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A bstract

Sym bolic dynam ics for hom oclinic orbits in the tw o-dim ensional sym $m$ etric $m a p$, $x_{n+1}+c x_{n}+x_{n}=3 x_{n}^{3}$, is discussed. Above a critical $c$, the system exhibits a fully-developed horse-shoe so that its global behavior is described by a com plete temary sym bolic dynam ics. T he relative location of hom oclinic onbits is determ ined by their sequences according to a sim ple rule, which can be used to num erically locate orbits in phase space. W ith the decrease of $c, m$ ore and $m$ ore pairs of hom oclinic orbits collide and disappear. Forbidden zone in the sym bolic space induced by the collision is discussed.

## 1 Introduction

This paper concems locating hom oclinic orbits ( $\mathrm{H} O \mathrm{~s}$ ) for m aps. The topic received som e recent interests because it is closely related to nding spatially localized oscillatory solutions in one-dim ensional lattices (see [1] $\left.\underline{11}_{1}^{1}\right]$ and references therein). $N$ um ericalm ethods usually involve a shooting strategy or an assum ption of the in itial topology of HOS a deep understanding of the qualitative behavior of $m$ aps is im portant. A s a coarse-grained description of dynam ics, sym bolic dynam ics(SD ) has been productively applied to one- and tw o-dim ensionalm aps [īq]. Thee ectiveness of $S D$ relies on the hyperbolic nature of the underlying dynam ics. Therefore, SD should be a pow erfiul tool to describe the qualitative behavior of HOS when the system is dom inated by unstable $m$ otion. In this paper, we shall discuss th is through a speci cmap.
$T$ he system we consider is the $m$ ap with its orbits $f x_{n} g$ obeying the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{c} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} 1=3 \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}^{3} ; \tag{1:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

 num ber, so only $c$ is the param eter of dynam ical signi cance. Let ( $\left.\mathrm{a}_{1} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} 1\right)$, Eq.(1) can be rew ritten as a two-dim ensional conservative $m$ ap,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{n+1} ; b_{n+1}\right)=F\left(a_{n} ; b_{n}\right)=\left(3 a_{n}^{3} \quad c a_{n} \quad b_{n} ; a_{n}\right): \tag{1:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system contains a xed point $\mathrm{O}=(0 ; 0)$, which is unstable when jcj> 2 . A s in Ref. ITl], we consider the orbits that are hom oclinic to point O at $\mathrm{c}>2$. The paper is organized as follow s . Section 2 gives a prelim inary discussion of the $m$ ap. Section 3 contains a general description of its SD. In Sec. 4, sym m etric HO s are discussed in detail. T his is follow ed by a brief sum m ary.

## 2 Prelim inary

### 2.1 Sym m etries

M ap (12) is invariant under the spatialreversion (a;b)! (a; b). M oreover, it has two inverse sym $m$ etries, $I_{1}:(a ; b)!(b ; a)$ and $I_{2}:(a ; b)!(b ; a) . I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ satisfy $I_{1} F I_{1}=I_{2} F I_{2}=F^{1}$ and $I_{1}^{2}=I_{2}^{2}=I . T$ he inverse sym $m$ etries determ ine four fundam ental sym $m$ etry lines,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
s l_{1}: & I_{1}(a ; b)=F(a ; b) & \text { or } \\
s a^{3} \quad c a \quad 2 b=0  \tag{2:1}\\
s l_{2}: & I_{1}(a ; b)=(a ; b) & a \quad b=0 \\
s I_{3}: & I_{2}(a ; b)=F(a ; b) & a=0 \\
s l_{4}: & I_{2}(a ; b)=(a ; b) & a+b=0
\end{array}
$$

For points on these lines, their forw ard and backw ard trajectories are related by sim ple rules. Speci cally, $F^{k}(X)$ coincides $w$ ith $I_{1} F^{1 k}(X), I_{1} F^{k}(X), I_{2} F^{1 k}(X)$ or $I_{2} F^{k}(X)$ if $X$ belongs to $\mathrm{Sl}_{1}, \mathrm{Sl}_{2}, \mathrm{Sl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{Sl}_{4}$, respectively. Therefore, if X further belongs to the unstable m anifold of a xed point, it m ust be a hom oclinic or herteroclinic point. This property was used to locate H O s w th sym m etry [īin.

