PROCEED INGS OF THE FOURTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON DYNAM ICAL SYSTEM S AND D IFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS M ay 24 { 27, 2002, W ilm ington, NC, USA

pp.1{10

APPLICATION OF W EAK TURBULENCE THEORY TO FPU M ODEL

Peter R.Kramer, Joseph A.Biello, and YuriLvov

D epartm ent of M athem atical Sciences R ensselaer Polytechnic Institute 301 A m os E aton H all 110 8th Street Troy, NY 12180, U SA

A bstract. The foundations of weak turbulence theory is explored through its application to the (alpha) Ferm iPasta-U lam (FPU) model, a simple weakly nonlinear dispersive system. A direct application of the standard kinetic equations would m iss interesting dynam ics of the energy transfer process starting from a large-scale excitation. This failure is traced to an enforcement of the exact resonance condition, whereas mathematically the resonance should be broadened due to the energy transfer happening on large but nite time scales. By allowing for the broadened resonance, a modil ed three-wave kinetic equation is derived for the FPU model. This kinetic equation produces some correct scaling predictions about the statistical dynam ics of the FPU model, but does not model accurately the detailed evolution of the energy spectrum. The reason for the failure seem s not to be one of the previously clari ed reasons for breakdown in the weak turbulence theory.

1. Introduction. W eak turbulence (W T) theory was developed in the 1960s to provide equations which quantitatively describe the transfer of energy am ong turbulent, weakly nonlinear, dispersive waves in uids [1, 2, 13, 14, 22, 21, 26]. The basic \kinetic equations" produced by W T theory have been applied to analyze energy transfer include internal and surface waves with sm all aspect ratios in the atmosphere and ocean [18, 26], sem iconductor lasers [17], and plasm a turbulence [14]. The dom ain of validity of the governing equations, how ever, remains to be fully explored.

Zakharov [26] and K adom two [14] obtained the kinetic equations through argum ents (such as random phase approximation) motivated by physical intuition, whereas various authors in the USA and W estern Europe [1, 2, 13, 22] derived the same kinetic equaitons through a multiple scales expansion. While the latter approach is systematic, it has not been rigorously formulated, and several breakdowns of its validity have been noted in recent years. New ell and cow orkers [4, 9, 21] have noted that the basic asymptotic expansion parameter is usually not uniform by small over the full range of scales in a weakly turbulent system, so that \interm ittent" e ects not directly predictable by W T theory will manifest them selves at either suf-

ciently large or sm all scales. Cai, M ajda, M cLaughlin, and Tabak [6, 7, 19] have scrutinized the validity of the kinetic equations of W T theory and their underlying assumptions through their application on a simple one-dimensionalm odelam enable

¹⁹⁹¹ M athem atics Subject C lassi cation. Prim ary: 41A 60,82C 05,82C 28, Secondary: 34E 13, 37K 60.

Key words and phrases. Ferm iPasta-Ulam model, weak turbulence, resonance broadening.

to comparison with direct num erical simulation. One key noting of their work is that under certain circum stances, a nonlocal coupling between the large-scale forcing and much smaller \inertial range" scales can arise which invalidates the tacit assumption that the energy transfer in this inertial range of scales is una ected by the presence of forcing and/or dam ping.

To further these e orts at understanding the dom ain of validity of W T theory, we study its application to a simple H am iltonian model without driving or damping. The Ferm iPasta-U lam (FPU) model of a one-dimensional collection of particles coupled by weakly anham onic springs serves as a natural test example which ostensibly should be amenable to the W T approach, provided the number N of particles in the chain is large enough. Indeed, the FPU model has dispersive waves which are coupled through a weak nonlinearity, and it can be initialized with a disordered wave pro le. M oreover, its H am iltonian nature excludes the problem related to the nonlocal force coupling. We consider here the version of the FPU model (de ned in Section 2) which has a cubic nonlinearity in the H am iltonian and therefore direct three-wave coupling (which is usually simpler to analyze than four-wave couplings as studied in [6, 7, 19]). Nonetheless, we still nd the standard kinetic equations of weak turbulence insu cient to predict the dynam ics of the energy spectrum in the FPU model when it is initialized with a large-scale excitation.

