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#### Abstract

W e discuss the sem iclassical approaches for describing system $s w$ ith spin-orbit interactions by Littlejohn and F lynn (1991, 1992), Frisk and Guhr (1993), and by B olte and K eppeler (1998, 1999). W e use these $m$ ethods to derive trace form ulae for several tw o- and three-dim ensionalm odel system $s$, and exhibit their successes and lim itations. W e discuss, in particular, also the mode conversion problem that arises in the strong-coupling lim it.
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## 1 Introduction

The periodic onbit theory ( P O T ) in itiated by M.G utzw iller over three decades ago 1] has proven to be a successfiul tool for the sem iclassical description of chaotic system s 6, 3, 4, 5]. Several extensions of $G$ utzw iller's sem iclassical trace form ula to system $s w$ ith regular and $m$ ixed dynam ics [6, 7, 8, $9,10,11,12,13,14]$ have $m$ ade it possible to describe quantum shelle ects in $m$ any physical system $s$ in term $s$ of the shortest classical periodic orbits (see 15, 16] for recent surveys).

How ever, in all the approaches mentioned so far, the spin degrees of freedom have not been incorporated in the sem iclassical theories. This becom es, in particular, necessary when one wants to apply the POT to system sw ith spin-orbit interactions, such as nuclei, atom s, or sem iconductor nanostructures. Littlejohn and Flynn 17] have developed a sem iclassical theory of system s w ith multi-com ponent w avefunctions and applied it [18] to the W K B quantization of integrable spherical system sw ith the standard spin-orbit interaction; Frisk and Guhr 19] have extended their m ethod to deform ed system $s$ w ith spin-orbit interaction. N one of these authors have, how ever, developed an explicit trace form ula. B olte and K eppeler 20] have recently derived a relativistic trace form ula from the D irac equation. They studied several non-relativistic lim its and rederived the LittlejohnFlynn (LF) approach in the lim it of a strong spin-orbit coupling, thereby justifying som e ad hoc assum ptions $m$ ade in 19]. A problem that has rem ained unsolved in the strong-coupling lim it is that of the so-called $m$ ode conversion: the sem iclassical description breaks dow $n$ in those points (or subspaces) of the classical phase space where the spin-orbit interaction locally becom es zero.

In the present paper we shall apply the above $m$ ethods to various tw $O$ - and three-dim ensional $m$ odelsystem $s$, test their ability to reproduce the coarse-grained quantum $m$ echanical leveldensities of these system $s$, and explore their lim itations. W e shall also discuss the mode conversion problem that arises in the strong-coupling lim it. Som e prelim inary results of our investigations have been presented in 21, 22].

O ur paper is organized as follow s. A fter a short rem inder of sem iclassical trace form ulae for coarse-grained quantum system $s$ in $\sec$ 目, we review in sec 3 the approaches of $17,19,20$ ] which
we then apply in the follow ing. In $\sec 4.1$ we investigate the tw o-dim ensional electron gas (2D EG) w ith a spin-orbit interaction of $R$ ashba type in an extemal m agnetic eld. In sec 4.2 we add to this system a laterally con ning anisotropic harm onic-oscillator potential as a model for an anisotropic sem iconductor quantum dot. Section 5 is devoted to a three-dim ensional harm onic oscillator potential w ith standard spin-orbit interaction of $T$ hom as type, as in the shell model for light atom ic nuclei (see, e.g., 23]). In sec 6, we nally discuss the m ode conversion problem and present som e supporting evidence for the diabatic spin- ip hypothesis proposed in 19].

## 2 Trace form ulae w ith coarse-grain ing

The prim ary ob ject of the sem iclassical trace form ulae is the level density (or density of states)

$$
g(E)={ }_{k}^{X} \quad\left(E \quad E_{k}\right)
$$

of a system described quantum $m$ echanically by the stationary Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { IP } k=E_{k} \quad \text { : } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e stay throughout in the non-relativistic lim it and assum e the energy spectrum $\mathrm{fE}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}$ to be discrete. $C$ lassically, the system is described by a H am ilton fiunction $H(r ; p)=E$ and the equations ofm otion derived from it. T he function $H$ ( $r ; p$ ) m ay be understood as the phase-space sym bolof the operator IP in the lim it $\sim!~ 0$ 。

T he level density (1) can be w ritten as a sum of a sm ooth part and an oscillating part:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(E)=g(E)+g(E): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sm ooth part $g(E)$ is in the POT given by the contribution of all orbits w ith zero length 25]. It is often easily evaluated by the (extended) T hom asFem itheory or by a num ericalStrutinsky averaging 26] of the quantum spectrum (see [15] for the relation of all three m ethods). The oscillating part $g(E)$ is sem iclassically approxim ated by trace form ulae of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathrm{cc}}(E)={ }_{\mathrm{po}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{po}}(\mathbb{E}) \cos \quad \frac{1}{\sim} S_{\mathrm{po}}(\mathbb{E}) \quad \overline{2} \text { po }: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he sum is here over all periodic onbits (po) of the classical system, including all repetitions of each prim itive periodic orbit (ppo) . $S_{p o}(E)$ is the action integral and po the so-called M aslov index of a periodic orbit. T he am plitude $A_{p o}(E)$ depends on the integrability and the continuous sym $m$ etries of the system. W hen all periodic onbits are isolated in phase space, the am plitude is given by fl]

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{p o}(E)=\frac{1}{\sim} \frac{T_{\mathrm{ppo}}}{j \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{po}} \quad 11\right) j} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $T_{p p o}$ is the period of the prim itive onbit and Flpo the stability $m$ atrix of the periodic orbit. Exam ples of am plitude factors for system $s w$ ith continuous sym $m$ etries or for integrable system $s$ $m$ ay be found in the literature quoted in the introduction.

The po sum in (4) does not converge in most cases; it must in generalbe understood as a an asym ptotic series that is only sem iconvergent. H ow ever, $m$ uch practical use can be $m$ ade of trace form ulae if one does not attem pt to obtain an exact energy spectrum (given by the poles of the
level density), but if one is interested only in the coarse-grained level density. For this, we de ne a sm oothed quantum $m$ echanical level density by a convolution of (1) w ith a norm alized G aussian:

$$
g(E)=P \underbrace{X}_{k} e^{\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E & E_{k} \tag{6}
\end{array}\right)=\right]^{2}}:
$$

H ere is a m easure of the desired energy resolution. A pplying the convolution to the right-hand side of (3) will, for sm allenough, not a ect the $s m$ ooth part $g(E)$. The convolution of the oscillating part, applied to the sem iclassical trace form ula (4) and evaluating the integration as usual in the stationary-phase approxim ation, leads to the the coarse-grained trace form ula 0 , 27]

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{s c}(E)=e_{p o}^{X} e^{\left(T_{p o}=2 \sim\right)^{2}} A_{p o}(E) \cos \quad \frac{1}{\sim} S_{p o}(E) \quad \overline{2} \text { po }: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only di erence to (4) is the additional exponential factor which suppresses the contributions from orbits w ith longer periods. D ue to this factor, the periodic orbit sum now converges for not too sm all values of. O ur choice of the G aussian function in ( $\$$ ) is rather arbitrary; cf 2 2 ] where the regularization of the trace form ula is discussed in term $s$ of a general sm ooth test function. In $m$ any physical system $s$, experim entally observable quantum oscillations could be well approxim ated through such coarse-grained trace form ulae in term $s$ of only a few short periodic orbits (see 115, 16] for exam ples). Balian and Bloch 目] have used a sm all im aginary part of the energy, which corresponds to using a Lorentzian sm oothing function.

## 3 Periodic orb it theory w ith sp in degrees of freedom

In our present study, we want to apply the P O T to system s of ferm ionsw ith spin $s=1=2$, in which the spin degrees of freedom are involved through an explicit spin dependence of the $H$ am iltonian. W ewrite it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{IP}=\mathrm{I}_{0} 11+\mathrm{P}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{P} \mathrm{P}_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{p}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}}+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r}) ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and assum e the spin-dependent part to have the follow ing general form of a spin-orbit interaction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{1}=\sim \text { œ }(\mathrm{r} ; \hat{\mathrm{P}}) \quad: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $=(x ; y ; z)$ is the vector built of the three Paulim atriges and 11 is the unit 22 m atrix acting in the spin space spanned by the P aulispinors. The P lanck constant ~ in 9) com es from the spin operator $\hat{S}=\frac{1}{2} \sim$. The constant is such that the spin-orbit term has the correct dim ension of an energy; it is com posed of natural (or m aterial) constants but does not contain $\sim$. The vector $C(r ; p)$, which is the phase-space sym bol of the operator ${ }^{0}(r ; \hat{p})$, m ay be interpreted as an intemal $m$ agnetic eld w ith arbitrary dependence on the classicalphase-space variables rip. In the standard non-relativistic reduction of the $D$ irac equation, Љo $(r ; \hat{p})$ becom es

$$
\text { ๒ }(r ; \hat{p})=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
r V(r) & \hat{p}] ; \tag{10}
\end{array}\right.
$$

w th $=1=4 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{C}^{2} ; \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})$ is an extemalelectrostatic potential. For the sphericalC oulom b potential, (G) and (10) yield the Thom as term (corrected by a factor 2) well-known in atom ic physics.

