

Amplification by stochastic interference

K. Svozil

Institut für Theoretische Physik

Technische Universität Wien

Wiedner Hauptstraße 8-10/136

A-1040 Vienna, Austria

e-mail: svozil@tph.tuwien.ac.at

and

D. Felix

Department of Neurobiology, University of Berne

Erlachstraße 9a, CH-3012 Berne, Switzerland

and

K. Ehrenberger

ENT Department, University of Vienna

Währinger Gürtel 18-20, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

March 30, 2022

Abstract

A new method is introduced to obtain a strong signal by the interference of weak signals in noisy channels. The method is based on the interference of $1/f$ noise from parallel channels. One realization of stochastic interference is the auditory nervous system. Stochastic interference may have broad potential applications in the information transmission by parallel noisy channels.

The method of stochastic interference has been conceived originally for the information processing in the auditory nervous system [1]. It makes use of the random fractal geometry of the spike discharge patterns [2, 3, 4, 5] which are processed by diverging and converging information networks of the auditory system. This method is distinct from stochastic resonance [6], but when both methods are combined, a fascinating new model of transsynaptic information transfer emerges [7].

Here, we are interested in more general aspects of stochastic interference. The method can be sketched as follows. Consider an information transmission via multiple channels. Assume further that the information is coded in statistically self-similar, random [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] fractal, patterns [15]. The idea that information is coded in the dimensional geometry of random fractals is not entirely new [2, 3, 4, 5]. But here, n fractal information signals (with the same dimensional parameter) are combined by logical “and”-operations (equivalent to the set theoretic intersection) to form a new signal. The new signal has also a fractal geometry. Its fractal dimension varies n times as strong as variations of the dimensional parameter of the primary signal. Thus, when multiple information channels are combined properly, arbitrary weak variations of their input signals can be amplified to arbitrary strong variations of the resulting output channel.

Stochastic interference operates with $1/f^\beta$ noise [16, 17], characterized by a power spectral density of $S_V(f) \propto 1/f^\beta$. This noise corresponds to a signal $X(t)$ at time t whose graph $\{(t, X(t)) \mid t_{\min} \leq t \leq t_{\max}\}$ has a random fractal geometry. The fractal (box-counting) dimension of the graph can be approximated by [18, 19]

$$D = \min\left\{2, E + \frac{3 - \beta}{2}\right\} \quad , \quad (1)$$

where E is the (integer) dimension of the noise. For onedimensional noise, $E = 1$. White noise corresponds to $\beta = 0$, brown noise corresponds to $\beta = 2$, whereas systems showing $1/f$ -noise operate at approximately $\beta = 0.8 - 1.2$.

Consider a sequence of zeros and ones which constitutes a fractal pattern. Such a random fractal of dimension D can, for instance, be recursively generated by starting with a sequence of ones. Then, the sequence is subdivided into k blocks of sequences of length δ symbols. Then, a fraction of $1 - \exp[(D - 1)\log(k)]$ blocks of length δ symbols is filled with zeros (instead of ones). Now take the remaining pieces of the pattern containing ones and repeat the same procedure again (the length of the blocks decreases by a factor of k , until one arrives at $\delta = 1$ [19]).

The fractal dimension of a random fractal signal can be understood as follows. Divide a sequence of zeros and ones again into k blocks of length δ . Count how many of these blocks contain ones at all (or, more realistically for practical applications, up to a density s). If r is the number of filled blocks, then the fractal (box counting) dimension is given by

$$D = \frac{\log r}{\log(1/\delta)}, \quad (2)$$

independent of the scale resolution δ . The fractal dimensional measure D should be robust with respect to variations of methods to determine it. That it, it should remain the same, no matter by which method it is inferred.

Information can be coded by the random fractal patterns of $1/f$ noise; in particular by variations of the dimension parameter. More precisely, assume, for example, two source symbols s_1 and s_2 encoded by (*RFP* stands for “random fractal pattern”)

$$\#(s_i) = \begin{cases} RFP & \text{with } 0 \leq D(RFP) < D_c & \text{if } s_i = s_1 \\ RFP & \text{with } D_c \leq D(RFP) \leq E & \text{if } s_i = s_2 \end{cases}, \quad (3)$$

where D_c is a “critical dimension parameter.”

As has been pointed out by K. J. Falconer [19], under certain “mild side conditions,” the intersection of two random fractals A_1 and A_2 which can be minimally embedded in \mathbb{R}^E is again a random fractal with dimension

$$D(A_1 \cap A_2) = \max\{0, D(A_1) + D(A_2) - E\} \quad . \quad (4)$$

By induction, Eq. (4) generalizes to the intersection of an arbitrary number of random fractal sets. Thus, the dimension of the intersection of n random fractals $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ is given by

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = D\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \max\{0, -E(n-1) + \sum_{i=1}^n D(A_i)\} \quad . \quad (5)$$

We shall concentrate on the case of onedimensional signals where $E = 1$. Assume that the signals are represented by sequences of zeros and ones. Assume further n random fractal signals A_1, \dots, A_n . Each one of these sequences is transmitted in a separate channel. The sequences are then recombined to form a new, secondary signal sequence. In particular, we shall be interested in the *intersection* of n signals encoded by random fractal patterns. An intersection between two signals $A_1 = a_{11}a_{12}a_{13} \dots a_{1m}$ and $A_2 = a_{21}a_{22}a_{23} \dots a_{2m}$ of length m , $a_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$, is again a signal $A_1 \cap A_2 = A_3 = a_{31}a_{32}a_{33} \dots a_{3m}$ of length m which is defined by

$$a_{3i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a_{1i}a_{2i} = 1 \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

We shall denote this setup by the term *stochastic interference*. Taking the product in (6) amounts to the logical “and” operation, if 0 and 1 are identified with the logical values “false” and “true,” respectively.

