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#### Abstract

The com bined e ect ofm ean ow and rotation on hexagonalpattems is investigated using $G$ inzburg-Landau equations that inchude nonlinear gradient term $s$ as well as the nonlocal coupling provided by the m ean ow. Long-w ave and short-wave side-band instabilities are determ ined. D ue to the nonlinear gradient term $s$ and enhanced by the $m$ ean ow, the penta-hepta defects can becom e unstable to the induced nucleation of dislocations in the defect-free am plitude, which can lead to the proliferation of penta-hepta defects and persistent spatio-tem poral chaos. For individual penta-hepta defects the nonlinear gradient term $s$ enhance clim bing or gliding $m$ otion, depending on whether they break the chiral sym m etry or not.
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## 1 Introduction

$P$ attems in $R$ ayleigh-B enard convection have been extensively explored, both theoretically and experim entally, as paradigm $s$ to investigate spatio-tem poral chaos and transitions from ordered to disordered states. A rguably, one of the m ost interesting chaotic states is that of spiraldefect chaos. For sm allP randtl num bers, it arises from roll convection at $m$ oderate heating rates in system $s$ w ith large aspect ratio [1]. In this com plex chaotic state spirals, disclinations, and dislocations are persistently created and annihilated. It is found to be

[^0]driven by a large-scale $m$ ean ow, which arises due to the curvature of the convection rolls and becom es prom inent for sm all $P$ randtl num bers.

A nother classic chaotic state that arises from a planform of convection rolls is the dom ain chaos resulting from the $K$ uppers-Lortz instability in rotating convection [2\{4]. In this instability rolls are unstable to rolls with a sim ilar wavelength but di erent orientation. T he resulting state is characterized by dom ains of convection rolls w ith di erent orientation that persistently invade each other.

M otivated by the strong im pact that $m$ ean ow and rotation have on the stability of rolls and by the interesting chaotic states that result from it, we consider in this paper the e ect of $m$ ean ow and rotation on the stability and dynam ics of hexagon pattems and their defects. H exagon pattems are com $m$ on phenom ena in various pattem-form ing system $s$ and are readily obtained also in Benard $M$ arangoni convection driven by surface tension (e.g. $[5,6]$ ) and in buoyancy-driven non-B oussinesq convection (e.g. [7]). In both of these convection system $s m$ ean ow is expected to be im portant for $s m$ all and $m$ oderate $P$ randtl num bers.

In the absence of $m$ ean $o w s$, the side-band instabilities and dynam ics of hexagons $w$ th broken chiral sym $m$ etry (e.g. by rotation) have been investigated in the context of three coupled G inzburg-Landau equations [8] as well as in a long-w ave $m$ odel for $M$ arangoni convection [9] and a m odel of Sw iftH ohenberg type [10]. Even on the level of the low est-order G inzburg-Landau description rotation $m$ akes the system non-variational, and oscillatory am plitude [11\{13] and side-band instabilities appear [8]. If the nonlinear gradient term $s$ are retained in the $G$ inzburg-Landau equations the latter can lead to an interesting state of spatio-tem poral chaos [8].

In the absence of rotation, $m$ ean ow couples di erently to the two steady, long-w ave phase $m$ odes of the weakly nonlinear hexagon pattems [14]; only the stability lim its due to the transverse phase instability are a ected by the m ean ow, while those corresponding to the longitudinal phase $m$ ode are unchanged. A s a result, for su ciently sm allP randtl num bers the stability lim it for large w avenum bers is given by the transverse phase $m$ ode, while for sm all w avenum bers the longitudinal m ode becom es dom inant. T his is particularly interesting, since without $m$ ean ow the longitudinalm ode is usually of little im portance $[15,14]$. W hile the transient pattems arising from the longitudinal instability rem ain quite ordered, those ensuing from the instability involving the transverse m ode typically exhibit dom ains of hexagons with quite di erent orientation [14].

In this paper, we investigate the combined e ects of rotation and of $m$ ean ow on weakly nonlinear hexagonal pattems using appropriately extended

G inzburg-Landau equations.W e retain all three possible cubic non-linear gradient term s . The nonlinear evolution of the side-band instabilities leads to the form ation of dislocations that later combine to penta-hepta defects. In param eter regim es in which the nonlinear gradient term $s$, rotation, and $m$ ean ow are signi cant we nd quite intriguing defect dynam ics: the presence of penta-hepta defects induces the nucleation of a dislocation pair in the defectfree am plitude, which can lead to the proliferation of defects and to persistent spatio-tem poral chaos. W e further study the e ect of the nonlinear gradient term s on them otion ofsingle penta-hepta defects by calculating theirm obility and the $P$ each $K$ ohler-type foroe acting on them .

This paper is structured as follow. In Section 2 we form ulate the problem by extending previous work on $m$ ean ow in hexagons [14] to include the breaking of the chiral sym $m$ etry by rotation. Then we investigate in Section 3 the linear stability of hexagons w ith respect to side-band perturbations. W e dem onstrate the induced defect nucleation and the resulting spatio-tem poral chaos in Section 4. In Section 5 we investigate the e ects of the nonlinear gradient term son the m otion of isolated penta-hepta defects both analytically and num erically. W e discuss our ndings in Section 6.

2 Amplitude equations

For sm all $P$ randtl num bers and $w$ th rotation the usual $G$ inzburg-Landau equations for the three com plex amplitudes $A_{j} m$ aking up the hexagon pattems need to be extended in two ways. The mean ow, which is driven by long-w ave m odulations of the convective am plitude, provides a nonlocal coupling of the roll modes [16]. R otation breaks the chiral sym m etry. This is re ected in the di erence betw een the cubic term coupling $A_{1}$ to $A_{2}$ and that coupling $A_{1}$ to $A_{3}[11,12,8]$. Furthem ore, to cubic order it introduces an additional nonlinear gradient term in the equation for the am plitudes and an additional term in the leading-order equation for the $m$ ean ow $Q$ [17]. The equations for the three hexagon $m$ odes in rotating convection at nite $P$ randtl num bers thus read

