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#### Abstract

In this paper, separability of the perturbed 2-dim ensionalisotropic harm onic oscillators w ith hom ogeneous polynom ialpotentials is characterized from their B irkho -G ustavson ( BG ) norm alization, one of the conventional $m$ ethods for non-integrable $H$ am iltonian system $s$.


## 1. Introduction

$T$ he ertrand $-D$ arboux ( $B D$ ) theorem is a very well-know $n$ theorem established
 existence of constants ofm otion quadratic in $m$ om enta ofsim ple dynam icalsystem $s$ on the Euclidean plane. A s expected, the BD theorem has been playing a key role of various studies on integrable system s (see [G_S therein).

On tuming to non-integrable system s , the B irkho G ustavson (BG) norm alization is known as one of the conventionalm ethods to them $\left(\overline{\mathbb{M}}_{-}^{-}[]\right)$: For a given system feasible to be norm alized, the BG norm alization provides a good account for the phase portrait in the regular regim e.

A though directed to di erent characteristics of dynam ical system S , those wellknow $n m$ ethods have encountered in the inverse problem of the BG norm alization which is posed by the author as follow ( ( $\left.\left.\overline{\mathrm{U}} \overline{\mathrm{CR}}^{\mathbf{R}}\right], \overline{\mathrm{U}}^{-1} \mathrm{l}_{1}\right]$ ): For a given polynom ial (or power series $\frac{\overline{1} 11}{1 / 1}$ ) H am ittonian in the $\overline{\mathrm{B}} \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ norm $\overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{I}$ form (BGNF), identify all the possible $H$ am iltonians in polynom ial or in power series which share the given BGNF. In the inverse problem of the BG norm alization of the perturbed isotropic harm onic oscillators ( P HOs) w th hom ogeneous polynom ial potentials of degree-3 ${ }^{2} 1$, the condition revealed in BD theorem (BD C ) has com e out as follow s:
 3 shares its BGNF up to degree-4 with a PHO of degree-4 if and only if the PHO of

[^0]degree-3 satis es the yeneric' BD C $C_{1-1}^{1 \overline{1} 1}$. The PHO of degree-4 corresponding to that PHO of degree-3 also satis es the generic BDC.

From now on, the perturbed 2-dim ensional isotropic harm on ic oscillatorw ith a hom ogeneous polynom ial potential of degree- w illbe abbreviated to as a ' P HO'. $T$ he aim of this paper is to report brie $y$ that the follow ing extension of $T$ heorem


Theorem 12 ( $m$ ain theorem). For any odd greater than or equal to 3, a PHO shares its BGNF up to degree-(2 2 2) with a (2rr 2) PHO if and only if the PHO is separable within a rotation of Cartesian coordinates. The (2 2) PHO sharing the BGNF with that $P H O$ is also separable with in the same rotation of $C$ artesian coordinates.

The organization of this paper is outlined as follow s . In Section 2, the separability of the PHOs is studied by applying the BD theorem to them. On associating the 2 ( 1 ) m atrix of the form
(1.1) $\quad M \quad\left(K^{()}\right)=$


w the the Ham iltonian de ned by
(12) $K^{()}(q ; p)=\frac{1}{2}_{j=1}^{X^{2}}\left(p_{j}^{2}+q_{j}^{2}\right)+V^{()}(q)$ and $V^{()}(q)=\underbrace{X}_{h=0} v_{h}^{()} h_{1} q_{2}^{h}{ }^{h}$;
the separability of the PHOsw ithin rotations of C artesian coordinates is show n to be equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank} M\left(K^{()}\right)=1: \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (1 $\left.1^{-} 3\right)$ with $=3 ; 4$ provides the generic' BDC for the 3 - and 4 PHOs , the separability is taken as the extension of the generic' BDC. In Section 3, the BG norm alization of the PHO s is studied, which provides a plausible reason to extend the relation betw een the degrees, 3 and 4 of the PHOS in Theorem in and 22 of those in $T$ heorem 12 . Section 4 is devoted to the proof of $T$ heorem 1 The separability is shown to be su cient for any $P H O$ to share its BGNF up to degree-(2 2 2) w ith a (2 2 2) PHO in subsection 4.1 , and is show $n$ to be necessary in subsection 42 .

$$
\text { 2. The separability of the } \mathrm{PHOs}
$$

2.1. The BD theorem for the $P H O s . T o$ extend Theorem to the PHO s of general degree, we wish to understand $m$ ore the $m$ eaning of the generic' BDC for the $3-$ and $4 \mathrm{PHOs}{ }^{3}$. We start with applying the BD theorem to the PHOs.

