

On generalized Lotka-Volterra lattices

A. Dimakis¹ and F. Müller-Hoissen²

¹ Dept. Financial and Management Engineering, Aegean University, GR-82100 Chios

² Max-Planck-Institut für Strömungsforschung, Bunsenstrasse 10, D-37073 Göttingen

Abstract

Generalized matrix Lotka-Volterra lattice equations are obtained in a systematic way from a “master equation” possessing a bicomplex formulation.

1 Introduction

A *bicomplex* is an \mathbb{N}_0 -graded linear space (over \mathbb{R}) $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{M}^s$ together with two linear maps $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D} : \mathcal{M}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{s+1}$ satisfying $(\mathcal{D} - \lambda \mathcal{D})^2 = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If this holds as a consequence of a certain (partial differential or difference) equation, we speak of a *bicomplex formulation* for this equation [1, 2]. It may be regarded as a special case of a parameter-dependent zero curvature condition. In this work we derive generalized Lotka-Volterra (LV) lattices (see [3, 4, 5, 6, 7], for example) from a “master equation” with a bicomplex formulation. Some consequences of the latter (conservation laws [1], Bäcklund transformations [2]) are briefly discussed. We choose the bicomplex space as $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^0 \otimes \Lambda$ where $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{s=0}^2 \Lambda^s$ is the exterior algebra of a 2-dimensional vector space with a basis ξ, η of Λ^1 . It is sufficient to define bicomplex maps on \mathcal{M}^0 , since by linearity and action on the coefficients of monomials in ξ, η they extend to the whole of \mathcal{M} (cf [1, 2]). On \mathcal{M}^0 we define

$$\delta z = (z - S^{q-p}z) \xi + \dot{z} \eta, \quad dz = (S^q z - z) \xi + (S^p z - z) \eta \quad (1)$$

where $\dot{z} = dz/dt$, S is an invertible linear operator on \mathcal{M}^0 with $\dot{S} = 0$, and $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. The bicomplex equations for (\mathcal{M}, δ, d) are then identically satisfied. In the following, the maps δ and d will be “dressed”, resulting in nontrivial bicomplexes.

2 A class of generalized Lotka-Volterra equations and its properties

2.1 A bicomplex for generalized LV equations

Let us replace d by a map D which acts as follows on \mathcal{M}^0 ,

$$Dz = (Q S^q z - z) \xi + (P S^p z - z) \eta \quad (2)$$

where Q and P are linear operators on \mathcal{M}^0 . Then (\mathcal{M}, δ, D) is a bicomplex iff

$$Q S^q P S^p = P S^p Q S^q, \quad \dot{Q} S^q = P S^q - S^{q-p} P S^p. \quad (3)$$

Choosing \mathcal{M}^0 as the set of \mathbb{C}^m -valued functions (on an infinite lattice) $z_n(t)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $(S z)_n = z_{n+1}$, $(Q z)_n = Q_n z_n$, $(P z)_n = P_n z_n$, (3) becomes

$$Q_n P_{n+q} = P_n Q_{n+p}, \quad \dot{Q}_n = P_n - P_{n+q-p}. \quad (4)$$

The ansatz

$$Q_n = g_n^{-1} g_{n+q}, \quad P_n = g_n^{-1} g_{n+p} \quad (5)$$

with invertible matrices g_n now solves the first equation and turns the second into

$$(g_n^{-1} g_{n+q})^\bullet = g_n^{-1} g_{n+p} - g_{n+q-p}^{-1} g_{n+q}. \quad (6)$$

In the following we exclude the trivial cases where $p = 0$ or $q = 0$. If p and q are not coprime, let s denote their greatest common divisor, so that $p = sp'$ and $q = sq'$ where p', q' are coprime. The above equation then decomposes into independent equivalent equations on s sublattices. Therefore we restrict q and p to be coprime. Since $\{p, q, n, g_n\} \mapsto \{-p, -q, -n, g_{-n}\}$ is a symmetry of (6), it is sufficient to consider $q > 0$. Generalized LV equations are now obtained as follows. Introducing

$$V_n := g_n^{-1} g_{n+1} \quad (7)$$

equation (6) takes the form

$$(V_n \cdots V_{n+q-1})^\bullet = \begin{cases} V_n \cdots V_{n+p-1} - V_{n+q-p} \cdots V_{n+q-1} & \text{if } p > 0 \\ V_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots V_{n+p}^{-1} - V_{n+q-p-1}^{-1} \cdots V_{n+q}^{-1} & \text{if } p < 0 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

