The Cam assa-Holm hierarchy, related N-dimensional integrable systems, and algebro-geometric solution on a symplectic submanifold

DarrylD. Holm¹, Zhijun Qiao^{1;2}

¹T-7 and CNLS, MSB-284, Los Alam os National Laboratory

Los Alam os, NM 87545, USA

²Institute of Mathematics, Fudan University

Shanghai 200433, PR China

E-m ails: dholm @ lanlgov qiao@ lanlgov

O riginal vesion April 4, 2001; the 2nd version Feb. 25, 2002; the 3rd version June 9, 2002; this version July 12, 2002

A bstract

This paper shows that the C am assa-H olm (CH) spectral problem yields two di erent integrable hierarchies of nonlinear evolution equations (NLEEs), one is of negative order CH hierarchy while the other one is of positive order CH hierarchy. The two CH hierarchies possess the zero curvature representations through solving a key matrix equation. We see that the well-known CH equation is included in the negative order CH hierarchy while the Dym type equation is included in the positive order CH hierarchy. Furthermore, under two constraint conditions between the potentials and the eigenfunctions, the CH spectral problem is cast in:

1. a new Neumann-like N-dimensional system when it is restricted into a symplectic submanifold of R^{2N} which is proven to be integrable by using the Dirac-Poisson bracket and the r-matrix process; and

2. a new Bargmann-like N -dim ensional system when it is considered in the whole R 2N which is proven to be integrable by using the standard Poisson bracket and the r-m atrix process.

In the paper, we present two 4 4 instead of N N r-m atrix structures. One is for the Neum ann-like system (not the peaked CH system) related to the negative order CH hierarchy, while the other one is for the Bargm ann-like system (not the peaked CH system, either) related to the positive order hierarchy. The whole CH hierarchy (a integro-di erential hierarchy, both positive and negative order) is shown to have the parametric solutions which obey the corresponding constraint relation. In particular, the CH equation, constrained to some symplectic submanifold in R $^{\rm 2N}$, and the Dym type equation have the parametric solutions. Moreover, we see that the kind of parametric solution of the CH equation is not gauge equivalent to the peakons. Solving the parametric representation of solution on the symplectic submanifold gives a class of new algebro-geometric solution of the CH equation.

K eyw ords CH hierarchy, Zero curvature representation, r-m atrix structure, Parametric solution, CH equation, Algebro-geom etric solution.

AM S Subject: 35Q 53; 58F 07; 35Q 35 PACS: 03.40 G c; 03.40 K f; 47.10 + q

1 Introduction

The shallow water equation derived by Camassa-Holm (CH) in 1993 [7] is a new integrable system. This equation possesses the bi-Ham iltonian structure, Lax pair and peakon solutions, and retains higher order terms of derivatives in a small amplitude expansion of incompressible Euler's equations for unidirectionalmotion of waves at the free surface under the in uence of gravity. In 1995 Calogero [8] extended the class of mechanical system of this type. Later, Ragnisco and Bruschi [20] and Suris [22], showed that the CH equation yields the dynamics of the peakons in terms of an N-dimensional completely integrable Hamiltonian system. Such kind of dynamical system has Lax pair and an N-r-matrix structure [20].

Recently, the algebro-geom etric solution on the CH equation and the CH hierarchy arose much more attraction. This kind of solution for most classical integrable PDEs can be obtained by using the inverse spectral transform theory, see Dubrovin 1981 [12], Ablow itz and Segur 1981 [1], Novikov et al 1984 [17], Nowell 1985 [16]. This is done usually by adopting the sprectral technique associated with the corresponding PDE. Alber and Fedorov [4, 5] studied the stationary and the time-dependent quasi-periodic solution for the CH equation and Dym type equation through using the method of trace formula [3] and Abelm apping and functional analysis on the Riemann surfaces. Later, Alber, Camassa, Fedorov, Holmand Marsden [2] considered the trace formula under the nonstandard Abel-Jacobi equations and by introducing new parameters presented the so-called weak nite-gap piecew ise-smooth solutions of the integrable CH equation and Dym type equations. Very recently, Gesztesy and Holden [14] discussed the algebrogeom etric solutions for the CH hierarchy using the polynomial recursion formalism and the trace formula, and connected a Riccatiequation to the Lax pair of the CH equation.

The present paper is providing another approach to algebro-geom etric solutions of the CH equation which is constrained to some symplectic submanifold. Our approach diers from the ones pursued in Refs. [2, 3, 4, 5, 14] and we will outline the dierences next. Based on the nonlinearization technique [9], we constrain the CH hierarchy to some symplectic submanifold and use the constraint between the potentials and the eigenfunctions are to give the parametric solution and then to give the algebrogeom etric solution of the CH equation on the symplectic submanifold.

The main results of this paper are twofolds.

First, we extend the CH equation to the negative order CH hierarchy, which is

a hierarchy of integrable integro-di eretial equations, through constructing the inverse recursion operator. This hierarchy is proven to have Lax pair through solving a key matrix equation. The CH spectral problem associated with this hierarchy is constrained to a symplectic submanifold and naturally gives a constraint between the spectral function and the potential. Under this constraint, the CH spectral problem (linear problem) is nonlinearized as a new N-dimensional canonical Hamiltonian system of Neumann type. This N-dimensional Neumannlike system is not the peaked dynamical system of the CH equation because the peakons does not come from the CH spectral problem. The Neumann-like CH system is shown integrable by using the so-called Dirac-Poisson brackets on the symplectic submanifold in R^{2N} and r-matrix process. Here we present a 4 r-m atrix structure for the Neum ann-like system, which is available to get the algebro-geom etric solution of the CH equation on this symplectic submanifold. The negative order CH hierarchy is proven to have the param etric solution through employing the Neumann-like constraint relation. This parametric solution does not contain the peakons [7], and vice versa. Furtherm ore, solving the param etric representation of solution on the sym plectic submanifold gives an algebro-geom etric solution for the CH equation. We point out that our algebrogeometric solution (see Eq. (3.104) and Remarks 3 and 4) is dierent from the ones in Refs. [2, 3, 4, 5, 14], and more simpler in the form.

Second, based on the negative case, we naturally give the positive order CH hierarchy by considering the recursion operator. This hierarchy is shown integrable also by solving the same key matrix equation. The CH spectral problem, related to this positive order CH hierarchy, yields a new integrable N-dimensional system of Bargmann type (instead of Neumann type) by using the standard Poisson bracket and r-matrix procedure in R^{2N} . A 4 4 r-matrix structure is also presented for the Bargmann-like system (not peaked CH system, either), which is available to get the parametric solution of a Dym type equation contained in the positive order CH hierarchy. This hierarchy also possesses the parametric solution through using the Bargmann constraint relation.

Roughly speaking, our method works in the following steps (also see [19]):

- { Start from the spectral problem.
- { Find some constraint condition between the potentials and the eigenfunctions.

Here, for the negative CH hierarchy, we restrict it to a sym plectic m anifold in R^{2N} , but for the positive CH hierarchy, we will have the constraint condition in the whole R^{2N} .

- $\{ \mbox{ Prove the constrained SPECTRAL PROBLEM is nite-dimensional integrable. } \mbox{U sually we use Lax m atrix and r-m atrix procedure.}$
- { Verify the above constrained potential(s) is (are) a parametric solution of the hierarchy.
- { Solve the parametric representation of solution in an explicit form, then give the algebro-geometric solutions of the equations on the symplectic manifold. In this process, we separate the variables of the Jacobi-Hamiltonian system [21], then construct the actional variables and angle-coordinates on the symplectic submanifold, and the residues at two in nity points for some composed Riemann-Theta functions give the algebro-geometric solutions.

The whole paper is organized as follows. Next section gives a general structure of the zero curvature representations of the all vector elds for a given isospectral problem. The key point is to construct a key matrix equation. In section 3, we present the negative order CH hierarchy based on the inverse recursion operator. The well-known CH equation is included in the negative order hierarchy, and the CH spectral problem yields a new Neum ann-like system which is constrained to a symplectic manifold. This system has the canonical form and is integrable by using the D irac-P oisson bracket and the r-m atrix process. Here we obtain a 4 4 r-m atrix structure for the Neum ann-like CH system. Furtherm ore, the whole negative order CH hierarchy constrained on the sym plectic submanifold has the parametric solution. In particular, the CH equation has the param etric solution on this submanifold. Finally we give an algebro-geom etric solution of the CH equation on this submanifold. In section 4, we dealwith the positive order integrable CH hierarchy and give a new Bargmann-like integrable system. By the use of the sim ilar process to section 3, the CH spectral problem is nonlinearized to be an integrable system under a Bargmann constraint. This integrable Bargmann system also has an r-m atrix structure of 4 4. Moreover, the positive order CH hierarchy is also shown to have the parametric solution which obeys the Barom ann constraint relation. In particular, the Dym type equation in the positive order CH hierarchy has the param etric solution.

Let us now give some symbols and convention in this paper as follows:

$$f^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{k}}{\mathbb{Z}x^{k}} f = f_{kx}; & k = 0;1;2; :::; \\ \vdots & f_{dx}; & k = 1; 2; :::; \\ \frac{2}{k} & \frac{2}{k} \end{cases}$$

 $f_t = \frac{\theta f}{\theta t}$, $f_{kxt} = \frac{\theta^{k+1} f}{\theta t \theta x^k}$ (k = 0;1;2;:::), $\theta = \frac{\theta}{\theta x}$, θ^{-1} is the inverse of θ , i.e. $\theta \theta^{-1} = \theta^{-1} \theta = 1$, $\theta^k f$ m eans the operator $\theta^k f$ acts on some function g, i.e.

