# Quantum Graphs: A simple model for Chaotic Scattering

T sam pikos K ottos<sup>1</sup>z and U zy Sm ilansky<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> M ax-P lanck-Institut fur Strom ungsforschung, 37073

Gottingen, Germany,

<sup>2</sup> Departm ent of Physics of C om plex System s, The W eizm ann Institute of Science, 76100 R ehovot, Israel

A bstract. We connect quantum graphs with in nite leads, and turn them to scattering system s. We show that they display all the features which characterize quantum scattering system s with an underlying classical chaotic dynam ics: typical poles, delay time and conductance distributions, Ericson uctuations, and when considered statistically, the ensemble of scattering matrices reproduce quite well the predictions of appropriately de ned R andom Matrix ensembles. The underlying classical dynam ics can be de ned, and it provides in portant parameters which are needed for the quantum theory. In particular, we derive exact expressions for the scattering matrix, and an exact trace form ula for the density of resonances, in term s of classical orbits, analogous to the semiclassical theory of chaotic scattering. We use this in order to investigate the origin of the connection between R andom Matrix Theory and the underlying classical chaotic dynam ics. Being an exact theory, and due to its relative sim plicity, it o ers new insights into this problem which is at the fore-front of the research in chaotic scattering and related elds.

submitted to J. Phys. A Special Issue { Random Matrix Theory

z corresponding author: tsam p@ chaos.gw dg.de

#### 1. Introduction

Q uantum graphs of one-dimensional wires connected at nodes were introduced already more than half a century ago to model physical systems. Depending on the envisaged application the precise formulation of the models can be quite diverse and ranges from solid-state applications to mathematical physics [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. Lately, quantum graphs attracted also the interest of the quantum chaos community because they can be viewed as typical and yet relatively simple examples for the large class of systems in which classically chaotic dynamics in plies universal correlations in the semiclassical limit [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. Up to now we have only a limited understanding of the reasons for this universality, and quantum graph models provide a valuable opportunity form athematically rigorous investigations of the phenomenon. In particular, for quantum graphs an exact trace form ula exists [12, 13, 14] which is based on the periodic orbits of a mixing classical dynamical system. Moreover, it is possible to express two-point spectral correlation functions in terms of purely combinatorial problems [16, 17, 18, 19].

By attaching in nite leads at the vertices, we get non-com pact graphs, for which a scattering theory can be constructed [22, 23, 24]. They display many of the feature that characterize scattering systems with an underlying chaotic classical dynamics [25, 26, 27, 28, 29], and they are the subject of the present paper. The quantum scattering matrix for such problems can be written explicitly, together with a trace form ula for the density of its resonances. These expressions are the analogues of the corresponding sem iclassical approximations available in the theory of chaotic scattering [25, 26, 27], albeit here they are exact. With these tools we analyze the distribution of resonances, partial delay times, and the statistics of the uctuating scattering amplitudes and cross sections. Moreover, we address issues like the statistical properties of the scattering matrix. A main part of our analysis will be focused on the com parison of the statistical properties of the above quantities with the R andom Matrix Theory (RMT) predictions [30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40].

The paper is structured in the follow ing way. In section (2), them athem aticalm odel is introduced and them aim de nitions are given. Section (3) is devoted to the derivation of the scattering matrix for graphs. The trace formula for the density of resonances of quantum graphs is presented in section (4) which includes also the analysis of the underlying classical system. The next section, is dedicated to the analysis of various statistical properties of the S-m atrix. O ur num erical data are compared both with the predictions of RM T and with the sem iclassical expectations. Finally, in section (6), we analyze the class of star-graphs for which several results can be analytically derived. O ur conclusions are sum marized in section (7).

## 2. Quantum Graphs: De nitions

We start by considering a compact graph G. It consists of V vertices connected by B bonds. The number of bonds which emanate from each vertex ide nest he valency  $v_i$ of the corresponding vertex (for simplicity we will allow only a single bond between any two vertices). The graph is called v regular if all the vertices have the same  $\Pr_{i}$ valency v. The total number of bonds is  $B = \frac{1}{2} \int_{i=1}^{P} v_i$ . A speciated to every graph is its connectivity matrix C . It is a square matrix of size V whose matrix elements C in take the values 1 if the vertices i; j are connected with a bond, or 0 otherwise. The bond connecting the vertices i and j is denoted by b (i; j), and we use the convention that i < j. It will be som etim es convenient to use the \tim e reversed" notation, where the rst index is the larger, and  $\hat{b}$  $(j; i) w \pm j > i. W = shall also use the directed bonds$ representation, in which b and  $\hat{b}$  are distinguished as two directed bonds conjugated by tim e-reversal. We associate the natural metric to the bonds, so that  $x_{i;i}$  ( $x_{j;i}$ ) measures the distance from the vertex i (j) along the bond. The length of the bonds are denoted by L<sub>b</sub> and we shall henceforth assume that they are rationally independent. The mean  $(1=B)^{-B}_{b=1}L_{b}$  and in all num erical calculations below it will length is de ned by hLi be taken to be 1. In the directed-bond notation  $L_b = L_b$ .

The scattering graph G is obtained by adding leads which extend from M ( V) vertices to in nity. For simplicity we connect at most one lead to any vertex. The valency of these vertices increases to  $v_i = v_i + 1$ . The M leads are denoted by the index i of the vertex to which they are attached while  $x_i$  now measures the distance from the vertex along the lead i.

The Schrödinger operator (with  $\sim = 2m = 1$ ) is defined on the graph G in the following way: On the bonds b, the components  $_{\rm b}$  of the total wave function are solutions of the one-dimensional equation

$$\frac{d}{dx} = A_{b} = b(x) = k^{2} b(x); \quad b = (i;j) \quad (1)$$

where  $A_b$  (with  $\langle e(A_b) \notin 0$  and  $A_b = A_b$ ) is a \magnetic vector potential" which breaks the time reversal symmetry. In most applications we shall assume that all the  $A_b$ 's are equal and the bond index will be dropped. The components of the wave functions on the leads, i(x), are solutions of

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} i(x) = k^2 i(x); \qquad i = 1; ...; M :$$
 (2)

At the vertices, the wavefunction satis as boundary conditions which ensure current conservation. To implement the boundary conditions, the components of the wave function on each of the bonds b and the leads i are expressed in terms of counter propagating waves with a wave-vector k:

On the bonds: 
$$_{b} = a_{b}e^{i(k+A_{b})x_{b}} + q_{b}e^{i(k+A_{b})x_{b}}$$
  
On the leads :  $_{i} = I_{i}e^{-ikx_{i}} + O_{i}e^{ikx_{i}}$ : (3)

The amplitudes  $a_b; c_b$  on the bonds and  $I_i; O_i$  on the lead are related by

These equalities in pose the boundary conditions at the vertices. The vertex scattering matrices  ${}^{(i)}_{j;j^0}$ , are  $v_i \quad v_i$  unitary symmetric matrices, and  $j; j^0$  go over all the  $v_i$  bonds and the lead which emanate from i. The unitarity of  ${}^{(i)}$  guarantees current conservation at each vertex.

On the right hand side of (4), the vertex scattering matrix <sup>(i)</sup> was written explicitly in terms of the vertex rejection amplitude <sup>(i)</sup>, the lead-bond transmission amplitudes f  $_{j}^{(i)}$ g, and the  $v_i$  y bond-bond transition matrix  $\sim_{j;j^0}^{(i)}$ , which is sub unitary (jdet  $\sim^{(i)} j < 1$ ), due to the coupling to the leads.

G raphs for which there are no further requirements on the <sup>(i)</sup> shall be referred to as generic. It is often convenient to compute the vertex scattering matrix from a requirement that the wave function is continuous and satis es N eum ann boundary conditions at that vertex. These graphs shall be referred to as N eum ann graphs, and the resulting  $\sim$ <sup>(i)</sup> matrices read:

$$\sim_{j;j^0}^{(i)} = \frac{2}{v}$$
  $_{j;j^0};$   $_{j}^{(i)} = \frac{2}{v};$   $_{j}^{(i)} = \frac{2}{v}$  1: (5)

Vertices which are not coupled to leads have i = 1; j = 0, while the bond-bond transition matrix  $\sim_{j;j^0}^{(i)} = \frac{2}{v}$   $j;j^0$  is unitary.