A swe discuss in Section 4 after some notational preparation in Section 3, there are no nontrivial exact triad (three-wave) resonances. A literal application of the standard W T theory would suggest then that the energy transfer between waves must be governed by four-wave interactions. A scrutiny of the numerical simulations of the \pm PU dynam ics [3], how ever, indicates the presence of at least two distinct time scales on which signi cant energy transfer occurs. The rst phase only involves energy transfer and equilibration among modes of su ciently sm all wavenum ber. In the nal phase, occurring on a much longer time scale, energy is redistributed among allm odes. These observations can be reconciled with the resonance properties of the dispersion relations by recognizing that the low wavenum ber modes are in near resonance because the waves become approximately acoustic at low wavenum ber. Consequently, we can interpret the rst phase of energy transfer among low wavenum berm odes as being mediated by triad interactions and the later phase of relaxation to global energy equipartition as requiring quartet interactions.

We attempt here to adapt weak turbulence theory to describe the energy transfer am ong nearly resonant triads during the earlier phase of evolution of the energy spectrum in the FPU model. Revisiting the multiple scales derivation of the three-wave kinetic equation [22] in Section 5, we identify a step in which a large but nite time is replaced by an in nite time limit. It is this simplication which enforces the exact resonance condition present in the standard kinetic equations. In reality, the resonance should be broadened simply because the three-wave kinetic equation seeks to describe the statistical dynamics of a turbulent system on at a large but nite time. Over a long but nite time T, frequency m ism atches of order o (T⁻¹) cannot be detected. By properly retaining the elects of this $\$ nite-time resonance broadening" and employing a system atic renorm alization group technique, we can compute the range of wavenum bers which will exchange signi cant energy through triad interactions and the time scale on which this energy exchange should occur. These scaling predictions are well con med by the numerical results, and agree with earlier partial predictions [8, 11, 25].

O ur calculations also produce a m odi ed kinetic equation (12) with nite-time resonance broadening. Unfortunately, the details of this kinetic equation are not in good agreement with the num erically observed energy spectrum evolution. Moreover, the m odi ed kinetic equation generates negative energies. The problem seems to be the om ission of higher order secular terms in the multiple scales expansion which play an important role when the waves are weakly dispersive [16], but does not appear to fall neatly into an established situation where the W T theory is known to fail. In future work, we will attempt to clarify the nature of the breakdown and assess whether a further m odi ed W T approach might succeed.

2. Ferm i-Pasta-U lam M odel. The Ferm i-Pasta-U lam (FPU) model is a model for a one-dimensional collection of particles with massless, weakly anharm onic (non-linear) springs connecting them to each other. Letting $fq_j g_{j=1}^N$ and $fp_j g_{j=1}^N$ denote the position and momentum coordinates of an N-particle chain, we can denote the (version) FPU model Ham iltonian, which we write immediately in nondimensional form:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{X^{N}} p_{j}^{2} + (q_{j} - q_{j+1})^{2} + \frac{X^{N}}{3} (q_{j} - q_{j+1})^{3}$$
(1)
$$\frac{dq_{j}}{dt} = p_{j}$$

$$\frac{dp_{j}}{dt} = (q_{j-1} - 2q_{j} + q_{j+1}) (1 + (q_{j-1} - q_{j+1}))$$

The coordinates are normalized so that the total Hamiltonian energy H = N, implying a typical O (1) value of each q_j and p_j . The small parameter " 1 then m easures the weakness of the nonlinearity.

