \quad (39)$$

$$= 2(S_{j-j} + S_{j-j}); j = 1; 0; 1; x; \quad (40)$$

the four algebraic relations between $; ; ;$ and $A; B; ;$ are

$$8j = 1; 0; 1; x : \left(\binom{2}{j} + \binom{2}{j} (N=2)^2 \right)^2 - (2_{j-j})^2 = 0; \quad (41)$$

equivalent to the affine representation (6). Two sets of expressions are appropriate to represent the birational transformation, depending on its later use.

The first set involves only the squares of the monodromy exponents,

$$\frac{N}{u-u} = \frac{x(x-1)u^0}{u(u-1)(u-x)} + \frac{\binom{2}{0} \binom{2}{0}}{N} \frac{N}{4} \frac{1}{u} + \frac{\binom{2}{1} \binom{2}{1}}{N} \frac{N}{4} \frac{1}{u-1} \quad (42)$$

$$+ \frac{\binom{2}{x} \binom{2}{x}}{N} \frac{N}{4} \frac{1}{u-x}$$

$$= \frac{x(x-1)u^0}{u(u-1)(u-x)} + \frac{\binom{2}{0} \binom{2}{0}}{N} + \frac{N}{4} \frac{1}{u} + \frac{\binom{2}{1} \binom{2}{1}}{N} + \frac{N}{4} \frac{1}{u-1} \quad (43)$$

$$+ \frac{\binom{2}{x} \binom{2}{x}}{N} + \frac{N}{4} \frac{1}{u-x}$$

The second set of expressions, affine in the eight signed monodromy exponents,

$$\frac{N}{u-u} = \frac{x(x-1)u^0}{u(u-1)(u-x)} + \frac{S_0-0}{u} + \frac{S_1-1}{u-1} + \frac{S_x-x}{u-x} \quad (44)$$

$$= \frac{x(x-1)u^0}{u(u-1)(u-x)} + \frac{S_0-0}{u} + \frac{S_1-1}{u-1} + \frac{S_x-x}{u-x}, \quad (45)$$

is adapted to the construction of the contiguity relation, which is done in Section 5.

Both sets allow one to directly apply the well known degeneracy (Ref. [2] in the space \mathcal{P}_n , Ref. [26] in the space \mathcal{P}_n) to generate birational transformations of the other \mathcal{P}_n equations. This is done in a forthcoming paper [5].

5 Contiguity relation

From each birational transformation, one easily deduces a contiguity relation, which generalizes, as noted by Garnier [7], that of the hypergeometric equation of Gauss. Its systematic computation is as follows [4].

1. Consider the birational transformation, i.e. the direct birational transformation and its inverse

$$u = f(U; U^0; x; \dots); \quad = g(\dots); \quad (46)$$

$$U = F(u; u^0; x; \dots); \quad = G(\dots); \quad (47)$$

2. Evaluate it at the values $(\underline{v}; v; v)$ taken by a discrete variable at three contiguous points $(z - h; z; z + h)$, with $z = nh$,

$$v = f(v; v^0; x; f(\dots)); \quad (48)$$

$$\underline{v} = F(v; v^0; x; F(\dots)); \quad (49)$$

3. Eliminate the variable v^0 between these two relations,

$$G(v; \underline{v}; v; x; \dots) = 0; \quad (50)$$

For P 6, equations (46)-(47) are equivalent to

$$\frac{x(x-1)U^0}{U(U-1)(U-x)} = 2 \frac{S_{j-j} S_{j-j}}{u U} - \frac{S_{0-0}}{U} + \frac{S_{1-1}}{U-1} + \frac{S_{x-x}}{U-x} \frac{1}{x}; \quad (51)$$

$$\frac{x(x-1)u^0}{u(u-1)(u-x)} = 2 \frac{S_{j-j} S_{j-j}}{u U} - \frac{S_{0-0}}{u} + \frac{S_{1-1}}{u-1} + \frac{S_{x-x}}{u-x} \frac{1}{x}; \quad (52)$$

in which j is anyone of the four singular points $(1; 0; 1; x)$, and the contiguity relation is