$$
\begin{align*}
& +A_{j 1} A_{j+1} \quad i A_{j}\left({ }_{j} \quad r\right) Q \\
& +i(1 \quad 3) A_{j 1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{j+1} \quad r\right) A_{1}+i\left(A_{1}+{ }_{3}\right) A_{j+1}\left(\mathrm{n}_{j 1} \quad r\right) A_{1} \\
& +i_{2}\left(A_{j 1}\left(\wedge_{j+1} r\right) A_{1} \quad A_{j+1}\left(\wedge_{j 1} r\right) A_{1}\right) \text {; }  \tag{1}\\
& r^{2} Q=X_{i=1}^{x^{3} h} 2\left(\hat{r}_{i} \quad r\right)\left(A^{\wedge} r\right)+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\hat{r}_{i}\right. & \left.r^{2}\right) & \left(\hat{i} \quad r^{2}\right)^{i} A_{i} j^{3} ;
\end{array}\right. \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ th $j=1 ; 2 ; 3$ cyclically perm uted in (1) and $\hat{n}_{j}$ and $\hat{j}_{j}$ denoting unit vectors parallel and perpendicular to the critical wave-vector associated with am plitude $A_{j}$, respectively. $W$ th respect to the rotation rate, the coe cients , ${ }_{3}$, and are odd functions, while the other coe cients are even. T hey also depend on other physical param eters such as the P randtl num ber. $N$ ote that in the presence of rotation not only the di erence $\left(\begin{array}{llll}\left(\hat{r}_{i}\right. & r^{2}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}\hat{i} & r^{2}\end{array}\right) \lambda_{i}{ }^{3}$ but also the corresponding sum is allowed by sym $m$ etry, which corresponds to $r^{2} A_{i}{ }^{3}$ and leads to a contribution $Q_{1} /{ }_{i=1}^{3} \mathcal{A}_{i}{ }^{3}$ to $Q . U$ pon insertion in (1) $Q_{1}$ contributes to the cubic nonlinear gradient term $s$ and provides therefore a local rather than a non-local coupling of the rollm odes. In this paper we focus on the non-local coupling and neglect this term along w ith the other cubic gradient term s.

The above equations allow for several stationary, spatially periodic pattems.
 are stable to hom ogeneous perturbations for $\quad R_{R}+q^{2}$, where ${ }_{R}=(1+$ $\left.2 q_{1}\right)^{2}=(1 \quad$ ₹. H exagon solutions $w$ ith wavenum ber slightly di erent than the critical value $q_{k}$ are given by $A_{j}=R_{0} e^{i q f_{j}} x_{w}$ ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}=\frac{\left(1+2 q_{1}\right) \quad q \frac{q_{1}}{\left(1+2 q_{1}\right)^{2}+4\left(\quad q^{2}\right)(1+2)}}{2(1+2)}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e will consider only such equilateral hexagons, for which the w avenum bers in all three $m$ odes are equal. $N$ on-equilateral hexagon pattems have been discussed in the absence ofm ean ow and rotation in [18]. Since the quadratic coupling coe cient in equation (1) hasbeen scaled to +1 , the hexagon solution corresponding to the amplitude $R_{0} w$ th a $m$ inus sign in front of the square root is always unstable. In the follow ing we will consider only the hexagon solution of am plitude $R_{0}$ w th the plus sign in equation (3). It is stable to hom ogeneous perturbations ifboth $u \quad R_{0}^{2}(1 \quad)+\left(1+2{ }_{1} q\right) R_{0} \quad 0$ and $v$ $2 R_{0}^{2}(1+2)$ q $\left.\frac{(1+2}{1} q\right) R_{0} \quad 0 . M$ ixed $m$ odes $w$ th am plitudes $A_{j}=1=(\quad 1)$ and $\left.A_{j 1}=\frac{q}{( } \quad q^{2} \quad A_{j}^{2}\right)=(1+)$ also exist but are alw ays unstable $w$ ith respect to rolls or hexagons. The stability of these stationary, spatially periodic solutionsw ith respect to hom ogeneous am plitude perturbations is sum $m$ arized in the bifurcation diagram in Fig.1: the hexagons rst appear at $v=0$ (or $=\mathrm{SN}_{\mathrm{N}}$ in the bifurcation diagram ) through a saddle-node bifurcation, and becom e unstable to bscillating hexagons' via $a_{p} H_{z}$ opfbifurcation at $u=0$ (or $=$ н in F ig.1) w ith a Hopf frequency $!_{\mathrm{C}}=2 \overline{3}\left(1+2 \mathrm{q}_{1}\right)^{2}=(\quad 1\}$. Here we focus on the instabilly of steady hexagons in the range sn ; a detailed discussion of the oscillating hexagons for $\quad$ н can be found in [11,12,8,19].


Fig.1. Sketch ofbifurcation diagram of sim ple, spatially periodic solutions of $(1,2)$ with rotation.
3 Phase equation and general stability analysis

Follow ing the procedures in $[20,8]$, we rst derive the phase equation that describes the long-w ave side-band instabilities of hexagons. T he slightly perturbed hexagon solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{j}=R_{0} e^{\operatorname{igff}_{j} x}\left(1+r_{j}+i_{j}\right) ; j=1 ; 2 ; 3 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is substituted into equations (1,2), where $r_{j}$ and $j$ are the $s m$ all am plitude and phase perturbations, respectively. $W$ e introduce the super-slow scales $@_{t}$ !
${ }^{2} @_{t}$ and $r$ ! $r$ with $j j$ 1, and adiabatically elm inate the perturbations in the amplitudes and in the total phase $1_{1}+{ }_{2}+3$ by expressing them in term $s \mathrm{p}_{-}$the two translation phase modes $\mathrm{x} \quad(2+3)$ and y $\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 3\end{array}\right)=\overline{3}$. At linear order in , the $m$ ean- ow am plitude $Q$ can be w ritten in term s of the phase vector ${ }^{\sim}=(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{r} \quad \sim+\mathrm{d}_{3} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{z}} \quad \mathrm{r} \quad \sim ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}_{3} & =\frac{3}{4 \mathrm{u}^{2}+!^{2}}\left[\left(\mathrm{u} \quad \frac{!}{2}\right) \mathrm{w}+\left(\mathrm{u}+\frac{!}{2}\right)^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{3}{ }_{3} \mathrm{R}_{0}^{3}\right] ;  \tag{6}\\
\mathrm{d}_{2} & =\frac{3}{4 \mathrm{u}^{2}+!^{2}}\left[\left(\mathrm{u}+\frac{!}{2}\right) \mathrm{w} \quad\left(\mathrm{u} \frac{!}{2}\right)^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{3}{ }_{3} \mathrm{R}_{0}^{3}\right] ;  \tag{7}\\
\mathrm{w} & =2 \mathrm{qR}_{0}^{2}+\left({ }_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{3}^{3}{ }_{2}\right) \mathrm{R}_{0}^{3} ;  \tag{8}\\
! & =2^{\mathrm{P}} 3 \mathrm{R}_{0}^{2} ; \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

