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LEEPENGTEO


#### Abstract

W e de ne and study dispersion less coupled m odi ed K P hierarchy, which incorporates tw o di erent versions of dispersion less modi ed K P hierarchies.


## 1. Introduction

Recently, the dispersionless lim it of integrable hierarchies is under ac-
 are various problem s associated w ith dispersionless KP (dKP) and dispersionless Toda (dToda) hierarchies, such as topological eld theory and its connection to string theory, 2D -gravity, m atrix m odels and conform alm aps

 ed KP (dm KP) hierarchy is less under spot light. W e found at least two di erent versions of dm K P hierarchies, one is considered by K upershm idt in $\left.\bar{K} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p}}^{-1} \mathrm{~g}^{\prime}\right]$ and later by C hang and Tu in $[\overline{\mathrm{C}} \overline{0} 0]$, the other is de ned by Takebe in [Tak02̄]. It is well known that a solution of dToda hierarchy will
 that under a M iura $m$ ap, a solution of dK P hierarchy $w$ ill give rise to $a$ solution to their dm K P hierarchy. In fact, this process can be reversed and we can view the dm K P hierarchy as a transition betw een a dToda to a dK P hierarchy. O ne of the problem that is still left open is the existence of tau function for the dm K P hierarchy. In order that a satisfactory tau function exists, we nd that it is necessary to introduce an extra ow to the dm KP hierarchy considered by K upershm idt, C hang and Tu. This is exactly what Takebe did. Takebe's version of dm K P hierarchy is the dK P hierarchy w ith an extra ow. Hence we inconporate the two versions of dm K P hierarchy. W e consider a dm KP hierarchy in Chang and Tu's version, w ith an extra tim e param eter, and call it the dispersion less coupled modi ed KP hierarchy (dam K P ).W e develop the theory along the lines of T akasakiand Takebe [TT95] and Takebe [Tak0̄̄].
$T$ he basic ob ject in our dom K P hierarchy is a form alpow er series $L$ and a polynom ial $P$ in variable $k$ with coe cients functions of tim e variables $t=\left(x ; s ; t_{1} ; t_{2} ;:::\right)$. We de ne the hierarchy in term $s$ of Lax equations. $W$ e introduce the dressing function in Section 2. By $m$ eans of the dressing function, we de ne the $O$ rlov function $M$, which form a canonical pair w ith

L, nam ely fL; M $\mathrm{g}=1$. W e de ne the S function as a prim titive of a closed one form. The tau function is de ned so that it generates the coe cients of M.

In Section 3, we prove that a solution of the dToda hierarchy give a solution to our dam KP hierardhy with $P=k$. In Section 4, we discuss the M iura $m$ ap which transform a solution ofour dam K P hierarchy to a solution of Takebe's dm K P hierarchy (dK P hierarchy with an extra param eter s). W e nd our M iura $m$ ap the inverse of the one considered by $C$ hang and $T u$ [ $\bar{C} \bar{T} 0 \mathrm{O}]$ w hen s is considered as a param eter.

In Section 5, we consider the tw istor construction of solutions to our dom K P hierarchy. W e also show that every solution ofour dam K P hierarchy has an associated tw istor data. In Section 6, we consider the $\mathrm{w}_{1+1}$ sym $m$ etry generated by the action of a H am iltonian vector eld to the tw istor data.

## 2. D ispersionless modified K P hierarchy

2.1. Lax form alism. We de ne dispersionless coupled modi ed KP hierarchy (dam KP) by inconporating the de nitions of dispersionless modi ed KP hierarchy (dm KP) of $\left.\overline{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{upp}^{-1}, \overline{\mathrm{C}} \overline{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{O}\right]$ and $\left.\overline{\mathrm{T}} \overline{\mathrm{a}} \overline{\mathrm{O}} \overline{\mathrm{Z}}\right]$. The fundam ental quantity

$$
L=k+{ }_{n=0}^{\Lambda} u_{n+1}(t) k^{n}
$$

is a form al power series in $k$ w ith coe cients $u_{n+1}(t)$ depend on in nitely $m$ any continuous variables $t=\left(x ; s ; t_{1} ; t_{2} ;:: ;\right.$ ). W e also introduce an auxiliary $m$ onic polynom ial of degree $N$

$$
P=k^{N}+p_{N} \quad 1(t) k^{N} \quad 1+:::+p_{0}(t):
$$

The di erential equations that govem the deform ation of $L$ w ith respect to s; $t_{1} ; t_{2} ;:::$; are

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}} & =f B_{n} ; L g ; \quad B_{n}=\left(L^{n}\right)>0 ;  \tag{2.1}\\
\frac{@ L}{@ s} & =f \log P ; L g ; \\
\frac{@ \log P}{@ t_{n}} & =\frac{@\left(L^{n}\right) 0}{@ s} \quad f l o g P ; B_{n} g:
\end{align*}
$$

${ }_{i}^{1}{ }_{i}$ W here $(A)_{S}=\left({ }_{i} A_{i} k^{i}\right)_{S}={ }^{P}{ }_{i 2 S} A_{i} k^{i}$ speci es the part of the pow er series $A$ to extract, and $f ; g$ is the Poisson bracket

$$
\mathrm{ff} ; \mathrm{gg}=\frac{@ f}{@ k} \frac{@ g}{@ x} \quad \frac{@ g}{@ k} \frac{@ \mathrm{f}}{@ \mathrm{x}}:
$$

[^0]A s usual, the $t_{1}$ ow says that

$$
\frac{@ L}{@ t_{1}}=\frac{@ L}{@ x}:
$$

In other w ords, the dependence on $t_{1}$ and $x$ appear in the com bination $t_{1}+x$. $W$ hen there are no dependence on $S$, the rst equation in '(2.1) is the dm KP hierarchy de ned by [Kper upod. W e introduce an extra param eter $s$ and the second equation in (2. 2 ) determ ines the dependence of $L$ on $s$ via the auxiliary polynom ialP. T he last equation determ ines the $t_{n}-$ ow of $P$. By standard argum ent, we have the follow ing zero curvature equation

$$
\frac{@ B_{m}}{@ t_{n}} \quad \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ t_{m}}+f B_{m} ; B_{n} g=0
$$

and its dual form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@\left(L^{m}\right) 0}{@ t_{n}} \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right) 0}{@ t_{m}} f\left(L^{m}\right) 0 ;\left(L^{n}\right) \quad 0 g=0: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his gives us the consistency between the $t_{n}$ ows of $L$. To prove the consistency betw een the $t_{n}$ and $s$ ow sof L, we rst establish the follow ing.

P roposition 2.1. There exist a function ( $t$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t_{n}}=\left(\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)_{0}: \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

P roof. W e have to check that we can consistently solve for . U sing the dual form of the zero curvature equation (2-2), we have

$$
\frac{@\left(L^{m}\right)_{0}}{@ t_{n}} \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)_{0}}{@ t_{m}} \quad\left(f\left(L^{m}\right) 0 ;\left(L^{n}\right) \quad 0 g\right)_{0}=0:
$$

H ow ever, the last term containspowers 1 ofk. H ence ( 2 is not unique since we do not specify its dependence on $s$.

N ow we check that the $s$ and $t_{n}$ ow s of $L$ are consistent. $W$ e have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@}{@} f B_{n} ; L g \quad \frac{@}{@ t_{n}} f \log P ; L g \\
= & f \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ s} ; L g+f B_{n} ; f \log P ; L g g \quad f \frac{@ \log P}{@ t_{n}} ; L g \quad f l o g P ; f B_{n} ; L g g \\
= & f \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ s} \quad \frac{@ \log P}{@ t_{n}} \quad f \log P ; B_{n} g ; L g:
\end{aligned}
$$

$N$ ow from the third equation in $(\overline{2}=1.1)$, we have

$$
\frac{@ B_{n}}{@ s} \frac{@ \log P}{@ t_{n}} \quad f \log P ; B_{n} g=\frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)_{0}}{@ s}:
$$

$T h$ is is independent of $k$. On the other hand hand, from the second equation in $(\underset{-1}{2})$, since the right hand side contains powers 1 of $k$, we have

$$
\frac{@(\mathrm{~L})_{0}}{@ s}=0:
$$

Together w th $\frac{@\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)_{0}}{@ t_{n}}=\frac{@\left(\mathrm{~L}^{m}\right)_{0}}{@ t_{n}}$ (from the proof of $P$ roposition 1

$$
\frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)_{0}}{@ x @ s}=\frac{@\left(L^{1}\right)_{0}}{@ t_{n} @ s}=0:
$$

