

Lax pair formulation of the $N = 4$ Toda chain (KdV) hierarchy in $N = 4$ superspace

F. Delduc^{a,1} and A. S. Sorin^{b,2}

^(a) Laboratoire de Physique^V, Groupe de Physique Théorique,
ENS Lyon, 46 Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon, France

^(b) Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR,
141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia

Abstract

Lax pair and Hamiltonian formulations of the $N = 4$ supersymmetric Toda chain (KdV) hierarchy in $N = 4$ superspace are proposed. The general formulae for the infinite tower of its bosonic flows in terms of the Lax operator in $N = 4$ superspace are derived. A new $N = 4$ superfield basis in which the flows are local is constructed and its embedding in the $N = 4$ $O(4)$ superconformal supercurrent is established. A proof that the flows possess ve complex conjugations and an infinite-dimensional group of discrete symmetries in $N = 4$ superspace is presented. A relation between the two descriptions of the hierarchy in $N = 4$ superspace used in the literature is established. All known $N = 2$ superfield representations of the $N = 4$ KdV hierarchy are shown to derive from our $N = 4$ superspace Lax representation.

PACS: 02.20.Sv; 02.30.Jr; 11.30.Pb

Keywords: Completely integrable systems; Toda field theory; Supersymmetry; Discrete symmetries

1) E-Mail: francois.delduc@ens-lyon.fr

2) E-Mail: sorin@thsun1.jinr.ru

y) UMR 5672 du CNRS, associée à l'École Normale Supérieure de Lyon.

1 Introduction

Recently, the Lax pair representation of the $N = 4$ supersymmetric Toda chain hierarchy in $N = 2$ superspace has been constructed in [1]. The explicit relationship between the $N = 4$ supersymmetric Toda chain and KdV [2, 3] hierarchies has been established in [4], and due to this reason we call both these hierarchies the $N = 4$ Toda chain (KdV) hierarchy. As a byproduct, the relationship, established in [4], induces a new Lax pair representation of the $N = 4$ KdV hierarchy in $N = 2$ superspace. So together with the former Lax pair in $N = 2$ superspace derived in [5, 6], two Lax pair representations of the $N = 4$ Toda (KdV) hierarchy in $N = 2$ superspace are now known. However, although the first few flows of the hierarchy are known both in harmonic [2] and ordinary $N = 4$ superspace [3, 4], a Lax pair formulation in $N = 4$ superspace is still unknown. Moreover, even a relation (if any) between the two different descriptions of the flows in $N = 4$ superspace used in [3] and [4] is not established yet.

The present paper addresses both above-stated problems. Thus, we propose a Lax pair and a Hamiltonian formulation of the $N = 4$ Toda (KdV) hierarchy in $N = 4$ superspace and establish a simple relation between the two above-mentioned descriptions of the hierarchy in $N = 4$ superspace. We derive general formulae for its bosonic $N = 4$ flows in terms of the Lax operator in $N = 4$ superspace and construct an $N = 4$ super-eld basis in which they are local. Moreover, we show how to embed this super-eld basis into the $N = 4$ $O(4)$ superconformal supercurrent. We also present a proof that all these flows possess both an infinite-dimensional group of discrete Darboux transformations [7, 8, 1, 4] and ve complex conjugations in $N = 4$ superspace.

2 Lax pair formulation of the $N = 4$ Toda (KdV) hierarchy in $N = 4$ superspace

Our starting point is a manifestly $N = 2$ supersymmetric Lax pair representation of even flows of the $N = 4$ Toda chain (KdV) hierarchy [1]

$$L = D + vD_+^{-1}u; \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} L = [(L^{21})_0; L]; \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} v = [(L^{21})_0 v]; \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} u = (-1)^{L^T} [(L^T)^{21}_0 u]; \quad (3)$$

where the subscript $_0$ denotes the differential part of the operator and L^T is the operator conjugated Lax operator¹. The functions $v = v(z; +;)$ and $u = u(z; +;)$ are $N = 2$ super-elds, and D are fermionic covariant derivatives²

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial} + \partial; \quad fD; Dg = +2\partial; \quad (4)$$

¹Let us recall the operator conjugation rules: $D^T = -D$, $(OP)^T = (-1)^{d_O d_P} P^T O^T$, where O (P) is an arbitrary operator with the Grassmann parity d_O (d_P), and $d_O = 0$ ($d_O = 1$) for bosonic (fermionic) operators O . All other rules can be derived using these. Hereafter, we use the notation $[O f]$ for an operator O acting on a function f .

²Hereafter, we explicitly present only nonzero algebra brackets. Throughout this paper, we shall use the notation $v^0 = \partial v = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} v$.

It is instructive to rewrite the Lax operator (1) in another super eld basis [4]

$$J = uv + D_+ D_+ \ln u; \quad \bar{J} = \bar{u}\bar{v}; \quad (5)$$

where $J = J(z; +;)$ and $\bar{J} = \bar{J}(z; +;)$ are unconstrained even $N = 2$ super elds. It becomes

$$L = D_+ \bar{J} \frac{1}{D_+ + D_+^{-1}(\bar{J} + J)}; \quad (6)$$

We would like to write the flows in $N = 4$ superspace. We introduce two additional odd coordinates and the $N = 4$ odd covariant derivatives

$$D = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}} + (\theta + i \bar{\theta}) \partial \right); \quad \bar{D} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + (\bar{\theta} - i \theta) \partial \right); \\ D_k; \bar{D}^m = \delta_k^m \partial; \quad D_k; D_m = \bar{D}^k; \bar{D}^m = 0; \quad k, m = 1, 2; \quad (7)$$

Our claim is that if one replaces the $N = 2$ super elds J and \bar{J} in this basis by one chiral $J(z; +; ; +;)$ and one antichiral $\bar{J}(z; +; ; +;)$ even $N = 4$ super eld,

$$D J = 0; \quad \bar{D} \bar{J} = 0; \quad (8)$$

then the Lax pair representation (2) with the new $N = 4$ Lax operator L_1 ,

$$L_1 = D_+ \bar{J} \frac{1}{D_+ + D_+^{-1}(\bar{J} + J)}; \quad D_+ = D_+ + \bar{D}^+; \quad (9)$$

gives consistent³ $N = 4$ supersymmetric flows $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}$. In order to prove this claim it is enough to show that the flow equations (3), being rewritten in the basis (5), are consistent with the chirality constraints (8). We present the proof in a few steps in what follows.

First, let us simplify the Lax operator L_1 (9) by applying a gauge transformation and requiring that the gauge transformed Lax operator

$$\hat{L}_1 = e^{-1} L_1 e \quad (10)$$

possesses the following two properties: it should anticommute with the supersymmetric covariant derivative \bar{D}^+ ,

$$[\bar{D}^+, \hat{L}_1] = 0; \quad (11)$$

and it should contain only a first order term in the inverse fermionic derivative D_+^{-1} . It turns out that these two requirements completely fix both the gauge transformation function

$$[\bar{D}^+ + \bar{D}^+]^{-1} [D_+ + \bar{D}^+]^{-1} (\bar{J} + J) \quad (12)$$

and the form of the Lax operator

$$\hat{L}_1 = D_+ + \bar{D}^+ + [\bar{D}^+ + \bar{D}^+]^{-1} (\bar{J} + J) [\bar{D}^+ + \bar{D}^+]^{-1}; \quad (13)$$

³We would like to underline that such a prescription of supersymmetrization leads to inconsistent Lax pair representations in general except in some cases, one of which is under consideration.

Then, the Lax pair representation (2) and (3) become

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \mathbb{E}_1 = [(\mathbb{E}_1^{21})_0 \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} ; \mathbb{E}_1] ; \quad (14)$$

$$(-1)^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \overline{\mathbb{J}} = [(\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21} \quad {}_1^T \overline{\mathbb{J}}] ; \quad (-1)^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} = (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 ; \quad (15)$$

respectively, where the subscripts 1 and 0 denote the differential part of the operator without the nonderivative term and the nonderivative term, respectively. After substituting $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}$ from equations (15) into (14), the Lax equations become

$$(-1)^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \mathbb{E}_1 = {}^h (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_1 \quad {}^T ; \mathbb{E}_1^i ; \quad (16)$$

where we have used the following identity:

$$(-1)^1 (\mathbb{E}_1^{21})_+ \quad (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_1 \quad {}^T + (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 : \quad (17)$$

After transposition, (16) becomes

$$(-1)^{1+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \mathbb{E}_1^T = {}^h (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_1 ; \mathbb{E}_1^T^i ; \quad (18)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_1^T \quad D \quad \overline{D} + [(D_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} (\overline{J} + J)] \quad (D_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} \overline{J} ; \quad f\overline{D}^+ ; \mathbb{E}_1^T g = 0 : \quad (19)$$

The transposed Lax operator \mathbb{E}_1^T (19) entering into the Lax pair representation (18) possesses the following important properties:

$$(-1)^{1+1} (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{2(1-1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{2(1-3)} [(\mathbb{E}_1^k)^T 1] (D_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} [\mathbb{E}_1^{2(1-3-k)} \overline{J}] ; \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^1 (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{2(1-1)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{2(1-1)} [(\mathbb{E}_1^k)^T 1] (D_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} [\mathbb{E}_1^{2(1-1-k)} \overline{J}] \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{2(1-3)} (-1)^k [(\mathbb{E}_1^k)^T 1] (D_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} (D_+ + \overline{D}) [\mathbb{E}_1^{2(1-3-k)} \overline{J}] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

which can be derived by rewriting the corresponding formulae of [1] into the superfield basis (5).

