Stepw ise structure of Lyapunov spectra for m any particle system s by a random m atrix dynam ics

Tooru Taniguchi and Gary P.M orriss

School of Physics, University of New South W ales, Sydney, New South W ales 2052, Australia

(February 23**,** 2022)

The structure of Lyapunov spectra for many particle system swith a random interaction between the particles is discussed. The dynamics of the tangent space is expressed as a master equation, which leads to a form ula that connects the positive Lyapunov exponents and the time correlations of the particle interaction matrix. Applying this form ula to one and two dimensional models we investigate the stepwise structure of the Lyapunov spectra, which appear in the region of small positive Lyapunov exponents. Long range interactions lead to a clear separation of the Lyapunov spectra into a part exhibiting stepwise structure and a part changing smoothly. The part of the Lyapunov spectrum containing the stepwise structure is clearly distinguished by a wave like structure in the eigenstates of the particle interaction matrix. The two dimensional model has the same step widths as found numerically in a determ inistic chaotic system of many hard disks.

Pacs num bers: 05.45 Jn, 05.10 G g, 05.20 -y, 02.50 -r

I. IN TRODUCTION

Chaos is characterized by a rapid expansion of a sm all initial error, and the Lyapunov exponent, which is dened as the tim e averaged exponential rate of the tim e evolution of in nitesim alperturbations of the dynam ical variables, is introduced to express such characteristics quantitatively. The Lyapunov exponent is used to discuss some statistical properties of m any body systems (eg. the m ixing property). It is also known that the Lyapunov exponents are connected to the am ount of inform ation of the system (eg. the K olm ogorov-Sinai entropy), and to transport coe cients (eg. conductance and viscosity) [1{3].

In general, the Lyapunov exponent depends on the direction of the in nitesim al perturbation of the dynam icalvariables at an initial time, so we obtain a Lyapunov exponent for each independent direction in the system . The sorted set of such Lyapunov exponents, is the so called Lyapunov spectrum , and has been the subject of study in m any chaotic particle system s. For exam ple, the therm odynam ic lim it of the Lyapunov spectrum, that is, that the spectrum retains its shape as the number of particles increases, is discussed using num erical evidence [4,5], random matrix approaches [6{8], a periodic orbit approach [9] and m athem atical argum ents [10,11]. Som e works showed a linear behavior of the Lyapunov spectrum in one dimensional models with nearest neighbor interactions [4{8], although this conjecture is modied in weak chaotic system s [12,13]. The e ect of the rotational degrees of freedom of molecules on the Lyapunov spectrum was investigated in a model consisting of diatom ic molecules, which showed an explicit separation of the rotational and translational degrees of freedom if the departure from sphericity is small enough [14,15]. The Lyapunov spectra of systems in non-equilibrium steady states were investigated by Refs. [16{19] with the discovery of the conjugate pairing rule for some systems

with isokinetic therm ostats [20{23]. A voided crossings and level repulsion in the Lyapunov spectrum, similar to the behavior of the energy levels in quantum chaotic system, was discussed in a mutually coupled map system [24]. These works suggest the possibility of getting information about the system from the structure of its Lyapunov spectrum. The Lyapunov spectrum has also been of interest for the number dependence of the maximum Lyapunov exponent in particle systems [6,19,25,26], and the Kaplan-York Lyapunov dimension [27{30].

One of the characteristics of the Lyapunov spectrum, which has been shown recently in systems consisting of many hard disks, is its stepwise structure [14,15,19,31,32]. Such a stepwise structure appears in the distinct region of sm all positive Lyapunov exponents. C orresponding to this stepw ise structure are the so called Lyapunov modes, a wave like structure in the tangent space of each eigenvector of a degenerate Lyapunov exponent, that is, for each stepwise structure. An explanation of the stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum due to symmetries of the system was tried using two dimensional periodic orbit m odels [9]. On the other hand, explanations for the Lyapunov modes were suggested using a random matrix approach for a one dimensional model [33] and more recently using a kinetic theoretical approach [34]. The sm all Lyapunov exponents correspond to slow relaxation processes in the equilibrium state, so these results suggest the possibility of characterizing the macroscopic behavior in many particle systems from a m icroscopic point of view.

This paper has two main purposes. First we consider the dynamics of the tangent space in dynamical systems with a random interaction between the particles. D ifferent from the other random matrix approaches for the Lyapunov spectrum [6,8,33] which use discretized models in time, we consider the continuous dynamics in time and derive a method to calculate the Lyapunov spectrum from a master equation technique. U sing this method the Lyapunov spectrum is directly connected to the correlations of the particle interaction matrix. As the second purpose we discuss the stepwise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum using this random matrix approach. We consider one and two dimensional models satisfying the total momentum conservation with periodic boundary condition. These models show a stepwise structure of the Lyapunov spectra only in a region of sm all positive Lyapunov exponents. W e discuss the robustness of the stepwise structure against perturbations in the matrix elements of the particle interaction matrix. The long range interactions between the particles lead to a clear separation into a part of the spectrum exhibiting stepw ise structure and a part changing sm oothly with exponent number. We also nd a wave like structure in the eigenstates of the particle interaction matrix in that part of Lyapunov spectrum containing stepwise structure. As a high dimensionale ect we show that in the two dimensionalmodelwe get wider steps in the Lyapunov spectrum, such as steps consisting of 4 and 8 degenerate exponents, rather than simply 2 exponents as in the one dim ensional models. The step widths of 4 and 8 exponents are actually observed in the num erical simulation of the many hard-core disk system [31].

II. RANDOM MATRIX DYNAM ICS OF THE TANGENT VECTOR

W e consider a H am iltonian system whose state at time t is described by the 2N dimensional phase space vector (t) $(q(t);p(t))^{T}$ with the coordinate vector q(t), the momentum vector p(t) and the transpose operation T. The dynam ics of the phase space is described by the H am ilton equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} t \end{pmatrix} = J \frac{\theta H}{\theta} \begin{pmatrix} t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

with the Ham iltonian H ((t);t). Here we allow an explicit time-dependence of the Ham iltonian, and J is the 2N 2N matrix de ned by

$$J \qquad \begin{array}{c} \underline{0} \quad I \\ I \quad \underline{0} \end{array} \tag{2}$$

where I is the N N identical matrix and $\underline{0}$ is the N N null matrix. It should be noted that the matrix J satis es the conditions $J^T = J$ and $J^2 = I$ with the 2N 2N identical matrix I.

The dynamics of the tangent vector (t) as a small deviation of the phase space vector is described by the linearized equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} = JL(t)$$
(3)

of the Hamiltonian equation (1) where L (t) is de ned by

L (t)
$$\frac{(e^2 H ((t);t))}{(e^2 t)(t)(t)}$$
: (4)

The matrix L (t) is symmetric: L (t) = L (t)^T. At this point we note that an equation equivalent to Eq. (3) can also be obtained for system whose dynamics is not derivable from a Ham iltonian.

Equation (3) is form ally solved as

$$(t) = M (t; b) (b)$$
 (5)

where the matrix M $(t;t_0)$ is defined by

$$\begin{array}{c} & Z_{t} \\ M (t;t_{0}) & T \exp J & ds L(s) \\ & & t_{0} \end{array}$$
 (6)

with the positive time-ordering operator T with the latest time to the left. Equation (6) leads to

$$M (t;t_0)^{1} = \overset{!}{T} \exp J \overset{Z}{ds} L (s)$$
$$= JM (t;t_0)^{T} J;$$
(7)

with the negative tim e-ordering operator T with the latest tim e to the right, so that the H am iltonian phase volume is preserved, namely p etfM (t;t_0)gj= 1.

For simplicity, we consider the case of zero magnetic eld, so the Ham iltonian H ((t);t) is represented as H ((t);t) = $\dot{p}(t)\hat{f}=(2m) + V(q(t);t)$, where m is the mass of particle and V (q(t);t) is the potential energy at time t. In this case the matrix L (t) is given by

$$L(t) = \begin{array}{c} R(t) & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & I=m \end{array}$$
(8)

where R (t) (R (t)) is the N N symmetric matrix defined by $Q^2V(q(t);t)=Qq(t)Qq(t)$. The effects of particle interactions and xed scatterers are taken into account through the matrix R (t).

Now we consider the case where the particle interactions or collisions with xed scatterers occur random ly enough, so that the matrix elements R (t), = 1;2; ;N, = 1;2; ;N can be regarded as G aussian white random ness in the sense of

$$hR_{1}(t_1)R_{2}(t_2) = R_{1}(t_{2n-1})i = 0; \quad (9)$$

for any integer n, where we take the sum over only the permutation P_d : (1;2; ;2n) !_1;(j;; 2n;) and the bracket h i m eans the ensemble average over random processes. Here D_{jkln} is a 4-th rank tensor and is assumed to be constant in the rest of this paper. The tensor D_{jkln} satis es the condition

$$D_{\ln jk} = D_{jk\ln}; \qquad (11)$$

because the equation D $_{jkln}$ (s t) = hR_{jk} (s) R_{ln} (t)i = hR_{ln} (t) R_{jk} (s)i = D $_{lnjk}$ (s t) is satisfied at arbitrary times s and t. The symmetric property of the matrix R (t) also imposes the conditions

$$D_{jknl} = D_{kjln} = D_{jkln}$$
(12)

for the tensor D $_{jk\ln}$.