It is by no m eans trivial, now, how to de ne a classical H am ittonian corresponding to (8), since there is no direct classical analogue of the spin. W hereas num erous attem pts have been $m$ ade over the last seven decades or so to describe the spin classically or sem iclassically, only tw o approaches
have lent them selves to an inclusion ofspin degrees of freedom in sem iclassicaltrace form ulae. T hese are the approaches developed by Littlejohn and F lynn [17, 18], w th extensions by Frisk and G uhr [19], and of $B$ olte and $K$ eppeler 20]. W e refer to these original papers for all details, as well as for exhaustive references to the earlier literature. H ere we shall brie y present the resulting form ulae which will be applied and tested for various m odel system $s$ in the follow ing sections.

B olte and $K$ eppeler 20] started from the $D$ irac $H$ am iltonian to derive a relativistic trace form ula which, to our know ledge, has not yet been applied to physical system s . T hey also started from the non-relativistic Pauli equation for a charged particle with spin $1 / 2$ in an extemalmagnetic eld $B$ (r), for which we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{0}=\frac{1}{2 m} \hat{\mathrm{p}} \quad \frac{e^{h}}{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{r})^{\mathrm{i}_{2}}+\mathrm{V}(r) ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\sim}}{2 \mathrm{mc}} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{r}) \quad: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the sam e techniques as in the derivation of their relativistic trace form ula, they discuss two lim its for introducing the sem iclassical approxim ation. The Zeem an term $\mathrm{IP}_{1}$ in 11) is not a spin-orbit interaction, but B olte and K eppeler 20] argue that the extension of their m ethods to the $m$ ore general form (9) is straight forw ard. W e therefore present their approach below for the general spin-orbit H am iltonian (9).

### 3.1 W eak coupling lim it

In the \weak coupling" lim it (W CL), the sem iclassical approxim ation is system atically perform ed by the usualexpansion in pow ers of $\sim$. Because of the explicit appearance of $\sim$ in $\mathrm{Ip}_{1}$, the lim it $\sim$ ! 0 leads to the classical H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{p})=\mathrm{H}_{0}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{p})$ whose periodic onbits enter the trace form ula. The spin degrees of freedom here are not coupled to the classicalm otion. Their contribution to the trace form ula enters through the trace of a 22 m atrix $d(t)$ obeying the $\backslash$ spin transport equation"

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} d(t)=i[C(r ; p) \quad] d(t) ; \quad d(0)=11 ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be evaluated along each periodic orbit $r_{p o}(t), p_{p o}(t)$ found from $H_{0}(r ; p)$. This equation describes the spin precession about the instantaneous intemalm agnetic eld C ( $r$; $p$ ) along the periodic orbit. U sing the solution of (12) for each orbit, the trace form ula is given, to leading order in ~, by 20]

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathrm{sc}}(E)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{po}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{po}}(E) \operatorname{trd}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{po}}\right) \cos \quad \frac{1}{\sim} S_{p o}(E) \quad \overline{2} \text { po ; } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{po}}=\mathrm{dS} \mathrm{po}(E) / \mathrm{dE}$ is the period of each (repeated) orbit. Since the periodic orbits are not a ected by the spin m otion, the only di erence to the standard trace form ula (17) is the appearance of the spin $m$ odulation factor $t r d\left(T_{p o}\right)$; all other ingredients are evaluated in the usualm anner for the unperturbed periodic onbits of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. O ne m ay therefore consider this treatm ent as an adiabatic lim it of fast spin $m$ otion and slow spatialm otion $r(t)$, but B olte and $K$ eppeler 20] argue that this adiabatic assum ption is not needed for the form ula 13) to be true to leading order in $\sim$.
$T$ hrough the factor $\operatorname{trd}\left(T_{p o}\right)$ the contribution of a given periodic onbit depends on the overlap of the spin directions at the beginning and the end of its period. An onbit for which these two directions are identical has sim ply $\operatorname{trd}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{po}}\right)=2$, whereas an orbit for $w$ hich these directions are antiparallel does not contribute at all to the trace form ula 13) .

For selffetracing orbits, i.e., librations between two tuming points in coordinate space, the spin precession described by (12) is reversed at each tuming point, and hence the spin direction is brought back to its initial value after a full period. Such onbits therefore only acquire a trivial factor trd $\left(T_{p o}\right)=2$ com pared to the trace form ula (4). For system $s w$ hich possess only self-retracing
periodic orbits (see, e.g., the exam ples in secs苟 and (6), the form ula 13) thus reduces to the trivial recipe of incorporating the spin by a sim ple degeneracy factor 2 in the level density, whidh cannot account for the spin-orbit interaction e ects.

### 3.2 Strong coupling lim it

To obtain the \strong coupling" lim it (SC L ), B olte and K eppeler 20] follow the philosophy of 18, 19] by absorbing the $P$ lanck constant ~ in (11) into the Bohrm agneton $=e \sim=2 \mathrm{~m}$, , thus considering as a constant in the sem iclassical lim it ~! 0. Sim ilarly, for the spin-orbit $H$ am iltonian (9) one absorbs $\sim$ into the constant $=\sim$. The fact that this corresponds to a double lim it $\sim$ ! 0 and
! 1 , w ith $=\sim$ kept constant, justi es the nam e \strong coupling" lim it.
The symbol of the full H am iltonian in phase space now rem ains a 2 m atrix whidh after diagonalization leads to the tw o classical H am iltonians

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \quad(r ; p)=H_{0}(r ; p) \quad X(r ; p) j ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be considered as two adiabatic Ham ittonians w ith opposite spin polarizations. They create tw o classes of dynam ics, whose periodic orbits m ust be superposed in the naltrace form ula. Such a trace form ula has, however, not been derived explicitly so far. Littlejohn and F lynn [17] argued that a non-canonicaltransform ation of the phase-space variables r;p would be necessary to calculate the am plitudes. Frisk and G uhr 19] surm ised, based up on Fourier transform s of quantum spectra, that this is not necessary, provided that the actions $S_{p o}$ of the periodic orbits generated by the H am iltonians H be corrected by som e phases accum ulated along the periodic orbits:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sim} S_{p o}!\frac{1}{\sim} S_{p o}+\quad{ }_{\sim}^{I}{ }_{p o}\left({ }^{B}+{ }^{N N}\right) d t: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thephase velocities ${ }^{B}$; NN, which have been called the \Berry" and the \no-nam e" term s 17, 18], arise as rst-order ~ corrections in the sem iclassical expansion of the sym bol of the $H$ am iltonian $m$ atrix. Bolte and $K$ eppeler 20] have used their techniques to give this prescription a rigorous justi cation. For the H am iltonians (14) w ith (10), the above phase velocities can be calculated m ost easily in term s of the polar angles ; de ning the unit vector of the instantaneous direction of $C(r ; p)$, i.e., $e_{C}=(\cos \sin ; \sin \sin ; c o s)$, and of the $H$ esse $m$ atrix of the potential, $V_{i j}^{\infty}=$ $@^{2} V(r)=@ r_{i} @ r_{j}(i ; j=x ; y ; z)$, evaluated along the periodic orbits, and are given by 19]

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{B}=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad \text { cos })-; \quad{ }^{N N}=\frac{-}{2} e_{C}^{T} V^{\infty} e_{C}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

C learly, in the SC L the spin a ects the classical dynam ics, albeit only in an adiabatic, polarized fashion. M oreover, there is a serious lim tation to the procedure outlined above. W henever $C=0$ at a given point in (or in a subspace of) phase space, the tw o H am iltonians H becom e degenerate and singularities arise, both in the classical equations ofm otion and in the calculation of the phase corrections (16) and the stabilities of the periodic orbits. Such points are called \m ode conversion" $(M C)$ points. A sim ilar situation occurs in the chem istry of m olecular reactions when tw o or m ore adiabatic surfaces intersect. T heM C poses a di cult problem in sem iclassicalphysics and chem istry, that has not been satisfactorily solved so far for system $s w$ th $m$ ore than one spatial dim ension (see 28] for a discussion of the M C in one dim ension).

For self-retracing periodic orbits, all com ponents of the $m$ om entum $p$ are zero at the tuming points. Hence, a spin-orbit interaction of the standard $T$ hom as type (10) will in the SCL lead to MC at the tuming points. In this case, both the WCL and the SCL break down, and an im proved treatm ent becom es necessary to include such orbits in a sem iclassical trace form ula. A new approach that is free of the M C problem has just been proposed 29]; its results w illbe presented in a forthcom ing paper.

## 4 Two-dim ensionalelectron system swith R ashba term

In this section we shall investigate a two-dim ensional electron gas (2D EG) w ith a spin-orbit interaction of the Rashba type 30]. We will include also an extemalm agnetic eld and an extemal potential $V(r)=V\left(r_{x} ; r_{y}\right)$ which causes a lateral con nem ent of the 2DEG (see, e.g., 31]). The $R$ ashba term can be written in the form

Here $h v_{z}^{0} i$ is the $m$ ean gradient of the electrostatic potential in the $z$ direction that con nes the electron gas to the $(x ; y)$ plane, so that $z=p_{z}=0$, and the constant depends on the band structure of the sem iconductor in which the 2D EG is con ned 31]. N ote that the $R$ ashba term 17) is of the standard form 110).