Theorem 2.1. A PHO adm its a rst integral quadratic in m om enta if and only if it satis es one of the follow ings: (I) For odd 5,
(2.1)

$$
\operatorname{rank} M\left(K^{()}\right)=1 ;
$$

[^1] (II) For even 4, one of the follow ing $(2,2)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 2\end{array}\right]$
(2 2) $\quad \underset{8}{\operatorname{rank} M}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{n}}^{()}\right)=1$; $\quad$ o

(III) For $=3$, one of $\left(2, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $(2.51)$;
(2.4) $\quad \operatorname{rank} M \quad\left(K^{(3)}\right)=1$;
(2.5) $\quad \begin{array}{cccc} & 7 \mathrm{v}_{1} & \mathrm{v}_{0}+6 \mathrm{v}_{2} & 2 \mathrm{v}_{1}+5 \mathrm{v}_{3}\end{array}=1:$

Proof. The proof ism ade straightforw ard by writing down explicitly the BD C $(\bar{M}-\bar{W}-1],\left[\begin{array}{c}{[1]} \\ -1\end{array}\right]$ in term $s$ of $v_{h}^{()} s$.
$\bar{T}$ he $\overline{B D} \bar{C}$ : There exist realvalued constants, ( ; ; $\left.{ }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right)(0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)$, for which the potential function $V(q)$ of a given natural dynam ical system satis es

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\varrho^{2} V}{\varrho q_{2}^{2}} & \frac{\varrho^{2} V}{\varrho q_{1}^{2}} \quad\left(2 q_{1} q_{2} \quad{ }_{q} q_{2} \quad q_{1}+\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
& +2 \frac{\varrho^{2} V}{@ q_{1} @ q_{2}}\left(q_{2}^{2} \quad q_{1}^{2}+q_{2} \quad{ }^{0} q_{1}+{ }^{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{@ V}{\varrho q_{1}}\left(6 q_{2}+3\right) \quad \frac{@ V}{\varrho q_{2}}\left(6 q_{1}+3^{0}\right)=0:
\end{align*}
$$

(i) > 3: On substituting
(2.7)

$$
V(q)=\frac{1}{2}_{j=1}^{X^{2}}\left(p_{j}^{2}+q_{j}^{2}\right)+V^{()}(q)
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{()}=L^{()}+L_{1}^{()}+L_{2}^{()}+L_{1}^{()} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the hom ogeneous polynom ial parts,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}^{()}=3 q_{1} \quad 3^{0} q_{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =(1) \quad\left(;{ }^{0}\right) \mathrm{M}\left(K^{()}\right)\left(q_{2}{ }^{2} ; \quad \stackrel{2}{\dot{R}} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{q}^{2}{ }^{2 \mathrm{~h}} ; \quad{ }_{1}{ }^{2} \mathrm{q}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \text {; } \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

(2.11) L $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ={ }^{h}(2+1) v_{1}^{()} q_{2}{ }^{1}+{ }^{n}(+2) v^{()} \quad(1) v_{2}^{()}{ }^{\circ} q_{1}{ }^{1} \\
& +\begin{array}{lllllll}
X^{2} n \\
& 3 & h_{h}^{1} & (1) & h_{h}^{2} & v_{h+1}^{()} & 3(1)_{h 1}^{2} \\
v_{h 1}^{()}
\end{array} q_{1}^{h} q_{2}^{1 h} \\
& h=1 \text { hn } \\
& { }_{0}^{h n}(+2) v_{0}^{()} \quad(\quad 1) v_{2}^{()^{0}} q_{2}{ }^{1}+(2+1) v_{1}^{()} q_{1}{ }^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and
(2.12)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L^{()}=2_{\|}(+2)
\end{aligned}
$$

of degree-1,-( 2 ), -( 1 ) and - , respectively ${ }_{1}^{151}$.
(ii) $=3$ : Substituting $(2,7) \mathrm{w}$ th $=3$ into $(2.6)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}^{(3)}=\mathrm{L}_{3}^{(3)}+\mathrm{L}_{2}^{(3)}+\mathrm{L}_{1}^{(3)} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}^{(3)}=3^{\mathrm{n}}\left(;{ }^{0}\right) \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{(3)}\right)+\left({ }^{0} ;\right)^{\mathrm{O}} \quad \mathrm{q}_{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
$\begin{array}{ccccc}(2.15) & L_{2}^{(3)}=3\left(;{ }^{0}\right) & 7 \mathrm{v}_{1} & \mathrm{v}_{0}+6 \mathrm{v}_{2} & 2 \mathrm{v}_{1}+5 \mathrm{v}_{3} \\ 5 \mathrm{v}_{0}+2 \mathrm{v}_{2} & 6 \mathrm{v}_{1}+\mathrm{v}_{3} & 7 \mathrm{v}_{2} & \left(\mathrm{q}_{2}^{2} ; 2 \mathrm{q}_{1} q_{2} ; q_{1}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} ;\end{array}$
where $L_{3}^{(3)}$ is given by $(\overline{2} \overline{\underline{1}} \overline{-1})$ with $=3$.
From the explicit expression of $L^{(')}$ thus obtained, we have Table 1, which


> Table 1. The BDC for the PHOs.

|  | :odd ( 5) | : even ( 4) | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $=0,\left({ }^{0}{ }^{0}\right)=(0 ; 0),\left({ }^{0}{ }^{0}\right)(0 ; 0)$ | (2:1) | (2:2) | (2:4) |
| $=0,\left({ }^{0}\right)(0 ; 0),\left(;{ }^{0}\right)(0 ; 0)$ |  |  | (2:5) |
| ( $0,\left(;^{0}\right)=(0 ; 0),\left({ }^{0}{ }^{0}\right)=(0 ; 0)$ |  | (2:3) | 1 |