and $e_{z}$ is the unit vector perpendicular to the x y plane (follow ing a righthand rule). The linearized phase equation for ${ }^{\sim}$ thus reads

$$
\Theta_{t}{ }^{\sim}=D_{?}^{0} r^{2 \sim}+\left(D_{k}^{0} \quad D_{?}^{0}\right) r\left(r^{\sim}\right) \quad D_{1}^{0}\left(e_{z} \quad r^{2 \sim}\right)+D_{2}^{0}\left(e_{z} r\right)\left(r^{\sim}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { r } \quad\left(£_{z}\right) \text {; } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coe cients with superscript 0 correspond to the in nite $P$ randtl num ber case ( $=0$ ) [8]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{k}^{0}=D_{?}^{0}+\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{v}{ }^{n} R_{0}^{2} l_{1}\left(l_{1} \overline{3}_{2}\right) \quad R_{0}\left(3_{1} \quad P_{3_{2}}\right) q+2 q^{0} \text {; } \\
& D^{0}{ }_{1}=\frac{1}{4 u^{2}+!^{2}} \frac{1}{4}!R_{0}^{2^{h}}\left({ }_{1}+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3}_{2}\right)^{2}+3 \frac{2}{3}^{i}+2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3} \mathrm{R}_{0} u{ }_{3} \mathrm{q} \quad!\mathrm{q}^{q} ; \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting equation (5) into the linear phase equation (10), we obtain

$$
@_{t} \sim=D_{?} r^{2 \sim}+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
D_{k} & D_{?}
\end{array}\right) r\left(r^{\sim}\right) \quad D_{1}\left(e_{z} \quad r^{2 \sim}\right)+D_{2}\left(e_{z} \quad r\right)\left(r^{\sim}\right) ;(12)
$$

where $D_{?}=D_{?}^{0}+d_{?}, D_{k}=D_{k}^{0}, D_{1}=D_{0}^{0}$ and $D_{2}=D_{2}^{0}+d_{2}$. The term $s d_{3}$ and $d_{2}$ are the $m$ ean ow contributions to the di usion coe cients.

The two eigenvalues for norm alm ode solutions to equation (12) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.=\frac{k^{2}}{2} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{D}_{?} \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}\right.} \quad \mathrm{D}_{?}\right)^{2} \quad 4 \mathrm{D}_{1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}\right) ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is the $m$ agnitude of the w ave-vector of the norm alm ode perturbations proportional to $e^{t+~ i k}$. . The phase instability becom es oscillatory when the discrim inant

$$
2 \quad\left(\begin{array}{lllllll} 
& \left(D_{k}\right. & \left.D_{?}\right)^{2} & 4 D_{1} & \left(D_{1}\right. & 1 & D_{2} \tag{14}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is negative, which is possible only when the chiral sym m etry is broken.
The stability of the hexagonal pattem to general (including short-w ave) perturbations is exam ined by solving linearized equations sim ilar to those in [14]. W thout rotation and the nonlinear gradient term S , the general stabilty boundaries correspond to a steady bifiurcation (realeigenvalues), and they always coincide w th the long-wave stability boundaries [13,14]. This is no longer true if rotation and nonlinear gradient term $s$ are included. H exagons $m$ ay undergo instability via short-w ave instabilities, which $m$ ay be steady or oscillatory depending on the param eters [13]. In the follow ing stability diagram S , we display both the stability boundary for the long-w ave perturbations (lines) and the short-w ave stability boundaries (circles).

 b) $=1$ and $=0, C)=1$ and $=1 . C$ ircles denote short-w ave instabilities. Thick lines denote long-w ave instabilities: oscillatory (solid) and steady (dashed). D otted line denotes saddle-node bifurcation, thin solid line gives H opf bifurcation to oscillating hexagons.

F igs $2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ represent stability diagram s for di erent values of and w th $=0: 05$ and $1_{1}={ }_{2}=3=0 . \mathrm{F}$ ig 2 a is for $=0$ and $=0, \mathrm{~F}$ ig 2 b for $=$
1 and $=0$, and F ig 2 c for $=1$ and $=1$. In all the follow ing stability diagram s the thick dashed curves (labeled 1, 3 and 4 in $F$ ig 2) correspond to steady phase instabilities, whereas the thidk solid lines (labeled 2) denote the oscillatory phase instability. $T$ he sym bols denote shortw ave instabilities. A s is the case w thout rotation [14], m ean ow renders the stability boundaries asym $m$ etric $w$ th respect to the band-center ( $q=0$ ). In addition, we nd that the bubble' enclosed by curve 1 expands as the m ean ow becom es larger in am plifude ( gs.2a and 2b), suggesting that the mean ow tends to dim inish the im portance of the oscillatory modes. For $<0$, this e ect appears to be $m$ ore prom inent for negative $q$ than for positive $q$ and can elim inate the oscillatory instability altogether (cf. Figs.3b and 4b below).


Fig. 3. Stability boundaries for $=0,1 ; 2=0,3=0: 7,=2 . a)=0, b)$ $=3 . C$ ircles denote steady short-w ave instability. Solid thidk line gives oscillatory long-w ave instability, dashed thick line steady long-w ave instability.