$$\frac{v'(n+1=2)}{v} + \frac{v'(n-1=2)}{\underline{v}} = \frac{S_{0-0} S_{0-0}}{v} + \frac{S_{1-1} S_{1-1}}{v-1} + \frac{S_{x-x} S_{x-x}}{v-x}, \quad (53)$$

$$v'(n) = \frac{1}{2} (S_{1-1} + S_{0-0} + S_{1-1} + S_{x-x} - 1); \quad (54)$$

in which v is taken at the center point $z = z_0 + nh$. This very simple expression is clearly invariant under any permutation of the four singular points of P 6.

This contiguity relation (53) can be interpreted as a second order discrete equation [4]. The two-point recurrence relation (6) admits two classes of solutions. Each class, characterized by a signature, leads to a different contiguity relation (53), i.e. to a different second order discrete equation. Four of them are autonomous (signatures $(s_j S_j) = (-, -), (-, ++), (+, ++), (+, +++)$), they cannot admit a continuum limit to a Painlevé equation. The only nonautonomous one (signature $(-)$) is

$$\frac{n+1=2}{v} + \frac{n-1=2}{\underline{v}} = \frac{n+K_2(-1)^n}{v} + \frac{n+K_3(-1)^n}{v-1} + \frac{n+K_4(-1)^n}{v-x}, \quad (55)$$

$$K_2 = -k_2 + k_3 + k_4; K_3 = k_2 - k_3 + k_4; K_4 = k_2 + k_3 - k_4; \quad (56)$$

In the continuum limit, among the six simple poles of v in the sum (including 1), the first two will create a second order derivative and the four others will define at most four singular points. Since none of the last four poles depends on n , it is impossible that the continuum limit be P 6.

The transform of this discrete equation under

$$(v; v; \underline{v}) \mapsto (v; x=v; \underline{v}) \quad (57)$$

has already been obtained [27, Eq. (1.5)] as a reduction of a lattice KdV equation, together with a discrete Lax pair and a continuum limit to the full P 5. This is in agreement with the continuum limit of the hypergeometric contiguity relation, which is not the hypergeometric equation but a confluent one. Nevertheless, we do not know of a general proof of this feature.

6 On Schlesinger transformations

Up to this point we have carefully avoided using the expression Schlesinger transformation, and this is because of some discrepancy which we would want firstly, to point out, secondly, to try to correct.

The relevant items are

1. a birational transformation of some nonlinear ODE, whose definition presents no ambiguity,
2. the monodromy data, also unambiguously defined by the isomonodromic deformation of some linear ODE,
3. a Schlesinger transformation, whose present definition, recalled below, is in our opinion unsatisfactory.

A Schlesinger transformation (ST) was originally defined [28] as a discrete transformation preserving the monodromy of a given linear ODE, and Schlesinger explicitly prescribed [29, p.136] [28, p.134] that monodromy exponents should be shifted by integer values, which is a sufficient condition to preserve the monodromy data. All subsequent authors, in particular Gamier [7] and Jimbo and Miwa [30] (who created the expression Schlesinger transformation) complied with this prescription because all had in mind the isomonodromic deformations.

The discrepancy is the following. It would be nice to have a one-to-one correspondence, hence an equivalence, between the notion of birational transformation and that of Schlesinger transformation. With the present definition, this is not the case for the birational transformation (42), whose associated ane transformation (6) (7) does not obey the prescription of Schlesinger of shifts by integers and therefore does not preserve the monodromy data. A first step in this direction was recently made in Refs. [31, 32, 33], who relaxed the prescription to shifts by half-integers, so as to globally change the monodromy matrix to its opposite rather than to conserve it.