2.2. T he separability. A s expected from the BD theorem, the classi cation, (
 nates if and only if (1.3) holds true.

[^2]Proof. From the Ham ilton-Jacobiequation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}_{j=1}^{X^{2}}{\frac{@ S}{@ q_{j}}}^{2}+V^{()}(q)=E \quad \text { (E : energy value) } \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $\mathrm{PHOs}(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{q})$ : the generating function), it is easy to see that the separation of ( $\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{0})$ w ith in rotations of $C$ artesian coordinates am ounts to that of $V{ }^{(1)}$ (q). A ccordingly, let us assum e that $\mathrm{V}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q})$ is separated w ithin a rotation

$$
\text { (2.17) } \quad:(q ; p)!\quad(q ; \beta)=(() q ;() p)
$$

w ith

$$
()=\begin{array}{cc}
\cos & \sin  \tag{2.18}\\
\sin & \cos
\end{array} \quad(0 \quad<2):
$$

N am ely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{()}(q)=V^{()}\left(()^{1} q\right)=W_{0}^{()} \mathscr{Q}_{2}+\forall^{()} q_{1} ; \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\forall_{0}{ }^{()} ; \forall^{()}\right) \in(0 ; 0)$. Equations $(\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{-1})-(\underline{(2)} \overline{1} \overline{9})$ are put together to yield the relation,

$$
\left(2 \text { 20) } \quad(4 \sin 2)\left(v_{h}^{()} \quad v_{h+2}^{()}\right) \quad(\cos 2)\left(2 v_{h+1}^{()}\right)=0 \quad(\mathrm{~h}=0 ; \quad \text {; } 2)\right.
$$

 tracing back the discussion above.

Remark 2.3. It is also possible to characterize the other classes of PHOs sub ject to BDC listed in Theorem $\overline{2} .6$ from the separation of variables view point: $T$ he condition $(2,3)$ is show $n$ to be equivalent to the separability in the polar coordinates, and $(2.5)$ in a o -oentered parabolic coordinates (
Since the generic' BDC for the 3 PHOs and the $4 \mathrm{PHO} s^{3}$ are equivalent to (1) w th $=3 ; 4$, we can thereby look the separability w thin rotations upon as the generic' BD C for PHOs ow ing to $T$ heorem $\underset{-1}{2} \overline{2}$.

## 3. The BG norm alization of the $P \mathrm{HO}$

In this section, we proceed the BG norm alization of the -PHOs , which provides us w th a key other than $T$ heorem 2

We start with describe the way how the PHO Ham iltonian $K$ () (q;p) is brought into the BGNF. Let G ${ }^{()}$(; ) be the BGNF ofK () (q;p) and W () (q; ) be the generating function ${ }_{1} \overline{17}$, used for the BG norm alization, both of which are expressed in power-series form ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{()}(;)=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{j=1}^{X^{2}}\left({ }_{j}^{2}+{\underset{j}{2}}_{2}\right)+{ }_{k=3}^{X_{k}^{1}} G^{()}(;) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{()}(q ;)=X_{j=1}^{X^{2}} q_{j}+X_{k=3}^{X_{k}^{1}} W_{k}^{()}(q ;) ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]where $G_{k}^{()}(;)$and $W{ }_{k}{ }^{()}$( $q$; ) denote the hom ogeneouspolynom ialparts ofdegreek of $\mathrm{G}^{()}(;)$and $W^{()}(\mathrm{q} ; ~)$, respectively. W e norm alize $K^{()}(q ; p)$ by applying the canonicaltransform ation,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
:(q ; p)!(;) \text { with } p=\frac{@ W()}{@ q} \text { and }=\frac{@ W()}{@} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

associated w th $\mathrm{W}{ }^{()}(\mathrm{q} ; ~) . \mathrm{Nam}$ ely, $\mathrm{G}^{()}(\mathrm{I}$ ) is determ ined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}^{()} \frac{@ W()}{@} ; \quad=\mathrm{K}^{()} \mathrm{q} ; \frac{@ W()}{@ q} \text { : } \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.1 (TheBGNF). Let $G^{()}(;)$be the power-series ${ }^{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)$, where
 Then $G^{()}(;)$is said to be in the BGNF up to degree- if and only if it satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}}^{()}(;) 2 \operatorname{kerD}^{(\mathrm{k})} ; \quad(\mathrm{k}=3 ; \quad \text {; }) \text {; } \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D \stackrel{(k)}{\text {; }}$ is the restrict of the linear di erential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
D ;=X^{2} \quad \frac{@}{@_{j}} \quad j \frac{@}{@} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the vector space of hom ogeneous polynom ials of degree $k$ in $(;)$.
 $\frac{1}{2} P_{j=1}\left(\begin{array}{l}2 \\ j\end{array}+{ }_{j}^{2}\right) ;$, associated $w$ ith the isotropic harm onic oscillator.