In the case where V_n are scalars, we write a_n instead of V_n and the last two equations turn out to be the “extended Lotka-Volterra equations” studied in [3, 6]. For $q = 1$, they reduce to $\dot{a}_n = a_n (a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+p-1} - a_{n-p+1} \cdots a_{n-1})$ and $\dot{a}_n = a_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots a_{n+p}^{-1} - a_{n+1}^{-1} \cdots a_{n-p}^{-1}$, respectively, which have been explored in particular in [5, 7].

Remark. In case of a finite open lattice of N points, choose $S = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} E_{i,i+1}$ where $E_{i,j}$ are the elementary matrices with a 1 at the i th row and j th column and otherwise zeros, and define S^{-1} as the transpose of S . Since $[S, S^T] \neq 0$, (1) does not yield a bicomplex. But after dressing, a bicomplex (\mathcal{M}, δ, D) for the finite open LV equation is obtained. For a periodic lattice choose $S = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} E_{i,i+1} + E_{N,1}$. ■

2.2 The bicomplex linear system and conservation laws

The *bicomplex linear system* [1] for the bicomplex introduced above is $\delta\chi = \lambda D\chi$ with an $m \times m$ matrix field χ . The integrability condition $\delta D\chi = 0$ leads to

$$(Q_n \chi_{n+q} - \chi_n)^\cdot = P_n \chi_{n+p} - \chi_n - (P_{n+q-p} \chi_{n+q} - \chi_{n+q-p}) \quad (9)$$

which has the form of a conservation law. As a consequence,

$$\mathcal{Q}_i = \lambda \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (Q_{i+k(q-p)} \chi_{i+q+k(q-p)} - \chi_{i+k(q-p)}) \quad (10)$$

where $i = 0, 1, \dots, |q-p|-1$, are conserved charges, i.e. $\dot{\mathcal{Q}}_i = 0$. The linear system reads $\chi_n - \chi_{n+q-p} = \lambda (Q_n \chi_{n+q} - \chi_n)$, $\dot{\chi}_n = \lambda (P_n \chi_{n+p} - \chi_n)$. These equations can be solved iteratively with a power series expansion $\chi = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \lambda^r \chi^{(r)}$. Starting with $\chi^{(0)} = I$, the unit matrix, we find the first set of conserved quantities

$$\mathcal{Q}_i^{(1)} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (Q_{i+k(q-p)} - I). \quad (11)$$

Solving the linear system for $\chi^{(1)}$ leads to $\chi_n^{(1)} - \chi_{n+q-p}^{(1)} = Q_n - I$ and thus

$$\chi_n^{(1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (I - Q_{n-j(q-p)}) \quad (12)$$

with the help of which one obtains the second set of conserved charges,

$$\mathcal{Q}_i^{(2)} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(Q_{i+k(q-p)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (I - Q_{i+q+(k-j)(q-p)}) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (I - Q_{i+(k-j)(q-p)}) \right) \quad (13)$$

and so forth. Expressing Q_n in terms of V_n via (5) and (7), conserved charges are obtained for the LV equations (8).

2.3 Bäcklund transformations

A “Darboux-Bäcklund transformation” (DBT) of a bicomplex leads to a Bäcklund transformation (BT) of the associated equation [2]. In order to determine a (primary) auto-DBT of the bicomplex associated with (6), one has to find an operator R_{21} on \mathcal{M}^0 which solves the equations $[\delta, R_{21}] = D_2 - D_1$ and $D_2 R_{21} = R_{21} D_1$ where D_1, D_2 are the bicomplex map D depending on solutions g_1 and g_2 of (6), respectively. With $R_{21} = r S^p$, where r is a function, this leads to

$$r_n - r_{n+q-p} = Q_{2,n} - Q_{1,n}, \quad \dot{r}_n = P_{2,n} - P_{1,n} \quad (14)$$

$$Q_{2,n} r_{n+q} = r_n Q_{1,n+p}, \quad P_{2,n} r_{n+p} = r_n P_{1,n+p}. \quad (15)$$

