

Some notes on Ishimori's magnet model

E. Sh. Gutshabash

Institute Research for Physics, Sankt-Petersburg State University, Russia.

e-mail: gutshab@EG 2097.spb.edu

Abstract

Gauge transformation properties for an associated linear system of model Ishimori's magnet model have been discussed. Explicit formulas for the gauge transformation matrix have been obtained. Darboux Transformation has been suggested and appropriate dressing relations have been found.

1. Ishimori's magnet model is a natural generalization of the well-known one-dimensional integrable model of Heisenberg's magnet in two-dimensional case. It has the following form [1]:

$$S_t = S \wedge (S_{xx} + S_{yy}) + u_y S_x + u_x S_y; \quad (1a)$$

$$u_{xx} - u_{yy} = 2 S (S_x \wedge S_y); \quad (1b)$$

Here $S = S(x; y; t) = (S_1; S_2; S_3)$ is a three-dimensional vector of magnetization, $j = 1, 2, 3$; $u = u(x; y; t)$ is an auxiliary real-valued field, parameter α takes values ± 1 . The case $\alpha = 1$ will be denoted a model of Ishimori's magnet-I (M I-I), the case $\alpha = -1$ will be denoted the model M I-II.

From the equation (1b) at $\alpha = 1$; $x = (x + y)/2$; $y = (x - y)/2$ it follows:

$$u = \int_1^Z S (S_0 \wedge S) d^0 + u_1(\cdot; t); \quad (1c)$$

$$u = \int_1^Z S (S_0 \wedge S) d^0 + u_2(\cdot; t);$$

where $u_1(\cdot; t)$; $u_2(\cdot; t)$ are arbitrary functions, by virtue of the relations

$$u_1(\cdot; t) = \lim_{\cdot \rightarrow 1} u; \quad u_2(\cdot; t) = \lim_{\cdot \rightarrow 1} u;$$

they playing a part of boundary conditions for the model M I-I.

It should be pointed two characteristic particular cases of the system (1a) - (1b): a). If S does not depend on a variable y , and $u = \text{const}$, we obtain an one-dimensional integrable model of Heisenberg's magnet [2]; b). If S does not depend on t (a static limit), $\alpha = 1$, $u = \text{const}$, we deal with so-called nonlinear O(3) - sigma-model (an elliptical version) that was solved in [3-4] by the Inverse Scattering Transform method (using boundary conditions of the spiral structures type).

An important feature of the model (1) is a presence of so-called topological charge:

$$Q_T = \frac{1}{4} \int_1^Z \int_1^Z dx dy S (S_x \wedge S_y); \quad (2)$$

The value remains in evolving the system and represents a mapping of a unitary 2-sphere onto a 2-sphere: $S^2 \rightarrow S^2$. Such mapping is known to be characterized by a homotopic group

$Q_2(S^2) = Z$, where Z is a group of whole numbers, which means that a value Q_T should be an integer. According to the equation (1b) a scalar function $u = u(x;t)$ has a significance of a production density of a topological charge.

There are many papers devoted to the model (1). Here we quote just works [5-7], where to solve the system (1) it was used a number of methods like the method of Riemann-Hilbert's problem (at $\alpha = 1$) and the Θ -problem method (at $\alpha = i$) and as well as [8], where a gauge equivalence of two integrable $(2+1)$ -dimensional systems, namely, the model M I-II and the Devey-Stewartson's-II, was established for the first time, and, at last, a paper [9] devoted to analyzing the model (1) from the point of view of compatible boundary conditions.

The goal of the given work is to apply Darboux's Transformation method (DT) to the model (1). It will allow to obtain a number of new additional symmetries of the problem and to study some useful and important relations characterizing (1). It should be noted that for the first time the method was applied to (1) in a work [10], where a rather non-standard approach to DT was employed and a particular physical problem was solved though. It has to do with the fact that applying a standard DT method to the corresponding associated linear problem, in view of its structure, does not result to the desirable answer ("dressing" formulas). Therefore here, with the purpose of a consecutive application of a standard form of DT, based on the results of [8], at first the gauge transformation for an initial associated linear system will be used and only after a matrix of the transformation has been defined explicitly, Darboux's covariance of the gauged system will be checked up.

