

Chaotic Synchronization of Symbolic Information
in the Discrete Nonlinear Schrodinger Equation.

C.L.Pando L.

IFUAP, Universidad Autonoma de Puebla,
Apdo. Postal J-48. Puebla, Pue. 72570. Mexico.

DEA, Universta di Brescia,
Via Branze 38, 25123, Brescia, Italy.

Abstract

We have studied the discrete nonlinear Schrodinger equation (DNLSE) with on-site defects and periodic boundary conditions. When the array dynamics becomes chaotic, the otherwise quasiperiodic amplitude correlations between the oscillators are destroyed via a symmetry breaking instability. However, we show that synchronization of symbolic information of suitable amplitude signals is possible in this hamiltonian system.

PACS numbers:

05.45.+b

42.65.Tg

87.10.+e

03.75.+b

Typeset using REVTeX

1. Introduction. The subject of our study is a subtle form of synchronization that occurs in the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DNLS). The DNLS describes, among other systems, localized modes in long protein systems, arrays of nonlinear mechanical pendula and the propagation of light in nonlinear optical waveguide arrays based on Kerr media [1]. These optical arrays were studied intensively in the past several years, mostly in the context of intrinsic localized modes also known as breathers, both theoretically [2,3] and experimentally [3]. The DNLS also arises in recent models of Bose-Einstein condensates trapped in periodic potentials generated by optical standing-waves [4].

In hamiltonian dynamical systems, the usual notion of synchronization cannot be applied, since volume must be preserved in phase space [5,6]. Indeed, the trajectories of coupled systems have to collapse to the synchronization manifold [5,6], which is only possible in dissipative systems. However, a particular form of synchronization in hamiltonian systems was found in article [7], where the orbits of two coupled systems cover almost the same region of the individual phase space but, typically, at different times [7]. In the present work, we find that another form of synchronization is possible in a hamiltonian system such as the DNLS. We refer to synchronization of symbolic information (SSI). This concept has been applied recently to describe the simultaneous production of common information by two coupled chaotic oscillators as diverse as a logistic map and an electronic circuit [8]. Our article has four parts. The DNLS and a new set of DNLS solutions are discussed in the second part. In part three, we consider the DNLS with an on-site defect, where chaotic synchronization of symbolic information occurs. Finally, in part four, we give the conclusions.

2. The Model and a Family of Stationary Solutions. We will consider the DNLS within the framework of optical waveguides. Let us consider an array of one-dimensional coupled waveguides. The neighboring waveguides are separated from each other by the same distance d and, therefore, the coupling constant between these is the same. We consider the case with lossless Kerr media. Within the framework of the coupled mode theory, the evolution of E_n , the electric field envelope in the n th waveguide, is given by the following equation

[2]: $i\frac{\partial E_n}{\partial z} + \beta_n E_n + C(E_{n-1} + E_{n+1}) + \gamma E_n^2 - \gamma E_n = 0$. This system is assumed to have periodic boundary conditions. In this equation, β_n is the linear propagation constant of the n th waveguide, C is the linear coupling coefficient and γ is the nonlinear parameter. By introducing the dimensionless field $Q_n = \frac{\gamma}{2C} E_n \exp(-i(\beta_n + 2C)z)$, this equation transforms into the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DNLSE) given by :

$$i\frac{\partial Q_n}{\partial z} + \beta_n Q_n + (Q_{n-1} + Q_{n+1} - 2Q_n) + 2\gamma Q_n^2 - \gamma Q_n = 0; \quad (1)$$

where $z = Cz$ is the normalized propagation distance and $\beta_n = \frac{(\beta_n)}{C}$. The hamiltonian equations related to the DNLSE can be obtained by transforming into action-angle variables $(I_n; \theta_n)$, where $Q_n = \sqrt{I_n} \exp(-i\theta_n)$. The quantity I_n stands for the light intensity of the n th waveguide [1].

Now, we will consider a family of stationary solutions for which $\dot{\theta}_n = 0$. To find these, we deal with the nonlinear map approach [9,10]. We underline that there are different ways to find stationary solutions of the DNLSE [1]. In article [9,10], it was shown that homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits of a suitable hamiltonian map support breather solutions in the DNLSE. Instead, we will consider those stationary solutions which are determined by the (elliptic) stable periodic orbits of the hamiltonian map mentioned above. These two types of DNLSE solutions are clearly different. Indeed, these elliptic and homoclinic orbits, as it is well known, have different origins and properties [11]. While the first are periodic, according to the Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem, the homoclinic orbits have no periodicity, i.e., repeated iteration of the associated map produces new homoclinic points [11]. These new stationary solutions are obtained by making $\frac{dP_n}{dz} = 0$ and $\dot{\theta}_n = \dot{\theta}_m$ for any $n \neq m$. Moreover, we can define the frequencies on these tori $I_n = P_n^2$ by setting $\frac{d\theta_n}{dz} = \omega$, where ω is a parameter. Hence, the following map is obtained : $X_{n+1} = P_n$; $P_{n+1} = (\beta_n - 2\gamma P_n - \gamma)P_n - X_n$, where $\beta_n = 2\beta_n$. The Jacobian J of this map is area preserving, i.e. $J = 1$. For the sake of definition, we will refer to this map as the cubic map (CM). To find our stationary solutions, we will consider the case $\omega = 2$ for which $\dot{\theta}_n = 0$. This map has the symmetry $X_n \rightarrow -X_n$ and $P_n \rightarrow -P_n$. The fixed points of the CM are : $(0;0)$ and