W e now make a conform al transform ation to action-angle variables to facilitate the application of W T theory. W e describe the transform ation by the following two steps:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
Q_{k} & & & & Q_{j} \\
P_{k} & & & & p_{j} & & p_{j} & & \frac{2 \quad ik j}{N} \\
& & & & & \\
a_{k} & = & \frac{1}{P \frac{1}{2!_{k}}} \left(P_{k} & \quad i!_{k} Q_{k}\right);
\end{array}$$
(2)

where $!_k = 2 \sin \frac{k}{N}$ is the dispersion relation describing the frequency of a discrete Fourierm ode k. The virtue of the new (com plex-valued) canonical variables a_k is that, in the absence of nonlinearity, they rotate with a single frequency ($a_k / e^{i!_k t}$). To connect with the standard notation of W T theory and keep subsequent expressions as compact as possible, we de ne the variables $A_k = a_k$, and $A_k^+ = a_k$. The superscript refers to the branch of the dispersion relation: $A_k^s / e^{i!_k t}$.

In these new variables, the Ham iltonian reads

Ε·

$$H = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k_{1};k_{2}=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{\frac{N}{2}} X H_{k_{1};k_{2}}^{s_{1};s_{2}} A_{k_{1}}^{s_{1}} A_{k_{2}}^{s_{2}} K_{1}+k_{2};0$$
(3)

$$+ \frac{\mathbf{w}}{N^{\frac{2}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{k_1; k_2; k_3 = \frac{N}{2} + 1 \cdot s_1; s_2; s_3 = \frac{N}{2} + 1 \cdot s_1; s_3 = \frac{N}{2} + 1 \cdot s_1; s_2; s$$

$$H_{k_{1};k_{2}}^{s_{1};s_{2}} = \frac{1p}{2} \frac{1}{k_{1}!k_{2}} \frac{1}{s_{2}} \frac{1}{$$

$$H_{k_{1};k_{2};k_{3}}^{s_{1};s_{2};s_{3}} = \frac{s_{1}s_{2}s_{3}\operatorname{sgn}(k_{1}k_{2}k_{3})^{P}}{\frac{P}{k_{1}}!k_{2}k_{3}} :$$
(5)

The symbol $_{\rm i;j}$ denotes the K ronecker delta function. The equations of m otion can then be written [22]

$$\frac{dA_{k}^{s}}{dt} \quad \text{is!}_{k}A_{k}^{s} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{X_{k}^{s}}{N_{i}^{s}} L_{k_{1}k_{2}}^{s_{1}s_{2}} A_{k_{1}}^{s_{1}}A_{k_{2}}^{s_{2}} K_{i}^{s_{1}+k_{2}}; \quad (6)$$

$$L_{kk_{1}k_{2}}^{ss_{1}s_{2}} = \frac{is_{1}s_{2} \operatorname{sgn} (kk_{1}k_{2})^{p} \overline{\frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{k_{1}} \frac{1}{k_{2}}}{2^{p} \overline{2}} :$$
(7)

To keep focus, we will restrict the initial data to have statistical symmetry under parity inversion and to have Gaussian statistics. Then it su ces to describe the energy transfer among di erent scales through the statistical quantities [22]:

$$n_{k} = \frac{1}{N} h j a_{k} j^{2} i = \frac{1}{N} h A_{k}^{+} A_{k} i; \qquad (8)$$

$$k = \frac{1}{N} h A_{k}^{+} A_{k} i; \qquad (8)$$

The main object of our interest will be E_k , the harm onic energy associated to the wavenumber k, or in other words the mean contribution to the quadratic part of the Ham iltonian in (5) from wavenumbers k. The mean-square amplitude n_k of the action variables is sometimes referred to as the \occupation number" of the mode k.

3. N otational C onvention. The weak turbulence theory which we will apply to analyze the FPU m odel is usually form ulated in terms of spatially hom ogenous systems of in nite extent, so that the Fourier wavenum bers are continuously distributed. This would be appropriate for a particular well-de ned N ! 1 limit of the FPU m odel, in which the physical lattice spacing remains order unity and the system becomes in nitely extended in both directions in physical space. We will always consider N to be nite but large, and introduce notation which m akes contact with that usually used in the weak turbulence literature [22].