Second, let us discuss some properties of the Lax operator \mathbb{E}_1^T (19) which will be useful in what follows. It can be represented as a sum of two operators M and \overline{M} ,

$$\mathbb{E}_1^T = M + \overline{M} ; \quad M \quad D + [\overline{D}^+ \partial^{-1} J] ; \quad \overline{M} \quad (D_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} \overline{D} \quad [D + \partial^{-1} \overline{J}] (D_+ + \overline{D}^+) \quad (22)$$

with the properties

$$\begin{aligned} M^2 = \overline{M}^2 = 0 ; \quad f\overline{D}^+ ; M g = f\overline{D}^+ ; \overline{M} g = 0 ; \quad fD ; M g = 0 ; \quad f\overline{D} ; \overline{M} g = 0 ; \\ fD_+ ; (D_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} M (D_+ + \overline{D}^+) g = fD_+ ; (D_+ + \overline{D}^+) \overline{M} (D_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} g = 0 ; \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$M = (\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} (\overline{M}^T)^\# (\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+); \quad \overline{M} = (\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} (M^T)^\# (\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+); \quad (24)$$

where the superscript $\#$ denotes the following substitution (its origin will be clarified at the end of section 4):

$$fD \rightarrow \overline{D}; \overline{D} \rightarrow J; \overline{J} g^\# = f\overline{D}; \overline{D} \rightarrow \overline{J}; J g \quad (25)$$

which respects the chirality constraints (8) and which square is the identity. Then, one can easily derive some straightforward consequences

$$(\mathbb{E}_1^T)^2 = fM; \overline{M} g \quad (26)$$

and

$$M; (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21} = 0; \quad \overline{M}; (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21} = 0 \quad (27)$$

as well as

$$(\mathbb{E}_1^T)^\# = (\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} \mathbb{E}_1 (\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+); \quad (28)$$

We will also need the following relation:

$$(\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0{}^\# = (-1)^1 (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 \quad (29)$$

which results from (28) and from the identity

$$(\mathbb{D}_+^{-1} \circ D)_0 = (-1)^{d_0} (O^T)_0 \quad (30)$$

for a pseudo-differential operator O with the Grassmann parity d_0 . It is interesting to remark that the square of the Lax operator \mathbb{E}_1^T admits beside (26) another representation in the form of an anticommutator,

$$(\mathbb{E}_1^T)^2 = f\overline{D}^+; N g; \quad N = \mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D} \circ \mathbb{D}^{-1} J + (\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} \mathbb{D} \circ \mathbb{D}^{-1} \overline{J} (\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+) \\ (\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} J (\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} \mathbb{D} \circ \mathbb{D}^{-1} \overline{J}; \quad (31)$$

but the square of the operator N does not equal zero as M does, see equation (23). Perhaps one can modify the operator N to satisfy this property, but we did not succeed in that.

Third, on the basis of the properties of the Lax operator \mathbb{E}_1^T discussed in the previous paragraph, we are ready to prove the following three identities:

$$[(\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_1{}^T \overline{J}] = \overline{D}^+ \overline{D} (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0; \quad (32)$$

$$\mathbb{D} \overline{D}^+ (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 = 0; \quad (33)$$

$$\mathbb{D} + \overline{D} (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 = 0 \quad (34)$$

which are crucial for the proof of our claim . Indeed, if they are satisfied, then it is a simple exercise to rewrite identically the eqs (15) in the form

$$(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \overline{J} = \overline{D}^+ \overline{D} \left(\mathbb{E}_1^T \right)^{21}_0]; \quad (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} J = \mathbb{D}_+ D \left(\mathbb{E}_1^T \right)^{21}_0] \quad (35)$$

which respects manifestly the chirality constraints (8). In order to prove the relations (32)-(34), let us extract the equations resulting from the order 0 in D_+ of the identity

$$f \overline{D}^+; \left(\mathbb{E}_1^T \right)^{21}_1 g = 0 \quad (36)$$

and from the order -1 in D_+ of the identities (27). They are

$$\left(\mathbb{E}_1^T \right)^{21}_1 = \overline{D}^+ \left(\mathbb{E}_1^T \right)^{21}_0]; \quad (37)$$

$$\mathbb{D} \left(\mathbb{E}_1^T \right)^{21}_1] = 0; \quad (38)$$

$$\overline{D} \left(\mathbb{E}_1^T \right)^{21}_1] = [\left(\mathbb{E}_1^T \right)^{21}_1]^T \overline{J}]; \quad (39)$$

respectively, where the subscript -1 denotes the coefficient of the inverse derivative D_+^{-1} of a pseudodifferential operator. Now, the quantity $\left(\mathbb{E}_1^T \right)^{21}_1$ being substituted from equation (37) into equations (38)-(39) just leads to the relations (32)-(33). As concerns the remaining relation (34), it can easily be derived from the relation (33) if the substitution (25) is applied to it and then the identity (29) is used. Alternatively, it can be obtained starting from the identities

$$f \mathbb{D}_+; \left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right)^{-1} \mathbb{E}_1 \left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right)^{21}_1 g = 0 \quad (40)$$

and

$$f \left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right)^{-1} \overline{M}^T \left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right); \left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right)^{-1} \mathbb{E}_1 \left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right)^{21}_1 g = 0; \quad (41)$$

which are related in an obvious way to the identities (36) and (27). Restricting to the orders 0 and -1, respectively, one obtains

$$\left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right)^{-1} \mathbb{E}_1 \left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right)^{21}_1 = \mathbb{D}_+ \left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right)^{-1} \mathbb{E}_1 \left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right)^{21}_0]; \quad (42)$$

and

$$\overline{D} \left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right)^{-1} \mathbb{E}_1 \left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right)^{21}_1] = 0; \quad (43)$$

Then we substitute the quantity $\left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right)^{-1} \mathbb{E}_1 \left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right)^{21}_1$ from (42) into (43),

$$\mathbb{D}_+ \overline{D} \left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right)^{-1} \mathbb{E}_1^{21} \left(\mathbb{D}_+ + \overline{D}^+ \right)_0] = 0; \quad (44)$$

and, at last, use the identity (30). This ends the proof of our claim .

One important remark is in order. The general resolution of the identities (33){34) and (29) leads to the following general representation for the quantity $(\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0$:

$$(\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 = [D_+ D_- X_1] + (-1)^1 [\overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^- X_1^\#] + [(D_- \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^+ D_+) Y_1]; \quad Y_1^\# = (-1)^{1+1} Y_1; \quad (45)$$

where X_1 and Y_1 are $N = 4$ unconstrained nonlocal functionals of the basic super elds. Actually, the functionals X_1 and Y_1 are ambiguously defined because both of them are acted upon by the projectors $D_+ D_-$ and $\overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^-$, which can be expressed via the operator $D_- \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^+ D_+$ as

$$D_+ D_- = (D_- \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^+ D_+) \mathbb{E}^{-1} D_+ D_-; \quad \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^- = (D_- \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^+ D_+) \mathbb{E}^{-1} \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^-; \quad (46)$$

Let us present, as an example, explicit expressions for X_1 and Y_1 for $l = 1$ and $l = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= [\mathbb{E}^{-1} \overline{J}]; \quad X_2 = \overline{J} + [D_- \mathbb{E}^{-1} \overline{J}] D_+ \mathbb{E}^{-1} \overline{J}; \\ Y_1 &= 0; \quad Y_2 = [D_- \mathbb{E}^{-1} \overline{J}] \overline{D}^+ \mathbb{E}^{-1} J + [D_+ \mathbb{E}^{-1} \overline{J}] \overline{D}^+ \mathbb{E}^{-1} J; \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