Using (5), (15) becomes $A_{n+q} = A_n = A_{n+p}$ where $A_n = g_{2,n} r_n g_{1,n+p}^{-1}$. Since p, q are relatively prime, according to the Euclidean algorithm there are integers k, l such that $kq - lp = 1$. Hence $A_{n+1} = A_{n+1+lp} = A_{n+kq} = A_n$ and thus

$$r_n = g_{2,n}^{-1} A(t) g_{1,n+p} \quad (16)$$

with an arbitrary matrix A depending on t only. (14) now produces a BT:

$$g_{2,n}^{-1} A g_{1,n+p} - g_{2,n+q-p}^{-1} A g_{1,n+q} = g_{2,n}^{-1} g_{2,n+q} - g_{1,n}^{-1} g_{1,n+q} \quad (17)$$

$$(g_{2,n}^{-1} A g_{1,n+p})^\bullet = g_{2,n}^{-1} g_{2,n+p} - g_{1,n}^{-1} g_{1,n+p}. \quad (18)$$

In the case under consideration, the “permutability theorem” takes the form $R_{31} + R_{10} = R_{32} + R_{20}$ (see [2] for details). This leads to the superposition formula

$$g_{3,n} = (A_1 g_{2,n+p} - A_2 g_{1,n+p}) g_{0,n+p}^{-1} (g_{1,n}^{-1} A_1 - g_{2,n}^{-1} A_2)^{-1}. \quad (19)$$

In the scalar case, the above BT can be transformed into Hirota’s bilinear form [2]. BTs of the scalar generalized LV equations have been derived previously in [6].

2.4 Another class of generalized LV equations

Instead of d , now we dress the operator δ of the trivial bicomplex (\mathcal{M}, d, δ) :

$$\mathcal{D}z = (z - LS^{q-p}z)\xi + (\dot{z} - Mz)\eta \quad (20)$$

with linear operators L and M . The bicomplex conditions for $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}, d)$ are

$$S^q M - MS^q = S^p LS^{q-p} - LS^q, \quad \dot{L}S^{q-p} = MLS^{q-p} - LS^{q-p}M. \quad (21)$$

Choosing $(Sz)_n = z_{n+1}$, $(Lz)_n = L_n z_n$ and $(Mz)_n = M_n z_n$, this takes the form

$$M_{n+q} - M_n = L_{n+p} - L_n, \quad \dot{L}_n = M_n L_n - L_n M_{n+q-p}. \quad (22)$$

Remark. $L_n = g_{n+p} g_{n+q}^{-1}$ and $M_n = \dot{g}_{n+p} g_{n+q}^{-1}$ solve (22b) and (22a) becomes (6), so that the bicomplex conditions for (\mathcal{M}, δ, D) and $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}, d)$ coincide. Then $\mathcal{D} = g \delta g^{-1}$ and $d = g D g^{-1}$, so that the two bicomplexes are gauge equivalent. ■

The first equation of (22) can be solved by setting

$$M_n = G_{n+p} - G_n, \quad L_n = G_{n+q} - G_n \quad (23)$$

with matrices $G_n(t)$. The second equation then reads

$$(G_{n+q} - G_n)^\bullet = (G_{n+p} - G_n)(G_{n+q} - G_n) - (G_{n+q} - G_n)(G_{n+q} - G_{n+q-p}). \quad (24)$$

Again we should restrict p, q to be coprime and different from zero. Since the map $\{p, q, n, G_n\} \mapsto \{-p, -q, -n, G_{-n}\}$ is a symmetry of (24), it is sufficient to consider $q > 0$. Moreover, the substitution $p = q - p'$ turns (24) with $p < 0$ into the same equation where G_n is replaced by G_n^T and p replaced by p' . Since $p' > q$, we thus only need to consider $p, q > 0$. Introducing $W_n := G_{n+1} - G_n$ we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} W_{n+i} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} W_{n+j} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} W_{n+i} - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} W_{n+i} \right) \sum_{j=1-q}^{p-q} W_{n-j}. \quad (25)$$

The scalar case with $q = 1$ and $p > 1$ has been studied by various authors [4, 5, 7].