We de ne the norm alized wavenum ber = k=N which takes values in the unit circle S_1 (or equivalently, the space of real num bers R with all num bers di ering by an integer declared equivalent) [5]. To distinguish between Fourier coe cients de ned with respect to the integer wavenum bersk and the new wavenum bervariable , we write a_k for the form er and a () for the latter. The associated frequency is de ned through the continuous dispersion relation ! () 2 jsin j.

For large but nite N, the correlation functions of the Fourier coe cients are proportional to a discretized delta-function, in the sense that

ha()a(
0
)i = n() $^{\sim](N)}$ (0)
 $^{\sim](N)}$ () N_N;0:

where n() has a nite, nontrivial N ! 1 lim it.

7

To further emphasize the connection between the nite but large N and in nitely long system s, we introduce the discretized integral notation:

$$\int_{D}^{(M)} f(\mathbf{x}) d \frac{1}{M} + \int_{2D \setminus Z=M}^{X} f(\mathbf{x});$$

which should just be thought of as a R iem ann integral approximation to the integral de ned with respect to a mesh situated at integer multiples of 1=M.

4. M odi ed K inetic E quation. The standard weak turbulence theory [2, 26] would predict that three-wave interactions would be incapable of signi cant energy transfer. The reason is that the only triads of waves which satisfy the exact resonance conditions

$$= _{1} + _{2};$$
 ! () = ! (₁) ! (₂)

are those involving the zero m ode (= 0 or $_1 = 0$ or $_2 = 0$), which is uncoupled to the other degrees of freedom due to the translational symmetry of the system (L $_{12} = 0$ if $_{12} = 0$). The absence of three wave resonances is here not due to the nite size of the system [10] but simply due to the concavity of the dispersion relation [24].

The reason the standard kinetic equations of weak turbulence theory fail to describe any energy transfer through three wave interactions is that these interactions are elective only over a thin band of wavenum bers with width vanishing with the nonlinearity parameter ". The derivation of the standard weak turbulence kinetic equations assumes that all quantities, including the wavenum bers of interest and the energy density E () in each mode, are order unity in magnitude (asym ptotically independent of "). The fact that the wavenum bers of interest during the three wave dynamics are small, and the energy density residing in these wavenum bers large, requires a reworking of the asym ptotic derivation of the kinetic equation.

To this end, a simple renorm alization group approach is useful [12, 20]. We rescale the wavenum ber and amplitude of the energy spectrum by some gauge functions (") and (") depending on ":

$$= = ("); t = t = ("): (9)$$

The rescaling factors and are chosen so that and t are order unity for the three-wave dynamics of interest. We shall have them unspecied for now, other than insisting that # 0 and ! 1 as "! 0, and show how their scaling with " can be determined by self-consistency. M ore speci cally, we de ne a rescaled energy spectrum $E^{(")}(;t)$, obtained by by applying the transform ation (9) to the original energy spectrum :

$$E (;t) = (")^{1}E^{(")} (= (");t= ("))$$
(10)

The rescaling of the amplitude results from the norm alization condition that the total quadratic energy is N, which implies that if E(;t) is concentrated over a thin band of wavenum bers j j. ("), then the average amplitude of the energy

spectrum over these modes must be ord $(")^{1}$. The rescaled energy spectrum $E^{(")}(;t)$ is supposed to be a truly order unity function, with order unity am plitude and order unity variations with respect to and t during the three wave dynam ical phase for all su ciently sm all". M athem atically, we dem and that the renorm alized energy spectrum

E (
$$;t$$
) = $\lim_{n \to \infty} E^{(n)}$ ($;t$)

be a nontrivial function with order unity amplitude and derivatives. In renorm alization group term inology, we want E ($_{t}$ t) to be a nontrivial xed point under the transform ation induced by (10). The gauge functions (") and (") are determ ined by this property. Indeed, as we shall show in Section 5,

$$=$$
 "¹⁼²; $=$ "³⁼²: (11)

and the renorm alized energy spectrum satisifes the following modied kinetic equation:

$$\frac{\text{@E (jt)}}{\text{@t}} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(n^{1-2}N)|^{2} |(n^{1-2}N)|}{R} \frac{\sin(3 + 12t)}{12} \sim |(n^{1-2}N)| (12)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(12)|^{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(12)|^{2}$$

W e comment now on these predictions.