2. To illustrate distinctions between behavior of systems M I-I and M I-II at the beginning we shall consider the simplest, but rather important from a physical point of view, special case of waves of constant amplitude.

Let $\alpha^2 = 1$ (model M I-I). On putting $S_+ = S_1 + iS_2$; $S_- = S_+$; $S_3 = \text{const}$; $S_+ = \frac{1}{S_3^2} e^{i(kx + ly - t_0 t)}$, where $k, l, t_0; \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ are parameters, and substituting this solution to equations sequent from (1a):

$$S_{+t} = iS_3 \Delta S_+ + u_y S_{+y} + u_x S_{+x}; \quad S_- \Delta S_+ = S_+ \Delta S_-;$$

considering (1c) and in the assumption $u_1 = u_2 = 0$ one obtains:

$$t_0 = S_3 (k^2 + l^2); \quad (3)$$

Let $\alpha^2 = -1$ (model M I-II). In this case one has:

$$S_{+t} = iS_3 (S_{+xx} - S_{+yy}) + u_y S_{+y} + u_x S_{+x}; \quad S_1 (S_{2xx} - S_{2yy}) = S_2 (S_{1xx} - S_{1yy});$$

Using the same ansatz (with the assumption, that $S_3 = \text{const}$) and the same boundary conditions, one obtains:

$$t_0 = S_3 (k^2 - l^2); \quad (4)$$

The discrepancy between signatures of square forms of dispersion relations (3) and (4) indicates a distinction of behaviour of appropriate linearized solutions and, hence, as well as the nonlinear equations depending on a sign of α^2 . The formulas (3), (4) generalize a corresponding formula for an one-dimensional model of Heisenberg's magnet [11] to the two-dimensional case.

3. Model (1) is a compatibility condition ($y_t = -u_x$) of the next overdetermined linear matrix system:

$$y_t = -\frac{1}{S} S_x; \quad (5a)$$

$$t = -2iS_{xx} + Q_x; \quad (5b)$$

where $Q = u_y I + \sum_{i=1}^3 u_x S_i + i S_y S_x - i S_x S_y$; $\psi = \psi(x, y, t) \in M_{at}(2; C)$; $S = \sum_{i=1}^3 S_i$; S_i are Pauli's standard matrices, I is an unitary 2×2 matrix. By virtue of its definition the matrix S has the following properties: $S = S^\dagger$; $S^2 = I$; $\det S = -1$ (a symbol (\dagger) denotes hermitian conjugation).

One puts

$$\psi = U \psi; \quad (6)$$

where $\psi = \psi(x, y, t)$; $\psi = \psi(x, y, t) \in M_{at}(2; C)$ and in (5) makes a gauge transformation with the matrix U . Then we have $(U^{-1} S)$:

$$y = A_x \psi + B_t \psi; \quad (7)$$

$$t = L_{xx} \psi + M_x \psi + R_t \psi; \quad (8)$$

where

$$A = A(x, y, t) = (1 = \psi)^{-1} U_x \psi; \quad B = B(x, y, t) = (1 = \psi)^{-1} U_t \psi - y;$$

$$L = L(x, y, t) = -2i \psi^{-1} U_x \psi; \quad M = M(x, y, t) = 4i \psi^{-1} U_x \psi + \psi^{-1} Q \psi;$$

$$R = R(x, y, t) = -2i \psi^{-1} U_{xx} \psi + \psi^{-1} Q_x \psi - \psi^{-1} t;$$

$A, B, L, M, R \in M_{at}(2; C)$; $L = -2i A$, and, besides $A^2 = (1 = \psi^2)I$; $L^2 = -4I$.