In the stability diagram s show n in F igs. $3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, w e focus on the nonlinear gradient term that is due to rotation ( $3=0: 7$ ) and set the other nonlinear gradient term $s$ as well as the other rotation term sto zero $(=0,=0)$.W hile the ${ }_{1}{ }^{-}$ term $m$ akes the stability $\lim$ it of the hexagons to the $m$ ixed $m$ ode asym $m$ etric


Fig. 4. Stability boundaries for $=2,1=2=0,3=0: 7,=0: 2,=0: 5 . a)$ $=0: 1, \mathrm{~b})=3 . \mathrm{C}$ ircles denote steady short-w ave instability. Solid thick line gives oscillatory long-w ave instability, dashed thick line steady long-w ave instability.
in $q$ and can shift the transition from hexagons to rolls to large values of [21], the term corresponding to 3 does not a ect that am plitude instability. For
$=0$ (Fig.3a) the long-w ave instability is oscillatory, while the short-w ave instability is steady. W hen is decreased to $=3$ (F ig.3b) the oscillatory instability is com pletely suppressed for $q$ < 0 and replaced by the two steady phase instabilities (labeled 3 and 4), whereas forq>0 it is still there (2), but it is $m$ ostly preem pted by a steady short-w ave instability. Only for very sm all values of the oscillatory instability rem ains relevant.

If in addition to 3 also the cubic rotation term is present the stability diagram becom es asym $m$ etric even in the absence ofm ean ow. This is illustrated in Fig.4a, which gives the stability lim its for $=0.2, ~ 3=0: 7$ and $=0: 5, \mathrm{w}$ th $1=0=2$ and $=0: 1$. N ote that for sm all the stability boundaries depend very little on and are indistinguishable on the scale of Fig.4a for in the range 0.20 . As will be discussed in section 4, how ever, the nonlinear evolution of the pattem due to the instabilities can depend substantially on even in this regim $e$. The origin of the asymm etry in $q$ can be seen from the di usion coe cients and the grow th rate given in (11) and (13), respectively. For $1=0=2$ the only term $s$ that are odd in $q$ involve the product 3 !. For these param eter values the short-w ave instability is steady and the long-w ave instability is oscillatory. T he steady long-w ave instability (short segm ent of a dashed line) has been shifted up in all the way to the am plitude instability to oscillating hexagons and is preem pted by the long-w ave oscillatory instability. In Fig.4b the $m$ ean ow strength is increased to $=3$. Then the stabilly lim its are given solely by steady long-w ave instabilities and hexagons are stable only over a sm all range in .


Fig. 5. Tem poral evolution of the num ber of dislocations for di erent values of . O ther param eters as in F ig.4a. (a) $=0$ for system size 4248 (dashed line) and 8496 (solid line show squarter of the num ber ofdislocations) (b) $=0: 1$ (dashed line) and $=0.2$ (solid line) for system size 4248.

4 D efect $P$ roliferation

W enum erically sim ulate equations $(1,2)$ to investigate the non-lineardynam ics ensuing from the linear instabilities and focus on the com bined e ect of the nonlinear gradient term $s$ and the $m$ ean ow. W ithout rotation, the $m$ ean ow couples only to the transverse phase $m$ ode and $m$ akes it possible to discem its instability from that of the longitudinal phase m ode [14]. B oth instabilities lead to the form ation of PHD and eventually retum the wavenum ber to the stable band. W hile the transient disorder generated by this process is quite di erent for the two instabilities, they both, in the absence of the nonlinear gradient term $s$, lead to an essentially monotonic decay of the defect num ber after it has reached its initialm axim um .
$W$ ith the nonlinear gradient term $s$ included the defect dynam ics can becom e $m$ uch $m$ ore com plex. In $F$ ig $5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ we show the tem poralevolution of the num ber of dislocations for param eters corresponding to the stability diagram show $n$ in Fig.4a w th $=1$, which are characterized by a relatively strong nonlinear gradient term that breaks the chiral sym $m$ etry $\left({ }_{3}=0: 7\right)_{1}^{12} 1$. Three values for the $m$ ean ow strength are used, $=0,=0: 1$, and $=0: 2$. The initial condition is a periodic hexagon pattem w th w avenum ber $\mathrm{q}=0: 6$ (diam ond in $F$ ig.4a) that is perturbed w ith a sm all long-w ave $m$ odulation.

Since the in itialw avenum ber is only slightly outside the linear stability boundary it takes quite a long time until the rst defects are generated. Then the

[^1]

Fig. 6. R oot-m ean-square of the $m$ ean $o w$ velocity as a function of tim $e$ for $=0: 1$ and $=0: 2.0$ ther param eters as in Fig.4a.
num ber of dislocations reaches a m axim um very rapidly. W thout $m$ ean ow ( = 0, Fig.5a), it decays subsequently to very sm all values and the nal state is presum ably stationary (cf. Fig. 11 below ). In contrast to the case w ithout nonlinear gradient term $s$ and $w$ ithout rotation [14], further defects are, how ever, created throughout the sim ulation tim e, in particular in the larger system of size 8496 (solid line).

W hen tuming on the m ean ow in addition to the nonlinear gradient term $s$, the evolution becom es m ore com plex as shown in Fig.5b. D ecreasing from
$=0$ to $=0.2$ shifts the stability lim its very slightly tow ards less negative w avenum bers and renders the hexagons slightly more stable. T he initial rise in the num ber of dislocations is therefore delayed to later tim es and the initial peak is sm aller. Even though this change in the stability lim its cannot be discemed on the scale of Fig.4a, the weak induced mean ow is su cient to lead to strong and persistent uctuations in the num ber of defects (solid line in $F$ ig. 5b). In fact, som ew hat sm aller and slow er uctuations persist even for
$=0: 1$ (dashed line). The strong correlation betw een the num ber of defects and the $m$ ean- ow strength is apparent when com paring $F$ ig.5b w ith $F$ ig.6, which shows the spatial average of the root-m ean-square of the $m$ ean- ow velocity $<(r Q)^{2}>$ as a function of time.

