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#### Abstract

$T$ he structure of Lyapunov spectra for $m$ any particle system $s w$ ith a random interaction betw een the particles is discussed. The dynam ics of the tangent space is expressed as a m aster equation, which leads to a form ula that connects the positive Lyapunov exponents and the tim e correlations of the particle interaction $m$ atrix. Applying this form ula to one and two dim ensional models we investigate the stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectra, which appear in the region of sm all positive Lyapunov exponents. Long range interactions lead to a clear separation of the Lyapunov spectra into a part exhibiting stepw ise structure and a part changing sm oothly. T he part of the Lyapunov spectrum contain ing the stepw ise structure is clearly distinguished by a w ave like structure in the eigenstates of the particle interaction $m$ atrix. T he tw o dim ensionalm odel has the sam e step $w$ idths as found num erically in a determ in istic chaotic system of $m$ any hard disks.
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## I. IN TRODUCTION

C haos is characterized by a rapid expansion of a sm all initial error, and the Lyapunov exponent, which is dened as the tim e averaged exponential rate of the tim e evolution of in nitesim alperturbations of the dynam ical variables, is introduced to express such characteristics quantitatively. The Lyapunov exponent is used to discuss som e statistical properties of $m$ any body system $s$ (eg. the $m$ ixing property). It is also known that the Lyapunov exponents are connected to the am ount of inform ation of the system (eg. the K olm ogorov-Sinaientropy), and to transport coe cients (eg. conductance and viscosity) [7[3].

In general, the Lyapunov exponent depends on the direction of the in nitesim al perturbation of the dynam ical variables at an intialtim e, so we obtain a Lyapunov exponent for each independent direction in the system. The sorted set of such Lyapunov exponents, is the so called Lyapunov spectrum, and has been the sub ject of study in $m$ any chaotic particle system s . For exam ple, the therm odynam ic lim it of the Lyapunov spectrum, that is, that the spectrum retains its shape as the number of particles increases, is discussed using num erical evidence [4, [5], random m atrix approaches (6 [8], a periodic orbit approach [9] and $m$ athem atical argum ents [10,11]. Som e works showed a linear behavior of the Lyapunov spectrum in one dim ensional models w ith nearest neighbor interactions [4] [8], although this con jecture is modi ed in weak chaotic system s [12, 13]. The e ect of the rotational degrees of freedom of $m$ olecules on the Lyapunov spectrum was investigated in a model consisting of diatom ic m olecules, which show ed an explicit separation of the rotational and translational degrees of freedom if the departure from sphericity is sm all enough 14, 15]. The Lyapunov spectra of system $s$ in non-equilibrium steady states were investigated by Refs. 16;19] w ith the discovery of the conjugate pairing rule for some system $s$
w ith isokinetic them ostats 20 [23]. A voided crossings and level repulsion in the Lyapunov spectrum, sim ilar to the behavior of the energy levels in quantum chaotic system, was discussed in a m utually coupled $m$ ap system 24]. T hese works suggest the possibility of getting inform ation about the system from the structure of its Lyapunov spectrum. The Lyapunov spectrum has also been of interest for the num ber dependence of the $m$ axim um Lyapunov exponent in particle system s [ 6 , 19, 25, 26], and the K aplan-Y ork Lyapunov dim ension 27, 30].

O ne of the characteristics of the Lyapunov spectrum, which has been shown recently in system sconsisting of many hard disks, is its stepw ise structure 14, 15, 19, 31, 32]. Such a stepw ise structure appears in the distinct region ofsm allpositive Lyapunov exponents. C orresponding to this stepw ise structure are the so called Lyapunov modes, a wave like structure in the tangent space ofeach eigenvector ofa degenerate Lyapunov exponent, that is, for each stepw ise structure. A n explanation of the stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum due to sym $m$ etries of the system was tried using tw o dim ensional periodic orbit $m$ odels 9 ]. O $n$ the other hand, explanations for the Lyapunov $m$ odes w ere suggested using a random $m$ atrix approach for a one dim ensionalm odel 33] and more recently using a kinetic theoretical approach 34]. The sm all Lyapunov exponents correspond to slow relaxation processes in the equilibrium state, so these results suggest the possibility of characterizing the $m$ acroscopic behavior in $m$ any particle system $s$ from a $m$ icroscopic point of view.
$T$ his paper has tw 0 m ain purposes. F irst we consider the dynam ics of the tangent space in dynam ical system $s$ w ith a random interaction betw een the particles. D ifferent from the other random $m$ atrix approaches for the Lyapunov spectrum [6] 33] which use discretized models in tim e, we consider the continuous dynam ics in time and derive a $m$ ethod to calculate the Lyapunov spectrum from a m aster equation technique. $U$ sing thism ethod the Lyapunov spectrum is directly connected to the correla-
tions of the particle interaction $m$ atrix. As the second purpose we discuss the stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum using this random $m$ atrix approach. $W e$ consider one and two dim ensionalm odels satisfying the total m om entum conservation w th periodic boundary condition. These models show a stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectra only in a region of sm all positive Lyapunov exponents. $W$ e discuss the robustness of the stepw ise structure against perturbations in the $m$ atrix elem ents of the particle interaction $m$ atrix. The long range interactions betw een the particles lead to a clear separation into a part of the spectrum exhibiting stepw ise structure and a part changing sm oothly w ith exponent num ber. W e also nd a wave like structure in the eigenstates of the particle interaction $m$ atrix in that part of Lyapunov spectrum containing stepw ise structure. A s a high dim ensionale ect we show that in the two dim ensional m odel we get wider steps in the Lyapunov spectrum, such as steps consisting of 4 and 8 degenerate exponents, rather than simply 2 exponents as in the one dim ensional m odels. The step widths of 4 and 8 exponents are actually observed in the num erical sim ulation of the $m$ any hard-core disk system 31].

## II. RANDOM MATRIX DYNAM ICSOFTHE TANGENTVECTOR

W e consider a H am iltonian system whose state at tim e $t$ is described by the 2 N dim ensional phase space vector $(t) \quad(q(t) ; p(t))^{T} w$ ith the coordinate vector $q(t)$, the $m$ om entum vector $p(t)$ and the transpose operation T. The dynam ics of the phase space is described by the H am ilton equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \quad(t)}{d t}=J \frac{@ H(\quad(t) ; t)}{@(t)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the Ham iltonian $H$ ( ( $t$ ); $t$ ). Here we allow an explicit tim e-dependence of the $H$ am iltonian, and $J$ is the 2N 2 N m atrix de ned by

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
J & \underline{0} & I  \tag{2}\\
& & I & \underline{0}
\end{array}
$$

where I is the $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ identicalm atrix and $\underline{0}$ is the $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ null $m$ atrix. It should be noted that the $m$ atrix $J$ satis es the conditions $J^{T}=J$ and $J^{2}=I$ with the $2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 2 \mathrm{~N}$ identicalm atrix I.

The dynam ics of the tangent vector $(t)$ as a sm all deviation of the phase space vector is described by the linearized equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d \quad(t)}{d t}=J L \text { ( } t\right) \tag{t}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the $H$ am iltonian equation (1) where $L(t)$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \text { ( } t) \frac{@^{2} H(\quad(t) ; t)}{@ \quad(t) @ \quad(t)}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $m$ atrix $L(t)$ is sym $m$ etric: $L(t)=L(t)^{T}$. At this point we note that an equation equivalent to Eq. (3) can also be obtained for system whose dynam ics is not derivable from a H am iltonian.

Equation (3) is form ally solved as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=M \quad(t ; t) \quad \text { (t) }) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $m$ atrix $M\left(t ; t_{0}\right)$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(t ; t_{0}\right) \quad T \exp J_{t_{0}}^{t} d s L(s) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the positive tim e-ordering operator T w ith the latest tim e to the left. Equation (G) leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
M\left(t ; t_{0}\right)^{1} & =\frac{1}{T} \exp \quad J_{t}^{Z} d s L(s) \\
& =J M\left(t ; t_{0}\right)^{T} J ; \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith the negative tim e-ordering operator T w ith the latest tim e to the right, so that the H am iltonian phase volum e is preserved, nam ely $-D$ etfM ( $t ; t_{0}$ ) gj= 1 .

For sim plicity, we consider the case of zero $m$ agnetic eld, so the $H$ am iltonian $H((t) ; t)$ is represented as $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{t})=\dot{p}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{J}=(2 \mathrm{~m})+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{t})$, where m is the $m$ ass of particle and $V(q(t) ; t)$ is the potential energy at tim et. In this case the $m$ atrix $L(t)$ is given by

$$
L(t)=\begin{array}{cc}
R(t) & \underline{0}  \tag{8}\\
\underline{0} & I=m
\end{array}
$$

where $R(t) \quad(R \quad(t))$ is the $N \quad N \quad$ sym $m$ etric $m a-$ trix de ned by $@^{2} V(q(t) ; t)=@ q(t) @ q(t)$. The e ects of particle interactions and xed scatterers are taken into account through the $m$ atrix $R(t)$.