What happens here is one step beyond: the monodromy matrix is changed to its opposite, but the shifts by half-integers occur not in the space of monodromy exponents, but in the space adapted to the ane Weyl group D_4 of P_6 . Indeed, in the basis of Okamoto (58),

$$b_1 = (0 + 1) = 2; b_2 = (0 - 1) = 2; b_3 = (x - 1 - 1) = 2; b_4 = (x - 1 + 1) = 2; \quad (58)$$

the ane representation (6) becomes (when all signs are chosen equal to +1 to simplify)

$$T_6 : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ b_1 & & B_3 & \\ B & b_2 C & B & B_2 C \\ b_3 & & B_1 & A \\ b_4 & & B_4 & \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B & B_2 C & B & B_2 C \\ b_3 & & B_1 & A \\ b_4 & & B_4 & \end{pmatrix} \quad (59)$$

In the monodromy matrix, the set of four trigonometric lines in Eq. (3.19) of Ref. [10] just undergoes a global sign change.

To conclude this discussion, in order to identify Schlesinger transformation and birational transformation, at least for P_6 (we leave the full generality to mathematicians), we propose that a Schlesinger transformation be defined as any discrete transformation which either conserves or changes to its opposite the monodromy matrix, without any additional prescription on the monodromy exponents.

As a consequence of this weaker definition, the matrices M_1 and M_0 in (35) will have half-integer elements. Another consequence of practical importance is the identification, at least for the P_n equations, of the two notions Schlesinger transformation, birational transformation, since they will now have a one-to-one correspondence.

7 Conclusion

The fundamental homography between the derivative of the solution of the Painlevé equation and the Riccati pseudopotential has allowed us to define a consistent truncation. The result thus obtained for P 6 is a first degree birational transformation, and its contiguity relation defines a single nonautonomous second order difference equation. Its degeneracies under the confluence of the P n equations could provide new second order discrete equations.

As an application, one can iterate this birational transformation, starting from the two-parameter solution which Picard established for $y_j^{(0)} = 0; j = 1; 0; 1; x$; to carry it to any y_j such that $2 - j$ and j be arbitrary integers. Any iterate will be some algebraic transform of the solution of Picard.

Two important open problems remain in the domain of truncations, namely to define truncations able to provide, firstly a Lax pair of P 6, secondly a Lax pair for the discrete equations built from the Schlesinger transformation.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the financial support of the Tournesol grant T 99/040. M M acknowledges the financial support of the IUAP Contract No. P 4/08 funded by the Belgian government and the support of CEA.

8 Appendix. One-family truncation for P 6

All families of movable singularities are equivalent under homographies. The data for this unique representative family are

$$q = -6; u_0 = \frac{x(x-1)}{1}; \text{ Fuchs index } 1; \quad (60)$$

so there are nine determining equations (22) $E_j = 0; j = 0; \dots; q+2$.

The three coefficients $(A_2; A_1; A_0)$ are defined by (14),

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{U} + \frac{1}{U-1} + \frac{1}{U-x}; \quad A_1 = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x-1} + \frac{1}{U-x}; \\ A_0 &= \frac{U(U-1)(U-x)}{x^2(x-1)^2} A + B \frac{x}{U^2} + \frac{x-1}{(U-1)^2} + \frac{x(x-1)}{(U-x)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Equation $j = 0$ only depends on u_0 and its solution is (60). Equation $j = 1$ is identically satisfied, as a consequence of the value 1 of the Fuchs index. There remain seven equations (22) $j = 2; \dots; 8$, in the two unknowns $g_k(U; x); k = 1; 2$. The next two ones are

$$E_2 = (g_2 \partial_U - \partial_x) g_1 + g_1^2 + g_0^1 F_0 g_1 + g_0^2 F_1 = 0; \quad (62)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_3 &= (g_2^2 \partial_U^2 + 2g_2 \partial_U \partial_x - \partial_x^2) g_1 + (g_2^2 A_2 + g_2 A_1 - A_0 - 3g_0) \partial_U g_1 \\ &\quad + 2g_1^3 + g_0^1 F_2 g_1^2 + g_0^2 F_3 g_1 + g_0^3 F_4 = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

in which the functions F_k are differential polynomials of $(g_0; g_2; A_2; A_1; A_0)$,

$$F_0 = 2A_2 g_2 - A_1 + \frac{2+2x-6U}{3x(x-1)} g_0 + \partial_x g_0 - \partial_U (g_0 g_2); \quad (64)$$

$$F_1 = 48 \text{ terms}; \quad (65)$$

According to the conjecture of section 3, let us assume that g_2 is a second degree polynomial of U , conveniently defined as

$$g_2 = U(U-1)(U-x) \left(\frac{f_0(x)}{U} + \frac{f_1(x)}{U-1} + \frac{f_x(x)}{U-x} \right); \quad (66)$$