### 4.1 Induced D efect N ucleation

A closer inspection of the defect dynam ics shown in Fig. 5 reveals that the tem poral uctuations result from the induced nucleation of new dislocations in the vicinity of pre-existing penta-hepta defects. O ne such event is shown in $F$ ig.7. The initial con guration consists of a PHD denoted by ( 0 ; ; ) , which is com prised of a dislocation $w$ th negative topological charge in $m$ ode $A_{2}$ and one w ith positive charge in m ode $A_{3}$ (grey circles in Fig.7). M ode $A_{1}$


Fig. 7. Induced nucleation of dislocations by penta-hepta defects for $=0.2$ and $=1.0$ ther param eters as in Fig.4a. Solid lines are zero contour lines for real part of $\mathrm{A}_{1}$, and dashed lines for the im aginary part. G rey circles are dislocations in $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ and the arrow $s$ indicate the $m$ ean ow velocity eld.
does not have any dislocations in the vicinity of this PHD. It is, however, perturbed due to the presence of the PHD and the zero-contour lines of the real and the im aginary part of $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (thick solid and dashed lines) are tw isted around the dislocations in $A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ ( $F$ ig.7a). This tw isting re ects to som e extent the advection of this $m$ ode by the $m$ ean $o w$ (thin lines). Soon two dislocations appear in $A_{1}$ (black circles in $F$ ig.7c), whidh then bind w ith the dislocations constituting the initialP HD to form two new PHD s, (+ : ;0) and ( ; 0; + ). The new PHD stypically $m$ ove apart and can induce the nucleation of further dislocations leading to the proliferation or multiplication of defects as sketched in $F$ ig.8. There the further nucleation of a pair of dislocations in $A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ leads eventually to four PHD s. Such proliferation processes have recently been found also in sim ulations ofK uram oto-Sívashinsky equation and of $G$ inzburg-Landau equations w ithout rotation and without mean ow but w th the nonlinear gradient term s corresponding to 1;2 [22].

The nonlinear gradient term s appear to be central for the induced nucleation in that they lead to a separation of the dislocationsm aking up the penta-hepta defects as is illustrated in Fig.7a. T he dependence of the distance betw een the dislocations on 3 is shown in Fig.9. For $30: 6$ the P HD becom es unstable through the induced nucleation of dislocations. $W$ e nd that the dislocations are also separated if only the nonlinear gradient term $s$ corresponding to ${ }_{1}$


Fig. 8. Sketch of defect proliferation by induced nucleation.


Fig. 9. D istance between dislocations within a bound PHD as a function of 3 for $=0.0$ ther param eters as in F ig.4.
or 2 are included (see also [22]). B reaking the chiral sym $m$ etry through the term does not a ect the distance betw een the dislocations in a PHD pair, nor does the $m$ ean ow.

The stability of the P H D, how ever, depends not only on the distance betw een its two constituent dislocations but also on the $m$ ean $o w . T$ his is shown in Fig. 10 where the stability lim it ofP HD s is given as a function of 3 and for a background wavenum ber $q=0$. It is obtained by direct num erical sim ulations of a PHD pair in a system of size 42 48. Note that for this system size there is still a sm all, but notioeable interaction betw een the two P HD s that have to be placed in the system to satisfy the periodic boundary conditions. In the stable regin e the tw o P HD sm ove relatively slow ly tow ards each other and would eventually annihilate each other, whereas in the unstable regim e the seeded P H D s nucleate new dislocations and get transform ed into di erent PHD s before they reach each other.

C learly, increased $m$ ean ow greatly facilitates the induced nucleation of dislocations rendering the P H D sm uch less stable even though the distance of the constituent dislocations is hardly a ected by the m ean ow. Thus, even relatively sm all values of 3 can be su cient to induce nucleation if $j j=O$ (1). W hen 3 is decreased below 3 0:1 the induced nucleation as described above is replaced by a di erent process in which new dislocations appear not in the previously defect-free $m$ ode but in the $m$ odes that carry already a dis-


Fig. 10. Stability lim its of penta-hepta defects (squares) and lim it of persistent spatio-tem poral chaos (diam onds) as a function ofm ean-ow strength and of 3 . Rem aining param eters are $=1,=2,=0: 2,=0: 5$, and $q=0$.
location. This is presum ably due to the fact that $w$ ith increasing $m$ ean- ow strength the side-band stability lim it of the periodic hexagon pattem is shifted further to the left (for exam ple, see Fig. 1 in [14]) and com es very close to the background wavenum ber $q=0$ em ployed in F ig. 10 .

### 4.2 Persistent P H D - C haos

A particularly interesting aspect of the sim ulations show $n$ in $F$ ig.5b is the fact that the induced nucleation ofdislocations is not $m$ erely transient as had been the case in [22], but instead persists for the whole duration of the sim ulation. To bring out the persistence of the dynam ics m ore clearly, we give a detailed analysis of the pattem evolution by $m$ easuring the localw avenum ber $q_{j}(x)$ of each com ponent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{j}(x) \quad<{ }^{0} \frac{\tilde{r}^{\prime} A_{j}}{A_{j}} \quad \text { for } j=1,2,3: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e extract the spatial average of the transverse and of the longitudinal com ponents $q_{i j}$ and $q_{j}$ of $q_{j}$, respectively,

Here denotes the spatial dom ain. Figs.11a,b show the tem poralevolution of the two wave-vector com ponents corresponding to the evolution of the num ber of defects shown in $F$ igs. 5 a,b (for system size 42 48). The rapid initial change in both com ponents corresponds to the period in tim e when the long-w ave instability rst generates dislocations. For all three values of the


Fig. 11. Spatially averaged local wave vectors as functions of tim e: thick lines are the transverse com ponents $\overline{F_{i j}}$ and thin lines are the longitudinal com ponents $\overline{q_{i j}}$ of the $w$ ave-num bers. Solid lines are for $A_{1}$, dashed lines for $A_{2}$ and dotted lines for $A_{3}$. Panel (a) is for $=0$ and panel (b) is for $=0: 1$ and $=0.2$.
longitudinal com ponent rapidly relaxes to a very sm all value near $\overline{C_{i j}}=0$ and, $w$ ith the exception of sm all uctuations, stays there for the whole duration of the sim ulations. Sim ilarly, for $=0$ the transverse com ponent reaches in a som ew hat slower transient a stationary value of $\overline{\Phi_{j}} \quad 1: 6 . T$ he resulting reconstructed pattem at the nal time $t=2500$ is shown in F ig.12a. It still contains a few PHD, which m ove very slow ly. C om pared to the initial pattem, in which the hexagons were aligned w th the x-axis, the pattem is rotated by a sm allam ount re ecting the change in the average wave-vector, in particular its non-zero transverse com ponent.

For $=0: 1$ and $=0: 2$ the num ber ofP H D skeeps uctuating throughout the sim ulation. The corresponding evolution of the average wave-vector is shown in $F$ ig.11b. As is the case for $=0$, during the initial phase of defect generation the longitudinal com ponent relaxes rapidly to $\bar{I}_{i j}=0$ while the transverse com ponent reaches a value of $\overline{\Phi_{j}} 1$ during that phase. Thereafter, the longitudinalcom ponent rem ains near 0 whereas the transverse com ponent keeps grow ing at a rate which increases w ith decreasing (negative). In the reconstructed pattem, shown in F ig. 12 b at $\mathrm{t}=2500$, this m anifests itself in a reduced overall wavelength of the hexagons and, m ore im portantly, an increased rotation of the pattem. Follow ing the reconstructed pattem over tim e one can see that on average it is steadily rotating in a counter-clockw ise fashion.