A requirement of the compatibility of (7)–(8) results to relations including the initial potential and the matrix ψ :

$$[A, L] = 0; \quad (9)$$

$$A L_x - L_y - 2L A_x + [A, M] + [B, L] = 0; \quad (10)$$

$$A_t + [A, M_x] + [A, R] + [B, M] = L A_{xx} + 2L A_x + 2L B_x + M_y; \quad (11)$$

$$A R_x + B_t + [B, R] = R_y + L B_{xx} + M B_x; \quad (12)$$

Hereinafter we shall restrict ourselves to considering the case $A = C = \text{const}$. Then from (10) it follows ($L_x = L_y = 0$): $M = -2i B$, and we obtain a closed linear partial differential equation on the matrix ψ :

$$2i \psi_x + 2i U_y \psi = U Q \psi; \quad (13a)$$

Using the expression for matrix A , we have the evolutionary equation on ψ :

$$U_t \psi - \psi_t C + U_t \psi = 0; \quad (13b)$$

Let $\psi = 1$ (the case M I-I). Then equation (13a) reduces to the form:

$$2i(I + U) \psi_x = (I + U) Q \psi; \quad (14)$$

Here $\det(I + U) = 0$, and characteristic coordinates already introduced in (1c) are used.

From the relation $Q(\psi; u) = -2Q(U; u)$ it follows an involution:

$$(U; u) = -2(\psi; u); \quad (15)$$

then the matrix ψ is represented as:

$$(U;u) = \begin{pmatrix} !_{11}(U;u) & !_{21}(U;u) \\ !_{21}(U;u) & !_{11}(U;u) \end{pmatrix} : \quad (16)$$

To solve the equation (13a) one assumes that

$$S \rightarrow 0; \quad u \rightarrow 0; \quad (17)$$

as well as that at $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \rightarrow 1$ these limits can be rapidly achieved.

For further discussions it is useful to introduce a stereographic projection (here and below we omit a dependence on t):

$$w = w(\zeta) = \frac{S_+}{1 - S_3} : \quad (18)$$

Integrating (14) on the assumption that $(\zeta) \rightarrow 1; u(\zeta) \rightarrow 0$ at $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \rightarrow 1$, on fulfilling a number of calculations one finds $(\zeta) = f(\zeta; i; j = 1; 2)$:

$$!_{11}(\zeta) = e^{01 + i \cdot 01}; \quad !_{21}(\zeta) = \frac{!_{11}(\zeta)}{w}; \quad (19)$$

where

$$01 = 01(\zeta) = \int_1^Z d \frac{w^0}{w(j\omega^2 + 1)}; \quad 01 = 01(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} u(\zeta); \quad (20)$$

Thus, the requirement of coincidence of asymptotics of the function $!_{11}(\zeta)$ at $\zeta \rightarrow 1$ results in restriction (bound) for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\int_1^{Z+1} d \frac{w^0}{w(j\omega^2 + 1)} = 0; \quad (21)$$

The expression for 01 can be rewritten in a more symmetrical form:

$$01 = 01(\zeta) = \int_1^{Z+1} d \int_1^{Z+1} d^0 d^0 (\zeta^0) (\zeta^0) \frac{w^0}{w(j\omega^2 + 1)}; \quad (22)$$

where (ζ) is Heaviside's function.

In this case the matrix is written as a product:

$$= (11) (12) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{01} & \frac{1}{w} e^{01} \\ \frac{1}{w} e^{01} & e^{01} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i \cdot 01} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i \cdot 01} \end{pmatrix} : \quad (23)$$

It is not difficult to show that if $u(\zeta) \rightarrow 0$ at $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \rightarrow 1$ and also, generally speaking, $\zeta \in \mathbb{I}$, then from the requirement of coincidence of asymptotics at $\zeta \rightarrow 1$ is followed by the necessity of satisfying the equality (21) and a condition $01(\zeta \rightarrow 1) = 01(\zeta \rightarrow 1)$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$.

On using the equation (1b) and rewriting the expression for the density of a topological charge in terms of variable w , one represents function $u(\zeta)$:

$$u(\zeta) = C_1 \int_1^Z d \int_1^Z d^0 d^0 G_1 \left(\frac{0}{2}; \frac{0}{2} \right) \frac{w^0 w^0}{(j\omega^2 + 1)^2}; \quad (24)$$

where $G_1(\zeta) = (1/2)[(\zeta) - (\zeta)]$ is Green's function for the wave equation, ζ is a pure imaginary constant, and, hence, the matrix depends only on a variable w .