As a next remark, let us show that one can give two different presentations of the flows (18) in $N = 4$ superspace which are reminiscent of the formalisms used in [5] and in [17, 18] in the $N = 2$ supersymmetric case. First, multiplying the Lax pair representation (18) on both sides by the projector $\overline{D}^+ D_+ \mathbb{E}^{-1}$, it becomes

$$(-1)^{1+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} L = [(L^{21})_+; L]; \quad (48)$$

where L is the chirality preserving Lax operator,

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \overline{D}^+ D_+ \mathbb{E}^{-1} \mathbb{E}_1^T \overline{D}^+ D_+ \mathbb{E}^{-1} \overline{D}^+ D_+ \mathbb{E}^{-1} (D_- \overline{D}^+ + [(D_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} (\overline{J} + J)]) \overline{D}^+ D_+ \mathbb{E}^{-1} \\ &\quad \overline{D}^+ D_+ \mathbb{E}^{-1} e^{-\int (D_+ + \overline{D}^+)} e^{-\int \overline{D}^+ D_+ \mathbb{E}^{-1}}; \quad \overline{D}^+ L = L D_+ = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

and the subscript $+$ denotes the part of the operator L^{21} which can be represented in the form $\overline{D}^+ O D_+$, where O is a differential operator [5]. In order to show that the flows (48) coincide with those in (18), one has to make use of two properties of the operator \mathbb{E}_1^T . First, its even powers are pseudo-differential operators containing powers of the derivative $D_+ = \overline{D}^+ + D_+$ only. Second, the operator \mathbb{E}_1^T commutes with \overline{D}^+ . This presentation will be useful when we will study an alternative Lax pair construction in section 6.

As a second, distinct presentation of the flows (18), let us introduce the operator

$$L = D_+ + [D_-^{-1} (\overline{J} + J)] e^{-\int D_+} e^{-\int \overline{D}^+}; \quad (50)$$

It may be used to rewrite the operator L_1 in (9) as

$$L_1 = D_- L \overline{D}^+ L^{-1}; \quad (51)$$

Then, the square of the Lax operator L_1 reads

$$L_1^2 = [D_-; L \overline{D}^+ L^{-1} g] [D_-; L_1^2] = 0; \quad (52)$$

We may define an alternative Lax operator by

$$\hat{L}_1 = L^{-1} L_1 L; \quad (53)$$

Its square reads

$$\hat{L}_1^2 = \overline{fD} ; L^{-1}D L g \overline{D} ; L_1^2 = 0: \quad (54)$$

As a consequence of (53), one has the equation

$$L_1^{21} L - L \hat{L}_1^{21} = 0: \quad (55)$$

Then, in the spirit of references [17, 18], we consider the following equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} L = (L_1^{21})_0 L - L (\hat{L}_1^{21})_0; \quad (56)$$

which do not have the Lax form. However, it is a matter of simple calculations to show that, as a consequence of (56), the operator L_1 satisfies the Lax equations (18). Let us note that, by considering a differential operator L of higher order, one may hope to generalize the $N=4$ hierarchy considered in this article.

The $N=4$ Toda (KdV) hierarchy flows (35) in the $N=4$ super eld basis $fJ ; \overline{J}g$ are nonlocal because of the nonlocal dependence of the Lax operator \mathbb{E}_1^T (19) on the super elds J and \overline{J} . Nevertheless, it is possible to obtain local flows by introducing a new super eld basis $f ; \overline{g}$ defined by the following invertible transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} J &= D_+ \overline{D}^+ ; & \overline{J} &= \overline{D}^+ D^+ ; \\ \overline{D}^+ &= \overline{D}^+ \partial^{-1} J ; & D_+ &= \partial^{-1} \overline{J} ; \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where \overline{D}^+ and D^+ are new constrained odd $N=4$ super elds

$$D_+ = \overline{D}^+ = 0; \quad D^+ = \overline{D}^+ D^+ = 0: \quad (58)$$

Then, in terms of the super elds $f ; \overline{g}$ the Lax operator \mathbb{E}_1^T (19) becomes local,

$$\mathbb{E}_1^T = D \overline{D}^+ + \overline{D}^+ (D_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} \overline{D}^+ : \quad (59)$$

Using the identities (33)-(34) one can easily rewrite the flows (35) in this basis as well,

$$(\partial^{-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \overline{D}^+ = \overline{D}^+ (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 ; \quad (\partial^{-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} D^+ = D^+ (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 ; \quad (60)$$

where they are obviously local. Actually, beside the basis (57) there are also three other super eld bases with constrained odd $N=4$ super elds $f ; \overline{g}$, $f ; g$ and $f ; \overline{g}$, which provide local flows, although the reason for this is less evident than for the basis (57). The corresponding formulae are

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D}^+ &= \overline{D}^+ ; & D_+ \overline{D}^+ &= \partial^{-1} ; & D^+ &= \overline{D}^+ = 0; & D^+ \overline{D}^+ &= \overline{D}^+ D^+ = 0; \\ \mathbb{E}_1^T &= e^{-D \overline{D}^+} \frac{1}{D_+ + \overline{D}^+} \overline{D}^+ e^D ; & & & D_+ &= \partial^{-1} ; \\ (\partial^{-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \overline{D}^+ &= \overline{D}^+ (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 ; & (\partial^{-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} D^+ &= D^+ (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 : \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D}^+ &= D \overline{D}^+ \partial^{-1} ; & & ; & D_+ &= \overline{D}^+ = 0; & D_+ \overline{D}^+ &= \overline{D}^+ D^+ = 0; \\ \mathbb{E}_1^T &= e^{-D \overline{D}^+} \frac{1}{D_+ + \overline{D}^+ + D^+} \overline{D}^+ e^D ; & & & \overline{D}^+ &= \partial^{-1} ; \\ (\partial^{-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \overline{D}^+ &= \overline{D}^+ (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 ; & (\partial^{-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} D^+ &= D^+ (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 : \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\psi} &= D \bar{\psi}^+ @^1 \bar{\psi}; & D_+ \bar{\psi} @^1 \bar{\psi}; & D_- \bar{\psi} = \bar{\psi}^+ = 0; & D_+ \bar{\psi} = \bar{\psi}^+ = 0; \\
& \mathbb{E}_1^T = e L_1^T e; \\
(1)^{1+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} &= \bar{D}^+ (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0]; & (1)^{1-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} &= D_+ (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0]; & (63)
\end{aligned}$$

where L_1^T and \mathbb{E}_1^T in equations (63) are defined by (9) and (12), respectively, and become in this basis

$$L_1^T = D \bar{D} \frac{1}{D_+ + \bar{D}^+ + \bar{\psi}} \bar{D}]; \quad \bar{D}^+ @^1 \bar{\psi} = D_+ @^1 \bar{\psi}; \quad (64)$$

Although the Lax operator \mathbb{E}_1^T is nonlocal in terms of the super fields involved for each of these three bases, the quantity $(\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0$ entering into the corresponding equations (61-63) is in fact local. Indeed, let us demonstrate this fact for the quantity $(\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0$, e.g., for the basis (63). In this case,

$$(\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 = (L_1^T)^{21}_0 + e (L_1^T)^{21}_1 e_0; \quad (65)$$

The first term in the right-hand side of equation (65) is local because of locality of the Lax operator L_1^T (64) with respect to the super fields $\bar{\psi}$ and $\bar{\psi}^+$. So, nonlocality could only come from the second term, due to nonlocality of $(L_1^T)^{21}_1$ (64). However, this term is actually a polynomial in derivatives of $\bar{\psi}$, which are local due to the chirality properties (63) of the super fields $\bar{\psi}$ and $\bar{\psi}^+$. Therefore, all potential nonlocalities in fact disappear. The same argument is valid with respect to each basis from the set (61-63).