To interpret the evolution shown in $F$ ig.11b it is useful to write $A_{i}(x ; t)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{j}=A_{j}(x ; t) e^{i_{t} \wedge_{j}} \underset{j}{x} \quad j=1 ; 2 ; 3: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For constant $A_{j}$ this corresponds to a hexagon $w$ ith non-vanishing transverse wave-vector com ponents that are equal in all three m odes. Insertion of (17)


Fig. 12. Reconstructed hexagonal pattems at the end of the sim ulations $(t=2500)$ for $=0$ on the left and $=0: 1$ on the right.
into $(1,2)$ show s that the solution and its stability does not depend on $q_{1}$. In particular, the contributions from 2 cancel each other. This independence of $q$ re ects the isotropy of the underlying physical system and the leadingorder approxim ation of the critical circle by its tangents in the direction of ${ }^{\wedge}{ }_{j}$ at each of the three criticalw ave-vectors corresponding to the m odes $A_{j} . T$ his suggests that the steady increase of $\overline{\Phi_{j}}$ w ith xed $\bar{q}_{1 j}$ should be interpreted as the representation of a rotation of the physicalpattem (at xed magnitude of the wave-vector) w thin the approxim ation of the $G$ inzburg-Landau equations $(1,2)$. A sim ilar phenom enon had been observed for hexagons $w$ th broken chiral sym $m$ etry in the absence ofm ean ow [8]. In that system it had been found that if the operator $\hat{\mathrm{n}}_{j} \quad r$ was replaced by the N ew ell-w hitehead-Segel operator $[23,24]$ or by the $G$ unaratne operator [25] the steady grow th of the wave-vector com ponents did not follow ${ }_{j}$ but instead followed the respective lines in Fourier space along w hich the grow th rate ofperturbations of the basic state is constant w thin these two di erent approxim ations [26].

G iven the above discussion, it is appropriate to consider $w$ ithin the approxim ation $(1,2)$ all hexagon solutions w ith $q_{1 j}=0$ as having a wave-vector at the band-center, w ith $q_{j}$ indicating the orientation of the pattem in space. $T$ his raises the question why new dislocations are generated persistently even though the background w avenum ber is in the band center where the periodic hexagon pattems are linearly stable? In [22] it had been found that w ithout m ean ow the PHDs can be unstable even if the wavenum ber of the background hexagon pattem is inside the stable band, but aw ay from the band center. As was shown in Fig. 10 above, in the presence of mean ow PHD s can be unstable even at the band center. W e have not investigated whether there are param eter regin es for which the PHDs are unstable at all background wavenum bers. W hile instability at the band center is consistent w ith
the persistence of spatio-tem poral chaos since the background w avenum ber of the chaotic state is $q=0$, it is not su cient. This is indicated in F ig. 10 , where also the persistence lim it for the chaotic state is shown. Below the squares the PHD 's are unstable but chaos only persists forvalues of below the diam onds. In the param eter regim e between these two lines defects are being created interm ittently during the transient tow ards the stationary state, which leads to uctuations in the defect num ber, but the defect creation rate is too sm all com pared to the annihilation rate to sustain the chaos.

To illustrate explicitly how the instability of P P D at the band center can lead to persistent PHD chaos, we perform ed sim ulations that start from hexagons at the band center ( $q=0$ ) with a pair of PHD as a seed for the nucleation process. The resulting evolution of the number of defects and of the spatially averaged transverse wave-vector com ponent is shown in Figs.13a,b, respectively. C om pared to the sim ulations shown in Fig. 12 b , the value of 3 is reduced to $3=0: 5$. A s a consequence even for $=0: 2$ no new dislocations are generated. For $=0: 4$ there is an initial volley of induced nucleation, but eventually the defects annihilate each other again com pletely. O nly for $0: 5$ indications for persistent nucleation are seen. This illustrates that the instability of PHD is not a su cient condition for persistent chaos; it is necessary that creation balances annihilation for som e nite average num ber off HDs.

W orth noting is the precipitous decline in the defect num ber for $=0: 6$ near $t=900$. At that time the transverse $w$ ave-vector com ponent reaches values close to the $m$ axim al spatial resolution for the num ber of $m$ odes used (128 128). M ore detailed studies of the case with $=0: 7$ and $=0.2$ have show $n$ that if the number of $m$ odes is increased the suppression of the induced nucleation is delayed until larger values of $\overline{\Phi_{j}}$ are reached. Thus, the saturation of $\overline{\Phi_{j}}$ for $=0: 5 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{F}$ ig. 13 b and the associated end of the chaotic activity is a num erical artifact. N ote, how ever, that the chaotic defect states reported here have lasted substantially longer than the transient states found in [22].

Since the defect nucleation persists even forw avenum bers near the band-center it is not surprising that sim ilar chaotic states are reached when the stability lim it for periodic hexagons is crossed on the large-q side. It should be noted that in simulations that started from a slightly perturbed hexagon pattem beyond the low-q stability lim it no persistent nucleation was found when the wavenum ber was too far in the unstable regim e. In that case only a very large num ber of defects w as generated in the in itial phase, which then quidkly annihilated each other.

O fcourse, the steady increase of the transverse w ave-vector com ponent apparent in Fig.11b im plies that after a nite am ount of tim e the magnitude of the


Fig. 13. a) Tem poral evolution of num ber of dislocations for $=0: 5$ and various values of . O ther param eters as in Fig.5.b) Evolution of the spatially averaged transverse w ave vector com ponent $\overline{I j}$.
transverse com ponent $q_{j}$ becom es of the sam e order as the critical w avenum ber. At that point the G inzburg-Landau equations clearly w ill have becom e invalid, since they are based on the approxim ation that the amplitudes $A_{j}$ vary only slow ly com pared to the critical w avelength. W e have therefore also sim ulated a m odi ed Sw iftH ohenberg equation that corresponds to the sam e am plitude equations w ith coe cients corresponding to the values used in the sim ulations presented here. A s expected, we nd that the hexagon pattem keeps rotating on average as the defect proliferation and annihilation continue inde nitely [27].