### 2.2 N orm al form for stable and unstable manifolds

N um erical investigation of HOS relies essentially on a precise com putation of the stable and unstable m anifolds. For canonic system s , the invariant m anifold of a unstable xed point $X_{0}=\left(a_{0} ; b_{0}\right)$ can be determ ined by the Birkho norm al form '[9]. The manifold is given by the param eterized curve $X(t)=(a(t) ; b(t))$ w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)=x_{i=0}^{X_{i}} a_{i} t^{i} \quad \text { and } \quad b(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{X_{i}} b_{i} t^{i} ; \tag{2:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where coe cients $f a_{i} ; b_{i} g$ are determ ined by requiring

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t)=F(X \quad(t)): \tag{2:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere is one of the eigenvalues of $F$ at $X_{0}$, speci cally, $j j>1$ for the unstable manifold and $j j<1$ for the stable one. Take $X_{0}=O$ as an example. Its unstable $m$ anifold can be wrilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{u}(t)=(G(t) ; G(t)) ; \tag{2:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $=\left(c+P \overline{c^{2} \quad 4}\right)=2$ and $G(t)=g_{1} t+g_{2} t^{2}+g_{3} t^{3}::: w$ th $g_{1}=1$ and
for $\mathrm{k}>1 . \mathrm{W}$ hen $\mathrm{k}!1, g_{k}$ drops very fast if c is not too sm all (e.g. $\mathrm{c}>3$ ). In our num erical study, $O_{u}(t)$ at $t \quad$ is com puted by the series expansion $w$ ith a reasonable cuto. A pplying $F$ on a precisely determ ined piece of $O_{u}(t)(2.4)$, we may obtain $O_{u}(t)$ at large $t$ with high precision.

## 3 Sym bolic dynam ics: general description

### 3.1 Fully-developed horse-shoe and com plete sym bolic dynam ics

The global dynam ics of (12) at large $c$ is $m$ ost conveniently accounted for based on the relation between the stable and unstable $m$ anifolds of the $x e d$ points $A=\left(x_{d} ; x_{d}\right)$ and $B=\left(x_{d} ; x_{d}\right)$, where $x_{d}=p \frac{p}{(c+2)=3}$. Figure 1a show s these invariant $m$ anifolds at $c=5: 7$, denoted by $A_{s} ; A_{u} ; B_{s}$ and $B_{u}$, respectively. The rst part of $A_{s}, \overline{A C E}$, is approxim ately a horizontal line. $F^{1} \mathrm{~m}$ aps $\overline{\mathrm{AC}}$ to $\overline{\mathrm{ACE}}$ and two additional nearly horizontal segm ents, $\overline{\mathrm{EG}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{GJ}}$, form ing a stum. The rst three parts of $A_{u}, B_{s}$ and $B_{u}$ can be generated from $A_{s}$ by taking advantage of the sym m etry.

U nder the action of $F^{1}$, the area con ned by the rst segm ents of $A_{A}, A_{u}, B_{s}$ and $B_{u}$ (the diam ond-shaped ACBD in Fig. 1a) is transform ed to the s-shaped region ACEGJBDFHK, indicating that the global dynam ics exhibits a well-developed horse-shoe. This is schem atically show $n$ in $F$ ig.1b. A fter taking into consideration of the sym $m$ etry, we can see that this geom etric structure is guaranteed by the intersection between EGJ consisting of the second and third segm ents of $A_{s}$ and $\overline{A D}$, the rst part of $A_{u}$. The points of intersection correspond to two orb its that are hom oclinic to point A. In fact, the two points lie on sly and hence correspond to HOS $w$ th re ection sym $m$ etry. $W$ ith the decrease of $c$, the two points $m$ ove tow ard each other and collide at $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{c} \quad 5: 453254835$, leading a tangency of $A_{s}, A_{u}$ and $s l_{1}$.

A s a prototype of chaotic dynam ics, the global behavior of horse-shoe is well-known. In our case, it is conveniently described by a com plete temary SD.