## 3. The S-m atrix for Quantum Graphs

It is convenient to discuss rst graphs with leads connected to all the vertices M = V. The generalization to an arbitrarily number M V of leads (channels) is straightforward and will be presented at the end of this section.

To derive the scattering matrix, we set write the bond wave functions using the two representations which are conjugated by \time reversal":

Hence,

$$q_{b} = a_{b}e^{i(k+A_{b})L_{b}}; \quad a_{b} = c_{b}e^{i(k+A_{b})L_{b}}; \quad (7)$$

In other words, but for a phase factor, the outgoing wave from the vertex i in the direction j is identical to the incoming wave at j coming from i.

Quantum Graphs: A simple model for Chaotic Scattering

Substituting  $a_b$  from Eq. (7) in Eq. (4), and solving for  $c_{i;j}$  we get

$$C_{i;j^{0}} = \begin{bmatrix} X & & & & \\ & 1 & S_{B}^{'}(k;A) & & \\ & & & \\ r_{i;s} & & & \\ O_{i} & = & \begin{bmatrix} (i) \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{i} + & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix}^{0} C_{ij^{0}}$$
(8)

where 1 is the 2B 2B unit matrix. Here, the \bond scattering matrix"  $S_b$  is a subunitary matrix in the 2B dimensional space of directed bonds which propagates the wavefunctions. It is de ned as  $S_B$  (k;A) = D (k;A)R, with

$$D_{ij;i^{0}j^{0}}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{A}) = _{i;i^{0}}_{j;j^{0}}e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{L}_{ij}+i\mathbf{A}_{i;j}\mathbf{L}_{ij}}$$

$$\mathbf{\tilde{R}}_{ji;nm} = _{n;i}C_{j;i}C_{i;m} \sim_{ji;m}^{(i)} :$$
(9)

D (k;A) is a diagonal unitary matrix which depends only on the metric properties of the graph, and provides a phase which is due to free propagation on the bonds. The sub-unitary matrix  $\tilde{R}$  depends on the connectivity and on the bond-bond transition matrices ~. It assigns a scattering amplitude for transitions between connected directed bonds.  $\tilde{R}$  is sub-unitary, since

$$jdet \mathcal{R}' j = \int_{i=1}^{W} jdet \sim (i) j < 1:$$
(10)

Replacing  $c_{i;j^0}$  in the second of Eqs. (8) we get the following relation between the outgoing and incoming am plitudes  $O_i$  and  $I_j$  on the leads:

$$O_{i} = {}^{(i)}I_{i} + {}^{(i)}_{j^{0}jrs} 1 \qquad S_{B} (k;A) {}^{1}_{(i;r);(s;j)} D_{(s;j)} {}^{(j)}_{s}I_{j}$$
(11)

Combining (11) for all leads i = 1; ...; V, we obtain the unitary V = V scattering matrix  $S^{(V)}$ ,

$$S_{i;j}^{(V)} = \sum_{i;j}^{(i)} + \sum_{r}^{(i)} 1 S_{B}(k;A) \frac{1}{\sum_{(i,r);(s;j)} D_{(s;j)} s}$$
(12)

From Eq. (12), we see that the scattering matrix may be decomposed into two parts  $S^{(V)}(k) = S^{D} + S^{fl}(k)$  which are associated with two well separated time scales of the scattering process.  $S^{D} (= _{irj} ^{i})$  is the prompt rejection at the entrance vertex and induces a \direct" component. In general, it varies very slow by with energy, and is system dependent. On the other hand the \dhaotic" component of the S matrix,  $S^{fl}(k)$ , starts by a transmission from the incoming lead i to the bonds (i;r) with transmission amplitudes  $r_{rs}^{(i)}$ . The wave gains a phase  $e^{i(k+A_{D})L_{D}}$  for each bond it traverses and a scattering amplitude  $\sim_{rrs}^{(i)}$  at each vertex. This multiple scattering inside the interaction region becomes apparent when the expansion

$$(1 \quad S_{B}(k;A))^{-1} = \int_{n=0}^{X} S_{B}^{n}(k;A)$$
(13)

is substituted in (12). Eventually the wave is transmitted from the bond (s; j) to the lead j with an amplitude  $s^{(j)}$ . Explicitly,

$$S_{i;j}^{(V)} = \sum_{i;j}^{(i)} + \sum_{\substack{t \ge T_{i!,j}}}^{X} B_t e^{i(k \cdot l_t + t)}$$
(14)

where  $T_{i! j}$  is the set of the trajectories on G which lead from i to j.  $B_{p}$  is the amplitude corresponding to a path twhose length and directed length are  $l_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} P \\ b_{2t} L_{b} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $t = \begin{bmatrix} P \\ b_{2t} L_{b} \end{bmatrix}$  respectively. Thus the scattering amplitude  $S_{i;j}^{(V)}$  is a sum of a large number of partial amplitudes, whose complex interference brings about the typical irregular uctuations of  $\beta_{i;j}^{(V)}$  as a function of k.

O ne of the basic concepts in the quantum theory of scattering are the resonances. They represent long-lived interm ediate states to which bound states of a closed system are converted due to coupling to continua. On a form allevel, resonances show up as poles of the scattering matrix  $S^{(M)}$  occurring at complex wave-numbers  $_{n} = k_{n} - \frac{i}{2} n$ , where  $k_{n}$  and  $_{n}$  are the position and the width of the resonances, respectively. From (12) it follows that the resonances are the complex zeros of

$$_{G}() = \det 1 \quad S_{B}(;A) = 0:$$
 (15)

The eigenvalues of  $S_B$  are in the unit circle, and therefore the resonances appear in the lower half of the complex plane. Moreover from Eq. (15) it is clear that their form ation is closely related to the internal dynam ics inside the scattering region which is governed by  $S_B$ .

There exists an intim ate link between the scattering matrix and the spectrum of the corresponding closed graph. It manifests the exterior -interior duality [41] for graphs. The spectrum of the closed graph is the set of wave-numbers for which S<sup>(V)</sup> has + 1 as an eigenvalue. This corresponds to a solution where no currents ow in the leads so that the conservation of current is satis ed on the internal bonds. 1 is in the spectrum of S<sup>(V)</sup> if

$$_{\rm G}$$
 (k) = det 1  ${\rm S}^{(V)}$  (k) = 0 : (16)

Eq. (16) can be transform ed in an alternative form

$${}_{G}(k) = \det[1 \qquad \frac{\det[1 \quad D(k)R]}{\frac{1}{2}} = 0 ; R_{i;r;s;j} = R_{i;r;s;j} + \frac{i}{r;s} \frac{i}{\frac{j}{1}} : (17)$$

which is satis ed once

$$det[1 D(k)R] = 0: (18)$$

In contrast to  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  is a unitary matrix in the space of directed bonds, and therefore the spectrum is real. (18) is the secular equation for the spectrum of the compact part of the graph, and it was derived in a di erent way in [13].

The di erence R = R R gets sm aller as larger graphs are considered (for graphs with Neum ann boundary conditions it is easy to see that the di erence is of order  $\frac{1}{v}$ ). That is, the leads are weekly coupled to the compact part of the graph, and one can use



Figure 1. Poles of the S  $^{(V)}$ -m atrix for regular N eum ann graphs. The exact evaluated poles are indicated with () while (?) are the results of the perturbation theory (20): (a) V = 5 and v = 4 and (b) V = 15 and v = 14.

perturbation theory for the computation of the resonance parameters. To lowest order, (R = 0), the resonances coincide with the spectrum of the compact graph. Let  $k_n$  be in the spectrum . Hence, there exists a vector jni which satis es the equation

$$D (k_n) R \dot{n} = 1 \dot{n} :$$
 (19)

To rst order in R, the resonances acquire a width

$$n = \frac{\ln \mathcal{D}(k_n) R \dot{n}i}{\ln \dot{L} \dot{n}i}$$
(20)

To check the usefulness of this result, we searched num erically for the true poles for a few scattering graphs and compared them with the approximation (20). In gure 1 we show the comparison for fully connected Neum ann graphs with V = 5;15 and A = 0. As expected the agreem ent between the exact poles and the perturbative results in proves as v increases.