$H$ ence $\frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)_{0}}{@ s}$ is independent of $x$, and the $s$ and $t_{n}$ ow $S$ of $L$ are consistent.
For the consistency of the $t_{n}$ ow sofP, we use the zero curvature condi-
 the fact $\frac{@\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)_{0}}{@}$ is independent of $k$ and $x$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@}{@ t_{m}} \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right) 0}{@ s} \text { flogP ; } B_{n} g \quad \frac{@}{@ t_{n}} \frac{@\left(L^{m}\right) 0}{@ s} \text { flog } P ; B_{m} g \\
& =\frac{@}{@ s} \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)_{>0}}{@ t_{m}} \frac{@\left(L^{m}\right)_{>0}}{@ t_{n}}+\frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)_{0}}{@ t_{m}} \quad \frac{@\left(L^{m}\right)_{0}}{@ t_{n}} \quad f \log P ; \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ t_{m}} \quad \frac{@ B_{m}}{@ t_{n}} g \\
& f \frac{@\left(L^{m}\right) 0}{@ s} \quad f \log P ; B_{m} g ; B_{n} g+f \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right) 0}{@ s} \quad f \log P ; B_{n} g ; B_{m} 9 \\
& =\frac{@}{@ s} \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ t_{m}} \quad \frac{@ B_{m}}{@ t_{n}}+f B_{n} ; B_{m} g \quad \text { flog } P ; \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ t_{m}} \quad \frac{@ B_{m}}{@ t_{n}}+f B_{n} ; B_{m} g g=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$H$ ence the $t_{n}$ ow $s$ of $P$ are consistent.
22. D ressing operator. As in $[\mathrm{T}$ T 95$]$, we can establish the existence of a dressing operator $\exp \left(\mathrm{ad}^{\prime}\right)$, where ad $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{g})=\mathrm{ff} ; \mathrm{gg}$.
Proposition 2.2. There exists a function ${ }^{\prime}=P_{n=0}^{1} r_{n} k^{n}$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L} & =\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ad}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k} ; \\
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}} ;^{\prime}} & =\left(\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) 0 ; \quad \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{s}^{\prime} ;^{\prime}}=\log \mathrm{P} \quad \log \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{N}} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r_{t ; A} B=P_{n=0}^{1} \frac{\left(a d^{\prime}\right)^{n}}{(n+1)!} \frac{@ A}{@ t}$. If $\sim i_{P}$ is another function satisfying the conditions above, then the finction $=P_{n=0}^{1} n^{n}{ }^{n}$ de ned by ad' $=$ $e^{\mathrm{ad}}$ ' $e^{\mathrm{ad}}$ has constant coe cients, i.e., $n$ 's are independent of $t$.
P roof. W e sketch the proof here. For details, we refer to P roposition 12.1 in [T] [T]. Standard argum ent show $s$ that we can nd 'o such that

$$
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ad}^{\prime} 0} \mathrm{k}:
$$

The rst two equations in

 that $A_{i}$ 's are independent $O f_{P} x$, we have $\frac{\varrho A_{n}}{@ t_{m}}=\frac{@ A_{m}}{\varrho t_{n}}$ and $\frac{@ A_{n}}{@ s}=\frac{\varrho A_{0}^{-}}{@ t_{n}} \cdot \bar{H}$ ence we can nd a function ${ }^{\prime 0}={ }_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{1},{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{0} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{n}}$ such that

$$
\frac{@^{\prime 0}}{@ t_{n}}=A_{n} ; \quad \frac{\varrho^{\prime 0}}{@ s}=A_{0}:
$$

M oreover, ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{0}$ can be chosen so that it is independent ofx. N ow we de ne' by $e^{a d \prime}=e^{a d^{\prime} 0} e^{a d^{\prime}}{ }^{0}$. Since ${ }^{\prime} 0$ is independent of $x$, we have $e^{a d^{\prime}}{ }^{0} k=k$ and $r_{t_{n} ;^{\prime} 0^{\prime}}=\frac{\varrho^{\prime 0}}{@ t_{n}}, r_{s ;^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{\varrho^{\prime 0}}{@ s}$. So we have

$$
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ad}{ }^{\prime}} \mathrm{k}:
$$

M oreover, using Lem m a A 2 in A ppendix A of $[\underline{T}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{t_{n} ;^{\prime}}=r_{t_{n} ;^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0}+e^{a d a^{\prime} 0} r_{t_{n} ; 0^{\prime}}=\left(\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) 0 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

2.3. O rlov function and D arboux coordinates. U sing the dressing operator', we can construct the O rlov function $M$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =e^{a d}, \quad X^{1} n k^{n 1}+x+\frac{N s}{k} \\
& =X_{n=1}^{X^{\#}} n L^{n} 1+x+\frac{N s}{L}+X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} V_{n} L^{1} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ here $v_{n}$ are functions of $t . M$ has the property that it form $s$ a canonical pair w ith $L$, nam ely $f L ; M \quad g=1$. U sing P roposition $\overline{2} 2$ and LemmaA. in A ppendix $A$ of $\left[\begin{array}{l}T \\ T\end{array}{ }^{-1}\right]$, we also $n d$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ M}{@ t_{n}}=f B_{n} ; M g ; \quad \frac{@ M}{@ s}=f \log P ; M g: \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we want to express $B_{n}$ 's and $\log P$ by $v_{n}$ 's. From de nition, we can $w$ rite the functions $B_{n}$ and $\log P$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{n} & =L^{n} \quad \frac{@}{@ t_{n}} \quad X_{m=1}^{A} a_{n, m}(t) L^{m} ; \\
\log P & =\log L^{N} \quad X^{A}{ }_{m}=1
\end{aligned} a_{0 ; m}(t) L^{m} ; \quad ;
$$

for som e functions $a_{n, m}$ ( $t$ ) of $t$. They can be expressed in term $s$ of partial derivatives of $v_{n}$ 's $w$ th respect to $t$ :

Proposition 2.3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{n} & =L^{n} \quad \frac{@}{@ t_{n}} X_{m=1}^{1} \frac{1}{m} \frac{@ v_{m}}{@ t_{n}} L^{m} ; \\
\log P & =\log L^{N} \quad X^{1} \quad \frac{1}{m} \frac{@ v_{m}}{@ s} L^{m}:
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. $F$ irst we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}}=\frac{@ B_{n}}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{@ x} \quad \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ x} \frac{@ L}{@ k}  \tag{2.5}\\
& =\frac{@ B_{n}}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{@ x} \quad \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ x}+\frac{@ B_{n}}{@ x} L \text { xed } \frac{@ L}{@ k} \\
& =\frac{@ B_{n}}{@ x} \quad \frac{@ L}{} \frac{\mathrm{xed}}{@ k}:
\end{align*}
$$

The form ulas

$$
\mathrm{fL} ; \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{~g}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{@ \mathrm{M}}{@ \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}}=\mathrm{fB}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{~g}
$$

satis ed by M give us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{@ M}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{\varrho t_{n}}+\frac{@ M}{@ t_{n}} L \text { xed } & =\frac{@ B_{n}}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ k} \frac{@ M}{@ x} \quad \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ x}+\frac{@ B_{n}}{@ x} \text { L xed } \frac{@ M}{@ k} \\
& =\frac{@ B_{n}}{@ L} \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ x} \text { L xed } \frac{@ M}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ k}:
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (2].

$$
\frac{@ M}{@ t_{n}} L \text { xed }=\frac{@ B_{n}}{@ L}=n L^{n} 1+X_{m=1}^{X^{1}} m a_{n, m} L^{m}{ }^{1}:
$$

Com paring coe cients give us the assertion. The proof for the form ula for $\log P$ is the sam $e$, w th $\log P$ replacing $B_{n}$, s replacing $t_{n}$.

T he proof of this proposition also gives us a characterization of the function $M$ as follows.
Proposition 2.4. If $M=P_{n=1}^{1} n L^{n 1}+x+\frac{N s}{L}+P_{n=1}^{1} V_{n} L n{ }^{1}$ is a function such that

$$
\mathrm{fL} ; \mathrm{M} g=1 ; \quad \frac{@ \mathrm{M}}{@ \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}}=\mathrm{fB}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~g} ; \quad \frac{@ \mathrm{M}}{@ \mathrm{~s}}=\mathrm{flog} \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~g} ;
$$

then there exists a dressing function ' as in P roposition $\overline{2} .2$ and satis es

$$
M=e^{a d,} X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} n k^{n}+x+\frac{N s}{k}:
$$

In other words, $M$ is an Orlov function of $L$.
P roof. W e let ${ }^{\circ}$ be a dressing function given by $P$ roposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{0} & =e^{a d, 0} X^{1} n t_{n} k^{n} 1+x+\frac{N s}{k} \\
& =X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} n L^{n} 1+x+\frac{N s}{L}+X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} V_{n}^{0} L^{n 1}:
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\mathrm{fL} ; \mathrm{M}^{0} \mathrm{~g}=1 ; \quad \frac{@ \mathrm{M}^{0}}{@ \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}}=\mathrm{fB}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{M}^{0} \mathrm{~g} ; \quad \frac{@ \mathrm{M}^{0}}{@ \mathrm{~s}}=\mathrm{flog} P ; \mathrm{M}^{0} \mathrm{~g}:
$$

From the proof in the previous proposition, we see that these conditions im ply that
(2.7) $\quad \frac{@ M}{}_{@ S}^{L}$ xed $=\frac{@ \log P}{@ L} ; \quad \quad \frac{@ M}{@ S} L_{\text {xed }}=\frac{@ \log P}{@ L}$ :

From the explicit expressions of $M$ and $M^{0}$, we have

$$
M^{0} M=X_{m=1}^{X_{m}} L^{m} 1^{1}:
$$

The equations in (2...') im ply that the $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}$ 's are constants. We let ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{o}_{0}=$ ${ }_{m=1}^{1} \frac{c_{m}}{m} k^{m}$, then