Sym bolic representation of points A ll stable (or unstable) $m$ aniflds, that generally refer to invariant vector eld and shrink under the action of (or $\mathrm{F}^{1}$ ) [8], can be naturally divided into three groups and coded with,+ 0 and , respectively (see $F$ ig. 1). C orrespondingly, a point $X$ in phase space is represented by a bilateral sym bolic sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(X)=::: S_{2} S_{1} \quad \$ S_{1} S_{2}::: \quad S \quad(X) \quad S_{+}(X) ; \tag{3:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{k} 2 \mathrm{f}+; 0$; g is the code for the stable (or unstable) m anifold on which $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{k}}$ ( X ) (or $\left.F^{k+1}(X)\right)$ is located. For exam ple, the sym bolic sequence for points $C, J, D$ and $K$ in $F$ ig.la are ${ }^{1}+^{1},{ }^{1} \quad+^{1},+^{1} \quad{ }^{1}$ and $+^{1}+{ }^{1}$, respectively.

The com pleteness of $S D$ eans that each sequence is realized by at least one phase space
point. By de nition we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \quad F(X)=F \quad S(X) ; \tag{3:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is the right shift of $\backslash$ " w ith respect to the sequence. T herefore, a bilateral sym bolic sequence w thout \"can be used to represent an orbit w ithout its in itialpoint speci ed. For exam ple, the sym bolic sequences of the $x e d$ points $A, B$ and $O$ are $+^{1},{ }^{1}$ and $0^{1}$, respectively.

O rder of sym bolic sequences A point is indicated by its forw ard sequence $S_{+}$(hence stable $m$ anifold) and backw ard sequence $S$ (unstable $m$ anifold). The location of stable $m$ anifolds in the phase space induce a nature order to forw ard sym bolic sequences, $8 s_{1} s_{2}:::>\quad$ \& $s_{1}^{0} s_{2}^{0}::$ if the stable $m$ anifold of $s s_{1} s_{2}::$ is above that of $\& s_{1}^{0} s_{2}^{0}:::$. T he assignm ent of letters im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
+>\quad 0>\quad ; \tag{3:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., any sequence beginning $w$ ith $\backslash+$ " is larger than any sequence beginning $w$ ith $\backslash 0$ ", whidh is in tum larger than any sequence beginning with $\backslash$ ". The com parison of two arbitrary sequences relies on the fact that + and preserve the order while 0 reverses $i t$. ( $N$ ote that the eigenvalues of xed pointsA and B are positive while those ofpoint $O$ are negative.) A ssum e that
 if the num ber of $\backslash 0 "$ in $s_{1} s_{2}::: s_{n}$ is even (or odd). For exam ple, the rst three segm ents of $A_{s}$ correspond to $+^{1}, 0+^{1}$ and $+^{1}$, respectively. It can be easily veri ed that the rst am ong the three is the largest forw ard sequence, and that there is no sequence which is sm aller than the second and at the sam e tim e larger than the third. Sim ilarly, backw ard sequences can be ordered in accordance $w$ th the location of their unstable $m$ anifolds in the phase space. W e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
::: s_{3} s_{2} s_{1} \quad>::: s_{2} s_{2}^{0} s_{1}^{0} \quad, \quad\left\{s_{2} s_{3}:::>\quad \& s_{2}^{0} s_{3}^{0}::::\right. \tag{3:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e shall indicate a point, an onbit or a piece of invariant $m$ anifold $w$ ith the sam e sym bolic sequence if there is no danger of causing confusion.

### 3.2 U nder-developed horse-shoe and truncated sym bolic dynam ics

W hen $c<c$, the second and third segm ents of $A_{s}$ do not cross the rst segm ent of $A_{u}$. In this case, there are som e \forbidden" sequences, e.g. $+{ }^{1} 0+{ }^{1}$ and $+{ }^{1}+{ }^{1}$, which cannot be realized by any real orbits. C onsider a sequence $S=S \quad S_{+}$. W hen $c>c$, it corresponds to a real orbit on which there is a point being the intersection of stable manifold $S_{4}$ and unstable $m$ anifold $S$. W hen we reduce $c$, the tw o segm ens of $m$ anifold $w$ ill vary continuously $w$ ith $c$ and they $m$ ust be tangent at a critical value of $c=\sigma_{0}$ before $S$ is forbidden. $T$ he tangency can
be viewed as a collision of two orbits. Since the tw o colliding orbits are in nitely close when
 the other is $S_{1} \quad S_{2}$. (A logically $m$ ore $m$ eaningfill statem ent is that, to $m$ aintain the sim ple order of invariant $m$ anifolds, the partition line that separates $S_{1} 0$ and $S_{1}$ should pass the tangent point $[\bar{q}])$. T he tangency of stable $m$ anifold $S_{2} w$ th unstable $m$ anifolds $S_{1} 0$ and $S_{1}$ im plies a forbidden zone in the space of sequences or sym bolic space $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[ } \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. Speci cally speaking, sequence $S^{0}=S_{1}^{0} \quad S_{2}^{0} w$ ith