The ordinary problem of the BG norm alization of the PHOs is posed as follow $\mathrm{S}_{\underline{-1}}^{1911}$ :
 given PHO Ham ittonian $K{ }^{(1)}(q ; p)$ ofthe form (í $\overline{-1}$ ) into thepowerseries, $G()$ (; ), in the BGNF up to degree- through ( $\overline{3} \cdot \overline{4}$ ) , where generating function $W{ }^{(1)}(q ;)$ of


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{k}}^{()}(\mathrm{q} ;) 2 \text { im ageD }{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{(1)} \quad(\mathrm{k}=3 ; 4 ; \quad \text {; }): \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{q}}^{(\mathrm{k})}$ is de ned by $\left(\overline{3} \cdot \mathrm{~B}_{-1}^{2}\right) \mathrm{w}$ th q in place of.
Remark 3.4. The condition $(3,7)$ is very crucial to ensure the uniqueness of


We are now in a position to present an explicit expression of the BGNF $G^{()}(;)$of the PHO Ham iltonian. A straightforw ard calculation of (3.4) show S the follow ing:

[^4]Lemma 3.5. The BGNF, G ${ }^{()}$(; ), of the PHO Ham iltonian $K{ }^{()}(q ; p)$ in the form (1.2) takes the form
where $O_{2} 1(;)$ denotes a power series in (;) starting from degree-(2 1). The hom ogeneous polynom ial part, $G^{(1)}(;)$, of degree- is given by
where $j_{j}=i_{j}(j=1 ; 2)$, and the ranges of the sum $m$ ation indices, $m$ and $n$, are determ ined by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
M^{[ }=m \operatorname{ax}(0 ; h & \overline{2}) & M^{]}=m \text { in }(h ;-\overline{2})  \tag{3.10}\\
N^{l}=m \operatorname{ax}(0 ; \overline{2} & \text { h) } & N^{]}=m \text { in }(\quad h ; \overline{2}):
\end{array}
$$

$T$ he superscript ${ }^{\text {ker }}$ stands for taking the kemel com ponent of $V{ }^{()}$(q) according to the action ofD ${ }_{\mathrm{q}}$; . T The hom ogeneous polynom ialpart, $\mathrm{G}_{2}{ }_{2}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ ( ; ), of degree-(2 2 ) is calculated to be
with
$T$ he ranges of the indices, $h, j, k$ and $\urcorner$ in $(\overline{3} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{1})$ and $(\overline{3} . \overline{2} \overline{2})$ are detem ined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& J^{[ }=\mathrm{max}\left(0 ; \mathrm{m} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{J}^{]}=\mathrm{m} \text { in }(\mathrm{m} ; \quad 1)\right. \\
& \geqslant K^{[ }=\max \left(0 ;{ }^{\prime} \quad(m \quad j)\right) \quad K^{j}=m \text { in }\left(j ;{ }^{\prime}\right)  \tag{3.13}\\
& L^{[ }=\max (0 ; \mathrm{m} \quad(\quad 1)) ; \quad L^{]}=m \text { in }(m ; \quad 1)
\end{align*}
$$

respectively.
 From Lem m a 3.5 , we obtain the follow ing:

Theorem 3.6. Let 1 and 2 be any integers subject to

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \quad 1<2 \text { : } \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the BGNF, G ${ }^{\left({ }^{1}\right)}$ ( ; ), of a ${ }_{1}$ PHO Ham ittonian $K^{1}$ (q;p) ©incides with the BGNF, G ${ }^{(2)}($; $)$, of a ${ }_{2}$ PHO Hamiltonian $K^{(2)}(q ; p)$ up to degree- ${ }_{2}$, then ${ }_{1}$ and 2 have to satisfy
(3.15) 1 : an odd integer and $2=21_{1} \quad 2:$

Proof. Since the coincidence of the BGNFs is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}^{(1)}(;) \mathrm{G}^{(2)}(;)=\mathrm{O}_{2+1}(;) \text {; } \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{1}^{(1)}(;)=\mathrm{G}_{1}^{(2)}(;)=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

 w th (3.9) to yield the rst condition in (13.15). W e derive the second one in tum. O $n$ recalling Lem ma 3 again, the low est non-vanishing hom ogeneous polynom ial part of ${ }^{(1)}(;)$ tums out to be ${\underset{2}{1}}_{(1)}^{(1)}(;)$ if 1 is odd, while ${\underset{2}{2}}_{(2)}^{(;)}$) is the low est one ofG ${ }^{(2)}(;)$. This show $s$ the second equation of (3.15).
 as an extension of $T$ heorem 11.

## 4. Proof of the $m$ ain theorem

In this section, the proof of $T$ heorem we assume (3) to be odd.
4.1. P art I: the separability as a su ciency. This subsection is devoted to show that the separability is su cient for a PHO to share its BGNF with a (2 2 ) PHO up to degree-(2 2 ).

Remark 4.1. Recalling the proof of $T$ heorem $\stackrel{\text { '를, }}{\underline{2}}$, we see that the separability of the $\mathrm{PHOs}{ }^{5}$ w thin rotations of C artesian coordinates is equivalent to the separability of their H am iltonians. W e w ill use those equivalent expressions properly according to circum stances henceforce.
4.1.1. The BG nom alization of the PHOs in separate form. Let the PHO be associated w ith the $H$ am iltonian in separate form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\text {sep }}^{( }(q ; p)=\frac{1}{2}_{j=1}^{X^{2}}\left(p_{j}^{2}+q_{j}^{2}\right)+\left(v_{\text {sep } ; 0}^{()} q_{2}+v_{\text {sep }}^{()} q_{1}\right): \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

 denoted by $G$ sep ( ; ), to be in the follow ing separate form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{fv}_{\left.\mathrm{sep} ; 0^{( }\right)}{ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{2}^{-}\right)^{1}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{sep}}^{()}{ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}^{-}\right)^{1} \mathrm{~g}+\mathrm{o}_{2} \mathrm{I}(;) \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