4.1. Scaling P redictions. The self-consistency calculation based on the weak turbulence expansion predicts that the band of wavenum bers participating in the earliest (three-mode) phase of energy transfer has thickness $k = "^{1=2}$ and associated dynam ical time scale t $= "^{3=2}$, provided the initial data is distributed over a band of low wavenum bers which is comparably ("¹⁼²) thick. In [3], these scaling predictions are con m ed through direct num erical simulations. A nalytical explanations for the "¹⁼² width for the band of wavenum bers which equilibrate rst have been previously o ered on the basis of resonance overlap calculations [25] and related considerations [8, 11]. One can also construct an argument for the "³⁼² dynam ical time scale from cruder argum ents. O ur intent, how ever, was to provide a m ore system atic argum ent, since resonance overlap argum ents can som etim es give m isleading results [15]. A swe discuss below, how ever, we cannot yet claim to have fullled this goal.

4.2. P roperties of M odi ed K inetic E quation. The modi ed kinetic equation (12) has the following good properties:

The modi ed three-wave kinetic equation (12) conserves the harm onic energy over the three-wave time scale:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{S_1}^{Z_{(N)}} E(t;t) d = 0;$$

M ore precisely, direct calculations on the m odi ed kinetic equation (12) show that the three wave interactions conserve the harm onic energy locally (triad by triad) for the band of wavenum bers $n^{l=2}$, while the energy in wavenum bers $n^{l=2}$ is predicted not to change signi cantly on the time scale t. " $3^{=2}$.

The modi ed kinetic equation accounts for a nite time resonance broadening in that the usual D irac delta function (!) involving the frequency di erence (16) is instead replaced in (12) by a renormalized form of the function $\frac{\sin(!t)}{!}$. While the latter does indeed converge to the D irac delta function in the t! 1 limit, its distinction from this strict limit is important for predicting nontrivial dynam ics for large but nite t. Some previous work [10, 23] o ered ad hoc modi cations to the weak turbulence theory to take into account such broadening of the resonances, but we have endeavored to derive the quantitative form of the nite-time resonance broadening through system atic m eans.

4.3. De ciency in M odi ed K inetic E quation. The modi ed kinetic equation, how ever, has a serious de ciency in that it does not preserve nonnegativity of the energy spectrum, because the broadened resonance kernel sin $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & & \\ & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ is not sign-de nite. This indicates that, unlike standard weak turbulence theory, the rem oval of the leading order secular term (along with frequency renorm alization) does not sim ultaneously rem ove all higher order secularities active at the rst non-linear time scale, t = " ³⁼², in the -FPU model. A sim ilar issue arose in the problem of acoustic turbulence [16].

Newell and cow orkers [4, 9, 21] have recently pointed out that the assumptions underlying the W T theory will often fail at either large or small scales, because the nonlinear time scale $t_{N\,L}$ associated with such scales may fail to be long compared with the corresponding linear time scale t_L . Because the development of partial equipartition is concentrated on small wavenum bers k. t^{-2} , one m ight think that the de ciencies noted for the kinetic equation derived above arise from this kind of breakdown in the asymptotic expansion. We now show, however, that the simplest such explanation is insu cient.