N ow we consider the case where the particle interactions or collisions w ith xed scatterens occur random ly enough, so that the $m$ atrix elem ents $R \quad(t)$, $=$ 1;2; ;N, $=1 ; 2 ; \quad$; $N$ can be regarded as $G$ aussian white random ness in the sense of

$$
\begin{align*}
& h R_{11}\left(t_{1}\right) R_{22}\left(t_{2}\right) \quad R_{2 n}^{R}{ }_{12 n}\left(t_{2 n}\right) i=0 \text {; }  \tag{9}\\
& h R_{1}{ }_{1} X^{\left(t_{1}\right) R}{ }_{2}{ }_{2}\left(t_{2}\right) \quad{ }_{2 n}^{R}{ }_{2 n}\left(t_{2 n}\right) i \\
& =\begin{array}{llllllllll} 
& D_{1} \\
j_{1} & j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{2} & D & j_{3} & j_{3} & j_{4} & j_{4}
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llllll}
D_{2 n} & 1 & j_{2 n} & 1 & j_{2 n} & j_{2 n}
\end{array} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{llll}
t_{1} & t_{j_{2}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
t_{3} & t_{j_{4}}
\end{array}\right) \quad j_{2 n}\left(t_{1} \quad t_{j_{2 n}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

for any integer $n$, where we take the sum over only the
 the bracket $h$ i m eans the ensem ble average over random processes. H ere $D_{j k \ln }$ is a 4-th rank tensor and is assum ed to be constant in the rest of this paper. The tensorD ${ }_{j k \ln }$ satis es the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\ln j k}=D_{j k \ln } ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

because the equation $D_{j k \ln }(s \quad t)=h R_{j k}(s) R_{\ln }(t) i$ $=h R_{\ln }(t) R_{j k}(s) i=D_{\ln j k}(s \quad t)$ is satis ed at arbitrary tim es $s$ and $t$. The sym $m$ etric property of the $m$ atrix $R(t)$ also im poses the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{j k n l}=D_{k j l n}=D_{j k l n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the tensor $D \mathrm{jkln}$.
U nder the G aussian white conditions (9) and (10) for the $m$ atrix $R(t)$, the dynam ics described by Eq. (B) for the tangent vector can be regarded as a stochastic process. N ow we consider the description of th is stochastic process by a $m$ aster equation for the probability density
$(\quad ; t)$ for the tangent vector space in which ( ; t)d is introduced as the probability of nding a tangent vector in the region ( ; $+d$ ). By applying the K ram ers -M oyal expansion to the dynam ics (3) w ith the random ness given by Eqs. (9) and (10) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@(\quad \text {; })}{@ t} \\
& =X_{=1}^{N} \frac{p}{m} \frac{@(\quad ; t)}{@ q} \\
& +\begin{array}{l}
X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} \frac{1}{2} D \quad q \quad q \frac{@^{2}(\quad ; t)}{@ p @ p}: \\
=1=1=1=1
\end{array} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (13) is a $m$ aster equation for the tangent vector $=\left(q ; p^{T}\right) w$ th $q \quad\left(q ; q ; \quad{ }^{(1)}\right)^{T} q$ and $\mathrm{p} \quad\left(\mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{p}_{2} ; \quad \dot{\mathrm{i}}\right) \overline{\mathrm{P}}$, especially the type of the equation called Fokker $P$ lank equation because its righthand side includes up to the second derivative of the probability density $w$ ith respect to the variables. T he derivation ofE q. (13) is given in A ppendix A. W e should notice that the rst term of the right-hand side of Eq. 13) has the sam $e$ form as the corresponding term in the Liouville equation describing non-interacting particle system s , because the dynam ics of the phase space point and the tangent space point coincide in the non-interacting particle system. The characteristics of the system are introduced through the 4-th rank tensor D and a boundary condition for a solution of Eq. (13).

## III. LYAPUNOV SPECTRUM IN THERANDOM M ATRIX APPROACH

In this section, using the FokkerP lank equation (13) for the tangent vector we investigate the absolute values of the tangent vectors, whose asym ptotic behaviors lead to the Lyapunov exponents.

W e introduce the quantities ${ }_{j \mathrm{k}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{t}), 1=1 ; 2 ; 3$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{j k}^{(1)}(t) \quad h \underset{f}{f} \underset{t}{i_{t}}  \tag{14}\\
& { }_{j k}^{(2)}(t) \quad h\left(q p_{k}+\mathbb{q} p_{j}\right) i_{t}=2  \tag{15}\\
& \text { (t) } h p_{j} \quad p_{k} i_{t} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here the bracket $h \quad t$ with the subscript $t m$ eans the average over the probability density $\left(\begin{array}{c}\text {; }) \text { at tim e } t \text { : }\end{array}\right.$
$h \quad t i^{R} d \quad(\quad ; t) \quad$. These quantities consist of elem ents of the averaged $m$ atrix $h \quad{ }^{T} i_{t}$, and satis es the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{j k}^{(1)}(t)={ }_{k j}^{(1)}(t): \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (13) connects these quantities as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d_{j k}^{(1)}(t)}{d t}=\frac{2}{m}{ }_{j k}^{(2)}(t)  \tag{18}\\
& \frac{d{ }_{j k}^{(2)}(t)}{d t}=\frac{1}{m}{ }_{j k}^{(3)}(t)  \tag{19}\\
& \frac{d{ }_{j k}^{(3)}(t)}{d t}=X^{X^{N}} \quad X^{N} \quad D_{j k}^{N k} \quad(1) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where we assum ed the probability density ( $; t$ ) to be zero at the boundary of the tangent space, and used E qs. (11), (12) and 17) to derive Eq. (20).

N ow we introduce a 4-th rank tensor $T_{j k l n}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{N} X^{N} T_{j k} T_{n l}=j l k n \\
& =1=1 \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

so that we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{N} \quad X^{N} \quad X^{N} \quad X^{N} T_{j} k D \quad T_{n} \quad 1=\quad j k j 1 k n \\
& =1=1=1=1 \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

w th the real 2nd rank tensor $j k$ satisfying the condition jj 0 36]. (C onceming Eqs. 21) and 22), for exam ple, the existence of the real tensor $j k$ satisfying Eq. 22) sim ply com es from the fact that by the condition (12) we can regard the quantity $D_{j k l n}$ as the $m$ atrix elem ent $D_{1}(j ; n) 2(k ; 1)$ of the $N^{2} N^{2}$ realsym $m$ etric matrixD (D ${ }_{1(j ; n)}(k ; 1)$ where ${ }_{n}=n(j ; k), n=1 ; 2$ are functions from j 2 f1;2; ;N g and k 2 f1;2; $\quad$; $g$ g to n 2 f1;2; 2;gl.) W ewill discuss an exam ple of the tensors $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{jk} \mathrm{ln}}$ and $\mathrm{jk}_{\mathrm{k}}$ in the next section. By using the tensor $T_{j k l n}$ we transform the quantities ${ }_{j k}^{(1)}(t)$ to ${ }_{j k}^{\sim}(\mathrm{L})$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim_{j k}^{(1)}(t) \quad X^{N} \quad X^{N} T_{j} k \quad{ }^{(1)}(t):  \tag{23}\\
& =1 \quad=1
\end{align*}
$$

The inverse transform ation to derive the quantity ${ }_{j \mathrm{k}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{t})$ from the quantity $\sim^{(1)}(t)$ is sim ply given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }_{j k}^{(1)}(t)\right)^{X^{N}} X^{N} T_{k j} \sim^{(1)}(t) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

noting the relation 21). Especially we should notice the relations hj $q\}_{i_{t}}=P_{N}^{N} \underset{\sim}{\sim}{ }^{(1)}(t)$ and hj $p \hat{j}_{i_{t}}=$


W e introduce the positive (or zero) Lyapunov exponents j as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=\lim _{t!1} \frac{1}{2 t} \ln \frac{\sim_{j j}^{(1)}(t)}{\sim \sim_{j j}^{(1)}(0)} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

nam ely as the exponential rate of the tim e evolution of the average ofm agnitude of the in nitesim aldeviation of the phase space orbit in the long tim e lim it. A s show $n$ in A ppendix B, these Lyapunov exponents are sim ply given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j={\frac{j j}{(2 m)^{2}}}^{1=3} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the quantity $j$ introduced in Eq. 22). Equation 26) is the key result of this paper. This equation connects the Lyapunov exponents directly w ith the tensor $D{ }_{j k l n}$ representing the strength of correlation of the particle interactions and potentials given by xed scatterers, and also shows the fact that in the system described by the random $m$ atrix dynam ics the Lyapunov exponents are independent of the initial condition like in the determ inistic chaos. It should be noted that the Lyapunov exponents j given by Eq. 26) are the same with the quantities derived from the equations $\lim t!1(2 t)^{1} \ln \left[\sim_{j j}^{(k)}(t)=\sim_{j j}^{(k)}(0)\right] ; k=2 ; 3$ in this approach (See A ppendix B.).

W e sort the Lyapunov exponents 1,2 , iv so that they contain an decreasing (or equal) sequence, and introduce the set $\left.f{ }^{[1]} ;{ }^{[2]} ; \quad{ }^{\mathbb{N}} ;\right] g$ satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{f}^{[1]} ; \quad{ }^{[2]} ; \quad \mathbb{N}^{[]} \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{f}_{1} ; 2 ; \quad{ }_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{g}  \tag{27}\\
 \tag{28}\\
\\
\\
{[1]}
\end{gather*}
$$

This sorted set of the Lyapunov exponents is called the Lyapunov spectrum.

## IV.A SIM PLIFICATION

Before considering Lyapunov spectra in concrete m odels using the form ula given in the previous section, we discuss an assum ption to simplify $m$ odel calculations. $W$ e consider the case where all m atrix elem ents $R_{j k}(t)$, $j=1 ; 2 ; \quad ; N$ and $k=1 ; 2 ; \quad$; $N$ have the same dependence, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{j k}(t)=r(t) A_{j k} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r(t)$ is a norm alized $G$ aussian white random ness in the sense of $\left.h_{p}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) r\left(t_{2}\right) \quad 2 r\left(t_{1}\right) i=0$ and $h r\left(t_{1}\right) r\left(t_{2}\right)$

2直奴 = $\begin{array}{lllll}P_{d} & \left(t_{1}\right. & \left.t_{j_{2}}\right) & \left(t_{3}\right. & \left.t_{j_{4}}\right) \quad j_{2 n}\left(t_{1}\right. \\ \left.t_{j_{2 n}}\right)\end{array}$ for any integer $n$ and $A \quad\left(A_{j k}\right)$ is a tim e-independent $N \quad N$ m atrix. The $m$ atrix A $m$ ust be a sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k j}=A_{j k} ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

because the $m$ atrix $R(t)$ is sym $m$ etric.
The assum ption 29) sim pli es our considerations, because in this case the tensor $D \mathrm{jkln}$ is represented as the $m$ ultiplication of the $m$ atrix elem ent $A_{j k} w$ th $A_{\text {ln }}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{j k \ln }=A_{j k} A_{l n} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the conditions (11) and (12) for the tensor $D_{j k l n}$ are autom atically satis ed under the condition 30). The condition 30) also im plies that them atrix A is diagonalizable using an orthogonalm atrix $U \quad\left(U_{j k}\right)$ satisfying $U^{T} U=U U^{T}=I$, nam ely $\left(U^{T} A U\right)_{j k}=a_{j} j k w$ th real eigenvalues $a_{1} ; l=1 ; 2 ; \quad ; N$ of the $m$ atrix $A . T h e 4$-th rank tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{jk} \mathrm{ln}}$ is constructed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{jk} \mathrm{ln}}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{kj}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ln}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satis es the conditions (21, 22) and ${ }_{=1}^{N} T_{j k}=j k$. Here the 2 -nd rank tensor $j k$ is represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j k=a_{j} a_{k} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satis es the conditions jj 0. Equations 26) and 33) lead to the expression of the Lyapunov exponents as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j={\frac{a_{j}}{2 m}}^{2=3}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter all under the assum ption (29) the calculation of the Lyapunov spectrum is attributed to the eigenvalue problem of the matrix A.