We shall in sec 4.1 study the case of the free 2D EG for $V(r)=0$ in an extemal perpendicular hom ogeneousm agnetic eld, forw hich a quantum $-m$ echanically exact trace form ula is easily derived. W e shall see that the W C L approach yields an analytical sem iclassical trace form ula which is exact only to leading order in ~ and in the lim it ! 0. The SCL approach, how ever, forwhich we also obtain an analytical result, is dem onstrated to fail for ! 0 , but to include correctly the higherorder term sin ~, and to becom e exact in the strong-coupling lim it. In sec 4.2, we add an an isotropic ham onic con nem ent potential V (r) to this system, where the W CL can be applied successfully in num erical calculations. W hen the extemalm agnetic eld is sw itched $\circ$, the rem aining system has only self-retracing orbits for which both the W CL and the SCL fail The M C problem arising in the SCL for this system will be discussed in section 目.

### 4.1 Free 2D EG w ith $R$ ashba term in an externalm agnetic eld

We rst discuss the free 2DEG w ith the Rashba spin-orbit interaction 17) in a hom ogeneous $m$ agnetic eld $B=B_{0} e_{z}$. The corresponding vector potential $A$ is included by replacing the $m$ om entum operator in the usualway: $\hat{p}!\wedge=\hat{p} \quad \in A=C$. The total H am iltonian then reads
where, using the sym $m$ etric gauge for $A$, the $m$ echanical $m$ om entum is given by
$H$ ere $m$ is the ective $m$ ass of the electron and $e$ its charge. W e have om itted the spin contribution to the Zeem an term which could be trivially included by adding the $m$ agnetic eld to do .

The quantum m echanical eigenvalues of the H am ittonian (18) are know $n$ analytically (30]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}=\sim!{ }_{c}=2 ; \quad E_{n}=\sim!_{c} n \quad P \overline{1=4+\sim 2 n e^{2}} ; \quad n=1 ; 2 ; 3 ;::: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $!_{c}=e B{ }_{0}=m \quad c$ is the cyclotron frequency, and $e={h v_{i}^{0} i}_{p}^{m=!_{c}}$ is a renorm alized coupling constant which we have de ned in such a way that the $P$ lanck constant ~ appears explicitly in all
our form ulae田 The exact level density is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(E)=\quad\left(E \quad E_{0}\right)+X_{n=1}^{X^{1}}\left[\left(E \quad E_{n}^{+}\right)+\left(E \quad E_{n}\right)\right]: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing P oisson sum mation (see, e.g., [15], sec 3.2 2), this result can be identically transform ed to an exact quantum $m$ echanical trace form ula. The $s m$ ooth part of 21 ) is $g(E)=2=\sim!c$, and the oscillating part becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(E)=\frac{2}{\sim!}_{\sim}^{X} \quad 1 \quad p \frac{\sim e^{2}}{1=4+2 E e^{2}=!c_{c}+\sim^{2} e^{4}} \\
& X_{k=1}^{X^{1}} \cos k 2 \quad \frac{E}{\sim!_{c}}+\sim e^{2} \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{1=4+2 E e^{2}=!_{c}+\sim^{2} e^{4}} \quad: \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

W ewill now analyze the system sem iclassically, using both the W CL and the SCL approach. In the weak-coupling lim 立, we rst need the trace form ula for the unperturbed system w ithout spinorbit coupling, corresponding to $\mathrm{I}_{0}=\wedge^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}$. T his is the quantized Landau level system, whose exact trace form ula is that of a one-dim ensional harm onical oscillator w ith the cyclotron frequency $!_{c}$ and reads 15] (w ithout spin degeneracy factor)

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{(=0)}(E)={\frac{2}{\sim!_{c}}}_{k=1}^{X^{1}}(1)^{k} \cos k \frac{2 E}{\sim!_{c}}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the $R$ ashba term in (18), the spin transport equation (12) can be solved analytically 21], and the spin $m$ odulation factor becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{trd}\left(\mathrm{kT}_{\mathrm{po}}\right)=(1)^{\mathrm{k}} 2 \cos \mathrm{k} 2^{\mathrm{p}} \frac{1=4+2 \mathrm{E} \mathrm{e}^{2}=!_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{i}}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith (13), the com plete sem iclassical trace form ula in the W C L can therefore be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{G c}^{W C C L}(E)=\frac{2}{\sim}_{\sim_{c}}^{X} \quad X_{k=1}^{X} \cos k 2 \quad \frac{E}{\sim!_{c}} \quad P \overline{1=4+2 E e^{2}=!_{c}} \quad: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his result is not the sam e as the exact quantum $m$ echanical one 22), but it contains the correct term $s$ of leading order in $\sim$, in accordance w ith the derivation of B olte and K eppeler 20], and the correct leading-order term in $e^{2}$. The $m$ issing term $s$ would com e about by going to higher orders in the sem iclassical ~ expansion. N ote also that (25) becom es exact in the lim ite! 0.
$R$ ecently, $K$ eppeler and $W$ inkler 32], have analyzed the anom alousm agnetoresistance oscillations of a quasi-2DEG in GaAs sem iconductors, em ploying two kinds of spin-orbit interactions one of which was of the R ashba type (18). T hey applied the W CL trace form ula (13) and obtained good agreem ent w ith quantum $m$ echanical results. A $s$ the spin-orbit interaction in $G$ aA $s$ is rather weak, we assum e that their results were not sensitive to the $m$ issing higher-order sem iclassical term $s$, which explains their good agreem ent.

It is very instructive now to com pare the above result $w$ th that of an analysis using the strongcoupling lim it. The SCL Ham ittonians (14) becom e H (r;p) = $H_{0}(r ; p)$ e $\overline{2!}{ }_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{H}_{0}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{p}) \mathrm{w}$ ith $e=\sim e$. It is easy to see that $H_{0}(r ; p)=E_{0}$ is a constant of $m$ otion. The equations of $m$ otion derived from $H$ therefore becom e linear, representing one-dim ensionalharm on ic oscillators like for

[^0]the Landau onbits of the unperturbed system $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. Instead of the cyclotron frequencies ! c they have, how ever, the m odi ed eigen frequencies
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=!_{c} 1 e^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{!_{c}=2 \mathrm{E}_{0}}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Since C ( $r ; p$ ) does not change its sign along the modi ed Landau onbits, the system does not su er from the mode conversion problem and the SCL can be safely applied. The action integrals of the prim itive orbits are sim ply found to be $S=2 \mathrm{E}_{0}=!\mathrm{c}$, like for the unperturbed system, but expressing them in term $s$ of the conserved total energy $E=E_{0} \quad e^{P} \overline{2!{ }_{c} E_{0}}$ one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \quad(E)=2 \quad \frac{E}{!_{c}}+e^{2} \quad q \overline{2 E e^{2}=!_{c}+e^{4}}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The phase velocities (16) are easily found to be ${ }^{B}=\quad=2=!=2$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{N} N}=0$, so that the overall phase correction (15) becom es $\quad=\quad$ for each repetition of the prim itive orbits. Inserting these results into the trace form ula of the one-dim ensional ham onic oscillator, i.e., eq 23) w ith ! c replaced by ! , using $T=2=!=d S=d E$, and sum $m$ ing over both onbit types, we obtain the sem iclassical trace form ula in the SCL (exhibiting again the ~ contained in e)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{G}_{S C}^{S C L}(E)=\frac{2}{X}_{\sim!c}^{X} \quad 1 \quad P \frac{\sim e^{2}}{2 E e^{2}=!c_{c}+\sim^{2} e^{4}} \\
& X_{k=1}^{X^{2}} \cos k 2 \quad \frac{E}{\sim!_{c}}+\sim e^{2} \quad P \frac{e^{2}=!_{c}+\sim^{2} e^{4}}{2 E} \quad: \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

It is interesting to note that hereby the Berry term, yielding the phase correction $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k}$, cancels the altemating sign ( 1$)^{\mathrm{k}}$ in 23). W e note that the result 28) would be exactly identical to the quantum $m$ echanical result (22), were it not for the $m$ issing term $1 / 4$ under the roots. W e see, therefore, that the $S C L$ result $w i l l$ fail in the lim ite! 0 , since the altemating sign ( 1$)^{k}$ arises precisely from that $m$ issing term $1 / 4$ in the actions. On the other hand, the SCL trace form ula (28) does correctly include the higher-order term $s$ in $\sim e^{2}$, both in actions and am plitudes, becom ing exact in the lim it of a large spin-orbit coupling param eter e, as could be hoped. N ote that (28) becom es exact also in the lim it of large energy E. That the SCL trace form ula fails in the lim it $e!0$ is not surprising because of the non-analytic behaviour of the H am iltonians (14), as already pointed out in 17].