To take full advantage of the symmetry of P6, it is useful to also define

$$f_1 = 1 - f_0 - f_1 - f_1 : \quad (67)$$

Equation (16) then provides

$$g_0 = \frac{1}{2(x(x-1))^2} \left(\begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} f_1^2 \right) U(U-1)(U-x) + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} f_0^2 \frac{x(U-1)(U-x)}{U} \\ + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} f_1^2 \frac{(x-1)U(U-x)}{U-1} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ x \end{pmatrix} f_x^2 \frac{x(x-1)U(U-1)}{U-x} \\ + \frac{1}{x(x-1)} (f_0^0(U-1)(U-x) + f_1^0 U(U-x) + f_x^0 U(U-1)) \quad (68)$$

At this point, one could enforce the second part of the conjecture, i.e. solve the three diophantine conditions on the rational functions g_0 and g_1 of U . Let us instead proceed with the truncation.

Equation $j=2$ first provides the degrees in U of the numerator and denominator of the rational function g_1 . Indeed, the degrees in U of the polynomial coefficients of E_2 are

$$\text{degree}(U(U-1)(U-x))^2 F_0 = 10; \text{degree}(U(U-1)(U-x))^4 F_1 = 20; \quad (69)$$

therefore $U(U-1)(U-x)g_1$ is a fourth degree polynomial of U , whose convenient definition implementing the invariance of P6 is

$$g_1 = -1 \frac{U}{x(x-1)} + \frac{0}{(x-1)U} - \frac{1}{x(U-1)} + \frac{x}{U-x} + \frac{c}{x(x-1)}, \quad (70)$$

in which the c functions only depend on x . Equation $j=2$ then becomes a polynomial in U , equivalently expanded in powers of $1=U, U, U-1$, or $U-x$,

$$E_2 \sum_{k=0}^2 X^{20} E_{2;k}^{(1)} U^{20-k} - \sum_{k=0}^2 X^{20} E_{2;k}^{(0)} U^k - \sum_{k=0}^2 X^{20} E_{2;k}^{(1)} (U-1)^k - \sum_{k=0}^2 X^{20} E_{2;k}^{(x)} (U-x)^k = 0; \quad (71)$$

$$8i2 f1 ; 0; 1; xg : E_{2;k}^{(i)} = 0; \quad (72)$$

The four equations $k=0$ factorize,

$$j=2; k=0 : \begin{matrix} \begin{matrix} 8 \\ \times \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \end{matrix} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} f_1^2 \\ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{matrix} f_0^2 \\ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} f_1^2 \\ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ x \end{matrix} f_x^2 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} (f_1 + 2 - 1 - 1)^2 = 0; \\ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{matrix} (f_0 + 2 - 0)^2 = 0; \\ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} (f_1 + 2 - 1)^2 = 0; \\ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ x \end{matrix} (f_x + 2 - x)^2 = 0; \end{matrix} \quad (73)$$

thus defining four possibilities since the signs of f_j are not prescribed.

The first possibility $8i2 f1 ; 0; 1; xg : \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} f_1^2 = 0$ is ruled out since the f_1 's must remain arbitrary.