As an example, we would like to explicitly present a few first nontrivial manifestly $N = 4$ supersymmetric flows resulting from equations (35) and (61),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} J &= J \oslash D_+ D \frac{h}{2} (J @^1 \bar{J})^0 \bar{D}^+ \bar{D} @^1 J)^2^i; \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} \bar{J} &= + \bar{J} \oslash \bar{D}^+ \bar{D} \frac{h}{2} (\bar{J} @^1 J)^0 (D_+ D @^1 \bar{J})^2^i; & (66)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t_3} J &= J \oslash D_+ D \frac{n}{3} J @^1 \bar{J} + (J @^1 \bar{J}) D_+ D @^1 \bar{J} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{D}^+ \bar{D} @^1 J)^2^i_0 \\
&\quad (\bar{D}^+ \bar{D} @^1 J)^3 - 3 \bar{D}^+ \bar{D} @^1 J)^2 D_+ D @^1 \bar{J} + 6 J \bar{J} \bar{D}^+ \bar{D} @^1 J^0; \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t_3} \bar{J} &= \bar{J} \oslash \bar{D}^+ \bar{D} \frac{n}{3} \bar{J} @^1 J + (\bar{J} @^1 J) \bar{D}^+ \bar{D} @^1 J + \frac{1}{2} (D_+ D @^1 \bar{J})^2^i_0 \\
&\quad (D_+ D @^1 \bar{J})^3 - 3 (D_+ D @^1 \bar{J})^2 \bar{D}^+ \bar{D} @^1 J + 6 J \bar{J} D_+ D @^1 \bar{J}^0 & (67)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} \psi &= + \psi \oslash D \bar{D} (\bar{\psi} \bar{\psi}^+) \bar{D}^+ (D_+ \psi)^2; \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} \bar{\psi} &= \bar{\psi} \oslash 2 \bar{D}^+ D_+ (\bar{D} \bar{\psi}^+ + D \bar{\psi}) \bar{D}^2; & (68) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t_3} \psi &= \psi \oslash 3 D \bar{D} (\bar{\psi} \bar{\psi}^+) \bar{D}^+ (D_+ \psi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{D}^+ \bar{D} (D_+ \psi)^2^i \\
&\quad + \bar{D}^+ (D_+ \psi)^3 - 3 (D_+ \psi)^2 \bar{D}^+ \bar{D} (\bar{\psi} \bar{\psi}^+) D_+ \psi; \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t_3} \bar{\psi} &= \bar{\psi} \oslash 3 \bar{D}^+ (D_+ \bar{\psi})^0 D_+ \psi + (D_+ \bar{\psi}) (D_+ \psi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} D_+ D (\bar{\psi} \bar{\psi}^+)^2^i \\
&\quad + D \bar{D} (\bar{\psi} \bar{\psi}^+)^3 - 3 \bar{D}^+ \bar{D} (\bar{\psi} \bar{\psi}^+)^2 D_+ \psi + 6 (D_+ \bar{\psi}) \bar{D}^+ \bar{D} \bar{\psi}; & (69)
\end{aligned}$$

respectively.

3 Hamiltonian structure of the $N = 4$ Toda (KdV) hierarchy in $N = 4$ superspace

Now, we would like to discuss the Hamiltonian structure of the $N = 4$ Toda (KdV) hierarchy in $N = 4$ superspace. Let us present first the general formulae for the conserved quantities (Hamiltonians) H_1^t of the $N = 4$ flows (35) and (60)-(63) in $N = 4$ superspace,

$$H_1^t = \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dz d^+ d^- d \ @^{-1} (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 : \quad (70)$$

Hereafter, we use the following definitions of the $N = 2$ and $N = 4$ superspace integrals for an arbitrary superfield functional $f(+; +; -; -)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dz d^+ d^- d \ f(+; +; -; -) &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dz d^+ d^- D \overline{D} \ f = 0 \\ \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dz D_+ \overline{D}^+ D \overline{D} \ f &= 0; \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

respectively, as well as the following realization of the inverse derivative:

$$@_z^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}}^{z+1} dx \ (z-x); \quad (z-x) = (x-z) \cdot 1; \quad \text{if } z > x: \quad (72)$$

Using these definitions and the identities (33)-(34), one can equivalently rewrite H_1^t (70) in the form of an $N = 2$ superfield integral

$$H_1^t = \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dz d^+ d^- (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 = 0: \quad (73)$$

Then, using the identity (37) as well as the relation (10), one can easily show that this representation reproduces the $N = 2$ superspace representation for the Hamiltonians used in [1]. Remembering that the quantities $(\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0$ are local for all the local flows (60)-(63) (see the discussion after equations (64)), we are led to the conclusion that the corresponding Hamiltonians (73) and their $N = 2$ superfield densities are local quantities as well, while the Hamiltonian densities in $N = 4$ superspace entering into (70) are nonlocal even when the flows corresponding to them are local. It is interesting to remark that H_1^t (70) can identically be rewritten in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1^t &= (-1)^1 \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dz d^+ d^- d \ @^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \\ &\quad (-1)^1 \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dz d^+ d^- d \ (D_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} (D_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} @^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} (\overline{J} + J); \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

where the second of equations (15) and equation (12) have been used. We have verified that the following formula⁴:

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}} dz d^+ d^- d \ @^{-1} \frac{1}{J} (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 = 1 \overline{D}^+ \overline{D} @^{-2} (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{2(1-1)}_0 \quad (75)$$

is valid for a few first values of l . The variation formula with respect to \overline{J} can be obtained if one applies the substitution (25) to equation (75) and uses the identity (29),

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}} dz d^+ d^- d \ @^{-1} \frac{1}{J} (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 = 1 D_+ D @^{-2} (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{2(1-1)}_0 : \quad (76)$$

⁴The derivation of (75) makes essential use of the realization (72) of the inverse derivative.

It is plausible to suppose that the formulae (75{76) are valid for all values of l as well, but we cannot present a proof here. Using these formulae and the Hamiltonians H_1^t (70), one can represent the flows (35) in the Hamiltonian form

$$(-1)^{1+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{1-1}} \frac{\overline{J}}{J} = \frac{1}{1} J_1 = \frac{\overline{J}}{J} H_1^t = \frac{1}{1-1} J_2 = \frac{\overline{J}}{J} H_{1-1}^t; \quad (77)$$

where J_1 ,

$$J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{D}^+ \overline{D} \\ D_+ D & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (78)$$

is the first Hamiltonian structure in $N = 4$ superspace. Using the flows (66{67), we have also found the second Hamiltonian structure J_2

$$J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ J_{21} & J_{22} \end{pmatrix};$$

$$J_{11} = (\partial \overline{J} + \overline{J} \partial) \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}; \quad J_{22} = (\partial J + J \partial) D_+ D;$$

$$J_{12} = \overline{D}^+ \overline{D} (\partial + \partial D_+ \partial^{-1} \overline{J}) + \overline{D} \overline{D}^+ \partial^{-1} J D_+ D;$$

$$J_{21} = D_+ D (\partial + \partial D_+ \partial^{-1} \overline{J}) + \overline{D} \overline{D}^+ \partial^{-1} J \overline{D}^+ \overline{D} \quad (79)$$

which is isomorphic to the $N = 4$ $SU(2)$ superconformal algebra (see the end of this section and the remark at the end of section 5). In terms of these two Hamiltonian structures the Poisson brackets of the superfields \overline{J} and J are given by the formula

$$\{ \frac{\overline{J}(Z_1)}{J(Z_1)}, \frac{\overline{J}(Z_2)}{J(Z_2)} \} = g_k = J_k(Z_1)^{N=4}(Z_1, Z_2) \quad (80)$$

where $J_k^{N=4}(Z_1, Z_2) = \delta(z_1 - z_2)$ is the delta function in $N = 4$ superspace with the coordinates $Z = (z; \theta^+, \theta^-; \eta; \eta^+)$. Let us remark that the second Hamiltonian structure J_2 (79) can be rewritten in terms of the superfunction (12), and it has the following simple form:

$$J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{D}^+ \overline{D} (\partial + \partial \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}) & \overline{D}^+ \overline{D} (\partial + \partial^0) D_+ D \\ D_+ D (\partial + \partial^0 \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}) & D_+ D (\partial + \partial) D_+ D \end{pmatrix}; \quad (81)$$

Knowing the first and second Hamiltonian structures, we may construct the recursion operator of the hierarchy in $N = 4$ superspace using the following general rule:

$$R = J_2 \mathcal{P}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} J_{12} & J_{11} \\ J_{22} & J_{21} \end{pmatrix} \partial^{-2}; \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{1+1}} \frac{\overline{J}}{J} = R \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \frac{\overline{J}}{J}; \quad J_{1+1} = R^{-1} J_1; \quad (82)$$

where the matrix \mathcal{P}_1 is

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial^{-2}; \quad \mathcal{P}_1 J_1 \frac{\overline{J}}{J} = J_1 \mathcal{P}_1 \frac{\overline{J}}{J} = \frac{\overline{J}}{J}; \quad (83)$$

The Hamiltonian structures J_1 and J_2 (78{79) are obviously compatible, e.g., the deformation $(\partial + \partial D_+ \partial^{-1} \overline{J}) \rightarrow (\partial + \partial D_+ \partial^{-1} \overline{J}) + \epsilon$, where ϵ is an arbitrary parameter, transforms J_2 into the

algebraic sum $J_2 - J_1$. Thus, one concludes that the recursion operator R (82) is hereditary, being obtained from a compatible pair of Hamiltonian structures [9].