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
S_{1} & S_{1}^{0} & S_{1} 0 & \text { and } & S_{2} & S_{2} \tag{3:5a}
\end{array}
$$

corresponds to no point in the phase space (Fig 2a). Sim ilarly, if the two colliding orbits are $S_{1} 0 S_{2}$ and $S_{1}+S_{2}$, the forbidden zone is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}+\quad S_{1}^{0} \quad S_{1} 0 \quad \text { and } \quad S_{2} \quad S_{2}: \tag{3:5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

O f course, any sequence $S^{0} \mathrm{~W}$ ith certain $\mathrm{F}^{k}\left(S^{0}\right)$ satis es (3.5) is also forbidden.

D ue to sym metry, it is not exceptional in our case that the sequences of the two colliding orbits di er by two symbols. T he forbidden zone due to such collision will be also discussed.

## 4 Sym m etric hom oclin ic orb its

W e now focus on the orbits that are hom oclinic to the xed point 0 . The unstable $m$ anifold of $O$ is given by (2.4). From the sym $m$ etry, the stable $m$ anifold can be written as $O_{s}(t)=$ ( $G(t) ; G(t))$. By de nition, HOs correspond to the solutions of equations $G(t)=G(e)$ and $G(t)=G\left(t^{0}\right)$. H ow ever, the equations have little practical use because $G(t)$ changes violently at large $t$. T he m ore usefiul know ledge is the global location of the stable and unstable manifolds. $W$ hen $c>c$, each sequence $0^{1} S O^{1}$ corresponds to a HO.To locate the orbit in the phase space, we can scan initial point $X$ on $0^{1}$, the rst segm ent of $Q$, searching for the target $S_{+}(X)=S 0^{1}$. For a sym m etric $H O$, the target can be replaced by $F^{k}(X) 2 s l_{i}$ or altematively the scan can be perform ed on a sym $m$ etry line. In all cases, the order of forw ard sequences can be used for an e ective searching strategy. H aving a HO at large c, we can trace it to sm all c until it collides w ith another H O and disappears. W e shall not go into details about the locating of HOs. W e shall focus on the com patibility between HOs at c<c.

In the follow ing discussion, the stable and unstable m anifolds are alw ays referred to as $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{u}}$. W e rst give another description of the forbidden zone induced from the tangency of
stable and unstable manifolds, or the collision of two HOs. Let X and $\mathrm{X}^{0}$ be two hom oclinic points which collide each other when c ! C . For c > c , the stable and unstable manifolds connecting $X$ and $X^{0}$ form a closed curve $O\left(X ; X^{0}\right.$ ) (see Fig. 2), which shrinks to a single point $w$ hen $c=\sigma_{0}$. N ote that both $O_{s}$ and $O_{u}$ are continuous and self-avoiding curves. Consider a hom oclinic point enclosed by $O\left(X ; X^{0}\right)$ or lying on $O\left(X ; X^{0}\right)$. Being the intersection of stable and unstable $m$ anifolds, the point cannot cross $O\left(X ; X^{0}\right)$ when $c$ is continuously varied. T he area enclosed by $O\left(X ; X^{0}\right)$ at $c>c$ vanish before $c=S$. Therefore, $O\left(X ; X{ }^{0}\right)$ de nes the forbidden zone corresponds to the collision of $X$ and $X^{0}$.