In the following the function m stands for potential, is assumed to be a spectral parameter, and the domain of the spatial variable x is which becomes equal to (1; +1) or (0; T), while the domain of the time variable t_k is the positive time axis $R^+ = ft_k j t_k 2 R; t_k 0; k = 0; 1; 2; :::g. In the case <math>= (1; +1)$, the decaying condition at in nity and in the case = (0; T), the periodicity condition for the potential function is imposed.

 $(R^{2N};dp^{dq})$ stands for the standard symplectic structure in Euclid space $R^{2N}=f(p_j;q_j)$ $jp=(p_1;...;p_N)$; $q=(q_1;...;q_N)$, $q=(q_$

$$fF; H g = \begin{pmatrix} X^{N} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{j}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{j}} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{j}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{j}} & = \frac{\partial F}{\partial q}; \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial p}; \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} & : \qquad (1.1)$$

 $_1$;:::; $_N$ are assumed to be N distinct spectral parameters, = diag($_1$;:::; $_N$), and I_2 $_2$ = diag(1;1). Denote all in nitely times dierentiable functions on real eld R and all integers by C^1 (R) and by Z, respectively.

2 The Camassa-Holm (CH) spectral problem and zero curvature representation

Let us consider the Camassa-Holm (CH) spectral problem [7]:

$$_{xx} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2} m$$
 (2.1)

with the potential function m.

Eq. (2.1) is apparently equivalent to

where $y = (y_1; y_2)^T = (x_1, y_2)^T$. Easy to see Eq. (2.2)'s spectral gradient

satis es the following Lenard eigenvalue problem

$$K r = J r (2.4)$$

with the pair of Lenard's operators

$$K = 0^3 + 0; J = 0m + m 0:$$
 (2.5)

They yield the recursion operator

$$L = J^{1}K = (@m + m @)^{1}(@ @^{3})$$
: (2.6)

which also has the product form $L=\frac{1}{2}m^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-1}m^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ (e e^3).

Apparently, the G ateaux derivative matrix U () of the spectral matrix U in the direction $2 \, C^1$ (R) at point m is

which is obviously an injective hom om orphism.

For any given C 1 -function G , we construct the following matrix equation with respect to V = V (G)

$$V_x$$
 [U;V] = U (K G J G): (2.8)

Theorem 1 For the CH spectral problem (2.2) and an arbitrary C^1 -function G, the matrix equation (2.8) has the following solution

$$V = V (G) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}G_{x} & G \\ \frac{1}{2}G_{xx} & \frac{1}{4}G + \frac{1}{2}m & G & \frac{1}{2}G_{x} \end{cases}$$
 (2.9)

Proof: Directly substituting Eqs. (2.9), (2.5) and (2.7) into Eq. (2.8), we can complete the proof of this theorem.

Theorem 2 Let G_0 2 K er J = fG 2 C^1 (R) jJG = 0g and G_1 2 K er K = fG 2 C^1 (R) jKG = 0g. We do not be Lenard's sequences as follows

$$G_{j} = L^{j}$$
 $G_{j} = L^{j+1}$ G_{1} ; $j \in \mathbb{Z}$: (2.10)

Then,

1. the all vector elds $X_k = J$ G_k ; $k \ 2 \ Z$ satisfy the following commutator representation

$$V_{k:x}$$
 [U; V_k] = U (X_k); 8k 2 Z; (2.11)

2. the following hierarchy of nonlinear evolution equations

$$m_{t_k} = X_k = J \quad G_i : 8k \ 2 \ Z_i$$
 (2.12)

possesses the zero curvature representation

$$U_{t_k} V_{k,x} + [U; V_k] = 0; 8k 2 Z;$$
 (2.13)

where

and $V_j = V(G_j)$ is given by Eq. (2.9) with $G = G_j$.

P roof:

1. For k = 0, it is obvious. For k < 0, we have

For the case of k > 0, it is similar to prove.

2. Noticing $U_{t_k} = U \pmod{t_k}$, we obtain

$$U_{t_k}$$
 $V_{k;x} + [U;V_k] = U (m_{t_k} X_k)$:

The injectiveness of U implies item 2 holds.

3 Negative order C H hierarchy, integrable N eum annlike system and algebro-geom etric solution

3.1 Negative order CH hierarchy

Let us rst give the negative order hierarchy of the CH spectral problem (2.2) through considering the kernel element of Lenard's operator K. The kernel of operator K has the following three seed functions:

$$G_{1}^{1} = 1;$$
 (3.1)

$$G^{2}_{1} = e^{x};$$
 (3.2)

$$G_{1}^{3} = e^{x};$$
 (3.3)

whose all possible linear combinations form the whole kernel of K . Let G $_{\,1}$ 2 K er K , then

$$G_{1} = \sum_{l=1}^{X^{3}} a_{l}G_{1}^{1}$$
 (3.4)

where $a_1 = a_1(t_n)$; l = 1;2;3; are three arbitrarily given C^1 -functions with respect to the time variables t_n (n < 0;n 2 Z), but independent of the spacial variable x.

Therefore, G_1 directly generates an isospectral ($t_k = 0$; k < 0; k < 2) hierarchy of nonlinear evolution equations for the CH spectral problem (2.2)

$$m_{t_k} = JL^{k+1} G_1; k < 0; k 2 Z;$$
 (3.5)

which is called the negative order CH hierarchy because of k < 0. In Eq. (3.5), the operator J is defined by Eq. (2.5) and L¹ is given by

$$L^{-1} = K^{-1}J = e^{-1}e^{x}e^{-1}e^{-2x}e^{-1}e^{x}$$
 (em + m e): (3.6)

Here,

$$K^{-1} = Q^{-1}e^{x}Q^{-1}e^{-2x}Q^{-1}e^{x}$$
: (3.7)

W ith setting $m = u u_{xx}$, we obtain another form of L¹:

$$L^{1} = u + e^{x} e^{1} = u^{2} e^{2x} e^{1} = u^{2} + 2u_{x} + e^{1} = 0$$
 (3.8)

By Theorem 2, the negative CH hierarchy (3.5) has the zero curvature representation

$$U_{t_k} V_{k,x} + [U; V_k] = 0; k < 0; k 2 Z;$$
 (3.9)

$$V_k = V_j^{1} V_j^{k j 1};$$
 (3.10)

ie.

where $G_j = L^{j+1}$ G_1 ; j < 0; $j \ge Z$. Thus, all nonlinear equations in the negative CH hierarchy (3.5) are integrable.

Let us now give some special reductions of Eq. (3.5).

In the case of q = 1; $a_2 = a_3 = 0$, i.e. $G_1 = G_1^1 = 1$, because $G_2 = L_1^1 = 0$, the second equation of Eq. (3.5) reads

$$m_{t_2} = (@m + m @) u;$$
 (3.12)

i.e. (here noticing $m = u u_{xx}$)

$$u_{t_2} u_{xxt_2} + 3uu_x = 2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}$$
 (3.13)

which is exactly the Cam assa-Holm equation [7]. A coording to Eq. (3.11), the CH equation (3.13) possesses the following zero curvature representation

which is equivalent to

$$x_{xx} = \frac{1}{4} \qquad \frac{1}{2}m$$
 ;
 $x_{t} = \frac{1}{2}u_{x} \qquad u_{x} \qquad x_{x} \qquad x_{x$

Eq. (3.15) is coinciding with the one in Ref. [7].

In the cases of a = 0; $a_2 = 1$; $a_3 = 0$ and $a_1 = 0$; $a_2 = 0$; $a_3 = 1$, i.e. $G_1 = e^x$; e^x , we can write them in a uniform expression:

$$G_1 = e^x$$
; = 1:

The rst equation of Eq. (3.5) reads

$$m_{t,i} = (m_x + 2 m)e^x$$
 (3.16)

which is a linear PDE.

Because $G_2 = L^{-1}$ $G_1 = u + u^{(-1)}$ e x, the second equation of Eq. (3.5) reads

$$m_{+2} = m_x u + u^{(1)} + 2m u_x + 2 u + u^{(1)} e^x$$
 (3.17)

where $m = u - u_{xx}$. This equation has the following zero curvature representation

8 !
$$y_{x} = 0 1 y;$$

$$y_{t_{2}} = V_{2}y;$$
(3.18)

w here

Eq. (3.18) can be changed to the following Lax form

Both of the two cases: = 1 for Eq. (3.17) are integrable.

3.2 r-m atrix structure for the N eum ann-like C H system

Consider the following matrix (called hegative' Lax matrix)

$$L () = \begin{pmatrix} A () & B () \\ C () & A () \end{pmatrix}$$
 (3.20)

w here

A () =
$$p_{j}q_{j}$$
 $+ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{p_{j}q_{j}}{j};$ (3.21)

B () =
$$^{2} + \text{hq;qi}$$
 1 1 2 2 3 2)

C () =
$$\frac{1}{4}$$
 2 hp;pi $^{1} + \frac{X^{N}}{p_{j}} = \frac{p_{j}^{2}}{p_{j}}$: (3.23)

We calculate the determ inant of L ():

$$\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{2}{\text{detL}} () = \frac{1}{4} \stackrel{2}{\text{TrL}}^{2} () = \frac{1}{2} \stackrel{2}{\text{A}}^{2} () + B () C ()$$

$$= \stackrel{X^{1}}{\text{H}_{j}} \stackrel{X^{N}}{\text{H}_{j}} = \frac{E_{j}}{\text{H}_{j}}$$
(3.24)

where Tr stands for the trace of a matrix, and

$$H_{2} = \frac{1}{8};$$

$$H_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{hp;pi} \frac{1}{8} \text{hq;qi;} \qquad (3.25)$$

$$H_{0} = \text{hp;qih p;qi hp;qi}^{2};$$

$$H_{1} = \text{hp;qi }^{1} \text{p;q} + \text{hp;qi}^{2};$$

$$E_{j} = \text{hp;qi }_{j} \text{p;qj} \frac{1}{2} \text{(hq;qi }_{j} + 1) \text{p}_{j}^{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{hp;pi }_{j} \frac{1}{4} \text{q}_{j}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{j} \text{j; } \text{j = 1;2; :::;N;} \qquad (3.26)$$

$$\frac{X^{N}}{j} = \frac{(p_{j}q_{1} \quad p_{1}q_{j})^{2}}{j} :$$

Let

$$F_k = \int_{j=1}^{X^N} f_j^{k+1} E_j ; k = 1; 2; ...;$$
 (3.27)

then it reads

$$F_{k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=k}^{k+1} p_{j} p_{j} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=k}^{k+1} q_{j} q_{j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=k}^{K} \sum_{j=k}^{j+2} q_{j} q_{j} = \sum_{j=k}^{k+1} q_{j} q_{j} + \sum_{j=k}^{k} q_{j} q_{j} = \sum_{j=k}^{k+1} q_{j} q_{j} + \sum_{j=k}^{k} q_{j} q_{j} = \sum_{j=k}^{k+1} q_{j} = \sum_$$

Obviously, $F_1 = H_1$.

