

# Homogeneous States of Finite Periodic CA with GKL Rules

Ru-Fen Liu<sup>†</sup> and Chia-Chu Chen<sup>‡</sup>

National Cheng-Kung University

Physics department

Tainan Taiwan ROC

For any finite lattice of size  $2N$ , it is shown that the GKL automaton will evolve into attractors if the initial configurations contains an homogeneous block of size larger than  $N$ . The best time estimation for reaching these attracting states is obtained. Our results also confirm to the case of infinite lattice which has been obtained previously.

---

<sup>†</sup>fmliu@phys.ncku.edu.tw

<sup>‡</sup>chiachu@phys.ncku.edu.tw

## 1. Introduction

**Cellular Automata (CA)** are simple mathematical models which can generate complex dynamical phenomena. In principle, **CAs** are spatially-extended discrete dynamical systems which consist of a lattice of sites. The state of each site is in one of the  $g$  states at time  $t$  and has local interactions. The system evolves synchronously in discrete time steps according to identical rules. Cellular Automata was introduced by von Neumann in order to address the problems of self-reproduction and evolution<sup>1</sup>. However, in spite of their mathematical interests, **CA** has not attracted much attention until the introduction of John Conway's game of life<sup>2</sup> around 1970. About twenty years ago, Stephen Wolfram introduced the Cellular automata to the physics community as models of complex dynamical systems<sup>3</sup> and also as a new approach to the parallel computing scheme (For a review see Ref.4). The interest in **CA**'s potential application continues to grow. In fact Cellular Automata have been used to simulate, for example, solar flares<sup>5</sup>, fluid dynamics<sup>6</sup>, crystal growth<sup>7</sup>, traffic flow<sup>8</sup> and galaxy formation<sup>9</sup>.

One of the important questions in **CA** studies is to determine the behavior of the system at large times. According to Wolfram's classification<sup>3</sup> the asymptotic behavior of **CA** can be classified into four classes which are : (1) spatially homogeneous state, (2) fixed or periodic temporal structure and (3) chaotic pattern throughout space. The fourth class of **CA** behaves in a much more complicated manner and was conjectured by Wolfram as capable for performing universal computation.

Due to the complexity of cellular automata, numerical computations of time evolution become the main scheme in **CA** studies. In Wolfram's work<sup>3</sup> some statistical approaches were introduced to characterize quantitatively the patterns generated by **CA** evolution. Statistical methods such as entropies and dimensions can only provide average properties of cellular automata. However, exact results are always needed in

more elaborate discussions . To make this point more explicit let us consider the effects of noise on **CA**. Due to the fact that all physical systems are coupled to noisy environment, it is interesting to know if the above classification of the attractors of **CA** remains intact under the influence of noise. But this question can only be answered by knowing the deterministic **CA** exactly, and as a result exact evaluation of the attractor without noise is called for. Unfortunately such exact calculations only exists for a few cases. One of the most studied case is the GKL automaton proposed in the 80's<sup>10</sup> and surprisingly the detail analytic proof was completed about a decade later<sup>11</sup>.

The analytical proof of GKL automaton constructed in Ref.11 was under the assumption that the system is infinite in size. However all numerical investigation is studied on finite lattice with periodic boundary condition. It is therefore important to investigate GKL model with periodic lattice. In this work we revisit this problem by modifying the method of Ref.11 and prove that the GKL automaton defined on a finite periodic lattice of size  $2N$  can only have two uniform states if the initial configurations containing an uniform block of size larger than  $N$ . The best time estimation for reaching these uniform states is obtained. Our results also include previous proof<sup>11</sup> as a special case.

The plan for this paper is as follows. In the next section a brief review of the GKL automaton is given and several definitions are introduced. The main results of this work are given in terms of lemmas and theorem which are presented in section three. A brief discussion of our results are provided at the last section.