### 4.2 A quantum dotwith externalm agnetic eld

W e now add a lateral con ning potential $V\left(r_{x} ; r_{y}\right)$ to the previous system. $T$ his is a sim ple model for a two-dim ensional quantum dot which now adays can easily be manufactured in sem iconductor heterostructures. W e choose the con ning potential to be harm onic, so that the H am iltonian becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{\wedge^{2}}{2 m} 11+\frac{m}{2}!_{x}^{2} r_{x}^{2}+!_{y}^{2} r_{y}^{2} 11+\sim \not\left(r ;^{\wedge}\right) \wedge: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he R ashba term 〕o now acquires also a z com ponent like in 17) and reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ๒ }\left(r ;^{\wedge}\right)=\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \begin{array}{l}
h v_{z}^{0} i^{\wedge} \\
h v_{z}^{0} i^{\wedge}{ }_{x}
\end{array} & \text { A : }
\end{array}  \tag{30}\\
& m!{ }_{x}^{2} r_{x}{ }^{\wedge}{ }_{y} \quad m \quad{ }_{y}^{2} r_{y} \wedge_{x}
\end{align*}
$$

In the case where the tw o oscillator frequencies $!_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $!_{\mathrm{y}}$ are identical, the total system has axial sym $m$ etry and is integrable even including the spin-orbit term, $w$ ith the eigenvalues of the total angular $m$ om entum in $z$ direction, $\hat{J}_{z}=\hat{L}_{z}+s_{z}$, being constants of the $m$ otion. A $n$ exact trace form ula can then be found by the EBK quantization follow ing the m ethods of [18]. W e w ill not discuss the integrable system here and refer the interested reader to 21]. A less trivial situation arises when the frequencies ! x and $!\mathrm{y}$ are di erent and the system w ith spin-orbit coupling is no longer integrable.

Since a realistic spin-orbit coupling in most sem iconductors is weak, wee shall here only use the W CL to derive a sem iclassical trace form ula for the $H$ am iltonian (29). The system without spin-orbit coupling is biquadratic in the space and $m$ om entum variables and can be transform ed to becom e separable in its norm alm odes. T he norm al-m ode frequencies are

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=\frac{1}{2}!_{c}^{2}+!_{x}^{2}+!_{y}^{2} \quad q \overline{\left(!{ }_{c}^{2}+!_{x}^{2}+!_{y}^{2}\right)^{2} \quad 4!_{x}^{2}!_{y}^{2}} \quad{ }^{1=2}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact eigenenergies are thus given in term sof two oscillator quantum numbers $n_{+}$and $n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}_{+} ; \mathrm{n}}=\sim!+\left(\mathrm{n}_{+}+1=2\right)+\sim!\quad(\mathrm{n}+1=2) \quad \mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;::: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sem iclassical trace form ula for such a system is known 33] and quantum $m$ echanically exact:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{(=0)}(E)=\frac{1}{\sim!}_{k=1}^{X^{1}}(1)^{k} \frac{1}{\sin [k \quad(!=!)]} \sin k \frac{2 E}{\sim!}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that this form ula is only usefulw hen $!_{+}=!\quad$ is irrational. For rational frequency ratios, careful lim its $m$ ust be taken to cancel all singularities, see 33] for details. H ow ever, for a nite extemal eld $B_{0} 0$, the ratio $!_{+}=!$can always be $m$ ade irrationalby an in nitesim alchange of the eld strength, so that equation (33) is adequate for all practical purposes. T he sem iclassical origin of this trace form ula is given by the existence of only tw o isolated rotating onbits with frequencies ! + and! ,whose shapes in coordinate space are ellipses. E ach orbit contributes one of the above tw o sum $s ; k$ is the repetition num ber of the prim itive onbits (which have $k=1$ ).

W e next have to calculate the spin m odulation factors by solving the equation (12) along the unperturbed elliptic onbits. This could only be done num erically. It is, however, su cient to calculate the modulation factors for the prim itive orbits only. U sing the property trd (kTpo) = $\operatorname{trd}^{k}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{po}}\right)$, the naltrace form ula in the W CL is then given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{c c}(E)=X \frac{1}{\sim!}_{k=1}^{X^{M}}(1)^{k} \frac{\operatorname{trd}^{k}(T)}{\sin \llbracket k(!=!)]} \sin k \frac{2 E}{\sim!} ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T=2=!$ are the periods of the unperturbed prim tive orbits.
In $g$ 目we compare results for the oscillating parts $g(E)$ of the coarse-grained level density for $=0: 3 \sim!0$; all energies are in units of $\sim!0$. The deform ation of the con nem ent potential $w$ as xed by $!_{\mathrm{x}}=!_{0}$ and $!_{\mathrm{y}}=1: 23!_{0}$, and the cyclotron frequency waschosen to be $!_{\mathrm{c}}=0.2!_{0}$. In our num erical calculations we have set $\sim=!_{0}=m=e=c=h v_{z}^{0} i=1$. In these units, the spin-orbit coupling param eter was chosen to be $=0: 1$. The heavy solid lines in the upper three panels represent the full quantum $m$ echanical result obtained from an exact diagonalization of the H am iltonian 2G) in the basis of $F_{0}$. In the top panel, the sem iclassical trace form ula (33) w thout spin-orbit interaction is show $n$ (only $k=1$ and $k=2$ contribute visibly). It clearly dem onstrates that the e ect of the spin-orbit interaction on the level density, even at this resolution, is dram atic. In the next tw o panels, the spin-orbit interaction has been included into the sem iclassicalW C L trace
form ula (34), using the num erical spin m odulation factors. W e see that the agreem ent is im proved radically, especially if the second repetitions ( $k=2$ ) are added. T he di erence betw een quantum $m$ echanics (Q M) and sem iclassics (SC ) can clearly be seen only in the close-up (second panel), which selects the energy region $11<\mathrm{E}=\left(\sim!_{0}\right)<21$, where the disagreem ent actually is w orst. The bottom panel show s the energy dependence of the tw o spin m odulation factors $t r d\left(T_{+}\right)$and $\operatorname{trd}(T)$ of the prim itive orbits. C learly, the strong long-range modulation in the amplitude of $g(E)$ is the result of the spin-orbit interaction; it is correctly reproduced in the W CL approach through the inclusion of the spin m odulation factors.


Figure 1: U pper three panels: C oarse-grained (w ith $=0: 3 \sim!0$ ) oscillating part $g(E)$ of level density of the 2-dim ensionalquantum dot $w$ ith $R$ ashba term (energy units: ~! o). H eavy solid lines: full quantum results (Q M ) including $R$ ashba term. D otted line in the top panel: trace form ula 33) for $=0$. Solid and dashed lines in 2nd and 3rd panel: sem iclassical trace form ula (34) (SC) w th only rst ( $k=1$ ) and up to second harm onics $(k=2)$ included. Low est panel: spin modulation factors $\operatorname{trd}\left(T_{+}\right)$and $\operatorname{trd}(T)$.
$T$ his concludes the discussion of the system $w$ th $m$ agnetic eld $B_{0} 0 . W$ notige at this point that the case $B_{0}=0 \mathrm{w}$ ith irrational frequency ratio $!_{x}=!_{y}$ is not accessible in the W CL. This follows from the fact that in the system w ithout spin-orbit coupling, the only periodic orbits are the self-retracing librations along the principal axes. A s already discussed at the end of sec 3.1, the W CL fails here in that it gives only the trivialm odulation factor $\operatorname{trd}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{po}}\right)=2$ for both orbits. On the other hand, the SCL su ens from the M C problem. W e will discuss this problem explicitly in $\sec 6$, where we retum to the above system $w$ ith $B_{0}=0$ 。

## 5 Three-dim ensionalharm on ic oscillatorw ith standard sp in -orb it interaction

W e now discuss a three-dim ensional system with a spin-orbit interaction of the $T$ hom as type, as it is $w e l l-k n o w n$ from non-relativistic atom ic and nuclear physics. In order to be able to perform as $m$ any calculations as possible analytically, we choose again a harm on ic-oscillator potential for $V$ ( $r$ ). $T$ his potential is not only the prototype for any system oscillating harm onically around its ground state, but it has actually been used in nuclear physics as a realistic shellm odell for light nuclei 23], provided that the spin-orbit interaction $w$ as included $w$ ith the correct sign 24].
$W$ e thus start from the follow ing H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{H}_{0} 11+\sim \hat{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{r} ; \hat{\mathrm{p}}) \wedge ; \quad \hat{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{r} ; \hat{\mathrm{p}})=\mathrm{r} \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{r}) \quad \hat{\mathrm{p}} ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \hat{p}^{2}+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r}) ; \quad \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})={\underset{i=x ; y ; z}{\mathrm{X}} \frac{1}{2}!{ }_{i}^{2} r_{i}^{2}: ~: ~: ~}_{\text {in }} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $r=\left(r_{x} ; r_{y} ; r_{z}\right)$ and $p=\left(p_{x} ; p_{y} ; p_{z}\right)$ are three-dim ensional vectors. We express the three oscillator frequencies in term $s$ of two deform ation param eters,

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{\mathrm{x}}=!_{0} ; \quad!_{\mathrm{y}}=(1+)!_{0} ; \quad!_{\mathrm{z}}=(1+)!_{0}: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and use $\sim!0$ as energy units. For $=0,=1$ the system has spherical symmetry, for $=1$ and

$W$ e shall rst (sec 5.1) brie y discuss the quantum $m$ echanical spectrum of the system, and then ( $\sec 52.2$ ) investigate it in $m$ ore detail by the sem iclassicalm ethods. $T$ he $m$ ost interesting case is that where the oscillator frequencies are m utually irrational, so that the unperturbed classical H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ has only self-retracing periodic orbits. In this case the W CL cannot handle the spin-orbit coupling, and we m ust resort to the SCL. As we will show, the leading periodic onbits w ith shortest periods in this case do not undergo m ode conversion. W e therefore use this system for a representative case study, for which a trace form ula can be successfully derived (cf 22, 21]) w ithin the SCL.