Under the G aussian white conditions (9) and (10) for the matrix R (t), the dynamics described by Eq. (3) for the tangent vector can be regarded as a stochastic process. Now we consider the description of this stochastic process by a master equation for the probability density

(;t) for the tangent vector space in which (;t)d
 is introduced as the probability of nding a tangent vector in the region (; + d). By applying the K ram ers-M oyal expansion to the dynam ics (3) with the random ness given by Eqs. (9) and (10) we obtain

$$\frac{\underline{\theta} (; t)}{\underline{\theta} t} = \frac{X^{N}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p}{m}} \frac{\underline{\theta} (; t)}{\underline{\theta} q} + \frac{X^{N}}{\prod_{i=1}^{N} X^{N}} \frac{X^{N}}{X^{N}} \frac{X^{N}}{X^{N}} \frac{1}{2} D \qquad q \quad q \quad \frac{\underline{\theta}^{2} (; t)}{\underline{\theta} p \quad \underline{\theta} p}; \quad (13)$$

Equation (13) is a master equation for the tangent vec- $= (q; p^{T}) w \pm h q \quad (q; q;$ _№;)[‡]g and tor $_{\rm N}$), especially the type of the (p; p; р equation called Fokker-P lank equation because its righthand side includes up to the second derivative of the probability density with respect to the variables. The derivation of Eq. (13) is given in Appendix A. We should notice that the rst term of the right-hand side of Eq. (13) has the same form as the corresponding term in the Liouville equation describing non-interacting particle system s, because the dynam ics of the phase space point and the tangent space point coincide in the non-interacting particle system. The characteristics of the system are introduced through the 4-th rank tensor D and a boundary condition for a solution of Eq. (13).

III.LYAPUNOV SPECTRUM IN THE RANDOM MATRIX APPROACH

In this section, using the Fokker-P lank equation (13) for the tangent vector we investigate the absolute values of the tangent vectors, whose asymptotic behaviors lead to the Lyapunov exponents.

We introduce the quantities $\binom{1}{ik}$ (t), l = 1;2;3 as

$$j_k^{(1)}$$
 (t) hqjqtit (14)

$$_{jk}^{(2)}$$
 (t) h(q p_k + q p_j)i_t=2 (15)

 $_{jk}^{(3)}$ (t) h p_j p_k i_t (16)

where the bracket h $_{\rm t}$ with the subscript t m eans the average over the probability density (;t) at time t:

h $_{t}$ i d (;t) . These quantities consist of elements of the averaged matrix h T i_t, and satisfies the condition

$$_{jk}^{(1)}(t) = _{kj}^{(1)}(t)$$
: (17)

Equation (13) connects these quantities as

$$\frac{d_{jk}^{(1)}(t)}{dt} = \frac{2}{m} \frac{2}{jk} t$$
(18)

$$\frac{d {}_{jk}^{(2)}(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{m} {}_{jk}^{(3)}(t)$$
(19)

$$\frac{d_{jk}^{(3)}(t)}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} D_{jk}^{(1)}(t)$$
(20)

where we assumed the probability density (;t) to be zero at the boundary of the tangent space, and used Eqs. (11), (12) and (17) to derive Eq. (20).

Now we introduce a 4-th rank tensor $T_{\rm jk\,ln}$ satisfying the condition

$$X^{N} \quad X^{N} \qquad T_{jk} \quad T_{nl} = j_{l \ kn}$$
(21)

so that we obtain

$$X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N}$$

 $T_{j k} D$
 $T_{n l} = _{jk j l kn}$ (22)
= 1 = 1 = 1 = 1

with the real 2nd rank tensor $_{jk}$ satisfying the condition $_{jj}$ 0 [36]. (Concerning Eqs. (21) and (22), for example, the existence of the real tensor $_{jk}$ satisfying Eq. (22) simply comes from the fact that by the condition (12) we can regard the quantity D $_{jk\ln}$ as the matrix element D $_{1}(j;n)_{2}(k;l)$ of the N 2 N 2 real symmetric matrix D (D $_{1}(j;n)_{2}(k;l)$) where $_{n} = _{n}(j;k), n = 1;2$ are functions from j 2 f1;2; ;N g and k 2 f1;2; ;N g to $_{n} 2$ f1;2; 2 AgL) W e will discuss an example of the tensors T $_{jk\ln}$ and $_{jk}$ in the next section. By using the tensor T $_{jk\ln}$ we transform the quantities $^{(1)}_{jk}$ (t) to $^{(1)}_{jk}$ (t) as

The inverse transform ation to derive the quantity $^{(1)}_{jk}$ (t) from the quantity $^{(1)}$ (t) is simply given by

noting the relation (21). Especially we should notice the relations hj q_ji_t = $P_{N}^{N} \sim {}^{(1)}_{=1}(t)$ and hj p_ji_t = $P_{N}^{N} \sim {}^{(3)}_{=1}(t)$ under the condition $P_{N}^{N} = {}^{(1)}_{=1}T_{j} = {}^{(1)}_{jk}$. W e introduce the positive (or zero) Lyapunov exponents $_{\rm i}$ as

namely as the exponential rate of the time evolution of the average of magnitude of the in nitesim aldeviation of the phase space orbit in the long time limit. As shown in Appendix B, these Lyapunov exponents are simply given by

$$j = \frac{jj}{(2m)^2}$$
 (26)

using the quantity $_{jj}$ introduced in Eq. (22). Equation (26) is the key result of this paper. This equation connects the Lyapunov exponents directly with the tensor D $_{jk\ln}$ representing the strength of correlation of the particle interactions and potentials given by xed scatterers, and also shows the fact that in the system described by the random matrix dynam ics the Lyapunov exponents are independent of the initial condition like in the determ inistic chaos. It should be noted that the Lyapunov exponents $_{j}$ given by Eq. (26) are the same with the quantities derived from the equations $\lim_{t \to 1} (2t)^{-1} \ln [~_{jj}^{(k)}(t)=~_{jj}^{(k)}(0)]; k = 2;3$ in this approach (See Appendix B.).

We sort the Lyapunov exponents $_1$, $_2$, $_N$ so that they contain an decreasing (or equal) sequence, and introduce the set f $^{[1]}$; $^{[2]}$; $^{[N]}$; $^{[2]}$; g satisfying the condition

$$f^{[1]}; {}^{[2]}; {}^{N}; g = f_{1}; 2; N; g$$
 (27)

 ${\rm T}\,{\rm his}$ sorted set of the Lyapunov exponents is called the Lyapunov spectrum .

IV . A SIM PLIFICATION

Before considering Lyapunov spectra in concrete models using the formula given in the previous section, we discuss an assumption to simplify model calculations. We consider the case where all matrix elements R_{jk} (t), j = 1;2;; N and k = 1;2;; N have the same dependence, namely

$$R_{jk}(t) = r(t)A_{jk}$$
(29)

where r(t) is a norm alized G aussian white random ness in the sense of lar(t_1))r(t_2) $_{2f_1}(t_1)i = 0$ and hr(t_1)r(t_2) $_{2f_2}(t_1 = 0 + 1)$ $_{2f_1}(t_1 = 0 + 1)$ $_{2f_2n}(t_1 = 0 + 1)$ $_{2f_2n}(t_1 = 0 + 1)$ for any integer n and A (A $_{jk}$) is a time-independent N N m atrix. The matrix A must be a symmetric matrix:

$$A_{kj} = A_{jk}; (30)$$

because the matrix R (t) is symmetric.

The assumption (29) simplies our considerations, because in this case the tensor D $_{jk \ln}$ is represented as the multiplication of the matrix element A $_{jk}$ with A $_{ln}$. Thus

$$D_{jkln} = A_{jk}A_{ln}$$
(31)

and the conditions (11) and (12) for the tensor D $_{jkln}$ are autom atically satis ed under the condition (30). The condition (30) also in plies that the matrix A is diagonalizable using an orthogonal matrix U (U $_{jk}$) satisfying U^TU = UU^T = I, namely (U^TAU)_{jk} = $a_{j jk}$ with real eigenvalues a_1 ; l = 1; 2; ;N of the matrix A. The 4-th rank tensor T $_{jkln}$ is constructed as

$$T_{jkln} = U_{kj}U_{ln}$$
(32)

which satisfies the conditions (21), (22) and $P_{N_{jk}}^{N} T_{jk} = _{jk}$. Here the 2-nd rank tensor $_{jk}$ is represented as

$$jk = a_j a_k \tag{33}$$

which satis es the conditions _{jj} 0. Equations (26) and (33) lead to the expression of the Lyapunov exponents as

$$_{j} = \frac{a_{j}}{2m}^{2=3}$$
: (34)

A fler all under the assumption (29) the calculation of the Lyapunov spectrum is attributed to the eigenvalue problem of the matrix A .