The linear time scale t_L associated with a wavenumber is just the inverse frequency (! ()) 1 , while the associated nonlinear time scale $t_{N\,L}$ $\frac{\frac{\theta E}{E}\left(\begin{array}{c} rt\right)=\theta t}{E\left(\begin{array}{c} rt\right)=\theta t}\right)}{E\left(\begin{array}{c} rt\right)=\theta t}$. From studying either the primitive second order W T expansion (14) or the renormalized kinetic equation, we see that for the band of active wavenumbers . $n^{l=2}$, the nonlinear time scale depends on and "as $t_{N\,L}$ ("! ()) 1 . Therefore, for . $n^{l=2}$, the ratio $t_{N\,L}=t_L$ " 1 is uniform ly large, so the defects in the kinetic equation cannot be attributed to this criterion elaborated in [4, 9, 21].

A nother situation in which weak turbulence theory will fail is in nite systems where the wavenum ber spacing 1=N is not small compared with the width of the frequency resonance, which is here proportional to $n^{l=2}$ [22]. Therefore, W T theory cannot be expected to apply to the -FPU model when N $n^{1=2}$. 1, but this can be avoided, at least in principle, by choosing the size of the system N to be large enough.

At this time, we are endeavoring to specify concretely the source of the deciency of the modied kinetic equation.

5. Derivation of M odi ed K inetic E quation. Our starting point will be the following equation, which results from a multiple-scales expansion for the moments

of the mode am plitudes a [22]:

Here we have used the symbol < to denote the real part of the ensuing expression, and de ned the function

$$K^{(t)}(\$) = \frac{e^{i\$t} 1}{i\$}$$

The ellipses denote terms which are om itted because they are form ally of higher order in ".W ew ill revisit the e ects of these neglected terms in future work, because terms which are insigni cant in standard weak turbulence theory must be carefully exam ined to see if they remain insigni cant in our modil ed derivation.

W e convert Eq. (14) into an equation for the energy spectrum through the relation E () = 2! ()n() and the explicit form ula (7) for the coupling coe cient. Then upon substituting the rescaling (10) into (14), we obtain

$$\frac{\Im (E^{(")}(ft))}{\Im (t)} = 4^{ft^2} < X^{2}_{j(N)} Z_{j(N)} - \frac{1}{K^{(t)}(1!)} + K^{(t)}(1!) + \frac{1}{K^{(t)}(1!)} + \frac{1}{K^$$

where

$$! ! () $ $! (_1) $ $! (_2)$$
 (16)

and

$$L_{12}^{s_{1}s_{2}} = \frac{s}{\frac{!()}{!()}} L_{12}^{s_{1}s_{2}} = \frac{is_{1}s_{2} \operatorname{sgn}(kk_{1}k_{2})!()}{2^{p}\overline{2}}:$$

We now seek particular relations between , , and " so that the right hand side of (15) is consistent with the assumed order unity magnitude and scale of variation of the left hand side in the limit "! 0. To this end, we recall that (") # 0, (") ! 1,

and observe that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} ! (\) & = & ! (\) & 2 & jj + 0 (\ ^{3}); \\ ! & = & 2 & (j \ j & sj_{1}j & sj_{2}j) & \frac{3 & 3}{3} (j \ ^{3}_{3} & sj_{1}j^{3} & sj_{2}j^{3}) + 0 (\ ^{5}) \\ & = & (j \ j & sj_{1}j & sj_{2}j) \\ & & 2 & \frac{2 & 2}{3} & j \ ^{3}_{2} + j \ ^{3}_{3}(s_{1}j_{1}j_{1} + s_{2}j_{2}) + \ ^{2}_{1} + \ ^{2}_{2} & s_{2}j_{1}jj_{2}j \\ & & 3 & s_{1}s_{2}j \ ^{j}_{3}j_{1}j_{1}j_{2}j_{2} + 0 (\ ^{5}); \end{array}$$