3 Discrete time generalized Lotka-Volterra equations

A. In order to obtain discrete time counterparts (see [7, 8]) of the generalized LV equations in section 2, we simply have to replace the trivial bicomplex (\mathcal{M}, δ, d) introduced above by a time-discretized version:

$$\delta z = (z - TS^{q-p}z)\xi + h^{-1}(Tz - z)\eta, \quad dz = (S^q z - z)\xi + (S^p z - z)\eta \quad (26)$$

where \mathcal{M}^0 is now chosen as the set of \mathbb{C}^m -valued functions $z_n(t)$ depending on two discrete variables $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \in h\mathbb{Z}$ with $h \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. The operators S and T act on \mathcal{M}^0 according to $(Sz)_n(t) = z_{n+1}(t)$ and $(Tz)_n(t) = z_n(t+h) =: \tilde{z}_n(t)$. Next we dress d as in (2) with $(Qz)_n = Q_n z_n$ and $(Pz)_n = P_n z_n$. The resulting bicomplex equations are

$$Q_n P_{n+q} = P_n Q_{n+p}, \quad \tilde{Q}_n - Q_n = h(P_n - \tilde{P}_{n+q-p}). \quad (27)$$

The ansatz (5) solves the first equation and turns the second into

$$\tilde{g}_n^{-1} \tilde{g}_{n+q} - g_n^{-1} g_{n+q} = h(g_n^{-1} g_{n+p} - \tilde{g}_{n+q-p}^{-1} \tilde{g}_{n+q}). \quad (28)$$

Again, we may restrict to coprime p, q and $q > 0$. Using (7), we obtain

$$(I + h \tilde{V}_{n+q-p} \cdots \tilde{V}_{n-1}) \tilde{V}_n \cdots \tilde{V}_{n+q-1} = V_n \cdots V_{n+q-1} (I + h V_{n+q} \cdots V_{n+p-1}) \quad (29)$$

if $p > q$. In the scalar case with $q = 1$ we recover equation (3.5) in [8]:

$$\tilde{a}_n \left(1 + h \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \tilde{a}_{n-i} \right) = a_n \left(1 + h \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} a_{n+i} \right). \quad (30)$$

If $0 < p < q$, (28) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{V}_n \cdots \tilde{V}_{n+q-p-1} + h I) \tilde{V}_{n+q-p} \cdots \tilde{V}_{n+q-1} \\ = V_n \cdots V_{n+p-1} (V_{n+p} \cdots V_{n+q-1} + h I) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

which can be mapped to (29) via a redefinition $T \mapsto TS^{p-q}$ (so that $\tilde{V}_n(t) = V_{n+p-q}(t+h)$) and a subsequent replacement $(h, p, q) \mapsto (h^{-1}, q, p)$. Finally, if $p = -r < 0$, equation (28) takes the form

$$\tilde{g}_n^{-1} \tilde{g}_{n+q} - g_n^{-1} g_{n+q} = h[(g_{n-r}^{-1} g_n)^{-1} - (\tilde{g}_{n+q}^{-1} \tilde{g}_{n+q+r})^{-1}] \quad (32)$$

which in terms of V_n reads

$$(I + h \tilde{V}_{n+q+r-1}^{-1} \cdots \tilde{V}_n^{-1}) \tilde{V}_n \cdots \tilde{V}_{n+q-1} = V_n \cdots V_{n+q-1} (I + h V_{n+q-1}^{-1} \cdots V_{n-r}^{-1}). \quad (33)$$

In the scalar case with $q = 1$ this reduces to

$$\tilde{a}_n \left(1 + h \prod_{i=0}^r \tilde{a}_{n+i}^{-1} \right) = a_n \left(1 + h \prod_{i=0}^r a_{n-i}^{-1} \right) \quad (34)$$

and with a change of variable $v_n = a_{-n}$ we recover equation (3.9) in [8].