V.ONE DIM ENSIONAL MODELS AND STEPS OF THEIR LYAPUNOV SPECTRA

In this section we consider simple one dimensional models and calculate their Lyapunov spectra using the form ula given in Section III under the assumptions discussed in Section IV. We are generally interested in which ingredients of the model system lead to particular features of the Lyapunov spectra. We are especially interested in models which satisfy total momentum conservation and show stepw ise structures in their Lyapunov modes is associated with systems with a stepw ise structure of their Lyapunov spectra. Numerically the observation of Lyapunov modes is associated with systems with a stepw ise structure of their Lyapunov spectrum, such as the many hard disk mystem of Refs. [14,15,19,31,32].

W e construct a m odel consisting of N particles in a one dimensional space. In this case the o -diagonal matrix element A_{jk}; j \in k represents the strength of the interaction between the j-th particle and the k-th particle. The diagonal matrix element A_{jj} is determined by the total momentum conservation which in poses the condition

$$\begin{array}{l}
X^{N} \\
A_{jk} = 0 \\
k = 1
\end{array}$$
(35)

for the matrix A. Equation (35) is derived from Eq. (29) and the equation $\sum_{k=1}^{N} R_{jk}(t) = 0$ satisfies ed at any time t. Using the formula (34) this condition implies that the N-dimensional vector, whose components are equal, is an eigenstate of the matrix A corresponding to the eigenvalue 0, so we obtain

$$[N] = 0;$$
 (36)

namely there is a zero Lyapunov exponent corresponding to the conservation of total ${\tt m}$ om entum .

A . One dim ensionalm odelw ith a stepw ise structure of its Lyapunov spectrum

As a rst step, we consider the case where each particle interacts only with its nearest neighbor particles with the same strength of interaction. We impose periodic boundary conditions, namely that the particles are on a one dimensional ring structure. This situation is described by the matrix $A = A^{(0)}$ ($A^{(0)}_{ik}$) dened by

$$A_{jk}^{(0)} ! 2_{jk} + _{j(k+1)} + _{(j+1)k} + _{j(k N+1)} + _{k(j N+1)}$$
(37)

with a (non-zero) real constant !. We can calculate the eigenvalues of the matrix A $^{(0)}$ (See Appendix C for the calculation details.), and obtain the Lyapunov exponents $n = n^{(0)}$ as

$$n_{n}^{(0)} = \frac{j! j}{m} 1 \cos \frac{2 n}{N} = (38)$$

It is important to note that the Lyapunov exponents given by Eq. (38) satisfy the conditions $\binom{(0)}{N} = 0$ and

$$_{j}^{(0)} = _{N j}^{(0)}$$
 (39)

for j < N = 2, namely the Lyapunov spectrum has degeneracies. In other words the spectrum has a stepwise structure. The maximum Lyapunov exponent is given by $(2j! j - m)^{2=3}$ when N is even or fj! j[1 + cos(= N)]=m g²⁼³ when N is odd.

B.R obustness of the stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum to a perturbation

Next we consider the case where each particle interacts with its nearest neighbor particles by slightly di erent interaction strengths. This situation is described by the matrix $A = A^{(0)} + "A^{(1)}$ with " a small parameter and the matrix $A^{(1)}$ de ned

$$A_{jk}^{(1)} = (j + j + 1) jk + j j(k + 1) + k k(j + 1) + 1 j(k N + 1) + k(j N + 1)$$
(40)

where j, j = 1;2; ;N + 1 are real constants satisfying the condition $_{N+1} = _1$. In this case we can calculate the eigenvalues of this matrix A to rst order in the small parameter ", and obtain the Lyapunov exponents $_n = {0 \atop n}^{(0)} + {" \atop n}^{(1)} + O({"}^2)$ in the expanded form by the parameter " with the quantities

$${}_{j}^{(1)} = \frac{2 {}_{j}^{(0)}}{3!} (\sim_{0} + j \sim_{j} j)$$
(41)

$${}^{(1)}_{N j} = \frac{2 {}^{(0)}_{N j}}{3!} (\sim_0 j \sim_j j)$$
(42)

in the case of j < N = 2, where \sim_j is dened by

$$_{j} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \exp \frac{4 \text{ i } j}{N} :$$
 (43)

(See Appendix C for the derivations of Eqs. (41) and (42).) Here we numbered so that we obtain ! $\binom{(1)}{j}$ $\binom{(1)}{N}$; 0. It may be noted that the quantity \sim_0 in Eqs. (41) and (42) is simply the arithmetic average of the coe cients j, j = 1;2; ;N c = N $\binom{1}{j}$ $\binom{N}{j=1}$ j. W e also obtain $\binom{(1)}{N=2} = 2 \binom{(0)}{N=2} \sim_0 = (3!)$ in the case of the number N to be even, and $\binom{(1)}{N} = 0$ for the rst order correction to the non-degenerate Lyapunov exponents.

Equations (41) and (42) tell us about robustness of the stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum appearing in the non-perturbed system against the perturbation. To discuss this point we consider the deviation j_{j} N $_{j}$ jof the two points in the j-th step of the Lyapunov spectrum, which is given by

$$j_{j} N_{j} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{"_{j}}{!} + O("^{2})$$
 (44)

in the case of j < N = 2. Equation (44) in plies that the degeneracies, namely the stepwise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum is removed by the perturbation "A $^{(1)}$, but their deviation are small in a region of small Lyapunov exponents rather than in a region of large Lyapunov exponents, as far as the quantity \sim_j is alm ost jindependent. In other words, this consideration suggests that the stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum is robust in the region of sm all Lyapunov exponents. It should be emphasized that this is consistent with num erical results of the Lyapunov spectrum in a many hard disk model, which shows the stepwise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum only in a region of sm all Lyapunov exponents [14,15,19,31,32]. By using Eqs. (41) and (42) we can also discuss a perturbational e ect in a global shape of the Lyapunov spectrum. Eqs. (41) and (42) lead to the shift of the j-th step of the Lyapunov spectrum as

$$\frac{j+N}{2} j = \frac{2\sim_0}{3!} j + O(1)^2$$
(45)

in the case j < N = 2. Equation (45) in plies that in the case of \sim_0 "=! > 0 (\sim_0 "=! < 0) the perturbation "A⁽¹⁾ m akes the slope of the Lyapunov spectrum more (less) steep than in the non-perturbed case.



FIG.1. Lyapunov spectra of a one dimensional system with nearest neighbor interactions in the case of random ly chosen matrix elements. The inset: Enlarged graph of a small Lyapunov exponent region.

Now we discuss the robustness of the stepwise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum against perturbation in a little di erent way, in which the uctuations of the matrix elements of A are large enough so that the above rst order perturbed discussion is no longer correct. W e consider a one dimensional system described by the matrix $A = A^{(1)}$ given by Eq. (40), in which the quantities j, j = 1;2; ;N are chosen random ly from the region (a; b). Figure 1 is a Lyapunov spectrum norm alized by the maximum Lyapunov exponent in such a system consisting of 100 particles (N = 100). Here, we chose the region (a;b) as a = !=2 and b = 3!=2, and took the arithm etic average over this random ness for the normalized Lyapunov spectrum. Although the magnitude b a (= !) of uctuations in the matrix elements of the matrix A is the same scale as the averaged magnitude (a + b)=2 (= !) of the matrix elements of the matrix A, we can still recognize som e steps in the Lyapunov spectrum in the region of small Lyapunov exponents. It is interesting to note that in such a case the global shape of the Lyapunov spectrum is rather close to a straight line, like discussed in Refs. [4{8].

C.E ect of long range interactions and wave like structures in eigenstates

In this subsection we consider the e ects of long range interactions between particles on the Lyapunov spectrum. The e ect of the long range interactions between particles can be taken into account using the matrix $A = A^{[n_1]} \quad (A^{[n_1]}_{ik})$ de ned by

$$A_{jk}^{[n_{1}]} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{i_{1}} & n \\ (j_{j} + j_{j+1}) & j_{k} + j_{j} & j_{(k+1)} + k_{k} & k_{(j+1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{j=1}{+} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{j} \\ j_{N+1} & j_{(k+N-1)} + k_{k} & N_{N+1} & k_{(j+N-1)} \end{pmatrix} (46)$$

with real constants $j^{[1]}$, where $n_1 (< N = 2)$ is the length of the interactions and its value means that particles can interact with up to their n_1 -th nearest neighbor particles. As shown in Appendix D, we can obtain an analytical expression for the Lyapunov spectrum derived from the matrix (46) if all of the non-zero matrix elements $j^{[1]}$, j = 1;2;; N, l = 1;2; takenthe same value.



FIG.2. Lyapunov spectra of one dimensional system s with dierent length n_1 of long range interactions in the case of N = 100. The three graphs correspond to the cases $n_1 = 10$ (the circle dots), $n_1 = 15$ (the triangle dots) and $n_1 = 20$ (the square dots), respectively. The inset: Enlarged graphs of a sm all Lyapunov exponent region.