### 5.1 Q uantum -m echan ical spectrum

In general, the system 35) is not integrable. T here are, how ever, tw o well-know n integrable cases for which the quantum spectrum is analytically know $n$ : the separable system 36) w ithout coupling ( $=0$ ), and the sphericalsystem ( $=0$ ) including coupling. T he unpertunbed harm onic oscillator has the spectrum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i=x;y;z}}^{\sim!_{i}\left(n_{i}+1=2\right): \quad n_{i}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;::: ~} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spherical system $(=0)$ w ith coupling 0 , for which $\hat{C}(r ; \hat{p})=!{ }_{0}^{2} \hat{L}$, has the spectrum

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{nlj}}=\sim!_{0}(2 \mathrm{n}+1+3=2)+\quad\left(\sim!_{0}\right)^{2} \quad \begin{gather*}
l  \tag{39}\\
(1+1)
\end{gather*} \begin{aligned}
& \text { for } j=1+1=2 ; \\
& \text { for } j=1 \quad 1=2 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]where $n ; 1=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;:::$ and $j=1 \quad 1=2$ is the total angularm om entum . The spin-orbit term is only to be included for $l>0$. Each level $E_{n l j}$ has the usual angular momentum degeneracy ( $2 j+1$ ) which equals 2 for $l=0$. N ote that in nuclear physics, is negative 23, 24].

The non-integrable cases require num ericalm ethods for determ ining the energy spectrum. H ere we used the diagonalization in the basis $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}} ; \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{z}}$ i of the unperturbed H am iltonian $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ (36) $w$ ith the eigenenergies (38), where $j_{z}$ i with $s_{z}=1$ are the spin eigenstates of $\wedge_{z}$. The inclusion of the phase $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{y}}$ leads to real m atrix elem ents; furtherm ore, the conservation of the signatures $(1)^{n_{x}+n_{y}+n_{z}}$ and $(1)^{n_{x}+n_{y}} S_{z}$ allow $s$ one to separate the $H$ am iltonian $m$ atrix into sm aller uncoupled blocks (see 34] for details).

### 5.2 Sem iclassical analysis

### 5.2.1 Sm ooth level den sity

W hen one wants to com pare results of sem iclassical trace form ulae w ith quantum $m$ echan ical level densities, one has to subtract from the latter the $s m$ ooth part $g(E)$ ( $\sec \sec$ 百) . For the $H$ am iltonian [35), 9 (E ) can be calculated analytically within the extended Thom asFerm i (T F) m ethod, which has been done already long ago 35]. The result, as an expansion both in $\sim$ and powers of , reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(E)={\frac{E}{}{ }^{2}!_{x}!_{y}!_{z}}_{n}^{\sim}+\sim^{2} 2\left(!!_{x}^{2}+!y_{y}^{2}+!_{z}^{2}\right)+O\left(\sim^{4}\right)^{0} \\
& +{\frac{2 E}{3 \sim^{2}!x!y!z}}^{n} \sim^{3}\left(!{ }_{x}^{2}!{ }_{y}^{2}+!{ }_{y}^{2}!{\underset{z}{z}}_{2}+!{ }_{z}^{2}!{ }_{x}^{2}\right)+O\left(\sim^{5}\right)^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the literature, the sm ooth part is often assum ed to be given by the TF model. This leads, how ever, only to the leading term proportional to $\mathrm{E}^{2}$. For an accurate determ ination of $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{E})$, the leading $\sim$ and $\sim^{2}$ corrections relative to the TF term $m$ ay not be neglected.

### 5.2.2 Trace form ulae for the integrable cases

$T$ he exact spectra of the integrable cases o er again the possibility to derive trace form ulae that are exact in all orders of ~ . For the unperturbed harm on ic oscillators, these are know n 155, 33] and need not be repeated here. For the spherical case w ith spin-orbit interaction, the m ethods of [15, 33, 35] lead to the follow ing result:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.g(E)={\frac{E}{\left(\sim!_{0}\right)^{2}}}^{X} X_{k=1}^{(1} \quad \sim!_{0}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sin \left[2 k=\left(1 \quad \sim!_{0}\right)\right]} \sin k \frac{E T}{\sim} \quad \overline{2} k \\
& +\frac{1}{\sim!}^{X}{ }_{k=1}^{X^{3}} \frac{(1+2 \sim!0)}{2(1 \quad \sim!0)^{2}} \frac{1}{\sin [2 k=(1 \quad \sim!0)]} \sin \quad k \frac{E T}{\sim} \quad-k \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\frac{1}{\sim!}^{X} \quad X_{k=1}^{1} \frac{1}{(1} \quad \sim!_{0}\right)^{2} \frac{\cos [2 k=(1}{\sin ^{2}[2 k=(1} \sim!_{0}\right)\right] \quad \cos \quad k \frac{E T}{\sim} \quad-k \\
& +\frac{1}{\sim!}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{(1)^{\mathrm{k}+1}}{2 \sin ^{2}\left(\mathrm{k} \quad \sim!_{0}\right)} \cos \mathrm{k} \frac{E \mathrm{~T}_{0}}{\sim} \quad-\mathrm{k} \quad{ }_{2} \quad ; \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

where the three periods $T$ and $T_{0}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=\frac{2}{!_{0}\left(1 \quad \sim!_{0}\right)} ; \quad \mathrm{T}_{0}=\frac{2}{!_{0}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the phases

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{2}{1 \sim!_{0}} ; \quad 0=4 \sim!_{0} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

play the role of non-integer $M$ aslov integens. W hen added to the sm ooth part 40), eq 41) reproduces the exact quantum spectrum 39). This trace form ula thus serves us as a test lim it of the sem iclassical results derived below in the non-integrable deform ed cases. In $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ we recognize the period of the classical orbits of the unpertunbed H am iltonian; the shifted periods $T$ have to be explained by the periodic orbits of the perturbed system.

In the lim it ! 0 , the sum of the sm ooth term 40) w ith ! ${ }_{x}=!!_{y}=!_{z}=!_{0}$ and the oscillating term 41) yields the exact trace form ula of the isotropic three-dim ensional harm onic oscillator [15, 33] (which here includes the spin degeneracy factor 2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(E)=\frac{1}{\left(\sim!_{0}\right)^{3}} E^{2} \frac{1}{4}\left(\sim!_{0}\right)^{2} \quad 1+2_{k=1}^{X^{1}}(1)^{k} \cos k \frac{2 E}{\sim!_{0}}: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.2.3 F ourier tran sform s

Since we have no explicit quantum spectra of the perturbed system and therefore cannot derive an exact trace form ula, we resort to the $m$ ethod of Fourier transform $s$ of the quantum spectrum 36] in order to extract inform ation on the periods of the system. The H am iltonian 35) has the scaling property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}(r ; \hat{p})={ }^{2} \hat{H}(r ; \hat{p}): \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e see below that this scaling property holds also in the classical lim it if the SCL is used. As a consequence, the energy dependence of the classical dynam ics and thus of the periodic orbits is sim ply given by a scaling, and their actions go like $S_{p o}(E)=T_{p o} E$, whereby the periods $T_{p o}=2=!$ po are energy independent (but depend on ). Therefore, the peaks in the Fourier transform sof $g(E)$ w ith respect to the variable E w ill give us directly the periods $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}$ in the tim e dom ain, whereby the peak heights are given by the sem iclassical am plinudes $A_{p o}$ and their signs give inform ation on the relative M aslov indices.

In $g$ ह we present a series of Fourier transform sof $g(E)$ obtained from the num erically diagonalized quantum spectra w ith a coarse-graining param eter $=0: 5 \sim!0$. Show $n$ are here the squares of the Fourier am plitudes in the tim e dom ain, plotted for di erent spin-orbit coupling strengths. A slightly anisotropic ratio of frequencies $!_{\mathrm{x}}=!_{0,}!_{\mathrm{y}}=1: 1215!_{0},!_{\mathrm{z}}=1: 2528!_{0} \mathrm{w}$ as chosen. For
$=0$, the system then has only the three isolated librating orbits along the principalaxes. Indeed, wee see at $=0$ the three dom inant peaks $w$ ith the corresponding prim tive periods $T_{i}=2=l_{i}$ ( $i=x ; y ; z$ ). Their second harm onics $(k=2)$ are also resolved; how ever, due to their larger periods they are ofsm aller am plitude. For $>0$ this sim ple peak structure is split and ends in a com pletely di erent spectrum at $=0.2(\sim!0)^{1}$. The dotted lines are the predictions from the sem iclassical SCL analysis given in the next subsection; the plots on the right-hand side indicate the shapes of the periodic orbits for increasing .