Hence if we de ne' by $e^{a^{\prime}}=e^{a d d^{\prime}} e^{a d \prime} 0$, then


Since ' o has constant coe cients,' satis es all the requirem ents in P roposition ${ }_{2}^{2}$ 2

N ow we introduce the findam ental two form

$$
!=d k^{\wedge} d x+X_{n=1}^{X^{\prime}} d B_{n} \wedge d t_{n}+d\left(\log P \quad \frac{@}{@ s}\right)^{\wedge} d s:
$$

$T$ he exterior derivative $d$ is taken $w$ ith respect to the independent variables $\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{s}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{n}=1 ; 2$;:::. It satis es

$$
d!=0 ; \quad \text { and } \quad!\wedge!=0:
$$

In fact, ( $L$; $M$ ) is a pair of functions that play the role of $D$ arboux coordinates. N am ely

$$
d L^{\wedge} d M=!:
$$

 $\mathrm{fL} ; \mathrm{M} \mathrm{g}=1$ are equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
d L \wedge d M=d k \wedge d x+X_{n=1}^{X^{A}} d B_{n} \wedge d t_{n}+d\left(\log P \quad \frac{@}{@ s}\right)^{\wedge} d s: \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

 1. T he other direction follow s by tracing the argum ent backw ard. By looking at the coe cients of $d k{ }^{\wedge} d x, d k \wedge d t_{n}, d x{ }^{\wedge} d t_{n}, d k \wedge d s, d x{ }^{\wedge} d s$ and $d t_{n} \wedge d s$ respectively and using the property of given by P roposition 1, we nd that ${ }_{2}^{-1}(2)$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@ L}{@ k} \frac{@ M}{@ x} \quad \frac{@ L}{@ x} \frac{@ M}{@ k}=\mathrm{fL} ; \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{~g}=1 ; \\
& \frac{@ L}{@ k} \frac{@ M}{@ t_{n}} \quad \frac{@ M}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{\varrho t_{n}}=\frac{@ B_{n}}{@ k} ; \quad \frac{@ L}{@ x} \frac{@ M}{@ t_{n}} \quad \frac{@ M}{@ x} \frac{@ L}{\varrho t_{n}}=\frac{@ B_{n}}{@ x} ; \\
& \frac{@ L}{@ k} \frac{@ M}{@ s} \quad \frac{@ M}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{@ s}=\frac{@ \log P}{@ k} ; \quad \frac{@ L}{@ x} \frac{@ M}{@ s} \quad \frac{@ M}{@ x} \frac{@ L}{@ s}=\frac{@ \log P}{@ x} ; \\
& \frac{@ L}{@ s} \frac{@ M}{\varrho t_{n}} \frac{@ M}{@ s} \frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}}=\frac{@ \log P}{@ t_{n}}+\frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ s @ t_{n}}+\frac{@ B_{n}}{@ s}=\frac{@ \log P}{@ t_{n}}+\frac{@\left(L^{n}\right) 0}{@ s}:
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ he equations in the second line com bine to give

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\varrho M}{\varrho k} & \frac{\varrho L}{\varrho k} & \frac{\varrho L}{\varrho t_{n}} \\
\frac{\varrho M}{\varrho x} & \frac{\varrho L}{\varrho x} & \frac{\varrho M}{\varrho t_{n}}
\end{array}=\frac{\frac{\varrho B_{n}}{\varrho k}}{\frac{\varrho B_{n}}{\varrho x}} ;
$$

or since fL; $\mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{g}=1$
i.e.

$$
\frac{@ L}{@ t_{\mathrm{n}}}=\mathrm{fB}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{Lg} ; \quad \frac{@ M}{@ \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}}=\mathrm{fB}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~g}:
$$

Sim ilarly, the equations in the third line com bine to give

$$
\frac{@ L}{@ s}=f \log P ; L g ; \quad \frac{@ M}{@ s}=f \log P ; M \mathrm{~g}:
$$

U sing the previous result, the last equation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{@ \log P}{@ t_{n}}+\frac{@\left(L^{n}\right) 0}{@ s}= & \frac{@ \log P}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{@ x} \frac{@ \log P}{@ x} \frac{@ L}{@ k} \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ k} \frac{@ M}{@ x} \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ x} \frac{@ M}{@ k} \\
& \frac{@ \log P}{@ k} \frac{@ M}{@ x} \frac{@ \log P}{@ x} \frac{@ M}{@ k} \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{@ x} \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ x} \frac{@ L}{@ k} \\
= & f \log P ; B_{n} g:
\end{aligned}
$$

The coe cients of $d t_{n} \wedge d t_{m}$ gives the zero curvature condition.
2.4. $S$ function and tau function. Proposition im plies that we can nd a function $S$ such that

$$
d S=M d L+k d x+{ }_{n=1}^{X^{1}} B_{n} d t_{n}+\left(\log P \quad \frac{@}{@ s}\right) d s:
$$

In other words,

$$
\frac{@ S}{@ L}=M ; \quad \frac{@ S}{@ x} L \text { xed }=k ; \quad \frac{@ S}{@ t_{n}}=B_{n e d} ; \quad \frac{@ S}{@ S} L_{\text {xed }}=\log P \quad \frac{@}{@ S}:
$$

$T$ he second equation is just a special case of the third when $n=1$ since $B_{1}=k$. From the explicit representation of the function $B_{n}$ 's and $\log P$ given by P roposition
Proposition 2.6 .

$$
S=X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} t_{n} L^{n}+x L+s \log L^{N}+x_{n=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{v_{n}}{n} L^{n} \quad:
$$

W e also have the follow ing characterization of the partial derivatives of $v_{n}$ ' $s$ in term $s$ of residues.
Proposition 2.7.

$$
\frac{@ v_{\mathrm{n}}}{@ t_{\mathrm{m}}}=\mathrm{ResL}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{m}} ; \quad \frac{@ v_{\mathrm{n}}}{@ \mathrm{~s}}=\mathrm{ResL}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{k}} \log \mathrm{P}:
$$

$H$ ere the di erential od is taken with respect to $k$ and $R$ esA dk means the coe cient of $k^{1}$ of A.

Proof. W e give a proof which follows the same line as Proposition 4 in [TT92]. W e only show the second equality here. $W$ e have

$$
\frac{@ M}{@ s} \frac{@ M}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ S}=\frac{@ M}{@ S} L_{\text {xed }}=\frac{N}{L}+X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{@ v_{n}}{@ S} L^{n}:
$$

U sing ResL ${ }^{n} d_{k} L=n ; 1$, we have

$$
\frac{@ v_{n}}{@ s}=\operatorname{ResL}^{n} \quad \frac{@ M}{@ s} \quad \frac{@ M}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ s} \quad d_{k} L:
$$

$T$ he expression in the bracket

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@ M}{@ s} \frac{@ M}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ s} d_{k} L \\
= & f l o g P ; M g \frac{@ L}{@ k} \quad f \log P ; L g \frac{@ M}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ k} \quad d k \\
= & \frac{@ \log P}{@ k} \frac{@ M}{@ x} \quad \frac{@ \log P}{@ x} \frac{@ M}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{@ k} \quad \frac{@ \log P}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{@ x} \quad \frac{@ \log P}{@ x} \frac{@ L}{@ k} \frac{@ M}{@ k} d k \\
= & \frac{@ \log P}{@ k} d k=d_{k} \log P:
\end{aligned}
$$

T h is im plies the assertion.

A s a consequence of this proposition, we can show the existence of a tau function for our dam K P hierarchy. First we have the follow ing properties of taking residues,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ResA } d_{k} B=\operatorname{Res} B d_{k} A ; \\
& R e s A d_{k} B=R e s A>0 d_{k} B<0+R \operatorname{es} A<0 d_{k} B>0
\end{aligned}
$$

W hen $m$;n 1 , we have ResL ${ }^{m} d_{k} L^{n}=n \operatorname{ResL} L^{m+n}{ }^{1} d_{k} L=0$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left(L^{m}\right)_{>0} d_{k}\left(L^{n}\right)_{<0}=\operatorname{Res}\left(L^{m}\right)_{<0} d_{k}\left(L^{n}\right)_{>0}:
$$

It follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{@ v_{m}}{@ t_{n}} & =\operatorname{Res} L^{m} d_{k} B_{n}=\operatorname{Res}\left(L^{m}\right)<0 d_{k}\left(L_{n}\right)>0=\operatorname{Res}\left(L^{m}\right)>0 d_{k}\left(L^{n}\right)_{<0}  \tag{2.9}\\
& =\operatorname{Res}\left(L^{n}\right)_{<0} d_{k}\left(L^{m}\right)>0=\frac{@ v_{n}}{@ t_{m}}:
\end{align*}
$$

O n the other hand, since

$$
\frac{@}{@ t_{m}} R e s L^{n} d_{k} \log P=\frac{@^{2} v_{n}}{@ s @ t_{m}}=\frac{@^{2} v_{m}}{@ s @ t_{n}}=\frac{@}{@ t_{n}} R e s L^{m} d_{k} \log P ;
$$

there exists a function $(t)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t_{n}}=\operatorname{ResL}^{n} d_{k} \log P=\frac{@ v_{n}}{@ s}: \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is only determ ined up to a function of s. Finally from we have
$P$ roposition 2.8. There exist a function of $t$, called the tau function of our dam K P hierarchy, such that log is the generating function for $v_{n}$ 's and , i.e.

$$
\frac{@ \log }{@ t_{n}}=v_{n} ; \quad \frac{@ \log }{@ s}=:
$$

Since is only determ ined up to a function ofs, is also only determ ined up to a function of $s{\underset{L}{1}}_{\Sigma_{1}}^{1}$.