## V.ONE D IMENSIONAL M ODELSAND STEPS OF THEIR LYAPUNOV SPECTRA

In this section we consider simple one dim ensional m odels and calculate their Lyapunov spectra using the form ula given in Section under the assum ptions discussed in Section $\mathbb{V}$. $W$ e are generally interested in which ingredients of the $m$ odel system lead to particular features of the Lyapunov spectra. W e are especially interested in m odels which satisfy totalm om entum conservation and show stepw ise structures in their Lyapunov spectra. $N$ um erically the observation ofLyapunov $m$ odes is associated $w$ th system $s$ w th a stepw ise structure of their Lyapunov spectrum, such as the $m$ any hard disk timstem of $R$ efs. $14,15,19,31,32]$.

W e construct a modelconsisting of N particles in a one dim ensional space. In this case the o -diagonalm atrix elem ent $A_{j k} ; j \in k$ represents the strength of the interaction betw een the $j$-th particle and the $k$-th particle. T he diagonalm atrix elem ent $A_{j j}$ is determ ined by the total m om entum conservation which im poses the condition

$$
X_{k=1}^{X^{N}} A_{j k}=0
$$

for the m atrix A. Equation (35) is derived from Eq. 29) and the equation ${ }_{k=1}^{N} R_{i k}(t)=0$ satis ed at any time t. Using the form ula (34) this condition im plies that the N -dim ensional vector, whose com ponents are equal, is an eigenstate of the $m$ atrix A corresponding to the eigenvalue 0 , so we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{1}]}=0 ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

nam ely there is a zero Lyapunov exponent corresponding to the conservation of totalm om entum .
A. O ne dim ensionalm odelw ith a stepw ise structure of its Lyapunov spectrum

A s a rst step, we consider the case where each particle interacts only w ith its nearest neighbor particles w ith the same strength of interaction. W e im pose periodic boundary conditions, nam ely that the particles are on a one dim ensional ring structure. This situation is described by the m atrix $A=A{ }^{(0)} \quad\left(A_{j k}^{(0)}\right)$ de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{j k}^{(0)} \quad!\quad 2 & \\
&  \tag{37}\\
& +j k+j(k+1)+(j+1) k \\
& +k(j N+1)
\end{align*}
$$

with a (non-zero) realconstant!. W e can calculate the eigenvalues of the m atrix $A^{(0)}$ (See A ppendix C for the calculation details.), and obtain the Lyapunov exponents $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n}^{(0)}=\frac{j!j}{m} 1 \cos ^{2 n} n^{2=3}: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is im portant to note that the Lyapunov exponents given by Eq. 38) satisfy the conditions ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{(0)}=0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& (0)  \tag{39}\\
& j
\end{align*}{ }_{N}^{(0)}{ }_{j}
$$

for $j<N=2$, nam ely the Lyapunov spectrum has degeneracies. In other words the spectrum has a stepw ise structure. Them axim um Lyapunov exponent is given by $(2 j!j=m)^{2=3}$ when $N$ is even or $f j!j[1+\cos (=N)]=m g^{2=3}$ when N is odd.

```
B.R obustness of the stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum to a perturbation
```

N ext we consider the case where each particle interacts w th its nearest neighbor particles by slightly di erent interaction strengths. This situation is described by the $m$ atrix $A=A{ }^{(0)}+A^{(1)} w$ ith " a sm all param eter and them atrix $A^{(1)}$ de ned

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{j k}^{(1)}= & (j+j+1) j k+j j(k+1)+k k(j+1) \\
& +1 j(k N+1)+k(j N+1) \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where jrj=1;2; ;N + 1 are real constants satisfy ing the condition $\mathrm{N}+1=1$. In this case we can calculate the eigenvalues of this $m$ atrix A to rst order in the sm all param eter ", and obtain the Lyapunov exponents $n=n_{n}^{(0)}+"_{n}^{(1)}+O\left({ }^{2}\right)$ in the expanded form by the param eter " $w$ ith the quantities

$$
\begin{align*}
&{ }_{j}^{(1)}=\frac{2_{j}^{(0)}}{3!}\left(\sim_{0}+j \sim_{j} j\right)  \tag{41}\\
&{ }_{N}^{(1)}  \tag{42}\\
& N_{j}=\frac{2_{N}^{(0)}{ }_{j}\left(\sim_{0} \quad j \sim_{j} j\right)}{3!}
\end{align*}
$$

in the case of $j<N=2$, where $\sim_{j}$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{j} \quad \frac{1}{N}^{X^{N}}=1 \quad \exp \frac{4 i j}{N}: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

(See A ppendix C for the derivations of Eqs. 41) and (42).) H ere we num bered so that we obtain ! ( ${ }_{j}^{(1)}$
${ }_{N}^{(1)}{ }_{j}$ ) 0 . It $m$ ay be noted that the quantity $\sim_{0}$ in Eqs. (41) and 42) is sim ply the arithm etic average of the coe cients $j, j=1 ; 2 ; \quad ; N_{0}:=N \quad{ }_{1} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{j=1} j$. W e also obtain ${ }_{\mathrm{N}=2}^{(1)}=2{ }_{\mathrm{N}=2}^{(0)} \sim_{0}=(3!)$ in the case of the num ber $N$ to be even, and ${ }_{N}^{(1)}=0$ for the rst order correction to the non-degenerate Lyapunov exponents.

Equations 41) and (42) tell us about robustness of the stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum appearing in the non-perturbed system against the perturbation. To discuss this point we consider the deviation $j$ j $\quad$ j jof the tw o points in the $j$-th step of the Lyapunov spectrum, which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{j} \quad N \quad j j=\frac{4}{3} \frac{" \sim_{j}}{!} \quad j+O\left("^{2}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case of $j<N=2$. Equation 44) im plies that the degeneracies, nam ely the stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum is rem oved by the perturbation " $\mathrm{A}^{(1)}$, but their deviation are $s m$ all in a region of sm all Lyapunov exponents rather than in a region of large Lyapunov exponents, as far as the quantity $\sim_{j}$ is alm ost $j$ independent. In other w ords, this consideration suggests that the stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum is robust in the region of $s m$ all Lyapunov exponents. It should be em phasized that this is consistent w ith num erical results of the Lyapunov spectrum in a $m$ any hard disk model, which show sthe stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum only in a region of sm all Lyapunov exponents $14,15,19,31,32]$. By using Eqs. 41) and 42) we can also discuss a perturbational e ect in a global shape of the Lyapunov spectrum . Eqs. 41) and 42) lead to the shift of the $j$-th step of the Lyapunov spectrum as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{j+N \quad j}{2} \quad{ }_{j}^{(0)}=\frac{2 \sim_{0}}{3!} j "+O\left({ }^{2}\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case $j<N=2$. Equation 45) im plies that in the case of $\sim_{0} "=$ ! > $0\left(\sim_{0} "=\right.$ ! < 0) the perturbation "A ${ }^{(1)}$ $m$ akes the slope of the Lyapunov spectrum $m$ ore (less) steep than in the non-perturbed case.


F IG .1. Lyapunov spectra of one dim ensionalsystem with nearest neighbor interactions in the case of random ly chosen $m$ atrix elem ents. T he inset: Enlarged graph of a sm all Lyapunov exponent region.

N ow we discuss the robustness of the stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum against perturbation in a little di erent way, in which the uctuations of the $m$ atrix elem ents of A are large enough so that the above rst order perturbed discussion is no longer correct. W e consider a one dim ensional system described by the $m$ atrix $A=A{ }^{(1)}$ given by Eq. 40), in which the quantities
$j, j=1 ; 2 ; \quad ; N$ are chosen random ly from the region (a;b). Figure 1 is a Lyapunov spectrum norm alized by the $m$ axim um Lyapunov exponent in such a system consisting of 100 particles $(\mathbb{N}=100)$. Here, we chose the region $(a ; b)$ as $a=!=2$ and $b=3!=2$, and took the arithm etic average over this random ness for the nor$m$ alized Lyapunov spectrum. A though the $m$ agnitude b a (= !) of uctuations in the $m$ atrix elem ents of the $m$ atrix $A$ is the sam e scale as the averaged $m$ agnitude $(a+b)=2(=$ !) of the $m$ atrix elem ents of the $m$ atrix $A$, we can still recognize som e steps in the Lyapunov spectrum in the region of sm all Lyapunov exponents. It is interesting to note that in such a case the global shape of the Lyapunov spectrum is rather close to a straight line, like discussed in Refs. 4][8].