The second possibility, that one $\begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} f_1^2$ be nonzero and the three others vanish, defines four equivalent subcases, e.g.

$$f_0 = 0; f_1 = 1; f_x = x; -1 = 1 - \frac{1}{2} (1 - 0 - 1 - x + 1) : \quad (74)$$

At $j=2; k=4$, one obtains

$$j=2; k=4 : c = \frac{x+1}{3} - 1; -0(-0-0) = 0; -1(1-1) = 0; -x(x-x) = 0 : \quad (75)$$

At $j=2; k=5$, the subcase $(-0-0)(1-1)(x-x) = 0$ is ruled out and one obtains

$$12 \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{matrix} - 4 \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} = 3(1 + 0 - 1 - x + 1)^2 - (1 - 0 - 1 - x + 1)^2; \quad (76)$$

$$12 \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} - 4 \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} = 3(1 - 0 + 1 - x + 1)^2 - (1 - 0 - 1 - x + 1)^2; \quad (77)$$

$$12 \begin{matrix} 2 \\ x \end{matrix} - 4 \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} = 3(1 - 0 - 1 + x + 1)^2 - (1 - 0 - 1 - x + 1)^2; \quad (78)$$

This exhausts the equation $j = 2$. Then, the equation $j = 3$ is a polynomial of degree 3 in U , and the equation $E_{3;k}^{(0)} = 0$ provides the last information,

$$g_1 = \frac{1}{2} (g_1^2 - g_0^2 - g_1^2 - x + 1) : \quad (79)$$

Therefore, this second possibility (one f_i^2 nonzero and the three others equal to zero) defines the solution

$$g_0 = \frac{N U (U - 1) (U - x)}{u_0 x (x - 1)}; g_1 = 0; g_2 = \frac{g_0}{U} + \frac{1}{U - 1} + \frac{x - 1}{U x} \frac{g_0 u_0}{N}; u_0 = \frac{x(x - 1)}{1} \quad (80)$$

With the three choices other than (74), one would simply obtain the three solutions deduced from that one by applying to the r.h.s. of (27) the homographies $H_{badc}; H_{dcba}; H_{cdab}$, solutions characterized by the following values of g_1 ,

$$u_0 g_1 = 0; \frac{x}{U} - U; x + \frac{x(x - 1)}{U x} - U; 1 + \frac{1}{U} \frac{x}{1} - U : \quad (81)$$

The third, fourth and fifth possibilities of vanishing of the four f_i^2 are currently under examination, just to be sure that they provide no other solution.

The full results are given in the text.

References

- [1] B. Gambier, Sur les equations differentielles du second ordre et du premier degre dont l'integrale generale est a points critiques fixes, These, Paris (1909); Acta Math. 33 (1910) 1{55.
- [2] P. Painleve, Sur les equations differentielles du second ordre a points critiques fixes, C.R.Acad.Sc.Paris 143 (1906) 1111{1117.
- [3] M. Mazzocco, Rational solutions of the Painleve-VI equation, J.Phys.A 34 (2001) 2281{2294. nlin.SI/0007036.
- [4] A.S.Fokas, B.Grammaticos, and A.Ramani, From continuous to discrete Painleve equations, Journal of mathematical analysis and applications 180 (1993) 342{360.
- [5] R.Conte and M.Musette, First degree birational transformations of the Painleve equations and their contiguity relations, J.Phys.A 34 (2001) 10507{10522. nlin.SI/0110028.
- [6] R. Garnier, Sur l'existence de relations entre des fonctions contigues de Painleve, C.R.Acad.Sc.Paris 217 (1943) 60{62.
- [7] R. Garnier, Sur un theoreme de Schwarz, Comm. Math. Helv. 25 (1951) 140{172.
- [8] A.S.Fokas and Y.C.Yortsos, The transformation properties of the sixth Painleve equation and one-parameter families of solutions, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 30 (1981) 539{544.
- [9] K. Okamoto, Studies on the Painleve equations, I, Sixth Painleve equation, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 146 (1987) 337{381.
- [10] U. Mugan and A. Sakka, Schlesinger transformations for Painleve VI equation, J.Math.Phys. 36 (1995) 1284{1298.
- [11] F.W. Nijho, N. Joshi, and A. Hone, On the discrete and continuous Miura chain associated with the sixth Painleve equation, Phys. Lett. A 264 (2000) 396{406.