Applying formulae (82) we obtain the following recurrence relations for the flows (35) in $N = 4$ superspace:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{l+1}} \overline{J} &= \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^- (\partial + \partial^0) D_+ D - \partial^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_l} \overline{J} + (\partial + \partial^0) \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^- \partial^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_l} J; \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{l+1}} J &= D_+ D (\partial + \partial^0) \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^- \partial^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_l} J + (\partial + \partial^0) D_+ D \partial^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_l} \overline{J}; \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

These relations can be rewritten in terms of the single dimensionless superfunction (12) in the following form:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{l+1}} = (\overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^-) \partial (\partial + \partial^0) \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^- \partial^2 - \partial^2 \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^- \partial^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_l}; \quad (85)$$

where the operators \overline{D}^+ and \overline{D}^- are $N = 4$ chiral projectors

$$\begin{aligned} D_+ D \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^- \partial^2 &= \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^- D_+ D \partial^2; \\ \partial^2 &= \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^- \partial^2; \quad \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^- = 0; \quad (\partial + \partial^0) \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^- = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

The superfunction \overline{J} can actually be treated as an independent constrained real linear $N = 4$ superfield [12]

$$D_+ \overline{D}^+ = 0; \quad D \overline{D}^+ = 0 \quad (87)$$

which we could have taken as our starting point, while the relation (12) in this case is the general solution of the quadratic constraints (87) expressed in terms of the pair of chiral-antichiral superfields J and \overline{J} (8). Conversely, one can express J and \overline{J} in terms of

$$J = D_+ D \overline{J}; \quad \overline{J} = \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^- J; \quad (88)$$

Let us also remark that the last relation in equations (86) is a direct consequence of the constraints (87) too. Therefore, we come to the conclusion that all the flows of the $N = 4$ Toda (KdV) hierarchy are actually the flows for a single real linear $N = 4$ superfield (87). Moreover, these flows are local because the Lax operator \mathbb{E}_1^T (19) entering into the flow equations (15) for the superfield \overline{J} , being expressed in terms of \overline{J} via (88)

$$\mathbb{E}_1^T = D \overline{D}^+ + [(D_+ + \overline{D}^-) \partial^2] (D_+ + \overline{D}^+)^{-1} \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^-; \quad (89)$$

is local in \overline{J} . As an illustrative example, let us present explicitly the second flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} \overline{J} = (\overline{D}^+ D_+ D \overline{D}^-)^0 (\partial^0)^2 + 2(D_+ D \overline{D}^-) \overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^- \quad (90)$$

resulting from the recurrence relation (85) and the first flow $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \overline{J} = 0$. In the derivation of the flows (90), one has to use the identity

$$D_+ \overline{D}^+ = D \overline{D}^-; \quad (91)$$

following from the constraints (87). For completeness, we also present the first

$$\begin{aligned} f(Z_1); \quad (Z_2) g_k &= J_k(Z_1)^{N=4} (Z_1 - Z_2); \\ J_1 = \mathcal{J}_1 &= (\overline{D}^+ \overline{D}^-); \quad J_1 \mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{J}_1 J_1 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

and second

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 = & (\bar{\psi} + \psi)\partial + (\psi + \bar{\psi})\bar{\partial}^0 (\bar{\psi} - \psi)^2 \bar{\partial} + 2(\bar{\psi} - \psi)\partial \\ & \partial^{-1} (\bar{\psi} - \psi)\partial^2 + \partial^0 (\psi + \bar{\psi})\bar{\partial}^+ (\bar{\psi} - \psi)\partial + (\psi - \bar{\psi})\bar{\partial}^+ (\bar{\psi} - \psi)\partial \\ & 2(\psi + \bar{\psi})\bar{\partial}^+ \bar{\partial} - 2(\bar{\psi} - \psi)\partial + \partial (\bar{\psi} - \psi) \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

Hamiltonian structures as well as the recursion operator

$$R = J_2 \mathcal{H}_1 = (\bar{\psi} - \psi)\partial - (\psi + \bar{\psi})\bar{\partial}^0 (\psi + \bar{\psi})^2 \bar{\partial} - 2(\bar{\psi} - \psi)\partial \quad (94)$$

of the $N = 4$ Toda (KdV) hierarchy described in terms of the super field (87).

To close this section we would like to note that four closed subalgebras, isomorphic to the $N = 4$ $SU(2)$ superconformal algebra (SCA), can be extracted from the $N = 4$ super field form of the $N = 4$ $O(4)$ (large) SCA, [10] (see also references therein)⁵

$$f; g = c_1 \partial + c_2 (\psi + \bar{\psi})\partial + \partial^0 (\psi + \bar{\psi})\bar{\partial}^+ (\bar{\psi} - \psi)\partial + (\psi - \bar{\psi})\bar{\partial}^+ (\bar{\psi} - \psi)\partial \quad N=4; \quad (95)$$

where $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\psi)$ is the $N = 4$ $O(4)$ unconstrained supercurrent with 16 independent field components. c_1 and c_2 are two independent central charges. These subalgebras are defined using the operators

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi + \bar{\psi})\partial - \bar{\partial}^+ \bar{\partial} & \partial^{-1}; \quad \psi + \bar{\psi} = \psi - \bar{\psi} = \psi + \bar{\psi} = \psi - \bar{\psi} = 0; \\ 2n = (\psi - \bar{\psi})\partial^n (\psi + \bar{\psi}); \quad 2n+1 = (\psi - \bar{\psi})\partial^n; \quad \psi + \bar{\psi} = (\psi - \bar{\psi})\partial^n f; \quad g = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

where the operators $f; g; \partial; \bar{\partial}^+; \psi + \bar{\psi}; \psi - \bar{\psi}$ form a linearly independent set of degenerate operators satisfying the constraint (87). Then we may define four supercurrents expressed in terms of

$$= \psi + \bar{\psi}; \quad b = \psi - \bar{\psi}; \quad = \psi + \bar{\psi}; \quad : \quad (97)$$

Each of them satisfies the constraints (87) and, as a consequence, possesses only 8 independent super field components. The degenerate mapping to (97) gives the precise relationship between the Poisson structures (93) and (95). The central charge of the $N = 4$ $SU(2)$ SC subalgebra of the $N = 4$ $O(4)$ SCA is $c = c_1 + c_2$. The super field relationship (97) between the $N = 4$ superconformal $SU(2)$ and $O(4)$ algebras could give a bridge towards the resolution of the longstanding problem of constructing an $N = 4$ $O(4)$ KdV hierarchy (if any), but this problem is out of the scope of the present paper and will be considered elsewhere.

4 Real forms and discrete symmetries of the $N = 4$ Toda (KdV) hierarchy in $N = 4$ superspace

It is well known that different real forms derived from the same complex integrable hierarchy are nonequivalent in general. Keeping this in mind it seems important to find as many different real forms of the $N = 4$ Toda (KdV) hierarchy as possible. This task was already analysed in [6, 4] at the level of the second and third flows of the hierarchy in the real $N = 2$ superspace,

⁵Some $N = 4$ $SU(2)$ SC subalgebras of the $N = 4$ $O(4)$ SCA were discussed in [10, 11] at the component level.

and three nonequivalent complex conjugations were constructed for these flows. Nonequivalent here means that it is not possible to relate any two of them via obvious symmetries. Now, based on the results of the previous sections we are able to extend these complex conjugations to $N = 4$ superspace and prove that all the flows $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}$ of the hierarchy in $N = 4$ superspace are invariant under any of these complex conjugations.

We will use the standard convention regarding complex conjugation of products involving odd operators and functions (see, e.g., the books [12, 13]). In particular, if O is some even differential operator acting on a superfield F , we define the complex conjugate of O by $(OF) = O \bar{F}$.

Let us prove the statement

the flows (35) are invariant under the following three complex conjugations:

$$(J; \bar{J}) = (J; \bar{J}); \quad (z; \bar{z}) = (z; \bar{z}); \quad t_1 = (-1)^1 t_1; \quad (98)$$

$$(J; \bar{J}) = (J - D_- D_+ \ln \bar{J}; \bar{J}); \quad (z; \bar{z}) = (z; \bar{z}); \quad t_1 = t_1; \quad (99)$$

$$(J; \bar{J})^2 = (\bar{J}; J); \quad (z; \bar{z})^2 = (\bar{z}; z); \quad t_1^2 = t_1; \quad (100)$$

With this aim let us describe the properties of the Lax operator \mathbb{E}_1^T (19) and of the quantity $(\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0$ entering into the flow equations (35) under these involutions. They are

$$(\mathbb{E}_1^T) = \mathbb{E}_1^T; \quad (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 = (-1)^1 (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 \quad (101)$$

$$(\mathbb{E}_1^T) = \frac{1}{J} \mathbb{E}_1 \bar{J}; \quad (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 = (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0 + \frac{1}{J} [(\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_1 {}^T \bar{J}]; \quad (102)$$

$$(\mathbb{E}_1^T)^2 = (D_+ + \bar{D}_+)^{-1} \mathbb{E}_1 (D_+ + \bar{D}_+); \quad (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21\ ?}_0 = (\mathbb{E}_1^T)^{21}_0; \quad (103)$$

where the identities (17) and (30) have been used when deriving equations (102) and (103), respectively. Now, using these relations as well as the identity (32) it is a simple exercise to verify that the flows (35) are indeed invariant under the complex conjugations (98-100).