 can nd the unique (2 2 ) PHOH Ha iltonian

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (4.3) } \left.K_{\operatorname{sep}}^{(2)}(q ; p)=\frac{1}{2}_{j=1}^{X^{2}}\left(p_{j}^{2}+q_{j}^{2}\right)+{\frac{2}{2^{2}{ }_{1}^{2}}}_{n=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{n^{1} 2}{f 2(n+1)(2 n}\right) \\
& f\left(v_{\text {sep } ; 0}^{(1)}\right)^{2} q_{2}^{2}{ }^{2}+\left(v_{\text {sep } ;}^{()}\right)^{2} q_{1}^{2}{ }^{2} g
\end{aligned}
$$

in separate form, whose BGNF coincides with $G\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { sep }\end{array}\right.$ ( ; ) up to degree-(2 2 2). To sum $m$ arize, we have the follow ing.

Lemma 42. For any PHO H am ittonian $\mathrm{K}{ }_{\mathrm{sep}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p})$ in separate form (4.19), there exists the unique (2 2 ) PHO Ham iltonian $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sep }}^{(2)}$ (q;p) in separate form (4.3i) which shares the BGNFGG sep (; ) up to degree-(2 2 ) with $K$ (ep (q;p).
4.12. Proof of the su ciency. A key to prove the su ciency is the com mutativity of the BG nom alization and the rotations of C artesian coordinates:

Lemma 4.3. Let G ${ }^{()}$(; ) be the BGNF of a PHO Ham ittonian $K^{()}$( $q ; p$ ) up to degree-(2 2 ), which associates with the generating function $W^{()}(q ;)$ (see


$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.K^{()}(\propto ; \beta)=K^{()}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}() \propto ;^{1}() \beta\right) \\
& G^{()}(\sim ; \sim)=G^{()}\left(^{1}()^{\sim} ;^{1}() \sim\right)  \tag{4.4}\\
& W^{()}(\mathbb{G} ; \sim)=W^{()}\left(^{1}() \propto i^{1}() \sim\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where ( ) is de ned by ${ }_{(1-1 \overline{1})}^{(2)}$. Then $G^{()}(\sim ; \sim)$ is the BGNF of the PHO Ham itonian $K^{()}(q ; \beta)$ up to degree-(2 $\left.\quad 2\right)$, which is brought through the canonicaltransform ation, ( $\mathfrak{q} ; \mathcal{P})!(\sim ; \sim)$, generated by $W^{()}(\mathfrak{q} ; \sim)$.

Proof. Due to the orthogonality of ( ), 这 is easily con m ed from (4.4) that $K^{()}(q ; \beta), G^{()}(\sim ; \sim)$ and $W^{()}(G ; \sim)$ are other PHO Ham iltonian, BGNF up to degree-(2 2 2) and generating function of the second-type (cf. (12), (3.1) and (B2 2) ), respectively. Further, the orthogonality of ( ) is put together w ith (4.4.4) to yield the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{()}\left(\subsetneq ; \frac{@ W^{()}}{@ q}\right)=G^{()}\left(\frac{@ W^{()}}{@ \sim} ; \sim\right) ; \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

from $[\overline{3} . \overline{4})$, so that $G(\sim ; \sim)$ is the BGNF of $K(\mathcal{q} ; \mathcal{P})$. This com pletes the proof.
$W$ e are at the nalstage to prove the su ciency of the separability in Theorem now. Let us assum e that $K{ }^{(1)}(q ; p)$ is separable within a rotation of $C$ artesian coordinates: N am ely, there exists the transform ation $\quad \mathrm{w}$ ith a suitable 2 [0;2)


$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\text {sep }}^{(1)}(\Phi ; \ominus)=K^{()}\left(()^{1} \Phi ;()^{1} \hat{\beta}\right) ; \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

 on applying Lem ma of ${ }^{(1)}(q ; p)$ up to degree-(2 2 ) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{()}(;)=G_{\operatorname{sep}}^{()}(() ;()): \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, according to Lem m and we can nd uniquely the (2) P H O H am ilton-

 and the BGNFG(2 ${ }^{2}$ (; ) by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{G}^{(22)}(\boldsymbol{2})=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{sep}}^{( }\right)(\mathrm{r}) ;(\mathrm{r}) \text {; } \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemman shows that $\left.G^{(2}{ }^{2}\right)(\underline{i})$ is the BGNF of $K^{(2)}$ (q;p) up to degree(2 2). Equations (4) and (4.8) are put together to show the coincidence of $G^{(2)}\left({ }^{2}\right)$; ) with $G(1)(;)$ up to degree-(2 2$)$. To sum $m$ arize, we have the follow ing.