Rem ark 2.9. In the special case $w$ hen $P=k$, we have

$$
\operatorname{ResL}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{k}} \log \mathrm{k}=\left(\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)_{0}:
$$

Hence the fiunction coincides w ith the function we introduce in Proposition

[^1]In this case, the form ulas in P roposition the tau function as

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{n}=L^{n} \quad X_{m=1}^{1}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \frac{1}{m} \frac{\varrho^{2} l o g}{@ t_{m} @ t_{n}} L \quad \frac{@^{2} l o g}{@ s @ t_{n}} ; \\
& \log k=\log L \quad X^{1} \quad \frac{1}{m} \frac{\varrho^{2} \log }{@ t_{m} @ s} L^{m}:
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the coe cients of $L$; $M$; $B_{n}$ can be expressed as di erential polynom ials of derivatives of log : W e shall see below that this special case play a particular role of bridging the transition from dispersionless Toda (dToda) hierarchy to dispersionless K P (dK P ) hierarchy. This is the m otivation for our present work.

## 3. Relations w ith dispersionless Toda hierarchy

There are a few ways to form ulate dispersionless Toda hierarchy, A $l l$ of them are connected by a M iura type transform ation. W e rst quickly review the set up follow ing [T] 95]. For details, we refer to [T] therein.
dToda is a system of di erential equations $w$ th two sets of independent variables ( $\left.t_{1} ; t_{2} ;:::\right)$, ( $t_{1} ; t_{2} ;:::$ ) and an independent variable $s . T$ he fiundam ental quantities are tw o form al pow er series

$$
\begin{gathered}
L(p)=p+X_{n=0}^{X_{n+1}}(t) p^{n} \\
L^{1}(p)=w_{0}(t) p^{1}+X_{n=0}^{X_{n}^{1}} u_{n+1}(t) p^{n}:
\end{gathered}
$$

H ere $t$ denotes collectively all the independent variables. The Lax representation is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}}=f\left(L^{n}\right) 0 ; L g_{T} ; & \frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}}=f\left(\tilde{\sim}^{n}\right)_{<0} ; L g_{T} ; \\
\frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}}=f\left(L^{n}\right) 0 ; L^{\sim} g_{T} ; & \frac{@ L^{\sim}}{@ t_{n}}=f\left(\tau^{n}\right)_{<0} ; \sim \sim g_{T}:
\end{array}
$$

Here f ; т gis the P oisson bracket for dT oda hierarchy

$$
f f ; g_{T}=p \frac{\varrho f}{@ p} \frac{\varrho g}{@ s} \quad p \frac{\varrho f}{@ s} \frac{\varrho g}{@ p}:
$$

In order to see the relation betw een the dToda hierarchy and our dam K P hierarchy, we use the fact that (for details see [T] $\left.\overline{\mathrm{T}} 9{ }^{-1}\right]$ ) there exist a tau
function $d T$ oda such that in term $s$ of this tau function,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(L^{n}\right) 0 & =L^{n} X^{1} \frac{1}{m} \frac{@^{2} \log d T o d a}{@ t_{m} @ t_{n}} L{ }^{m} ;  \tag{3.1}\\
\left(L^{n}\right)_{0} & =\frac{@^{2} \log d T o d a}{@ s @ t_{n}} ; \\
\log p & =\log L \quad X^{1} \\
m=1 & \frac{1}{m} \frac{@^{2} \log d T o d a}{@ t_{m} @ s} L{ }^{m}:
\end{align*}
$$

C om paring w ith equations $(2, \mathcal{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$, it is natural to see that the follow ing proposition should hold.

Proposition 3.1. If ( $L ; L^{\sim}$ ) is a solution to $d T$ oda and $\frac{@(L)_{0}}{@ s}=0$, then ( $\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{k}$ ) is also a solution to dam $K P$ hierarchy if we replace $p$ by $k, t_{1}$ by $t_{1}+x$ and regarding $t_{n}$ 's as param eters. In this case, the tau function for the $d T$ oda hierarchy $d T$ oda is also the tau function for the corresponding dam K P hierarchy.

Proof. Replacing $p$ by $k$ and $t_{1}$ by $t_{1}+x$, the case $n=1$ of the rst equation in the dToda hierarchy gives us

$$
\frac{@ L}{@ x}=\frac{@ L}{@ t_{1}}=k \frac{@(L)}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{@ s} \quad k \frac{@(L) 0}{@ s} \frac{@ L}{@ k}:
$$

N ow (L) $0=k+u_{1}$. Since we assum e that $u_{1}$ is independent of $s, \frac{@(L) ~}{@ s}$ is identically 0 . H ence

$$
\frac{@ L}{@ x}=k \frac{@ L}{@ s} ;
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\frac{@ L}{@ s}=f l o g k ; L g=\frac{1}{k} \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{@ x} ;
$$

which is the second equation in our dom $K P$ hierarchy (
From this equation, we also have

$$
\frac{@ L^{n}}{@ x}=k \frac{@ L^{n}}{@ s}:
$$

Com paring powers of $k$, we have

$$
\frac{@\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)>0}{@ \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{k} \frac{@\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) 0}{@ \mathrm{~s}} ;
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\frac{@\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) 0}{@ \mathrm{~s}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}} \frac{@\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)>0}{@ \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{flog} \mathrm{k} ;\left(\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)>0 \mathrm{~g} ;
$$

which is the third equation in our dom K P hierardy $[\underline{2}-1 \mathbf{1})$. F inally using the other equations in the dT oda hierarchy, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varrho L}{@ t_{n}} & =k \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{@ S} \quad k \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)}{@ s} \frac{@ L}{@ k}=\frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{@ x} \quad \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)>0}{@ x} \frac{@ L}{@ k} \\
& =\frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)>0}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{@ x} \quad \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)>0}{@ x} \frac{@ L}{@ k}=f\left(L^{n}\right)>0 ; L g ;
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the rst equation in our dam KP hierardhy

Rem ark 32. In the proof of this proposition, we also see that in the special case when $P=k$, the third equation in $[2,-1)$ is a consequence of the second equation.

## 4. M iura map betw een dmKP and dK P hierarchies

W e establish that if ( $L$; P ) is a solution of our dom K P hierarchy, then via a M iura transform, 进 will give a solution of the dm KP hierarchy de ned by Takebe [Tak $\left.\overline{2} \overline{2}^{\prime}\right]$. The fundam ental quantity in $T$ akebe's de nition is the form al series

$$
\tilde{u}=k+{ }_{n=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{B}}} \mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{n}} \text {; }
$$

and an auxiliary polynom ial

$$
P^{\sim}=k^{N}+p_{N} \quad 1(t) k^{N} \quad 1+:::+p_{0}(t):
$$

$H$ ere $t=\left(x ; s ; t_{1} ; t_{2} ;:::\right)$ are independent variables. $T$ he Lax representation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ L^{\sim}}{@ t_{n}}=f\left(\tilde{L}^{n}\right) \quad 0 ; \tilde{L}^{n} g ; \quad \frac{@ L^{\sim}}{@ S}=f \log P^{\sim} ; \tilde{L}^{\sim} g ;  \tag{4.1}\\
& \frac{@ \log P^{\sim}}{@ t_{n}}=\frac{@\left(\mathbb{T}^{n}\right) 0}{@ s} \quad f \log P^{\sim} ;\left(\mathbb{T}^{n}\right) \quad 0 \mathrm{~g}:
\end{align*}
$$

Let ( $L$; $P$ ) be a solution of our dam KP hierarchy ( $\overline{2}$ [1]) and be the function de ned by P roposition' 2 . TheM iura transform of ( $\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{P}$ ) is given by

$$
L^{\sim}=e^{a d} L ; \quad P^{\sim}=e^{a d} P:
$$

Since is independent of $k$, we nd

$$
e^{a d} L=e^{a d} k+X_{n=0}^{X^{1}} u_{n+1}(t)\left(e^{a d} k\right)^{n} ;
$$

and

$$
e^{\mathrm{ad}} \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k} \quad \frac{@}{@ \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{u}_{1}:
$$

Hence

$$
\tilde{u}=k \quad u_{1}(t)+X_{n=0}^{X^{1}} u_{n+1}(t)\left(k \quad u_{1}(t)\right)^{n}=k+X_{n=1}^{X_{n}} u_{n+1}(t) k^{n}
$$

does not has term in $k^{0}$.
Proposition 4.1. Let ( $L$; P ) be a solution of the dam K P hierarchy (2.1), then ( $\tilde{\sim}^{\sim} ; P^{\Gamma}$ ) de ned by the M iura transform is a solution of the dm KP hierarchy de ned by Takebe'[TMk0̄2].