The complex conjugations (98-100) extract different real forms of the algebra (7). It may be verified that the real forms of the algebra (7) with the involutions (98-99) correspond to a twisted real $N = 4$ supersymmetry, while the real form corresponding to the involution (100) reproduces the algebra of the real $N = 4$ supersymmetry.

We would like to close this section with a few remarks.

First, a combination of the two complex conjugations (100) and (99) being applied twice generates a manifestly $N = 4$ supersymmetric form of the $N = 4$ Toda chain equations⁶

$$J^{??} = J - D_- D_+ \ln \bar{J}; \quad \bar{J}^{??} = \bar{J} - \bar{D}_- \bar{D}_+ \ln J^{??} \quad (104)$$

which is the one-dimensional reduction of the two-dimensional $N = (2, 2)$ superconformal Toda lattice [15, 16]. They form an infinite-dimensional group of discrete Darboux symmetries of

⁶This way of deriving discrete symmetries was proposed in [14] and applied to the construction of discrete symmetry transformations of the $N = 2$ supersymmetric GNL S hierarchies.

the $N = 4$ supersymmetric Toda chain (KdV) hierarchy [7, 8, 1, 4]. In other words, if the set $fJ; \bar{J}g$ is a solution of the $N = 4$ Toda (KdV) hierarchy, then the set $fJ^{? ?}; \bar{J}^{? ?}g$, related to the former by equations (104), is a solution of the hierarchy as well. Let us also present equations (104) written in the $N = 4$ super eld basis $f; \bar{g}$ (57), where the $N = 4$ flows are local

$$\bar{J}^{? ?} = \bar{J} + D_- \ln \bar{D}_+^+ J; \quad J^{? ?} = J + \bar{D}_- \ln D_+ \bar{J}^{? ?}; \quad (105)$$

Second, a combination of the two complex conjugations (98) and (99) generates an order two discrete symmetry of the odd flows $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{2l+1}}$,

$$(J; \bar{J}) \rightarrow (J + D_- D_+ \ln \bar{J}; \bar{J}); \quad (J; \bar{J}) \rightarrow (J; \bar{J}): \quad (106)$$

Third, a combination of the two complex conjugations (100) and (98) generates the substitution (25), # .

Fourth, a direct verification shows that the second and third flows (66{67) as well as the recursion relations (84) are invariant under two additional complex conjugations

$$(J; \bar{J})^y = (J; \bar{J}); \quad (z; ;)^y = (z; i; i); \quad t_1^y = (-1)^1 t_1; \quad (107)$$

$$(J; \bar{J})^z = (J; \bar{J}); \quad (z; ;)^z = (z; ;); \quad t_1^z = (-1)^1 t_1; \quad (108)$$

It means that all the flows (35) of the hierarchy are invariant under these complex conjugations as well.

5 $N = 4$ Toda (KdV) hierarchy in $N = 2$ superspace

Let us present the relationship of the formulation of the $N = 4$ Toda (KdV) hierarchy in the $N = 4$ superspace developed in previous sections with its description in the three different $N = 2$ super eld bases (a), (b) and (c) from [3] (see equations (4.5) and (4.3a,b,c) therein) at the level of the second flow equations (66). As a byproduct, a correspondence with the formalism of references [2, 3] will be established.

The basis (a) $f\mathfrak{F}; F; \bar{F}g$.

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad \mathfrak{F} &= \frac{1}{2} D_- D_+^{-1} (\bar{J} + J) (z; +; = 0; +; = 0); \\ F &= J (z; +; = 0; +; = 0); \\ \bar{F} &= \bar{J} (z; +; = 0; +; = 0); \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

where $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}(z; +; +)$, $F = F(z; +; +)$ and $\bar{F} = \bar{F}(z; +; +)$ are new unconstrained, chiral and antichiral,

$$D_+ F = 0; \quad \bar{D}_+^+ \bar{F} = 0; \quad (110)$$

even $N = 2$ super elds, respectively, and D_- is defined in equation (9). Using the chirality constraints (8) and the definition of the super eld \mathfrak{F} (109) one can express the derivatives $D_- \bar{J}$ and $\bar{D}_- J$ in terms of $D_+ \mathfrak{F}$ and $\bar{D}_+^+ \mathfrak{F}$

$$\bar{D}_- J = 2D_+ \mathfrak{F}; \quad D_- \bar{J} = 2\bar{D}_+^+ \mathfrak{F}; \quad (111)$$

Using these inputs, one can transform (66) to the super eld basis $f\mathcal{F}; F; \overline{F}g$ where they take the following local form :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}\mathcal{F} &= (\mathbb{D}_+; \overline{D}^+]\mathcal{F} + 2\mathcal{F}^2 - F\overline{F})^0; \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}F &= -F^0 + 4\mathbb{D}_+\overline{D}^+(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}); \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}\overline{F} &= +\overline{F}^0 + 4\overline{D}^+\mathbb{D}_+(\overline{\mathcal{F}}\overline{\mathcal{F}}): \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

The basis (c) $f\mathcal{F}; \overline{g}$.

$$\begin{aligned}(c) \quad & \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{F} + \overline{\mathcal{F}} - i\mathcal{F}\overline{\mathcal{F}} - \mathcal{J}(z; +; \mathcal{F}; \overline{\mathcal{F}}) = 0; \mathcal{F} = 0); \\ & \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{F} + \overline{\mathcal{F}}) + i\mathcal{F}\overline{\mathcal{F}} - \overline{\mathcal{J}}(z; +; \mathcal{F}; \overline{\mathcal{F}}) = 0; \mathcal{F} = 0); \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

$$\mathcal{F} - \frac{i}{2}(\mathcal{J} - \overline{\mathcal{J}}) = 0; \quad \mathbb{D}_+\overline{D}^+\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{J}} + \mathcal{J}) = 0; \quad \overline{\mathcal{F}} - \frac{i}{2}\overline{D}^+\mathbb{D}_+(\overline{\mathcal{J}} + \mathcal{J}) = 0; \quad (114)$$

where $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(z; +; \mathcal{F}; \overline{\mathcal{F}})$; $\mathcal{J}(z; +; \mathcal{F}; \overline{\mathcal{F}})$ and $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(z; +; \mathcal{F}; \overline{\mathcal{F}})$ are new unconstrained, chiral and antichiral,

$$\mathbb{D}_+ = 0; \quad \overline{D}^+ = 0; \quad (115)$$

even $N = 2$ super elds, respectively, and $\mathbb{D}_+; \overline{D}^+$ are $N = 2$ odd covariant derivatives,

$$\mathbb{D}_+ = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{D}_+ + i\mathbb{D}_-); \quad \overline{D}^+ = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{D}_+ - i\mathbb{D}_-); \quad \mathbb{D}_+\overline{D}^+g = 0; \quad \mathbb{D}_+^2 = \overline{D}^{+2} = 0; \quad (116)$$

Using the explicit realization of the odd derivatives \mathbb{D}_+ (4) and \overline{D}^+ , \overline{D}^+ (7) as well as the chirality constraints (8), one can express the derivatives $\mathbb{D}_+\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\overline{D}^+\mathcal{F}$ in terms of $\mathbb{D}_+\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathbb{D}_+\mathcal{F}$

$$\overline{D}^+\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{D}_+\mathcal{F}; \quad \overline{D}^+\overline{D}^+\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{D}_+\mathbb{D}_+\mathcal{F}; \quad \mathbb{D}_+\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \overline{D}^+\overline{\mathcal{F}}; \quad \mathbb{D}_+\mathbb{D}_+\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \overline{D}^+\overline{D}^+\overline{\mathcal{F}}: \quad (117)$$

Using these inputs, one can transform equations (66) to the super eld basis $f\mathcal{F}; \overline{g}$ where they take the following local form :

$$\begin{aligned}i\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}\mathcal{F} &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{F} + \overline{\mathcal{F}})^0 - 2(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F} + \overline{\mathcal{F}}))^0 + \mathbb{D}_+[\overline{D}^+](\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F} + \overline{\mathcal{F}})); \\ i\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}\overline{\mathcal{F}} &= 2\overline{D}^+(\mathcal{F}^0 - \mathcal{F}^2 - \frac{3}{4}\mathcal{F}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\mathcal{F}}); \\ i\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}\overline{g} &= 2\overline{D}^+(\mathcal{F}^0 + \mathcal{F}^2 + \frac{3}{4}\mathcal{F}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\overline{\mathcal{F}}): \end{aligned} \quad (118)$$

It is also instructive to present explicitly the Lax operator L (6) expressed in terms of the $N = 2$ super elds $f\mathcal{F}; \overline{g}$,

$$L = i(\overline{D}^+ - \mathbb{D}_+) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{F} + \overline{\mathcal{F}} + 2i\mathcal{F}\overline{\mathcal{F}}) \frac{1}{\mathbb{D}_+ + \mathbb{D}_+ + i[\mathbb{D}_+^2 - \overline{D}^+ \mathbb{D}_+]} : \quad (119)$$

The basis (b).