## C.E ect of long range interactions and w ave like structures in eigenstates

In this subsection we consider the e ects of long range interactions between particles on the Lyapunov spectrum. The e ect of the long range interactions betw een particles can be taken into account using the $m$ atrix $A=A^{\left[n_{1}\right]} \quad\left(A_{j k}^{\left[n_{1}\right]}\right)$ de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{j k}^{\left[n_{1}\right]}=X^{X_{1} h}\left({ }_{j}^{[1]}+{ }_{j+1}^{[1]}\right) j k+{\underset{j}{[1]}}_{j(k+1)}+{ }_{k}^{[1]} k(j+1) \\
& 1=1 \\
& \text { i } \\
& +\quad{ }_{j}^{[1]} N+1 j(k+N \quad 1)+\quad{ }_{k}^{[1]} N+1 k(j+N \quad 1) \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith realconstants ${ }_{j}^{[1]}$, where $n_{1}(<N=2)$ is the length of the interactions and its value $m$ eans that particles can interact $w$ ith up to their $n_{1}$-th nearest neighbor particles. As shown in A ppendix D, we can obtain an analytical expression for the Lyapunov spectrum derived from the $m$ atrix (4.) if all of the non-zero $m$ atrix elem ents ${ }_{j}^{[1]}$, $j=1 ; 2 ; \quad ; N, l=1 ; 2 ; \quad 1$ takenthe sam e value.


F IG . 2. Lyapunov spectra of one dim ensional system swith di erent length $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ of long range interactions in the case of $\mathrm{N}=100$. The three graphs correspond to the cases $\mathrm{n}_{1}=10$ (the circle dots), $\mathrm{n}_{1}=15$ (the triangle dots) and $\mathrm{n}_{1}=20$ (the square dots), respectively. The inset: Enlarged graphs of a sm all Lyapunov exponent region.

In this subsection we consider the case where the m atrix elem ent ${ }_{j}^{[1]}$ is chosen random ly, like in the model discussed in the end of the previous subsection. Figure 2 is the Lyapunov spectrum norm alized by the $m$ axim um Lyapunov exponent in the system described by the $m$ a$\operatorname{trix} A=A^{\left[n_{1}\right]}$ w ith the quantities $j_{j}^{[1]}$ chosen random ly from the region ( $!=2 ; 3!=2$ ) for the case of $n_{1}=10,15$ and 20 in the system consisting of 100 particles $(\mathbb{N}=100)$. ( $T$ h is random ness in the quantities ${ }_{j}^{[1]}$ is adopted to draw all of the gures hereafter in this subsection.) In these graphs we took the arithm etic average of the Lyapunov spectra over the random ness of the quantities ${ }_{j}^{[1]}$. This gure shows that the long range interactions separates the Lyapunov spectrum clearly into a part exhibiting stepw ise structure and a part changing sm oothly. (T he random ness of the quantities ${ }_{j}^{[1]}$ is not essential for th is separation in the Lyapunov spectrum. It $m$ ainly plays the role of sm oothing the Lyapunov spectrum in the region of large Lyapunov exponents.) The stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum appears only in a region of sm all Lyapunov exponents, as suggested in the previous subsection.


FIG.3. D ependence of the long range interaction length $n_{1}$ on the Lyapunov exponents ${ }^{[j]}$ in the case of $N=100$. The graphs w ith the circle dots, the triangle dots, the square dots, the open circle dots and the open triangle dots corresp ond to the case of $j=99 ; 97 ; 95 ; 93$ and 91 , respectively.

The stepw ise region of the Lyapunov spectrum is sm aller and sm aller as the interaction length $n_{1}$ of particles is longer and longer. (A s a lim it of the long range interactions, as show $n$ in A ppendix D, w e can easily show that in the system, where each particle interacts w ith all the other particles w ith the sam e strength, all of the positive Lyapunov exponents take the sam e value.) B esides, the heights of the steps of the Lyapunov spectrum are approxim ately proportionalto the length $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ of the interactions. T hese characteristics are clearly evident in Fig . 3, show ing the length $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ dependence of the $j$-th positive Lyapunov exponents ${ }^{[j]}, j=91 ; 93 ; 95 ; 97 ; 99$ in the case of 100 particles. In these graphs we also took the arithm etic average of the Lyapunov exponents over the random ness of the quantities ${ }_{j}^{[1]}$. This gure show s that the values ofsm allLyapunov exponents increase w ith the interaction length $n_{1}$ as far as they are in the region of the steps of the Lyapunov spectrum, and if the interaction length $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ is bigger than a critical value then their $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ dependences are slow ed dow $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}$ eaning that they are in the region of the Lyapunov spectrum that is changing sm oothly.

It $m$ ay be interesting to investigate the di erence betw een the tw o parts of the Lyapunov spectrum from the point ofview of the eigenstate. $F$ igure 4 is the realeigenstate of the $m$ atrix $A^{\left[n_{1}\right]}$ in the case of $n_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}=100 \mathrm{w}$ ith random ly chosen quantities ${ }_{j}^{[1]}$. (N ote that we did not take the average over the random ness of the quantities ${ }_{j}^{[1]}$ to draw this gure.) The graphs (a), (b), (c) and (d) are the eigenstates corresponding to the Lyapunov exponents ${ }^{[j]}$ for $j=99 ; 98, j=97 ; 96$, $j=93 ; 92$ and $j=91 ; 90$, respectively. These Lyapunov exponents correspond to the triangle dots surrounded by the broken lines in the inset of $F$ ig. A. W e can see clear w ave like structures of approxim ately sinusoidal type in the graphs (a) and (b), which correspond to the Lyapunov exponents com posing the steps in the Lyapunov spectrum. T he wavelength of the waves in the graph (b) is half of the wavelength of the waves in the graph (a).

O n the other hand, we cannot recognize such a w ave like structure in the graphs (c) and (d), which belongs to the Lyapunov exponents in the part of the Lyapunov spectrum which is changing sm oothly.


FIG.4. E igenstates of $m$ atrix $A^{\left[n_{1}\right]}$ in the case of $n_{1}=15$ and $N=100$ : the graphs $(a)(j=98 ; 99)$, the graph (b) ( $j=96 ; 97$ ), the graph (c) $(j=92$;93) and the graph (d) ( $j=90$; 91) for the Lyapunov exponent ${ }^{[j]}$. These Lyapunov exponents correspond to the triangle dots surrounded by the broken lines in the inset of F ig. 2.

It should be noted that the wave like structure of the eigenstates already appears even in the system described by the $m$ atrix $A=A{ }^{(0)}$ de ned by Eq. (37) w ith nearest neighbor interactions of a constant strength. Therefore the point is that such a wave like structure of the eigenstates is not destroyed by the long range interactions and the random interaction strengths only in the eigenstates which correspond to the sm all positive Lyapunov exponents in the steps of the Lyapunov spectrum .

O ne $m$ ay regard the long range interactions discussed in this subsection as a kind of high dim ensional e ect, because if particles $m$ ove in a two or three dim ensional space then they can interact $w$ ith $m$ ore than two particles, even in hard-core interactions. H ow ever, som e high dim ensional e ects, for exam ple, the total m om entum conservation in each orthogonaldirection and so on, are still m issing in the discussions in this subsection. In the next section we consider high dim ensional e ects m ore explicitly.

## VI.TWO DIMENSIONALMODELW ITHA TRIANGLELATTICESTRUCTURE

In the one dim ensional models discussed in the previous section, the steps of the Lyapunov spectrum are caused by the periodic boundary conditions, and all of them consists of 2 only points. In this section we consider a two dim ensionalm odel, and show that it is possible to
get wider steps than in the one dim ensionalm odel as a high dim ensionale ect. Speci cally, we conœentrate on a $m$ odelw hich has steps consisting of 4 and 8 points, which are actually found in a square system consisting ofm any hard disks 31].

In the two dim ensional system, the position of each particle is speci ed by a two dimensional vector $q_{j}$, $j=1 ; 2 ; \quad N^{N} ;$ where $N^{\sim}$ is the num ber of the particles and $N=2 N^{2}$. In such a case the $m$ atrix $A$ is a (2N ) (2N ) m atrix, and can be represented as a block $m$ atrix consisting of 2 m atrices $\mathrm{B}^{(\mathrm{jk})}, j=1 ; 2$; $\quad \mathrm{N}^{(j}$; and $k=1 ; 2 ; \quad N^{\tau}$; Here B ${ }^{(j k)} \quad\left(B_{j^{0} k^{0}}^{(j k)}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
B^{(j k)} & \left.A_{(2 j} 1\right)(2 k & 1) & \left.A_{(2 j} \quad 1\right)(2 k)  \tag{47}\\
& A_{(2 j)(2 k} & 1) & A_{(2 j)(2 k)}
\end{array}
$$

corresponding to the m atrix $\quad @^{2} V=@ q_{j} @ q_{k}$, and its com ponents represent the strengths of the interactions betw een com ponents of positions of the $j$-th particle and the $k$-th particle. The $m$ atrix $B{ }^{(j k)}$ is sym $m$ etric and the $m$ atrix $A$ is also sym $m$ etric in the sense of the block m atrix, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{j^{0} k^{0}}^{(j k)}=B_{k^{0} j^{0}}^{(j k)}=B_{j^{0} k^{0}}^{(k j)}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The diagonalblock $\mathrm{B}^{(\mathrm{j})}$ is determ ined by the condition of the totalm om entum conservation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{N}} B^{(j k)}=0 ; \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

which comes from Eq. (29) and the relation $P_{k=1}^{N} @^{2} V=@ q_{j} @ q_{k}=0$ and is simply the two dimensional version of the condition 35). This condition 49) im plies that the Lyapunov exponents include at least tw 0 zero com ponents:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{N}^{1]}=\mathbb{N}\right]^{1}=0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to the conservation of the total $m$ om entum.