## **2. The GKL Automaton on Finite Periodic Lattice**

The cellular automaton is defined on a finite size lattice with  $2N$  sites. Any general configuration of CA will be denoted by  $\sigma$ . The configuration of the system at time  $t$  is denoted by  $\sigma^t = \{\sigma^t(x), 1 \leq x \leq 2N\}$  where  $\sigma^t(x)$  which denotes the state on site

$x$  takes on two possible values  $\{+, -\}$ . The periodic boundary condition is realized by  $\sigma^t(2N + k) = \sigma^t(k)$ . The GKL rules are given by the following updating procedures:

$$\sigma^{t+1}(x) = \begin{cases} \text{majority}\{\sigma^t(x), \sigma^t(x+1), \sigma^t(x+3)\} & \text{if } \sigma^t(x) = + \\ \text{majority}\{\sigma^t(x), \sigma^t(x-1), \sigma^t(x-3)\} & \text{if } \sigma^t(x) = - \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Any configuration  $\sigma$  can always be divided into two blocks which are denoted by  $\sigma_B$  and  $\sigma_I$ .  $\sigma_B$  is a block of size  $N_B$ , which contains  $\sigma(1)$  to  $\sigma(N_B)$ , and  $\sigma_I$  has size  $N_I$ , which contains  $\sigma(N_B + 1)$  to  $\sigma(2N)$ . In this work,  $N_B$  is required to satisfy  $N_B \geq N + 1$  such that  $N_B > N_I$ . The time evolution of  $\sigma_B$  is denoted by  $\sigma_B^t$ . In this work a block containing the same sign is called homogeneous. Furthermore, the initial configuration  $\sigma^0$  always begins with a  $\sigma_B$  which is a homogeneous contains only  $+$  signs whereas  $\sigma_I$  can have arbitrary configuration. We will show in the next section that starting with this initial configuration the system will end up in an attractor which is homogeneous for the whole configuration with  $\sigma^t = \{\sigma(x) = +, 1 \leq x \leq 2N\}$  in the limit of large  $t$ . That is to say that the block  $\sigma_I$  will be washed out at the end. Even though during time evolution the process can be quite complicate. This particular homogeneous configuration will be denoted by  $\sigma_+$ . A similar attractor also exists for the case with initial condition containing a  $\sigma_B$  which is an homogeneous block of  $-$  sign. This attractor is denoted by  $\sigma_- = \{\sigma(x) = -, 1 \leq x \leq 2N\}$ . Before we proceed it is useful to introduce some definitions which are in close resemblance to the ones employed in Ref.11 but with modification.

**Definition 1**  $\sigma$  is good to  $a$  if it satisfies three conditions as follows:

- (1) For  $1 \leq x \leq a - 2$ , if  $\sigma(x) = -$ , then  $\sigma(x + 1) = +$ .
- (2) For  $1 \leq x \leq a - 4$ , if  $\sigma(x) = -$ , then  $\sigma(x + 3) = +$ .
- (3) If  $\sigma(a - 3) = \sigma(a) = -$ , then  $\sigma(a - 1) = -$ .

With this definition, it is clear that any initial configuration,  $\sigma^0$  is good to  $N_B + 2$ . Furthermore, to the left side of  $a$ , the configuration  $\sigma$  satisfying the above conditions contains neither two consecutive  $-$  nor two  $-$  at a distance 3, except near the boundary site  $a$ . That is,  $\{++--\}$  is allowed if the rightmost site of this block is  $a$ . For example, the configuration  $\sigma$  with

$$\sigma = \{- + - + + + - - + + \dots\}$$

is good to site 8, but not good to any site larger than 8. It is convenient to denote  $\{--\}$  as  $\bar{\sigma}\{x; 2\}$  with  $\sigma(x) = \sigma(x + 1) = -$ . This notation can be generalized as  $\bar{\sigma}\{x; L\}$  which denotes a block containing only  $-$  of size  $L$  where  $L \geq 2$  and starting from  $\sigma(x)$  to  $\sigma(x + L - 1)$ . Besides, we also denote  $\{-++-\}$  as  $\tilde{\sigma}\{x\}$  with  $\sigma(x) = \sigma(x + 3) = -$ .

**Definition 2**  $\sigma$  is good if  $\sigma$  is good for all sites.

**Definition 3** A block in  $\sigma$  is perfectly good if this block contains neither  $\bar{\sigma}\{x; L\}$  nor  $\tilde{\sigma}\{x\}$ .