It can be seen that the forbidden zone de ned by $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{X}^{0}\right)$ coincides $w$ th that de ned by (3.5) if $S(X)$ and $S\left(X^{0}\right)$ di er by only one sym bol (see $F i g 2 b$ ). To study the evolution of sym $m$ etric HO s, we need also consider the the case that $S(X)$ and $S\left(X^{0}\right)$ di er by two symbols. For this purpose, the structure of stable and unstable $m$ anifolds should be studied in detail. C onsider the $3^{k}$ segm ents of stable $m$ anffold of the form $S \neq$, where $S$ exhausts all the temary strings $w$ ith length $j \mathrm{j} j=\mathrm{k}$. T he relative locations of these segm ents of $m$ anifold in the phase space are determ ined by the order of $S . W$ e ask that how the 3 segm ents are connected to form a continuous curve. A ll these segm ents are generated from $\theta$ by $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{k}}$, i.e., $\mathrm{F}^{k}(\mathrm{~S} \theta)=\mathrm{G} \dot{\theta}$. W e m ay cut the segm ent $\sigma$, according to backw ard sequences, into $3^{k}$ pieces, each of which are coded w ith $S$. The way to joint these $\begin{aligned} & k \\ & \text { pieces into the whole segm ent } \theta \text { is determ ined }\end{aligned}$ by the order of the $3^{k}$ strings $S$. A fter expanding under $F^{k}$, the segm ent $O$ becom es the $3^{k}$ segm ents of $S \theta$, so they should be connected also according to $S$. In the sim plest case of $k=1$, three segm ents of $O_{s}$ from top to bottom are $+\theta, \theta$ and $\theta$. Form ing an stum, $+\theta$ and $\theta$ joint $w$ ith $\theta$ at its left and right ends, respectively. For $k=2$, the nine segm ents of $O_{s}$ are ordered from top to bottom as

$$
++;+0 ;+; 0 ; 00 ; 0+; \quad+; 0 \text { and } ;
$$

as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 3 schem atically by horizontal lines. In the gure $S$ is also taken as the abbreviation for $S \theta$. These segm ents are connected end to end according to the follow ing order of backward S :

$$
++; 0+; \quad+; 0 ; 00 ;+0 ;+; 0 \text { and } \quad \text { : }
$$

The arrangem ent is shown in $F$ ig. $3 . W$ e see that pair $(00 ;+0)$ joint at their left ends, $(+0 ;+\quad)$ joint at their right ends, (+ ;0 ) joint at their left ends, and so on. In general, the sequence pair of connected segm ents of stable $m$ anifold take one of the follow ing form $s$,
(
(i) $S={ }^{m} 0 S_{0}$ and $S^{0}={ }^{m}+S_{0}$;
(ii) $S=+{ }^{m} 0 S_{0}$ and $S^{0}=+{ }^{m} \quad S_{0}$;
where $m \quad 0$. Segm ent pair of type (i) are connected at their left ends while pair of type (ii) are connected at their right ends. By taking advantage of the sym $m$ etry, a parallel description can be given to the unstable $m$ anifold.

Now we study the forbidden zone im plied by the collision of two sym metric hos. Let us rst consider two exam ples. In the rst case, $S(X)=\theta \quad+++\theta$ and $S\left(X^{0}\right)=0^{1} \quad+++\theta$. From the above discussion, $0^{1}$ and $\theta$ are connected at their upper endswhile +++6 and $+++\sigma$ are connected at their right ends. The forbidden zone enclosed by $0\left(X ; X^{0}\right)$ is show n in F ig. 2c. W e see that it is the union of two forbidden zones, one is de ned by $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{X}^{\infty}\right)$ and the other by $O\left(X^{0} ; X^{\infty}\right)$, where $S\left(X^{\infty}\right)=0^{1}+++\theta$ (or $\left.0^{1}+++\theta\right)$.

The next example is $S(X)=0^{1} \quad 0 \quad 00 \quad \sigma$ and $S(X)=0^{1}+0+{ }^{1} \cdot N$ ow $0^{1} \quad 0$ and $0^{1}+$ are connected at the bottom. $O n$ the other hand, $00 \quad b$ and $0+\sigma$ are not joint neighboring segm ents on $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}$. They are connected by $0 \dot{d}$ and $+\dot{d}$. The area enclosed by $O\left(X ; X^{9}\right)$ is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 2d, which again tums out to be the union of two regions enclosed by $O\left(X^{\prime} ; X^{\infty}\right)$ and $O\left(X^{0} ; X^{\infty}\right)$ with $S\left(X^{\infty}\right)=0^{1} 00+\sigma$ (or $0^{1}+00$ $)$.