P roof. W e prove that $\left(\tau_{\sim}^{\sim} ; P^{\sim}\right)$ satis es the dm KP hierarchy ${ }^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{i}\right)$ de ned by Takebe. U sing the form ulas in A ppendix $A$ of $\left[\bar{T} \bar{T} 9 \bar{H}_{1}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{@ L^{\sim}}{@ t_{n}} & =e^{\text {ad }} \frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}}+f r t_{n} ; \quad ; \tilde{\mu g} \\
& =f e^{\text {ad }} \quad\left(L^{n}\right)_{>0} ; e^{a d} \quad L g+f \frac{@}{@ t_{n}} ; \tilde{\mu g}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now if we write

$$
L^{n}=X_{m=1}^{X^{n}} V_{n, m}(t) k^{m}
$$

we have

$$
\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}} \text { (t) }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{k} & \left.u_{1}\right)^{m} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

H ence

$$
\left(\mathbb{L}^{\sim n}\right) 0=X_{m=0}^{X^{n}} V_{n, m}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
k & \left.u_{1}\right)^{m}=X_{m=1}^{X^{1}} V_{n, m}\left(k \quad u_{1}\right)^{m}+\left(L^{n}\right)_{0}=e^{a d}\left(L^{n}\right)_{>0}+\frac{@}{@ t_{n}}: ~: ~ \tag{42}
\end{array}\right.
$$

H ence we have established the rst equation in (4.1). Sim ilarly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{@ L}{@ s} & =e^{\text {ad }} \frac{@ L}{@ s}+f r s ; \quad ; L^{\sim} g \\
& =f e^{\text {ad }} \log P ; e^{\text {ad }} L g+f \frac{@}{@ s} ; \tilde{L}^{\sim} g:
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varrho$ is independent of $k$ and $x$, we have established the second equation in (2, 2 ). Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{@ \log P^{\sim}}{@ t_{n}} & =e^{a d} \frac{@ \log P}{@ t_{n}}+f r t_{n} ; \quad ; \log P^{\sim} g \\
& =e^{a d} \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right) 0}{@ s} f \log P ;\left(L^{n}\right)>0 g+f\left(L^{n}\right)_{0} ; \log P^{\sim} g \\
& =\frac{@\left(L^{\sim n}\right) 0}{@ s} f \log P^{\sim} ; e^{a d}\left(L^{n}\right)>0 g \quad f \log P^{\sim} ;\left(L^{n}\right)_{0} g \\
& =\frac{@\left(L^{\sim n}\right) 0}{@ s} f l \log P^{\sim} ;\left(L^{n}\right) \quad 0 g:
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the third equation in (4).
$N$ otige that if we regard $s$ as a param eter, then $L^{\sim}$ is a solution of the $d K P$ hierarchy.

Rem ark 42. To go in the opposite direction, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{e}^{\text {ad }} \mathrm{L}^{\sim}: \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Express in term s of $I$, we have from (4),
$T$ his is precisely the condition that $m$ ust satisfy in order that ( 4 form s a dKP solution to a dm KP solution which is proved by Chang and


Rem ark 4.3. N otice that if' is the dressing operator for $L$, then the function '~ de ned by $e^{{ }^{d} / \sim}=e^{\text {ad }} e^{\text {ad' }}$ is the dressing operator for $I$. If we denote the $O$ rlov function for $L^{\sim}$ by $M^{\sim}$, then by de nition (see "[Takop])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M^{\sim}=e^{a d / \sim}{ }^{X^{1}} n t_{n} k^{n 1}+x+\frac{N s}{k}=e^{a d} e^{a d,} X^{X^{1}} n t_{n} k^{n} 1+x+\frac{N s}{k} \\
& \begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n}=1 \\
\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{A}}
\end{array} \\
& =e^{\operatorname{ad}} X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} n t_{n} L^{n 1}+x+\frac{N S}{L}+X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} V_{n} L^{n} 1^{1} \\
& =X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} n t_{n} L^{n} 1+x+\frac{N s}{L^{n}}+X_{n=1}^{X_{n}} V_{n} \tilde{L}^{n}{ }^{1}:
\end{aligned}
$$

$N$ otige that the functions $V_{n}$ 's are the sam $e$ in both hierarchies. In particular, the tau function for a solution of our dam KP hierarchy is also the tau function for the corresponding $d m K$ P hierarchy obtained via a Miura transform .

## 5. Tw istor construction

As in [T] $\left.{ }^{-1}{ }^{-1}\right]$ and $[$ Tak 02$]$, we show that a solution of dam $K$ can be obtained from tw istor construction (or $R$ iem ann $H$ ilbert type construction).

A tw istor data for a solution ( $L$; P ) of dom KP hierarchy is a pair of functions ( $\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{g}$ ) in variables $(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{x})$ such that $\mathrm{ff} ; \mathrm{gg}=1$ and

$$
f(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) \quad 0=0 ; \quad \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M})_{<0}=0:
$$

Proposition 5.1. Let $(f ; g)$ be a pair of functions in $(k ; x)$ given such that ff;gg = 1. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=k+{ }^{X^{2}} u_{n+1} k^{n} ; \\
& \mathrm{n}=0 \\
& M=X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} n t_{n} L^{n} 1+x+\frac{N S}{L}+X_{n=1}^{X_{n}} V_{n}{ }^{1} \text {; } \\
& P=k^{N}+p_{N} \quad 1(t) k^{N} \quad 1+:::+p_{0}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

be form al power series in $k$ with coe cients depending on $t$. If

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) \quad 0=0 ; & \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M})_{<0}=0 ;  \tag{5.1}\\
(\mathrm{f} \log \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) \mathrm{g})_{0}=0 ; & (\mathrm{f} \log \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) \mathrm{g})_{<} \quad 1=0 ;
\end{array}
$$

Then ( $L$; P) is a solution to our dom K P hierarchy $w$ ith $M$ the corresponding O rlov function.

Proof. For the case when $L$ is independent of $s$, the rst two conditions are those given by $C$ hang and $T u\left[\begin{array}{c}\text { T } \\ \hline 1\end{array}\right]$ in order that $L ; M$ satis es $f L ; M \quad g=$ 1 , the rst equations in '(2.1) and the rst equations in ' $\overline{2} \cdot 4)$ of the dom K P hierarchy. The other two conditions (which are modi ed by those given by Takebe in $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Tak } \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ satis ed. For com pleteness, we give the fillproof here.

W e let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddagger=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{NG}=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}): \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

T hen the condition of the proposition says that

Taking derivative of the equations in $(5 \underline{1}-\overline{2}) \mathrm{w}$ ith respect to k and x , we have the follow ing system :

Taking determ inant of both sides, since $f f ; g g=1$, we have

$$
\mathrm{fL} ; \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{f} \mathrm{\bigoplus} ; \mathbb{N} \mathrm{g}:
$$

[^2]N ow the left hand side

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{@ L}{@ k} \frac{@ M}{\varrho x} \frac{@ L}{@ x} \frac{@ M}{@ k} & =\frac{@ L}{@ k} \frac{@ M}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ x}+\frac{@ M}{@ x} L \frac{@ L}{@ x} \frac{@ M}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ k} \\
& =\frac{@ L}{@ k} 1+x^{x^{1}} \frac{@ v_{m}}{@ x} L^{m} 1 \\
& =1+\text { (powers }<0 \text { of } k):
\end{aligned}
$$

W hile the right hand side

C om paring pow ers of both sides, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f L ; M \quad g=f \sharp ; \mathbb{N} g=1: \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ext, taking derivative of $(\overline{5}-\overline{2} \bar{i})$ ith respect to $t_{n}$, we have

U sing $(5.3)$ and $(5.4)$, this is equivalent to

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@ M}{@ x} \frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}} \quad \frac{@ L}{@ x} \frac{@ M}{@ t_{n}}=\frac{@ M}{@ x} \frac{@ \biguplus}{@ t_{n}} \quad \frac{@ \biguplus}{@ x} \frac{@ M \Psi}{@ t_{n}}=\text { (powers }>0 \text { of } k \text { ) } \\
& \frac{@ M}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}}+\frac{@ L}{@ k} \frac{@ M}{@ t_{n}}=\frac{@ M}{@ k} \frac{@ \biguplus}{@ t_{n}}+\frac{@ \biguplus}{@ k} \frac{@ M \mathcal{F}}{@ t_{n}}=\text { (powers } 0 \text { ofk): }
\end{aligned}
$$

W e can rew rite the left hand sides of these equations as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@ M}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ x}+\frac{@ M}{@ x} L \text { xed } \frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}} \frac{@ L}{@ x} \frac{@ M}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}}+\frac{@ M}{@ t_{n}} L \text { xed ! } \\
& =1+X_{m=1}^{X^{2}} \frac{@ V_{m}}{@ x} L^{m} 1 \frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}} \frac{@ L}{@ x} n L^{n}{ }^{1}+X_{m=1}^{1} \frac{@ V_{m}}{@ t_{n}} L^{m} 1 \\
& =\frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)>0}{@ x}+\text { (powers } 0 \text { of } k \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ M}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}}+\frac{@ L}{@ k} \quad \frac{@ M}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}}+\frac{@ M}{@ t_{n}} L \text { med }  \tag{5.5}\\
& =\frac{@ L}{@ k} n L^{n 1}+X_{m=1}^{@} \frac{@ V_{m}}{@ t_{n}} L^{m \quad 1} \\
& =\frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)>0}{@ k}+(\text { pow ers }<0 \text { of } k) \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