In general, the other nonlinear gradient term scorresponding to 1 and 2 have to be taken into account as well. W e have perform ed sim ulations for selected param eter values of 1 and 2 that correspond, for instance, to realistic values for surface-tension-driven B enard $M$ arangoni convection ( $1_{1}=0: 3$ and $2=$ 1:1, corresponding to a P randtl number 10 in a single- uid model [28]; ${ }_{1}=0: 1$ and $2=0: 4$ corresponding to a two-uid m odel a water-air layer [29]). W hile we nd induced nucleation even in the absence of rotation and w thout 3 , persistent spatio-tem poral chaos arises only if $3 \quad 0: 8$ in both cases ( $=0 \cdot 2$ ).

## 5 E ects of non-linear gradient term s on PHD m otion

The m otion of individual PHD 's w ithin the lowest-order G inzburg-Landau equations has been studied in detailin $[30,31]$, w here in extension of the results fordislocations in rollpattems [32] the dependence of the velocity of P H D on the badkground w ave-vectors of the three hexagon $m$ odes has been determ ined sem i-analytically. The e ect of the $m$ ean ow on the defect $m$ otion has been discussed in som e detail in [14]. H ere we tum to the im pact of the other non-
variational term $s$, speci cally the non-linear gradient term $s$, on the $m$ otion of the PHD's.

In our analysis we closely follow the approach of [31].W e factor out the background wave-vectors of the three hexagon modes by writing $A_{j} \quad a_{j} e^{i q_{j}} x^{x}$. A ssum ing a constant defect velocity $V$, the tim e derivative in (1) is replaced by $V \quad r . W$ e then pro ject (1) onto the two translation $m$ odes, i.e. for each $j$ we multiply (1) by $\varrho_{x} a_{j}$ and $\varrho_{y} a_{j}$, respectively, and add all three equations and their com plex conjugates. T he resulting projection can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathrm{xx}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{xy}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{F} ; \quad \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{yx}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{yy}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{G} ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the com ponents of the m obility tensor are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{x y}=I_{y x}=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{i=1}^{X^{3}} @_{x} a_{i} @_{y} a_{i}+c: c: ; \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

and $<\stackrel{R R}{>} \quad$ dxdy denotes the integral over the dom ain. The term $s$ on the right-hand-side of equation (1) contribute to $F$ and $G$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}+\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{q}}+\mathrm{F}+{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}+{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}+{ }_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}: \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

$G$ is de ned analogously to $F w$ ith $@_{x} a_{i}$ in front of the square brackets in each of the integrals replaced by $@_{y} a_{i}$. The pro jection $q_{i}$ of the $w$ ave-vector $q$ onto $\hat{n}_{i}$ is given by $q_{i}=q_{i} \quad$ in $T o$ leading order the transverse com ponents $q_{i} \quad i$ of the wave-vectors do not a ect the velocity of the defect. H ere we only focus on situations where $q_{i} \quad G$ is the sam e for all three am plitudes. $N$ ote, that the other term $s$ in (1) do not contribute to (20), which can be seen by integrating by parts and using the appropriate boundary conditions.

In the absence of the non-potential term $s(=1=1=2=3=0)$ the PHD is stationary for $q_{0}=0$ and the two dislocations making up the PHD are located at the sam e position. W thout loss of generality, we assum e that the PHD consists of a pair of dislocations in $A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$. Treating the non-potential term sperturbatively it is su cient to use the potentialsolution for the stationary defect to evaluate the inhom ogeneous term sF and G. Far aw ay from the defect core the pattem is welldescribed by the phase equations; rew riting the dem odulated am plitude as $a_{j}={ }_{j}(r ;) e^{i{ }_{j}(r ;)}$, where $r$ is the radial distance from the defect core and is the azim uthal angle around the core, one obtains then [33] ${ }_{i}(r!1 ;)!0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=\frac{1}{2^{p} \overline{3}}(1 \quad \cos (2)) ;  \tag{21}\\
& 2=\frac{1}{2} \overline{3} \frac{1}{2}+\cos \left(2 \quad \frac{2}{3}\right) ;  \tag{22}\\
& 3=\frac{1}{2} \overline{3} \frac{1}{2}+\cos \left(2+\frac{2}{3}\right): \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

This solution is utilized for the far eld contribution to the integrals for $F$ and G.The num erical solution of the G inzburg-Landau equation $(1,2)$ is used for the evaluation of the integral in the core region. It can be shown that the far- eld contribution to the integrals $G_{1}, G_{2}$, and $F_{3}$ is zero, while that to the integrals $F_{1}, F_{2}$, and $G_{3}$ is non-zero. For exam ple, for $G_{1}$ the angular integralinvolving 1 vanishes, and those involving 2 and 3 canceleach other, leading to a vanishing contribution to $G_{1}$ from the far eld. $N$ um erically, we also found that the core integrals for $G_{1}, G_{2}$, and $F_{3}$ vanish; they are at least 100 tim es $s m$ aller than the core integrals for $\mathrm{F}_{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{G}_{3}$. In the follow ing we ignore $F$ and $G$; sim ilar to the integrals $G_{1}, G_{2}$, and $F_{3}$, the integrals $F$ and G vanish in the far-eld and am ount to very sm all values when evaluated num erically around the core. Thus, we conclude that to leading order in the non-potential term s (and the wavenum ber $q_{0}$ ) the velocity of an individual PHD is well approxim ated by

To evaluate the $m$ obility tensor on the lefthand side of (24) it is not su cient to insert the solution for the stationary defect in (19) since it leads to integrals that diverge in the far eld. To regularize this singularity the solution for the m oving defect has to be used, at least in the far eld $[34,32,31]$. To leading order, the non-potential term s can be neglected in the mobility tensor. T hen its o -diagonal term $s$ are much sm aller than the diagonal term $s$ [31]. U sing


Fig. 14. Defect velocity due to $1 ; 2$ (glide) and due to 3 (clim b), respectively, for $q_{0}=0,=1,=02,=0$, and $=2$.
the num erically determ ined defect solution of the fullequations $(1,2)$, we also nd $I_{x y}$ to be m uch sm aller than either $I_{x x}$ or $I_{y y}$ (by a factor of 100) when we only com pute the integral w thin a box enclosing the defect core and neglect the far- eld contribution.