- [12] R. Conte, Sur les transformations de Schlesinger de la sixième equation de Painlevé, C.R.Acad.Sc.Paris 332 (2001) 501{504. [math.CA/0103165](#).
- [13] J.W. eiss, M. Tabor, and G. Carnevale, The Painlevé property for partial differential equations, J.Math.Phys. 24 (1983) 522{526.
- [14] M. M usette, Painlevé analysis for nonlinear partial differential equations, The Painlevé property, one century later, 517{572, ed. R. Conte, CRM series in mathematical physics (Springer, New York, 1999).
- [15] R. Conte, Exact solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations by singularity analysis, Direct and inverse methods in nonlinear evolution equations, 83 pages, ed. A. Greco (Springer, Berlin, 2001). [nlin-SI/0009024](#). CIME school, Cetraro, 5{12 September 1999.
- [16] P. A. Clarkson, N. Joshi, and A. Pickering, Backlund transformations for the second Painlevé hierarchy: a modified truncation approach, Inverse Problems 15 (1999) 175{187.
- [17] P. G. Ordoa, N. Joshi, and A. Pickering, Mappings preserving locations of movable poles: a new extension of the truncation method to ordinary differential equations, Nonlinearity 12 (1999) 955{968.
- [18] P. G. Ordoa, N. Joshi, and A. Pickering, Mappings preserving locations of movable poles: II. The third and fifth Painlevé equations, Nonlinearity 14 (2001) 567{582.
- [19] A. S. Fokas and M. J. Ablowitz, On a unified approach to transformations and elementary solutions of Painlevé equations, J.Math.Phys. 23 (1982) 2033{2042.
- [20] R. Fuchs, Sur quelques equations différentielles linéaires du second ordre, C.R.Acad.Sc.Paris 141 (1905) 555{558.
- [21] M. M usette and R. Conte, Algorithmic method for deriving Lax pairs from the invariant Painlevé analysis of nonlinear partial differential equations, J.Math.Phys. 32 (1991) 1450{1457.
- [22] M. M usette and R. Conte, The two-singular manifold method, I. Modified KdV and sine-Gordon equations, J.Phys.A 27 (1994) 3895{3913.
- [23] P. Painlevé, Leçons sur la théorie analytique des equations différentielles (Leçons de Stockholm, 1895) (Hermann, Paris, 1897). Reprinted, Oeuvres de Paul Painlevé, vol. I (Editions du CNRS, Paris, 1973).
- [24] V. I. Gromak and N. A. Lukashevich, The analytic solutions of the Painlevé equations, 157 pages (in Russian), (Universitetskoye Publishers, Minsk, 1990). ISBN 5{7855{0319{0.
- [25] A. N. W. Hone, Nonautonomous Henon-Heiles systems, Physica D 118 (1998) 1{16. [solv-int/9703005](#).
- [26] K. Okamoto, Isomonodromic deformation and Painlevé equations, and the Garnier system, J.Fac.Sci.Univ.Tokyo, Sect. IA 33 (1986) 575{618.
- [27] F. W. Nijho, A. Ramani, B. Grammaticos, and Y. Ohta, On discrete Painlevé equations associated with the lattice KdV systems and the Painlevé VI equation, Stud.Appl.Math. 106 (2001) 261{314. [solv-int/9812011](#).
- [28] L. Schlesinger, Über eine Klasse von Differentialsystemen beliebiger Ordnung mit festen kritischen Punkten, J.für r.und angew.Math. 141 (1912) 96{145.

- [29] L. Schlesinger, Vorlesungen über lineare Differentialgleichungen (Teubner, Leipzig und Berlin, 1908).
- [30] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, Monodromy preserving deformations of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. II, *Physica D* 2 (1981) 407{448.
- [31] P. Deift, A. R. Its, A. Kapaev, and X. Zhou, On the algebro-geometric integration of the Schlesinger equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 203 (1999) 613{633.
- [32] A. V. Kitaev and D. A. Korotkin, On solutions of the Schlesinger equations in terms of functions, 24 pages, preprint AEI-052 (January 1998). [math-ph/9810007](#).
- [33] D. A. Korotkin, N. M. Jovanović, and H. Samtleben, Schlesinger transformations for elliptic isomonodromic deformations, *J. Math. Phys.* 41 (2000) 3125{3141.