Similarly at $\zeta = i$ (the case M I-II), putting $z = x + iy; z = x - iy$, from (13a) one obtains an equation:

$$4i(I + U) \psi_z = (I + U) Q \psi; \quad (25)$$

so in virtue of an involution

$$(U; u) = \psi_z (U; u) \psi; \quad (26)$$

that follows from the symmetry relation $Q(U; u) = \psi_z Q(U; u) \psi$, the matrix takes the form :

$$(U; u) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{02(z; z)} & \frac{1}{w} e^{02(z; z)} \\ \frac{1}{w} e^{02(z; z)} & e^{02(z; z)} \end{pmatrix} e^{02}; \quad (27)$$

where

$$02(z; z) = \ln |11| = \frac{z \bar{z}}{2i} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{d}{d\bar{z}} \frac{w(z; \bar{z}) w^1(z; \bar{z})}{(z - \bar{z})(1 + j w(z; \bar{z}))^2}; \quad (28)$$

$$02 = 02(z; z) = \frac{1}{2} u(z; z);$$

The relation (27) is obtained assuming $\psi \neq 0$ at $r \neq 1$, where $r = |z| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$, and applying the @ problem technique (see for example [7], [12]) in integrating the equation (25).

Analogously to the case M I-I, from (27) it is possible to exclude the function $u(z; z)$, on using (1b):

$$u(z; z) = C_2 \frac{dz^0 \wedge d\bar{z}^0}{2i} G_2(z - \bar{z}; z - \bar{z}) \frac{w_{z^0 \bar{z}^0} w_{z^0 \bar{z}^0}}{(jw^2 + 1)^2}; \quad (29)$$

where $G_2(z; \bar{z}) = (1 =) \ln |z|$ is Green's function of Laplace's operator, C_2 is a real constant, so the matrix depends only on a variable w .

The expression for ψ^{-1} for the system M I-II, as has already been noticed, for the first time was obtained by other means in [8]. It does not contain the second co-factor in (27), which is connected with the fact that in [8], as distinct from the given paper, an associated linear system for a gauge equivalent counterpart (equation of Davey-Stewartson-II) is assumed to be known. Moreover, from the relation $S = \psi^{-1}$, used in [8] in obtaining the gauge equivalence, it follows that the matrix can be determined to multiplication by a diagonal matrix from the right.

Thus, for the system M I-II the relations (27)-(28) generalize the result obtained in [8] whereas for the systems M I-I corresponding expressions (23), (19)-(24) are completely new. A gauge equivalence of the M I-I system and Davey-Stewartson-I one is absent (as it was shown in [7], it holds only for effectively one-dimensional models), which means that properties of their solutions, strictly speaking, can be quite different.

4. Now let us proceed to checking-up the Darboux's covariance of the system (7)-(8). Let us assume

$$\tilde{\psi} = \psi_x^{-1} \psi; \quad \psi = \psi_x^{-1} \tilde{\psi}; \quad (30)$$

where ψ_1 is some fixed solution of the system at $S = S^{(1)}$, and $S^{(1)}$ is a fixed solution of (1). Then the requirement of covariance of (7)-(8) with respect to the transformation (30) results in the following dressing formulas:

$$\tilde{A} = A; \tilde{B} = B + [A; 1]; \tilde{B} = {}_1B {}_1 + ({}_1B {}_x + {}_1y {}_1A {}_1x) {}_1^{-1}; \quad (31)$$

$$\tilde{M} = M + [L; 1]; \tilde{L} = L; \tilde{R} = R + M {}_x + 2\tilde{L} {}_1x + \tilde{M} {}_1^{-1} {}_1M; \quad (32)$$

It should be noticed that in applying DT (30) the matrix $(= (U))$ is also transformed: $! \sim$, where $\sim \in M \text{ at}(2; C)$; $\sim = \sim(U)$. It means that (31)–(32), along with U and the matrix has been calculated, all include an unknown matrix \sim as well, therefore obtaining an information about new solutions of the model (1) from this system meets with difficulties.