Now, we consider the following symplectic submanifold in R $^{\rm 2N}$

$$M = (q;p) 2 R^{2N} F \frac{1}{2} (h q;qi 1) = 0; G h q;pi = 0$$
 (3.29)

and introduce the D irac bracket on M

$$ff;gg_D = ff;gg + \frac{1}{h^2 g;ai} (ff;F gfG;gg ff;G gfF;gg)$$
 (3.30)

which is easily proven to be bilinear, skew-symmetric and satisfy the Jacobi identity.

In particular, the H am iltonian system (H $_1$) $_D$: $q_x = fq_i$ H $_1g_D$; $p_x = fp_i$ H $_1g_D$ on M reads

We call this a Neum ann-like system on M. Let

$$m = \frac{1 + 4h p; pi}{2h^2 q; qi}; (3.32)$$

$$y_1 = q_j; y_2 = p; = j; j = 1; :::;N:$$
 (3.33)

Then, the Neumann-like ow (H $_1$) $_{\rm D}$ on M exactly becomes

$$y_x = U (m;)y; y = (y_1; y_2)^T;$$
 (3.34)

which is nothing else but the CH spectral problem (2.2) with the potential function m. Therefore, we can call the canonical H am iltomnian system (3.31) the N eum ann-like CH system on M.

A long but direct computation leads to the following key equalities:

$$fA ();A ()g_{D} = fB ();B ()g_{D} = 0;$$

$$fC ();C ()g_{D} = \frac{1 + 4h p;pi}{h^{2}q;qi} -A () -A ()$$

$$+ \frac{4}{h^{2}q;qi} (C ()A () C ()A ());$$

$$fA ();B ()g_{D} = \frac{2}{h^{2}q;qi} (B () B ()) + \frac{2}{h^{2}q} (C () C ())$$

$$\frac{2}{h^{2}q;qi} (C () C ()) -\frac{2}{h^{2}q;qi} (C () C ())$$

$$+ \frac{2}{h^{2}q;qi} (C () C ()) -\frac{2}{h^{2}q;qi} (C () C ())$$

$$fB ();C ()g_{D} = \frac{4}{h^{2}q;qi} (C () A ()) + \frac{4}{h^{2}q;qi} (C () A ())$$

$$\frac{4}{h^{2}q;qi} (C () A ()) + \frac{4}{h^{2}q;qi} (C () A ())$$

Let L_1 () = L () $I_{2\ 2}, L_2$ () = $I_{2\ 2}$ L (), where L (); L () are given through Eq. (3.20). In the following, we search for a general 4 4 r-m atrix structure r_{12} (;) such that the fundam ental D irac-Poisson bracket:

$$fL (); L ()g_D = r_{12}(;); L_1() r_{21}(;); L_2()$$
 (3.35)

holds, where the entries of the 4 $\,$ 4 m atrix fL $\,$ (); L $\,$ ()g $_{\rm D}$ are

$$fL$$
 (); L () $g_{D_{klm}} = fL$ () $_{km}$; L () $_{ln}g_{D}$; k; l; m; n = 1; 2;

and \mathbf{r}_{21} (;) = $\mathbf{P} \ \mathbf{r}_{12}$ (;) \mathbf{P} ; w $i \! \! t \! \! t \! \! h$

$$P = \frac{1}{2} I_{2} I_{2} + X^{3} i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix};$$

where $_{i}^{0}$ are the Paulim atrices.

Theorem 3

$$r_{12}(;) = \frac{2}{(;)}P + S$$
 (3.36)

is an r-m atrix structure satisfying Eq. (3.35), where

Apparently, our r-matrix structure (3.36) is of 4 4 and is dierent from the one in Ref. [20].

3.3 Integrability

Because there is an r-m atrix structure satisfying Eq. (3.35),

$$L^{2}(); L^{2}(); = r_{12}(;); L_{1}(); r_{21}(;); L_{2}()$$
 (3.37)

where

$$r_{ij} (;) = \sum_{k=0}^{X^{1}} X^{1} (L_{1})^{1-k} () (L_{2})^{1-1} () r_{ij} (;) (L_{1})^{k} () (L_{2})^{1} ();$$

$$ij = 12;21:$$

Thus,

4
$$TrL^{2}$$
 (); TrL^{2} () $_{D}$ = TrL^{2} (); L^{2} () $_{D}$ = 0: (3.38)

So, by Eq. (3.24) we im mediately obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4 The following equalities

$$fE_{i}; E_{j}g_{D} = 0; fH_{1}; E_{j}g_{D} = 0; fF_{k}; E_{j}g_{D} = 0;$$
 (3.39)
 $i; j = 1; 2; ...; N; l = 2; 1; 0; 1; k = 1; 2; ...;$

hold. Hence, the Hamiltonian systems (H $_1$) $_{\scriptscriptstyle D}$ and (F $_k$) $_{\scriptscriptstyle D}$ on M

$$(H_1)_D$$
: $q_x = fq_i H_1 q_D ; p_x = fp_i H_1 q_D ; 1 = 2; 1;0;1; (3.40)$

$$(F_k)_D$$
: $q_{f_k} = fq_j F_k q_D$; $p_{f_k} = fp_j F_k q_D$; $k = 1$; 2;:::; (3.41)

are completely integrable.

In particular, we obtain the following results.

Corollary 1 The Hamiltonian system (H $_1$) $_{\rm D}$ de ned by Eq. (3.31) is completely integrable.

C orollary 2 All composition functions f (H $_1$; F $_k$), f 2 C 1 (R), k = 1; 2; ...; are completely integrable H am iltonians on M .

3.4 Param etric solution of the negative order CH hierarchy restricted onto M

In the following, we consider the relation between constraint and nonlinear equations in the negative order CH hierarchy (3.5). Let us start from the following setting

$$G_{1}^{1} = X^{N}$$
 $r_{j};$ (3.42)

where $G^1_1 = 1$, and $r_j = jq_j^2$ is the functional gradient of the CH spectral problem (2.2) corresponding to the spectral parameter j (j = 1; ...; N).

Apparently Eq. (3.42) reads

h q;
$$qi = 1$$
: (3.43)

A fter we do one time derivative with respect to x, we get

h p;
$$qi = 0$$
; $p = q_x$: (3.44)

This equality together with Eq. (3.43) forms the symplectic submanifold M we need. Apparently, derivating Eq. (3.44) leads to the constraint relation (3.32).

Remark 1 Because M de ned by Eq. (3.29) is not the usual tangent bundle, i.e. M \odot TS^{N 1} = (q;p) 2 R^{2N} F[~] hq;qi 1 = 0;G hq;pi = 0 and Eq. (3.32) is not the usual Neumann constraint on TS^{N 1}, Eq. (3.31) is therefore a new kind of Neumann system. In subsection 3.3 we have proven its integrability.

Since the H am iltonian ows (H $_1$) $_D$ and (F $_k$) $_D$ on M are completely integrable and their Poisson brackets fH $_1$; F $_k$ g $_D$ = 0 (k = 1; 2; ...), their phase ows g $_H^x$ $_1$; $g_{F_k}^{t_k}$ commute [6]. Thus, we can de ne their compatible solution as follows:

where x^0 ; t_k^0 are the initial values of phase ow s g_{H-1}^x ; $g_{F_k}^{t_k}$.

Theorem 5 Let $q(x;t_k)$; $p(x;t_k)$ be a solution of the compatible Ham iltonian systems (H $_1$) $_D$ and (F $_k$) $_D$ on M . Then

$$m = \frac{1 + 4h p(x;t_k);p(x;t_k)i}{2h^2 q(x;t_k);q(x;t_k)i}$$
(3.46)

satis es the constrained negative order CH hierarchy (i.e. constrained to M)

$$m_{t_k} = JL^{k+1}$$
 1; $k = 1$; 2; :::; (3.47)

where the operators J and L 1 are given by Eqs. (2.5) and (3.6), respectively.

Proof: On one hand, the recursion operator Lacts on Eq. (3.42) and results in the following

$$JL^{k+1} \quad \mathring{G}_{1} = J \quad ^{k+2}q; q$$

$$= m_{x} \quad ^{k+2}q; q + 4m \quad ^{k+2}q; p$$

$$= \frac{2(1+4hp; pi)}{h^{2}q; qi^{2}} \quad ^{2}q; q \quad ^{k+2}q; p \quad ^{2}q; p \quad ^{k+2}q; q :$$
(3.48)

In this procedure, Eqs. (2.4) and (3.31) are used.