Noting that $= _1 + _2$ from the K ronecker delta function in (15), the appropriate asymptotics for ! can be decided based on the s₁, s₂, and the signs of , ₁, and ₂. If the signs align so that $j_1 + _2j_3 j_1j + s_2j_2j_1$ then ! ord (³); otherwise ! ord (). Moreover,

$$e^{i}_{8} e^{i}_{1} e^{$$

Therefore, the dom inant contribution will come from the wavenum bers in the domain such that $j = j_1 + 2j = s_1 j_1 j_1 + s_2 j_2 j_2$. We will choose the scaling functions so that $! \cdot 1^{-1}$ for order unity values of , 1, and 2 in this dom inant region, so we can simplify further:

$$e^{i} K^{(t)}(!) = \frac{e^{i^{3}} s_{1}s_{2}jj_{1}j_{2}j_{1}}{i^{3}s_{1}s_{2}jj_{1}j_{2}j_{1}} + O(2 + 5)$$

when $j_{1} + 2j = s_{1}j_{1}j_{1} + s_{2}j_{2}j_{1}$

D iscarding subdom inant contributions based on the above considerations, we achieve after som e analyticalm anipulations:

$$\lim_{n \to 0} \frac{\operatorname{de} E^{(n)}(\mathbf{j}t)}{\operatorname{dt}} = \lim_{n \to 0} \frac{\operatorname{m}^2}{(n)} \sum_{R} \frac{Z_{1}(\mathbf{N}) Z_{1}(\mathbf{N})}{R} \frac{\sin(3 - 3 - 1 - 2t)}{1 - 2}$$

$$E_{(1;t)}E_{(2;t)} = \frac{\operatorname{le} (\mathbf{j}t)}{1 - 2} E_{(2;t)} \sum_{2} E_{(1;t)}E_{(1;t)}$$

The equating of the limit of the integral on the right hand side by the integral of the limiting integrand can be justiled through uniform bounds on nite intervals and the relative negligibility of the contribution from large $_1$ and $_2$. The latter fact can be checked by direct analysis or more intuitively understood from the observation that the wavenum bers with ord (1) magnitude (and therefore large values of = ¹) exhibited no activity on the three-mode interaction time scale.

The gauge functions (") and (") were introduced so that $E^{(")}$ (;t) would have a nontrivial dynam ics with order unity magnitude in the "! 0 limit. We see then that a nontrivial, nite dynam ics results precisely if ³ and "² ¹ have nite, nonzero limits. We thereby arrive at the unique (up to irrelevant multiplicative constants) choice of gauge functions (11) and modiled kinetic equation (12) for the renorm alized energy spectrum. 6. A cknow ledgem ents. The authors would like to thank D avid C ai and G regor K ovacic for helpful discussions. PRK is supported by an NSF grant DM S-A 11271, JAB is supported by an NSF V IG RE postdoctoral research fellow ship DM S-9983646, and YVL is supported by NSF CAREER grant DM S-0134955 and ONR Y IP grant N 00014210528.