$(\frac{q}{2} = 2; \frac{q}{2} = 2; 1)$. When $\epsilon = 2.5$, we find a saddle point at $(0;0)$ and two elliptic points at $(\frac{q}{2} = 2; \frac{q}{2} = 2; 1)$. In Fig. 1a, quasiperiodic orbits surround the elliptic point $(\frac{q}{2} = 2; \frac{q}{2} = 2; 1)$ and further away, we identify a period seven island chain and its resonances. Surrounding these resonances, we also observe the characteristic chaotic sea in this nonintegrable map [11]. We choose the stationary field P_n associated with the resonance of period seven. This is shown in Fig. 1b. The stability of P_n was numerically studied by integrating the DNLS. We have carried out these integrations with slightly different initial conditions at $t = 0$. That is, $Q_n(t = 0) = P_n + \delta_n$, where δ_n stands for a small random perturbation between $-\delta_{max} < \delta_n < \delta_{max}$ and $|\delta_n(0)| \leq 1$ for any n . In our calculations $\delta_{max} = 10^{-3}$. We find that the standard deviation of $I_j(t)$ is proportional to the initial deviation from the stationary solution. This is a result of the stability of this stationary solution. The finite number of power spectrum components of $I_j(t)$ indicates that these oscillations are not chaotic. Indeed, we numerically found that all the Liapunov exponents λ_n of this system converge towards zero. The power spectrum $S(F)$ of $I_3(t)$ is shown in the dashed line of Fig. 2a, where F is the renormalized frequency.

3. Synchronization of Symbolic Information (SSI). To find the aforementioned correlation effects, we add a small defect to the linear propagation constant of the central waveguide, which in Fig. 1b has precisely the largest amplitude, i.e. $\epsilon_3 \neq 0$ and $\epsilon_n = 0$ for $n \neq 3$. We make use of the stationary solution P_n of period seven as the initial condition for this perturbed DNLS. To estimate the extent of coherence between the intensities of a given pair of waveguides (I_m, I_n) we consider the linear cross correlation function R of this waveguide pair. Typically, for a small enough positive defect $0 < \epsilon_3 \ll 1$, the intensities are not chaotic and the symmetric waveguide pairs, i.e. $(I_1; I_5)$, $(I_2; I_4)$ and $(I_6; I_7)$, become strongly correlated for a suitable $\epsilon_3 > 0$, i.e. $R \approx 1$ in the three waveguide pairs [12].

However, in an interval of the negative defect $\epsilon_3 < 0$, it is possible to induce hamiltonian chaos in this system. In contrast to the previous case, the onset of chaos is manifested when the intensity pairs $(I_1; I_5)$, $(I_2; I_4)$ and $(I_6; I_7)$ are no longer correlated. The exponential

divergence of nearby trajectories explains why two otherwise intensity correlated waveguides, such as I_1 and I_5 , lose their relative symmetry when chaos arises. This divergence is shown in Fig. 2b, in which each of the aforementioned intensity pairs executes initially stable small amplitude oscillations before displaying erratic behavior. This behaviour is similar to that near the coupling resonance in the three-dimensional billiards problem, where a particle bounces back and forth between a smooth and a periodically rippled wall [11].

In the chaotic regime, in spite of the lack of symmetry of the aforementioned intensity pairs, a very interesting form of synchronization takes place between certain signals emerging from different pairs of waveguides. To this end, let us define the variables : $J_{15} = I_1 - I_5$, $J_{24} = I_2 - I_4$ and $J_{76} = I_7 - I_6$. Our simulations show that J_{15} , J_{24} and J_{76} have the same sign during most of the time. In fact, the signs of J_{mn} are different during a negligible interval. This is clearly appreciated in Fig. 2c. We would like to know if the collective behaviour of the signals J_{mn} suggests some kind of synchronization. The answer is affirmative from the point of view of synchronization of symbolic information [8]. According to this notion, two arbitrary oscillators are perfectly synchronized, in an information sense, if they produce the same information, i.e., symbols generated by one system map one-to-one to symbols emitted by the other system. Strictly speaking, this form of synchronization requires that the common information be emitted at precisely the same time. In article [8], the chaotic signals of two coupled systems are compared using their symbolic dynamics. In our case, Fig. 2c suggests that the signals J_{15} , J_{24} and J_{76} exhibit equivalent information at the same rate. This, however, does not contradict the fact that the usual notion of synchronization [5,6] cannot be applied to hamiltonian systems, such as ours. Indeed, in our system, we compare the chaotic signals J_{nm} using just their symbolic dynamics. This is along the lines of the notion of event synchronization [13], where the relative timings of events in the time series of different physiological signals are compared.