To construct a two dim ensionalm odel it is convenient to use a lattice picture, because in the approach of this paper, under the assum ption discussed in Section $\mathbb{V V}$, the m odel is speci ed by pairs of interacting particles and their interaction strengths. In such a lattice, each lattioe site corresponds to a particle and a connection betw een sites $m$ eans that particles on those two sites can interact w ith each other as nearest neighbor particles. N ow we consider an equilateral triangular lattice from such a point ofview. This situation ism otivated by the fact that in $R$ ef. 31] the system consists ofm any disks which pack in a two dim ensional space as a hexagonal close-packed structure in the high density lim it. T he triangular lattioe has three directions to connect the sites, and arrange the triangle lattice so that it ts within a square where one of sides of the square is parallel to one of the three directions of the triangle lattioe, as shown in F ig 5 (a) where
such parallel lines are horizontal. For sim plicity we assum e that the num ber of lattice sites in each horizontal line is equal and is given by $\mathrm{N}_{1}(>1) . \mathrm{W}$ e put the num ber of such lines as $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, which should be roughly given by $\mathrm{N}_{2} \quad(2=\overline{3}) \mathrm{N}_{1}$.


FIG.5. (a) The triangular lattice system with a square shape. $N_{1}$ is the num ber of the particles in a horizontal line, and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is the num ber of the horizontal lines. (b) N um bering of the particles and the boundary condition in the part surrounded by the square of broken line in the gure (a).

N ext, we introduce the boundary condition for this triangle lattice $m$ odel. For this purpose we assign num bers 1;2; 1; ${ }^{\text {Ho }}$, the lattioe sites (nam ely particles) in the rst horizontal row of particles from left to right, and num bers $\mathrm{N}_{1}+1 ; \mathrm{N}_{1}+2 ; \quad$; 2 2inh the second row and so on until the last row num bered $\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} \quad 1\right) \mathrm{N}_{1}+1 ;\left(\mathbb{N}{ }_{2}\right.$

1) $\mathrm{N}_{1}+2 ; \quad 2 \mathbb{N}_{1}$, as shown in $F$ ig. 5(b). W e de ne the num ber $f_{2 j} 1$ as the num ber of the particle which do nearest neighbor interactions w th the [2( $j$ 1) $\left.N_{1}+1\right]-$ th particle, and the number $f_{2 j}$ as the num ber of the particle which do nearest-neighbor interacts w th the $\left[2\left(\begin{array}{ll}j & 1\end{array}\right) \mathrm{N}_{1}+1\right]$-th, $\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 j & 1\end{array}\right) \mathrm{N}_{1}+1\right]$-th and $\left[2 j \mathrm{~N}_{1}+1\right]$-th particles ( $j=1 ; 2 ; \quad ; \operatorname{IntgRg}$ ). H ere, Intfxg $m$ eans to take the integer part of $x$ for any real num ber $x$. We also de ne the num bers $g_{j}^{(+)}$and $\left.g_{j}^{( }\right)$as the num ber of particles which do nearest neighbor interactions w ith the $j$-th particle through the upper-left line and the upperright line, respectively $(j=1 ; 2 ; \quad 1$ i)N (See Fig. 5(b) for the de nitions of the num bers $f_{j}$ and $g_{j}^{( }{ }^{\prime}$.) The num ber $f_{j}$ speci es the interaction betw een the left side and the right side of the square, and the num bers $g_{j}^{( }$) spec$i$ es the interaction betw een the upper side line and the low er side of the square. In this section we consider the case that these num bers are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}=j \mathrm{~N}_{1} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{j k}^{()}$is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j k}^{(1)}=j \quad \text { Int } \frac{1}{2} \quad N_{2} \quad \frac{1 \quad 1}{2} \quad \mathrm{kN}_{1}: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary conditions 51) and (52) im ply that every line of the three directions in the triangle lattice is periodic. W e assum e the condition $\left.g_{j}^{(+)} g_{j}^{( }\right)$so that every particle has the six nearest-neighbor particles.

B efore giving the $m$ atrix A of the triangle lattice m odel including a long range interaction, we consider the m atrix $A=\AA_{0}$ including only the e ect of the nearest neighbor interactions of a constant strength. W e dene the $2 \mathrm{~N}_{1} \quad 2 \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~m}$ atrices $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{l}=1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4$, each of which is a block $m$ atrix consisting of $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}$ atrices $\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{(\mathrm{jk})}$, $j=1 ; 2 ; \quad 1 ; \mathrm{Nk}=1 ; 2 ; \quad 1 ; \mathrm{Nby}$

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
C_{1}^{(j k)} \quad 6 B \quad j k+B & j(k+1)+k(j+1) \\
& +j\left(k N_{1}+1\right)+k\left(j N_{1}+1\right) \tag{54}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}^{(j k)} B \quad j k+j(k+1)+j\left(k N_{1}+1\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{3}^{(j k)} B \quad j k+k(j+1)+k\left(j N_{1}+1\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is a 22 m atrix. The m atrix $\mathbb{A}_{0}$ is introduced as the block $m$ atrix de ned by
w th the $2 \mathrm{~N}_{1} \quad 2 \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ nullm atrix $\overline{0}$, where $\sim$ is 2 (3) if $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is an odd (even) num ber.
$T$ he $m$ atrix $A=\mathbb{A}^{\left.\sim n_{1}\right]}$ of the triangle lattioe $m$ odel including the e ect of the long range interactions up to the $\mathrm{n}_{1}$-th nearest neighbor interactions is sim ply given as follow s. W e consider the $m$ atrix $\AA_{0}^{\sim}$ given by the $m$ atrix $\overparen{A}_{0}$ except that the $m$ atrix $B$ in the $m$ atrix $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ are replaced by the 22 m atrioes w hose m atrix elem ents are positive constants. By using such a $\left(2 \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right) \quad\left(2 \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)$ m atrix $\mathrm{A}_{0}^{\sim 0}$ we calculate the $n_{1}$ tim esmultiplication $\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}^{\sim 0}\right)^{n_{1}}$ of the $m$ atrix $\mathbb{A}_{0}^{\sim}$. The non-zero elem ents of them atrix $\mathbb{A}^{\left[n_{1}\right]}$ are equivalent to the non-zero elem ents of the $m$ atrix $\left(\mathbb{A}_{0}^{20}\right)^{n_{1}}$. A fter determ ining the non-null upper o -diagonalblocks $B^{(j k)}, j<k$ of the $m$ atrix $\mathbb{A}^{\left.\sim n_{1}\right]}$ satisfying the condition 48) by such a process, the diagonalblock $B{ }^{(j j)}$ and the low er o -diagonalbocks B ${ }^{(j k)}, j>k$ of the $m$ atrix $\mathbb{A}^{\left[n_{1}\right]}$ is determ ined so that the $m$ atrix $\mathbb{A}^{\left[n_{1}\right]}$ is sym $m$ etric and
satis es the condition 49). It should be noted that we could use a sim ilar $m$ ethod to obtain the $m$ atrix $A^{\left[n_{1}\right]}$ including the e ect of long range interactions in the one dim ensionalm odel in the previous section.
$W$ e restrict our consideration in the case that the 22 $m$ atrix $B{ }^{(j k)}$, which is the block elem ent of the $m$ atrix A in the two dim ensional system, is diagonalized.

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{12}^{(j k)}=B_{21}^{(j k)}=0 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his $m$ eans that tw ocom ponents of the position of each particle do not interact with each other.

N ow we calculate the Lyapunov spectrum for such a $m$ atrix $\mathcal{A}^{\sim}{ }^{\left[n_{1}\right]}$ by using the form ula 34). Figure 6 is the Lyapunov spectrum norm alized by the $m$ axim um Lyapunov exponent in such a triangle lattice system in the case of $N_{1}=13, N_{2}=15 \quad(2=\overline{3}) N_{1}=15: 01110$ and $n_{1}=3$. H ere we chose the non-zero elem ents in the upper triangle of the $m$ atrix $\mathbb{A}^{\left[n_{1}\right]}$ random ly from the positive region ( $0: 2 t ; 1: 8 t$ ) w ith a (non-zero) real constant $t$, and took the arithm etic average over th is random ness. A $s$ in the one dim ensionalm odelincluding the long-range interactions, the Lyapunov spectrum is separated into the part changing sm oothly and the part having a stepw ise structure, which appears in a region of sm all positive Lyapunov exponents.

A rem arkable point in the two dim ensional triangle lattice m odel, which the one dim ensionalm odels do not have, is the w ide steps of the Lyapunov spectrum, especially the step consisting of 4 points and the step consisting of 8 points shown in $F$ ig. 6. To give an explanation for these $w$ ide steps, we should notice that in this $m$ odel we set-up a periodic boundary condition for each of the three directions of the triangle lattice. This boundary condition for each of the three directions can cause degeneracies in the Lyapunov spectrum like in the one di$m$ ensional cases. Besides, in this $m$ odel each site of the triangle lattioe have two independent degrees of freedom corresponding to the tw o dim ensionality of the particles, which can also cause degeneracy in the Lyapunov spectrum due to the condition 59). Therefore we can get the step consisting of 4 points corresponding to each direction of the triangle lattioe. M oreover tw o of the three directions (nam ely the two directions other than the horizontaldirection in $F$ ig. (5) have the sam e num bers of the sites in them selves, so they can cause a degeneracy in the Lyapunov spectrum. A fter all we get the step consisting of 4 points corresponding to the horizontaldirection, and the step consisting of 8 points corresponding to the other tw o directions. This explanation is partly justi ed by the fact that the Lyapunov spectrum in the case of $N_{1}=N_{2}$ has the step consisting of 12 points in the Lyapunov spectrum, show $n$ in the inset in $F$ ig. 6, where we gave the averaged Lyapunov spectrum nom alized by the maxim um Lyapunov exponent in the case of $\mathrm{N}_{1}=\mathrm{N}_{2}=14$ and $\mathrm{n}_{1}=3$. Here, except for the num bers $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ we used the sam eboundary condition and the sam e random ness for non-zero elem ents of the $m$ atrix $\mathscr{A}^{\left.\sim n_{1}\right]}$ w th in the case of $\mathrm{N}_{1}=13$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=15$ in F ig. 6. This Lyapunov spectrum is shifted by 2 in the j-direction, so that the
positions of the zero Lyapunov exponents coincide with the cases of $\mathrm{N}_{1}=13$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=15$. O ne m ay also notioe that the parts changing sm oothly in the Lyapunov spectrum are alm ost indistinguishable in both the cases in the inset of Fig . 6 .