We nally comment that the formalism above can be easily modied for graphs where not all the vertices are attached to leads. If the vertex l is not attached, one has to set  $^{(1)} = 1$ ;  $^{(1)}_{j} = 0$  in the de nition of  $^{(1)}$ . The dimension of the scattering matrix is then changed accordingly.

For the sake of completeness we quote here an alternative expression for the S matrix which applies for Neumann graphs, exclusively [14]. For this purpose we de ne the V V matrix

$$h_{i;j}(k;A) = \begin{cases} & P \\ \gtrless & m \in i \\ & m \in i \\ & & \\ &$$

in term s of which,

$$S^{(V)} = (i1 + h(k))^{-1}(i1 - h(k))$$
 (22)

where 1 is the V V unit matrix.  $S^{(V)}$  is unitary since h(k) is herm it ian.

In the case of graphs connected to leads at an arbitrary set of M < V vertices with indices fig; l = 1; ; M, the M M scattering m at M is to be m odied in the following way

$$S^{(M)} = 2iW \quad h(k) + iW^{T}W^{-1}W^{T} \quad 1:$$
(23)

Here W  $_{i_1;j} = _{i_1;j}$  is the M V leads -vertices coupling matrix, (W = 1 when M = V). This form of the S matrix is rem in iscent of the expression which was introduced by W eidenmuller to generalize the B reit-W igner theory for many channels and internal states. However, (23) is an exact expression which involves no truncations.

It follows from (23) that in the present case, one can identify the poles of the S matrix with the zeros of

$$_{C}() = \det h() + i W^{T} W = 0$$
: (24)

Its m ain advantage over (15) is that it involves a determ inant of a m atrix of m uch low er dim ension.

#### 4. The trace form ula for resonances and classical scattering on graphs

In the spectral theory of bounded ham iltonian systems, the most fundamental object of study is the spectral density which consists of a sum of functions at the spectral points. For open systems, it is replaced by the resonance density, which is dened on the realk line and consists of an in nite sum of Lorentzians which are centered at  $k_n = \langle e_n \rangle$  and have width  $n = 2 = m_n$ , where n are the complex poles of the scattering matrix. In the present section we shall express the resonance density for scattering graphs in terms of periodic orbits of their compact part. This is the analogue of the trace formula for bounded graphs.

# 4.1. The Trace Form ula

The resonance density  $d_R(k)$  can be deduced from the total phase  $(k) = \frac{1}{3} \ln \det S^{(M)}(k)$  of the scattering matrix [43]:

$$d_{R}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dk} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\theta}{\theta k} \ln \det S^{(M)}(k)$$
: (25)

It is a smooth function for real k, and can be interpreted as the mean length of the delay associated with the scattering at wave-num ber k [26].

U sing Eq. (12) and perform ing standard m anipulations [42], we obtain the following expression for the phase (k)

(k) (0) = 2=m ln det (I 
$$S_B(k;A)$$
) + Lk: (26)  
where L = 2<sup>P</sup>  $_{b=1}^{B}$  L<sub>b</sub> is twice the total length of the bonds of G.

Quantum Graphs: A simple model for Chaotic Scattering

U sing the expansion

$$\ln \det (\mathbf{I} \quad S_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{A})) = \prod_{n=1}^{\mathrm{M}} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} S_{\mathrm{B}}^{n}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{A})$$
(27)

we rewrite Eq. (26) as

$$(k) = (0) + Lk + 2 = m \sum_{n=1}^{X^{i}} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} S_{B}^{n} (k; A):$$
(28)

On the other hand, using Eq. (9) we can write  $trS_B^n$  (k; A) as sum s over n periodic orbits on the graph

$$tr(S_{B}^{n}(k;A)) = \sum_{p^{2P_{n}}}^{X} n_{p} A_{p}^{r} e^{i(l_{p}k+p)r} ;$$
(29)

where the sum is over the set  $P_n$  of primitive periodic orbits whose period  $n_p$  is a divisor of n, with  $r = n = n_p$  (primitive periodic orbits are those which cannot be written as a repetition of a shorter periodic orbit). The amplitudes  $A_p^{c}$  are the products of the bond-bond scattering amplitudes  $\sim_{bb^0}^{(i)}$  along the primitive loops i.e.

$$A_{p}^{r} = \int_{i=1}^{2^{p}} c_{b,b^{0}}^{(i)} :$$
(30)

Substituting Eqs. (28,29) in Eq. (25) one gets the resonance density

$$d_{R}(k) = \frac{1}{2}L + \frac{1}{2} e^{\sum_{n=1}^{k} X} n_{p} l_{p} r A_{p}^{r} e^{i(l_{p}k + p)r}$$
(31)

Eq. (31) is an exact trace formula for the resonance density. The rst term on the right hand side of Eq. (31) corresponds to the smooth resonance density, while the second provides the uctuating part. We notice that the mean resonance spacing is given by

$$= \frac{2}{L} \cdot \frac{2}{2B h L i}$$
(32)

and it is the same as the mean level spacing obtained for the corresponding bounded graph G [13].

#### 4.2. Classical Dynamics

W e conclude this section with the discussion of the classical dynamics on the graph G. A classical particle moves freely as long as it is on a bond. The vertices are singular points, and it is not possible to write down the analogue of the New ton equations there. In [13, 14] it was shown that it is possible to de ne a classical evolution on the graph: A Poincare section on the graph consists of the discrete set of directed bonds. The phase-space density at a (topological) timen is the set of occupation probabilities  $_{\rm b}(n)$ of the directed bonds, and the classical evolution is governed by a M arkovian master equation. Applied to the compact part of a scattering graph it reads,

$$\gamma_{b}(n + 1) = \int_{b^{0}}^{A} U_{b;b^{0}} \gamma_{b^{0}}(n)$$
(33)

Quantum Graphs: A simple model for Chaotic Scattering

where the transition matrix  $\mathbb{U}_{b;b^0}$  is given by the corresponding quantum transition probability

$$\mathbf{\tilde{U}}_{ij;nm} = \mathbf{\tilde{R}}_{ij;nm}^{2} = j_{;n} j_{ij;jm}^{(j)} \mathbf{\hat{f}}:$$
(34)

Notice that  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{T}}$  does not involve any metric information on the graph.

Due to loss of ux to the leads  $U_{bb0} < 1$ , and the phase-space measure is not preserved, but rather, decays in time. The probability to remain on G is

where exp  $( c_1)$  is the largest eigenvalue of the \leaky" evolution operator  $\mathcal{U}_{bb^0}$ .

For the v-regular graph with  $\sim^{(i)}$  given by (5) the spectrum of  $\mathcal{O}$  is restricted to the interior of a circle with radius given by the maximum eigenvalue  $_1 = (v \quad 1)^2 + ^2$  with corresponding eigenvector  $jl > = (1=2B)(1;1; ^T;1)H$  ence the decay rate  $_{cl} = \ln_1$  for regular N eum ann graphs take the sim ple form

$$_{cl} = \ln 1 \, {}^{2} \, (2 = (1 + v))^{2}$$
: (36)

W e notice that rem oving the leads from the vertices and turning G into a compact graph G we get  $_{cl} = 0$  since in this case  $^{(i)} = 0$  (and  $^{(i)} = 1$ ) and the phase-space m easure is preserved as expected.