C om paring pow ers with the right hand side, we have
or
ie.
(5.6) $\quad \frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}}=f\left(L^{n}\right)>0 ; L g \quad$ and $\quad \frac{@ M}{@ t_{n}}=f\left(L^{n}\right)>0 ; M$ :

Since the negative powers part of ( $(5.5)$ vanishes, new riding the last equality in (5.5), we have

$$
\frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)>0}{@ k}=\frac{@}{@ k} \quad L^{n} \quad X^{1} \quad \frac{1}{m} \frac{@ v_{m}}{@ t_{n}} L^{m} \quad:
$$

Integrating $w$ th respect to $k$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L^{n}\right)_{>0}=L^{n} \quad X_{m=1}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{1}{m} \frac{@ V_{m}}{@ t_{n}} L^{m} \quad\left(L^{n}\right)_{0}: \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of ( $5-\underset{\sim}{5} \underset{\sim}{2})$ with respect to $s$, as the case of $t_{n}$, we have

N ow we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1+X_{m=1}^{X^{2}} \frac{@ V_{m}}{@ x} L^{m} \quad \frac{@ L}{@ s} \frac{@ L}{@ x} \frac{N}{L}+X_{m=1}^{X^{A}} \frac{@ V_{m}}{@ S} L^{m} 1
\end{aligned}
$$

From $[5$.
(L) $0=k+u_{1}$ :

W e denote the term in the second bracket as

$$
\log Q=\log L^{N} \quad X_{m=1}^{1} \frac{1}{m} \frac{@ v_{m}}{@ s} L^{m}:
$$

H ence

$$
\frac{@ M}{@ x} \frac{@ L}{@ s} \quad \frac{@ L}{@ x} \frac{@ M}{@ s}=\frac{@ u_{1}}{@ s} \quad \frac{@ \log Q}{@ x}:
$$

O n the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{@ \log Q}{@ k}:
\end{aligned}
$$

H ence we have
(5.8)

O $n$ the other hand, since $f$ §; NG $g=1$, we have the identity

H ence

But by the conditions given on $\supsetneqq$ and $\mathbb{A}$, we have

But by the nom alization on $Q$ and $P$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\frac{@ u_{1}}{@ s} \quad \frac{@}{@ x} \log \frac{Q}{P} & =(\text { powers } & 0 \text { of } k) ; \\
\frac{@}{@ k} \log \frac{Q}{P} & =(\text { powers } & 2 \text { of } k):
\end{array}
$$

C om paring powers, all the term $s$ vanish, i.e.

$$
\frac{@ u_{1}}{@ s}=0 ; \quad \frac{@}{@ x} \log \frac{Q}{P}=0 ; \quad \frac{@}{@ k} \log \frac{Q}{P}=0:
$$

Since log $\frac{Q}{P}$ contains powers 1 of $k$, it has to vanish identically. In other words, we have $P=Q$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log P=\log L^{N} \quad X^{\mathrm{E}} \frac{1}{m} \frac{@_{\mathrm{m}}}{@ S} L^{m}: \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.8.8), we have

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{@ M}{@ x} & \frac{@ L}{@ x} & \frac{@ L}{\varrho s} \\
\frac{@ M}{@ k} & \frac{@ L}{@ k} & \frac{@ M}{@ s}
\end{array}=\frac{\frac{@ \log P}{@ x}}{\frac{@ \log P}{@ k}}:
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ L}{@ s}=f \log P ; L g ; \quad \frac{@ M}{@ s}=f \log P ; M g: \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

F inally, from (5.7) (5), (5) and (5.10), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@ \log P}{@ t_{n}} \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right) 0}{@ s}+f \log P ;\left(L^{n}\right)>0 g \\
& =\frac{@}{@ t_{n}} \log L^{N} \quad X^{A} \quad \frac{1}{m} \frac{@ v_{m}}{@ s} L^{m} \quad \frac{\varrho}{@ s} L^{n} \quad X^{1} \quad \frac{1}{m} \frac{@ v_{m}}{@ t_{n}} L^{m} \\
& +\frac{@ \log P}{@ k} \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)>0}{@ x} \frac{@ \log P}{@ x} \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)_{>0}}{@ k} \\
& =\frac{@ \log P}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}} \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)_{>0}}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ s}+\frac{@ \log P}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ k} \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)_{>0}}{@ x} \quad \frac{@ \log P}{@ x} \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)>0}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ k} \\
& =\frac{@ \log P}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ t_{n}}+\frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)>0}{@ x} \frac{@ L}{@ k} \quad \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)>0}{@ L} \quad \frac{@ L}{@ s}+\frac{@ \log P}{@ x} \frac{@ L}{@ k} \\
& =\frac{@ \log P}{@ L} \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)_{>0}}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{@ x} \quad \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right)>0}{@ L} \frac{@ \log P}{@ k} \frac{@ L}{@ x}=0:
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ he fact that $M$ is the corresponding $O$ rlov function follow from the characterization of O rlov functions in P roposition

C onversely, we have
Proposition 5.2. If ( $L$; $\mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{M}$ ) is a solution ofdam K P hierarchy, then there exists a pair of functions ( $f ; g$ ) such that $f f ; g=1$ and satis es $\bar{\prime}\left(5, \frac{1}{1}\right)$ in P roposition '51'

P roof. W e let

$$
f(k ; x)=e^{a d^{\prime}\left(s=0 ; t_{n}=0\right)} k ; \quad g(k ; x)=e^{a d^{\prime}\left(s=0, t_{n}=0\right)} x:
$$

Then obviously $f f ; g \mathrm{~g}=1$. The proof that $\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{g}$ satis es the nst two con-
 satis $s(5.10)$, the other tw o conditions follow s from the identities

$$
\frac{@ f(L ; M)}{@ s}=f \log P ; f(L ; M) g ; \quad \frac{@ g(L ; M)}{@ s}=f \log P ; g(L ; M) g
$$

and the rst two conditions.

$$
\text { 6. } \mathrm{w}_{1+1} \text { symmetry }
$$

$W$ e consider the $W_{1+1}$ action on the space of solutions of the dam KP hierarchy. Explicitly speaking, we de ne an in nitesim al deform ation of ( $f ; g$ ) by a H am iltonian vector eld,

$$
(f ; g) \quad!(f ; g) \exp (\| \operatorname{adF}) ;
$$

and the associated deform ation
(L; ; ; M ) ! (L ("); P ("); M ("))
of the solution of dam K P hierarchy. H ere adF is regarded as a H am iltonian vector eld

$$
\operatorname{ad} F=\frac{@ F}{@ k} \frac{@}{@ x} \quad \frac{@ F}{@ x} \frac{@}{@ k} ;
$$

and " is an in nitesim alparam eter. The in nitesim alsymmetry is the rst order coe cient $F()$ in the "-expansion:

$$
L(")=L+"_{F} L+O\left("^{2}\right) ; \quad M \quad(")=M+"_{F} M+O\left("^{2}\right):
$$

$B y$ de nition, if $G$ is a function of $L$ and $M$, then

$$
F G(L ; M)=\frac{@ G}{@ L}_{F} L+\frac{@ G}{@ M}_{F} M ;
$$

while the independent variables are invariant : ${ }_{F} t=0$.
The in nitesim al symmetries of the dam KP hierarchy is given by the follow ing propositions.
$P$ roposition 6.1. The in nitesim alsym $m$ etry of $L, M$ and $P$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F} \mathrm{~L} & =\mathrm{fF}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0 ; \mathrm{L} g ; \quad \mathrm{F} \quad \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{fF}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0 ; \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~g} ; \\
\mathrm{F} \log \mathrm{P} & =\frac{@ F(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M})_{<0}}{@ S}+\mathrm{fF}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0 ; \log \mathrm{P} g:
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By de nition, the tw istor data ( $f ; g$ ) is deform ed as

H ence, from
we read o the coe cients of ":
$N$ ow as in the proof of $P$ roposition $\overline{15}, 1$, 1 , w e have

C om paring powers of $k$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@ M}{@ X} \quad F L+\frac{@ F}{@ M} \quad \frac{@ L}{@ X} \quad{ }_{F} M \quad \frac{@ F}{@ L}=(\text { powers }>0 \text { of } k) ; \\
& \frac{@ M}{@ k} \quad F L+\frac{@ F}{@ M}+\frac{@ L}{@ k} \quad F M \\
& \left.\frac{@ F}{@ L}=\text { (powers } 0 \text { of } k\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

A $s$ in the proof of $P$ roposition 1,1, these give

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@ M}{@ X} F L \quad \frac{@ L}{@ X} F M=\frac{@}{@ x} F(L ; M) 0 ; \\
& \frac{@ M}{@ k} F L+\frac{@ L}{@ k} F M=\frac{@}{@ k} F(L ; M)<0=\frac{@}{@ k} F(L ; M) 0:
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\mathrm{F} L=\mathrm{fF}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0 ; \mathrm{L} g ; \quad \mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{fF}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0 ; \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~g}:
$$