The $N = 2$ super eld basis (b) and the corresponding second ow equations can be obtained from equations (113){114) and (118) of the basis (c) by the following substitution:

$$(b) \quad f\mathfrak{F}; \quad ; \quad \overline{g}) \quad f\mathfrak{F}; i; \quad ; \quad i\overline{g}; \quad t_2) \quad it_2: \quad (120)$$

Therefore, we are led to the conclusion that the second ow equations (66) unify the three particular "SU (2) frames" of the $N = 4$ KdV hierarchy in $N = 2$ superspace (compare equations (112) and (118) with equations (4.5) and (4.3a,b,c) from [3]). The same property is certainly valid for any other ow of the $N = 4$ Toda (KdV) hierarchy in the $N = 4$ superspace.

It is interesting to remark that if one introduces an auxiliary $N = 4$ super eld V^{12} as

$$V^{12} = V^{21} = \frac{i}{2} D_- D_+^{-1} (\overline{J} + J) \quad (121)$$

as well as the following notations:

$$V^{11} = i\overline{J}; \quad V^{22} = iJ; \quad D_1 = D_+; \quad D_2 = \overline{D}^-; \quad \overline{D}^1 = \overline{D}^+; \quad \overline{D}^2 = D_-; \quad (122)$$

then the constraints (8) and (121) can equivalently be rewritten in the covariant form :

$$D^{(i} V^{jk)} = 0; \quad \overline{D}^{(i} V^{jk)} = 0; \quad i, j, k = 1, 2; \quad (123)$$

where the indices i, j, k are raised and lowered by the antisymmetric tensors ϵ^{ij} and ϵ_{ij} , respectively, ($\epsilon^{ij} \epsilon_{jk} = \delta^i_k$, $\epsilon^{12} = \epsilon_{12} = 1$) and (ijk) means symmetrization. The SU (2) spin $N = 4$ supercurrent $V^{ij} = V^{ji}$ was initially introduced in [2, 3] for the description of the $N = 4$ KdV equation. Thus, formulae (121){122) establish the precise correspondence⁷ with [2, 3]. Using this correspondence, one can calculate, e.g. the matrices a^{ij} from [3],

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (124)$$

which correspond to (112) and (118), respectively, as well as establish the one-to-one correspondence of the second Hamiltonian structure J_2 (79) with the $N = 4$ superspace form of the $N = 4$ SU (2) superconformal algebra used in [3].

6 Alternative Lax pair construction in $N = 4$ superspace

The results of the previous sections can be used as inputs for an alternative, heuristic construction of a Lax pair representation of the $N = 4$ Toda (KdV) hierarchy in $N = 4$ superspace. Indeed, let us remember that there exist another Lax pair formulation of the $N = 4$ KdV hierarchy in the $N = 2$ super eld basis (a) $f\mathfrak{F}(z; +; +); F(z; +; +); \overline{F}(z; +; +)g$ with the second ow equations (112) [5, 6]. The corresponding Lax operator L is

$$L = \overline{D}^+ D_+ @^{-1} @^{-2} \mathfrak{F} @^{-1} \overline{F} \overline{D}^+ D_+ @^{-1}; \quad (125)$$

⁷ It is also necessary to replace the coordinate z by iz .

We introduce a new super eld basis $fV(z; +; +); \bar{V}(z; +; +)g$ inspired by the formulae (113{114) of the basis (c),

$$V = \frac{1}{2}(F + \bar{F}) - i\mathcal{V}; \quad \bar{V} = \frac{1}{2}(F + \bar{F}) + i\mathcal{V}; \quad (126)$$

$$\mathcal{V} = \frac{i}{2}(V - \bar{V}); \quad F = D_+ \bar{D}^+ @^{-1}(\bar{V} + V); \quad \bar{F} = \bar{D}^+ D_+ @^{-1}(\bar{V} + V); \quad (127)$$

$$L = \bar{D}^+ D_+ @^{-1} @^{-1} i(V - \bar{V}) - [D_+ \bar{D}^+ @^{-1}(\bar{V} + V)] @^{-1} [\bar{D}^+ D_+ @^{-1}(\bar{V} + V)] \bar{D}^+ D_+ @^{-1}; \quad (128)$$

Finally, in analogy with our derivation of the Lax operator L_1 in (9), we replace the $N = 2$ super-elds V and \bar{V} in (125) by one chiral $V(z; +; +; +; +)$ and one antichiral $\bar{V}(z; +; +; +; +)$ even $N = 4$ super eld,

$$D_- V = 0; \quad \bar{D}^- \bar{V} = 0; \quad (129)$$

One can check that the resulting Lax pair representation

$$(-1)^{1+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} L_1 = [(L_1^1)_+; L_1] \quad (130)$$

with the new $N = 4$ Lax operator L_1 ,

$$L_1 = \bar{D}^+ D_+ @^{-1} @^{-1} i(V - \bar{V}) - [D_+ \bar{D}^+ @^{-1}(\bar{V} + V)] @^{-1} [\bar{D}^+ D_+ @^{-1}(\bar{V} + V)] \bar{D}^+ D_+ @^{-1}; \quad (131)$$

gives consistent $N = 4$ supersymmetric flows $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}$ as well. Here, we have introduced a new superspace basis $fD_-; \bar{D}^-g$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D_+ &= \frac{1}{2}(\bar{D}^- + D_+); \quad \bar{D}^- = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{D}^- - D_+); \\ D_- &= \frac{1}{2}(\bar{D}^- - D_+); \quad \bar{D}^+ = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{D}^- + D_+); \\ n_{D_k}; \bar{D}^m_0 &= n_{D_k}; D_m_0 = n_{D_k}; \bar{D}^m_0 = 0; \quad k, m = 1, 2, 3, 4. \end{aligned} \quad (132)$$

As an example, let us present explicitly the second flow equations

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} V &= (+iD_- D_+ \bar{V} + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{D}^- D_+ @^{-1} \bar{V})^2 - \frac{3}{2}V^2)_0 - D_- D_+ (\bar{V} \bar{D}^- \bar{D}^+ @^{-1} V); \\ i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} \bar{V} &= (-i\bar{D}^- \bar{D}^+ V - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{D}^- \bar{D}^+ @^{-1} V)^2 + \frac{3}{2}\bar{V}^2)_0 + \bar{D}^- \bar{D}^+ (V D_- D_+ @^{-1} \bar{V}) \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

resulting from equations (130{131).

Let us analyze these equations in the same way as it has been done in the previous section. We introduce the $N = 2$ super eld basis $f\mathcal{V}(z; +; +; +; +); \bar{\mathcal{V}}(z; +; +; +; +)g$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} &= \frac{i}{2}(\bar{D}^- + \bar{D}^+)(D_+ + \bar{D}^+)^{-1}(\bar{V} - V)(z; +; +; +; +); \\ \bar{\mathcal{V}} &= \frac{i}{2}(\bar{D}^- - \bar{D}^+)(D_+ - \bar{D}^+)^{-1}(\bar{V} + V)(z; +; +; +; +); \quad D_+ \bar{\mathcal{V}} = 0; \\ &\quad \bar{D}^+ \mathcal{V} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (134)$$

which is similar to the basis (a) (109). Then one observes that the corresponding second order equations reproduce the second order equations (118) of the basis (c) with the following correspondence:

$$f\mathcal{F}; \bar{J}; \bar{D}; \bar{D}^+; \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} g \rightarrow f\mathcal{V}; \bar{J}; \bar{D}_+; \bar{D}^+; \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} g: \quad (135)$$