FIG.6. Lyapunov spectrum of the triapgle lattice system in the case of $N_{1}=13, N_{2}=15 \quad\left(2=\frac{3}{3}\right) N_{1}$ and $n_{1}=3$ (the triangle dots). T he inset: Enlarged graphs of the part including the stepw ise structures in the Lyapunov spectra in the case of $\mathrm{N}_{1}=13$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=15$ (the triangle dots) and the case of $\mathrm{N}_{1}=\mathrm{N}_{2}=14$ (the circle dots) for a com parison. T he Lyapunov spectrum in the case of $\mathrm{N}_{1}=\mathrm{N}_{2}=14$ is shifted by 2 in the j-direction, so that the positions of the zero Lyapunov exponents coincide in both the cases.

Like in the one dim ensionalm odel, longer range interactions lead to a shorter region of stepw ise structure in the Lyapunov spectrum in the two dim ensional triangle lattioe m odel. On the other hand, a num erical sim ulation of the $m$ any disk system showed that the region of the stepw ise structure depends on the aspect ratio of the rectangular system 31]. This fact suggests that the long range interactions in the random $m$ atrix approach should depend on the aspect ratio of the rectangular system.

It should be em phasized that such w ide step consisting of 4 points or 8 points are actually found in the two dim ensional determ inistic chaotic system consisting of m any hard-core disks num erically 31]. B eside, we should also notice that in Fig. (and Fig. (2) a wayp of the Lyapunov spectrum appears in a region of large Lyapunov exponents, which is also a characteristic of the system consisting of $m$ any hard-core disks. H ow ever there are som e points which the triangle lattioe $m$ odel in this section cannot give enough explanation when com pared with the num erically observed features of the Lyapunov spectrum for the determ in istic tw o dim ensionalhard disk system. First, in the num erical sim ulation of the hard-disk system each particle can interact w ith alm ost any other particle in the long tim e lim it. This should correspond to a big number of $n_{1} \quad N_{1} N_{2}$ in the triangle lattice $m$ odel, but if we adopt such large num ber for $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ then the stepw ise structure disappears in the triangle lattioe m odel. In this sense, the m odel in this section $m$ ay correspond to the high density case, in which each particle
$m$ ainly interacts $w$ ith only a few particles surrounding it. Second, in the hard-disk system with a square shape the stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum seem s to be a repetition of the step consisting of 4 points and the step consisting of 8 points. O $n$ the other hand, in the triangle lattice $m$ odel such a repetition of steps cannot be guaranteed. A s the third point, in the triangle lattice m odel in this section we adopt the boundary condition to $m$ ake each of the three directions of the triangle lattice periodically, rather than the periodic boundary condition to $m$ ake the up-side (the left-side) and the dow $n$-side (the right-side) of the square equivalently, which w as adopted in the num erical sim ulation of the hard-disk system.

## VII. CONCLUSION AND REMARKS

In this paper we have discussed the Lyapunov spectrum in $m$ any particle system $s$ described by a random $m$ atrix dynam ics. W e started from the $m$ any particle H am iltonian $m$ echanics w ithout a $m$ agnetic eld, and introduced Gaussian white random interactions betw een the particles. In such a system the dynam ics of the tangent space is expressed by a Fokker $P$ lanck equation, which leads to a direct connection betw een the positive (and zero) Lyapunov exponents and the tim e correlation of the $m$ atrix specifying the particles interactions. U sing this form ula, we calculated concretely the Lyapunov spectra in one and tw o dim ensionalm odels satisfying the total m om entum conservation w th periodic boundary conditions. These m odels show a stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectrum in the region of sm all positive Lyapunov exponents, which is robust to a perturbation in $m$ atrix elem ents of the particle interaction $m$ atrix. T he long range interactions betw een the particles lead to a clear separation into a part exhibiting stepw ise structure and a part changing sm oothly. T he part of the Lyapunov spectrum containing stepw ise structure is clearly distinguished by a wave like structure in the eigenstates of the particle interaction $m$ atrix. In the tw o dim ensionalm odel we got w ider steps in the Lyapunov spectrum than in the one dim ensionalm odels, especially the steps consisting of 4 points and the steps consisting of 8 points. T hese w ide steps in the Lyapunov spectrum have already been show $n$ num erically in a determ inistic chaotic system consisting ofm any hard-core disks.

O ne of the im portant sim pli cations in this random $m$ atrix approach is that in this approach we do not have to refer to the phase space dynam ics in order to determ ine the tangent space dynam ics any m ore. In general, the $m$ atrix $R(t)$ appearing in Eq. (8) can depend on the phase space dynam ics, so this kind of separation of the phase space dynam ics and the tangent space dynam ics does not be allow ed in determ in istic chaotic system s.

A sem phasized in the discussion of the tw o dim ensional m odel, a lattice picture is usefiul to m ake a m odel in the random $m$ atrix approach. C onceming this point it $m$ ay be interesting to note that som e w orks for the Lyapunov spectra for $m$ any particle system $s$ indicated a sim ilarity betw een solid state phenom ena and the behavior of the

Lyapunov spectra for $m$ any particle system $s$ in a uid phase [16,37].
$W$ e have regarded the random $m$ atrix dynam ics in this paper as an im itation of the determ inistic chaotic dynam ics, and reproduced som e characteristics of chaotic system s, especially the stepw ise structure of the Lyapunov spectra. H ow ever we m ust not forget that there are som e di erences between the chaotic dynam ics and the random $m$ atrix dynam ics in this paper. For exam ple, in the random $m$ atrix dynam ics the $m$ ovem ent of particles are not determ in istic but stochastic, so that the zero Lyapunov exponents arising from the initial in nitesim al perturbation along the orbit in the determ in istic chaos do not appear in the random $m$ atrix dynam ics. (N ote that we got only the zero Lyapunov exponents corresponding to the total $m$ om entum conservation in the $m$ odels discussed in this paper.) W e should also mention that in the random $m$ atrix approach there is an additional statistical average over the random ness of the interactions of particles, which does not exist in the determ inistic dynam ics. This causes vagueness in the de nition of the Lyapunov exponents. In this paper we introduced the Lyapunov exponent as the tim e average of the logarithm of the random ness-averaged time evolution of a neighboring trajectory. This de nition allow sus to get a sim ple connection betw een the Lyapunov exponents and the tim e correlation of the interaction $m$ atrix as show $n$ in Eq. 26). H ow ever this de nition of the Lyapunov exponent is not proper to discuss the negative Lyapunov exponents and hence the pairing rule for the Lyapunov spectrum. On the other hand, we could adopt the de nition of the Lyapunov exponent as the tim e average of the random ness-average of the logarithm of tim e evolution of a neighboring trajectory. This de nition should be proper to discuss the negative Lyapunov exponents. The com parison of these two de nitions of the Lyapunov exponent is an unsettled problem.

O ne $m$ ay regard the random $m$ atrix approach using the $m$ aster equation in this paper as one of the few analytical approaches to calculate the Lyapunov exponents. $T$ he other statisticaland analyticalapproach for the Lyapunov exponents is the kinetic theoretical approach of Refs. 38,39]. In this approach the positive Lyapunov exponents are calculated using a Lorentz-B oltzm ann equation, while the negative Lyapunov exponents are calculated using an "anti-Lorentz-B oltzm ann equation" where the collision operator has the opposite sign to the ordinary Lorentz-Boltzm ann equation. H ow ever, so far this kinetic theoretical approach can only provide the $m$ axim um Lyapunov exponent and the K olm ogorov-Sinaientropy for dilute gases.

To im prove the random $m$ atrix approach used in this paper it is essentialto know the statisticalinform ation for the interaction $m$ atrix $R(t)$. It has already been show $n$ num erically that the tim e average of the $m$ atrix $R(t)$ is alm ost null in the system consisting of $m$ any hard-core disks [4]]. This result justi es the condition (9) in the case of $n=1$. A sim ilar investigation of the correlation of the $m$ atrix $R(t)$ in determ inistic $m$ any particle chaotic system $s$ is one of the im portant fiuture problem $s$.
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APPENDIX A: MASTER EQUATION FOR THE TANGENT SPACE

In this appendix we derive the FokkerP lank equation 13) for the tangent vector space. U sing the $K$ ram ers M oyalexpansion the dynam ics of the probability density
( ; t ) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@(\quad ; t)}{@ t}=X_{n=1}^{X^{N}=1 j_{2}=1} \quad X^{N} \quad X^{N}=1 \quad(1)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where ${\underset{j}{j} j_{1} j_{2}}_{n}^{(n)}(j \quad ; t)$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{llll}
(n) \\
j_{1} j_{2} & n & (j & ; t)
\end{array} \\
& \frac{1}{n!} \lim _{s!} \frac{1^{D}}{s}\left[\quad j_{1}(t+s) \quad j_{1}(t)\right] \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
j_{2}(t+s) & \left.j_{2}(t)\right] \\
E
\end{array}\right.} \\
& \left.f_{n}(t+s) \quad j_{n}(t)\right] \tag{array}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\quad j(t)$ is the $j$-th com ponent of the tangent vector ( t ) [3].
It follow s from Eqs. (2), (5), (6) and (8) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ll}
(t+s) & (t) \\
Z_{t+s}
\end{array} \\
& =\mathrm{T} \exp \mathrm{~J} \quad \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{r} \quad 1 \quad \text { ( } \mathrm{t})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.J L(n) J L\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad J \ddagger\right)(t) \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

(A. .4)
where ${ }_{1}^{(j)}, j=1 ; 2$ are de ned by
$\left.{ }_{1}^{(1)} R\left(\begin{array}{ll}21 & 1\end{array}\right) R\left(\begin{array}{ll}21 & 3\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{R}\right) \neq \mathrm{m}^{1}$

$$
\left.{ }_{1}^{(2)} \quad R(21) R\left(\begin{array}{ll}
21 & 2 \tag{A..}
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{R}\right) \neq \mathrm{m}^{1}:
$$

By using Eqs. (9), (10), A.2), A.3) and A.4 we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
{[t+s)} \\
Z_{t+s}^{T} \\
Z_{t+s}^{T}
\end{array} \quad(t)=\right.}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\underline{0}^{\underline{0}} \quad \frac{0}{(2)}(q) \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad{ }^{(2)}(q) \quad\left({ }_{j k}^{(2)}(q)\right)$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{j k}^{(2)}(q) \quad \frac{1}{2}_{=1}^{X^{N}} X_{j}^{N} D_{j} \quad q \quad q: \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere the only non zero contributions com efrom then $=1$ term of Eq. A.3). For general n, the num ber of delta functions from Eq. 10) m ust be only one less than the num ber oftim e integrals, to give a non zero contribution. It is straightforw ard to show that this never happens for
 in the right-hand side ofE q. A .1) are negligible because of the $G$ aussian white properties (9) and (10) of the random $m$ atrix $R(t)$. $U$ sing this fact and $E q s$. (12), A.1), A.7) and A.8) we obtain the FokkerP lanck equation (13).