The inverse decay rate  $T_{cl} = {1 \atop cl}^1$ , gives the average classical delay time that the particle spends within the interaction region. In jecting a particle from the leads to the scattering domain, its probability to be on any bond random izes, because at each vertex a M arkovian choice of one out of v directions is made. The longer a particle remains within the interaction regime, the more scattering events it experiences. The set of trapped trajectories whose occupancy decays exponentially in time is the analogue of the strange repeller in generic H am iltonian systems displaying \chaotic scattering".

## 5. Statistical A nalysis of the S matrix

So far we developed the scattering theory of graphs, pointing out their sim ilarity with scattering systems which display chaotic scattering in the classical limit. Due to the interference of a large number of am plitudes, the S matrix uctuates as a function of k, and its further analysis calls for a statistical approach which will be the subject of the present section. We shall show that quantum graphs possess typical poles, delay time and conductance distributions, Ericson uctuations of the scattering am plitudes, and when considered statistically, the ensemble of scattering matrices are very well reproduced by the predictions of RM T.At the same time deviations from the universal RM T results, which are related to the system – specific properties of some graphs, will be pointed out. The study of these deviations is especially convenient for graphs because of the transparent and simple scattering theory developed in terms of scattering trajectories.



Figure 2. Representative examples of scattering cross sections  $\beta_{i;j}^{(V)} \hat{j}$  for N eum ann graphs in a regime of (a) isolated resonances (V = 15, v = 14 with corresponding h  $i_{k} = 0.59$ ) and (b) overlapping resonances (V = 49, v = 14 with corresponding h  $i_{k}$  ' 2). The real parts of the resonances in this energy interval are indicated by the vertical lines.

An important parameter which is associated with the statistical properties of the S-matrix is the Ericson parameter de ned through the scaled mean resonance width as:

$$h_{i_k} = \frac{h_n i_k}{m}$$
(37)

where h kidenotes spectral averaging and is the mean spacing between resonances. The Ericson parameter determines whether the resonances overlap (h  $i_k > 1$ ) or are isolated (h  $i_k < 1$ ). Typical example for the two extreme situations are shown in gure 2.

The degree of resonance overlap determ ines the statistical properties of the S-matrix. We shall show in the sequel that the mean width can be approximated by the classical decay rate h  $i_k = c_1$ . For the v regular graphs discussed above, we have

$$^{cl} \quad \frac{-cl}{2} \quad \frac{4}{2} \frac{v}{1+v} \frac{V}{1+v}; \tag{38}$$

where we made use of Eqs. (32,36). Thus changing v and V we can control the degree of overlap allowing to test various phenomena.

In what follows, unless explicitly specied, we shall consider regular graphs with one lead attached to each vertex, i.e.  $M = V \cdot F$  in ally, the widths are always scaled by the mean spacing i.e. n - N.

#### 5.1. The resonance width distribution

The resonance width distribution can be computed for a given graph in the following way. Consider the complex = x + iy plane, where the zeros of the secular function

$$_{C}() = \det 1 \quad S_{B}(;A) = f(x;y) + ig(x;y):$$
 (39)

are the poles of the S m atrix (resonances). The variables (x;y) are expressed in units of

. On average, the number of resonances with real part, x 2 [0;X] is X . The density of resonance widths reads

P() = 
$$\lim_{x \neq 1} \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{2} (f(x;y=)) (g(x;y=)) \frac{d_{G}()}{d_{y=}}^{2} dx:$$
 (40)

We used the Cauchy-Riemann theorem for the evaluation of the Jacobian  $(f_x g_y = f_y g_x)$ which multiplies the two functions that locate the complex zeros of <sub>G</sub>. We now recall that the dependence of  $S_B$  (;A) comes from the factors  $e^{i - L_b}$  in (9). This implies that for a given y, <sub>G</sub> is a quasiperiodic function of x. Moreover, expanding the determinant (39) it is not dicult to show that the frequencies involved can be written as linear combinations of the bond lengths =  $q_b L_b$  with integer coe cients  $q_b = 0$ ;1;or 2. Since the bond lengths are rationally independent, we nd that <sub>G</sub> depends on a nite num ber of incom mensurate frequencies. The expression (40) can be regarded as a \time e" integral over a trajectory on a multidimensional incom mensurate torus, which covers the torus ergodically. Hence, the integral can be replaced by a phase space average,

$$P() = \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} \frac{d_{1}}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} \frac{d_{J}}{2} f(\tilde{z}) g(\tilde{z}) \frac{d_{G}(\tilde{z})}{d}^{2}$$
(41)

Here  $\sim$  denotes the vector of independent angles on the J dimensional torus. A lthough the above form ula provides a general framework, its application to actual graphs is a form idable task.

An important feature of the distribution of the resonances in the complex plane can be deduced by studying the secular function  $_{G}()$ . Consider  $_{G}() = 0$ . If one of the eigenvalues of the matrix  $\mathbb{R}$  (9) takes the value 1,  $_{G}(k = 0) = 0$  and because of the quasi-periodicity of  $_{G}$ , its zeros reach any vicinity of the realaxis in nitely many times. The largest eigenvalue of the  $\mathbb{R}$  matrix for v-regular N eum ann graphs is 1, and therefore the distribution of resonance widths is nite in the vicinity of = 0. For generic graphs, the spectrum of  $\mathbb{R}$  is inside a circle of radius  $_{max} < 1$ . This implies that the poles are excluded from a strip just under the real axis, whose width can be estimated by

$$g_{ap} = 2 \ln (j_{max}) = L_{max}$$
(42)

where  $L_{max}$  is the maximum bond length. The existence of a gap is an important feature of the resonance width distribution P () for chaotic scattering system s.

A similar argument was used recently in [23] in order to obtain an upper bound for the resonance widths. It is

m

$$a_{\text{ax}} = 2 \ln (\underline{j}_{\text{m in}}) = L_{\text{m in}}; \qquad (43)$$



Figure 3. (a) The 5000 resonances of a single realization of a complete V = 5 graph with A  $\neq$  0 and M = V. The solid line marks the position of the gap  $_{gap}$ . (b) The distribution of resonance widths P (). The solid line is the RM T prediction (45). The di erence P ()  $P_{CUE}$  () is shown in the inset.

where  $m_{in}$  and  $L_{m_{in}}$  are the minimum eigenvalue and bond length, respectively.

The distribution of the complex poles for a generic fully connected graph with V = 5 is shown in gure 3a. The vertical line which marks the region from which resonances are excluded was computed using (42).

R andom m atrix theory can provide an expression for the distribution of resonances. In the case of non-overlapping resonances, perturbation theory shows that the resonance widths are distributed according to the so-called  $^2$  distribution

$$P() = \frac{(M=2)^{M=2}}{h_{k}} (M=2) \frac{M}{h_{k}} \exp(M=2h_{k}); \qquad (44)$$

where = 1(2) for system s which respect (break) time-reversal symmetry, and (x) is the gam ma-function. Once h i<sub>k</sub> becomes large enough the resonances start to overlap, and (44) does not hold. In the general case, F yodorov and Som mers [36, 37] proved that the distribution of scaled resonance widths for the unitary random matrix ensemble, is given by

$$P() = \frac{(1)^{M}}{(M)} = \frac{d^{M}}{d^{M}} = \frac{d^{M}}{d^{M}} = \frac{g \sinh()}{(1)}$$
(45)

where the parameter  $g = \frac{2}{(1 \text{ hs}^{D} \text{ i}_{k}^{2})}$  1 controls the degree of coupling with the channels (and is assumed that  $g_{i} = g \text{ 8i} = 1$ ; :::M). For g = 1 (i.e. weak coupling regime) Eq. (45) reduces to (44).



Figure 4. Resonance width distribution P () for a complete Neumann graph with M = V = 5 and A  $\stackrel{6}{\bullet} 0$ . The solid line is the RM T prediction (45).