However, it is possible to act as follows. Let us assume that

$$\sim = K; \quad (33)$$

where $K = K(x; y; t) \in M \text{ at}(2; C)$, then from the former of the relations (31) we immediately find:

$$\tilde{U} = K U K^{-1}; \quad (34)$$

Comparing this expression with a formula of potential reconstruction obtained in a soliton sector of the problem for the case of a (one-dimensional) model of Heisenberg's magnet [2], it is not difficult to see that the matrix K plays a part of Blyashke's multiplier and it satisfies to generalized unitarity conditions (see below).

Using now expressions for M and \tilde{M} ; Q by means of matrixes and \sim correspondingly, and calculating traces of matrixes \tilde{M} and Q , considering (33)–(34) from the former from equalities (32) we find¹

$$\alpha_y(x; y; t) = u_y + 2i \text{Sp } U K^{-1} K_x; \quad (35a)$$

Relations (34), (35a) are a solution of the system (1), provided that matrix K has been found.

Let us proceed to constructing dressing formulas in terms of the matrix K for the topological charge (2) assuming that in applying DT $Q_T \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_T$. For this purpose, taking into account the identity $S(S_x \wedge S_y) = (1 + 2i) \text{Sp}(S S_x S_y)$ (2) is rewritten as:

$$Q_T = \frac{1}{8i} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 dx dy \text{Sp}(S S_x S_y); \quad (36)$$

Using (33)–(34), for \tilde{Q}_T we have:

$$\tilde{Q}_T = \frac{1}{4i} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 dx dy \text{Sp } K^{-1} K_x [U; K^{-1} K_y]; \quad (37a)$$

Let us find out some properties of the matrix K .

From the dressing relation (31) for \tilde{B} and using (33)–(34) we have an equation:

$$U K^{-1} K_x - K^{-1} K_y = [A; 1]^{-1};$$

or, considering an expression for the matrix A :

$$U K^{-1} K_x - K^{-1} K_y = [U; 1]^{-1}; \quad (38a)$$

On multiplying both sides of this equation from the right of the matrix K^{-1} we obtain a closed linear equation on the matrix $\hat{K} = K^{-1}$:

¹A more explicit expression for α will be given below.

$$U \hat{K}_x - \hat{K}_y = [U; -1 \quad 1] \hat{K}; \quad (38b)$$

Taking into account the definition of \hat{K} and the formula (6) we have:

$$U \hat{K}_x - \hat{K}_y = [U; -1_x \quad 1_x] \hat{K}; \quad (39a)$$

where \hat{K} is some fixed solution of (5).

Using (13b), (33), we can find the second (evolutionary) linear equation on matrix K :

$$K_t(U - C) + K(U_t - U - tC) = 0; \quad (39b)$$

The equation (38a) allows rewriting the formula (35a) after integration over y in the following form:

$$\alpha(x; y; t) = u(x; y; t) + 2i \ln \det K + f(x; t); \quad (35b)$$

where it is supposed, that $f(x; t)$ is a real-valued function that can be defined considering boundary conditions of the type (1c) and an asymptotic of the matrix K at $y \rightarrow \pm 1$. It follows that a requirement of reality α in the case of the model M I-I results in the restriction: $\ln |\det K| = 0$, and, hence, then we have

$$\alpha(x; y; t) = u(x; y; t) - 2 \arg \det K + f(x; t); \quad (35c)$$

Using an easily checked identity

$$\text{Sp} [(K_y K^{-1})_y - (K_x K^{-1})_x] = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Sp} [(K^{-1})_x K_y - (K^{-1})_y K_x]; \quad (40)$$

we find:

$$Q_T = \frac{i}{4} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 dx dy [(\partial_{yy} - \partial_{xx}) \ln \det K]; \quad (37b)$$

The reality of this value for the case M I-I follows from the remark made above.