Therefore, we conclude that the $N = 4$ super eld equations (66) and (133) are the second order equations of the same $N = 4$ supersymmetric Toda (KdV) hierarchy written in two different $N = 4$ super eld bases, $f\mathcal{F}; \bar{J}; \bar{D}; \bar{D}^+ g$ and $f\mathcal{V}; \bar{J}; \bar{D}_+; \bar{D}^+ g$, respectively. The explicit relation between these two bases is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathcal{V} &= \bar{J} - J + (\mathcal{D} + \bar{\mathcal{D}})(\mathcal{D}_+ + \bar{\mathcal{D}}^+)^{-1}(\bar{J} + J); \\ 2\bar{\mathcal{V}} &= \bar{J} - J - (\mathcal{D} + \bar{\mathcal{D}})(\mathcal{D}_+ + \bar{\mathcal{D}}^+)^{-1}(\bar{J} + J); \\ \mathcal{D}_+ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{D} + \bar{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{D} - \bar{\mathcal{D}}); \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D} + \bar{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{D} + \bar{\mathcal{D}}); \\ \mathcal{D} &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{D} + \bar{\mathcal{D}}}(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^+ + \mathcal{D}); \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}^+ = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D} + \bar{\mathcal{D}}}(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^+ - \mathcal{D}): \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

Actually, the Lax pair representation (130){131) is a direct consequence of the Lax pair representation (48){49). In order to show this, one can first express the Lax operator L_1 (131) in terms of the super elds J and \bar{J} using equations (136), then verify that it is the square of the Lax operator L (49),

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \bar{\mathcal{D}}^+ \mathcal{D}_+ \partial^{-1} \partial - [(\mathcal{D} + \bar{\mathcal{D}})(\mathcal{D}_+ + \bar{\mathcal{D}}^+)^{-1}(\bar{J} + J)] J \partial^{-1} \bar{\mathcal{D}}^+ \mathcal{D}_+ \partial^{-1} \\ &\quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}^+ \mathcal{D}_+ \partial^{-1} - \mathcal{D} - \bar{\mathcal{D}} + [(\mathcal{D} + \bar{\mathcal{D}})(\mathcal{D}_+ + \bar{\mathcal{D}}^+)^{-1}(\bar{J} + J)] \bar{\mathcal{D}}^+ \mathcal{D}_+ \partial^{-1} = L^2: \end{aligned} \quad (137)$$

If one introduces the notation

$$V^{12} = V^{21} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{D} + \bar{\mathcal{D}})(\mathcal{D}_+ + \bar{\mathcal{D}}^+)^{-1}(\bar{V} - V); \quad (138)$$

$$V^{11} = \bar{V}; \quad V^{22} = V; \quad \mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D}_+; \quad \mathcal{D}_2 = \bar{\mathcal{D}}; \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}^1 = \bar{\mathcal{D}}^+; \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 = \mathcal{D}; \quad (139)$$

then the chirality constraints (129) and relations (138) can equivalently be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{D}^{(i} V^{jk)} = 0; \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(i} V^{jk)} = 0; \quad i, j, k = 1, 2 \quad (140)$$

which is similar to the formulae (121){123). In terms of the objects $fV^{ij}; \mathcal{D}^i; \bar{\mathcal{D}}^i g$ and $fV^{ij}; \mathcal{D}^i; \bar{\mathcal{D}}^i g$ the transformation (136) is the $SU(2)$ rotation

$$\mathcal{D}^i = (S\mathcal{D})^i; \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}^i = (S\bar{\mathcal{D}})^i; \quad V^{ij} = (SV S^T)^{ij}; \quad S = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} +1 & 1 \\ +1 & +1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (141)$$

where the matrix $S \in SU(2)$ and S^T is the transposed matrix.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we have developed a consistent Lax pair formulation of the $N = 4$ supersymmetric Toda chain (KdV) hierarchy in $N = 4$ superspace. The explicit general formulae (35) for its bosonic flows in terms of the Lax operator in $N = 4$ superspace have been derived. Then, a change of basis in $N = 4$ superspace has allowed us to eliminate all nonlocalities in the flows (60{63}). We have also explicitly presented the general formula for the corresponding Hamiltonians (70), the first two Hamiltonian structures (78{79) as well as the recursion operator (82-84) in $N = 4$ superspace. Then, we have simplified these formulae by rewriting them in terms of the single real linear $N = 4$ superfield (92{94) whose flows are local. Furthermore, we have established its relationship (97) to the $N = 4$ $O(4)$ superconformal supercurrent which hopefully could shed light on the longstanding problem of constructing the $N = 4$ $O(4)$ KdV hierarchy. Then, we have shown that all these flows possess ve complex conjugations (98{100) and (107{108) as well as an infinite-dimensional group of discrete Darboux symmetries (104). Finally, the explicit formulae (121{122) and (138{139) relating the two different descriptions of the flows in $N = 4$ superspace used in [3] and [4] have established. It is obvious that there remain a lot of work to do in order to improve our understanding of the hierarchy in $N = 4$ superspace, but the construction of the $N = 4$ Lax pair formulation presented in this paper is a crucial, necessary step towards a complete description.

Acknowledgments. A.S. would like to thank the Laboratoire de Physique de l'ENS Lyon for the hospitality during the course of this work. This work was partially supported by the PICS Project No. 593, RFBR-CNRS Grant No. 01-02-22005, Nato Grant No. PST.CLG.974874 and RFBR Grant No. 99-02-18417.

References

- [1] F. Delduc, L. Gallot and A. Sorin, $N = 2$ local and $N = 4$ nonlocal reductions of supersymmetric KP hierarchy in $N = 2$ superspace, Nucl. Phys. B 558 (1999) 545, solv-int/9907004.
- [2] F. Delduc and E. Ivanov, $N = 4$ super KdV equation, Phys. Lett. B 309 (1993) 312, hep-th/9301024.
- [3] F. Delduc, E. Ivanov and S. Krivonos, $N = 4$ super KdV hierarchy in $N = 4$ and $N = 2$ superspaces, J. Math. Phys. 37 (1996) 1356; Erratum -ibid. 38 (1997) 1224, hep-th/9510033.
- [4] F. Delduc and A. Sorin, A note on real forms of the complex $N = 4$ supersymmetric Toda chain hierarchy in real $N = 2$ and $N = 4$ superspaces, Nucl. Phys. B 577 (2000) 461, solv-int/9911005.
- [5] F. Delduc and L. Gallot, $N = 2$ KP and KdV hierarchies in extended superspace, Commun. Math. Phys. 190 (1997) 395, solv-int/9609008.
- [6] E. Ivanov and S. Krivonos, New integrable extensions of $N = 2$ KdV and Boussinesq hierarchies, Phys. Lett. A 231 (1997) 75, hep-th/9609191.
- [7] A. N. Leznov and A. S. Sorin, Two-dimensional superintegrable mappings and integrable hierarchies in the $(2|2)$ superspace, Phys. Lett. B 389 (1996) 494, hep-th/9608166;

Integrable mappings and hierarchies in the $(2|2)$ superspace,
Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B 56 (1997) 258.

- [8] O. Lechtenfeld and A. Sorin, Fermionic flows and tau function of the $N = (1|1)$ superconformal Toda lattice hierarchy, Nucl. Phys. B 557 (1999) 535, solv-int/9810009.
- [9] B. Fuchssteiner and A. S. Fokas, Symplectic structures, their Backlund transformations and hereditary symmetries, Physica D 4 (1981) 47.
- [10] K. Schoutens, $O(N)$ -extended superconformal field theory in superspace, Nucl. Phys. B 295 (1988) 634.
- [11] A. Sevrin, W. Troost and A. Van Proeyen, Superconformal algebras in two dimensions with $N = 4$, Phys. Lett. B 208 (1988) 447.
- [12] S. J. Gates, Jr., M. T. Grisaru, M. Rocek and W. Siegel, Superspace or one thousand and one lessons in supersymmetry, the Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Inc, 1983.
- [13] P. West, Introduction to supersymmetry and supergravity, extended second edition, World Scientific, 1990.
- [14] A. Sorin, The discrete symmetry of the $N = 2$ supersymmetric modified NLS hierarchy, Phys. Lett. B 395 (1997) 218, hep-th/9611148; Discrete symmetries of the $N = 2$ supersymmetric Generalized Nonlinear Schroedinger hierarchies, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 61 (1998) 1768, solv-int/9701020.
- [15] J. Evans, T. Hollowood, Supersymmetric Toda field theories, Nucl. Phys. B 352 (1991) 723.
- [16] V. B. Derjagin, A. N. Leznov and A. Sorin, The solution of the $N = (0|2)$ superconformal f-Toda lattice, Nucl. Phys. B 527 (1998) 643, solv-int/9803010.
- [17] S. Kivonon and A. Sorin, Extended $N = 2$ supersymmetric matrix $(1,s)$ -KdV hierarchies, Phys. Lett. A 251 (1999) 109, solv-int/9712002.
- [18] F. Delduc and L. Gallot, A note on the third family of $N = 2$ supersymmetric KdV hierarchies, J. of Nonlinear Math. Phys. 6 (1999) 1, solv-int/9901011.