It shows that in the lim it of large number of channels there exist a strip in the complex

plane which is free of resonances. This is in agreement with previous notings [27, 30, 44]. In the case of maximal coupling i.e. g = 1, the power law (46) extends to in nity, leading to divergencies of the various moments of 's. U sing (45) we recover the well known M oblauer-Sim onius relation [30] for the mean resonance width [37]

h 
$$i_{k} = \frac{P_{i=1}^{v} \ln (j_{k}S^{D} i_{k} j)}{2}$$
: (47)

The resonance width distribution for a V = 5 regular and generic graph is shown in gure 3b together with the RMT prediction, which reproduces the num erical distribution quite well. Figure 4 shows a similar comparison for a Neum ann graph. The relatively high abundance of resonances in the vicinity of the real axis conform s with the expectations.

## 5.2. The Form Factor

To investigate further the dynam ical origin of the resonance uctuations, we study the resonance two-point form factor K (t). The main advantage of K (t) is that it allows us to study the resonance \_ uctuations in term s of classical orbits. It is de ned as

$$K_{R}$$
 (t) d  $e^{i2} L^{t}R_{2}$  (): (48)

7

where t m easures lengths in units of the H eisenberg length  $l_{\!H}\,=\,L$  and R  $_2$  ( ) is the excess probability density of nding two resonances at a distance  $\,$  ,

$$R_{2}(;k_{0}) = h\tilde{d}_{R}(k + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{d}_{R}(k - \frac{1}{2})i_{k} = h\frac{1}{2k_{0}}\int_{k_{0}}^{2}d\tilde{d}_{R}(k + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{d}_{R}(k - \frac{1}{2})dki_{k_{0}}$$
 (49)



Figure 5. The form factors  $K_R$  (t) for a complete V = 5 graph, with either generic or N eum ann boundary conditions, A  $\leftarrow 0$  and M = V. The data were averaged over 5000 spectral intervals and sm oothed on sm all t intervals. Upper inset:  $K_R$  (t) for sm all times. Solid line correspond to the num erical data for the pentagon with generic boundary conditions while dashed line is the approximant  $K_R$  (t) K (t)exp(  $_{cl}$ t). Lower inset:  $K_R$  (t) calculated with high resolution. The lengths of periodic orbits of the close graph are indicated by arrow s.

Above h::: $i_{k_0}$  indicate averaging oven a number of spectral intervals of size  $_k = 2k_0$ , centered around  $k_0$ . Here  $d_R^r$  (k) is the oscillatory part of  $d_R$  (k) (see Eq. (31)). Substituting the latter in Eqs. (48,49) we obtain K  $_R$  (t) in terms of periodic orbits and their repetitions

$$K_{R}(t) = \frac{2N}{L^{2}} \sum_{p=r}^{X} l_{p}A_{p}^{r}e^{i(kl_{a}+a)} (t r_{p}=L)^{2}$$
(50)

where  $_{N}(x) = (\sin (N x=2)) = (N x=2)$  and N = -k. A similar sum contributes to the spectral form factor of the compact graph [13, 14]. However, the corresponding amplitudes are dimensional to the fact that  $A_{p}^{r}$  contains also the information about the escape of ux to the leads.

A ssum ing that all periodic orbits decay at the same rate, one would substitute  $A_p^{r}$  with  $A_p \exp(n_{p-cl}=2)$  where  $A_p$  is the weight assign to the periodic orbit of the corresponding close system. Then Eq. (50) takes the following simple form

$$K_{R}$$
 (t) K (t)  $e^{-1t}$ ; (51)

where K (t) is the form factor of the compact system [29]. Notice that for t  $c_{l}^{1}$  the resonance form factor K<sub>R</sub> (t) is equal to K (t). This is reasonable since an open system cannot be distinguished from a closed one during short times. This simple approximation is checked in the inset of gure 5 (see dashed line) and it is shown to

reproduce the num erical data rather well in the dom ain t 5. The asymptotic decay is dom inated by the resonances which are nearest to the gap, and it cannot be captured by the crude argument presented above. For generic graph, K<sub>R</sub> decays exponentially but with a rate given by  $_{as} = _{gap}$  (the best t, indicated in gure 5 by the dashed line, give  $_{as}$  which agrees with  $_{gap}$  within 30%). For the graph with Neumann boundary conditions,  $_{gap} = 0$  and one expects an asymptotic power-law decay. The corresponding best t (see dashed line in gure 5) shows t <sup>2</sup>.

In H am iltonian systems in m ore than one dimension, the size of the spectral interval  $_{k}$  where the spectral average is performed is limited by the requirement that the smooth part of the spectral density is approximately constant. Here instead we can take arbitrarily large spectral intervals since the smooth spectral density is constant [13, 14]. This way, one can reach the domain where the function  $K_{R}$  (t) is composed of arbitrarily sharp spikes which resolve completely the length spectrum for lengths which are both smaller and larger than  $l_{H}$ . In the inset of gure 5 we show the numerical K (t) calculated with high enough resolution. In the same inset we m ark with arrows the location of the lengths of short periodic orbits. We notice that as long as tL is shorter than the length of the shortest periodic orbit, K (t) = 0. W ith increasing t, the periodic orbits becom e exponentially dense and therefore the peaks start to overlap, giving rise to a quasi continuum described approximately by Eq. (51).

## 5.3. Ericson uctuations

A swasmentioned above, the scattering cross sections are dominated by either isolated resonances, or by overlapping resonances whose uctuations follow a typical pattern. These patterns were inst discussed by Ericson [45] in the frame of nuclear physics and were shown to be one of the main attributes of chaotic scattering [26]. The transition between the two regimes is controlled by the Ericson parameter (37). Typical uctuating cross sections are shown in gure 2.

A convenient m easure for Ericson uctuations is the autocorrelation function

$$C(\mathbf{j}) = \frac{1}{j} \int_{j=j_{m} in}^{Max} S_{j;j+}^{M}(\mathbf{k} + \frac{1}{2}) S_{j;j+}^{M}(\mathbf{k} - \frac{1}{2}) K_{k}^{M}$$
(52)

where  $j = j_{max}$   $j_{max}$  + 1. To evaluate Eq. (52) we substitute the expression of the S-m atrix from Eq. (14) and split the sum over trajectories into two distinct parts: the contributions of short trajectories are computed explicitly by following the multiple scattering expansion up to trajectories of length  $l_{max}$ . The contribution of longer orbits are approximated by using the diagonal approximation, which results in a Lorentzian with a width  $E_r$ . Including explicitly up to n = 3 scattering events we get,

$$C(;) \quad G \stackrel{il_{max}}{\stackrel{Er}{=}} \frac{Fr}{i} + \frac{1}{j} \stackrel{X^{ax}}{\stackrel{j=j_{min}}{}} [ {}^{4}e^{i L_{jrj^{+}}} + {}^{4}e^{3i L_{jrj^{+}}} \\ + {}^{6} \qquad e^{i (L_{jrm} + L_{m;j^{+}})} ] \qquad (53)$$



Figure 6. The autocorrelation function C (; = 1) for regular graphs with Neumann boundary conditions. () correspond to a graph with = 0.59 (isolated resonance regime), (?) to a graph with = 1.36 (interm ediate regime) while () to a graph with ' 2 (overlapping resonances regime). The solid lines correspond to the theoretical expression (53): (a) The real part of C (; = 1); (b) The imaginary part of C (; = 1).

where G is determined by the condition C (= 0; ) = 1. The interplay between the contributions of long and short periodic orbits is shown in gure 6. For overlapping resonances, the autocorrelation function is well reproduced by a Lorentzian while for the case of isolated resonances one can clearly see the contributions of short paths.

In gure 7 we report the mean resonance width h  $i_k$  calculated numerically for various graphs, the parameter  $_{Er}$  extracted from the best t of the numerical C () with Eq. (53), together with the RM T prediction Eq. (47), and the classical expectation given by Eq. (38). The results justify the use of the classical estimate especially in the lim it V ! 1 for xed v=V (which is the analogue of the sem iclassical lim it). In this lim it, the RM T and the classical estimate coincide.