It is necessary to note that relations (34), (35) and (37) are in accord with similar relations deduced by another technique in [5]-[7], namely, by the Θ -dressing method and the Inverse Scattering Transform one.

Having denoted $[0] = ; [1] = \sim; [2] = \tilde{\sim}; \dots; [0] = ; [1] = \sim; [2] = \tilde{\sim}; \dots; K_0 = K; [i+1] = K^{-1} [i]; i = 0, 1, \dots$, at next applications of DT we have chains of the form: $[0]! [1]! [2]! \dots; [0]! [1]! [2]! \dots; K_0! K_1! K_2! \dots$.

Thus, after applying a N -multiple recurrence of the dressing procedure, from relations (34), (35), (37) it is easily to write formulas describing N -soliton solutions $(U[N], S[N])^2$:

$$U[N] = \left(\prod_{j=0}^N K_{N-j} \right) U \left(\prod_{j=0}^N K_{N-j} \right)^{-1}; \quad (41)$$

$$u[N] = u + 2i \ln \left(\prod_{j=0}^N \det K_j \right) + \sum_{i=0}^N f[i]; \quad (42)$$

²It should be especially emphasized that as distinct from [5]-[7] in the given paper a spectral problem was not solved.

$$Q_T[N] = \frac{i}{4} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 dx dy [(\partial_{yy}^2 - \partial_{xx}^2) \ln \det K_N]: \quad (43)$$

The other equation for the matrix K can be found substituting the ansatz (34) in (1a). For simplicity we restrict ourselves by an initial solution $S = S_3$ taking into account the identity: $(K^{-1})_x = [K_x K^{-1}; K^{-1} K_x]$ and the formula (35b), as a result we find:

$$K_t K^{-1} + \frac{1}{2i} (K_{xx} + K_{yy}) K^{-1} + K^{-1} [(K^{-1})_{xx} + (K^{-1})_{yy}] + \\ + \frac{1}{i} [K_x (K^{-1})_x + K_y (K^{-1})_y] \quad (44)$$

$$(u + 2i \ln \det K)_y K_x K^{-1} - (u + 2i \ln \det K)_x K_y K^{-1} = 0:$$

In closing this section it should be noticed that, thus, we have obtained two, generally speaking, independent techniques to solve the system (1).

The first one is reduced to finding the matrix K on putting some initial solution of the system (5) and solving the equation (13), then from (39) one obtains the matrix \hat{K} (or K) and, in according to the dressing relations constructed above one has new solutions of (1).

The second one is connected with an immediate solution of the equation (44) (though in the general case it can be rather difficult, but it might be possible in elementary special cases) and with a following employment of the dressing relations.

5. Let $u = 1$. Then on using characteristic variables from (39a) it follows the linear equation:

$$(I - U)\hat{K} + (I + U)\hat{K} = [U; (1 + 1) \cdot 1 \cdot (1 + 1)^{-1}] \hat{K}: \quad (45)$$

Besides from (15) one has:

$$K(U; u) = K(U; -u); \quad (46)$$

and the same relation for the matrix \hat{K} .

From (45) it is not difficult to show that equations for the matrix \hat{K} columns can be reduced to a hyperbolic system of equations.

Considering (34) and (46) one finds implicit representations for components S_3, U in terms of matrix elements of the matrix K and for an arbitrary initial solution S :

$$S_3 = \frac{k_{11}(S; u)k_{11}(S; -u) - k_{21}(S; u)k_{21}(S; -u)}{\det K} S_3 + \\ + \frac{k_{21}(S; -u)k_{11}(S; u)S_+ + k_{11}(S; u)K_{21}(S; u)S}{\det K}; \quad (47)$$

$$S_+ = \frac{2k_{21}(S; u)k_{11}(U; -u)S_3 + K_{11}^2(S; u)S_+ - k_{21}^2(S; u)S}{\det K};$$

where $\det K = k_{11}(S; u)k_{11}(S; -u) + k_{21}(S; u)K_{21}(S; -u)$, and, as it has already been shown, the condition $\det K \neq 0$ should hold true.