N ow from

$$
\frac{@ L(")}{@ s}=f \log P(") ; L(") g ;
$$

we have

$$
\frac{@}{@}{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{F}} \log P ; \mathrm{L} g+f \log P ;{ }_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~L} g:
$$

U sing the results above, we have
$f_{F} \log P ; L g=f \frac{@}{@ S} F(L ; M) 0 ; L g+f F(L ; M) 0 ; \frac{@ L}{@ S} g \quad f l o g P ; f F(L ; M) 0 ; L g g$
$=f \frac{@}{@ s} F(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0 ; \mathrm{Lg}+\mathrm{fF}(\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0 ; f \log \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{L} g \mathrm{~g}+\mathrm{flog} \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{fL} ; \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0 \mathrm{gg}$
$=\mathrm{f} \frac{\mathrm{@}}{@ \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0 ; \mathrm{L} g+\mathrm{ffF}(\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0 ; \log \mathrm{P} g ; \mathrm{Lg}$ :
In other words,

$$
f_{F} \log P \quad \frac{\varrho}{@ S} F(L ; M) \quad 0 \quad f F(L ; M) 0 ; \log P g ; L g=0:
$$

Sim ilarly, if we use

$$
\frac{@ M \quad(")}{@ s}=\mathrm{flog} P(") ; \mathrm{M} \quad(") g ;
$$

we have

$$
f_{F} \log P \quad \frac{@}{@ s} F(L ; M) 0 \quad f F(L ; M) 0 ; \log P g ; M \quad g=0:
$$

T he next lem m a im plies that

$$
F \log P \quad \frac{@}{@ S} F(L ; M) 0 \quad f F(L ; M) 0 ; \log P g
$$

is independent of $k$ and $x$. C om paring powers of $k$, we get

$$
F \log P=\frac{@}{@ S} F(L ; M)_{<0}+f F(L ; M) 0 ; \log P g:
$$

Lem m a 6.2. If $A$ is such that $f A ; L g=0$ and $f A ; M g=0$, then $A$ is independent of $k$ and $x$.

Proof. $f A ; L g=0$ and $f A ; M \quad g=0$ are equivalent to

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{@ L}{@ x} & \frac{@ L}{@ k} & \frac{\varrho A}{@ k} \\
\frac{@ M}{@ x} & \frac{@ M}{@ k} & \frac{@ A}{@ x}
\end{array}=0,
$$

Since fL; $\mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{g}=1$, this im plies that

$$
\frac{@ A}{@ k}=0 ; \quad \frac{@ A}{@ x}=0:
$$

In other words, $A$ is independent of $k$ and $x$.

Proposition 6.3. The in nitesim al sym m etries of the $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ 's are given by

$$
\mathrm{F} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\operatorname{ResF}(\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{M}) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n}}:
$$

 proof of P roposition

$$
{ }_{F} M_{L \text { xed }}=X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} F V_{n} L^{n}{ }^{1}:
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
F V_{n} & ={\operatorname{Res} L^{n} \quad{ }_{F} M \quad \frac{@ M}{@ L} F L \quad d_{k} L=\operatorname{ResL}^{n} d_{k} F(L ; M) 0}=\operatorname{Res}\left(L^{n}\right)_{>0} d_{k} F(L ; M)=\operatorname{ResF}(L ; M) d_{k} B_{n}:
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ he second equality follow $s$ the sam e as the proof in P roposition

Proposition 6.4. (1) $T$ he in nitesim al sym $m$ etry of the dressing function ' is determ ined (up to a fiunction of s) by the relation

$$
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{F}} ;^{\prime}=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0 ;
$$

or equivalently, by

$$
\mathrm{F}^{\prime}=\frac{\mathrm{ad}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ad}}{ }^{\prime} 1} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0=\frac{\mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ad} T} 1_{\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{ad}},} \quad \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0 \text {; }
$$

where $\frac{T}{e^{a d T}}$ is understood as a power series of $T$.
(2) The in nitesim al symmetry of the function de ned in Proposition 2.1 is given (up to a function of s) by

$$
F=F(L ; M)_{0}
$$

P roof. F irst we proof (2) . Let (") be the function given by P roposition corresp onding to $L$ ("). Since the function (") is de ned up to functions of s , it is su cient to show that

$$
\frac{@_{F}}{@ t_{n}}=\frac{@}{@ t_{n}} F(L ; M)_{0}=\frac{@}{@ t_{n}} F(L ; M) \quad:
$$

N ow

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{@_{F}}{@ t_{n}} & =F \frac{@}{@ t_{n}}=F\left(L^{n}\right)_{0}=f F(L ; M) 0 ; L^{n} g \\
& =f F(L ; M) 0 ;\left(L^{n}\right)_{>0} g_{0}=f F(L ; M) ;\left(L^{n}\right)>0 g_{0} \\
& =\frac{@}{@ t_{n}} F(L ; M) \quad:
\end{aligned}
$$

This prove (2).
N ow let' (") be the dressing function of $L$ ("). C om paring the coe cient of " in

$$
L(")=e^{a d^{\prime}(")} k ; \quad M \quad(")=e^{a d}{ }^{\prime}(") x_{n=1}^{x^{1}} n t_{n} k^{n} 1+x+\frac{N s}{k} ;
$$

we have

$$
\mathrm{F} L=\mathrm{fr}_{\mathrm{F}} ;^{\prime} \quad ; \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~g}_{;} \quad \mathrm{F} \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{fr}_{\mathrm{F} ;^{\prime}} ; \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~g}:
$$

C om pare w th P roposition ' 6

$$
\mathrm{fr}_{\mathrm{F}} ;^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0 ; \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~g}=0 ; \quad \mathrm{fr}_{\mathrm{F}} ;^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\prime} \quad \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0 ; \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~g}=0 \text { : }
$$

By Lem m a

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{F} ;^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) 0 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a constant independent of $x$. To determ ine ${ }^{\text {ph }}$ is constant, we have to nd
 $\mathrm{k}^{0}$ term in the identity

$$
r_{t_{n} ;^{\prime}}=\left(\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) 0 ;
$$

we have $\frac{\varrho^{\prime} 0_{0}}{@ t_{n}}=\left(L^{n}\right)_{0}$. From Proposition $\overline{1}-1$, th is im plies that up to a


$$
F^{\prime} 0 \quad(F(L ; M))_{0}=\quad F \quad(F(L ; M))_{0}:
$$

By the second part of the proposition we prove above, this vanishes (up to a function of s). H ence we have the rst part of our proposition.

P roposition 6.5. The in nitesim alsymmetry of the function de ned by (2.10) is given by

$$
\mathrm{F}=\operatorname{ResF}(\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{M}) \mathrm{d} \log \mathrm{P}:
$$

Proof. A gain, since the function ( $t$ ) is de ned up to a function of $s$, it is su cient to show that

$$
\frac{@_{F}}{@ t_{n}}=\frac{@}{@ t_{n}} \operatorname{ResF}(L ; M) d \log P:
$$

From [2-10'

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@_{F}}{@ t_{n}}={ }_{F} \frac{@}{@ t_{n}}={ }_{F} \frac{\varrho_{n}}{@ S}=\frac{@_{F} V_{n}}{@ S}=\frac{@}{@ S} \operatorname{ResF}(L ; M) d_{k}\left(L^{n}\right) 0 \\
& =\quad R e s f l o g P ; F(L ; M) \operatorname{ld}_{k} B_{n}+R e s \frac{@\left(L^{n}\right) 0}{@ s} d_{k} F(L ; M) \\
& =\operatorname{Res} \frac{@ \log P}{@ k} \frac{@ F(L ; M)}{@ x} \frac{@ \log P}{@ x} \frac{@ F(L ; M)}{@ k} \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ k} d k \\
& +\operatorname{Res} \frac{@ \log P}{@ t_{n}} d_{k} F(L ; M)+\operatorname{Res} \frac{@ \log P}{@ k} \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ x} \quad \frac{@ \log P}{@ x} \frac{@ B_{n}}{@ k} \frac{@ F(L ; M)}{@ k} d k \\
& =R \operatorname{esfB} B_{n} ; F(L ; M) g d_{k} \log P+R e s \frac{@ \log P}{@ t_{n}} d_{k} F(L ; M) \\
& =\frac{@}{@ t_{n}} \operatorname{ResF}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) d_{k} \log P:
\end{aligned}
$$

Rem ark 6.6. O bserve that in the special case $P=k,=$. In fact in this case

```
ResF (L;M )dk logP = ResF (L;M )dlogk= F (L;M ) O:
```

Rem ark 6.7. Compare the in nitesim al symmetries of $L ; P ; Y ;$; $^{\prime}$ given in the P ropositions above w ith the $t_{n}$ ow $s$ of this quantities, it suggests that the $H$ am iltonian vector eld generate by the fiunction $F(k ; x)=k^{n}$ is equivalent to $\frac{\varrho}{@ t_{n}}$. The discrepancy between the $t_{n}$ ow of $M \quad w$ ith the in nitesim al symm etry of $M$ when $F(k ; x)=k^{n}$ is because $M$ depends explicitly on $t_{n}$, but we enforce $F t_{n}=0$.