In this subsection we consider the case where the matrix element $\frac{1}{1}$ is chosen random ly, like in the model discussed in the end of the previous subsection. Figure 2 is the Lyapunov spectrum normalized by the maximum Lyapunov exponent in the system described by the matrix $A = A^{[n_1]}$ with the quantities $\binom{[1]}{j}$ chosen random ly from the region (!=2;3!=2) for the case of $n_1 = 10, 15$ and 20 in the system consisting of 100 particles (N = 100). (T his random ness in the quantities $\begin{bmatrix} IJ\\ i\end{bmatrix}$ is adopted to draw all of the gures hereafter in this subsection.) In these graphs we took the arithm etic average of the Lyapunov spectra over the random ness of the quantities $\prod_{i=1}^{[1]}$. This gure shows that the long range interactions separates the Lyapunov spectrum clearly into a part exhibiting stepw ise structure and a part changing sm oothly. (The random ness of the quantities $\int_{j}^{[1]}$ is not essential for this separation in the Lyapunov spectrum. It mainly plays the role of sm oothing the Lyapunov spectrum in the region of large Lyapunov exponents.) The stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum appears only in a region of sm all Lyapunov exponents, as suggested in the previous subsection.



FIG.3. Dependence of the long range interaction length n_1 on the Lyapunov exponents ^[j] in the case of N = 100. The graphs with the circle dots, the triangle dots, the square dots, the open circle dots and the open triangle dots correspond to the case of j = 99;97;95;93 and 91, respectively.

The stepwise region of the Lyapunov spectrum is smaller and smaller as the interaction length n1 of particles is longer and longer. (As a lim it of the long range interactions, as shown in Appendix D, we can easily show that in the system, where each particle interacts with all the other particles with the sam e strength, all of the posit ive Lyapunov exponents take the sam e value.) Besides, the heights of the steps of the Lyapunov spectrum are approxim ately proportional to the length n1 of the interactions. These characteristics are clearly evident in Fig. 3, showing the length n₁ dependence of the j-th positive Lyapunov exponents ^[j], j = 91;93;95;97;99 in the case of 100 particles. In these graphs we also took the arithm etic average of the Lyapunov exponents over the random ness of the quantities j. This gure shows that the values of sm all Lyapunov exponents increase with the interaction length n_1 as far as they are in the region of the steps of the Lyapunov spectrum , and if the interaction length n_1 is bigger than a critical value then their n_1 dependences are slowed down, meaning that they are in the region of the Lyapunov spectrum that is changing smoothly.

It may be interesting to investigate the di erence between the two parts of the Lyapunov spectrum from the point of view of the eigenstate. Figure 4 is the real eigenstate of the matrix $A^{[n_1]}$ in the case of $n_1 = 15$ and , Note N = 100 with random ly chosen quantities that we did not take the average over the random ness of the quantities $\frac{1}{1}$ to draw this gure.) The graphs (a), (b), (c) and (d) are the eigenstates corresponding to the Lyapunov exponents ^[j] for j = 99;98, j = 97;96, j = 93;92 and j = 91;90, respectively. These Lyapunov exponents correspond to the triangle dots surrounded by the broken lines in the inset of Fig. 2. We can see clear wave like structures of approxim ately sinusoidal type in the graphs (a) and (b), which correspond to the Lyapunov exponents composing the steps in the Lyapunov spectrum. The wavelength of the waves in the graph (b) is half of the wavelength of the waves in the graph (a).

On the other hand, we cannot recognize such a wave like structure in the graphs (c) and (d), which belongs to the Lyapunov exponents in the part of the Lyapunov spectrum which is changing sm oothly.



FIG.4. Eigenstates of matrix A $^{[n_1]}$ in the case of $n_1 = 15$ and N = 100: the graphs (a) (j = 98;99), the graph (b) (j = 96;97), the graph (c) (j = 92;93) and the graph (d) (j = 90;91) for the Lyapunov exponent $^{[j]}$. These Lyapunov exponents correspond to the triangle dots surrounded by the broken lines in the inset of Fig. 2.

It should be noted that the wave like structure of the eigenstates already appears even in the system described by the m atrix $A = A^{(0)}$ de ned by Eq. (37) with nearest neighbor interactions of a constant strength. Therefore the point is that such a wave like structure of the eigenstates is not destroyed by the long range interactions and the random interaction strengths only in the eigenstates which correspond to the small positive Lyapunov exponents in the steps of the Lyapunov spectrum.

O nem ay regard the long range interactions discussed in this subsection as a kind of high dimensional e ect, because if particles move in a two or three dimensional space then they can interact with more than two particles, even in hard-core interactions. However, some high dimensional e ects, for example, the total momentum conservation in each orthogonal direction and so on, are still missing in the discussions in this subsection. In the next section we consider high dimensional e ects more explicitly.

VI.TW O DIMENSIONAL MODEL WITH A TRIANGLE LATTICE STRUCTURE

In the one dimensional models discussed in the previous section, the steps of the Lyapunov spectrum are caused by the periodic boundary conditions, and all of them consists of 2 only points. In this section we consider a two dimensional model, and show that it is possible to get wider steps than in the one dimensional model as a high dimensionale ect. Speci cally, we concentrate on a model which has steps consisting of 4 and 8 points, which are actually found in a square system consisting of many hard disks [31].

In the two dimensional system, the position of each particle is specified by a two dimensional vector q_j , j = 1;2; N', where N' is the number of the particles and N = 2N'. In such a case the matrix A is a (2N') (2N') matrix, and can be represented as a block matrix consisting of 2 2 matrices B ^(jk), j = 1;2; N'; and k = 1;2; N'; Here B ^(jk) (B ^(jk)_{j⁰k⁰}) is given by

$$B^{(jk)} \qquad \begin{array}{c} A_{(2j \ 1)(2k \ 1)} & A_{(2j \ 1)(2k)} \\ A_{(2j)(2k \ 1)} & A_{(2j)(2k)} \end{array}$$
(47)

corresponding to the matrix $(^2V=@q_j@q_k, and its com - ponents represent the strengths of the interactions between components of positions of the j-th particle and the k-th particle. The matrix B ^(jk) is symmetric and the matrix A is also symmetric in the sense of the block matrix, namely$

$$B_{j^{0}k^{0}}^{(jk)} = B_{k^{0}j^{0}}^{(jk)} = B_{j^{0}k^{0}}^{(kj)} :$$
(48)

The diagonal block B $^{\rm (jj)}$ is determ ined by the condition of the totalm on entum conservation

$$B^{(jk)} = 0; (49)$$

which comes from Eq. (29) and the relation $P_{k=1}^{N^{*}} (e^{2}V = (e_{j})(q_{k}) = 0$ and is simply the two dimensional version of the condition (35). This condition (49) in plies that the Lyapunov exponents include at least two zero components:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{N} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{N} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \tag{50}$$

corresponding to the conservation of the total ${\tt m}$ om entum .

To construct a two dimensionalmodel it is convenient to use a lattice picture, because in the approach of this paper, under the assumption discussed in Section IV, the model is specied by pairs of interacting particles and their interaction strengths. In such a lattice, each lattice site corresponds to a particle and a connection between sites means that particles on those two sites can interact with each other as nearest neighbor particles. Now we consider an equilateral triangular lattice from such a point of view. This situation is motivated by the fact that in Ref. [31] the system consists of many disks which pack in a two dimensional space as a hexagonal close-packed structure in the high density lim it. The triangular lattice has three directions to connect the sites, and arrange the triangle lattice so that it is within a square where one of sides of the square is parallel to one of the three directions of the triangle lattice, as shown in Fig 5(a) where such parallel lines are horizontal. For sim plicity we assume that the num ber of lattice sites in each horizontal line is equal and is given by N₁ (> 1). We put the num - ber of such lines as N₂, which should be roughly given by N₂ ($2=\overline{3}$)N₁.



FIG.5. (a) The triangular lattice system with a square shape. N₁ is the number of the particles in a horizontal line, and N₂ is the number of the horizontal lines. (b) Numbering of the particles and the boundary condition in the part surrounded by the square of broken line in the gure (a).

Next, we introduce the boundary condition for this triangle lattice m odel. For this purpose we assign num bers 1;2; 1; No the lattice sites (namely particles) in the rst horizontal row of particles from left to right, and num bers $N_1 + 1; N_1 + 2;$;1211in the second row and so on until the last row numbered $(N_2 = 1)N_1 + 1; (N_2)$ $_{2}$ M₁, as shown in Fig. 5(b). We de ne $1)N_1 + 2;$ the num ber f_{2j} 1 as the num ber of the particle which do nearest neighbor interactions with the $[2(j 1)N_1 + 1]$ th particle, and the number f_{2j} as the number of the particle which do nearest-neighbor interacts with the $[2(j 1)N_1 + 1]$ -th, $[(2j 1)N_1 + 1]$ -th and $[2jN_1 + 1]$ -th particles (j = 1;2;; Int Alg). Here, Intfxg m eans to take the integer part of x for any real num ber x. W e also de ne the num bers $g_{i}^{(+)}$ and $g_{i}^{(-)}$ as the num ber of particles which do nearest neighbor interactions with the j-th particle through the upper-left line and the upperright line, respectively (j = 1;2;1;)N (See Fig. 5(b) for the de nitions of the num bers f_j and $g_j^{()}$.) The num ber fj species the interaction between the left side and the right side of the square, and the num bers $g_i^{()}$ speci es the interaction between the upper side line and the lower side of the square. In this section we consider the case that these numbers are given by

$$\mathbf{f}_{j} = j \mathbf{N}_{1} \tag{51}$$

$$g_{j}^{()} = (N_{1} \ 1)N_{2} + \min_{k2 \text{ fl};2;} \quad n_{jk}^{()}; h_{jk}^{()} > 0$$
 (52)

where $h_{ik}^{()}$ is dened by

$$h_{jk}^{()} = j$$
 Int $\frac{1}{2}$ N₂ $\frac{1}{2}$ kN₁: (53)

The boundary conditions (51) and (52) in ply that every line of the three directions in the triangle lattice is periodic. We assume the condition $g_j^{(+)} \notin g_j^{(-)}$ so that every particle has the six nearest-neighbor particles.