On the other hand, we directly make the derivative of Eq. (3.46) with respect to t_k . Then we obtain

$$m_{t_k} = \frac{4h^2 q_i q_i h^2 p_i p_{t_k} i \quad (1 + 4h p_i p_i) h^2 q_i q_{t_k} i}{h^2 q_i q_i^2}$$
(3.49)

where $q = q(x;t_k)$; $p = p(x;t_k)$. But,

$$q_{t_k} = fq; F_k g_D; p_{t_k} = fp; F_k g_D; k = 1; 2; ...;$$
 (3.50)

where F_k are de ned by Eq. (3.28), ie.

$$q_{k} = \sum_{\substack{j=k \ p_{k}}}^{X^{1}} q_{j}q^{k} q^{k} p^{j+2} q_{j}p^{k} q^{j} q^{k} q^{k} q^{j} q^{k} q^{k}$$

Therefore after substituting them into Eq. (3.49) and calculating it, we have

$$m_{t_k} = \frac{2(1 + 4h p;pi)}{h^2 q;qi^2}$$
 $^2q;q$ $^{k+2}q;p$ $^2q;p$ $^{k+2}q;q$

which completes the proof.

Lem m a 1 Let q; p satisfy the integrable H am iltonian system (H $_1)_{\rm D}$. Then on the sym plectic subm an ifold M , we have

1.

$$hq;qi \quad 4hp;pi = 0:$$
 (3.53)

2.

$$u = hq(x;t_k);q(x;t_k)i; k;= 1; 2;::;$$
 (3.54)

satis es the equation m=u u_{xx} , where m is given by Eq. (3.46), and $q(x;t_k)$; $p(x;t_k)$ be a solution of the compatible integrable H am iltonian systems (H $_1$) $_D$ and (F $_k$) $_D$ on M .

Proof:

$$(m;qi \ 4 p;pi)_x = 2 m;pi \ 8 p;p_xi$$

= 0

completes the proof of the rst equality.

As for the second one, we have

$$u u_{xx} = hq; qi 2hp; pi 2 q; \frac{1}{4}q \frac{1}{2}m q$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}hq; qi 2hp; pi + m$$

$$= m:$$

In particular, with k = 2, we obtain the following corollary.

C orollary 3 Let $q(x;t_2)$; $p(x;t_2)$ be a solution of the compatible integrable H am iltonian systems (H $_1$) $_D$ and (F $_2$) $_D$ on M . Then

$$m = m (x;t_2) = \frac{1 + 4h p(x;t_2);p(x;t_2)i}{2h^2q(x;t_2);q(x;t_2)i};$$
 (3.55)

$$u = u(x;t_2) = hq(x;t_2);q(x;t_2)i;$$
 (3.56)

satisfy the CH equation (3.12) on M. Therefore, $u = hq(x;t_2);q(x;t_2)i$; is a solution of the CH equation (3.13) on M. Here H₁ and F₂ are given by

H ₁ =
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
hp;pi $\frac{1}{8}$ hq;qi;
F ₂ = $\frac{1}{2}$ ¹p;p $\frac{1}{8}$ ¹q;q + $\frac{1}{2}$ hq;qihp;pi hq;pi²:

Proof: Via som e direct calculations, we obtain

$$m_{t_2} = \frac{2(1 + 4h p;pi)}{h^2q;qi^2}$$
 ²q;q hq;pi ²q;p hq;qi :

And lem m a 1 gives

J u = JL¹
$$d_1$$

= J < q;q>
= $\frac{2(1+4h p;pi)}{h^2q;qi^2}$ $^2q;q hq;pi$ $^2q;p hq;qi$
= $m_{t,2}$

which completes the proof.

By Theorem 5, the constraint relation given by Eq. (3.32) is actually a solution of the constrained negative order CH hierarchy (3.5) on M . Thus, we also call the system (H $_1$) $_D$ (i.e. Eq. (3.31)) a negative order restricted CH $_1$ ow (N eum annlike) of the spectral problem (2.2) on the sym plectic submanifold M . All H am iltonian systems (F $_k$) $_D$; k < 0;k 2 Z are therefore called the negative order integrable restricted $_1$ ow (N eum ann-type) on M .

Remark 2 Of course, we can also consider the integrable Bargmann-like CH system associated with the positive order CH hierarchy (4.1). Please see this in section 4. A system atic approach to generate new integrable negative order hierarchies can be seen in Ref. [18].

Comparing parametric solution with peakons

Let us now compare the Neumann-like CH system $(H_1)_D$ with the peakons dynamical system.

Let $P_j; Q_j$ (j = 1; 2; ...; N) be peakons dynamical variables of the CH equation (3.13), then with [7]

$$u(x;t) = \begin{cases} X^{N} & P_{j}(t)e^{-jx Q_{j}(t)j}; \\ j=1 & X^{N} \end{cases}$$

$$m(x;t) = \begin{cases} P_{j}(t) & (x Q_{j}(t)); \\ P_{j}(t) & (x Q_{j}(t)); \end{cases} (3.58)$$

$$m(x;t) = P_{j}(t)(x Q_{j}(t));$$
 (3.58)

where $t = t_2$ and (x) is the -function, the CH equation (3.13) yields a canonical peaked Hamiltonian system

$$Q_{j}(t) = \frac{\varrho_{H}}{\varrho_{P_{j}}} = P_{k=1}^{N} P_{k}(t) e^{jQ_{k}(t) Q_{j}(t)j}$$

$$P_{j}(t) = \frac{\varrho_{H}}{\varrho_{Q_{j}}} = P_{j} P_{k=1}^{N} P_{k}(t) \operatorname{sgn}(Q_{k}(t) Q_{j}(t)) e^{jQ_{k}(t) Q_{j}(t)j}$$
(3.59)

with

$$H (t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{X^{N}} P_{i}(t) P_{j}(t) e^{-jQ_{i}(t)} Q_{j}(t) j; \qquad (3.60)$$

In Eq. (3.59), \sqn" means the signal function. The same meaning happens in the follow ing.

A natual question is: what is the relationship between the peaked Hamiltonian system (3.59) and the Neum ann-like system s (3.31) and (F_2) ? A pparently, the peaked system (3.59) does not include the system (3.31) and (F_2) because the system (3.59)is only concerned about the time part.

By Corollary 3, we know that

$$u = u(x;t) = hq(x;t);q(x;t)i = \int_{j=1}^{X^{N}} q_{j}^{2}(x;t);$$
 (3.61)

is a solution of the CH equation (3.13) on M , where we set t $_2$ = t, and q_j (x;t); p_j = $\frac{\theta \, q_j \, (x,t)}{\theta \, x}$ satis es the two integrable commuted systems (H $_1)_D$; (F $_2)_D$ on the symplectic submanifold M.

A ssum e P $_{\rm j}$ (t); Q $_{\rm j}$ (t) are the solutions of the peaked system (3.59), we make the following transformation (when P $_{\rm j}$ (t) < 0, we use $\stackrel{\rm p}{\rm P}_{\rm j}$ (t) = $\stackrel{\rm p}{\rm i}$ $\stackrel{\rm p}{\rm P}_{\rm j}$ (t))

$$q_{ij}(x;t) = P_{ij}(t)e^{\frac{1}{2}jx Q_{ij}(t)j};$$
 (3.62)

which implies

$$p_{j}(x;t) = \frac{d}{dx}q_{j}(x;t) = \frac{1}{2}sgn(x Q_{j}(t))q_{j};$$
 (3.63)

Hence, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dx}p_{j}(x;t) = \frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}}q_{j}(x;t) = (x Q_{j}(t))q_{j} + \frac{1}{4}q_{j}:$$
(3.64)

However, on M we have the constraints < q;q>= 1; < q;p>= 0 which implies

$$X^{N}$$
 $_{j}q_{j}^{2}$ $(x Q_{j}) = \frac{1}{2}; 8x 2 R :$ (3.65)

This equality is obviously not true! So, the CH peakon system (3.59) does not coincide with the nonlinearized CH spectral problem (3.31).

Let us now furtherm one compute the derivative with respect to t. Inserting the peakon system (3.59), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\underline{q_{j}}\left(x;t\right) = \frac{d}{dt}q_{j}\left(x;t\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}q_{j}^{X^{N}} & h & i \\ &P_{k}\left(t\right) \text{ sgn}\left(x \quad Q_{j}\left(t\right)\right) & \text{sgn}\left(Q_{k}\left(t\right) \quad Q_{j}\left(t\right)\right) & e^{\frac{iQ_{k}\left(t\right)}{Q_{j}\left(t\right)}i}; \quad (3.66) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from the Neumann-like system (F $_2$) $_{\rm D}$ we have

$$q_{j}(x;t) = fq_{j};F_{2}q_{D}$$

$$= \int_{j}^{1}p_{j} hq_{j}p_{j}q_{j}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}q_{j} P_{k}(t)sgn(x Q_{k}(t))e^{-jx Q_{k}(t)j} \int_{j}^{1}sgn(x Q_{j}(t)) : (3.67)$$

Apparently, (3.66) = (3.67) i when $x = Q_j(x;t)$, i.e. for other x, they do not equal. Thus, the peakon system (3.59) is not the Neumann-like Hamiltonian system (F₂)_D, either.

So, by the above analysis, we conclude: the two solutions (3.57) and (3.61) of the CH equation (3.13) are not gauge equivalent. In the next subsection we will concretely solve Eq. (3.61) on M in the form of Riemann-Theta functions.