### 5.4. S matrix statistics

One can check further the applicability of RMT by studying the entire  $S^{(M)}$  matrix distribution function. The probability density of M M unitary  $S^{(M)}$ -matrices is dened in a M + M (M 1)=2 parameter space and was found rst in [31] to be given by the Poisson Kernel

$$dP_{S}(S^{(M)}) = p_{S}(S)d(S) = C \frac{[det(1 \ SS^{Y})]^{(M+2)=2}}{jdet(1 \ SS^{Y})j^{(M+2)}}d(S)$$
(54)



Figure 7. The mean resonance width h  $i_{k}$ , autocorrelation width  $_{Er}$ , the classical expectation  $_{cl}$ , and the RM T prediction (47) vs. V for various graphs with N eum ann boundary conditions and constant valency v = 14.

where C is a norm alization constant which depend on the symmetry class. All system – speci c relevant informations are included in the ensemble average S<sup>M</sup>) matrix, de ned as  $S_{i;j} = hS^{D}$  i<sub>k</sub>. For regular graphs, S is proportional to the unit matrix i.e.  $S_{i;j} = \frac{1}{1+v} \frac{v}{i;j}$ , while the eigenvectors are distributed uniform ly and independent from the eigenphases. The invariant measure d (S) is given as

$$d (S^{(M)}) = \begin{array}{c} Y & Y' \\ j e^{i \cdot i} & e^{i \cdot j} j \\ i < j & i = 1 \end{array}$$
(55)

where d is the solid angle on the M dimensional unit hyper-sphere.

For large M values, a num erical check of this probability distribution is prohibitive. However, for M = 2 one can not easily the exact form of (54) and compare with the num erical results. The resulting distribution of the eigenphases  $_1$ ;  $_2$  of the 2 2 scattering m atrices of regular graphs is given by

$$dP_{s} (S^{(2)}) = C \frac{4v}{(v+1)^{2}} \sin \frac{1}{2}$$
(56)

$$= \frac{(1+1)^{2}}{1 - 45\cos(\frac{1}{2})\cos + 2S^{2} - 2\cos^{2}(\frac{1}{2}) + \cos(2)} - 45^{3}\cos \cos(\frac{1}{2}) + S^{4} - \frac{1}{2}d! d$$

where we used the notation  $=\frac{1+2}{2}$  and  $!=_1$  2. Integrating Eq. (56) over !, we get the corresponding distribution functions  $P_s(!)$  and  $P_s()$ . Our numerical results for an ensample of S-m atrices calculated for dimensions of the lengths of the graphs are reported in gure 8 together with the RMT predictions (56) for a regular graph with two channels. An overall good agreement is seen both for A = 0 and A  $\leq 0$ .



Figure 8. The P () and P (!) distributions for a 2 2 scattering matrix S. The solid lines correspond to the predictions of RM T (56). The upper panel correspond to A = 0 while the lower panel to  $A \neq 0$ .

#### 5.5. Partial delay tim es statistics

The W igner delay time captures the time-dependent aspects of quantum scattering. It can be interpreted as the typical time an alm ost monochromatic wave packet remains in the interaction region. It is dened as

$$T_{W} = \frac{1}{2iM} \operatorname{tr} S^{(M)} \frac{dS^{(M)}(k)}{dk} = \frac{1}{2M} \frac{X^{n}}{e_{i}} \frac{e_{i}(k)}{e_{k}}; \qquad (57)$$

where  $_i$  are the eigen-phases of the S<sup>M</sup> -m atrix. The partial derivatives  $\frac{e_{-i}(k)}{e_k}$  are the partial delay times and their statistical properties were studied extensively within the RMT [35, 37, 39]. For the one-channel case it was found [37, 39] that the probability distribution of the scaled (with the mean level spacing ) partial delay times  $T_i = \frac{e_{-i}(k)}{2}$  is

$$P_{s}^{()}(T) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-2}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)T^{2+-2}} \int_{0}^{Z} P(t)^{1+-2} e^{\frac{1}{2T}P(t)} d$$
(58)

where P() is the Poisson K emel Eq. (54). The general case of M -equivalent open channels was studied in [35, 37] where it was found that for broken time reversal symmetry the probability distribution of partial delay times is

$$P(T) = \frac{(1)^{M}}{M!T^{M+2}} \frac{e^{M}}{e(T^{1})^{M}} e^{g=T} I_{0}(T^{1})^{p} (g^{2} 1))$$
(59)

where  $I_0(x)$  stands for the modi ed Bessel function.

To investigate the statistical properties of the partial delay times for our system we had calculated P (T) for various graphs. The resulting distributions are shown in gure 9 together with the RMT predictions (58,59). An overall agreement is evident.



Figure 9. The distribution of the scaled partial delay times T for various graphs with N eum ann boundary conditions. The dashed lines correspond to the RM T expectation (58,59): (a) O ne channel and A = 0; (b) M = V channels and  $A \in 0$ .

D eviations appear at the short time regime (i.e. short orbits), during which the \chaotic" component due to multiple scattering is not yet fully developed [28].

#### 5.6. C onductance D istribution

Due to the recent experimental investigation of electronic transport through mesoscopic devices [46], the study of the statistical properties of the conductance gained some importance. For a device connected to reservoirs by leads, the Landauer-Buttiker formula relates its conductance G to the transmission coe cient T<sub>G</sub> by the expression  $G = (2e^2 = ~)T_G$ . When each lead supports one channel, the transmission coe cient can be written in terms of the S<sup>M</sup>) matrix as

$$T_{G} = \int_{\substack{i \in j \\ i \in j}} \beta_{i;j}^{(M)} f = 1 \qquad \beta_{j;j}^{(M)} f \qquad (60)$$

where j is the input channel.

In the absence of direct processes, the distribution of conductance P ( $T_G$ ) for arbitrarily number of channels was worked out within RM T, and the results describe in a satisfactory way both the num erical calculations and the experimental data (for a review see [40] and references therein). However in cases where direct processes appear signi cantly, one must use the Poisson's kernel (54) in its full generality. This is exactly the case with N eum ann graphs since S  $\in$  0. The probability distribution of the transmission  $T_G$  is then\_

$$P(T_G) = (T_G \qquad \begin{array}{c} X \\ \beta_{ij} \\ j \end{array}) P_S(S) d(S):$$
(61)



Figure 10. Conductance distribution P ( $T_G$ ) for a graph with M = 2 channels: (a) T in e reversal symmetry is preserved (A = 0). The solid line is the RM T results Eq. (61) where we had used Eq. (56) for the Poisson K emel while the dashed line is the approximate expression (62); (b) B roken time reversal symmetry (A  $\leq$  0). The solid line is the RM T result Eq. (63).

For the case M = 2 and for a diagonal S matrix (only direct re ection) with equivalent channels  $S_{11} = S_{22} = S$ , Eq. (61) can be worked out analytically [34] in the lim it of strong re ection and  $T_G$  1. The resulting expression is:

$$P_{=1}(T_G) = \frac{8}{2(1-S^2)} T_G^{1=2} ; T_G (1-S^2)^2$$
(62)

For = 2 one can compute in a close form the whole distribution [40]

$$P_{=2}(T_G) = (1 \quad S^2) \frac{(1 \quad S^4)^2 + 2S^2(1 + S^4)T_G + 4S^4T_G^2}{((1 \quad S^2)^2 + 4S^2T_G)^{5=2}};$$
 (63)

O ur num erical results for a regular graph with two leads are plotted in gure 10 together with the RMT results (61,62,63). Notice that, the small conductances are emphasized, because of the presence of direct rejection and no direct transmission. At the same time, the most pronounced di erences between the two symmetry classes are for  $T_G$  1, where for = 1 the conductance distribution diverges while it take a nite value for = 2.

### 6. Scattering from star-graphs.

So far, we have studied scattering from well connected graphs, and we have shown that many statistical properties of the scattering matrix are described by RMT.We shall dedicate this section to demonstrate the statistical properties of the S matrix for graphs which have non-uniform connectivity.