In general, suppose $S(X)=0^{1} S_{1} 0 \quad S_{2} 0 S_{3} 0^{1} \quad$ and $S\left(X^{0}\right)=0^{1} S_{1} \quad S_{2} \quad S_{2} S_{3}^{1}$, where
1;2 $2 \mathrm{f}+$; g . This covers all the colliding symmetric HOs w th two di erent letters. As seen in the two examples, it can be show $n$ that the forbidden zone enclosed by $O\left(X ; X^{0}\right)$ is the union of that de ned by $O\left(X ; X^{\infty}\right)$ and $O\left(X^{0} ; X^{\infty}\right)$, where $S\left(X^{\infty}\right)=0^{1} S_{1} O \quad S_{2} 2_{2} S_{3} 0^{1}$ (or $0^{1} S_{1} S_{2} 0 S_{3} 0^{1}$ ). In other words, when form ing the forbidden zone, the collision of $X$ and $X^{0}$ can be regarded as the triple collision involving $X, X^{0}$ and $X^{\infty}$. Since either the pair of $S(X)$ and $S\left(X^{\infty}\right)$ or the pair of $S\left(X^{0}\right)$ and $S\left(X^{\infty}\right)$ di er by one sym bol, the corresponding forbidden zone is given by (3.5). Thus, the rule can be used to construct the forbidden zone for the above general case.

W e have num erically calculated all sym metric H s $0^{1} S 0^{1}$ with it j 7 and traced them from $\mathrm{c}=6$ to collision param eters B . A m ong the 160 onbits, only $0^{1} \quad 0^{1}$ and $0^{1} \quad 0^{1}$ persist till $\mathrm{c}=2 . \mathrm{W}$ e obtained 94 collisions, each of them corresponds to a tangency of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and a sym m etry line (see F ig. 4 for som e exam ples). D ue to sym $m$ etry, only half of the collisions are independent. $T$ hey are ordered w ith descending $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and listed in Tab .1 . W e further exam ine the com patibility betw een sym m etric HOs . Collision of a pair of sym metric HOsm ay im plies the elm ination of som e others. For exam ple, it can be veri ed that the forbidden zone of the colliding HO pair $\left(S ; S^{0}\right)=(+\quad+\quad+00)$ includes the $\mathrm{H} O$ sequenœ pair $(+\quad ; 0)$.Therefore, the collision of H O pair ( +0 ) should occurs at a larger c than that for $(+\quad+\quad ; 00)$. In fact, the form er appears as the 9-th collision in Tab. 1 while the latter is the 16 -th. W e nd that all such
restrictions in the 47 pairs of sequences in Tab .1 are in accordance w ith the order of o ( F ig.5).

## 5 Sum m ary

In the above we have discussed the sym bolic dynam ics of system (12) w ith a focus on the orbits that are hom oclinic to point $O=(0 ; 0)$. W hen $c>c$, the global dynam ics is described by a com plete temary sym bolic dynam ics, so that each sequence $0^{1} S 0^{1}$ corresponds a hom oclinic orbit. T he location of these orbits are qualitatively determ ined by their corresponding sequences according to sim ple rules. W ith the decrease of $c, m$ ore and $m$ ore sym $m$ etric hom oclinic orbits collide in pair and disappear. B ased on the geom etrical constraint that any hom oclinic point cannot cross a region enclosed by the stable and unstable $m$ anifolds, the com patibility betw een hom oclinic orbits is discussed.
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Fig. 1 (a) $F$ inst three segm ents of $A_{s}, A_{u}, B_{s}$ and $B_{u}$ at $c=5: 7$. The dotted lines ( $a ; b=P \bar{C}=3$ ) give a conven ient partition ofm anifolds. (b)Schem atic diagram ofhose-shoe. T he square A C B D is $m$ apped by $F^{1}$ to the shaded area.

Fig 2 Schem atic diagram s to show forbidden sequences due to tangency between the stable and unstable $m$ anifolds. $F$ igure (a) explains rule (3.5a). T he shadowed areas in (b-d) represent the forbidden zones when X and $\mathrm{X}^{0}$ collide.

F ig. 3 A rrangem ent of the rst 9 segm ents of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{S}}$.