Before giving the matrix A of the triangle lattice model including a long range interaction, we consider the matrix $A = A_0^{\prime}$ including only the e ect of the nearest neighbor interactions of a constant strength. We dene the $2N_1 - 2N_1$ matrices C_1 , l = 1;2;3;4, each of which is a block matrix consisting of 2 - 2m atrices $C_1^{(jk)}$, j = 1;2; -1;2k = 1;2; -1;Ny

$$C_{1}^{(jk)} \qquad 6B_{jk} + B_{j(k+1)} + {}_{k(j+1)} + {}_{j(k N_{1}+1)} + {}_{k(j N_{1}+1)}$$
(54)

$$C_{2}^{(jk)} = B_{jk} + j_{(k+1)} + j_{(k-N_{1}+1)}$$
 (55)

$$C_{3}^{(jk)} = B_{jk} + {}_{k(j+1)} + {}_{k(j N_{1}+1)}$$
 (56)

$$C_{4}^{(jk)} = B_{k[g_{j}^{(+)} (N_{1} \ 1)N_{2}]} + K_{k}g_{j}^{(-)} (N_{1} \ 1)N_{2}]}$$
(57)

where B is a 2 2 m atrix. The matrix A_0 is introduced as the block matrix de ned by

with the $2N_1$ $2N_1$ null matrix $\overline{0}$, where ~ is 2 (3) if N_2 is an odd (even) number.

The matrix $A = A^{[n_1]}$ of the triangle lattice model including the e ect of the long range interactions up to the n₁-th nearest neighbor interactions is simply given as follows. We consider the matrix A_0^0 given by the matrix A_0^0 except that the matrix B in the matrix A_0^0 are replaced by the 2 2 matrices whose matrix elements are positive constants. By using such a $(2N_1N_2)$ ($2N_1N_2$) matrix A_0^0 we calculate the n₁ times multiplication $(A_0^0)^{n_1}$ of the matrix A_0^0 . The non-zero elements of the matrix $(A_0^0)^{n_1}$. A fier determining the non-null upper o -diagonal blocks B $^{(jk)}$, j < k of the matrix $A^{[n_1]}$ satisfying the condition (48) by such a process, the diagonal block B $^{(jj)}$ and the lower o -diagonal blocks B $^{(jk)}$, j > k of the matrix $A^{[n_1]}$ is symmetric and

satis es the condition (49). It should be noted that we could use a similar method to obtain the matrix A $[n_1]$ including the e ect of long range interactions in the one dimensional model in the previous section.

We restrict our consideration in the case that the 2 $2 \mod B^{(jk)}$, which is the block element of the matrix A in the two dimensional system, is diagonalized.

$$B_{12}^{(jk)} = B_{21}^{(jk)} = 0$$
(59)

T his m eans that two components of the position of each particle do not interact with each other.

Now we calculate the Lyapunov spectrum for such a matrix $K^{[n_1]}$ by using the form ula (34). Figure 6 is the Lyapunov spectrum normalized by the maximum Lyapunov exponent in such a triangle lattice system in the case of N₁ = 13, N₂ = 15 (2= 3)N₁ = 15:01110 and n₁ = 3. Here we chose the non-zero elements in the upper triangle of the matrix $K^{[n_1]}$ random ly from the positive region $(0.2 \div; 1.8 \div)$ with a (non-zero) real constant \div , and took the arithmetic average over this random ness. As in the one dimensionalm odel including the long-range interactions, the Lyapunov spectrum is separated into the part changing sm oothly and the part having a stepw ise structure, which appears in a region of sm all positive Lyapunov exponents.

A remarkable point in the two dimensional triangle lattice model, which the one dimensional models do not have, is the wide steps of the Lyapunov spectrum, especially the step consisting of 4 points and the step consisting of 8 points shown in Fig. 6. To give an explanation for these wide steps, we should notice that in this model we set-up a periodic boundary condition for each of the three directions of the triangle lattice. This boundary condition for each of the three directions can cause degeneracies in the Lyapunov spectrum like in the one dim ensional cases. Besides, in this model each site of the triangle lattice have two independent degrees of freedom corresponding to the two dimensionality of the particles, which can also cause degeneracy in the Lyapunov spectrum due to the condition (59). Therefore we can get the step consisting of 4 points corresponding to each direction of the triangle lattice. M oreover two of the three directions (namely the two directions other than the horizontal direction in Fig. 5) have the sam e num bers of the sites in them selves, so they can cause a degeneracy in the Lyapunov spectrum. A fter all we get the step consisting of 4 points corresponding to the horizontal direction, and the step consisting of 8 points corresponding to the other two directions. This explanation is partly justi ed by the fact that the Lyapunov spectrum in the case of N₁ = N₂ has the step consisting of 12 points in the Lyapunov spectrum, shown in the inset in Fig. 6, where we gave the averaged Lyapunov spectrum norm alized by the maximum Lyapunov exponent in the case of N₁ = N₂ = 14 and $n_1 = 3$. Here, except for the num bers N₁ and N₂ we used the sam e boundary condition and the sam e random ness for non-zero elements of the matrix $A^{[n_1]}$ with in the case of N₁ = 13 and N₂ = 15 in Fig. 6. This Lyapunov spectrum is shifted by 2 in the j-direction, so that the

positions of the zero Lyapunov exponents coincide with the cases of N₁ = 13 and N₂ = 15. One may also notice that the parts changing sm oothly in the Lyapunov spectrum are almost indistinguishable in both the cases in the inset of Fig. 6.



FIG.6. Lyapunov spectrum of the triangle lattice system in the case of N₁ = 13, N₂ = 15 (2= 3)N₁ and n₁ = 3 (the triangle dots). The inset: Enlarged graphs of the part including the stepw ise structures in the Lyapunov spectra in the case of N₁ = 13 and N₂ = 15 (the triangle dots) and the case of N₁ = N₂ = 14 (the circle dots) for a comparison. The Lyapunov spectrum in the case of N₁ = N₂ = 14 is shifted by 2 in the j-direction, so that the positions of the zero Lyapunov exponents coincide in both the cases.

Like in the one dimensionalm odel, longer range interactions lead to a shorter region of stepw ise structure in the Lyapunov spectrum in the two dimensional triangle lattice m odel. On the other hand, a numerical simulation of the many disk system showed that the region of the stepw ise structure depends on the aspect ratio of the rectangular system [31]. This fact suggests that the long range interactions in the random matrix approach should depend on the aspect ratio of the rectangular system.

It should be emphasized that such wide step consisting of 4 points or 8 points are actually found in the two dimensional deterministic chaotic system consisting of m any hard-core disks num erically [31]. Beside, we should also notice that in Fig. 6 (and Fig. 2) a warp of the Lyapunov spectrum appears in a region of large Lyapunov exponents, which is also a characteristic of the system consisting of many hard-core disks. However there are som e points which the triangle lattice m odel in this section cannot give enough explanation when com pared with the num erically observed features of the Lyapunov spectrum for the determ inistic two dimensional hard disk system . First, in the num erical simulation of the hard-disk system each particle can interact with alm ost any other particle in the long time limit. This should correspond N_1N_2 in the triangle lattice to a big number of n_1 model, but if we adopt such large number for n_1 then the stepw ise structure disappears in the triangle lattice model. In this sense, the model in this section may correspond to the high density case, in which each particle

m ainly interacts with only a few particles surrounding it. Second, in the hard-disk system with a square shape the stepwise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum seem sto be a repetition of the step consisting of 4 points and the step consisting of 8 points. On the other hand, in the triangle lattice m odel such a repetition of steps cannot be guaranteed. As the third point, in the triangle lattice m odel in this section we adopt the boundary condition to m ake each of the three directions of the triangle lattice periodically, rather than the periodic boundary condition to m ake the up-side (the left-side) and the down-side (the right-side) of the square equivalently, which was adopted in the num erical simulation of the hard-disk system.

VII.CONCLUSION AND REMARKS

In this paper we have discussed the Lyapunov spectrum in many particle systems described by a random matrix dynamics. We started from the many particle Ham iltonian mechanics without a magnetic eld, and introduced Gaussian white random interactions between the particles. In such a system the dynamics of the tangent space is expressed by a Fokker-P lanck equation, which leads to a direct connection between the positive (and zero) Lyapunov exponents and the time correlation of the matrix specifying the particles interactions. Using this form ula, we calculated concretely the Lyapunov spectra in one and two dimensionalm odels satisfying the total momentum conservation with periodic boundary conditions. These models show a stepwise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum in the region of small positive Lyapunov exponents, which is robust to a perturbation in matrix elements of the particle interaction matrix. The long range interactions between the particles lead to a clear separation into a part exhibiting stepw ise structure and a part changing sm oothly. The part of the Lyapunov spectrum containing stepw ise structure is clearly distinquished by a wave like structure in the eigenstates of the particle interaction m atrix. In the two dim ensionalm odel we got wider steps in the Lyapunov spectrum than in the one dim ensionalm odels, especially the steps consisting of 4 points and the steps consisting of 8 points. These wide steps in the Lyapunov spectrum have already been shown num erically in a determ inistic chaotic system consisting ofm any hard-core disks.

O ne of the important simpli cations in this random m atrix approach is that in this approach we do not have to refer to the phase space dynam ics in order to determine the tangent space dynam ics any more. In general, the m atrix R (t) appearing in Eq. (8) can depend on the phase space dynam ics, so this kind of separation of the phase space dynam ics and the tangent space dynam ics does not be allowed in deterministic chaotic system s.

A sem phasized in the discussion of the two dimensional model, a lattice picture is useful to make a model in the random matrix approach. Concerning this point it may be interesting to note that som e works for the Lyapunov spectra for many particle systems indicated a similarity between solid state phenomena and the behavior of the Lyapunov spectra for many particle systems in a uid phase [16,37].

W e have regarded the random m atrix dynam ics in this paper as an imitation of the determ inistic chaotic dynam ics, and reproduced som e characteristics of chaotic system s, especially the stepwise structure of the Lyapunov spectra. However we must not forget that there are some di erences between the chaotic dynam ics and the random matrix dynamics in this paper. For example, in the random matrix dynamics the movem ent of particles are not determ inistic but stochastic, so that the zero Lyapunov exponents arising from the initial in nitesim al perturbation along the orbit in the determ inistic chaos do not appear in the random matrix dynamics. (Note that we got only the zero Lyapunov exponents corresponding to the total momentum conservation in the models discussed in this paper.) We should also mention that in the random matrix approach there is an additional statistical average over the random ness of the interactions of particles, which does not exist in the determ inistic dynamics. This causes vagueness in the de nition of the Lyapunov exponents. In this paper we introduced the Lyapunov exponent as the time average of the logarithm of the random ness-averaged time evolution of a neighboring trajectory. This de nition allows us to get a simple connection between the Lyapunov exponents and the time correlation of the interaction matrix as shown in Eq. (26). However this de nition of the Lyapunov exponent is not proper to discuss the negative Lyapunov exponents and hence the pairing rule for the Lyapunov spectrum. On the other hand, we could adopt the de nition of the Lyapunov exponent as the time average of the random ness-average of the logarithm of time evolution of a neighboring trajectory. This de nition should be proper to discuss the negative Lyapunov exponents. The comparison of these two de nitions of the Lyapunov exponent is an unsettled problem .

One may regard the random matrix approach using the master equation in this paper as one of the few analytical approaches to calculate the Lyapunov exponents. The other statistical and analytical approach for the Lyapunov exponents is the kinetic theoretical approach of Refs. [38,39]. In this approach the positive Lyapunov exponents are calculated using a Lorentz-Boltzm ann equation, while the negative Lyapunov exponents are calculated using an "anti-Lorentz-Boltzm ann equation" where the collision operator has the opposite sign to the ordinary Lorentz-Boltzm ann equation. How ever, so far this kinetic theoretical approach can only provide the maximum Lyapunov exponent and the Kolm ogorov-Sinaientropy for dilute gases.

To improve the random matrix approach used in this paper it is essential to know the statistical inform ation for the interaction matrix R (t). It has already been shown num erically that the time average of the matrix R (t) is almost null in the system consisting of many hard-core disks [40]. This result justi as the condition (9) in the case of n = 1. A similar investigation of the correlation of the matrix R (t) in deterministic many particle chaotic system s is one of the important future problem s.

A cknow ledgm ents

One of the authors (T.T.) wishes to thank C.P. Dettm ann for helpful discussions.

APPENDIX A: MASTER EQUATION FOR THE TANGENT SPACE

In this appendix we derive the Fokker-P lank equation (13) for the tangent vector space. Using the K ram ers-M oyal expansion the dynam ics of the probability density (;t) is given by

$$\frac{\underline{\theta} (; t)}{\underline{\theta}t} = \frac{x^{i}}{\sum_{n=1}^{n} \frac{x^{i}}{j_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{n} \frac{x^{i}}{j_{n}} \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{n} \frac{x^{i}}{j_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{n} \frac{x^{i}}{j_{2}} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{n} \frac{x^{i}}{j_{2}}$$

where " (;t) is de ned by

; (t) is the j-th component of the tangent vector and (t) [35].

It follows from Eqs. (2), (5), (6) and (8) that

JII)(

and

Τ̈́ı

$$JL (n)JL (n 1) JL () JL () JL (n 1) JL () JL (n 1) JL (n 1$$

where
$$\binom{(j)}{1}$$
, $j = 1;2$ are de ned by
 $\binom{(1)}{1}$ R ($_{21 1}$)R ($_{21 3}$) R) \neq m¹ (A.5)

$$^{(2)}_{1}$$
 R($_{21}$)R($_{21}_{2}$) \mathbb{R}) $\notin m^{\perp}$: (A.6)

By using Eqs. (9), (10), (A 2), (A 3) and (A 4) we obtain

⁽¹⁾ (;t)
(
$$_{1}^{(1)}$$
 (;t); $_{2}^{(1)}$ (;t); $_{2N'}^{(1)}$ (;t)^T
= $\lim_{s! \ 0} \frac{1}{s} \begin{bmatrix} (t+s) & (t) \end{bmatrix}_{(t)=}$
= $\lim_{s! \ 0} \frac{1}{s} \begin{bmatrix} t+s \\ t \end{bmatrix}_{t} d hJL ()i$
= (p; p N; p_{2} N; p_{1} ; p_{2} , T ; p_{2} (A.7)

where $^{(2)}$ (q) ($^{(2)}_{jk}$ (q)) is dened by

$$_{jk}^{(2)}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N^{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{N^{N}} D_{jk} q q:$$
 (A.9)

Here the only non zero contributions come from the n = 1 term of Eq. (A.3). For general n, the number of delta functions from Eq. (10) must be only one less than the number of time integrals, to give a non zero contribution. It is straightforward to show that this never happens for n > 1. The term s including $\binom{(n)}{j_1 j_2} (j_2 ; t), n = 3;4;$ in the right-hand side of Eq. (A.1) are negligible because of the G aussian white properties (9) and (10) of the random matrix R (t). U sing this fact and Eqs. (12), (A.1), (A.7) and (A.8) we obtain the Fokker-P lanck equation (13).

APPENDIX B:LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS IN THE RANDOM MATRIX APPROACH

In this appendix we derive the expression (26) for the Lyapunov exponents from the de nition (25). Transforming Eqs. (18), (19) and (20) into the equations for the quantities $^{(1)}_{jk}$ (t) we obtain

$$\frac{d^{2} dt^{(1)}(t)}{dt} = \frac{2}{m} dt^{2} dt^{(2)}(t)$$
(B.1)

$$\frac{d_{jk}^{(2)}(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{jk}^{(3)}(t)$$
(B.2)

$$\frac{d^{\binom{3}{jk}}(t)}{dt} = \begin{cases} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \\ = 1 \\ = 1 \\ = 1 \\ = 1 \\ = 1 \\ = 1 \\ = 1 \\ = 1 \\ = 1 \\ = 1 \\ X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \\ = \begin{cases} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \\ = 1 \\ = 1 \\ X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \\ = 1 \\ X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \\ = 1 \\ = 1 \\ X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \\ = 1 \\ X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \\ = 1 \\ X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \\ = 1 \\ X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \\ = 1 \\ X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \\ = 1 \\ X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \\ = 1 \\ X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \\ = 1 \\ X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \\ = 1 \\ X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \\ = 1 \\ X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \\ = 1 \\ X^{N} \\ = 1 \\ X^{N} X^{N}$$

where we used Eqs. (12), (21), (22) and (23). Equations (B.1), (B.2) and (B.3) lead to

$$\frac{d^{3} \sim {}^{(1)}_{jj}(t)}{dt^{3}} = \frac{2}{m^{2}} \sum_{jj}^{(1)} {}^{(1)}_{jj}(t)$$
(B.4)

$$\frac{d^2 \sim {}^{(1)}_{jj}(t)}{dt^2} = \frac{2}{m^2} \sim {}^{(3)}_{jj}(t)$$
(B.5)

$$\frac{d^{-1}_{jj}(t)}{dt} = \frac{2}{m} \sum_{jj}^{(2)} (t):$$
 (B.6)

It is noted that Eq. (B .4) is the di erential equation only for the quantity ${}^{(1)}_{jj}$ (t).

