

Running head: Stable periodic waves in coupled K S-K dV equations

Stable Periodic Waves in Coupled Kuramoto-Sivashinsky { Korteweg-de Vries Equations

Bao-Feng FENG, Boris A. MALOMED¹ and Takuji KAWAHARA²

Department of Mathematics, the University of Kansas,
Lawrence, KS 66045, U.S.A.

¹Instituto de Física Teórica { UNESP, R. Pamplona 145,
01405-900 São Paulo, Brazil

²Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Graduate School of
Engineering, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501

(Received 2002)

Periodic waves are investigated in a system composed of a Kuramoto - Sivashinsky { Korteweg - de Vries (K S-K dV) equation linearly coupled to an extra linear dissipative one. The model describes, e.g., a two-layer liquid flowing down an inclined plane. It has been recently shown that the system supports stable solitary pulses. We demonstrate that a perturbation analysis, based on the balance equation for the net field momentum, predicts the existence of stable cnoidal waves (CnWs) in the same system. It is found that the mean value u_0 of the wave field u in the main subsystem, but not the mean value of the extra field, affects the stability of the periodic waves. Three different areas can be distinguished inside the stability region in the parameter plane (L, u_0) , where L is the wave's period. In these areas, stable are, respectively, CnWs with positive velocity, constant solutions, and CnWs with negative velocity. Multistability, i.e., the coexistence of several attractors, including the waves with several maxima per period, appears at large value of L . The analytical predictions are completely confirmed by direct simulations. Stable waves are also found numerically in the limit of vanishing dispersion, when the K S-K dV equation goes over into the K S one.

KEYWORDS: periodic waves, Kuramoto-Sivashinsky { Korteweg de Vries equation

Present address: Department of Interdisciplinary Studies, Faculty of Engineering, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

E-mail: kawahara@inpaet.kuaero.kyoto-u.ac.jp

x1. Introduction

It is commonly known that periodic waves, as well as their solitary-wave (SW) counterparts, are coherent structures of fundamental importance in the study of physical systems governed by nonlinear evolution equations [1]. Stability of these solutions under small perturbations is an issue of basic interest in its own right and for applications, that is why it has been investigated in many works (see, e.g., refs. [2]–[7] and references therein). In particular, the stability of periodic waves in perturbed Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) and Benjamin-Ono equations was investigated, respectively, in refs. [8]–[11] and [12, 13].

An important one-dimensional wave-generating model that combines conservative and dissipative effects is a mixed Kuramoto–Sivashinsky (KS)–KdV equation,

$$u_t + uu_x + u_{xxx} = -\gamma u_{xx} - \mu u_{xxxx}; \quad (1)$$

with $\gamma > 0$, which was first introduced by Benney [14] and is often called the Benney equation. This equation finds various applications in plasma physics, hydrodynamics and other fields [15]–[17]. Localized structures are, obviously, important objects in systems of this type [18]–[21]; however, SWs cannot be stable in the Benney equation proper, as the zero solution, which is a background on top of which SWs are to be found, is linearly unstable in this equation due to the presence of the linear gain, which is accounted for by the coefficient $-\gamma$ in eq. (1) [7]. A stabilized version of the Benney equation was recently proposed by the present authors in ref. [22]. It is based on the KS–KdV equation for a wave field $u(x;t)$, which is linearly coupled to an additional linear dissipative equation for an extra field $v(x;t)$, that provides for the stabilization of the zero background:

$$u_t + uu_x + u_{xxx} - \gamma u_{xx} = -\mu u_{xxxx}; \quad (2)$$

$$v_t + cv_x - u_x = -\nu v_{xx}; \quad (3)$$

Here, γ , μ , and ν are positive coefficients accounting for the gain and loss in the u -subsystem and loss in the v -subsystem, respectively, and c is a group-velocity mismatch between the fields. The system describes, for instance, the propagation of surface waves in a two-layer liquid film in the case when one layer is dominated by viscosity (see a review [5] and ref. [22] and references therein).

In the work [22], it was shown that the stability of the zero solution to eqs. (2) and (3) is compatible with the existence of stable SWs. Actually, SW solutions for this system were obtained, with a high accuracy, in an approximate analytical form by means of a perturbation theory based on a balance equation for the net wave momentum. The existence and stability of these solitary pulses was confirmed by direct simulations. In fact, it is the first example of stable SWs in models of the KS type.