B. Let us now consider a dressing of δ (while leaving d unchanged):

$$\mathcal{D}z = (z - L T S^{q-p} z) \xi + h^{-1} (M T z - z) \eta \quad (35)$$

with $(Lz)_n = L_n z_n$ and $(Mz)_n = M_n z_n$. $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}, d)$ is a bicomplex if and only if

$$L_n \tilde{M}_{n+q-p} = M_n \tilde{L}_n, \quad L_n - L_{n+p} = h^{-1} (M_{n+q} - M_n). \quad (36)$$

Remark. Writing $L_n(t) = g_{n+p}(t-h) g_{n+q}(t)^{-1}$ and $M_n(t) = g_{n+p}(t-h) \tilde{g}_{n+p}(t)^{-1}$, the two bicomplexes (\mathcal{M}, δ, D) and $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}, d)$ are gauge equivalent. \blacksquare

The ansatz $L_n = G_n - G_{n+q}$, $M_n = I + h(G_{n+p} - G_n)$ solves (36b) and yields the correct $h \rightarrow 0$ limit for \mathcal{D} . Now (36a) becomes

$$(G_{n+q} - G_n) [I + h(\tilde{G}_{n+q} - \tilde{G}_{n+q-p})] = [I + h(G_{n+p} - G_n)] (\tilde{G}_{n+q} - \tilde{G}_n). \quad (37)$$

Again, we may assume $q > 0$. If $p > 0$, in terms of $W_n = G_{n+1} - G_n$ (37) reads

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} W_{n+i} \right) \left(I + h \sum_{j=1-q}^{p-q} \tilde{W}_{n-j} \right) = \left(I + h \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} W_{n+j} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \tilde{W}_{n+i}. \quad (38)$$

Even for $q = 1$ and $p \geq 1$ and in the scalar case this appears to be a new integrable time-discretization of the corresponding LV equation (which is a special case of (25)). It is different from the discretization (3.1) in [8]. If $p < 0$, (37) is mapped via $(p, q, h, t, G_n) \mapsto (q - p, q, -h, t + h, G_n^T)$ to the above case with positive p .

4 LV equations as reductions of a three-dimensional equation

Another trivial bicomplex (\mathcal{M}, δ, d) is obtained by replacing (26) with

$$\delta z = (z - T K \Lambda^{-1} z) \xi + h^{-1} (T z - z) \eta, \quad dz = (K z - z) \xi + (\Lambda z - z) \eta \quad (39)$$

where $(Tz)_{k,l}(t) = z_{k,l}(t+h)$, $(Kz)_{k,l} = z_{k+1,l}$ and $(\Lambda z)_{k,l} = z_{k,l+1}$ are acting on the set \mathcal{M}^0 of \mathbb{C}^m -valued functions $z_{k,l}(t)$ depending on three discrete variables $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \in h\mathbb{Z}$. The dressing $Dz = (QKz - z) \xi + (P\Lambda z - z) \eta$ with $Q_{k,l} = g_{k,l}^{-1} g_{k+1,l}$ and $P_{k,l} = g_{k,l}^{-1} g_{k,l+1}$ then leads to a bicomplex (\mathcal{M}, δ, D) associated with

$$\tilde{g}_{k,l}^{-1} \tilde{g}_{k+1,l} - g_{k,l}^{-1} g_{k+1,l} = h (g_{k,l}^{-1} g_{k,l+1} - \tilde{g}_{k+1,l-1}^{-1} \tilde{g}_{k+1,l}). \quad (40)$$

In the scalar case, this equation should be equivalent to the discrete Hirota equation (cf [2], section 4.2.5). If all the fields only depend on $n := kq + lp$ with fixed $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we recover equation (28). The continuous time limit of (40) is

$$(g_{k,l}^{-1} g_{k+1,l})^\bullet = g_{k,l}^{-1} g_{k,l+1} - g_{k+1,l-1}^{-1} g_{k+1,l}. \quad (41)$$

References

- [1] A. Dimakis and F. Müller-Hoissen: *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** (2000) 6579
- [2] A. Dimakis and F. Müller-Hoissen: *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** (2001) 9163
- [3] K. Narita: *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **51** (1982) 1682
- [4] Y. Itoh: *Progr. Theor. Phys.* **78** (1987) 507
- [5] O.I. Bogoyavlenskii: *Math. USSR Izvestiya* **31** (1988) 47, **31** (1988) 435, **32** (1989) 245, *Russian Math. Surveys* **46** (1991) 1
- [6] X-B. Hu and R. Bullough: *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30** (1997) 3635
- [7] Y.B. Suris: *Rev. Math. Phys.* **11** (1999) 727
- [8] Y.B. Suris: *J. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996) 3982