If the quantity $_{jj}$ is zero, then the quantity $_{jj}^{(1)}$ (t) is a bilinear function of time t so that the Lyapunov exponent de ned by Eq. (25) gives zero, namely Eq. (26) is correct in this case. In the case of $_{jj} \in 0$, noting that the functions exp [(2 $_{jj}=m^2)^{1=3}t \exp(2 ki=3)$], k = 0;1;2are special solutions of Eq. (B.4), we obtain the general solution

$$\sum_{jj}^{(1)} (t) = \int_{j}^{(1)} \exp (K_{j}t)$$

$$+ \operatorname{Re} \int_{j}^{(2)} i \int_{j}^{(3)} \exp K_{j}t \exp \frac{2}{3} i$$

$$= \int_{m}^{(1)} \exp (K_{j}t)$$

$$+ \int_{j}^{(2)} \cos \frac{2}{3} K_{j}t + \int_{j}^{(3)} \sin \frac{2}{3} K_{j}t$$

$$+ \int_{j}^{(2)} \cos \frac{1}{2} K_{j}t$$

$$(B.7)$$

of Eq. (B.4) for the real function ${}^{\sim (1)}_{jk}$ (t) where K $_j$ is dened by

$$K_{j} = \frac{2_{jj}}{m^{2}}^{1=3}$$
: (B.8)

Here $\binom{(k)}{j}$, k = 1;2;3 are real constants and are connected to the initial condition as

$${}^{(1)}_{j} = \frac{1}{3} \stackrel{\sim}{}^{(1)}_{jj} (0) + \frac{2 \stackrel{\sim}{}^{(2)}_{jj} (0)}{m K_{j}} + \frac{2 \stackrel{\sim}{}^{(3)}_{jj} (0)}{(m K_{j})^{2}}$$
(B.9)

$${}^{(2)}_{j} = \frac{2}{3} {}^{\sim (1)}_{jj} (0) \quad \frac{{}^{\sim (2)}_{jj} (0)}{m K_{j}} \quad \frac{{}^{\sim (3)}_{jj} (0)}{(m K_{j})^{2}} \qquad (B \ 10)$$

$$_{j}^{(3)} = \frac{2}{m K_{j}} \frac{m}{3} - \frac{m}{jj}^{(2)}(0) - \frac{m K_{j}}{m K_{j}}^{(3)}(0)$$
 (B.11)

by using Eqs. (B.5), (B.6) and (B.7). By substituting Eq. (B.7) into Eq. (25) we obtain

$$j = \frac{K_j}{2} = \frac{jj}{(2m)^2}$$
; (B.12)

namely Eq. (26).

Equations (B.5) and (B.6) in ply that the quantities $^{(2)}_{jj}$ (t) and $^{(3)}_{jj}$ (t) are given by taking the rst and second derivative of the quantity $^{(1)}_{jj}$ (t) with respect to the time t, respectively. This fact leads to the relation

$$\lim_{t! = 1} \frac{1}{2t} \ln \frac{\begin{pmatrix} -i \\ j \\ j \\ -i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} -i \\ j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} = \lim_{t! = 1} \frac{1}{2t} \ln \frac{\begin{pmatrix} -i \\ j \\ -i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} -i \\ j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}$$
(B.13)

$$= \lim_{t! 1} \frac{1}{2t} \ln \frac{\sum_{jj}^{(3)}(t)}{\sum_{jj}^{(3)}(0)}$$
 (B.14)

Namely we get the same Lyapunov exponents as in Eq. (25) through the equations $\lim_{t! \to 1} (2t)^{-1} \ln \left[\sum_{jj}^{(k)} (t) \right] = \sum_{jj}^{(k)} (0)$, k = 2;3.

A PPENDIX C:LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS FOR ONE DIMENSIONAL MODELS WITH THE NEAREST NEIGHBOR INTERACTIONS

In this appendix we give the derivation of Eqs. (38) and (39) from Eqs. (34) and (37). We also show Eqs. (41) and (42) in the case of $A = A^{(0)} + "A^{(1)}$.

We de ne the discretized Fourier-transform matrix F (F $_{\rm jk})$ by

$$F_{kl} = \frac{1}{N} \exp 2 i \frac{kl}{N}$$
; (C.1)

which is an unitary matrix: $F^{y}F = FF^{y} = I$ with the superscript y representing the H erm it ian conjugate of the matrix.

First, it follows from Eqs. (37) and (C.1) that

$$\begin{array}{l} X^{N} & A_{j}^{(0)} F_{k} \\ = 1 \\ = \frac{p!}{N} & 2 \exp(2 i j k = N) \end{array}$$

$$+ {}_{N j j2} \exp(2 i(j 1)k=N) + {}_{(N 1)j j1} \exp(2 i(j+1)k=N) + {}_{N j jN} \exp(2 i(j+1)k=N) + {}_{1 j j1} \exp(2 i(j+N 1)k=N) + {}_{1 j j1} \exp(2 i(j+N 1)k=N) = a_{k}^{(0)}F_{jk}$$
 (C 2)

where $_{jk}$ is de ned by

and satis es the relations $_{jk \ kj} = _{jk}$ and $_{N \ n \ nl} + _{(l \ 1)n \ nl} = 1$ for any integer l2 f2;3; ;N] and any integer n2 f1;2; ;N g. Here the k-th eigenvalue and the matrix A ⁽⁰⁾ is given by

$$a_k^{(0)} = 2! \ 1 \ \cos\frac{2 k}{N}$$
 : (C.4)

By using the formula (34) for the eigenvalues $a_j = a_j^{(0)}$ given by Eq. (C.4) we obtain Eqs. (38). The eigenvalues of the matrix A ⁽⁰⁾ have degeneracies because of the relation $a_N^{(0)}_{j} = a_j^{(0)}$ in j < N =2, so we obtain Eq. (39).

Equation (C 2) in plies that the matrix $A^{(0)}$ is diagonalized by using the matrix F: $(F^{y}A^{(0)}F)_{jk} = a_{j}^{(0)}_{jk}$. The eigenvectors $x_{j}^{(0)}$ of the matrix $A^{(0)}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}^{(0)}$ is represented as

$$x_{j}^{(0)} = (F_{1j}; F_{2j}; N; p)^{T};$$
 (C 5)

so that we have the relation A ${}^{(0)}x_n^{(0)} = a_j^{(0)}x_n^{(0)}$. This set of eigenvectors satis es the completeness condition and is normalized, namely $P_{i=1}^{P} x^{(0)}x^{(0)y} = I$ and $x_j^{(0)y}x_k^{(0)} = _{jk}$.

Second, we expand the j-th eigenvalue a_j of the matrix $A = A^{(0)} + "A^{(1)}$ in the small parameter ", namely $a_j = a_j^{(0)} + "a_j^{(1)} + ...$, and consider the storder correction $"a_j^{(1)}$ by using the well-known perturbation theory for a degenerate system . We introduce the eigenstate x_j of the matrix A corresponding to the eigenvalue a_j , and expands it with the complete set $fx_1^{(0)}g_1$ of the vectors:

$$x_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{i}} c_{j} x^{(0)}$$
 (C.6)

with the constant $c_j = x^{(0)y}x_j$. The relation $Ax_j = a_jx_j$ is translated into the equation

$$X^{N} = 1^{(0)} (a_{k}^{(0)} = a_{j})_{k} + W_{k} c_{j} = 0$$
 (C.7)

for the coe cients fc $_{jk}g_{jk}$ and the eigenvalue a_j with the quantity

$$W_{jk} = x_j^{(0)y} A^{(1)} x_k^{(0)}$$
: (C.8)

W e expand the coe cient c $_{jk}$ by the sm all param eter ": $c_{jk} = c_{jk}^{(0)} + "c_{jk}^{(1)} +$ der corrections " $a_j^{(1)}$ and " $a_N^{(1)}_j$ of the eigenvalues a_j and a_N i, respectively, which have a degeneracy in the 0-th order of the parameter ". For this purpose, instead of the coe cients fc jkgj;k appearing in Eq. (C.7) it is enough to consider the 0-th order coe cients fc $_{jk}^{(0)}$ g_{jk}, which are zero except for $c_{jj}^{(0)}$, $c_{jN}^{(0)}$, $c_{N}^{(0)}$, $c_{N}^{(0)}$, and $c_{N}^{(0)}$, j. This leads to the equation

Det
$$a^{(1)} + W_{jj}$$
 $W_{j(N j)}$ = 0 (C.9)
 $W_{(N j)j}$ $a^{(1)} + W_{(N j)(N j)}$

for $a^{(1)}$, whose solutions give the eigenvalues $a_1^{(1)}$ and $a_{N}^{(1)}$; We can solve Eq. (C.9) and obtain

$$a^{(1)} = \frac{W_{jj} + W_{(N-j)(N-j)}}{s} \frac{2}{2}$$

$$\frac{W_{jj} - W_{(N-j)(N-j)}}{2} + W_{j(N-j)}^{2};$$
(C.10)

noting the relation W $_{kj}$ = W $_{jk}$ with the superscript representing the com plex conjugate of the com plex num ber. The eigenvalue $a_N^{(0)}$ has no degeneracy so we sim ply have $a_N^{(1)} = W_{NN}$. If the number N is even, then the eigenvalue $a_{N=2}^{(0)}$ has no degeneracy, so we also have $a_{N=2}^{(1)} = W_{(N=2)(N=2)}$ in this case.