The signals I_j , where $j = 1;:::7$, are chaotic as suggested by the broadband power spectrum $S(F)$ of I_3 () shown in the solid line of Fig. 2a. To generate a symbolic sequence out from J_{15} , J_{24} and J_{76} , we replace the value of J_{ij} by " -1" or "1" if $J_{ij} < 0$ or $J_{ij} > 0$

respectively. Let us call these signals K_{ij} . In addition, the symmetry of the system indicates that $\langle J_{ij} \rangle = \langle K_{ij} \rangle = 0$, where $\langle \rangle$ stands for the sample average. We have calculated the autocorrelation function (ACF) $C(S)$ for each of these signals, where S is the space lag [11]. The length of these signals is roughly 10^6 . The ACF $C(S)$ of K_{15} , K_{24} and K_{76} coincide exceptionally well for all space lags S considered. Indeed, all of them collapse to the solid line of Fig. 2d, where $\beta_3 = 0.0078$. In this figure, the same result was obtained for $\beta_3 = 0.0158$. In both cases, the associated linear cross correlation function R for any pair of signals K_{mn} is given by $R \approx 1$. In contrast, the ACF $C(S)$ of J_{15} , J_{24} and J_{76} agree only at a qualitative level. Moreover, the first zero of these ACF $C(S)$ occurs at the same lag S , since these series change sign almost simultaneously as shown in Fig. 2c.

It is remarkable how the chaotic synchronization of symbolic information (SSI) of J_{15} , J_{24} and J_{76} is manifested in the dynamics of $\theta_{mn} = \theta_m - \theta_n$ when $\beta_3 < 0$. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 3a, all the θ_{mn} are bounded when SSI occurs, i.e. when $R \approx 1$ for any pair of series K_{mn} . This locking of the phases θ_m and θ_n , $j_m - j_n \leq 2$ when $j_m(0) - j_n(0) \leq 2$, is also referred to as phase synchronization [14]. The latter has been extensively studied in the context of coupled self-sustained chaotic oscillators [6,14]. Moreover, when $\beta_3 < 0$ and the onset of phase slips of θ_{mn} occurs, degradation of SSI ($0 < R < 1$) takes place. This is observed in Fig. 3b and Fig. 3c for which $\beta_3 = 0.25$ and $\beta_3 = 1.5$ respectively. When the dynamics of θ_{mn} looks like a random walk, SSI is no longer a typical feature of the series J_{mn} . This is clearly appreciated in Fig. 4a, where the trajectory, at discrete intervals of τ , is projected on the plane (J_{15}, J_{24}) . If SSI took place, the dots of this plane were only in the first and third quadrant of the coordinate plane as shown by Fig. 4b and Fig. 4c. To further characterize SSI, let us consider the spectrum of Liapunov exponents λ_n . This is shown in Fig. 4d. The DN LSE has two constants of motion, namely the norm and the hamiltonian and, moreover, this flow is autonomous. This, along with the symmetry of the Liapunov exponents of hamiltonian systems [11], suggests that at least four Liapunov exponents are equal to zero. For $\beta_3 = 0.0078$, there is a single positive Liapunov exponent whose magnitude is much larger than that of the other positive exponents. However, for

$\lambda_3 = 0.25$ and $\lambda_3 = 1.5$ ve positive Liapunov exponents have roughly the same order of magnitude as shown in Fig. 4d. Finally, in the context of the aforementioned BEC models, the phase locking of ϕ_{mn} is associated with the superfluid regime. However, when ϕ_{mn} is unbounded, the system is said to behave as an insulator [4]

4. Conclusion. We have studied a subtle form of chaotic synchronization that arises in a hamiltonian dynamical system with several degrees of freedom. This behaviour emerges in a family of solutions of the DNLS, which have as initial conditions the neighborhood of certain stationary solutions. The latter, in turn, are given by the resonances of a suitable hamiltonian map. In a small negative interval of the defect ($\lambda_3 < 0$ and $j_3 \neq 1$), suitable chaotic signals, which are generated by different pairs of oscillators, synchronize in the information sense. Indeed, almost the same binary symbolic dynamics is emitted simultaneously by these signals. When this happens, phase synchronization of all oscillators follows and, roughly, just a single positive Liapunov exponent is present. For arbitrary initial conditions or parameters, typically, synchronization of symbolic information does not take place. It is possible to extend this approach to lattices with periodic defects and quadratic nonlinearities. In view of the wide applicability of the DNLS, we believe that the aforementioned synchronization effect is generic in lattice models.

acknowledgements

This work was supported by CONACYT-Mexico and the ICTP-TRIL programme. I would like to thank Costantino de Angelis and Daniele Modotto for their hospitality and useful suggestions. I also would like to thank interesting discussions with Hilda Cerdeira, Arkady Pikovsky and Stefano Rufo.