> APPENDIX B:LYAPUNOVEXPONENTS IN THERANDOM MATRIXAPPROACH

In this appendix we derive the expression 26) for the Lyapunov exponents from the de nition (25). Transform ing Eqs. (18), (19) and (20) into the equations for the quantities $\underset{j k}{\sim(1)}(t)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{(2)}(\quad ; t) \quad\left({ }_{j k}^{(2)}(\quad ; t)\right) \\
& =\lim _{s!0} \frac{1}{2 s}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
{[ } & (t+s) & (t)]
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{(1)}(\quad \text {; }) \\
& \left({ }_{1}^{(1)}(\quad \text {; } \mathrm{t}){ }^{(1)}(\quad \text {; }) \text {; } \quad{ }_{2 N^{i}}^{(1)}(\quad \text {; })\right)^{T} \\
& =\lim _{s!} \frac{1}{s}[\quad(t+s) \\
& \text { (t)] (t)= } \\
& =\lim _{s!} \frac{1}{s}_{t}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{hJL}(\mathrm{l}) \tag{A.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{\sim}{ }_{j k}^{(1)}(t)}{d t}=\frac{2}{m} \sim_{j k}^{\sim(2)}(t)  \tag{B.1}\\
& \frac{d^{\sim}{ }_{j k}^{(2)}(t)}{d t}=\frac{1}{m} \sim_{j k}^{(3)}(t) \tag{B2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d_{j k}^{\sim(3)}(t)}{d t}=X^{X^{N} \quad X^{N} \quad X^{N} \quad X^{N}} T_{j} \quad k D \\
& \left.{ }^{(1)} \text { ( } \mathrm{t}\right) \\
& =X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} X^{N} T_{j} \quad k D \\
& =1=1=1=1 \\
& X^{N} \quad X^{N} \quad X^{N} \quad T 0000 T 0 \quad 0 \quad{ }_{0}^{(1)} 0(t) \\
& 0=1 \quad 0=1 \quad 0=1 \quad 0=1 \\
& =j \mathrm{k} \underset{j \mathrm{k}}{\sim}(\mathrm{t}) \tag{B.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used Eqs. (12), (21), 22) and (23). Equations B.1), B.2) and B.3) lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{3} \sim_{j j}^{(1)}(t)}{d t^{3}}=\frac{2 \quad{ }_{j j}^{2} \sim_{j j}^{(1)}(t), ~(t)}{m^{2}}  \tag{B.4}\\
& \frac{d^{2} \sim_{j j}^{(1)}(t)}{d t^{2}}=\frac{2}{m^{2}} \underset{j j}{\sim} \underset{j)}{(3)}(t)  \tag{B.5}\\
& \frac{d^{\sim}{ }_{j j}^{(1)}(t)}{d t}=\frac{2}{m} \sim_{j j}^{(2)}(t): \tag{B.6}
\end{align*}
$$

It is noted that E q. B.4) is the di erentialequation only for the quantity $\sim_{j j}^{(1)}(t)$.

If the quantity $j_{j j}$ is zero, then the quantity $\sim_{j j}^{(1)}(\mathrm{t})$ is a bilinear function of tim e $t$ so that the Lyapunov exponent de ned by Eq. 25) gives zero, nam ely Eq. (26) is correct in this case. In the case of $j ; 0$, noting that the functionsexp $\left[\left(2 \quad j j=m^{2}\right)^{1=3} t \quad \exp (2 \mathrm{ki}=3)\right], k=0 ; 1 ; 2$ are special solutions of Eq. B.4), we obtain the general solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{j j}{\sim(t)}\left(\begin{array}{l}
(1) \\
j
\end{array} \exp \left(K_{j} t\right)\right. \\
& +\operatorname{Re}{ }_{j}^{(2)} i{ }_{j}^{(3)} \exp K_{j} t \quad \exp \frac{2}{3} i \\
& \begin{array}{l}
={ }_{j_{\boldsymbol{\prime}}}^{(1)} \exp \left(K_{j} t\right) \\
+\quad{ }_{j}^{(2)} \cos \frac{p_{\overline{3}}}{2} K_{j} t+{ }_{j}^{(3)} \sin \frac{p_{\overline{3}}}{2} K_{j} t
\end{array} \\
& \exp \frac{1}{2} K_{j} t \tag{B.7}
\end{align*}
$$

 de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{j} \quad{\frac{2}{m_{j}}}^{1=3}: \tag{B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here ${ }_{j}^{(k)}, k=1 ; 2 ; 3$ are realconstants and are connected to the initial condition as

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{j}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{3}{ }^{\prime \prime} \sim_{j j}^{(1)}(0)+\frac{2^{\sim}{ }_{j j}^{(2)}(0)}{m K_{j}}+\frac{2^{\sim}{ }_{j j}^{(3)}(0)}{\left(m K_{j}\right)^{2}} \#_{\#}^{\#}  \tag{B.9}\\
& { }_{j}^{(2)}=\frac{2}{3}{ }^{\prime \prime}{\underset{j j}{(1)}(0)}_{\sim_{j j}^{(2)}(0)}^{m K_{j}} \frac{\sim_{j j}^{(3)}(0)}{\left(m K_{j}\right)^{2}}  \tag{B.10}\\
& { }_{j}^{(3)}=\frac{2}{m K_{j}} p_{\overline{3}}^{"} \sim_{j j}^{(2)}(0) \frac{\sim_{j j}^{(3)}(0)}{m K_{j}} \tag{B.11}
\end{align*}
$$

by using Eqs. B. . , B . 6 ) and B.7) By substituting Eq. B.7) into Eq. 25) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=\frac{K_{j}}{2}={\frac{j j}{(2 m)^{2}}}^{1=3} \tag{B.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

nam ely Eq. 26).
Equations B.5) and B.8) im ply that the quantities $\sim_{j j}^{(2)}(t)$ and $\sim_{j j}^{(3)}(t)$ are given by taking the rst and second derivative of the quantity $\sim_{j j}^{(1)}(t) w$ ith respect to the tim e $t$, respectively. $T$ his fact leads to the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{t!1} \frac{1}{2 t} \ln \frac{\sim_{j j}^{(1)}(t)}{\sim} & =\lim _{j j}^{(1)}(0) \tag{B.13}
\end{align*} \frac{1}{2 t} \ln \frac{\sim_{j j}^{(2)}(t)}{\sim} \underset{j j}{(2)}(0) .
$$

Namely we get the same Lyapunov exponents as in Eq. 25) through the equations $\lim _{t!}+1$ $\left.(2 t)^{1} \ln \left[\sim_{j j}^{\sim}(\mathrm{k})(\mathrm{t})\right)=\sim_{j j}^{\sim(k)}(0)\right], k=2 ; 3$.

APPENDIX C:LYAPUNOVEXPONENTSFOR ONE DIMENSIONALMODELSW ITH THE NEAREST NEIGHBOR INTERACTIONS

In this appendix we give the derivation of Eqs. 38) and (39) from Eqs. (34) and (37). W e also show Eqs. 41) and 42) in the case of $A=A{ }^{(0)}+\mathrm{A}^{(1)}$.
$W$ e de ne the discretized Fourier-transform $m$ atrix F $\quad\left(F_{j k}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k l} \quad p_{\bar{N}}^{1} \exp \quad 2 i \frac{k l}{N} \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an unitary matrix: $F^{Y} F=F F^{Y}=I$ w th the superscript y representing the Hem itian con jugate of the $m$ atrix.

First, it follow s from Eqs. 37) and (C.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{N} A_{j}^{(0)} F_{k} \\
& =1 \\
& =\frac{p}{\bar{N}} \quad 2 \exp (2 \quad i j k=N)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +N j j 2 \exp (2 i(j \quad 1) k=N) \\
& +(N \quad 1) j j 1 \exp (2 \quad i(j+1) k=N) \\
& +N j j N \exp (2 i(j \quad N+1) k=N) \\
& +1 j j 1 \exp (2 i(j+N \quad 1) k=N) \\
& =a_{k}^{(0)} F_{j k} \tag{C2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $j k$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& 1 \text { in } j \quad k  \tag{C.3}\\
j k & 0 \text { in } j<k
\end{array}
$$

and satis es the relations $j k \mathrm{kj}=\mathrm{jk}$ and $\mathrm{Nnnnl}+$ (1 1)n $\mathrm{n} 1=1$ for any integer 12 f2;3; ; N ] and any integern2 $f 1 ; 2 ; \quad ; N$ g. Here the $k$-th eigenvalif ${ }_{k}^{(0)}$ aof the $m$ atrix $A^{(0)}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\mathrm{k}}^{(0)}=2!\quad 1 \quad \cos \frac{2 \mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{~N}}: \tag{C.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the formula (34) for the eigenvalues $a_{j}=a_{j}^{(0)}$ given by Eq. ( .4) we obtain Eqs. 38) . The eigenvalues of the $m$ atrix $A^{(0)}$ have degeneracies because of the relation $a_{N}^{(0)}{ }_{j}=a_{j}^{(0)}$ in $j<N=2$, so we obtain Eq. 39). Equation ( C 2 ) im plies that the $m$ atrix $A^{(0)}$ is diagonalized by using the $m$ atrix $F:\left(F^{y_{A}}{ }^{(0)} F\right)_{j k}=a_{j}^{(0)}{ }_{j k}$. $T$ he eigenvectors $x_{j}^{(0)}$ of the $m$ atrix $A^{(0)}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}^{(0)}$ is represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{j}^{(0)}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{1 j} ; \mathrm{F}_{2 j} ; \quad{ }_{\mathrm{N}} ; \nexists^{\mathrm{T}} ;\right. \tag{C.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we have the relation $A^{(0)} x_{n}^{(0)}=a_{j}^{(0)} x_{n}^{(0)}$. This set of eigenvectors satis es the com pleteness condition and is norm alized, nam ely ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}=1 \mathrm{X}^{(0)} \mathrm{X}^{(0)} \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{I}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{(0)} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}}{ }^{(0)}={ }_{j \mathrm{k}}$.