Quantum Graphs: A simple model for Chaotic Scattering

22

A representative example of this category are the \star" (or \Hydra") graphs [14, 16]. They consist of  $v_0$  bonds, all of which emanate from a single common vertex labeled with the index i = 0. The vertex at i = 0, will be referred to in the sequel as the head. The total number of vertices for such a graph is  $V = v_0 + 1$ , and the vertices at the end of the bonds will be labeled by i = 1 0. The star is a bipartite graph, a property which implies e.g., that there exists no periodic orbits of odd period [14]. To turn a star graph into a scattering system we add a lead to its head.

It is a simple matter to derive the scattering matrix  $S = S^{(M=1)}$  for a Neumann star. It reduces to a phase factor,

$$S(k) \qquad e^{i} \stackrel{(k)}{=} - \frac{P_{i=1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{w_0} \tan(kL_i) + i}$$
(64)

The spectrum  $fk_n g$  of the close system can be idential ed as the set of wave-vectors for which S (k) equals 1, which implies that no current ows in the lead. The resulting quantization condition is

1 S(k) = 0 ! 
$$\frac{2^{\sum_{i=1}^{P} tan (k_n L_i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{V_0} tan (kL_i) + i} = 0$$
; (65)

which is satisfied once  $\prod_{i=1}^{P} \tan(k_n L_i) = 0$ . This is identical with the condition derived in [14].

The poles f  $_n$ g are the complex zeros of

$$X_{i}^{v_{0}}$$
 tan  $(_{n}L_{i}) + i = 0$ : (66)

To rst order in  $\frac{1}{v_0}$ , we get

$$P_{n}^{(1)} = P \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{V_{0}} \frac{L_{i}}{\cos(2k_{n}L_{i})+1}}$$
(67)

which can be used as a starting point for the exact evaluation of the poles. For the latter one has to perform a self consistent search for the complex zeros of the secular equation (66). This is a time consum ing process and the correct choice of the initial conditions is very important.

In gure 11 we present our numerical results for the distribution of rescaled resonance widths P () for a star with  $v_0 = 20$ . The data are in excellent agreement with the RM T expectation given in Eq. (44). We point that in this case the coupling to the continuum is weak since g' 10 1 and therefore the <sup>2</sup>-distribution with M = 1 is applicable.

U sing Eq. (64) we get the following relation for the scaled delay times

$$T(k) = \frac{2^{P} \sum_{i=1}^{v_0} \frac{L_i}{\cos^2(kL_i)}}{1 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{v_0} \tan kL_i\right)^2}$$
(68)

which can be used to generate P (T). The latter is reported in gure 12 together with the RM T prediction (59). We notice that although the tail of the distribution agrees reasonably well with the RM T prediction, there are considerable deviations at the origin.



Figure 11. The rescaled resonance width distribution P ( ) for a star graph with  $v_0 = 20$ . The solid line is the RM T prediction Eq. (44).

A peculiarity of the star graph is that the mean time delay is twice larger than the expected one from sem iclassical considerations. To be more speci c, for a generic chaotic system coupled to M channels, one has [41]

hT 
$$i_k ' \frac{M + 1}{M - 2} hl_p i_k$$
 (69)

where  $h_{p}i_{k}$  '  $_{cl}^{-1}$  is the average length of the classical paths inside the interaction area. Thus for the star with M = 1, we would expect based on Eq. (69) that hT  $i_{k}$  ' 2  $_{cl}$ . However, due to the fact that all the periodic orbits on the star graph are self tracing we get an additional factor of 2 and thus

hT 
$$i_k = \frac{1}{2} 4 cl^{-1}$$
: (70)

The corresponding classical decay rate  $_{cl}$  can be found exactly by a direct evaluation of the eigenvalues of the classical evolution operator U. One can easily show that

$$\vec{U} = \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ (\sim^{(0)})^2 & 0 \end{array}$$
(71)

where  $\sim^{(0)}$  is the  $v_0$  v vertex scattering m atrix at the star head as de ned in Eq. (5), while 1 denotes the  $v_0$  v identity m atrix. The square of the classical evolution operator  $U^2$  has a block diagonal form

$$\vec{U}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} (\sim^{(0)})^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & (\sim^{(0)})^{2} \end{pmatrix}^{2} ;$$
 (72)

and its spectrum consists of the values  $1 - \frac{4}{(1+v_0)^2}$  with multiplicity 2 and  $1 - \frac{4}{(1+v_0)}$  with



F igure 12. The distribution of the scaled partial delay times P (T) for a star graph with  $v_0 = 20$ . The dashed line is the RM T prediction Eq. (58). In the upper inset we show the same data in a double logarithm ic plot. In the lower inset we plot the numerical results for  $\langle T \rangle_k$  (). The solid line is the asymptotic value 1 expected from sem iclassical considerations.

multiplicity 2v<sub>0</sub> 2. Therefore the spectrum of 
$$\tilde{U}$$
 is

$$u = 1 \frac{4}{(1 + v_0)^2}$$

$$r \frac{1}{1 \frac{4}{1 + v_0}} \quad \text{with multiplicity } v_0 \quad 1:$$
(73)

For short times where the classical evolution is applicable, the dominant eigenvalue is  $u = 1 \frac{4}{1 + v_0}$  leading to a classical decay rate

$$_{cl}' \frac{2}{1+v_0}$$
: (74)

Substituting Eq. (74) we get eventually that hT  $i_k = \frac{1+v_0}{v_0} v_0! 1!$  1 which indicate that the mean time a particle spend inside the interaction regime is proportional to the Heisenberg time. Our numerical calculations reported in the lower inset of Fig. 12 agrees nicely with the sem iclassical prediction (70).

Finally, we analyze the distribution of S when generated over di erent realizations of the lengths of the bond. For the one channel case this is equivalent with the distribution P () of the phase of the S-m atrix. To derive the latter, it is convenient to rew rite the S-m atrix in the following form

S 
$$\exp(i) = (K + i) = (K + i);$$
 (75)



Figure 13. The distribution of phases P ( ) of the  $S_H$  -m atrix for two stars with  $v_0 = 15$  and  $v_0 = 30$ . The solid lines are the corresponding theoretical predictions (77).

with K = 
$$P_{i=1}^{v} \tan (kL_{i})$$
. The probability distribution of K is  
P(K) = K  $\tan (kL_{i})$   

$$= \frac{1}{2} e^{iK \times dx} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{L_{max}}^{Z} dL e^{ix \tan (kL)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{v^{2} + K^{2}}} :$$
(76)

Thus, with S hSi =  $\frac{1}{1+v}$ , we get

P() = P(K) 
$$\frac{dK}{d} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1+S^2} \frac{S^2}{2S\cos}$$
 (77)

Equation (77) reproduces the Poisson's kernel for a one-channel scattering matrix, derived in the fram ework of RM T [37]. The conditions under which this result is derived in [37] are fulled exactly in the present case. Our num erical results are reported in gure 13 and are in excellent agreement with the theoretical prediction Eq. (77).

## 7.Conclusions

In this paper, we turned quantum graphs into scattering systems. We show that they combine the desirable features of both behaving \typically" and being m athem atically simple. Thus, we propose them as a convenient tool to study generic behavior of chaotic scattering systems.

The classical dynamics on an open graph was de ned, and the classical staying probability was shown to decay in an exponential way. The resulting classical escape rate was calculated and used to describe the properties of the corresponding quantum system. The scattering matrix was written in terms of classical orbits and an exact trace form ula for the resonance density was found. A gap for the resonance widths has been obtained for \generic" graphs and its absence was explained for Neum ann graphs. An analysis of the cross section autocorrelation function was performed and its non-universal characteristics were explained in terms of the short classical scattering trajectories. Finally, due to the relative ease by which a large number of num erical data can be computed for the graph models, we had performed a detail statistical analysis of delay times, resonance widths and distribution of the S-matrix. Our results compares well with the predictions of RMT indicating that our model can be used in order to understand the origin of the connection between RMT and the underlying classical chaotic dynamics.