By using Eqs. (40), (C 1), (C 5) and (C 8) we obtain

E specially we derive

$$W_{kk} = jl \exp(2 ik=N)j^{2} \sim_{0}$$
$$= \frac{\sim_{0}}{l} a_{k}^{(0)} = W_{(N-k)(N-k)}$$
(C.12)

 $W_{k(N_k)} = [1 \exp(2 ik = N)]^2 \sim_k$ (C.13)

from Eqs. (43), (C.4) and (C.11). By substituting Eqs. (C.12) and (C.13) into Eq. (C.10) we obtain

$$a_{j}^{(1)} = \frac{a_{j}^{(0)}}{!} (\sim_{0} + j \sim_{j} j)$$
 (C.14)

$$a_{N_{j}}^{(1)} = \frac{a_{N_{j}}^{(0)}}{!} (\sim_{0} j \sim_{j})$$
 (C 15)

in the case of j < N = 2, where we used the relation $y_{j(\mathbb{N} \ j)} = j_{ja_j}a_j^{(0)} = ! j and num bered so that we obtain$ $(a_j^{(1)} a_N^{(1)})! = a_j^{(0)}$ 0. By using Eq. (C 12) we also obtain $a_{N=2}^{(1)} = \sim_0 a_{N=2}^{(0)} = !$ in the case of the num ber N to . Now we calculate the rst or-be even, and $a_N^{(1)} = 0$ for the rst order correction to the non-degenerate eigenvalues of the matrix A⁽⁰⁾. By applying the form ula (34) to the case of $a_j = a_j^{(0)} + a_j^{(1)} + 0$ ("²) with the quantity $a_{j}^{(1)}$ given by Eq. (C 14) or (C 15), we obtain Eqs. (41) and (42).

APPENDIX D:LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS FOR ONE DIMENSIONAL MODELS WITH LONG RANGE INTERACTIONS OF A FIXED STRENGTH

In this appendix we calculate the Lyapunov spectra for the systems with long range interactions of a xed strength.

First we consider the case described by the matrix $A = A^{[n_1]}$ ($A^{[n_1]}_{ik}$) de ned by

$$A_{jk}^{[n_{1}]} = 2n_{1}! \quad j_{k} + ! \quad j_{(k+1)} + k_{(j+1)}$$

$$l = 1$$

$$+ j_{(k+N-1)} + k_{(j+N-1)} \quad (D 1)$$

with $n_1 < N = 2$ and a (non-zero) real constant !. It should be noted that the matrix $A^{[n_1]}$ given by Eq. (46) is attributed into this matrix $A^{[n_1]}$ in the case of ${}^{[1]}_{i}$ = !. The matrix A ${}^{[n_1]}$ is diagonalized by the matrix F de ned by Eq. (C.1), and we obtain the relation (F ${}^{y}A_{P} \stackrel{[n_{1}]}{}_{p}F)_{jk} = a_{k}^{[n_{1}]}_{jk}$ with the eigenvalue $a_{j}^{[n_{1}]}$ 2! $[n_{1} \quad \frac{1}{l=1} \cos (2 \quad j \models N)]$: By applying the formula (34) to the eigenvalue $a_i = a_i^{[n_1]}$ we obtain the Lyapunov exponent

$$j = \frac{!}{m} \prod_{l=1}^{2=3} n_{1} \prod_{l=1}^{X^{n_{1}}} \cos \frac{2 j l}{N} : (D 2)$$

The Lyapunov exponents given by Eq. (D 2) satis es the relation $j = N_j$ in j < N = 2, so the Lyapunov spectrum of this system has a stepwise structure.

Second we consider the case that each particle interacts with all the other particles with the sam e strength. This is described by the matrix $A = A^{[N=2]}$ ($A^{[N=2]}_{ik}$), which is de ned by

$$A_{jk}^{N=2}$$
 2! (1 N _{jk}); (D .3)

namely the matrix whose o -diagonal elements are non-zero and equal with each other. The matrix (D .3) is diagonalized as

$$(F^{Y}A^{N=2}F)_{jk} = 2N! (1_{jN})_{jk}$$
 (D.4)

by using the matrix F de ned by Eq. (C 1), so the eigenvalues of the matrix $A^{\mathbb{N}=2]}$ are 2N ! and 0. By substituting the eigenvalues of the matrix $A = A^{\mathbb{N}=2]}$ in the form ula (34) we obtain the Lyapunov spectrum as

. .

namely the shape of positive Lyapunov spectrum in this system is just in a straight horizontal line. It should be noted that the quantity ! may depend on the number N of the particles in general. Therefore the consideration in this appendix is not enough to discuss the particle number dependence of the maximum Lyapunov exponent.

- D.J.Evans and G.P.M orriss, Statistical mechanics of non-equilibrium liquids (A cademic, London, 1990).
- [2] P.G aspard, Chaos, scattering and statistical mechanics (C am bridge U niversity press, 1998).
- [3] J.R. D orfm an, An introduction to chaos in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics (C am bridge U niversity press, 1999).
- [4] R. Livi, A. Politi, and S. Ru o, J. Phys. A 19, 2033 (1986).
- [5] R. Livi, A. Politi, S. Ru o, and A. Vulpiani, J. Stat. Phys. 46, 147 (1987).
- [6] G.Paladin and A.Vulpiani, J.Phys. A 19, 1881 (1986).
- [7] C.M.Newman,Commun.Math.Phys.103,121 (1986).
- [8] J. P. Eckm ann and C. E. W ayne, J. Stat. Phys. 50, 853 (1988).
- [9] T. Taniguchi, C. P. Dettm ann, and G. P. Morriss (unpublished).
- [10] D.Ruelle, Commun.Math.Phys.87, 287 (1982).
- [11] Ya.G. Sinai, Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos, 6, 1137 (1996).
- [12] Y.Y.Yam aguchi, J.Phys. A 31, 195 (1998).
- [13] M.M. Sano and K.K itahara, Phys. Rev. E 64, 056111 (2001).
- [14] Lj. M ilanovic, H. A. Posch, and W m. G. Hoover, M ol. Phys. 95, 281 (1998).

- [15] Lj.M ilanovic, H.A.Posch, and W m.G.Hoover, Chaos 8,455 (1998).
- [16] H.A. Posch and W.G. Hoover, Phys. Rev. A 38, 473 (1988).
- [17] G.P.Morriss, Phys. Rev. A 37, 2118 (1988).
- [18] G.P.Morriss, Phys. Lett. A 134, 307 (1989).
- [19] Ch.Dellago, H.A. Posch, and W.G. Hoover, Phys. Rev. E 53, 1485 (1996).
- [20] D. J. Evans, E. G. D. Cohen, and G. P. Morriss, Phys. Rev. A 42, 5990 (1990).
- [21] S. Sam an, D. J. Evans, and G. P. Morriss, Phys. Rev. A 45, 2233 (1992).
- [22] C. P. Dettm ann and G. P. Morriss, Phys. Rev. E 53, R5545 (1996).
- [23] G.P.Morriss, Phys. Rev. E (to be published).
- [24] V.Ahlers, R.Zillmer, and A.Pikovsky, Phys. Rev. E 63, 036213 (2001).
- [25] D. J. Searles, D. J. Evans, and D. J. Isbister, Physica A 240, 96 (1997).
- [26] R. van Zon, H. van Beijeren, and Ch.Dellago, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2035 (1998).
- [27] R. Grappin and J. Leorat, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 1100 (1987).
- [28] W.G.Hoover and H.A.Posch, Phys. Rev. E 49, 1913 (1994).
- [29] C.Wagner, J.Stat. Phys. 98, 723 (2000).
- [30] D. J. Evans, E. G. D. Cohen, D. J. Searles, and F. Bonetto, J. Stat. Phys. 101, 17 (2000).
- [31] H.A. Posch and R.Hirschl, in Hard ball systems and the Lorentz gas, edited by D.Szasz (Springer, Berlin, 2000), p.279.
- [32] S. M CN am ara and M. M areschal, Phys. Rev. E 63, 061306 (2001).
- [33] J.-P.Eckm ann and O.G at, J.Stat.Phys.98, 775 (2000).
- [34] S. M CN am ara and M. M areschal, Phys. Rev. E 64, 051103 (2001).
- [35] H.R isken, The Fokker-P lanck equation : m ethods of solution and applications (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1989).
- [36] Equation (22) is corresponding to the diagonalization of the matrix $\[mathbb{m}]$ $\lim_{t! + 1} \[mathbb{M}] (t)^T M (t) \]^{1=t}$ in calculation of the Lyapunov exponents introduced as the eigenvalues of the matrix (ln $\)=2$ in a determ inistic chaos.
- [37] W.G.Hoover and H.A.Posch, J.Chem. Phys. 87, 6665 (1987).
- [38] H. van Beijeren and J.R. Dorfm an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4412 (1995).
- [39] H. van Beijeren, A. Latz, and J.R. D orfm an, Phys. Rev. E 57, 4077 (1998).
- [40] G.P.M orriss (unpublished).