Let us discuss shortly two features of *stochastic interference*. Firstly, the combination of white noise, denoted by \mathbb{I} with $D(\mathbb{I}) = 1$, with a random fractal signal A results in the recovery of the original fractal signal with the original dimension; i.e., Eq. (5) reduces to

$$D(A \cap \mathbb{I}) = D(A) + D(\mathbb{I}) - 1 = D(A) \quad . \quad (7)$$

Stated pointedly: besides a reduction of intensity, white noise does not affect the coding.

Secondly, by assuming that all n random fractals have equal dimensions, i.e., $D(A_i) = D$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, Eq. (5) reduces to

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = \max\{0, n(D - 1) + 1\} \quad . \quad (8)$$

In Fig. 1, $D(\mathcal{A})$ is drawn for various dimensions D as a function of the number of channels n . An immediate consequence of Eq. (8) is that, for truly fractal signals ($D < 1$), any variation of the fractal dimension of the secondary signal is directly proportional to the number n of the primary signals; i.e.,

$$\Delta D(\mathcal{A}) = n\Delta D \quad \text{for } D \neq 1 \quad . \quad (9)$$

Therefore, the more channels there are, the more the dimension of the secondary source varies in response to variations of the primary source; there is an “amplification” of any change in the primary signal.




Figure 1: Theoretical prediction of the dimension of the secondary signal $D(\mathcal{A})$ as a function of the number of channels n for various values of the dimension of the primary signal D .




Figure 2: Theoretical prediction of the critical number of channels as a function of the dimension of the primary signal.

This amplification, however, has a price: any increase in the amplification of the variation of the primary dimension obtained by additional channels results in a reduction of the overall secondary signal strength.

In Fig. 2, the number of critical channels, for which the secondary signal vanishes (all $a_{3i} = 0$), is drawn against the dimension of the primary signals. One arrives at the number of critical channels n_c by setting $D(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ in Eqn. (8) and solving for n . That is,

$$n_c = \frac{1}{1-D} \text{ for } 0 \leq D < 1. \quad (10)$$

For a channel number of 10 – 20, the fractal dimension of the primary signal has to be within the 0.9 – 1-range in order to balance the attenuation.

We close this short discussion of stochastic interference by pointing out the possibility of a twofold information transfer in one and the same system of multiple noisy channels: firstly, transfer by the standard coding techniques [20], and secondly, modulated by it, transfer by information coding using 1/f noise with

stochastic interference. This form of double-band information transfer may be realized in the auditory pathway of mammals and has potential applications in communication technology as well.

References

- [1] Svozil, K., Felix, D., and Ehrenberger, K. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **199**, 911–915 (1994).
- [2] Liebovitch, L. S. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* **16**, 483 (1988).
- [3] King, C. C. *Progress in Neurobiology* **36**, 279 (1991).
- [4] Teich, M. C. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* **36**, 150 (1989).
- [5] Teich, M. C., Johnson, D. H., Kumar, A. R., and Turcott, R. G. *Hearing Research* **46**, 41 (1990).
- [6] Maddox, J. *Nature* **369**, 271 (1994).
- [7] Ehrenberger, K., Felix, D., and Svozil, K. *NeuroReport* **6**, 2117–2120 (1995).
- [8] Chaitin, G. J. *Journal of the Association of Computing Machinery* **13**, 547–569 (1966). reprinted in [21] (This paper has been received by the journal in October 1965).
- [9] Chaitin, G. J. *Algorithmic Information Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1987).
- [10] Kolmogorov, A. N. *Problemy peredači informacii* **1**, 3 (1965).
- [11] Schnorr, C. P. *Zufälligkeit und Wahrscheinlichkeit, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 218. Springer, Berlin, (1971).
- [12] Martin-Löf, P. *Information and Control* **9**, 602 (1966).
- [13] Martin-Löf, P. In *Intuitionism and Proof Theory*, Kino, A., Myhill, J., and Vesley, R. E., editors, 73. North-Holland, Amsterdam and London (1970).

- [14] Martin-Löf, P. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete* **19**, 225 (1971).
- [15] Svozil, K. *Randomness & Undecidability in Physics*. World Scientific, Singapore, (1993).
- [16] Dutta, P. and Horn, P. M. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **53**, 497 (1981).
- [17] van der Ziel, A. *Adv. Electronics and Electron Phys.* **49**, 225 (1979).
- [18] Voss, R. F. In *Fundamental Algorithms fo Computer Graphics. NATO ASI Series. Volume 17*, Earnshaw, R. A., editor, 805. Springer, Berlin (1985).
- [19] Falconer, K. J. *Fractal Geometry* (1990).
- [20] Hamming, R. W. *Coding and Information Theory*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, second edition, (1980).
- [21] Chaitin, G. J. *Information, Randomness and Incompleteness*. World Scientific, Singapore, second edition, (1990). This is a collection of G. Chaitin's publications.