Let us also cite an equation of the form (44) that formally can be rewritten as a system of equations ($u = u = 0$):

$$K_t + \frac{1}{4i} (K_x + K_y) K^{-1} + \frac{1}{4i} K^{-1} (\hat{K}_x + \hat{K}_y) K + \frac{1}{2i} K^{-1} \hat{K} K + \\ + \frac{1}{2i} K^{-1} \hat{K} K - i(\ln \det K)_x K + i(\ln \det K)_y K = 0;$$

³ Otherwise such an equation would be too bulky.

(48)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}_t - \frac{1}{4i} {}_3(\hat{K} + \hat{K}) - \frac{1}{4i} \hat{K} (K + K) - {}_3\hat{K} - \frac{1}{2i} \hat{K} K - {}_3\hat{K} \\ - \frac{1}{2i} \hat{K} K - {}_3\hat{K} - i(\ln \det K) \hat{K} + i(\ln \det K) \hat{K} = 0: \end{aligned}$$

Let $\hat{K} = i$. Then from (39a), using variables $z; \bar{z}$, we have:

$$(U + I)\hat{K}_z + (U - I)\hat{K}_{\bar{z}} = [U; (z + \bar{z})^{-1} (z + \bar{z})^{-1}] \hat{K}; \quad (49)$$

where for the matrix \hat{K} there exists a symmetry relation:

$$\hat{K}(z; \bar{z}; t) = {}_2\hat{K}(z; \bar{z}; t) {}_2: \quad (50)$$

It is obvious that the equation (49) can be reduced to an elliptical system of equations.

Moreover, from (34) and (50) we obtain dressing relations of the form

$$S_3(z; \bar{z}; t) = \frac{(j_{11} \hat{f} - j_{21} \hat{f}) S_3 - k_{11} k_{21} S - k_{21} K_{11} S_+}{\det K}; \quad (51)$$

$$S_+(z; \bar{z}; t) = \frac{2k_{11} k_{21} S_3 + K_{11}^2 S_+ - k_{21}^2 S}{\det K}; \quad (52)$$

where $\det K = j_{11} \hat{f} + j_{21} \hat{f}$.

It should be noticed that in this case the equation (44) also can be formulated as a system of nonlinear equations ($u_z = u_{\bar{z}} = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} K_t + \frac{1}{i} (K_{zz} + K_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}) {}_3 + \frac{1}{i} K {}_3 (\hat{K}_{zz} + \hat{K}_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}) K \\ 2i(K {}_3 \hat{K}_z + K {}_3 \hat{K}_{\bar{z}}) K + 4i[(\ln \det K)_z K {}_z - (\ln \det K)_{\bar{z}} K {}_{\bar{z}}] = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}_t - \frac{1}{i} \hat{K} (K_{zz} + K_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}) {}_3 \hat{K} - \frac{1}{i} {}_3 (\hat{K}_{zz} + \hat{K}_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}) + \\ + 2i\hat{K} (K {}_3 \hat{K}_z + K {}_3 \hat{K}_{\bar{z}}) - 4i\hat{K} [(\ln \det K)_z K {}_z - (\ln \det K)_{\bar{z}} K {}_{\bar{z}}] \hat{K} = 0: \end{aligned}$$

Despite of complexity and cumbersome of equations (48) and (53) they can be useful as sources of some solutions of the model (1).

6. Now let us establish a link between the approach developed here and a spectral one. For that it is necessary to introduce a spectral parameter into the system (5). In (5a) one puts $\hat{y} = \exp[(i\hat{x}) \times I - I {}^{(1)}y = (\hat{x}) + 2iU \hat{t}^2]$, where $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{C}$ is the spectral parameter, ${}^{(1)}y = {}^{(1)}y(x; y; t); \hat{x} = (x; y; t); {}^{(1)}y \in \mathbb{M} \text{ at } (2; \mathbb{C})$, assuming $[{}^{(1)}y; U] = 0$. Then the function \hat{y} must obey an equation:

$$y - \frac{i}{\hat{x}} {}^{(1)}y = \frac{1}{U} U_x + \frac{i}{U} U: \quad (54)$$

Representing \hat{y} as $\hat{y} = \sum_{k=0}^P \frac{1}{k} \hat{y}^k$, at $j \neq 0$ from (54), in particular, one finds: $\hat{y}_0 {}^{(1)}y = U {}_0$.