### 5.2.4 Sem iclassical treatm ent in the SC L approach

W e now analyze the system sem iclassically in the SC L. A ccording to sec 3.3, the classical H am iltonians to be used are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { H } \quad(r ; p)=\frac{1}{2} p^{2}+V(r) \quad j c(r ; p) j ; \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

with C given in (35) and $=\sim$ asdiscussed in sec 3.2. The spin-orbit term destroys the integrability of the harm on ic oscillator, but the scaling property (45) is still fill led. Therefore the above Fourier spectra should give us the correct periods of the periodic orbits de ned by the $H$ am iltonians (46).


Figure 2: Fourier spectra of quantum $m$ echan ical level density $g(E)$ (coarsegrained w ith $=0: 5 \sim!0$ ) of the 3 -dim ensional ham on ic oscillator $w$ ith deform ation $=0: 1212,=2$, and various spin-orbit strengths in units $(\sim!0)^{1}$. $T$ is in units of $!_{0}{ }^{1}$. For the dotted lines and the inserts on the rhs, see 9 .
a) Periodic orb its

The equations of $m$ otion for the H am ittonians (46) becom e

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\underline{r_{i}}= & p_{i} & { }_{i j k} & X{ }^{1}\left(C_{j}!{ }_{k}^{2} r_{k}\right. & \left.C_{k}!{ }_{j}^{2} r_{j}\right) ; & \\
\underline{p}_{i}= & !{ }_{i}^{2} r_{i} & { }_{i j k} & X{ }^{1}{ }^{1}\left(C_{j}!{ }_{i}^{2} p_{k}\right. & \left.C_{k}!{ }_{i}^{2} p_{j}\right): & \quad i ; j ; k 2 \text { fx;y;zg }
\end{array}
$$

$T$ his is a non-linear coupled system ofsix equations, and the search forperiodic orbits is not easy. W e have determ ined them num erically by a $N$ ew ton $R$ aphson iteration em ploying the stability $m$ atrix 21]. Special care $m$ ust be taken at the $M C$ points where $C$ ( $r ; p)=0$ and hence the equations 47) are ill de ned. In general, this leads to discontinuities in the shapes of the periodic onbits, due to which their stabilities cannot be de ned. $W$ e shall retum to the $M C$ problem in sec 6. It tums out that there exist periodic onbits which are free ofM C , i.e., forwhich C ( $r ; p$ ) neverbecom es zero. T he existence of som e particularly simple orbits follow $s$ from the fact that the three planes $r_{k}=p_{k}=0$ ( $k=x ; y ; z$ ) in phase space are invariant under the $H$ am iltonian ow. The coupled equations of $m$ otion for the class of two-dim ensional orbits lying in the ( $i ; j$ ) planes are

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\underline{r}_{i} & =p_{i} & i j k & \operatorname{sign}\left(C_{k}\right)!{ }_{j}^{2} r_{j} ; \\
p_{i} & = & { }_{i}^{2} r_{i} &  \tag{48}\\
i j k & \operatorname{sign}\left(C_{k}\right)!{ }_{i}^{2} p_{j} ; & \quad i_{i} j ; k 2 \text { ex; } y ; z g
\end{array}
$$

$w$ here $i$ and $j$ refer to the in-plane variables and $k$ to the norm al of each plane. Assum ing that there exist solutions w ith $C_{k} \in 0$, we can put $\operatorname{sign}\left(C_{k}\right)=1$, since to each such orbit, there exists a tim e-reversed partner which belongs to the opposite of the tw o H am iltonians H . H ence we obtain the system of equations

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\underline{r_{i}}=p_{i} & { }_{i j k} & !{ }_{j}^{2} r_{j} ; & \\
p_{i} & = & !{ }_{i}^{2} r_{i} & { }_{i j k} \tag{49}
\end{array}!_{i}^{2} p_{j} ; \quad \text { i;j;k2 fx;y;zg }
$$

which now are strictly linear and can be solved by nding the norm alm odes. The solutions are two periodic orbits of ellipse form in each invariant plane ( $i ; j$ ). For the tw o H am iltonians H th is gives altogether tw elve planar periodic orbits which com e in doubly degenerate pairs. W e therefore nd six di erent orbits (denoted by ij in 9 可below) w ith the frequencies

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{i j}=\quad!_{j}^{2}+!_{i}^{2}+2^{2}!_{i}^{2}!_{j}^{2}+\sqrt[r]{!_{j}^{2}!_{i}^{2}+8!_{i}^{2}!_{j}^{2}!_{i}^{2}+!_{j}^{2}} \text { ! \# } \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he ms of $g 3$ show s these frequencies versus the spin-orbit coupling param eter. On the $\ln$ s we ilhustrate the periodic orbits for 0 (ellipses) and the unpertunbed libration orbits for $=0$. $T$ he periods $T_{i j}=2=!_{i j} \quad t$ perfectly the positions of the $m$ ost pronounced peaks in the Fourier spectra of $g$ (cf the dotted lines there), when the appropriate deform ations ! ir ! j are chosen. Som e of the $m$ inor peaks $m$ ay be attributed to non-planar onbits (see below).



Figure 3: R ight: the six frequencies ! ${ }_{i j} 50$ ) (units: ! o) for $i j=12,23$, and 31 versus (units: ! ${ }_{0}{ }^{1}$ ). D eform ations as in $g$ 周. Left: schem atic plot of shapes of the elliptic orbits ( $>0$ ) and the unperturbed librating orbits ( $=0$ ) in the ( $i ; j$ ) plane.

In the spherical lim it, the sam e procedure leads to a very sim ple analytical result. D ue to the conserved angular $m$ om entum $L=r \quad p, m$ ost periodic orbits are planar circles. In each plane,
the equations of $m$ otion are sim ilar to 49), w ith the two eigenfrequencies ! and corresponding periods T given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=!_{0}\left(1 \quad!_{0}\right) ; \quad T \quad=\frac{2}{!_{0}\left(1 \quad!_{0}\right)}: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he periods $T$ are exactly equal to the tw o periods $T$ in 42) that appear in the exact trace form ula 41) of the spherical system $3^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ow ever, the unperturbed harm onic oscillator period $\mathrm{T}_{0}=2=$ ! 0 that also appears in 41) cannot be explained by the present solutions in the SCL.W e surm ise that it m ight be connected to the existence of straight-line librating onbits; these lie, how ever, on $m$ ode conversion surfaces and cannot be treated in the present approach. In our ongoing studies 29] w here the M C problem is avoided, we can, indeed, con $m$ the existence of periodic onbits w ith the period $T_{0}$.

Besides the above harm onic planar solutions, the full non-linear system 47) leads also to nonplanar three-dim ensional periodic solutions with $C(r ; p)>0$ (or $<0$ ) for which mode conversion does not occur. Som e of these num erically obtained onbits evaluated at $=0.2!{ }_{0}{ }^{1}$ are shown in g 4. W e also give their periods $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{po}}$ and partial traces i which determ ine their stabilities (see below ). The orbit 332 has the period $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{po}}=10: 08!{ }_{0}{ }^{1} \mathrm{w}$ hich seem s to be supported num erically by a sm all peak seen in the upperm ost Fourier spectrum of $g 2$. The periods of the other orbits from g 4 could not clearly be identi ed in the Fourier spectra; som e of these onbits are too unstable and som e of the periods lie too close to those of the leading planar orbits.


Figure 4: Shapes of four 3-dim ensionalnon-planar orbits found in the harm on ic oscillator $w$ ith deform ations as in 9 目 and spin-orbit interaction $=0.2!{ }_{0}{ }^{1}$, projected onto the three spatial planes. (See text for the periods $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{po}}$ and stability traces 1 , 2 .)

[^2]Stability am plitudes and trace form ula for isolated orbits
T he am plifude $A_{p o}$ of a periodic orbit in the trace form ula is strongly dependent on its stability.

 and thus the quantity $t r$ Flpo $_{\text {pontains }}$ all in form ation about the stability of an orbit. For a system in $d \quad 2$ dim ensions, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \operatorname{det}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{po}} \quad \text { 11) } j=\underbrace{\Psi_{i}^{1}}_{i=1} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{i}} 2 j ; \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the \partial traces" $i$ are the sum sof pairs $i, 1={ }_{i}$ of mutually inverse eigenvalues of the
 $j$ ij< 2 for all $i$, unstable when $j$ ij> 2 for all $i$, and $m$ ixed stable (or loxodrom ic) in allother cases. For the latter cases, the stability depends on the phase-space direction of a perturbation. W henever
$i=+2$ for any partialtrace, a bifurcation occurs and the stability denom inator (52) becom es zero. In such a situation one has to resort to uniform approxim ations [12, 13] in order to obtain nite sem iclassical amplitudes. N on-isolated periodic onbits w ith $\mathrm{i}=2$ occur in degenerate fam ilies for system $s$ w th continuous sym $m$ etries and are characteristic of integrable system $s$; for these, the am plitudes m ust be obtained di erently 6, 7, 6, 9]. T he sym $m$ etry breaking aw ay from integrability can also be handled perturbatively [10] or w ith suitable uniform approxim ations 11, 14].