### 3. The Proof

The main result in this section is to establish the fact that the system has two attractors which are the homogeneous states  $\sigma_+$  and  $\sigma_-$  respectively. First we show that perfectly good configuration  $\sigma$  stays as perfectly good as the system evolves. This is state as a lemma:

**Lemma 1** If  $\sigma^t$  is perfectly good, then  $\sigma^{t+1}$  is also perfectly good.

**Proof:** It can be verified by direct checking that if  $\sigma^{t+1}$  is not perfectly good, then  $\sigma^t$  is also not perfectly good. For example, if  $\sigma^{t+1}$  is not perfectly good then it might

contain  $\bar{\sigma}\{m; L\}$  (A similar discussion can also be done for  $\tilde{\sigma}\{k\}$ .) For this configuration if  $\sigma^t(m+1) = +$ , then  $\sigma^t(m)$  should be  $-$  such that either  $\sigma^t(m-1)$  or  $\sigma^t(m-3)$  is equal to  $-$ . As a result, whatever the sign of  $\sigma^t(m-2)$  be,  $\sigma^t$  must contain either  $\bar{\sigma}\{m-1; 2\}$  or  $\tilde{\sigma}\{m-3\}$ . The same argument can also apply for the case of  $\sigma^t(m+1) = -$  which implies the existence of either  $\bar{\sigma}\{m-1; 2\}$  or  $\tilde{\sigma}\{m-2\}$  in  $\sigma^t$ . Therefore, for  $\sigma^t(m+1) = \pm$ ,  $\sigma^t$  is also not perfectly good.

It is useful to keep in mind that if  $\sigma^t(m) = -$  and  $\sigma^{t+1}(m)$  is also  $-$ , then  $\sigma^t$  must contain either  $\bar{\sigma}\{m-1; 2\}$  or  $\tilde{\sigma}\{m-3\}$  on the **left** side of  $m$ . It is also interesting to know that the number of time steps for  $\sigma_B$  to retain perfectly good can be obtained and the result is proved in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2** *For any initial configuration with an homogeneous  $\sigma_B$ , the perfectly good configuration of  $\sigma_B$  is retained during time evolution within  $N_B - 2$  time steps.*

**Proof:** It is noted that, if  $\sigma_B^{t+1}$  contains either  $\bar{\sigma}\{m; L\}$  or  $\tilde{\sigma}\{m\}$ , then either  $\bar{\sigma}\{m'; L'\}$  or  $\tilde{\sigma}\{m'\}$  are contained in  $\sigma_B^t$ . The proof of this fact can be established in the same way as the proof of lemma 1 and is omitted.

However there is one particular case which requires further discussion. As  $\sigma_B^{t+1}$  contains  $\bar{\sigma}\{3; L\}$  or  $\tilde{\sigma}\{3\}$ , there is a possibility that  $\sigma^t$  contains  $\tilde{\sigma}\{2N\}$ . If that happens then the proof is invalidate. To show that this particular case does not occur one has to establish that starting from any homogeneous  $\sigma_B$ ,  $\sigma_B^t$  does not contain any  $\bar{\sigma}\{3; L\}$  or  $\tilde{\sigma}\{3\}$  as  $t \leq N_B - 2$ .

It is obvious that, the homogeneous configuration  $\sigma_B$  can only be eroded from the right side at most one site at each time step. As a result, either  $\bar{\sigma}\{3; L\}$  or  $\tilde{\sigma}\{3\}$  may appear at  $t = N_B - 2$ . For the case of  $\bar{\sigma}\{3; L\}$ , according to this at-most-one-site propagating property, the state of any site less than 4 must be  $+$  at  $t = N_B - 3$ . Hence,  $\sigma^{N_B-3}(3) = +$  with  $\sigma^{N_B-3}(4) = \sigma^{N_B-3}(6) = -$ . However this can not lead to  $\bar{\sigma}\{3; L\}$  at next time step. As a result,  $\sigma^{N_B-2}$  does not contain  $\bar{\sigma}\{3; L\}$ . On the other

hand, for the existence of  $\tilde{\sigma}\{3\}$ , by using the propagating property, one can conclude in a similar fashion that  $\sigma^{N_B-3}(3) = +$  and  $\sigma^{N_B-3}(4) = \sigma^{N_B-3}(6) = -$  which imply  $\sigma^{N_B-3}(5)$  must be  $-$ . Such configuration is contradicted to the definition of  $\tilde{\sigma}\{3\}$ . Therefore  $\sigma^{N_B-2}$  also does not contain  $\tilde{\sigma}\{3\}$ . This completes the proof of this lemma.