Theorem 4.4. Let be an odd integer greater than or equal to 3. If a PHO Ham iltonian is separable (see Rem ark 4.1.) within a rotation of C artesian coordinates, there exists the unique (2 2 ) P HO H am iltonian which shares the same BGNF up to degree-(2 2 2) with the PHO. The (2 2 2) PHO is also separable w ithin the sam e rotation of C artesian coordinates.
4.2. Part II: the separability as a necessity. This subsection is devoted to prove that the sfeparability is a necessary condition. Let us recall Lem ma and equate $G^{(1)}(;)$ w th $G^{(2)}\left({ }^{2}\right)$ ) up to degree-(2 2$)$. A s a necessary and su cient condition for the equation thus obtained, we have a num ber of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{m}^{(1)}=C_{m}^{\left(2 i^{\prime}\right.}{ }^{2)} \quad\left(0 \quad m \quad 2 \quad 2 ; L^{[ }, ~ L^{]}\right): \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the num ber ofequations in $(\underline{\bar{A}} \cdot \overline{-})$ is so m any and since $w$ e have already show n the separability as a su ciency, it would not be so sm art to study ( $\overline{4}, \overline{9}$ ), for all the pairs of subscripts, ( $m$; $)$ s. H ence as necessary condition for 4.9 , we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{m ; 1}^{()} e_{m ; 0}^{(2)}=C_{m ; 0}^{()} e_{m ; 1}^{(2)} \quad(m=2 ; 3 ; \quad ; \quad 1) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
e_{m}^{(2} ;^{2)}=4^{1} \quad \underset{m}{2}{ }^{2)} \quad{ }^{m}, \quad \begin{array}{cc}
2 & 2 m  \tag{4.11}\\
1
\end{array} \quad(\imath=0 ; 1):
$$

N ote that we have $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(2)^{2)}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\left(2{ }^{2}\right.}{ }^{2)} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(2)^{2}}{ }^{2)}$ for ${ }^{\prime}=0 ; 1$.
 usefiul to prepare the notation,

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{j}^{(, m)}= & \left(v_{j}^{()} v_{m}^{()}{ }_{j}+v_{j+1}^{()} v_{m}^{(1)}{ }_{j+1}\right)  \tag{4.12}\\
& \left(v_{j+1}^{()} v_{m}^{()}{ }_{(j+1)}+v_{(j+1)+1}^{()} v_{m}^{()}{ }_{(j+1)+1}\right) \quad(j=0 ; 1 ;::: ; m \quad 1):
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{n=0}^{X_{n}} B_{n}^{(, m)}\left(v_{m}^{()} v_{0}^{()}+v_{m+1}^{()} v_{1}^{()}\right) \\
& n=0 \\
& \mathrm{rX}^{1} \quad \mathrm{X}^{j} \quad! \\
& +{ }_{j=0} B_{n=0}^{(m)} U_{j}^{(; m)}=0 \quad(m=2 ; \quad ; \quad 1) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left.B_{n}{ }^{( }{ }^{m}\right)$ s are de ned to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1)^{2} \underset{m}{2}{ }_{1}^{1} B_{n}^{(, m)} \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{1} \\
& \begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c}
m \\
k+1
\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}
2 \\
k
\end{array} \\
\hline f 2(k+2) & g f 2(k+1) & g
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{X X}^{1} & \begin{array}{c}
m 1 \\
k+1
\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
k
\end{array} \\
\cline { 2 - 4 }=0 & \mathrm{f} 2(k+1) & \mathrm{g}(2 \mathrm{k}
\end{array} \quad(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m}):
\end{aligned}
$$

The de nition ( $\bar{A}=\overline{1}=\mathbf{I})$ of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(, \mathrm{m})} \mathrm{s}$ and several well-known form ula for the binom ial coe cients are put together to show

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(, m)}=0 \quad(m=2 ; \quad ; \quad 1)
$$



$$
\begin{array}{lll}
r_{X}^{1} & X^{j} B_{n}^{(; m)} U_{j}^{(, m)}=0 \quad(m=2 ; \quad ; \quad 1):  \tag{4.16}\\
n=0
\end{array}
$$

 follow s. Denoting by ${ }_{a b}^{()}$the $m$ inor of $M(K())$ consisting of its $a-$ and b-th columns (1 a<b 1), we have
where $[m=2]$ stands for the integer part of $m=2$. Putting ( $\overline{4} . \overline{1} 7)$ and the sym $m$ etry,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
U_{j}^{(; m)}=U_{m}^{(; m)} \quad(j=0 ; 1 ;::: ; m \quad 1)  \tag{4.18}\\
B_{n}^{(; m)}=B_{m}^{(i ; m)} \quad(n=0 ; \quad ; m) ;
\end{array}
$$

together, we can rew rite $(\overline{4} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{1})$ to as

$$
\begin{align*}
& j=0 \quad n=j+1 \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

From now on, we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{0}^{()} \quad v_{2}^{(1)}\right)^{2}+\left(v_{1}^{()}\right)^{2} \notin 0 \tag{420}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathrm{M}\left(K^{(1)}\right)$, which will not lose the generality (see A ppendix $\left.{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{I}\right)$. W e show the follow ing Lem m a:

Lemma 4.5. Let M ${ }_{k}\left(K^{( }{ }^{( }\right)$be the $2 \quad \mathrm{k}$ subm atrix
 1 , then so does rank $M_{k+1}^{---1}(\mathrm{~K}())^{---1}=$