M ost of the onbits that we have found, both planar and non-planar, undergo bifurcations when the spin-orbit param eter or the deform ation param eters, are varied. A typical scenario is illustrated in 95 , where is varied at xed $=0: 1!0^{1}$ and $=2$. Shown are the partial traces i of the involved orbits. O ne of the planar ellipse orbits ( $x_{y}$ ) lying in the ( $x ; y$ ) plane undergoes an isochronous pitchfork bifurcation at $=0: 3977$. The new boom pair of orbits ( ${ }_{\text {bif }}$ ) are degenerate $w$ th respect to a re ection at the ( $x ; y$ ) plane. They are non-planar wanped ellipses whidn rotate out of the ( $x ; y$ ) plane when is increased, and then approach the ( $x ; z$ ) plane. Through an inverse pitchfork bifurcation at $=0: 4450$, they nally m enge $w$ ith another planar ellipse orbit ( zx ) lying in the $(x ; z)$ plane. Near $0: 425$, the orbit bif su ers from two m ore bifurcations (the other orbits involved thereby are not shown).


F igure 5: B ifurcation of a planar ellipse orbit under variation of the deform ation param eter. U pper panels: projection of the orbits onto the ( $y ; z$ ) plane. Low er panel: partial traces i of the involved orbits versus (see text for details).
$T$ his exam ple show s that the classical dynam ics of the H am iltonians H is m ixed and quite com plicated due to the unavoidable bifurcations. In principle, isolated bifurcations can be handled using the by now well-known uniform approxim ations [12, 13]. T hese fail, how ever, when tw o bifurcations lie so close that the di erence betw een the corresponding actions $S_{p o}$ becom es com parable to or less than $\sim$. It w ould lead outside the scope of the present study to attem pt to regulate the $G$ utzw iller am plitudes by uniform approxim ations. In $g$ 目we show by crosses the critical values of the frequencies $!_{y}$ and $!_{z}$ (in units of $!_{x}=!_{0}$ ) versus, at which bifurcations of the planar onbits ij occur for xed values of the deform ation param eter $=2$ (left) and $=3$ (right). $T$ he other deform ation param eter is given via 37). In the deform ation regions below the dashed lines, w here no bifurcations occur, the sem iclassical am plitudes can be used w ithout further uniform approxim ation.


Figure 6: B ifurcations of the planar periodic orbits ij in the 3-dim ensional harm onic oscillator w ith spin-orbit interaction, given by the SC L H am ittonians H in 46) . T he crosses give the critical values of the frequencies $!_{y}$ and $!_{z}$ versus for $=2$ (left) and $=3$ (right). Nobifurcations were found in the regions below the dashed lines.

For the contributions of all the isolated periodic orbits aw ay from the bifurcations, we therefore use the trace form ula
whereby the sum po explicitly includes all periodic orbits of both H am iltonians H . The M aslov indioes po were evaluated w ith the $m$ ethods developed by $C$ reagh et al 37], em ploying the recipes given in appendix D of [15]. T he term $s$ po are the phase corrections 15). For the planar ellipse orbits lying in the ( $i$; j) planes, we nd ${ }^{B}=0$ and ${ }^{N N}=\quad!_{k}^{2}=2$, so that po $=\quad!_{k}^{2}=!$ ij

In 97 we show the results obtained for the situation $=0: 1212,=2,=0: 1!{ }_{0}^{1}$, forwhidh no close-lying bifurcations exist. T he quantum $m$ echanical coarse-grained level density $g(E)$ is show $n$ by the solid lines (QM) and includes the spin-orbit interaction in both curves a) and b). The sem iclassical results (SC) are shown by dashed lines; in a) w ithout spin-orbit interaction, which again dem onstrates that the latter dram atically changes the level density; in b) with spin-orbit interaction through the trace form ula (53). Only the six prim itive planar onbits have been used. $W$ e see that this already leads to an excellent agreem ent w ith quantum m echanics, except at very low energies where sem iclassics usually cannot be expected to work. The curve SC in the low est panel c) show s the sem iclassical result over a larger energy scale.

Sim ilar results w ere obtained in the region of deform ations and values below the dashed lines in 9 6, where bifurcations do not occur. In all these cases, it tumed out that the inclusion of the six prim itive planar onbits was su cient w thin the resolution given by the coarse-graining width
$=0: 5 \sim!0$. This result is in agreem ent with the Fourier analysis of sec 52.3 of the quantum spectra, w here all dom inant peaks correspond to the periods of these six orbits.


Figure 7: C oarse-grained level density $g(E)$ of 3-dim ensional harm on ic oscillator w ith spin-orbit interaction $=0: 1!{ }_{0}{ }^{1}$ (other param eters as in $g$ 2 . Upper panels: solid lines ( Q M) give the quantum m echanical results w ith sp in-orbit interaction. D ashed lines (SC ) give the sem iclassical results according to (53), calculated a) w ithout and b) w ith spin-orbit interaction. B ottom panel: c) sam e as SC in b), but over a larger energy region.

## 6 The problem of m ode conversion

In this section we want to discuss the mode conversion (MC) problem that arises in the strongcoupling lim it (SC L) follow ing 17, 18]. In particular, we w illdiscuss an intuitive m ethod, suggested by Frisk and Guhr [19], to partially avoid the M C problem. This m ethod can qualitatively explain som e of the peaks observed in the Fourier spectra of the quantum $m$ echanical level densities $g(E)$. It can, how ever, not be used to calculate the am plitudes $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{p}}$ required for the sem iclassical trace formula.

To this purpose, we retum to the 2 DEG with lateral harm on ic con nem ent discussed in sec 42, but without extemalm agnetic eld ( $B_{0}=0$ ). W e shall again assum e the oscillator frequencies $!_{x}$ and $!_{y}$ to be incom $m$ ensurable (i.e., $!_{x}=!_{y}$ is irrational). Then, the system without spin-orbit interaction has only the isolated self-retracing librating orbits along the axes, and the weak-coupling lim it (W C L) approach of 20] cannot handle the spin-orbit interaction (except for a trivialspin factor 2 in the trace form ula). W e therefore have to resort to the SCL approach. In order to sim plify the discussion and focus on the im portant points, we set $m=1$ and ignore the diagonal elem ents of the spin-orbit interaction. W e thus start from the $H$ am iltonian
which in the SCL leads to the classical Ham ittonians ( $=\sim$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2} p_{x}^{2}+p_{y}^{2}+!_{x}^{2} r_{x}^{2}+!_{y}^{2} r_{y}^{2} \quad q \overline{p_{x}^{2}+p_{y}^{2}}: \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before taking the sem iclassicallim it, we rst perform a Fourier analysis of the quantum spectrum of (54) which is easily diagonalized in the unperturbed harm onic-oscillator basis. T he H am iltonian 54) does not possess the scaling property (45) of the three-dim ensional system studied in sec 5 . H ow ever, we can use the $m$ ethod of 36] by scaling the param eter aw ay. D ividing equation (55) by 2 and introducing the scaled variables $x_{i}=r_{i}=, P_{i}=p_{i}=$, we obtain the scaled $H$ am ittonians

$$
\begin{equation*}
I P=\frac{1}{2} P_{x}^{2}+p_{Y}^{2}+!_{x}^{2} p_{x}^{2}+!_{y}^{2} p_{Y}^{2} \quad q \overline{P_{x}^{2}+p_{Y}^{2}}=E={ }^{2}=e ; \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the classical dynam ics does not depend explicitly on but is determ ined only by the scaled energy variables e. Therefore, a Fourier transform of the quantum spectra along the path in the ( $E$; ) plane with constant $E={ }^{2}$ leads in the tim e dom ain to the quasiperiods $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{po}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{po}}(\mathrm{e})=\mathrm{e}$ of the periodic onbits of the $H$ am iltonians 56), whereby $s_{p o}$ are their scaled actions.

In the low er part of $g$ Qbelow we show the result of the Fourier transform $s$, taken at tw o di erent scaled energies. For $=10^{5} \sim!0={ }^{2}$ (dashed line) only two peaks are seen. For $=30 \sim!{ }_{0}={ }^{2}$ (solid line), these are slightly shifted and rem ain the dom inant peaks, w hereas four additional sm all peaks appear (the second of these extra peak is alm ost absorbed in the left dom inant peak).

W e now analyze the classical dynam ics of the $H$ am iltonians (56). Like in the three-dim ensional system analyzed in sec 52.4, we can nd orbits lying in the invariant subspaces of phase space with $x_{i}=p_{i}=0$ for one of the degrees of freedom $i(x$ or $y$ ). For the other degree, the one-dim ensional equations ofm otion becom e

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i}=\mathbb{P}_{i} \operatorname{sign} \mathfrak{P}_{i} ; \\
& \mathbb{P}_{i}=!_{i}^{2} \mathfrak{x}_{i}: \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

On the axes $p_{i}=0$ in phase space these equations are ill de ned. It is still possible to solve the equations for $p_{i} \& 0$, which leads to portions of a circle in the ( $\mathfrak{p}_{i} ; \mathfrak{r}_{i}$ ) plane for each sign of $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$. O ne
$m$ ay then connect these partial tra jectories to form periodic onbits whose shapes have, how ever, kinks. This is illustrated in the upper part a) of 98 . D ue to the kinks, these orbits are not di erentiable and their stabilities cannot be de ned. T heir periods can, how ever, be calculated analytically and becom e

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{i^{+}+}^{\text {adia }}(e)=\frac{2}{!_{i}} \arccos \frac{12 \mathrm{e}}{1+2 e} ; \quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}^{\prime}}^{\text {adia }}(e)=\frac{4}{!_{i}} \quad \frac{2}{!_{i}} \arccos \frac{12 \mathrm{e}}{1+2 e}: \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the area enclosed by the orbits, we can also obtain the scaled actions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i ;}^{\text {adia }}(e)=e \frac{1}{2} T_{i ;}^{\text {adia }}(e) \quad \frac{2}{!_{i}} \overline{2 e}: \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e use the superscript \adia" because the $H$ am iltonians H correspond to the adiabatic situation where the spin polarizations are xed.