REFERENCES

- [1] P.G.Kevrekidis, K.O.Rasmussen and A.R.Bishop, *Int.J.of Modern Physics* 15, (2001) 2833; J.C.Eilbeck and M.Johansson, *arXiv:nl/PS/0211049*, v1, 27/11/2002.
- [2] A.B.Acves, C.deAngelis, T.Peschel, R.Muschall, F.Lederer, S.Trillo and S.Wabnitz, *Phys.Rev.E* 53, (1996) 1172.
- [3] H.S.Eisenberg, R.Morandotti, Y.Silberberg, J.M.Arnold, G.Pinnelli and J.S.Aitchison, *J.Opt.Soc.Am.B* 19, (2002) 2938.
- [4] A.Trombettoni, A.Smerzi and A.R.Bishop, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 88, (2002) 173902; A.Trombettoni, A.Smerzi and A.R.Bishop, *Phys.Rev.E* 67, (2003) 016607; J.C.Bronski, L.D.Carr, R.Carretero-Gonzalez, B.Dekoninck, J.N.Kutz and K.Promislow, *Phys.Rev.E* 64, (2001) 056615.
- [5] N.F.Rulkov, M.M.Sushchik, L.S.Tsimring and H.D.J.Abarbanel *Phys.Review E* 51, (1995) 980.
- [6] A.Pikovsky, M.Rosenblum and J.Kurths *Synchronization : a universal concept in nonlinear sciences* , (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001).
- [7] A.Hampton and D.H.Zanette *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 83, (1999) 2179.
- [8] N.J.Corron, S.D.Pethel and K.Myneni; *Phys.Review E* 66, (2002) 036204.
- [9] D.Hennig and H.Gabriel, *Phys.Rev.E* 57, (1998) 2371.
- [10] T.Bountis, H.W.Capel, M.Kollmann, J.C.Ross, J.M.Bergamin and J.P.van der Weele, *Phys.Lett.A* 268, (2000) 50.
- [11] A.J.Lichtenberg and M.A.Lieberman, *Regular and Stochastic Motion* , (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1993).
- [12] C.L.Pando L, *Phys.Lett.A* 309, (2003) 68.

[13] R. Quiñan-Quiroga, T. Kreuz and P. Grassberger, Phys. Review E 66, (2002) 041904.

[14] M. G. Rosenblum, A. S. Pikovsky and J. Kurths, Phys. Review Letters 76, (1996) 1804.

FIGURES

FIG .1. (a) Plot of the stationary field P_n versus P_{n+1} for $\gamma = 2.5$. (b) Plot of the stationary field amplitudes P_n versus waveguide index n for the period seven resonance and $\gamma = 2.5$.

FIG .2. (a) Plot of $\log_{10} S(F)$ versus $\log_{10}(F)$ for $I_3(\gamma)$. $\gamma_{\max} = 10^{-3}$, $\gamma_3 = 0.0078$ (solid line) and $\gamma_3 = 0$ (dashed line). (b) Plot of the intensities I_1 (solid line) and I_5 (dashed line) versus γ , where $\gamma_3 = 0.0078$. (c) Plot of J_{15} (solid line) J_{24} (dashed line) J_{76} (dotted line) versus γ for $\gamma_3 = 0.0078$. (d) Plot of the ACF $C(S)$ of $K_{15}(\gamma)$ for $\gamma_3 = 0.0078$ (solid line) and $\gamma_3 = 0.0158$ (dashed line). The function $C(S)$ of K_{15} , K_{24} and K_{76} coincide exceptionally well.

FIG .3. (a) Plot of J_{15} , J_{24} , and J_{76} versus γ for $\gamma_3 = 0.0078$. Plot of J_{15} (solid line), J_{24} (dashed line), and J_{76} (dotted line) versus γ for (b) $\gamma_3 = 0.25$ and (c) $\gamma_3 = 1.5$.

FIG .4. Plot of J_{15} versus J_{24} and J_{76} for (a) $\gamma_3 = 1.5$, (b) $\gamma_3 = 0.0078$ and (c) $\gamma_3 = 0.0158$. (d) Spectrum of Liapunov exponents λ_n for $\gamma_3 = 0.0078$ (solid line), $\gamma_3 = 0.25$ (dashed line), and $\gamma_3 = 1.5$ (dotted line).