Similarly for the system (7), putting $\hat{y} = \exp[(i\hat{x}) \times I - i {}^{(1)}y = (\hat{x}) + 2iU \hat{t}^2]$, one obtains an equation

$$\hat{y}_y - \frac{i}{\hat{x}} \hat{y} {}^{(1)}y = A \hat{y}_x + \frac{i}{A} \hat{y} + B \hat{y}: \quad (55)$$

Putting $\psi = \sum_{k=0}^P \psi_k e^{ikx}$; $j, j! \neq 0$, one has: $A = (1 = \psi)_0^{(1)} \psi_0^{-1} = (1 = \psi)^{-1} U$: Thus, $\psi_0^{(1)} = U$; $\psi_0 = \psi_0$, and from an equality for the matrix A one finds: $\psi_0 = \psi_0^{-1}$, and hence, $\psi_0 = 1$.

7. In summary it should be noted that in the given paper the main accent was made on the specificity of a DT application to the model (1) without exhibiting explicit solutions because a rather wide number of the solutions as well as their classification have been given in [5-7]. On the other hand, a series of integrable models (Myrzakulov's magnets) that are some modifications (or generalizations) of Ishimori's model have been proposed in papers [13]-[15]. But owing to appropriate associated linear systems of these models are similar, the DT application technique used above is applicable also to the series though it can result in changing gauge transformation matrices. A differential-geometrical interpretation of a number of quoted relations might be of interest as well.

The author is thankful to P.P. Kulish for supporting.

REFERENCES

1. Y. Ishimori, - Progr. Theor. Phys. 72 (1984), 33.
2. L.A. Takhtadjan and L.D. Faddeev, - Hamiltonian approach in the theory of solitons. M., Science (1986).
3. E. Sh. Gutshabash and V.D. Lipovski, - Teor. Math. Phys. 90 No. 2 (1992), 175.
4. G.G. Varzugin, E. Sh. Gutshabash and V.D. Lipovski, - Teor. Math. Phys. 104 No. 3 (1995), 513.
5. V.G. Dubrovsky and B.G. Konopelchenko, - Coherent structures for the Ishimori equation. 1. Localized solitons with the stationary boundaries. Preprint No. 90-76. Institute of Nuclear Physics. Novosibirsk. 1990.
6. V.G. Dubrovsky and B.G. Konopelchenko, - Coherent structures for the Ishimori equation. 2. Time-dependent boundaries. Preprint No. 91-29. Institute of Nuclear Physics. Novosibirsk. 1990.
7. B.G. Konopelchenko, - Solitons in Multidimensions. World Scientific. 1993.
8. V.D. Lipovski and A.V. Shirokov, - Funct. Anal. Appl., 104, No. 3 (1989), 65.
9. I.T. Habibullin, - Teor. Math. Phys., 91, No. 3 (1992), 363.
10. K. Imai and K. Nozaki, - Progr. Theor. Phys., 96 (1996), 521.
11. H.C. Fogedby, - J. Phys. A, 13 (1980), 1467.
12. M.J. Ablowitz and P.A. Clarkson, - Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering. Cambridge University Press. 1991.
13. R. Myrzakulov, - On some integrable and nonintegrable soliton equations of magnets. Preprint K SU. Alma-Ata. 1987.
14. G.N. Nugmanova, - The Myrzakulov equations: the gauge equivalent counterparts and soliton solutions. Nonintegrable soliton equations of magnets. Preprint K SU. Alma-Ata. 1992.

15. N.K. B liev, G. Nugm anova, R. N. Syzdukova and R. M. yrzakubv, -Soliton equations in 2+ 1 dimensions: reductions, bilinearizations and simplest solutions. Preprint CNLP No.1997-05. Alma-Ata.1997; solv/int 990214.