Figure 8: U pper part: a) Periodic orbits found from the adiabatic H am iltonians (56). Lower part: b) D iabatic periodic orbits found by enforcing spin ips $\mathrm{H}+\$ \mathrm{H}$ at the m ode conversion points.

A n altemative $w$ ay to use the partial solutions found from 57) has been proposed by Frisk and Guhr 19]: instead of joining the two portions obtained for both signs of $\beta_{i}$ on one and the sam e of the $H$ am iltonians $H$, one sw itches between the $H$, enforcing a spin ip at the M C points: $H_{+} \quad$ ! $H \quad$. This corresponds to the transition from the adiabatic to a diabatic basis. The orbits thus obtained are continuous circles w ith continuous derivatives, as ilhustrated in the low er part b) of 98 , and correspond to sim ple harm onic librations along the $i$ axes. T heir periods and actions are easily found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i}^{\text {dia }}=\frac{2}{!_{i}} ; \quad s_{i}^{\text {dia }}(e)=e+\frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{!_{i}}: \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The superscript \dia" indicates that we call these the diabatic orbits. Their periods are those of the $H$ am iltonian $w$ thout spin-orbit coupling: $T_{i}^{\text {dia }}=T_{i}^{(0)}=2=l_{i}$. A though their shapes are continuous and di erentiable, their stabilities still cannot be calculated, because the $H$ essian $m$ atrices of the $H$ in phase space are singular at the M $C$ points. In the lim it $e!1$, the pairs of adiabatic orbits $m$ erge into the diabatic orbits, and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i} ;}^{\text {adia }}(e) \quad!\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\text {dia }} ; \quad \frac{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\text {adia }}(\mathrm{e})}{\mathrm{e}} \quad!\frac{S_{\mathrm{i}}^{\text {dia }}(\mathrm{e})}{\mathrm{e}} \quad!\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\text {dia }}: \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 9: Lower part: Fourier spectra like in ghofthe quantum spectrum of the H am iltonian (54) w ith $=0: 2 \sim!0$, evaluated for tw o values of the scaled energy e (units: $\sim!{ }_{0}={ }^{2}$ ). D eform ation: $!_{\mathrm{x}}=!_{0},!_{\mathrm{y}}=1: 23!_{0}$. U pper part: quasiperiods $s(e)=e$ from 59) and (69) for adiabatic onbits (dashed lines) and diabatic orbits (solid lines), respectively, of H am iltonians (56) versus scaled energy e.

In the upper part of $g$, we show the curves $s_{i}^{\text {adia }}(e)=e$ by the short and long dashed lines, and the curves $s_{i}^{\text {dia }}(e)=e$ by the solid lines. We see that their values at $e=30 \sim!0={ }^{2}$ correspond exactly to the six peaks appearing in the corresponding Fourier spectrum. The tw o dom inant peaks correspond to the diabatic orbits, and the four sm all peaks correspond to the adiabatic onbits. For $e=10^{5} \sim!{ }_{0}={ }^{2}$, the only two peaks correspond to the asym ptotic values of $s_{i}^{\text {dia }}(e)=e=T_{i}^{\text {dia }}$, in agreem ent $w$ ith the lim it 61).

The evidence of diabatic orbits according to the above spin-ip hypothesis had already been observed by Frisk and Guhr [19]. They did, how ever, not recognize any signatures in their Fourier spectra corresponding to periods of adiabatic orbits involved w th M C points, such as we have found them in the four minor peaks of $g$ 回. Their conclusion was therefore that spin- ips alw ays occur at the MC points. O ur results seem to suggest that both kind of dynam ics occur. The dom inant Fourier peaks are, indeed, those corresponding to the diabatic orbits which undergo spin ips at the M C points. H ow ever, there must also exist a nite probability that the orbits stay on the adiabatic surfaces $H=E$, leading to the sm aller peaks positioned at the correct adiabatic quasiperiods $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{e})=\mathrm{e}$.

The sem iclassical am plitudes required for the trace form ula cannot be calculated for the present system, neither using diabatic nor adiabatic onbits. The fully polarized treatm ent of the spin variables used in the SCL approach is obviously not exible enough to account for the fulldynam ics, although the Fourier analysis of the quantum spectra suggest that there is som e partial truth to it. A $m$ ore com plete sem iclassical description of the spin $m$ otion should allow for a balanced $m$ ixture of adiabatic and diabatic spin $m$ otion.

## 7 Sum m ary and conclusions

W e have derived sem iclassical trace form ulae for several nonrelativistic two-and three-dim ensional ferm ion system sw ith spin-orbit interactions ofR ashba and $T$ hom as type. W e have thereby em ployed the weak-coupling lim it (W C L) developed by B olte and K eppeler 20], and the strong-coupling lim it (SCL) of L ittlejohn and F lynn [17] w ith extensions and justi cations of 19, 20].

In the W CL approach, the spatialm otion of the particles is taken into account only using the periodic onbits of the system w ithout spin-onbit interaction. The spin $m$ otion is included adiabatically via the trace of a spin transport $m$ atrix $d(t) w h i c h$ describes the spin precession about the instantaneous m agnetic eld provided by the spin-orbit interaction. N evertheless, we found that for a 2DEG in an extemalmagnetic eld with and w thout lateral anharm onic con nem ent, the W CL yields excellent results. In the free case, for which an exact trace formula can be derived, the sem iclassical W CL reproduces the exact leading-order term $s$ both in $\sim$ and in the spin-orbit coupling constant. In the laterally con ned case, the gross-shell structure of the coarse-grained quantum level density w as very accurately reproduced num erically. From our results it can be seen that in the lim it of very large spin-orbit constants, the $m$ issing higher-order term $s m$ ay restrict the applicabillity of this $m$ ethod. A particular situation, where the W C L approach $m$ isses the e ects of the spin-orbit interaction totally, is that where only self-retracing isolated periodic orbits exist, for which the trace of $d\left(T_{p o}\right)$ only yields a trivial spin degeneracy factor 2.

W e have studied the SC L approadh for tw o system s possessing exclusively self retracing isolated onbits for which the W CL approach fails, nam ely two-and three-dim ensional harm onic oscillators $w$ th irrational frequency ratios. In the SCL approach the m ode conversion (MC) problem, arising at points in phase space where the spin-orbit interaction locally is zero, im poses severe restrictions, since singularities in the equations ofm otion and the linear stability analysis of periodic onbits arise at the M C points. H ow ever, in the three-dim ensional case, which provides a realistic shellm odel for light atom ic nuclei, we found that the leading orbits w ith shortest periods are free of M C and lead to excellent results of the sem iclassical trace form ula for the coarse-grained leveldensity, as long as bifurcations of these onbits are avoided. (T he latter, when they are su ciently separated in phase space, can be taken into account using w ell-developed uniform approxim ations and do, in principle, not a ect the applicability of the SCL approach.)

In the two-dim ensional model of an anisotropic quantum dot, the M C problem could not be avoided. By a Fourier analysis of the quantum spectrum we have provided som e support of the diabatic spin- ip hypothesis put forw ard by Frisk and G uhr 19]. W e have to extend their conclusions, though, in the sense that there is evidence for a m ixture of both diabatic and adiabatic classical $m$ otion on the two spin-polarized energy surfaces $H=E$, whereby the diabatic periods dom inate the Fourier spectra. But even in the diabatic spin-ip lim it, the sem iclassical am plitudes of the trace form ula cannot be calculated, and the M C problem therefore rem ains essentially unsolved. The connection between the existence of M C points and real spin-ip processes should therefore be taken w ith caution. In order to study the physical relevance of spin ips in the presence of a spin-orbit interaction, there is a de nite need for a better analytical sem iclassical treatm ent of the spin degrees of $m$ otion that is free of singularities and allow $s$ for a balanced $m$ ixture of adiabatic and diabatic spin $m$ otion. A new approach 29] in whidh the M C problem does not arise is presently being developed and willbe presented in $m$ ore detail in a forthcom ing paper.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the literature on the R ashba term, the constant $=\sim^{2} h v_{z}^{0} i$ is frequently used, see 30, 31]

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The angular-m om entum dependent $\hat{\mathrm{L}}^{2}$ term included in the N ilsson $m$ odel 23] is of $m$ inor im portance in light nuclei; it is left out here to sim plify our investigation

[^2]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that in the present SC L approach, the constant includes a factor $\sim$, see $\sec 3.2$