3.6 Algebro-geometric solution of the CH equation on M

Now, let us re-consider the H am iltonian system (H $_1$) $_D$ on M under the substitution of ! $_j^1$; (here we choose non-zero ; $_j$). Then, the Lax matrix (3.20) has the following simple form:

$$L () = {}^{3}L_{CH} ();$$
 (3.68)

where

and the sym plectic submanifold M becomes

$$M = (q;p) 2 R^{2N} F \frac{1}{2}$$
 ¹q;q 1 = 0; G ¹q;p = 0 ; (3.70)

where $^{1} = diag(_{1}^{1}; :::;_{N}^{1}).$

A direct calculation yields to the following theorem.

Theorem 6 On the symplectic submanifold M the Hamiltonian system (H $_1$)_D de ned by Eq. (3.31) has the Lax representation:

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta_{X}} L_{CH} = [M_{CH}; L_{CH}]; \qquad (3.71)$$

where

$$M_{CH} = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1+4h \, p_{\pi}p_{1}}{4h^{2} \, q_{\pi}q_{1}} & 1 & 0 \end{cases}$$
 (3.72)

Notice: On M the Hamiltonian system (H $_1$)_D is 2N 2-dimensional, that is, only there exist 2N 2 independent dynamical variables in all 2N variables q_1 ; ...; q_N ; p_1 ; ...; p_N .

W ithout loss of generality, we assume the Hamiltonian system (H $_1$)_D has the independent dynamical variables $q_1; ...; q_{N-1}; p_1; ...; p_{N-1}$ (N > 1) on M . Then, on M we have

$$q_{N}^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{j} q_{j}^{2}; \qquad (3.73)$$

$$p_{N} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{j} q_{N} q_{j} p_{j}; \qquad (3.74)$$

$$p_{N} = \frac{\sqrt[N]{x}}{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{q_{N}}} q_{j} p_{j}; \qquad (3.74)$$

where q_N in the latter is given by the form er in term s of q_1 ; :::; q_{N-1} .

We will concretely give the expression $u = hq(x;t);q(x;t)i; t = t_2$ in an explicit form . By Eq. (3.73), W e rewrite the entry B_{CH} () in the Lax matrix (3.69) as

$$B_{CH} () = \frac{1}{N} + \frac{X^{-1}}{N} \frac{(j - N)^{-1}}{j} q_{j}^{2}$$

$$\frac{Q(j)}{K(j)}; \qquad (3.75)$$

w here

$$Q() = \begin{cases} {}^{N}Y^{1} \\ {}^{j} \\ {}^{k}X^{1} \\ {}^{j} \\ {}^{N}Y^{1} \\ {}^{k}X^{1} \\ {}^{j} \\ {}^{k}X^{1} \\$$

$$K () = Y^{N}$$
 (3.77)

Apparently, Q() is a N-1 (N>1) order polynomial of . Choosing its Ndistinct zero points $_1$;:::; $_N$ $_1$, we have

$$Q(j) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{Y}} (j); \qquad (3.78)$$

Additionally, choosing = $\frac{1}{2}$ in Eqs. (3.76) and (3.78) leads to an explicit form of q_1 in terms of 1:

$$q_{j}^{2} = \int_{jN}^{NY} (j_{jN} = Q_{jN}); \quad j_{N} = Q_{jN} (j_{jN}); \quad (3.80)$$

which is similar to the result in Ref. [2]. By Eq. (3.79), we get an identity about 1:

$$X^{N}$$
 ${}^{N}Y$ 1 ${$

Remark 3 The dynamical variable p_i corresponding to q_i is

$$p_{j} = \frac{dq_{j}}{dx} = \frac{jN}{2q_{j}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{d_{k}}{dx} \sum_{l=1; l \in k}^{N} (j_{l}); \qquad (3.81)$$

therefore,

$$p_{j}^{2} = \frac{10^{jN}}{4^{2} \cdot \frac{N}{l=1} \cdot (j - 1)} \cdot \frac{X^{1}}{l} \cdot \frac{d_{k}}{dx} \cdot \frac{Y^{1}}{l} \cdot (j - 1)^{2} :$$
 (3.82)

Substituting Eqs. (3.79) and (3.82) into the Hamiltonian H $_1 = \frac{1}{2} \, \text{hp;pi}$ $\frac{1}{8} \, \text{hq;qi}$ directly gives an expression in terms of $_1$:

$$H_{1} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{jN}{2^{N-1}(j-1)} \left(\frac{j}{j-1} \right)^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{X^{N-1}} \frac{d_{k}}{dx} \sum_{j=1; l \in k}^{N} \left(\frac{j}{j-1} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=1}^{X^{N-1}} \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=1}^{X^{N}} k^{2}$$
(3.83)

This is evidently dierent from the Ham iltonian function in Ref. [2] (see there section 3). Here our H $_1$ comes from the nonlinearized CH spectral problem n, i.e. it is composing of a Neumann-like system on M , which is shown integrable in subsection 3.3 by rmatrix process. It is because of this dierence that our parametric solution does not include the peakons (also see last subsection) and we will in the following procedure present a class of new algebro-geometric solution for the CH equation constrained on the symplectic submanifold M .

Let

$$j = A_{CH} (j); j = 1; ...; N 1;$$
 (3.84)

then it is easy to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 1

$$f_{i};_{j}q_{D} = f_{i};_{j}q_{D} = 0; f_{j};_{i}q_{D} = i;_{j};_{i}j = 1;2;...;_{N} 1;$$
 (3.85)

i.e. j; j are conjugated, and thus they are the variables which can be separated [21].

W rite

$$\det L_{CH} () = A_{CH}^{2} () + B_{CH} () C_{CH} ()$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} + \frac{X^{N}}{2} \frac{E}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(N)} \frac{1}{4} + \frac{X^{N}}{2} \frac{(N)^{-1}}{2} E$$

$$\frac{P()}{K()}; \qquad (3.86)$$

where E is de ned by

E =
$$p^2 + \frac{1}{4}q^2$$
 ; = $\frac{X^N}{k=1;k \in M} + \frac{(p \ q_k \ q \ p_k)^2}{k}$; (3.87)

and obviously P () is an N $\,$ 1 order polynomial of whose rst term 's coe cient is $\frac{1}{4}$. Then we have

$$_{j}^{2} = \frac{P(_{j})}{_{j}K(_{j})}; j = 1; :::; N 1:$$
 (3.89)

Notice: On M we always have $P_{=1}$ $^{1}E = \frac{1}{4}$. Therefore, we assume E_{1} ; :::; E_{N-1} are independent. Then,

$$E_{N} = \frac{1}{4}_{N} \times \frac{X^{1}}{-X} E :$$

Actually in Eq. (3.86) we used this fact.

Now, we choose the generating function

$$W = W_{j} _{j;fE} g_{=1}^{N-1}$$

$$= W_{j} _{j};fE g_{=1}^{N-1}$$

$$= W_{j} _{j} S_{j}$$

$$= \frac{Y_{k} _{1} Z_{j} }{K_{k} _{j} } S_{j}$$

$$= \frac{P_{k} _{j} }{K_{k} _{j} } (3.90)$$

where $_0$ is an arbitrarily given constant. Let us view E (=1;:::;N-1) as actional variables, then angle-coordinates Q are chosen as

$$Q = \frac{@W}{@E}; = 1; :::;N 1;$$

ie.

$$Q = \frac{X^{1Z}}{K^{1Z}} \times \frac{K()}{2^{N} K()P()} = \frac{N}{() (N)} d$$

$$= \frac{N^{N} N^{1Z}}{N^{N} N^{N} N^{N}$$

w here

$$= \frac{Q_{N-1}}{2} () = 1; :::; N$$
 1:

Therefore, on the sym plectic submanifold M $^{2\rm N}$ $^2; \rm dE$ $^{\rm o}$ dQ $^{\rm o}$ the Ham iltonian function

$$H_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{hp;pi} \quad \frac{1}{8} \text{hq;qi} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{i}$$

$$= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{i}$$
(3.92)

produces a linearized x-ow of the CH equation

Q
$$_{px} = \frac{@H _{1}}{@E} = \frac{N}{2};$$

E $_{px} = 0;$ (3.93)

as well as the Hamiltonian function

$$F_{2} = \frac{1}{2} h \text{ p;pi} \quad \frac{1}{8} h \text{ q;qi} + \frac{1}{2} \text{ hq;qihp;pi} \quad \text{hq;pi}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} E$$

$$= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} E \qquad (3.94)$$

yields a linearized t-ow of the CH equation

Q_t =
$$\frac{\text{@F}^2}{\text{@E}} = \frac{\frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{N}}{2}$$
;
E_t = 0: (3.95)

The above two ows imply

$$Q = \frac{h}{2} x + (h + h) t 2Q^{0}; (3.96)$$

$$E = constant; = 1; ...; N 1;$$
 (3.97)

where Q 0 is an arbitrarily chosen constant. Therefore we have

Choose a basic system of closed paths $_{i}$; $_{i}$ (i = 1;:::;N 1) of R iem ann surface : 2 = P ()K () with N 1 handles. $\rlap{.}^{1}$; (j = 1;:::;N 1) are exactly N 1 linearly independent holomorphic differentials of the rst kind on the R iem ann surface . Let $\rlap{.}^{1}$; be normalized as ! $\rlap{.}^{1}$ = $\rlap{.}^{1}$ $\rlap{.}^{1}$ $\rlap{.}^{1}$; i.e. ! $\rlap{.}^{1}$ satisfy

where B = $(B_{ij})_{(N-1)}$ is sym m etric and the imaginary part Im B of B is a positive de nite matrix.

By Riemann Theorem [15] we know: k satisfy

i $_k$ are the zero points of the R iem ann-T heta function $^\sim$ (P) = (A (P) K) which has exactly N 1 zero points, where

 $K = (K_1; :::; K_{N-1})^T$ 2 C^{N-1} is the Riemann constant vector, P_0 is an arbitrarily given point on Riemann surface (-function and the related properties are seen in Appendix).