Combining the results of lemmas 1 and 2, one can conclude that within  $N_B - 2$  time steps, if  $\sigma_B$  at time  $t$  is perfectly good, then  $\sigma_B$  at time  $t + 1$  is also perfectly good. Moreover, Because our initial configuration of  $\sigma_B$  is perfectly good, then in  $N_B - 2$  time steps,  $\sigma_B$  retains its perfectly good configuration. We also mention that there is another way to see why **lemma 2** only holds for  $t \leq N_B - 2$ . As the  $-$  sign propagates to the site 3 at  $t = N_B - 2$ ,  $\sigma^{N_B-1}(3)$  is determined by the site of  $\sigma^{N_B-2}(2N)$  which is contained in  $\sigma_I$  and implies  $\sigma^{N_B-1}(2) = \sigma^{N_B-1}(3) = -$  could occur. If that is the case then  $\sigma_B$  is not perfectly good.

**Lemma 3** *In  $N_B - 2$  time steps, if  $\sigma^t$  is good to  $a$ , then  $\sigma^{t+1}$  is good to  $a + 1$ .*

**Proof:** This lemma can be established by noting that if  $\sigma^{t+1}$  is not good to  $a + 1$ , then  $\sigma^t$  is not good to  $a$ . For example, one can check that if any  $\bar{\sigma}\{m; L\}$  appears in  $\sigma^{t+1}$ , then  $\sigma^{t+1}$  is not good for all sites with  $x \geq m + 2$ . By using the same approach as one employed in proving the previous lemmas it can be shown that  $\sigma^t$  must contain either  $\bar{\sigma}\{m - 1; 2\}$  or  $\tilde{\sigma}\{m - 3\}$ . This result implies  $\sigma^t$  is at least not good to  $m + 1$ . This conclusion can also be drawn for  $\sigma^t(m + 1) = -$ . The case of  $\tilde{\sigma}\{k\}$  can also be treated in the same way and the conclusion remains the same as in the case of  $\bar{\sigma}\{m; L\}$ . According to our definition of perfectly good, **lemma 2** ensures that  $\sigma_B$  keeps its perfectly good configuration in  $N_B - 2$  time-steps therefore *Lemma 3* is only true for  $t \leq N_B - 2$ .

**Lemma 4** *If  $\sigma^t$  is perfectly good and  $\sigma_{seed}^t\{m, L_s\}$  (with  $L_s \geq 3$ ) is a homogeneous block of  $+$  sign in  $\sigma^t$ , then the length ( $L_s$ ) of this block increases at least two sites at the next time step.*

**Proof:** It can be proved directly. When  $\sigma^t$  is perfectly good, then it can contain any block which has all + signs with length other than 2. It is a trivial case for the block containing only one single + thus we just need to consider any block with the length larger than two. If  $\sigma^t$  contains a block  $\sigma_{seed}^t\{m, L_s\}$ , then  $\sigma^{t+1}$  contains a homogeneous block of + sign starting from  $\sigma^{t+1}(m)$  to  $\sigma^{t+1}(m + L_s - 2)$ . Now consider the case with  $\sigma^t(m - 1) = -$ , due to the restriction of perfectly good of  $\sigma^t$ , it is easy to check that  $\sigma^t(m - 2)$  and  $\sigma^t(m - 4)$  must be +. Hence  $\sigma^{t+1}(m - 1) = \sigma^{t+1}(m - 2) = +$ . Furthermore, from **lemma 1**,  $\sigma^{t+1}$  is also perfectly good therefore if  $\sigma^t(m - 3) = -$ , it must change sign with  $\sigma^{t+1}(m - 3) = +$  at the next time step. However, the reader can easily check that there is no constraint on  $\sigma^{t+1}(m - 4)$  and  $\sigma^{t+1}(m + L_s - 1)$ . As a result,  $\sigma_{seed}^t\{m; L_s\}$  becomes  $\sigma_{seed}^{t+1}\{m - 3, L_s + 2\}$  in  $\sigma^{t+1}$ .

Equipping with these lemmas, one can now proceed to prove that the system will evolve into the attractor if the initial configuration has an homogeneous  $\sigma_B$  with  $N_B = N + 1$ .