In contrast to SWs, periodic waves can be stable in the Benney equation [2]–[4], [23]–[25]. Periodic waves are more likely than SWs to be stable in dissipative nonlinear systems

because the stability of the zero solution is not a necessary condition in this case. Furthermore, in experiments it is easier to observe periodic waves than SWs, this is why detailed study of their existence and stability is a relevant problem.

A purpose of this work is to find periodic-wave solutions to the system of eqs. (2) and (3), and to investigate their stability. In x2, we find a family of exact cnoidal-wave (CnW) solutions to a zero-order system, in which the gain and loss are dropped. In x3, a perturbation theory for the periodic waves is developed by treating the gain and loss as small perturbations. To this end, the balance equation for the net field momentum is employed, following the technique developed for SWs in ref. [22]. We demonstrate that a condition for equilibrium between the gain and loss may select two (or none) particular steady-state CnW solutions out of the continuous family existing in the zero-order system. In the case when two solutions are selected, the one with a larger amplitude is stable, while the other one is unstable, playing the role of a separatrix bordering an attraction domain of the stable CnW.

In x4, we present results of direct numerical simulations of the full system, which turn out to be in very good agreement with the analytical predictions. In x5, we briefly consider the special case of the system with the vanishing dispersion, i.e., with the KS-KdV equation (2) replaced by the KS one. In this case, direct simulations demonstrate the existence of stable periodic waves. The paper is concluded by x6.

x2. Cnoidal Waves in the Zero-Order System

In this work, we focus on the study of steady traveling-wave solutions to eqs. (2) and (3) in a spatially periodic domain $0 < x < L$ with boundary conditions (b.c.)

$$\begin{aligned} u(0) &= u(L); & u_x(0) &= u_x(L); & u_{xx}(0) &= u_{xx}(L); & u_{xxx}(0) &= u_{xxx}(L); \\ v(0) &= v(L); & v_x(0) &= v_x(L); \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Due to the imposed periodic b.c., the stability results obtained below (in x4) by means of direct simulations are limited to perturbations which have the same periodicity as the unperturbed solution. In a long system, a periodic wave may be subject to extra instabilities against periodicity-breaking perturbations. However, in this work we will display generic examples of stable waves with a fundamental period $L=N$ rather than L , with N taking values, typically, 3 or 4. Those examples will demonstrate that, as a matter of fact, the waves may be stable against periodicity-breaking perturbations too.

The group-velocity parameter c may be set equal to zero in eq. (3), as a substitution

$$u \rightarrow u + u_0; \quad x \rightarrow x + u_0 t \quad (5)$$

transforms eqs. (2) and (3) into the same system, with c replaced by $c - u_0$. In other words, we can eliminate c by subtracting the constant (background) value $u_0 - c$ from the field $u(x;t)$ (obviously, this transformation could not be applied in the case of SWs,

for which the zero value of the background must be kept). Therefore, c is assumed to be zero hereafter.

In the zero-order approximation, corresponding to $\epsilon = \eta = \gamma = 0$, eqs. (2) and (3) take the form of a conservative system consisting of the KdV equation coupled to an extra linear one:

$$u_t + uu_x + u_{xxx} = v_x; \quad v_t = u_x \quad (6)$$

(recall we have set $c = 0$). In ref. [22] it was demonstrated that the conservative system (6) is not an integrable one.

It is well known that the KdV equation proper,

$$u_t + uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0; \quad (7)$$

admits a family of periodic-wave solutions that have an arbitrary period L and travel at an arbitrary velocity s . These solutions are often called cnoidal waves (CnWs), which stems from the symbol cn for the elliptic cosine. Making use of definitions

$$x = st; \quad L = 2K(m); \quad (8)$$

CnW solutions to the KdV equation can be cast in the form

$$u(x;t) = 12^{-\frac{h}{2}} \text{dn}^2\left(\frac{x}{L}; m\right) - \frac{E(m)}{K(m)} = K(m); \quad (9)$$

$$s = 4^{-\frac{2}{3}} [(2 - m) - 3E(m)/K(m)]: \quad (10)$$

Here, h is an intrinsic parameter of the solution family, which determines the amplitude and shape of the wave, $\text{dn}(\cdot; m)$ is the Jacobi's elliptic function with the modulus $q = \frac{P}{m}$, and $K(m)$ and $E(m)$ are complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds. In the limit of $m \rightarrow 1$, the CnW solution goes over into the KdV soliton. Note that the solution (9) has a zero mean value of $u(\cdot)$.