Because of [10]
$$\frac{1}{2 i} d \ln (P) = C_1 ; \qquad (3.99)$$

where the constant C_1 has none thing to do with; is the boundary of simple connected domain obtained through cutting the Riemann surface along closed paths i; i. Thus, we have a key equality

$${}^{k}\!\!\!X^{-1}$$
 ${}_{k} = C_{1}$
 ${}_{R} \operatorname{es}_{=1_{1}} \operatorname{d} \ln^{\sim} (P)$
 ${}_{R} \operatorname{es}_{=1_{2}} \operatorname{d} \ln^{\sim} (P);$
(3.100)

where Res $_{=1}$ $_{k}$ (k = 1;2) m ean the residue at points 1 $_{k}$:

$$1_{1} \stackrel{d}{=} 0; P_{\overline{z}^{1}P(z^{1})K(z^{1})}_{z=0};$$

$$1_{2} \stackrel{d}{=} 0; P_{\overline{z}^{1}P(z^{1})K(z^{1})}_{z=0}:$$

Now, we need to calculate the above two residues.

Lem m a 2

$$Res_{=1} d \ln (P) = 2 \frac{\theta}{\theta x} \ln (+K + 1);$$
 (3.101)

Res₌₁₂ d ln ~ (P) =
$$2\frac{\theta}{\theta x}$$
 ln (+ K + 2); (3.102)

where $_1$; $_2$ are two di erent N 1 dim ensional constant vectors.

Proof Consider the following smooth superelliptic curve: 2 = P()K(). Because P()K() is a 2N-th order polynomials with respect to ,1 is not a branch point, i.e. on there are two in nity points 1_1 and 1_2 . All points P on are denoted by (;). On we choose a group of basis of normalized closed paths $_1$; $_N$; $_1$;

$$i \quad j = i \quad j = 0; \quad i \quad j = ij$$
:

It is easy to see that \ddots_j (j = 1; ...; N 1) are N 1 linearly independent holomorphic dierential form s on .

Let us now come to calculate the residus of d ln $^{\sim}$ (P) at the two in nity points: 1 $_1$;1 $_2$. At 1 $_1$, the j-th variable I_j of $^{\sim}$ (z) produces the following result through multiplying by 1 (please note that the local coordinate at 1 $_1$ and 1 $_2$ is z = 1):

$$I_{j} = \int_{j}^{k} + K_{j} + \int_{i,j}^{k} \frac{X_{j}}{y_{j}} \frac{X_$$

where $_{1;j} = \frac{R_{P_0}}{_{1_1}} !_{j}; j = 1;$ N 1. Because

$$\frac{0}{0x} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{j} \frac{1}{2} \frac{0}{0} I_{j} r_{j;1}$$

and \sim (z) has the expansion form ula

$$^{\sim}(z) = (+ K + _{1})$$
 $\overset{\mbox{N}}{\underset{j=1}{\times}} \, \overset{\mbox{N}}{\underset{l=1}{\times}} \, \overset{\mbox{1}}{\mbox{N}} \, \overset{\mbox{1}}{\mbox{N}} \, \overset{\mbox{1}}{\mbox{N}} \, \overset{\mbox{1}}{\mbox{N}} \, \overset{\mbox{2}}{\mbox{0}} \, \overset{\mbox{2}}{\mbox{2}} \, \overset{\mbox{2}}{\mbox{0}} \, \overset{\mbox{2}}{\mbox{0}} \, \overset{\mbox{2}}{\mbox{2}} \, \overset{\mbox{2}}{\mbox{2}}$

where $_1 = (_{1;1}; ...;_{1;N})^T$. Therefore,

$$^{\sim}(z) = (+ K + _{1}) 2\frac{\theta}{\theta x} z + O z^{2} :$$

So, we obtain the following residue

Res₌₁₁ d ln ~ (P) = Res_{z=0}z ¹d ln ~ (z) = Res_{z=0}
$$\frac{1}{z} \frac{^{2}}{^{2}} \frac{(z)}{^{2}}$$

$$= Res_{z=0} \frac{1}{z} \frac{2_{x} + O(z)}{2_{x}z + O(z^{2})}$$

$$= Res_{z=0} \frac{1}{z} \frac{2_{x} + O(z)}{(1 + 2^{1}x^{2} + O(z^{2}))}$$

$$= \frac{2_{x}}{2} = 2\frac{\theta}{\theta x} \ln(x + x^{2})$$

In a sim ilar way, we can obtain the second residue formula.

So, by Eq. (3.79) and this Lemma, we immediately have

where the j-th component of $_{i}$ (i= 1;2) is $_{i;j}=\frac{R_{P_{0}}}{1_{i}}!_{j}$.

So, the CH equation (3.13) on M has the following explicit solution, called the algebro-geom etric solution

$$u(x;t) = R + 2\frac{\theta}{\theta x} \ln \frac{(+K + 2)}{(+K + 1)};$$
 (3.104)

where $R = P_{N}$ C_1 is a constant.

Theorem 7 The algebro-geometric solution of the CH equation (3.13) on M can be given through Eq. (3.104).

R em ark 4 Here the algebro-geom etric solution (3.104) is smooth and occurs in the x-direction (spacial variable) derivative, and apparently di ers from the piecew ise smooth algebro-geom etric solution in the t-direction derivatives given in Ref. [2]. It is also di erent from the results in Ref. [3, 4, 5, 14], becasue we are studying the CH equation constrained on M . In the paper, we do not need to calculate each q_j (j=1;...;N 1) but the sum $\sum_{j=1}^{P} q_{ij}^2$, which we know from Eqs. (3.79) and (3.56). From the above subsection's comparison and these comments, therefore we think Eq. (3.104) is a class of new solution of the CH equation (3.13) on M . Apparently, Eq. (3.104) is more simpler in the form than in Ref. [2].

4 Positive order C H hierarchy, integrable B argm ann system, and param etric solution

4.1 Positive order CH hierarchy

Let us now give the positive order hierarchy of Eq. (22) through employing the kernel element of the Lenard's operator J.

Obviously, $G_0 = \text{cm}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ from all kemalelements of J, where $c = c(t_n) \ 2 \ C^1$ (R) is an arbitrarily given fuctions with respect to the time variables t_n (n 0; n 2 Z), but independent of the spacial variable x. G_0 and the recursion operator (2.6) yield the following hierarchy of the CH spectral problem (2.2)

$$m_{t_k} = \omega L^k \quad m^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad k = 0;1;2;...;$$
 (4.1)

where the operators J and L are de ned by Eqs. (2.5) and (2.6), respectively, and L and J have a further product form

$$L = J^{1}K = \frac{1}{2}m^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{1}m^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^{0})$$
 (4.2)

$$J^{1} = \frac{1}{2} m^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{1} m^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (4.3)

Because Eq. (4.1) is related to the Camassa-Holm spectral problem (2.2) for the case of k 0; k 2 Z, it is called the positive order Camassa-Holm (CH) hierarchy. Eq. (4.1) has the following representative equations

$$m_{t_0} = 0$$
; trivial case; (4.4)

$$m_{t_1} = c m^{\frac{1}{2}} + c m^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
: (4.5)

Apparently, with c = 1 Eq. (4.5) becomes a Dym type equation

$$m_{t_1} = m^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad m^{\frac{1}{2}};$$
 (4.6)

which has more an extra term $m^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ than the usual Harry-Dym equation $m_{t_1}=m^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore, Eq. (4.1) gives an extended Dym hierarchy corresponding to the isospectral case: $t_k=0$.

By Theorem 2, the whole positive order CH hierarchy (4.1) has the zero curvature representation

$$U_{t_k} V_{k_{jX}} + [U; V_k] = 0; k > 0; k 2 Z$$
 (4.7)

$$V_{k} = V_{j=0}^{X} V_{j}^{k-j-1};$$
 (4.8)

where U is given by Eq. (2.2), and $V_j = V$ (G $_j$) is given by Eq. (2.9) with G = G $_j = L^j$ G = cL j m $^\frac{1}{2}$; j > 0; $j \ge Z$. Thus, all nonlinear equations in the positive CH hierarchy (4.1) are integrable. Therefore we obtain the following theorem .

Theorem 8 The positive order CH hierarchy (4.1) possesses the Lax pair

Eq. (4.9) can be reduced to the following Lax pair

In particular, the D $\stackrel{\cdot}{\text{ym}}$ type equation (4.6) has the Lax pair

$$xx = \frac{1}{4}$$
 $\frac{1}{2}m$
 $t_1 = \frac{1}{2} m^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $t_2 + m^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $t_3 = \frac{1}{2} m^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (4.11)

Remark 5 This Lax pair coincides with the one by the usual method of nite power expansion with respect to spectral parameter. However, we here present the positive hierarchy (4.1) mainly by the Lenard's operators pair satisfying Eq. (2.4). Due to containing the spectral gradient r in Eq. (2.4), this procedure of generating evolution equations from a given spectral problem is called the spectral gradient method.

In this method, how to determ ine a pair of Lenard's operators associated with the given spectral problem mainly depends on the concrete forms of spectral problems and spectral gradients, and some computational techniques. From this method, we have already derived the negative order CH hierarchy and the positive order CH hierarchy.