Proof. We write dow $n$ one of the assum ption, ( $\overline{4} . \overline{1} 9)$ ith $m=k+1$, $m$ ore explicitly as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& )^{\left[\frac{k+1}{X}\right] 1} @^{0}{ }^{\left(k+\frac{1}{X}\right)^{j 1}} \quad \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
B_{n}^{(j k+1)} A
\end{array} \underbrace{()}_{j+1 k+1 j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the other assum ption, rankM ${ }_{k}\left(K^{()}\right)=1$, im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
j+1 k+1 j=0 \quad\left(j=1 ; \quad \frac{k+, 1}{z}[] \quad 1\right) ; \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can bring $(\overline{4}-\overline{2} \overline{2})$ into

$$
@^{0}{ }_{n=1}^{\left(k X^{1)+1}\right.} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(i k+1) \mathrm{A}}{\underset{1 k+1}{()}=0: ~}_{1}
$$

so that we have $1 \mathrm{k}+1=0$. The vanishm ent $1 \mathrm{k}+1=0$ is put together w ith rankM ${ }_{k}\left(K^{()}\right)=1$ to show $\operatorname{rank} M_{k+1}\left(K^{()}\right)=1$. This com pletes the proof.
W e are at the nal stage to draw $(\overline{1} \overline{1}(\overline{3})$ from $(\overline{4}-\overline{9})$ : Let us consider $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{9})$ w ith $m=2$ for $K^{()}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p})$ sub ject to $\left(\mathrm{K}^{-} \mathbf{2}^{\prime}\right)$, which is w ritten explicitly as ${ }_{12}=0$. $T$ his shows rankM $2\left(\mathrm{~K}\left({ }^{( }\right)\right)=1$ under ( $\left.\mathbf{4}_{2} \mathbf{2 0}^{\prime}\right)$. W e can thereby start applying

 sub ject to $(420)$, A ppendix ${ }^{(1)}$ Recalling $T$ heorem 2.2 , we have the follow ing.

Theorem 4.6. Ifa PHO shares its BGNF up to degree-(2 2 ) with a ( 2 2) PHO up to degree-(2 2 ), then the PHO is separable within a rotation of C artesian coordinates.
 C onclusion. O urm ain theorem, Theorem $]_{1}^{-1} \overrightarrow{2}$, holds true.

## A ppendix A. O utline of the proof of Lem m a 1

Since the BGNF of the 3 - HO H am iltonians has been given explicitly $1_{1}^{101}$ in [U] [il], we focus our attention only to the case of 4 henceforth.
(i) Equating the hom ogeneous parts of degree-3 on the both sides of $(\overline{3} . \overline{4})$, we have $\mathrm{G}_{3}{ }^{()}(\mathrm{q} ;)+\left(\mathrm{D} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{q}}^{(3)} \mathrm{W}_{3}{ }^{()}\right)(\mathrm{q} ;)=0\left(=\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{()}(\mathrm{q} ;)\right)$. On account of kerD ${ }_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{(3)}=$ $f 0 g \frac{\sqrt{111}!}{(1)}$, the equation above for the degree-3 part is solved as $G_{3}^{()}(;)=0$ and $\mathrm{W}_{3}^{(\stackrel{L}{(q)}}(\mathrm{q} ;)=0$. Then by induction, we can show
(A.1) $\quad G!{ }^{( }(;)=0 \mathrm{~W}!{ }^{( }(\mathrm{q} ;)=0 \quad(\mathrm{l}=3 ; \quad ; \quad 1)$ :
 $\mathrm{V}^{()}(\mathrm{q})\left(=\mathrm{H}^{()}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p})\right)$; so that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.G^{()}(;)=V^{() \operatorname{ker}}(;) W^{()}(q ;)=\left(\mathbb{D}_{q}^{( }\right)^{1} V^{() \text {im age }}\right)(q ;) \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{q}^{(1)}$ denotes the restrict of ${ }_{q}^{(1)}$ on its im age. This show s [3.9).

(A.3)

$$
\mathrm{G}!{ }^{(1}(;)=0 \quad \mathrm{~W}!{ }^{(1}(\mathrm{q} ;)=0 \quad(`=+1 ; \quad ; 2 \quad 3)
$$

 $\left(\frac{3}{-1} . \overline{1}\right)$ is calculated to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{2}^{()}{ }_{2}(q ;)+\left(D_{q ;}^{()} W_{2}^{()}{ }_{2}\right)(q ;)=\frac{1}{2} X_{j=1}^{<}: \frac{@ W()^{!_{2}}}{@ q_{j}} \quad \frac{@ W()^{!}}{@_{j}} \quad ; \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

 kemel com ponent of the rhs of ( $\left.(\mathbf{A} .4)^{\top}\right)$, which requires another very sim ple but long calculation.

A ppendix B. Proof of ( $\overline{4}-\overline{1}-1$
From $(\overline{4} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{4})$, the rhs of $(\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{-1})$ is put in a form

[^5]where
(B 2)
\[

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathrm{N}_{1}{ }^{[ }=\mathrm{m} \operatorname{ax}(0 ; \mathrm{m} & 1 & \mathrm{k}) & \mathrm{N}_{1}^{]}=\mathrm{m} \text { in }(\mathrm{m} & 1 ; & 1 \\
\mathrm{~N}_{2}^{[ }=\mathrm{m} \operatorname{ax}(1 ; \mathrm{m} & 1 & \mathrm{k}) & \mathrm{N}_{2}^{]}=\mathrm{m} \text { in }(\mathrm{m} ; & 1 & \mathrm{k}) \\
\mathrm{N}_{3}^{[ }=\mathrm{m} \operatorname{ax}(0 ; \mathrm{m} & \mathrm{k}) & & \mathrm{N}_{3}^{]}=\mathrm{m} \text { in }(\mathrm{m} ; & 1 & \mathrm{k}):
\end{array}
$$
\]