Second, we expand the $j$-th eigenvalue $a_{j}$ of the $m$ atrix $A=A^{(0)}+{ }^{(A}{ }^{(1)}$ in the $s m$ all param eter ", nam ely $a_{j}=a_{j}^{(0)}+" a_{j}^{(1)}+\quad$, and consider the rst order correction " $a_{j}^{(1)}$ by using the well-known perturbation theory for a degenerate system. $W$ e introduce the eigenstate $x_{j}$ of the $m$ atrix A corresponding to the eigenvalue $a_{j}$, and expands it $w$ ith the com plete set $\mathrm{fx}_{1}^{(0)} g_{1}$ of the vectors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j}=X_{=1}^{x^{N}} C_{j} x^{(0)} \tag{C.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th the constant $c_{j} \quad x^{(0)} y^{x} x_{j}$. The relation $A x_{j}=$ $a_{j} x_{j}$ is translated into the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{N} h\left(a_{k}^{(0)} \quad a_{j}\right)_{k}+W_{k}^{i} C_{j}=0 \tag{C..7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the coe cients $f{ }_{j k} g_{j ; k}$ and the eigenvalue $a_{j} w i t h$ the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{j k} \quad x_{j}^{(0)} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(0)}: \tag{C.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e expand the coe cient $c_{j k}$ by the sm all param eter ": $c_{j k}=C_{j k}^{(0)}+" C_{j k}^{(1)}+$
. N ow we calculate the rst or der corrections " $a_{j}^{(1)}$ and " $a_{N}^{(1)}{ }_{j}$ of the eigenvalues $a_{j}$ and $a_{N}, j$, respectively, which have a degeneracy in the 0-th order of the param eter ". For this punpose, instead of the coe cients $\mathrm{fc}_{j k} \mathrm{~g}_{j \mathrm{j} k}$ appearing in Eq. C.7) it is enough to consider the 0 -th order coe cients $\mathrm{fc}_{\mathrm{jk}} \mathrm{g}_{j ; \mathrm{k}}$, which are zero except for $\left.C_{j j}^{(0)}, C_{j(\mathbb{N}}^{(0)}{ }_{j)}, C_{(\mathbb{N}}^{(0)} \quad j\right) j$ and $\left.C_{(\mathbb{N}}^{(0)} \quad j\right)(\mathbb{N} \quad j)$. $T$ his leads to the equation

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { D et } & a^{(1)}+W_{j j} & W_{j(\mathbb{N}} & j)  \tag{C.9}\\
W_{(\mathbb{N}} j_{j) j} & \left.a^{(1)}+W_{(\mathbb{N}} \quad j\right)(\mathbb{N} & j) & =0
\end{array}
$$

for $a^{(1)}$, whose solutions give the eigenvalues $a_{j}^{(1)}$ and $a_{N}^{(1)}{ }_{j} \cdot$ W e can solve Eq. C. (9) and obtain
noting the relation $W_{k j}=W_{j k} w$ ith the superscript representing the com plex con jugate of the com plex num ber. The eigenvalue $a_{N}^{(0)}$ has no degeneracy so we sim ply have $a_{N}^{(1)}=W_{N N}$. If the number $N$ is even, then the eigenvalue $a_{\mathrm{N}=2}^{(0)}$ has no degeneracy, so we also have $a_{N=2}^{(1)}=W_{(N=2)(N=2)}$ in this case.

By using Eqs. (40), ( . . ), ( C. .5) and (C.8) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{k l}=\quad[1 \quad \exp (2 \quad i k=N)] \\
& {\left[1 \quad \exp \left(2 \quad i \_=N\right)\right] N^{1}} \\
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}} \\
& \exp \left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & i & (k \quad 1)=N
\end{array}\right]: \tag{C.11}
\end{align*}
$$

E specially we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{k k}=\boldsymbol{j} \quad \exp (2 \quad i k=N) \jmath^{2} \sim_{0} \\
& \left.=\frac{\sim_{0}}{!} a_{k}^{(0)}=\begin{array}{lll}
(\mathbb{N} & k)(\mathbb{N} & k
\end{array}\right)  \tag{C.12}\\
& \left.\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{~N}} \quad \mathrm{k}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \left.\exp \left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & \mathrm{ik}=\mathrm{N}
\end{array}\right)\right]^{2} \sim_{\mathrm{k}} .
\end{array}\right. \tag{C.13}
\end{align*}
$$

from Eqs. 43), C. A) and C.11). By substituting Eqs. (c.12) and (c.13) into Eq. (c.10) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{j}^{(1)} & =\frac{a_{j}^{(0)}}{!}\left(\sim_{0}+j \sim_{j} j\right)  \tag{C.14}\\
a_{N}^{(1)}{ }_{j} & =\frac{a_{N}^{(0)}{ }_{j}\left(\sim_{0} \quad j \sim_{j} j\right)}{!} \tag{C.15}
\end{align*}
$$

in the case of $j<N=2$, where we used the relation打 $\left.{ }_{j(\mathbb{N}} \quad j\right) j=j \sim_{j} a_{j}^{(0)}=$ ! jand num bered so that we obtain $\left(a_{j}^{(1)} \quad a_{N}^{(1)}{ }_{j}\right)!=a_{j}^{(0)} \quad$ O. By using Eq. C..12) we also obtain $a_{N=2}^{(1)}=\sim_{0} a_{N=2}^{(0)}=$ ! in the case of the num ber $N$ to be even, and $a_{N}^{(1)}=0$ for the rst order correction to the non-degenerate eigenvalues of them atrix A ${ }^{(0)}$. By applying the form ula 34) to the case of $a_{j}=a_{j}^{(0)}+" a_{j}^{(1)}+0\left({ }^{2}\right)$ w th the quantity $a_{j}^{(1)}$ given by Eq. C.14) or C.15), we obtain Eqs. 41) and (42).

APPENDIX D: LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS FOR ONED IM ENSIONALMODELSW ITH LONG RANGE INTERACTIONSOFAFIXED STRENGTH

In this appendix we calculate the Lyapunov spectra for the system $s$ w ith long range interactions of a xed strength.

F inst we consider the case described by the $m$ atrix $A=A^{\left[n_{1}\right]} \quad\left(A_{j k}^{\left[n_{1}\right]}\right)$ de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{j k}^{\left[n_{1}\right]}= 2 n_{l}!j k+!X^{n_{1}} \\
&+j(k+1)+k(j+1)  \tag{D.1}\\
& \\
& j(k+N \quad l)+k(j+N \quad l)
\end{align*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{n}_{1}<\mathrm{N}=2$ and a (non-zero) real constant !. It should be noted that the $m$ atrix $A^{\left[n_{1}\right]}$ given by Eq. (46) is attributed into this $m$ atrix $A^{\left[n_{1}\right]}$ in the case of ${ }_{j}^{[1]}=$ !. The matrix $A^{\left[n_{1}\right]}$ is diagonalized by the $m a-$ trix $F$ de ned by Eq. C.1), and we obtain the rela-

$2!\left[n_{1} \quad \underset{l=1}{n_{1}} \cos (2 \quad j l=N)\right]$ : By applying the formula (34) to the eigenvalue $a_{j}=a_{j}^{\left[n_{1}\right]}$ we obtain the Lyapunov exponent

The Lyapunov exponents given by Eq. (D.2) satis es the relation $j^{\prime}={ }_{N}$ in $j<N=2$, so the Lyapunov spectrum of this system has a stepw ise structure.

Second we consider the case that each particle interacts $w$ th all the other particles w th the sam e strength. $T$ his is described by the $m$ atrix $A=A^{\mathbb{N}=2]} \quad\left(A_{j k}^{\mathbb{N}=2]}\right)$, which is de ned by

$$
A_{j k}^{\mathbb{N}=2]} \quad 2!\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & N & j k \tag{D,3}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

nam ely the $m$ atrix whose o -diagonalelem ents are nonzero and equal w ith each other. The $m$ atrix (D 3) is diagonalized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F^{Y_{A}}{ }^{\mathbb{N}=2]} F\right)_{j k}=2 N!\left(1 \quad{ }_{j N}\right)_{j k} \tag{D..4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using them atrix $F$ de ned by Eq. C.1), so the eigenvalues of the $m$ atrix $A^{\mathbb{N}=2]}$ are $2 N$ ! and 0 . By substituting the eigenvalues of the $m$ atrix $A=A^{\mathbb{N}=2]}$ in the form ula (34) we obtain the Lyapunov spectrum as

$$
[j]=\quad \begin{array}{cl}
\frac{N!}{m} \\
0 & \begin{array}{l}
\text { in } j=1 ; 2 ; \\
\text { in } j=N ;
\end{array}
\end{array} \quad N \quad 1 \quad \text { (D.5) }
$$

nam ely the shape of positive Lyapunov spectrum in this system is just in a straight horizontal line. It should be noted that the quantity! $m$ ay depend on the num ber $N$ of the particles in general. T herefore the consideration in this appendix is not enough to discuss the particle num ber dependence of the $m$ axim um Lyapunov exponent.
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