4.2 r-m atrix structure and integrability for the B argm ann C H system

Consider the following matrix (called 'positive' Lax matrix)

$$L_{+} () = \begin{array}{c} A_{+} () & B_{+} () \\ C_{+} () & A_{+} () \end{array}$$
 (4.12)

w here

$$A_{+} () = \sum_{j=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{jp_{j}q_{j}}{j};$$
 (4.13)

$$B_{+} () = \int_{j=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{jq_{j}^{2}}{j}; \qquad (4.14)$$

$$C_{+}() = \frac{1}{2 h q_{j}q_{i}} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{i}} \frac{jp_{j}^{2}}{j}$$
: (4.15)

We calculate the determ inant of L_+ ():

$$\frac{1}{2} \det L_{+} () = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} L_{+}^{2} () = \frac{1}{2} A_{+}^{2} () + B_{+} () C_{+} ()$$

$$= \frac{X^{N}}{2} \frac{E_{j}^{+}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{A}_{+}^{2} () + B_{+} () C_{+} ()$$
(4.16)

where Tr stands for the trace of a matrix, and

$$E_{j}^{+} = \frac{1}{4 \text{ h q; qi}} _{j} q_{j}^{2} \frac{1}{2} _{j}^{+}; \quad j = 1; 2; ...; N;$$
 (4.17)

Let

$$F_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} {}^{k}E_{j}^{+}; k = 0;1;2;...;$$
 (4.19)

then it reads

$$F_{k} = \frac{k+1 q_{j}q}{4 h q_{j}qi} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{1}}{j=0} \qquad j+1 q_{j}p \qquad k j q_{j}p \qquad j+1 q_{j}q \qquad k j p_{j}p \qquad (4.20)$$

where k = 0;1;2::::A long but direct com putation leads to the following key equalities:

$$fA_{+}();A_{+}()g = fB_{+}();B_{+}()g = 0;$$

$$fC_{+}();C_{+}()g = \frac{2}{h q;qi^{2}}(A_{+}() A_{+}());$$

$$fA_{+}();B_{+}()g = \frac{2}{-(B_{+}() B_{+}());}$$

$$fA_{+}();C_{+}()g = \frac{2}{-(C_{+}() C_{+}())} \frac{1}{h q;qi^{2}} B_{+}();$$

$$fB_{+}();C_{+}()g = \frac{4}{-(A_{+}() A_{+}())};$$

Let L_1^+ () = L_+ () $I_{2\ 2}$, L_2^+ () = $I_{2\ 2}$ L_+ (), where L_+ (); L_+ () are given through Eq. (4.12). In the following, we search for a 4 4 r-m atrix structure r_{12}^+ (;) such that the fundamental Poisson bracket [13]:

$$fL_{+}$$
 (); L_{+} () $g = r_{12}^{+}$ (;); L_{1}^{+} () r_{21}^{+} (;); L_{2}^{+} () (4.21)

holds, where the entries of the 4 $\,$ 4 m atrix fL $_{+}$ (); L $_{+}$ ()g are

$$fL_{+}$$
 (); L_{+} () $g_{klmn} = fL_{+}$ () $_{km}$; L_{+} () $_{ln}g$; k; l;m; $n=1;2;$

and r_{21} (;) = P r_{12} (;) P; w ith

$$P = \frac{1}{2} I_{2 2} + X^{3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} I_{0 0 0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} I_{0 0 0 0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} I_{0 0 0 0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} I_{0 0 0 0}$$

where $_{i}^{0}$ are the Paulim atrices.

Theorem 9

$$r_{12}^{+}(;) = \frac{2}{(;)}P + \frac{2}{h \, q_{i}q_{i}^{2}}S^{+}$$
 (4.22)

is an r-m atrix structure satisfying Eq. (4.21), where

In fact, the r-m atrix satisfying Eq. (421) is not unique [19]. Obviously, this r-m atrix structure is also of 4 4 and di erent from the one in Ref. [20].

Because there is an r-m atrix structure satisfying Eq. (421),

$$L_{+}^{2}(); L_{+}^{2}() = r_{12}^{+}(;); L_{1}^{+}() r_{21}^{+}(;); L_{2}^{+}()$$
 (4.23)

w here

$$r_{ij}^{+} (;) = \sum_{k=0}^{X^{1}} (L_{1}^{+})^{1-k} () (L_{2}^{+})^{1-1} () r_{ij} (;) (L_{1}^{+})^{k} () (L_{2}^{+})^{1} ();$$

$$ij = 12;21:$$

Thus,

$$4 \text{ TrL}_{+}^{2} (); \text{TrL}_{+}^{2} () = \text{Tr } \text{L}_{+}^{2} (); \text{L}_{+}^{2} () = 0;$$
 (4.24)

So, by Eq. (4.16) we im mediately obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 10 The following equalities

$$fE_{i}^{+};E_{j}^{+}g=0; fF_{k};E_{j}^{+}g=0;$$
 (4.25)
 $i;j=1;2;...;N; k=0;1;2;...;$

hold. Hence, all Ham iltonian systems (F_k)

$$(F_k): q_k = fq; F_k g = \frac{@F_k}{@p}; p_k = fp; F_k g = \frac{@F_k}{@q};$$
 (4.26)
 $k = 0; 1; 2; ...;$

are completely integrable.

Furtherm ore, we not the following Hamiltonian function

$$H^{+} = \frac{1}{2} \text{hp;pi} \quad \frac{1}{8} \text{hq;qi} \quad \frac{1}{4 \text{h q;qi}}$$
 (4.27)

is involutive with E_{j}^{+} ; F_{k} , i.e.

fH
$$^+$$
; E ^+_j g = 0; fH $^+$; F $_k$ g = 0; (4.28)
 $\dot{j} = 1; 2; ...; N; k = 0; 1; 2; ...:$

Here, E_{i}^{+} are N independent functions.

Therefore, we obtain the following results.

Corollary 4 The canonical Hamiltonian system (H +):

(H +):
$$q_{x} = \frac{e_{H} + e_{p}}{e_{p}} = p;$$

$$p_{x} = \frac{e_{H} + e_{q}}{e_{q}} = \frac{1}{4}q \quad \frac{1}{2h \operatorname{gai}^{2}} q;$$
(4.29)

is completely integrable.

Corollary 5 All composition functions f H $^+$; F_k^+ , f 2 C 1 (R), k = 0;1;2; ..., are completely integrable H am iltonians.

Let

$$m = \frac{1}{h \operatorname{q}_{j} \operatorname{qi}^{2}}; \tag{4.30}$$

=
$$q_j$$
; $j = 1$; ...; N: (4.31)

Then, the integrable ow (H $^+$) de ned by Eq. (4.29) also exactly becomes the CH spectral problem (2.1) with the potential function m .

Remark 6 Eq. (4.30) is a Bargmann constraint in the whole space R^{2N} . Therefore, the H am iltonian system (H $^+$) is of integrable Bargmann type.

4.3 Param etric solution of the positive order CH hierarchy

In the following, we shall consider the relation between constraint and nonlinear equations in the positive order CH hierarchy (4.1). Let us start from the following setting

$$G_0 = {\overset{X^N}{r}}_{j=1}$$
 (4.32)

where $G_0 = m^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $r_j = {}_j q_j^2$ is the functional gradient of the CH spectral problem (2.2) corresponding to the spectral parameter ${}_j$ (j = 1; :::;N).

Apparently Eq. (4.32) reads

$$m = \frac{1}{h \operatorname{q}_i \operatorname{q}_i^2} \tag{4.33}$$

which coincides with the constraint relation (4.30).

Since the Ham iltonian ows (H $^+$) and (F $_k$) are completely integrable and their Poisson brackets fH $^+$; F $_k$ g = 0 (k = 0;1;2;:::), their phase ows $g_{H^+}^x$; $g_{F_k}^{t_k}$ commute [6]. Thus, we can de ne their compatible solution as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & ! & ! \\
 & q(x;t_k) \\
 & p(x;t_k) & = g_{H^+}^x g_{F_k}^{t_k} & q(x^0;t_k^0) \\
 & p(x^0;t_k^0) & ; k = 0;1;2; :::; \\
\end{array}$$
(4.34)

where x^0 ; t_k^0 are the initial values of phase ows $g_{H^+}^x$; $g_{F_k}^{t_k}$.

Theorem 11 Let $q(x;t_k)$; $p(x;t_k)$ be a solution of the compatible H am iltonian systems (H $^+$) and (F $_k$). Then

$$m = \frac{1}{h \, g(x;t_k); g(x;t_k)i^2}$$
 (4.35)

satis es the positive order CH equation

$$m_{t_k} = JL^k \quad m^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad k = 0;1;2; ...;$$
 (4.36)

where the operators J and L are given by Eqs. (2.5) and (2.6), respectively.

Proof: This proof is similar to the negative case.

In particular, with k = 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 6 Let $q(x;t_1)$; $p(x;t_1)$ be a solution of the compatible integrable Ham iltonian systems (H $^+$) and (F₁). Then

$$m = m (x;t_1) = \frac{1}{h q(x;t_1);q(x;t_1)i^2};$$
 (4.37)

is a solution of the D ym type equation (4.6). Here H $^+$ and F $_1$ are given by

$$H^{+} = \frac{1}{2} \text{hp;pi} \quad \frac{1}{8} \text{hq;qi} \quad \frac{1}{4 \text{h q;qi}};$$

$$F_{1} = \frac{h^{2} \text{q;qi}}{4 \text{h q;qi}} + \frac{1}{2} \text{h q;pi}^{2} \text{h q;qih p;pi} :$$

By Theorem 11, the Bargm ann constraint given by Eq. (4.30) is actually a solution of the positive order CH hierarchy (4.1). Thus, we also call the system (H $^+$) (i.e. Eq. (4.29)) a positive order constrained CH $\,$ ow (i.e. Bargm ann type) of the spectral problem (2.2). A ll H am iltonian system s (F_k); k $\,$ 0; k 2 Z are therefore called the positive order integrable Bargm ann $\,$ ow s in the whole R 2N .

In a further procedure, we can get the algebro-geom etric solutions for the positive order CH hierarchy.