**Theorem** *For  $\sigma$  with a size of  $2N$ , if the initial configuration has homogeneous  $\sigma_B$  with  $N_B \geq N + 1$  then  $\sigma^{N+N_I-2} = \sigma_+$ .*

**Proof:** For our initial configuration of  $\sigma_B$ ,  $\sigma^0$  is good to  $N_B + 2$ . According to **lemma 3**,  $\sigma^{N_I-2}$  is good to  $2N$ . It is easy to check that the rightmost four sites of  $\sigma^{N_I-2}$  of all good configurations have + sign. These sites are on the left side of  $\sigma_B$  (since the lattice is periodic.). Hence, in the next time step, one obtains  $\sigma^{N_I-1}(2N - 1) = \sigma^{N_I-1}(2N - 2) = +$ . Furthermore, according to **lemma 2**,  $\sigma_B$  still maintains its perfectly good configuration at time  $N_I - 1$  and therefore  $\sigma_I$  contains neither  $\bar{\sigma}\{m; L\}$  nor  $\tilde{\sigma}\{m\}$ . Thus,  $\sigma^{N_I-1}$  is perfectly good. Moreover, at this time step,  $\sigma_B$  also contains a block  $\sigma_+$  with at least of size  $N_B - (N_I - 1) \geq 3$ . As a result, there is at least a block  $\sigma_{seed}^{N_I-1}\{1, 3\}$  in  $\sigma^{N_I-1}$  with a perfectly good configuration.

From **lemma 4**,  $\sigma_{seed}^{N_I-1}\{1, 3\}$  will expand with the expansion rate of 2 sites per each time step. Therefore, the number of time step for  $\sigma^{N_I-1}$  to become  $\sigma_+$  is at most  $\frac{2N-3+1}{2}$ . As a result, with our particular initial configuration of a finite size lattice  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma^{N_I+N-2} = \sigma_+$ . Thus the time for reaching the homogeneous state is obtained and is given by  $T \leq N_I + N - 2$ .

#### 4. Discussions and Conclusions

The results obtained in this work is that for any finite one dimensional lattice with periodic condition, the GKL automaton has only two homogeneous attractors if the initial configuration contained a homogeneous block of size bigger than half of the lattice. These results are closely related to the previous results which were obtained for infinite lattice<sup>11</sup>. The previous result studied the eroding effects which wash out any finite island embedded in any infinite homogeneous configuration. It is shown in this work that the infinite homogeneous configuration is not necessary. In fact the infinite homogeneous configuration can be replaced by a finite homogeneous block where elimination of the island also occurs as long as the homogeneous block is larger than half of the lattice. Furthermore the upper bound of the time for reaching the attractor is also obtained in this work. Even though the results obtained here is an improvement of the earlier results, however the story is not complete yet. This is due the fact that, on finite lattice, numerical simulations<sup>4</sup> has shown that for any initial configuration with a density of either + or - sign greater than 0.5 the system always ends up on the  $\sigma_{\pm}$  attractors respectively. The results in this work certainly confirm to this fact. Therefore it will be interesting to rigorously establish the results of simulation by relaxing the condition of a homogeneous  $\sigma_B$ . Work along this line is now being investigated.

- 
1. J. Von Neumann, Theory of Self-reproducing automata edited and completed by A.W. Burks, University of Illinois Press (1966).
  2. E.R. Berlekamp, J.H. Conway, and R.K. Guy, Winning Ways, for your Mathematical Plays, Vol.2, Chap. 25, Academic Press (1982).
  3. S. Wolfram, Rev. Mod. Phys. **55**,601(1983).
  4. M. Mitchel, J.P. Crutchfield and P.T. Hraber, Physica D **75**, 361(1994).
  5. H. Isliker, A. Anastasiadis, L. Vlahos, Astr. and Astrophys. **377**, 1068(2001).
  6. U. Frisch, B. Hasslacher and Y. Pomeau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 1505(1986).
  7. A. Mackay, Phys. Bull. **27**,495(1976).
  8. H. Fukś, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 197(1999).
  9. H. Gerola and P. Seiden, Astrophys. J. **223**, 129(1978).
  10. P. Gac, G.L. Kurdyumov and L.A. Levin, Probl. Peredachi. Inform.**14**, 92(1978).
  11. P.G. de Sá and C. Maes, J. Stat. Phys. **67** 507(1992).