Thee ect of the non-linear gradient term $s$ can now be sum $m$ arized as follow $s$ : since the o -diagonalterm s in the $m$ obility tensor are $s m$ all, the contributions of 1 and 2 to the velocity of a PHD w ith dislocations in am plitudes $A_{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ are in the $\mathrm{n}_{1}$-direction ( $\backslash$ glide"), while 3 causes such a PHD to travel in the ${ }_{1}$-direction ( $\backslash c l i m b "$ ). Furtherm ore, like the $m$ ean ow the nonlinear gradient term s contribute to a shift of the wavenum ber at which the defect is stationary aw ay from the band center $q=0$.

The above conclusion is con m ed in direct num erical sim ulations of ( 1,2 ). In Fig. 14 we plot the velocity of a P HD w th charges ( $0 ; ~ ;+$ ) for $=1, ~ \mathbb{C}=0$,
$=0: 2$, and $=0$ as a function of the strength of the nonlinear gradient term s . A s expected from the discussion of (24), if only ${ }_{1}$ or 2 are non-zero the defect glides, whereas it clim bs if only 3 is present. T he velocities change sign if the charges of the PHD are reversed.

Fig. 14 indicates that the linear dependence of the velocity on the $i$ is restricted to a range $j_{i j} 0: 2$. It is found, however, that the direction of the defect $m$ otion rem ains the sam e for $i$ outside that range, i.e. 1 and 2 cause defects to glide while defects clim b due to 3 . In com parison, in previous nu$m$ erical sim ulationswe found that the m ean ow causes the PHD's to perform a com bined climb-glide $m$ otion [14]. This indicates that both $F$ and $G$ are non-zero in equation (24).F ig. 15 show s the dependence of the defect velocity on the wavenum ber $q$. For $3 \in 0$, the defect perform s a m ixed climb-glide $m$ otion if the wave num ber is not at the band center, i.e. if $q \in 0$.


Fig. 15. Dependence of the defect velocity on the wavenum ber $q$ for $=1$, $=2$ and $=0 . i_{i}=0$ except as indicated: $1_{1}=0: 3$ (circles), $2=0: 3$ (triangles), $3=0: 3$ (squares). D otted line is for the variational case $1=2=3=0$.

## 6 C onclusion

The variationalcharacter ofthem inim alG inzburg-Landau equations forsteady hexagon pattems can be broken in various ways. Q uite generally, at cubic order two nonlinear gradient term $s$ arise $[35,36]$. If the chiral sym $m$ etry of the system is broken (e.g.by rotation) a third nonlinear gradient term is possible [8]. In $R$ ayleigh - $B$ enard and in $M$ arangoniconvection the $m$ ean ow driven by deform ations of the pattem introduce a further non-variational term in the form of a non-local coupling of the rollm odes (e.g. [16,37]). In this paper we have studied the combined e ect of the $m$ ean ow and of rotation (inchuding the respective nonlinear gradient term ) on the stability and dynam ics of hexagon pattems as well as on the stability and $m$ otion of their penta-hepta defects.

R otation induces a coupling of the two long-w ave phase $m$ odes that can generate a long-w ave oscillatory instability, which in the absence of nonlinear gradient term s is, how ever, usually screened by a steady short-w ave instabilty [8]. The m ean ow is only driven by the transverse phase m ode [14]. O ur results indicate that it suppresses the rotation-induced coupling of the phase m odes that leads to oscillatory behavior.

The various non-variationalterm $s$ in uence the $m$ otion of penta-hepta defects in di erent ways. W hile the nonlinear gradient term $s$ that preserve the chiral sym $m$ etry contribute to a gliding $m$ otion of the defects, the nonlinear gradient term introduced by rotation induces a clim bing $m$ otion. This is to be contrasted w the the ect of the $m$ ean ow, which leads to a $m$ ixed clim binggliding $m$ otion.

The m ost interesting result of this paper is associated $w$ ith the fact that the
nonlinear gradient term $s$ lead to a separation of the two dislocations $m$ aking up a penta-hepta defect and can destabilize it [22]. M ore speci cally, in simulations we nd that penta-hepta defects induce the nucleation ofnew dislocations in the defect-free am plitude. Even a weak $m$ ean ow can enhance this instability signi cantly. M oreover, w ith a su ciently strong nonlinear gradient term that breaks the chiral sym $m$ etry ( 3 ) the induced nucleation can lead to an ever-increasing transverse wavevector com ponent of the hexagon pattems. A s in the case w ithout $m$ ean ow [8], we interpret this grow th, which eventually leads to the break-down of the G inzburg-Landau equations, as the signature of a persistent precession of the disordered pattem on average. In Fourier space, the wave-vector spectrum of such a precessing pattem would drift along the critical circle. In the low est-order $G$ inzburg-Landau equations used here the critical circle is replaced by its tangents at each of the three $m$ odes $m$ aking up the hexagons, which are transverse to the respective wavevectors. $W$ e expect therefore that in this regim e the physical system would exhibit persistent penta-hepta defect chaos driven by induced nucleation.

To overcom e the lim itations of the $G$ inzburg-Landau equations, we are currently investigating penta-hepta defect chaos using a suitably m odi ed Sw ift$H$ ohenberg-type equations coupled to a $m$ ean ow [27]. A s expected from the sim ulations of the G inzburg-Landau equations presented in the present paper, the penta-hepta defect chaos persists and on average the disordered hexagon pattems precess inde nitely. As in the $G$ inzburg-Landau case, the chaotic state is due to the induced nucleation of penta-hepta defects, which is apparently only possible when the nonlinear gradient term s are included. T hese sim ulations also indicate that the induced nucleation is not contingent on the oscillatory sideband instability; rather it is the separation of the dislocations in a penta-hepta defect that renders them unstable and induces the nucleation. To obtain persistent chaos the resulting defect proliferation has to be strong enough com pared to the annihilation rate and apparently the chiralsym m etry has to be broken.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ W hile for the param eters corresponding to $g .4 b$ hexagons of all wavelengths are unstable for $>0: 6$ num erical sim ulations in this regim e show that the defects arising from the instability serve as nucleation sites for rolls, whidh then invade the whole system .