A cknow ledgm ents

Z.Q iao would like to express his sincere thanks to Dr. Cam assa for his fruitful discussions and also to Dr. Gesztesy for his good suggestions.

This work was supported by the U.S.D epartment of Energy under contracts W-7405-ENG-36 and the Applied M athematical Sciences Program KC-07-01-01; the Special Grant of National Excellent Doctorial Dissertation of China; and also the Doctoral Program me Foundation of the Institution of High Education of China.

References

[1] M. J. Ablowitz and H. Segur, Soliton and the Inverse Scattering Transform (Philadelphia: SIAM 1981).

- [2] Mark S. Alber, Roberto Camassa, Yuri N. Fedorov, Darryl D. Holm and Jerrold E. Marsden, The complex geometry of weak piecewise smooth solutions of integrable nonlinear PDE's of shallow water and Dym type, Commun. Math. Phys. 221 (2001), 197-227.
- [3] Mark S.Alber, Roberto Camassa, Darryl D. Holm and Jerrold E. Marsden, The geometry of peaked solitons and billiard solutions of a class of integrable PDEs, Lett. Math. Phys. 32 (1994), 137–151.
- [4] Mark S. Alber and Yuri N. Fedorov, Wave solution of evolution equations and Hamiltonian ows on nonlinear subvarieties of generalized Jacobians, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 33 (2000), 8409-8425.
- [5] Mark S. Alber and Yuri N. Fedorov, Algebraic geometrical solutions for certain evolution equations and Hamiltonian ows on nonlinear subvarieties of generalized Jacobians, Inv. Prob. 17 (2001), to appear.
- [6] V. I. A mol'd, M athem atical M ethods of C lassical M echanics (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978).
- [7] R.Cam assa and D.D.Holm, An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993), 1661-1664.
- [8] F. Calogero, An integrable Ham iltonian system, Phys. Lett. A 201 (1995), 306-310.
- [9] C.W. Cao, Nonlinearization of Lax system for the AKNS hierarchy, Sci. China A (in Chinese) 32 (1989), 701-707; also see English Edition: Nonlinearization of Lax system for the AKNS hierarchy, Sci. Sin. A 33 (1990), 528-536.
- [10] Dickey L A. Soliton Equations and Hamiltonian Systems. Singapore: World Scientic, 1991.
- [11] B.Dubrovin, Riem ann surfaces and nonlinear equations I.Moscow: MGU, 1986
- [12] B. Dubrovin, Theta-functions and nonlinear equations, Russ. Math. Surv. 36(1981), 11-92.
- [13] L.D. Faddeev and L.A. Takhta jan, Ham iltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987).
- [14] F.G esztesy and H.Holden, Algebraic-geometric solutions of the Camassa-Holm hierarchy, (private communication) to appear in Revista Mat. Iberoamericana.

- [15] Griths P, Harris J. Principles of Algebraic Geometry New York: Wiley, 1978.
- [16] A.C.Newell, Soliton in Mathematical Physics (Philadelphia: SIAM 1985).
- [17] S.P.Novikov, S.V.M anakov, L.P.P itaevskii and V.E.Zakharov, Theory of Solitons. The Inverse Scattering Method (New York: Plenum, 1984).
- [18] Z.J.Q iao, et al, Category of nonlinear evolution equations, algebraic structure, and r-m atrix, preprint 2000.
- [19] Z. J. Q iao, G eneralized r-m atrix structure and algebro-geometric solutions for integrable systems, Rev. M ath. Phys. 13 (2001), 545-586.
- [20] O. Ragnisco and M. Bruschi, Peakons, r-matrix and Toda Lattice, Physica A 228 (1996), 150-159.
- [21] E.K. Sklyanin, Separation of variables. Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl., 118 (1995), 35-60.
- [22] Y.B. Suris, A discrete time peakons lattice, Phys. Lett. A 217 (1996), 321-329.

A ppendix

Abelm apping and the -function

1 If the genus of a R iem ann surface is g, this surface is hom om orphic to a sphere with g handles. Such a basic system of closed paths (or contours) $_1$; ...; $_g$; $_1$; ...; $_g$ can be chosen such that the only intersections among them are those of $_i$ and $_i$ with the same number i.

Let the R iem ann surface be covered with charts $(U_i; z_i)$, where z_i are local parameters in open domains U_i , the transition from z_i to z_j in intersections $U_i \setminus U_j$ being holomorphic. If in any U_i a dierential $'_i$ (z_i) d z_i with meromorphic $'_i$ (z_i) is given and in the common parts $U_i \setminus U_j$; $'_i$ (z_i) d $z_i = '_j$ (z_j) d z_j , then we say that there is an Abeldi erential on the whole surface with restrictions $j_i = '_i$ (z_i) d z_i . The Abeldi erential is of the rst kind if all the $'_i$ (z_i) are holomorphic. There are exactly g linearly independent dierentials of the rst kind $!_1$; ...; $!_g$. They are normed if $i_j : i_j = i_j$, which condition determines them uniquely. We shall always assume them normed. The numbers $i_j : i_j = i_j$ are called periods. The matrix $i_j : i_j : i_$

We consider a g-dimensional vector A (P) = $\binom{n_{P_0}}{p_0}!_j$, where P_0 is a xed point of the R iem ann surface and P is an arbitrary point. This vector is not uniquely determined, but depends on the path of integration. If the latter is changed then a linear combination of and -periods with integer coe cients can be added: (A (P)) $_j$ 7 (A (P)) $_j$ + $\binom{g}{1}$ n_{i} i_j + $\binom{g}{1}$ m_i B_{ij} , i.e. A (P) 7 A (P) + $\binom{g}{1}$ n_{i} i_j + $\binom{g}{1}$ m_i i_j i_j is the vector with coordinates B_{ij} . Thus A (P) determines a mapping of the R iem ann surface on the torus $J = C^g = T$, where T is the lattice generated by 2g vectors f_{ij} B_{ij} (which are linearly independent over R). This mapping is called the Abel mapping, and the torus J is the Jacobi manifold or the Jacobian or the R iem ann surface.

The Abelm apping extends by linearity to the divisors:

$$A \quad X \quad n_k P_k = X \quad n_k A \quad (P_k) :$$

A bel Theorem . Those and only those divisors go to zero of the Jacobian by the Abel mapping which are principal. The latter means that they are divisors of zeros and poles of merom orphic functions on the surface. If P_k is a zero of the function, then $n_k > 0$ and n_k is the degree of this zero. If P_k is a pole, then $n_k < 0$ and j_k j is the degree of this pole.

O fspecial interest is the case of divisors of degree g w ith all $n_k = 1$, i.e. of non-ordered sets of g points P_1 ; :::; P_g of the R iem ann surface. All the sets of such kind form the sym m etrical

g-th power of the Riem ann surface. The Abelm apping has the form

The symmetrical g-th power is a complex manifold of the complex dimension g and it is mapped on the Jacobian which is a manifold of the same dimension. A problem of conversion arises (the Jacobi inverse problem). It can be solved with the help of the —function.

3 For arbitrary P 2 C^g, let

$$(P) = \begin{cases} X \\ \exp f i(BZ;Z) + 2 i(P;Z)g; \\ Z^{2Z^{g}} \\ X^{g} \\ B_{ij}Z_{i}Z_{j}; Z = (z_{1}; :::; z_{g})^{T} \\ i_{j=1} \\ X^{g} \\ P;Z) = \begin{cases} p_{i}Z_{i}; P = (p_{1}; :::; p_{g})^{T} : \\ p_{i}Z_{i}; P = (p_{1}; :::; p_{g})^{T} : \end{cases}$$

The series converges owing to the properties of the matrix B . The $\,$ -function has the properties

$$(P) = (P);$$

 $(P + k) = (P);$
 $(P + B_k) = (P) \exp f i(B_{kk} + 2p_k)g:$

Note that the -function is not de ned on the Jacobian because of the latter property.

4 Riemann Theorem. There are constants $K=fk_ig;i=1;:::;g$ (Riemann constants) determined by the Riemann surface such that the set of points $P_1;:::;P_g$ is a solution of the system of equations

$$X^{g}$$
 $Z_{P_{i}}$! $j = l_{j}$; $L = fl_{j}g 2 J$; $j = 1$; ...; g ; $i = 1$ P_{0}

if and only if P_1 ; :::; P_g are the zeros of the function $^{\sim}$ (P) = (A (P) L K) (which has exactly g zeros). Note that while the function $^{\sim}$ (P) is not uniquely determined on the Riemann surface (it is multivalued) its zeros are multivalued, since distinct branches of $^{\sim}$ (P) diers by exponents.

5 We de ne now Abeldi erentials of the second and of the third kind. The Abeldi erential of the second kind, $_{\rm P}^{(k)}$; k=1;2;:::; has the only singularity at the point P which is a pole of the order k+1. The di erential can be represented at this point as dz $_{\rm k}^{(k)}$ (holom orphic di erential), z being the local parameter at this point. Such a di erential is uniquely determined if it normed: $_{\rm i}^{(k)} = 0;$ 8i:

The Abeldi erential of the third kind $_{PQ}$ has only singularities which are simple poles at the points P and Q with the residues + 1 and 1, respectively. It is uniquely determined by the same condition.

6 P roposition. If z is a local parameter in a neighbourhood of the point P and $!_i = '_i$ (z) dz is the Abeldi evential of the rst kind, then

$$\frac{1}{2 i} \int_{1}^{2} \int_{1}^{(k)} dx = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \frac{d^{k-1}}{dz^{k-1}} _{1}(z) = 1; ...; g;$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2 i} \sum_{p}^{Z} \sum_{p} !_{i}; i = 1; ::p;$$

which is also seen in Ref. [11].