It is worth notioe that if L is a solution to our dam KP hierarchy w ith $P=k$ and ( $f ; g$ ) an associated tw istor data, then for any function $F(k ; x)$, since

$$
\frac{@ F(L ; M)}{@ s}=f \log P ; F(L ; M) g=\frac{1}{k} \frac{@ F(L ; M)}{@ x} ;
$$

we have

$$
\frac{@(F(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}))_{<0}}{@ s}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}} \frac{@(\mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M})) 0}{@ \mathrm{x}}:
$$

H ence from P roposition $\overline{6}-\overline{1}$,

$$
F \log P=0:
$$

In other words, the class of special solutions $P=k$ is stable under the $\mathrm{w}_{1+1}$ action.
6.1. Sym $m$ etries extended to tau functions. The above sym m etries can be extended to tau functions as follow s.
$P$ roposition 6.8. The in nitesim alsym $m$ etries of the tau function is given (up to a function of s) by

$$
\mathrm{F} \log =\operatorname{ResF}^{x}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) d_{k} L ;
$$

where $F^{x}(k ; x)$ is a prim itive function of $F(k ; x)$ norm alized as Z x

$$
F^{x}=\int_{0} F(k ; y) d y:
$$

It is com patible with the ows:

$$
\frac{@}{@ t} F \log =F \frac{@ \log }{@ t} ;
$$

where $t=s$ or $t_{n}$ 's.
P roof. Let

$$
F^{x}(L ; M)={ }_{m 2 Z}^{X} F^{m}(t) L^{m} ;
$$

so that $\operatorname{ResF}^{x}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{F} \quad 1$ ( t$)$. Then we have to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ F_{1}(t)}{@ t}=F \frac{@ l o g}{@ t} ; \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t=s$ or $t_{n}$ 's. Since the term $F_{m}(t)$ 's com e purely from $M$, we have

$$
X_{m 2 z}^{@ t} \frac{@ F_{m}(t)}{@} L^{m}=\frac{@ F^{x}}{@ M} \frac{@ M}{@ t} \quad L \text { xed }=F(L ; M) \frac{@ M}{@ t}_{L}
$$

H ence as we have seen in the proof of $P$ roposition

$$
\frac{@ F_{1}(t)}{@ t}=\operatorname{ResF}(L ; M) \frac{@ M}{@ t} L \text { xed } d_{k} L=\operatorname{ResF}(L ; M) d_{k} A ;
$$

where $A=B_{n}$ if $t=t_{n}$ and $A=\log P$ if $t=s$. Since $\frac{@ \log }{@ t}=v_{n}$ if $t=t_{n}$ and $\frac{@ \log }{@ t}=$ if $t=s$, it follow $s$ from $P$ ropositions, 6 holds.

The $\mathrm{w}_{1+1}$ algebra structure is re ected as follow $s$.
$P$ roposition 6.9. For the functions $F_{1}(k ; x)$ and $F_{2}(k ; x)$, the in nitesim al sym $m$ etries obey the com $m$ utation relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{F}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2}\right] \mathrm{log} }=\mathrm{fF}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{~g} \log \quad+\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2}\right) ; \\
& {\left[\mathrm{F}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2}\right] \mathrm{K} }=\mathrm{fF}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{GK} ; \\
& {\left[\mathrm{F}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2}\right]^{\prime} }=\mathrm{KF}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{~g}^{\prime} ; \\
& {[\mathrm{fL} ; \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{P} \mathrm{~g} ;} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{F}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2}\right] }={ }_{\mathrm{fF}}^{1} 1 ; \mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{~g} ; \\
& {\left[\mathrm{F}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2}\right] }=\mathrm{fF}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{~g} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{ResF}_{1}(\mathrm{k} ; 0) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~F}_{2}(\mathrm{k} ; 0) ;
$$

a cocycle of the $w_{1+1}$ algebra.
Proof. The proof of the rst identity follow s exactly the sam e as P roposition 16 in $[$ TT-95]. T he second and fourth identities follows from the rst one and the consistency of the in nitesim alsymmetries of with time ows.

To prove the last identity, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{2}=\mathrm{F}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{2}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}){ }_{0} \\
& =f\left(\mathrm{~F}_{1}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M})\right) 0 ; \mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M}) \mathrm{g} \\
& =\frac{@\left(F_{1}(L ; M)\right) 0}{@ k} \frac{@ F_{2}(L ; M)}{@ x} \quad \frac{@\left(F_{1}(L ; M)\right)}{@ x} \frac{@ F_{2}(L ; M)}{@ k} \\
& =\frac{@\left(F_{1}(L ; M)\right) 0}{@ k} \frac{@\left(F_{2}(L ; M)\right)>0}{@ x} \frac{@\left(F_{1}(L ; M)\right) 0}{@ x} \frac{@\left(F_{2}(L ; M)\right)>0}{@ k} \quad 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[F_{1} ; F_{2}\right] \quad=F_{1} F_{2} \quad F_{2} F_{1}} \\
& =\frac{@\left(F_{1}(L ; M)\right) 0}{@ k} \frac{@\left(F_{2}(L ; M)\right)_{>0}}{@ x} \frac{@\left(F_{1}(L ; M)\right) 0}{@ x} \frac{@\left(F_{2}(L ; M)\right)_{>0}}{@ k} \\
& +\frac{@\left(F_{2}(L ; M)\right) 0}{@ k} \frac{@\left(F_{1}(L ; M)\right)>0}{@ x} \frac{@\left(F_{2}(L ; M)\right) 0}{@ x} \frac{@\left(F_{1}(L ; M)\right)>0}{@ k} \\
& =\frac{@ F_{1}(L ; M)}{@ k} \frac{@ F_{2}(L ; M)}{@ x} \frac{@ F_{1}(L ; M)}{@ x} \frac{@ F_{2}(L ; M)}{@ k}{ }_{0} \\
& =\quad \mathrm{fF}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{M})_{0}=\mathrm{fF}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{~g}:
\end{aligned}
$$

For the third identity, observe that from the proof of $P$ roposition 6.4, we have ' ${ }_{0}=$. From

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{a d} x=x+{ }^{X^{\prime}} \quad v_{n} L \quad n \quad 1 \\
& \mathrm{n}=1 \\
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}+\left(\text { polynom ials in } f u_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{v}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{1} ; \\
& \mathrm{n}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

we can prove by induction that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}+\left(p o l y n o m \text { ials in } \mathrm{fu}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}} 1 ; \mathrm{v}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}} 1 \mathrm{~g}\right) \\
& =n^{\prime}{ }_{n}+(d i \text { erentialpolynom ials offoi:::; } n \quad 19) \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ here the di erential is taken $w$ ith respect to $x . H$ ence, solving recursively, we have for n 1 ,

$$
r_{n}=\frac{v_{n}}{n}+\left(d i \text { erential polynom ials of fu} ;::: ; u_{n} \quad 1 ; v_{1} ;::: ; v_{n} \quad 1 g\right):
$$

H ence the third identity follow s from the second and fth identities and the consistency betw een the in nitesim al sym $m$ etries and the $t-$ ow $s$.

## 7. Concluding remarks

W e have de ned a dam K P hierarchy whidh inconporate both the ones dened by $K$ upershm idt, C hang and Tu , $\left.\overline{\mathrm{K}} \overline{\mathrm{up}} \overline{9}{ }_{n}^{\prime} \overline{\mathrm{C}} \overline{\mathrm{T}} \overline{0} \emptyset\right]$ and Takebe $[\bar{T} \overline{\mathrm{a}} \overline{0} \overline{0}]$ ]. O urm otivation is to de ne a tau function for dm $\overline{\mathrm{K} P}$ hierarchy in K upershm idt, C hang and $T u$ 's version, so that it plays the role of transition betw een the dToda hierarchy and dK P hierarchy. From our point of view, a good tau function should generate all the coe cients $u_{n}$ ' $s$ in the form al power series L. Hence, we nd that it is necessary to introduce an extra ow s. In our dam KP hierarchy, the special case $P=k$ has a tau function $w$ ith the desired property, nam ely it generates the coe cients $u_{n}$ 's. For general P, it does not have this property. H ow ever, our approadh can be directly generalized to several extra ows $\mathrm{si}_{2} ; \boldsymbol{S _ { 2 }}::$ :; $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}}$ w ith M auxiliary polyno$m$ ials $P_{1} ; P_{2} ;::: ; P_{M}$ to govem the ows. If one of the $P_{i}$ is equal to $k$, then we will nd a good tau function. A s a matter of fact, P need not be a polynom ial. W hat we require is that the coe cient of the leading term is one.

O urdam K P hierarchy can also be considered as a quasiclassical lim it of a corresponding coupled m odi ed KP (am KP) hierarchy. It willbe interesting to establish the existence of a tau fiunction in the om KP hierarchy, so that its quasiclassical lim it is our dispersionless tau function.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} W$ e understand that $\log P$ is form erly $\log k^{N}+\frac{a_{1}}{k}+\frac{a_{2}}{k^{2}}+:::$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~T}$ his degree of freedom can also be view ed as due to we do not specify the s ow ofP.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~N}$ otice that our f and g do not depend on s , though in generalwe can let them have one $m$ ore degree of freedom in $s$.