For the sum $s w$ th the sum $m$ ation index $n$ on the ins of ( (1-1), we have
(B.3)

$X^{n}$


A ppendix C.SO (2) action to M (K ())
Tom ake the follow ing discussion sim ple, we assum ethat is odd. Let $K^{\text {o( ) }}$ (q;p) be the PHO Ham iltonian given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}^{0()}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p})=\mathrm{K}^{()}\left(()^{1} \mathrm{q} ;()^{1} \mathrm{p}\right) ; \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K^{()}(q ; p)$ is de ned by (12), and ( ) by ( straightforw ard calculation that they are related as
$\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{K}^{0()}\right)=(2) \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{K}^{()}\right) \mathrm{R}^{(2)}(\mathrm{O})$;
where $R\left({ }^{2}\right)$ ( ) is the standard representation of $S O$ (2) on the real vector space of hom ogeneous polynom ials of degree-( 2). .

A ssum e that $K^{()}(q ; p)$ is not sub ject to $(\overline{4}-\overline{0})$. W hat we have to show is the existence of ( ) which brings $K{ }^{()}(q ; p)$ to $K^{\overline{0}()}(q ; p)$ w ith $M \quad\left(K^{0()}\right)$ having nonvanishing rst colum n. Since the non-existence of such ( ) is equivalent to that the vector subspace, $N=\operatorname{spanfg}_{1}^{h} g_{2}{ }^{2 h} \quad g_{h=1 ; ~} ; 2$, is an invariant subspace of the SO (2) action given by $R^{(2)}$ ( ). H ow ever, this is not true: It is easily seen that any invariant subspace is given by a direct sum of the 2 -dim entional subspaces each ofw hich is spanned by $<\left(\left(q_{1}+i q_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{h}}\left(q_{1} \quad i q_{2}\right)^{2 \mathrm{~h}}\right)$ and $=\left(\left(q_{1}+i q_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{h}}\left(q_{1} \quad i q_{2}\right)^{2 \mathrm{~h}}\right)$ $(\mathrm{h}=0 ; \quad ;(\quad 1)=2)$. H ence N is not $\mathrm{SO}(2)$-invariant.

W e are now at the nal stage to explain that the assum ption $(\bar{A}-\overline{2})$ does not lose generality of our proof of the necessity. Let consider the PHO H am iltonian $K^{()}(q ; p)$ which is not sub ject to $\left(\overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{0}^{\prime}\right)$ and shares its BGNF w ith a (2 2)PHO K ${ }^{(2)}{ }^{2)}(q ; p)$. As show $n$ above, we can bring $K()(q ; p)$ to $K{ }^{0()}(q ; p)$ through
 to the commutativity of the BG norm alization and the rotations shown in Section $3, K^{0()}(q ; p)$ shares its BGNF with a (2 2 ) PHO K ${ }^{0(2)}{ }^{2}$ ( $q ; p$ ) other than $K^{(2)}{ }^{2)}(q ; p)$. Hence, the discussion in subsection. 42 is applied to $K^{0()}(q ; p)$ to show the necessity of rankM $\left.\left(K^{0( }\right)\right)=1$. A ccordingly, the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rankM}\left(K^{0()}\right)=\operatorname{rankM}\left(K^{()}\right) ; \tag{C.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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[^0]:    1991 M athem atics Subject C lassi cation. P rim ary 70H 06, 70K 45; Secondary 37J35, 37J46. K ey words and phrases. Separation of variables, B irkho -G ustavson norm alization. $T$ he author w as supported in part by $G$ rant-in-A id Scienti c R esearch No.13660065, JSP_S ..
    ${ }^{1}$ In discussing the B G norm alization, we usually think of pow er series in form al sense M.
    ${ }^{2}$ Throughout th is paper, we deal w ith 2-degrees of freedom system s only.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Eqs. (54a) and (55b) in ${ }^{-1} 1_{1}^{-1}$.
    

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ T he superscript ${ }^{T}$ stands for the transpose throughout this paper.
    ${ }^{6}$ A s known well, a H am iltonian system is said to be separable i the H am ilton-Jacobiequation for that system is separable.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7} \mathrm{~W}()(\mathrm{q} ;)$ is said to be of the second-type since it is a function of the bld' position variables $q$ and the hew' $m$ om entum ones ( $\mathbb{G}$ In).

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ The hom ogeneous part of degree-2 in $\left.\mathrm{G}^{( }\right)(;)$is alw ays in the isotrop ic harm on ic oscillator form, due to (3.2.2).
    

[^5]:    ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~T}$ he expression agrees w ith $\left(\begin{array}{c}-13.9\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{c}-1 \\ 3\end{array} \mathbf{- 1 1}\right)$.
    ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~T}$ here is no invariant hom ogeneous polynom ials of odd-degree under the SO (2) action generated by $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \cdot \operatorname{viz} \operatorname{kerD}{ }_{q}^{(\mathrm{k})}{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{f} 0 \mathrm{~g}$ for any odd k .