The results reported here, complete our previous investigations on graphs. We conclude that quantum graphs may serve as a convenient paradigm in the area of quantum chaos, both for spectral and scattering studies.

#### 8. A cknow ledgm ents

W e acknow ledge m any useful discussions with Y.Fyodorov and H.Schanz. This work was supported by the M inerva Center for Nonlinear Physics, and an Israel Science Foundation G rant. (T.K.) acknow ledges a postdoctoral fellow ship from the Feinberg School, The W eizm ann Institute of Science.

- [1] S.Alexander, Phys. Rev. B 27, 1541 (1985).
- [2] C.Flesia, R. Johnston and H. Kunz, Europhys. Lett. 3, 497 (1987).
- [3] R. M itra and S. W. Lee, Analytical techniques in the theory of guided waves, M acm illan, New York.
- [4] P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. B 23, 4828 (1981); B. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 823 (1982).
- [5] J. T. Chalker and P. D. Coddington, J. Phys. C 21, 2665 (1988); Rochus K lesse and M arcus M etzler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 721 (1997); R. K lesse, Ph.D. Thesis, Universitat zu Koln, AW OS-Verlag, Erfurt, 1996.
- [6] Y. Avishai, J.M. Luck, Phys. Rev. B 45, 1074 (1992); T. Nakayama, K. Yakubo, R. L. Orbach, Rev. M od. Phys. 66, 381 (1994).
- [7] Y. Im ry, Introduction to M esoscopic System s, Oxford, New York (1996); D. Kowal, U. Sivan, O. Entin-W ohlm an, Y. Im ry, Phys. Rev. B 42, 9009 (1990).
- [8] J.V idal, G.M ontam baux, D.D oucot, Phys. Rev. B 62, R16294 (2000).
- [9] Zhang et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5540 (1998).

Quantum Graphs: A simple model for Chaotic Scattering

[10] Colin de Verdiere. Spectres de Graphes. Societe Mathematique de France, Marseille (1998).

- [11] P. Exner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 3503 (1995); P. Exner, P. Seba, Rep. M ath. Phys. 28, 7 (1989); J.E. Avron, P. Exner, Y. Last, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 896 (1994); R. Carlson, Electronic Journal of Dierential Equations 6, 1 (1998).
- [12] Jean-Pierre Roth, in: Lectures Notes in Mathematics: Theorie du Potentiel, A. Dold and B. Eckmann, eds. (Springer{Verlag) 521-539.
- [13] T sam pikos K ottos and U zy Sm ilansky. Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 4794 (1997).
- [14] T sam pikos K ottos and U zy Sm ilansky, A nnals of Physics 274, 76 (1999).
- [15] Akkermans E, Comtet A, Desbois J, Montambaux G, Texier C, Annals of Physics 284, 10 (2000).
- [16] Berkolaiko G. and K eating J.Phys.A 32 7827 (1999); Berkolaiko G., Bogom olny E.B., and K eating J.Phys.A 34 335 (2001).
- [17] H. Schanz and U. Smilansky. Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1427 (2000); Proceedings of the Australian Summer School on Quantum Chaos and Mesoscopics, Canberra, Australia, 1999. [chaodyn/9904007]; T sam pikos K ottos and H olger Schanz, Physica E 9, 523 (2001).
- [18] G.Tanner, J.Phys.A 33, 3567 (2001).
- [19] G.Berkolaiko, H.Schanz, R.S.W hitney, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 104101 (2002).
- [20] F. Barra, P. Gaspard, J. Stat. Phys. 101, 283 (2000); F. Barra, P. Gaspard, Phys. Rev. E 63, 066215 (2001).
- [21] L.Kaplan, Phys. Rev. E 64, 036225 (2001); T sam pikos K ottos in preparation (2002).
- [22] T sam pikos K ottos and U zy Sm ilansky, P hys. Rev. Lett. 85, 968 (2000).
- [23] F.Barra and P.Gaspard, Phys. Rev. E, 65, 016205 (2002).
- [24] C. Texier and G. Montam baux, J. Phys. A 34 10307 (2001); C. Texier, J. Phys. A 35 3389 (2002).
- [25] W .H.M iller, Adv. Chem . Phys. 25 69 (1974).
- [26] U. Smilansky, in Les Houches Summer School on Chaos and Quantum Physics, M.-J.Giannoni etal., eds. (North-Holland) 371-441 (1989) (see also, Les Houches Summer Schoolon mesoscopic quantum physics, E.Akkemans et.al., eds. (North-Holland) 373-433 (1994)).
- [27] P.Gaspard, in \Quantum Chaos", Proceedings of E.Ferm i Summer School 1991, G.Casatiet.al, eds. (North-Holland) 307; P.Gaspard and S.A.Rice, J.Chem Phys 90, 2225, 2242, 2255 (1989); 91 E 3279, (1989).
- [28] R.Blum eland U.Sm ilansky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 241 (1990).
- [29] B.Eckhardt, I.Varga, P.Pollner, Physica E 9, 535 (2001).
- [30] P.A.Moldauer, Phys. Rev. C 11, 426 (1975); P.A.Moldauer, Phys. Rep. 157, 907 (1967); M. Simonius, Phys. Lett. 52B, 279 (1974).
- [31] P.Mello, P. Pereyra, and T. H. Seligm an, Ann. Phys. (NY) 161, 254 (1985).

- [32] R.A.Jalabert, A.D.Stone and Y.Alhassid, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3468 (1992).
- [33] F. Haake, F. Izrailev, N. Lehmann, D. Saher, H-J Sommers, Z. Phys. B 88, 359 (1992); N. Lehmann, D. Saher, V. Sokolov, H-J Sommers, Nucl. Phys. A 582, 223 (1995).
- [34] P.W. Brouwer and C.W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. B 50, 11263 (1994).
- [35] Y.V.Fyodorov and H.-J. Sommers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4709 (1996); Y.V.Fyodorov, D.V. Savin, and H.-J. Sommers, Phys. Rev. E 55 R 4857 (1997).
- [36] H-J Sommers, Y.V.Fyodorov, and M.Titov, J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. 32 L77 (1999); Y.Fyodorov,
   H-J Sommers, Pis'm a Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. 63 970 (1996) [JETP Lett. 63,1026 (1996)]
- [37] Y. Fyodorov, H-J Sommers, J. Math. Phys. 38 1918 (1997); P. Mello in Les Houches Summer School on Chaos and Quantum Physics, E. Akkermans et al., eds (North-Holland) 437-491 (1994).
- [38] P.W. Brouwer, K.M. Frahm, C.W. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 4737 (1997).
- [39] V.A.Gopar and P.M ello, Europhys. Lett. 42, 131 (1998).
- [40] P.A.Mello and H.U.Baranger, W aves in Random Media 9,105 (1999).
- [41] E.Doron, and U.Smilansky, Nonlinearity 5, 1055 (1992); E.Doron, and U.Smilansky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1255 (1992).
- [42] C. Mahaux and H. A. Weidenmuller, \Shell Model Approach in Nuclear Reactions", (North-Holland, Amsterdam), (1969).
- [43] M.Sh.Birm an and D.R.Yafaev. The spectral shift function. The work of M.G.K rein and its further development. St. Petersburg M ath. J., 4:833{870, 1993.
- [44] V. Sokolov and G. Zelevinsky, Phys.Lett.B 202 10 (1988); E. Sobeslavsky, F.M. D ittes, and I. Rotter, J. Phys.A: Math. Gen. 28, 2963 (1995).
- [45] T.Ericson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 5, 430 (1960); T.Ericson, Ann. Phys. (NY) 23 390 (1963).
- [46] C.M.Marcus, A.J.R imberg, R.M.W estervelt, P.F.Hopkins, and A.C.Gossard, Phys.Rev. Lett. 69, 506 (1992).