REFERENCES

- D.J.Benney and P.Sa man. Nonlinear interaction of random waves in a dispersive medium. Proc. Roy. Soc. London, 289 (1418):301 (320, January 25 1966.
- [2] J. Benney and A. C. Newell. Random wave closure. Stud. in Appl. Math., 48:1, 1969.
- [3] Joseph A. Biello, Peter R. K ram er, and Yuri Lvov. Stages of energy transfer in the FPU m odel. Submitted to this volume, Septem ber 2002.
- [4] L.J. Biven, C. Connaughton, and A. C. Newell. Structure functions, cum ulants, and breakdown criteria for wave turbulence. To appear in Physica D, 2002.
- [5] Ronald N. Bracewell. The Fourier transform and its applications, chapter 18. M cG raw H ill, New York, second edition, 1986.
- [6] D avid C ai, A ndrew J. M ajda, D avid W . M cLaughlin, and E steban G. Tabak. Spectral bifurcations in dispersive wave turbulence. P roc. N at. A cad. Sci. U SA, 96(25):14216{14221, D ecember 7 1999.
- [7] David Cai, Andrew J. Majda, David W. McLaughlin, and Esteban G. Tabak. Dispersive wave turbulence in one dimension. Phys. D, 152/153:551{572, 2001. Advances in nonlinear mathematics and science.
- [8] B.Callegari, M.C.Carotta, C.Ferrario, G.Lo Vecchio, and L.Galgani. Stochasticity thresholds in the Ferm i-Pasta-Ulam model. Nuovo C im ento B, 54 (2):463 (468, 1979.
- [9] Colm Connaughton, Sergey Nazarenko, and Alan C.Newell. Dimensional analysis and weak turbulence. To appear in Physica D, 2002.
- [10] Colm Connaughton, Sergey Nazarenko, and Andrei Pushkarev. Discreteness and quasiresonances in weak turbulence of capillary waves. Phys. Rev. E, 63:046306, 2001.
- [11] Luigi G algani and G. Lo Vecchio. Stochasticity thresholds for system s of coupled oscillators. N uovo C im ento B, 52(1):1{14, 1979.
- [12] N igelG oldenfeld. Lectures on phase transitions and the renorm alization group, volum e 85 of Frontiers in Physics, chapter 10. Addison-W esley, R eading, M A, USA, 1992.
- [13] K. Hasselm ann. On the non-linear energy transfer in a gravity-wave spectrum. I. General theory. J. Fluid Mech., 12:481 [500, 1962.
- [14] B.B.K adom tsev.Plasm a turbulence.A cadem ic Press, New York, 1965.
- [15] Roberto Livi, M arco Pettini, Stefano Ru o, M assim o Sparpaglione, and Angelo Vulpiani. Equipartition threshold in nonlinear large H am iltonian systems: The Ferm i-Pasta-U lam. Phys. Rev. A, 31 (2):1039(1045, February 1985.
- [16] V.S.L'vov, Yu.L'vov, A.C.Newell, and V.Zakharov. Statistical description of acoustic turbulence. Phys. Rev. E (3), 56(1, part A):390 (405, 1997.
- [17] Y.V.Lvov, R.Binder, and A.C.Newell. Quantum weak turbulence with applications to sem iconductor lasers. Physica D, 121:317{343, 1998.
- [18] YuriV.Lvov and Esteban G.Tabak.Ham iltonian form alism and the Garrett-Munk spectrum of internal waves in the ocean. Phys. Rev. Lett., 87 (16):168501, October 15 2001.
- [19] A.J.Majda, D.W. McLaughlin, and E.G.Tabak. A one-dimensional model for dispersive wave turbulence. J. Nonlinear Sci., 7(1):9(44, 1997.
- [20] Andrew J. Majda and Peter R. K ram er. Sim pli ed models for turbulent di usion: Theory, num erical modelling and physical phenom ena. Phys. Rep., 314 (4(5):237(574, June 1999.
- [21] A lan C. N ew ell. W ave turbulence is alm ost always interm ittent at either sm allor large scales. Stud. A ppl. M ath., 108(1):39(64, 2002. D edicated to P rofessor D avid J. B enney.
- [22] A lan C. Newell, Sergey Nazarenko, and Laura Biven. Wave turbulence and interm ittency. Phys. D, 152/153:520{550, 2001. A dvances in nonlinear mathematics and science.
- [23] A.N.Pushkarev.On the Kolm ogorov and frozen turbulence in num erical simulation of capillary waves. Eur. J. M ech. B /F luids, 18(3):345{351, M ay{June 1999.
- [24] Bob R ink.Sym m etry and resonance in periodic FPU chains.Com m.M ath.Phys., 218(3):665 685, 2001.

- [25] D. L. Shepelyanksy. Low -energy chaos in the Ferm i-Pasta-Ulam problem. Nonlinearity, 10:1331{1338,1997.
- [26] V.E.Zakharov, V.S.L'vov, and G.Falkovich.Kolmogorov Spectra of Turbulence, volum e 1. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

E-m ailaddress: kramep@rpi.edu