On the basis of the CnW solutions to the KdV equation presented above, it is easy to generate a family of periodic-wave solutions to the zero-order system (6), making use of the same definitions (8) as above. The result is

$$u(x;t) = u_0 + 12^{-\frac{h}{2}} \text{dn}^2\left(\frac{x}{L}; m\right) - \frac{E(m)}{K(m)} = K(m); \quad (11)$$

$$v(x;t) = v_0 - 12^{-\frac{2h}{s}} \text{dn}^2\left(\frac{x}{L}; m\right) - \frac{E(m)}{K(m)} = K(m); \quad (12)$$

where the wave's velocity s is related to the mean value u_0 of the u -field as follows:

$$u_0 + 4^{-\frac{2}{3}} [(2 - m) - 3E(m)/K(m)] = s^{-\frac{1}{s}}; \quad (13)$$

Lastly, v_0 is the mean value of the v -field.

3. The Perturbation Theory for Cnoidal Waves

In this section, we perform a perturbation analysis of the full system of eqs. (2) and (3) in the presence of the gain and loss terms. First, we notice that eqs. (2) and (3) conserve two "masses",

$$M = \int_0^{Z_L} u(x) dx; \quad N = \int_0^{Z_L} v(x) dx$$

(which indeed have the meaning of masses in the application to liquid flows, see refs. [5, 22] and references therein). The net field momentum P for periodic solutions is defined as

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{Z_L} (u^2(x) + v^2(x)) dx; \quad (14)$$

Unlike the masses, the momentum is not conserved by eqs. (2) and (3), but it is conserved in the zero-order approximation, setting $\gamma = \gamma_0 = 0$, therefore the balance equation for P may be naturally used as a basis for the simplest perturbation theory [22].

With regard to the periodic boundary conditions (4), an exact evolution (balance) equation for P can be easily derived:

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \int_0^{Z_L} (u_x^2 - u_{xx}^2 - v_x^2) dx; \quad (15)$$

In the first-order approximation of the perturbation theory, we assume that the system inherits the unperturbed CnW solutions in the form of eqs. (11) and (12), while the amplitude parameter may vary as a function of the slow time, T . In particular, the momentum corresponding to the unperturbed solution is

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx + 48 \frac{1}{s^2} \quad ; \quad (16)$$

Calculating the integral on the r.h.s. (right-hand side) of eq. (15) analytically and substituting the expression (16) in l.h.s., we derive an evolution equation for the amplitude,

$$\frac{d}{dT} = \frac{6 \frac{1}{s^2} (s^2 + 1)}{105c_0 \frac{1}{s^2} (s^2 + 1)^2 - 4 (s^2 - u_0 s - 1)} \frac{1}{80 c_2^2 + 28c_1} = s^2 \frac{1}{s^2}; \quad (17)$$

where we define

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= (m-1)(3m-2)K(m) - 2(1-2m)E(m) \\ &\quad - 3[E(m) + (m-1)K(m)]^2 = K(m); \\ c_1 &= (m-1)(m-2)K(m) - 2(1-m+m^2)E(m); \\ c_2 &= (m-1)(m^2+2m-2)K(m) - (2-3m-3m^2+2m^3)E(m); \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

In the subsequent analysis, it is more convenient to follow the evolution of the wave's velocity s , rather than the amplitude η . To this end, we express η in terms of s , making use of eq. (13):

$$\eta^2 = \frac{s - 1/s - u_0}{(2-m) - 3E(m) - K(m)}; \quad (19)$$

a corollary of which is

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{8 [(2-m) - 3E(m) - K(m)]}{1 + s^2}; \quad (20)$$

Using eqs. (19) and (20), we derive, after some algebra, the following evolution equation for s from eq. (17):

$$\frac{ds}{dT} = \frac{C s^2 (s - 1 + s - u_0)^2}{3 (s^2 + 1)^2 - 4 (s^2 - u_0 s - 1)} (s^3 + a_2 s^2 - s - a_0); \quad (21)$$

where

$$C = \frac{4 c_2}{7 c_0 [(2-m) - 3E(m) - K(m)]^2};$$

$$a_2 = u_0 + 1; 4(=) c_1 [(2-m) - 3E(m) - K(m)] = c_2;$$

$$a_0 = 1; 4(=) c_1 [(2-m) - 3E(m) - K(m)] = c_2; \quad (22)$$

and the constants $c_0; c_1; c_2$ were defined in eq. (18).

A steady state providing for equilibrium between the gain and loss is a fixed point (FP) of eq. (21), which is attained when r.h.s. of the equation vanishes. This is possible either if s is a root of the cubic equation

$$s^3 + a_2 s^2 - s - a_0 = 0; \quad (23)$$

or if $s - 1 + s - u_0 = 0$, i.e.,

$$s = \frac{1}{2} (u_0 + \sqrt{u_0^2 + 4}); \quad (24)$$

The FPs (24) lead to a trivial result, as it immediately follows from eq. (13) that they corresponds to a constant solution with $\dot{s} = 0$. Therefore, we now focus on eq. (23). In fact, precisely the same equation (23) can also be obtained from the solvability condition of a standard asymptotic perturbation analysis of the full system (we do not present it here).

Physical roots of eq. (23) are those which are not only real but also provide for $\dot{s} > 0$ through eq. (19). Noting that the combination $(2-m) - 3E(m) - K(m)$ in eq. (19) is negative if $m < 0.96$, and positive if $m > 0.96$, we conclude that physical roots must belong to the following intervals, in order to guarantee $\dot{s} > 0$:

$$s < \frac{1}{2} (u_0 + \sqrt{u_0^2 + 4}); \text{ or } 0 < s < \frac{1}{2} (u_0 + \sqrt{u_0^2 + 4}); \quad \text{if } m < 0.96;$$

$$\frac{1}{2} (u_0 + \sqrt{u_0^2 + 4}) < s < 0; \text{ or } s > \frac{1}{2} (u_0 + \sqrt{u_0^2 + 4}); \quad \text{if } m > 0.96; \quad (25)$$

In particular, for $u_0 = 0$, physical roots lie in the intervals $s < -1$ or $0 < s < 1$ if $m < 0.96$, and $-1 < s < 0$ or $s > 1$ if $m > 0.96$.

Further, we define $q = 1 - 3(a_2 - 3)^2$ and $r = (1 - 6)(3a_0 - a_2)$ for eq. (23). Then, as is well known, roots of the cubic equation can be classified as follows: there are one

real root and a pair of complex conjugate ones if $q^3 + r^2 > 0$, and three real roots if $q^3 + r^2 = 0$. Thus, generally speaking, there might exist up to three physical roots to eq. (23). However, a detailed numerical analysis based on the above formulas has only revealed cases with two physical roots, or none. In particular, if there is a single real root of the cubic equation (23), this root is always unphysical, as it does not satisfy the above-mentioned condition $r^2 > 0$. Two other roots are physical if they are real. Moreover, if $m < 0.96$, both physical roots are negative, $s < (u_0 + \sqrt{u_0^2 + 4})/2$, and if $m > 0.96$, both of them are positive, $s > (u_0 + \sqrt{u_0^2 + 4})/2$. Because s is the velocity of the traveling CnWs, in these two cases we will call the waves left-moving and right-moving ones, respectively.

Equation (21) also provides for a necessary, although, strictly speaking, not sufficient, information about the stability of the CnW solutions. Indeed, a necessary condition is that the corresponding FP be stable within the framework of eq. (21). It can be checked that the sign of r.h.s. of eq. (21) always changes from positive to negative when passing (from left to right) through FP corresponding to a larger value of the speed $|s|$ (it can be demonstrated that it always corresponds to a larger value of the amplitude too), so this FP is obviously stable as a solution to eq. (21). Similarly, FP corresponding to the smaller values of $|s|$ and u is always unstable, playing the role of a separatrix bordering the attraction domain of the stable FP. Direct simulations (see below) have demonstrated that, whenever the CnW solution corresponds to FP is stable as the solution to eq. (21), it turns out to be as well stable as a periodic-wave solution of the full system of eqs. (2) and (3).

It is relevant to mention that quite a similar conclusion was conjectured analytically and proved by means of direct simulations in ref. [22] for SW solutions to eqs. (2) and (3). The SW solutions also appeared in pairs, the pulses with larger and smaller values of the amplitude being stable and unstable, respectively.

Note that all the above conditions, for instance, eqs. (25), involve only the mean value u_0 of the field in the main (u -) subsystem, but not the mean value v_0 of the extra field. Therefore, we conjecture that the stability of the CnW solutions does not depend on v_0 . This point will be confirmed by numerical simulations reported in the next section. Thus, for fixed values of the gain and loss parameters γ and δ , the stability of the periodic waves is determined by u_0 and m , the latter constant being related to the period L , see eqs. (8). This suggests to display stability regions for the CnW solutions in the (L, u_0) parametric plane, if the values of γ , δ , and m are fixed, which will be done below.

Adopting the principle stated above, i.e., that the CnW solution with the larger values of $|s|$ and u is stable, we can summarize predictions for the stability in the form of parametric regions; in the next section, the predictions will be checked against direct simulations of eqs. (2) and (3). Figure 1 shows the stability region predicted in the plane (L, u_0) for the case $\gamma = 0.15$, $\delta = 0.05$, and $m = 0.2$. Three different areas can be identified in this case: the right-moving waves are stable in the area I, constant solutions are stable in the area II, and the left-moving waves are stable in the area III. It can be

seen from Fig. 1 that, within the range considered, the left-moving waves disappear if the mean value u_0 exceeds a certain critical value (0.6), whereas the right-moving waves only exist when u_0 is larger than another critical value (0.12).

Note that, when u_0 is fixed to be zero, Fig. 1 has gaps on the axis of L inside which stable waves are absent. Indeed, the left-moving waves exist if $4.0 < L < 6$ or $L > 8.0$, and the right-moving ones exist if $L > 16.75$, i.e., the gaps are $L < 4.0$ and $6.0 < L < 8.0$. This situation can be explained in detail in terms of eq. (23). Indeed, it is easy to check that, if $u_0 = 0$, two physical roots to eq. (23) exist if $m < 0.7052$ or $m > 0.999858$, the nonexistence gap $6.0 < L < 8.0$ in Fig. 1 (for $u_0 = 0$) being a consequence of the discontinuity in the values of m at which eq. (23) has two physical roots. More accurately, $m = 0.7052$ corresponds to $L = 5.997$. It is the maximum value of L for which the left-moving periodic waves with a single maximum per period can be found; such waves may be naturally called single-hump ones. Left-traveling waves reappear at $L = 8.0$, this time having $N = 2; 3; \dots$ identical humps inside one period (so that the fundamental period of the wave is L/N rather than N). Single-hump right-moving waves appear when $L = 16.75$, which corresponds to the above-mentioned value of $m = 0.999858$. At this point, a pair of complex conjugate roots of eq. (23) bifurcate into two physical ones.

On the other hand, eqs. (23) and (22) predict that, as $m \rightarrow 0$, the period L takes the minimum value, $L_{\min} = 3.965$, at which stable CNWs may exist. This explains the presence of the other above-mentioned gap, $L < 4$.

The model easily gives rise to a multistability, i.e., coexistence of several attractors at the same values of parameters, an example being overlapping between the areas I and III in Fig. 1. The multistability is well confirmed by numerical simulations reported in the next section.

Figure 2 displays stability areas for another set of parameter values: $\alpha = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.05$, $\gamma = 0.15$. In this case, there is no overlapping between the areas I and III, which are separated by the area II, where a constant solution is the single attractor. Moreover, no stable left- or right-moving waves can be found for $u_0 = 0$ in this case, which is a drastic difference from the situation displayed in Fig. 1. Left-moving waves exist if u_0 is smaller than 0.15 , while the right-moving waves cease to exist if $u_0 > 0.2$ and $L > 35$. In particular, when $u_0 = 0.2$, the single-hump stable periodic wave exists in an interval $5.9 < L < 7.2$, and when $u_0 = 0.3$, the interval is $5.5 < L < 8.5$. For these values of u_0 , multistability takes place at large values of L , not as the coexistence of left- and right-traveling waves, but rather as coexistence of stable waves traveling in one direction but having different numbers of humps per period. As it was shown in ref. [22], the model also supports a stable SW at the same values of the parameters. Therefore, a conclusion is that, as $L \rightarrow 1$, the stable single-hump right-moving wave carries over into the stable SW, with the corresponding mean value u_0 vanishing in this limit.

4. Numerical Simulations

In this section, we aim to verify predictions for the existence and stability of periodic waves by direct simulations. To this end, eqs. (2) and (3) were integrated by an implicit Fourier pseudo-spectral method (the same as the one used in ref. [22]), with two different types of initial conditions, $\sin(kx)$ and $\text{sech}^2(x)$.

Firstly, for the same set of parameter values, $\alpha = 0.15$, $\beta = 0.05$, $\gamma = 0.2$, as was used in Fig. 1, and $u_0 = 0$, various numerical experiments were conducted with different values of L and different initial conditions. As a result, stable periodic left-moving waves with a single hump per period were found in an interval $4.0 < L < 6.5$, at it was also concluded that stable waves are absent in an interval $6.5 < L < 7.9$. Left-moving periodic waves with two identical humps per period were found for $L > 7.9$. These findings are in very good agreement with the predictions of the perturbation theory which were explained in detail in the previous section and summarized in Fig. 1. On the other hand, single-hump right-moving periodic waves were observed for $L > 18.0$, which should be compared to the above-mentioned theoretical prediction $L > 16.75$.

Figure 3 shows profiles of the stable waves found from the simulations for $L = 6.0$ and $L = 10.0$, with one and two humps per period, respectively. These profiles were obtained from the $\sin(kx)$ initial conditions. At these values of the parameters, all the initial profiles either evolve into a single wave, or decay into a trivial state. It can be checked that the numerically found values of the amplitude and velocity of the wave agree well with the analytical predictions, see caption to Fig. 3.

It is also necessary to check the prediction that the mean value v_0 of the external field does not affect the stability of the periodic waves. To this end, we conducted a numerical experiment, fixing $L = 12$ and u_0 to be 2.0 or -1.0 . These values correspond to points in the areas I and III, respectively, in Fig. 1. Then, we investigated the established wave profiles for various values of v_0 . A typical result is displayed in Fig. 4, clearly showing that the mean value v_0 affects neither the stability nor the shape of the u -component.

Another important issue to be checked is the multistability. Based on the previous results, it is clear that the separation between local maxima in a stable periodic left-moving wave train (for the same values of parameters as in Figs. 3 and 4) is between 4.0 and 6.0 , as predicted analytically, or between 4.0 and 6.5 as found numerically, if $u_0 = 0$. Therefore, when the period is $L = 18.0$, the number N of humps per period must belong to the interval $18/6.5 < N < 18/4.0$, which yields $N = 3$ or $N = 4$. Thus, the coexistence of two left-traveling waves with the fundamental periods $L=3$ and $L=4$ is expected in this case. As it was mentioned above, the stability of the periodic waves with the fundamental period essentially smaller than L implies that waves in the present model may also be stable against perturbations breaking their periodicity. In fact, taking larger values of L , we were able to observe, at various values of the parameters, stable periodic waves with the fundamental period as small as $L=16$.

The single-hump right-moving stable wave was predicted to exist too at the same values of the parameters for $L > 18.0$. All these predictions were confirmed by numerical simulations. Stable left-moving waves with three and four humps per period, and stable

single-hump right-moving wave are shown in Fig. 5 for $L = 18.0$. Again, the numerical results for the amplitudes and velocities of the waves are found to agree well with the theoretical predictions. We stress that no other waves but the ones mentioned here had been turned up by simulations at these values of the parameters.

Lastly, we display numerical results for another set of parameters: $\gamma = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.05$, and $\alpha = 0.15$. When $u_0 = 0$, no left- or right-traveling stable periodic wave can be found, various initial conditions relaxing into a trivial uniform state in this case. Recall that precisely this feature was predicted in the previous section (see also Fig. 2). With $u_0 = -0.2$, stable single-hump left-moving waves are found for $5.8 < L < 7.2$ (the analytical prediction being $5.9 < L < 7.2$); when $u_0 = -0.3$, stable single-hump left-moving waves are found for $5.5 < L < 8.4$ (the analytical prediction is $5.5 < L < 8.5$). As is seen, the numerical results agree with the predictions very well. In other words, the inter-pulse separation for a stable periodic left-traveling wavetrain is, in this case, between 5.8 and 7.2 for $u_0 = -0.2$, and between 5.5 and 8.4 for $u_0 = -0.3$. Therefore, if the period L is large, the multistability must manifest itself as the coexistence of several different waves with multiple humps. Figure 6 shows two- and three-hump left-moving waves for fixed values of $L = 24.0$ and $u_0 = -0.3$. In this case, the possible number of the humps per period may be $24/8.4 < N < 24/5.5$, implying $N = 3$ or $N = 4$, which is confirmed by Fig. 6. On the other hand, when u_0 becomes positive, there may exist right-moving single-hump waves. Several right-moving waves are shown in Fig. 7 for different values of u_0 and L .

5. The Zero-Dispersion Limit

All the results presented above were obtained for the case when the linear gain and loss terms in the underlying equations (2) and (3) are, as a matter of fact, small perturbations in comparison with the dispersive term (third derivative) in eq. (2). It is also interesting to consider the opposite limit of the zero-dispersion system, when the KS-KdV equation (2) is replaced by the KS equation proper, so that the system takes the form

$$u_t + uu_x - v_x = -u_{xx} - u_{xxx}; \quad (26)$$

$$v_t - u_x = -v_x; \quad (27)$$

[following the arguments presented above, we set $c = 0$ in eq. (27)].

First of all, it is relevant to mention that, on the contrary to the model based on the KS-KdV (Benney) equation, the zero-dispersion model does not support stable SWs. Our numerical simulations demonstrate that, with the decrease of the coefficient D in front of the third derivative in the KS-KdV equation [in eq. (2) this coefficient was 1], there is a finite critical value D_{cr} , such that no stable pulses can be found for $D < D_{cr}$. However, in the coupled system (26) and (27), stable periodic waves continue to exist, both with one and several humps, and, unlike the KS equation proper (see, refs. [26, 27] and references therein), the present system is not prone to generate dynamical chaos.

Typical examples of stable periodic-wave solutions to the system (26) and (27) with one and two humps are displayed in Fig. 8. Quite similar results were obtained at many other values of the parameters, while spatiotemporal chaos has never been observed, although the search for chaos in the system's parameter space was not exhaustive.

6. Conclusion

In this work, we have studied cnoidal-like waves and their stability in the system of linearly coupled KS-KdV equations. We first constructed a family of cnoidal-wave solutions for the zero-order system, which does not include the gain and loss terms. Then, treating the gain and dissipation as small perturbations, and making use of the balance equation for the net field momentum, we have found necessary conditions for the existence of stable cnoidal waves. Finally, the predictions were checked against direct numerical simulations, showing very good quantitative agreement in all the cases considered. The obtained results can be summarized as follows:

1. Formean value v_0 of the field in the linear subsystem does not affect stability of the periodic waves. The stability is determined by the mean value u_0 of the field in the main subsystem and the wave's period L .
2. For fixed values of the model's parameters, three different stability regions are found in the (L, u_0) plane, viz., region I for right-moving waves, region II for trivial solutions and region III for left-moving waves.
3. The three stability regions can overlap with each other, which leads to multistability.
4. At large values of L , the multistability manifests itself also as coexistence of stable waves moving in one direction but having different fundamental periods $L=N$, with an integer N . In fact, N may take large values, which implies that the periodic waves are also stable against perturbations breaking their periodicity.

References

- [1] M.C. Cross and P.C. Hohenberg: Rev. Mod. Phys. 65 (1993) 851.
- [2] T. Kawahara and S. Toh: Phys. Fluids 28 (1985) 1636.
- [3] T. Kawahara and S. Toh: Dynamical Problems in Soliton Systems, ed. S. Takeno (Springer, Berlin, 1985) p.135.
- [4] T. Kawahara and S. Toh: Nonlinear Wave Motion, ed. A. Jeffrey (Longman, New York, 1989) p.95.
- [5] A. Oron, S.H. Davis, and S.G. Banko : Rev. Mod. Phys. 69 (1997) 931.

- [6] L.O strovsky and K .G orshkov: Nonlinear Science at the Dawn of the 21st Century, ed. P .L .Christiansen, M .P .Sorensen, and A .C . Scott (Springer, Berlin, 2000) p.47.
- [7] H .K onno and P .S .Lom dahl: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. 69 (2000) 1629.
- [8] E .A .K uznetsov, M .D .Spector, and G .E .Falkovich: Physica D , 10 (1984) 379.
- [9] M .D .Spector: Sov.Phys.JETP , 67 (1988) 104.
- [10] A .B .M ikhaïlovskii, S.V .M akuin, and A .I .Sm olyakov: Sov.Phys.JETP 62 (1985) 928.
- [11] D .E .Bar and A .A .Nepom nyashchy: Physica D 86 (1995) 586.
- [12] H .H .Chen and D .J. Kaup: Phys.Fluids 23 (1983) 235.
- [13] M .D .Spector and T .M ibh: SIAM J.Appl.M ath. 54 (1994) 688.
- [14] D .J.Benney: J.M ath.Phys. 45 (1966) 150.
- [15] C .E lphick, G .R .Ierley, O .Regev, and E .A .Spiegel: Phys.Rev.E 44 (1991) 1110.
- [16] A .O ron and D .A .Edwards: Phys.Fluids A 5 (1993) 506.
- [17] C .I.Christov and M .G .Velarde: Physica D 86 (1995) 323.
- [18] S.Toh and T .Kawahara: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. 54 (1985) 1257.
- [19] H .C .Chang: Phys.Fluids 29 (1986) 3142.
- [20] T .Kawahara and S.Toh: Phys.Fluids 31 (1988) 2103.
- [21] H .C .Chang, E .A .D em ekhin, and D .I .K opelevich: Phys.Rev.Lett. 75 (1995) 1747.
- [22] B .A .M almed, B .F .Feng, and T .Kawahara: Phys.Rev.E 64 (2001) 6304.
- [23] T .Kawahara: Phys.Rev.Lett. 51 (1983) 381.
- [24] H .C .Chang: Phys.Fluids 29 (1986) 3142.
- [25] H .C .Chang, E .A .D em ekhin, and D .I .K opelevich: Phys.Rev.Lett. 75 (1995) 1747.
- [26] D .Y .H sieh, S.Q .Tang, X .P .W ang, and L .X .W u: Stud.Appl.M ath. 101 (1998) 233.
- [27] H .Shibata: Physica A 299 (2001) 405.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Stability areas in the parametric plane (L, u_0) for $\gamma = 0.15$, $\beta = 0.05$ and $\alpha = 0.2$, as predicted by the perturbation theory. Right-moving cnoidal waves are stable in the region I, which lies above the solid curve, left-moving cnoidal waves are stable in the region III, which is below the dashed curve, and the constant solutions are the only stable solutions predicted in the region II.

Fig. 2. The same as in Fig. 1 for $\gamma = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.05$ and $\alpha = 0.15$.

Fig. 3. Numerically found profiles of stable periodic waves for $\gamma = 0.15$, $\beta = 0.05$, $\alpha = 0.2$ and $u_0 = 0$ (one period is displayed). The solid and dashed curves show the u - and v -components: (a) $L = 6.0$; (b) $L = 10.0$. In these two cases, numerically found amplitudes of the u -component are, respectively, $A_u = 3.46$ and $A_u = 5.85$, while the perturbation theory predicts $A_u = 3.65$ and $A_u = 5.95$.

Fig. 4. Numerically found stable waves for $\gamma = 0.15$, $\beta = 0.05$, $\alpha = 0.2$, $L = 12.0$ and different values of v_0 . The solid curve is the profile of the u -component, while the dashed and dashed-dotted lines are profiles of the v -component obtained for different v_0 (the u -component is shown by a single curve, as the change of v_0 produces no visible variation in it): (a) $u_0 = 2.0$, with $v_0 = 0$ (dashed line) or $v_0 = 2.0$ (dot-dashed line); (b) $u_0 = 1.0$, with $v_0 = 1.0$ (dashed line) or $v_0 = 0$ (dot-dashed line).

Fig. 5. Multistability of stable periodic waves for $\gamma = 0.15$, $\beta = 0.05$, $\alpha = 0.2$, $u_0 = 0$; and $L = 18.0$. The solid and dashed curves represent the profiles of the u - and v -components. Panels (a) and (b) show the left-moving waves with 3 and 4 maxima per period, and panel (c) shows the right-moving wave with the single maximum.

Fig. 6. The same as in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) for $\gamma = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.05$, $\alpha = 0.15$ and $L = 24.0$.

Fig. 7. Stable right-moving cnoidal waves for $\gamma = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.05$ and $\alpha = 0.15$. The solid and dashed curves show the u - and v -components for (a) $L = 12.0$; $u_0 = 2.0$, (b) $L = 15.0$; $u_0 = 1.0$, (c) $L = 30.0$; $u_0 = 0.4$. The numerically found and analytically predicted values of the amplitude of the u -component in these three cases are, respectively, $A_u = 5.48$ and 5.70 ; $A_u = 4.50$ and 4.68 ; $A_u = 5.23$ and 5.42 .

Fig. 8. Typical examples of stable periodic waves with one (a) and two (b) humps per period, found by means of direct simulations of the zero-dispersion model based on Eqs. (26) and (27), for $\gamma = 0.15$, $\beta = 0.05$, $\alpha = 0.2$, and $L = 10$ (a) or $L = 11$ (b). In both cases, the mean value of the u -field is $u_0 = 2$. The profiles of the u -field are shown.