Fig. 4 T ipical tangencys of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{u}}$ (thick line) and sym m etry line (thin line). Each tangeny represents a collision of sym $m$ etric hom oclinic orbit pair.

Fig. 5 C om patibility betw een sym $m$ etric hom oclinic orbit pairs listed in Tab .1 . A dot at ( $i ; j$ ) m eans that the collision of $i$-th pair im plies the elim ination the $j$-th pair. $N$ ote that all dots are located w ithin the region i> j.

| order | $\mathrm{Cb}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | S S ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  | order | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ |  | S | $\mathrm{S}^{0}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5:4510677 | + + | + + + + | + + + $0++$ + | 25 | 3:3139443 |  | + 0 | + + | + $0+$ |  |  |
| 2 | 5:4158176 | + + | + + | + + $0+$ + | 26 | 3:3129838 |  | + 00 + + | + + | + 00 + |  |  |
| 3 | 5:3937939 | + | 0 + | $+\quad+\quad+$ | 27 | 3:3064455 |  | + $00++$ | + + | + 00 + + |  |  |
| 4 | 5:1064013 | + | + + + + | $+0++$ + $0+$ | 28 | 3:3029884 |  | + 000 |  | + 000 | + |  |
| 5 | 5:0779902 | $+$ | + + + | $+0++0+$ | 29 | 3:2978459 | + + |  | + + | + |  |  |
| 6 | 4:9161551 | + + | + + + | + + $0+0++$ | 30 | 3:2907686 |  | + 0 + | + + | +0 + |  | + |
| 7 | 4:8549163 | + 0 | + $0+$ | + 0000 | 31 | 3:2821047 |  | + + + | + + | + + | + |  |
| 8 | 4:7672774 | + | + $0+$ | $+0+00$ | 32 | 3:2817081 | + | + | + + | + + |  |  |
| 9 | 4:6777145 | + | + | + $0+$ | 33 | 3:2807320 |  | + + + | + + | + | + + |  |
| 10 | 4:5252523 | $+$ | $+0+\quad+$ | $+0+0+0+$ | 34 | 3:1683361 | $+$ | 0 + | + 000 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 4:4437742 | + | + + | $+0+0+$ | 35 | 2:9640007 |  | 0 0+ | + 0 | 0 | 0 + |  |
| 12 | 4:3722249 | $+$ | + + + | $+0+\quad+0+$ | 36 | 2:9619403 | + 0 | 0 0+ | + 0 | $0 \quad 0+$ |  |  |
| 13 | 4:2904264 | $+$ | + + | $+0+0$ | 37 | 2:9381982 |  | $00+0$ | + 0 | $00+0$ | 0 + | + |
| 14 | 4:1671612 | + + | + | + + 00 | 38 | 2:9373534 | + 0 | 0 0+ | + 0 | 0 + |  |  |
| 15 | 3:6530814 | + + | 0 + + | + + 000 + + | 39 | 2:9205856 | $+0$ |  | $+0$ | 0 + |  |  |
| 16 | 3:6437729 |  | + | $+00$ | 40 | 2:9083662 |  | $0+0$ | + 0 | $0+0$ | + |  |
| 17 | 3:5589054 | $+$ | 0 0 | + 00 00+ | 41 | 2:9066760 |  | $00+$ | + 0000 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 3:3422180 | + + | + + + + + | + + + + + + + | 42 | 2:7863722 |  | 00 + 00+ | + 00 | $00+00+$ |  |  |
| 19 | 3:3422164 | + + | + + + + | + + + + + + | 43 | 2:7742746 |  | $00+$ | + 00 | 00 + |  |  |
| 20 | 3:3421968 | + + | + + + | $++++$ | 44 | 2:7457804 |  | $000+$ | + 000 | 00+ |  |  |
| 21 | 3:3419494 | + + | + + | + + + + | 45 | 2:6839843 |  | 000 |  | $000+$ |  |  |
| 22 | 3:3400187 | + + | + 0 | $+++0$ | 46 | 2:6170320 |  | 0000+ | + 000 | $0000+$ |  |  |
| 23 | 3:3388177 | + + | + | + + + | 47 | 2:5628482 |  | 00000 |  | 00000 | + |  |
| 24 | 3:3375984 | + + | + | + + + + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |









