Irregular isom onodrom ic deform ations for Garnier systems and Okam oto's canonical transform ations

M arta M azzocco

A bstract

In this paper we describe the Gamier systems as isom onodrom ic deform ation equations of a linear system with a simple pole at 0 and a Poincare rank two singularity at in nity. We discuss the extension of 0 kam oto's birational canonical transform ations to the Gamier systems in more than one variable and to the Schlesinger systems.

1 Introduction

Then $\{variables G \ arm \ ier \ system \ s \ G_n \ w \ ere \ introduced \ in \ [6,7] \ as \ isom \ onodrom \ ic deform at ions equations of scalar di erential equations of the form$

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + p_1(x)\frac{dy}{dx} + p_2(x)y = 0$$
 (1)

with n apparent singularities $_1; \ldots; _n$ of indices (0;2) and n+3 pairw ise distinct Fuchsian singularities $t_1; \ldots; t_{n+3}$ (in particular $t_{n+1} = 0$, $t_{n+2} = 1$, $t_{n+3} = 1$) with indices (0; $_1$),...,(0; $_{n+2}$), $\frac{p_{n+2} + 1}{2} + 1$ respectively. The constraints on the indices are enough to determ ine p_1 (x), p_2 (x) in term s of $_1; \ldots; _n$ and n other quantities $_1; \ldots; _n$ (see [11])

$$p_{1}(x) = \frac{P_{n+2}}{k=1} \frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{x} \frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{k}(t_{i}-1)K_{i}} + P_{n} \frac{1}{$$

DPM MS, Cambridge University, Cambridge CB30WB, UK.

with
$$(u) := \prod_{k=1}^{n} (u \setminus k)$$
 and $T(u) := \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} (u \setminus t_k)$.

The isom onodrom ic deform ations equations of the above equation are described by the following completely integrable H am iltonian system [6, 7, 17, 11], the G armier system s G_n :

(
$$\frac{\frac{\theta - j}{\theta t_{i}}}{\frac{\theta - j}{\theta t_{i}}} = \frac{\frac{\theta K_{i}}{\theta - j}}{\frac{\theta K_{i}}{\theta - j}} \qquad i; j = 1; \dots; n;$$

$$\frac{\theta - j}{\theta t_{i}} = \frac{\frac{\theta K_{i}}{\theta - j}}{\frac{\theta K_{i}}{\theta - j}} \qquad i; j = 1; \dots; n:$$
(4)

In this paper we show that the Gamier system sG_n can be interpreted as isom onodrom ic deform ations equations of the following (n+2) (n+2) linear system with a simple pole at 0 and a Poincare rank two singularity at 1

where V is a (n + 2) (n + 2) diagonalizable matrix with n null eigenvalues

$$G^{1}VG = = \begin{bmatrix} B & & & & & \\ B & & & & \\ B & & & & \\ C & & & & \\ B & & & & \\ C & & \\ C$$

with $_1=\frac{1}{2}$ ($_k^P+\#_1$), $_2=\frac{1}{2}$ ($_k^P+\#_1$). We show that if $_1;:::;_n$, $_1;:::;_n$ are solutions of the Gamier system (4), then they uniquely determine the matrix V ($t_1;:::;t_n$) up to diagonal conjugation in such a way that the monodrom y data of the system

$$\frac{d}{dz}Y = 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ \frac{1}{t_{n+2}}A + \frac{V(t_1; \dots; t_n)}{z}A Y;$$

are constant. In particular V is given by the following formulae

$$V_{ij} = i; i = 1; :::; n + 2;$$
 (7)

$$V_{ij} = _{j} + M_{j}W_{j} M_{j}W_{i}; i; j = 1; ...; n + 2;$$
 (8)

where M $_{i}$; W $_{i}$ are given in terms of the variables ($_{1}$;:::; $_{n}$; $_{1}$;:::; $_{n}$) (here we use the same notations of [11]) by:

$$M_{i} := \frac{(t_{i})}{T^{0}(t_{i})}; \quad i = 1; :::; n + 2;$$

$$W_{j} := P_{k=1}^{n} \frac{T(k)}{(k_{p} t_{j})^{0}(k)} \quad k \quad \frac{P_{n+2}^{n+2} k+1}{2 k_{p}}; \quad j = 1; :::; n;$$

$$W_{n+1} = \frac{1}{M_{n+1}} P_{j=1}^{n} (t_{j} \quad 1) M_{j} W_{j} + \frac{k_{n+2}^{n+2} k+1}{2};$$

$$W_{n+2} = \frac{1}{M_{n+2}} P_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} M_{j} W_{j};$$

$$(9)$$

In the case of n=1, corresponding to the Painleve sixth equation, such result was already known [8, 16]. Nevertheless the generalization to the Garnier system $s G_n$ with n > 1 variables is non-trivial.

Our result seems to be of fundamental importance if one wants to investigate the possibility to extend 0 kam oto's birational canonical transformations dened for the Painleve sixth equation to the case of Gamier systems. In [18] it is shown that the group of all birational canonical transformations of the Painleve sixth equation is isomorphic to the ane extension of W (F₄). The generators are given by the generators $w_1; w_2; w_3; w_4$ of W (D₄), the parallel transformations $l_1; l_2; l_3$, and the symmetries $x_1; x_2; x_3$ (we shall remind details of these in Section 3). The symmetries can be generalized to the case of Garnier systems [11], and indeed to the Schlesinger systems in any dimension [5]. Recently T suda [21] dened the analogues of $w_1; w_3; w_4; l_1; l_2; l_3$ for the Gamier system and together with Sakai conjectured that the analogue of w_2 does not exist [19]. Here we give further strong evidence that Sakai-T suda conjecture is valid. In fact, in the case of the Painleve sixth equation, n = 1, we generate a birational canonical transformation we equivalent to $w_2, w = x_2w_2x_2$, as a simple scalar gauge transformation of the irregular system (5)

$$\Upsilon(z) = z \quad \Upsilon(z); \quad V \quad ! \quad V \quad 1;$$

where is one of the eigenvalues of V. In this way the condition that one of the eigenvalues of V is zero is preserved. The idea is that for n>1, V has n>1 null eigenvalues, and this condition is not preserved by the above gauge transform ation. Conversely it is possible to extend w to a special case of the Schlesinger system s.

A second application of our result is in the theory of Frobenius manifolds [2]. A n-dimensional Frobenius manifolds is locally parameterized by the monodromy data of a n n linear system similar to (5):

$$\frac{d}{dz}\Upsilon = 0 0 \qquad 1 \qquad 1 \qquad 1 \qquad A + \frac{W}{z}A \Upsilon; \qquad (10)$$

where W is a r r diagonalizable antisymmetric matrix. The eigenvalues $\sim_1; \ldots; \sim_r$ of W are related to the degrees $d_1; \ldots; d_r$ of the Frobenius manifold by $d_i = 1$ \sim_i $\frac{d}{2}$. In the special case

$$d_1 = 1;$$
 $d_n = 1$ $d;$ $d_i = 1$ $\frac{d}{2};$ $i \in 1;n;$

the system (10) is equivalent to the system (5) with n=n-2, $_1=_2=_{\overline{n}+2}=0$ and $_1=d^1$. In other words we show that the Garnier

 $^{^1}$ Such equivalence is realized by $\Upsilon=zY$. The fact that V becomes antisymmetric follows immediately from the formula (8) after a sutable diagonal conjugation.

system (4) describes the analytic structure of Frobenius manifolds of degrees 1;1 d;1 $\frac{d}{2}$;:::;1 $\frac{d}{2}$ for any d.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we show that the Garnier system s G_n can be interpreted as isom onodrom ic deform ations equations of the (n+2) (n+2) linear system (5). In Section 3 we give a brief resume of 0 kam oto's results on birational canonical transform ations for the Painleve sixth equation. In Section 4 we discuss the isom onodrom ic meaning of 0 kam oto's birational canonical transform ation w_2 and its extension to the Schlesinger system s.

A cknow ledgm ents The author is grateful to H. Sakai and T. Tsuda for helpful discussions and to Prof. O kam oto for kindly inviting her to Tokyo graduate school of M athem atics where this paper was started.

2 Isom onodrom ic interpretation of the Garnier system s

In this section we prove that the G amier system s are isom onodrom ic deform ations equations of the system (5). These are described in the following theorem proved in [14, 3]:

Theorem 1. Let V (t), $t = (t_1; :::; t_n)$, be a diagonalizable matrix function with eigenvalues $_1; _2; 0; :::; 0$. Suppose that for every i = 1; :::; n the matrix function V (t) satisfies

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t_{i}} V = [V_{i}; V]; \qquad (11)$$

$$0 \qquad 1$$

where

$$V_{i} = \operatorname{ad}_{E_{i}} \operatorname{ad}_{T}^{1} (V); \quad T = 0 \quad \vdots \quad A;$$

and E $_{i_{k,1}}$ = $_{ik}$ $_{il}$. Then the monodrom y data 2 of the system (5)

$$\frac{d}{dz}Y = T + \frac{V(t)}{z} Y;$$

are constant.

Ourmain result is the following:

Theorem 2. Consider the (n + 2) (n + 2) diagonalizable matrix V (t), $t = (t_1; \dots; t_n)$, satisfying the conditions (6) and (7). Then its o diagonal entries can be expressed in terms of the variables $_1; \dots; _n; _1; \dots; _n$ by (8), where M $_i$ and W $_i$ are given in (9). Moreover V (t) satisfies (11) if and only if $_1; \dots; _n; _1; \dots; _n$ satisfy the Garmier system G_n given in (4).

 $^{^2}$ See appendix for a description of the m onodrom y data of the system (5).

Proof. The idea of the proof is to show that the systems of the form (5) satisfying the conditions (6) and (7), are equivalent to 2 2 Fuchsian systems of the form

$$\frac{d}{dx} = \frac{X^{+2}}{x} \frac{A_k}{x + t_k}; \qquad (12)$$

where the residue matrices A_k satisfy the following conditions:

eigen
$$(A_k) = (0; k)$$
 and $A_k = A_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix};$ (13) with $A_k = A_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix};$ with $A_k = A_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix};$ with $A_k = A_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix};$ (13)

the Gamier systems are isomonodromic deformations equations for such 2 2 Fuchsian systems.

M ore precisely, we introduce the following

De nition 3. Two (n+2) (n+2) system softhe form (5) are equivalent up to diagonal conjugation if they have the same matrix T and the same matrix V up to V! $D_{n+2}VD_{n+2}^{-1}$, D_{n+2} being any (n+2) (n+2) diagonal matrix. A nalogously, two 2 2 Fuchsian systems of the form (12) are equivalent up to diagonal conjugation if they have the same matrices A_k , k = 1;2;3, up to $A_k ! D_2^A A_k D_2$, D_2 being any diagonal matrix.

Lem m a 4. There is a one to one correspondence between classes of equivalence of (n + 2) (n + 2) system s of the form (5)

$$\frac{d}{dz}Y = T + \frac{V}{z} Y;$$

where V is a (n + 2)(n + 2) diagonalizable matrix satisfying the conditions (6) and (7) and classes of equivalence of 2 2 systems of the form (12)

$$\frac{d}{dx} = \sum_{k=1}^{X+2} \frac{A_k}{x t_k}$$

where A_k satisfy (13).

Proof. Consider the following (n + 2) (n + 2) Fuch sian system

$$\frac{d}{dx} = (U \times 1)^{-1}V = \frac{X^{+2}}{x} \frac{B_k}{x + t_k}; \qquad (14)$$

where $B_k = E_k V$, i.e. $(B_k)_{ij} = i_k V_{ij}$. Since V is a diagonalizable (n + 2) (n + 2) m atrix with n null eigenvalues, the gauge transform ation = $G \sim$, where $G^{-1}VG = = diagonal(1; 2;0;:::;0) m aps (14) to$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\sim}}{\mathrm{dx}} = \frac{X^{+2}}{\mathrm{dx}} \frac{B_k^{\sim}}{x + t_k}$$
 (15)

where $B_k = G^{-1}E_kG$, i.e. all matrices B_k have all last n columns equal to zero. The system (15) therefore reduces to a 2-2 Fuchsian system of the form

(12) for the 1st two rows of \sim , = $\begin{pmatrix} \sim_1 \\ \sim_2 \end{pmatrix}$, with 2 2 residue matrices

$$A_{k} = \frac{(\tilde{B}_{k})_{11} \quad (\tilde{B}_{k})_{12}}{(\tilde{B}_{k})_{21} \quad (\tilde{B}_{k})_{22}} :$$
 (16)

By construction, the residue matrices A_k satisfy (13), where $a_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ ($a_k + a_1$), $a_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ ($a_k + a_1$) are the two non-null eigenvalues of V.

It is very easy to verify that the o {diagonal elements of V satisfy the following relation

$$V_{k1}V_{1k} = Tr(B_kB_1) = Tr(B_kB_1) = Tr(A_kA_1);$$

therefore given A_1 ;:::; A_{n+2} , we determ ine V up to diagonal conjugation. V ice versa given V, it uniquely determ ines $B_k = E_k V$ and G up to G! GS, where S is a (n+2) (n+2) invertible matrix such that $S_{12} = S_{21} = 0$ and $(S^{-1})_{11} = \frac{1}{S_{11}}$, $(S^{-1})_{22} = \frac{1}{S_{22}}$, $(S^{-1})_{12} = 0$, $(S^{-1})_{21} = 0$. This determ ines $B_k = G^{-1}B_kG$ up to B_k ! $S^{-1}B_kS$, therefore V uniquely determ ines A_k up to diagonal conjugation.

The isom onodrom ic deform ations equations of the Fuchsian system (12) are described in the following theorem proved in [20].

Theorem 5. Let A_1 (t);:::; A_{n+2} (t), $t = (t_1;:::;t_n)$, be diagonalizable m atrices satisfying (13). Suppose that for every i = 1;:::;n the m atrix functions A_1 (t);:::; A_{n+2} (t) satisfy

$$\frac{\underline{e}}{\underline{e}t_{j}} A_{i} = \frac{\underline{A}_{i}; A_{j}}{t_{i}P^{t_{j}}}; \quad i \in j;$$

$$\underline{\underline{e}}_{0} A_{i} = \underbrace{\underline{A}_{i}; A_{j}}_{j \in i}; \quad \underline{\underline{A}_{i}; A_{j}}_{t_{i}};$$
(17)

Then the monodromy data of the system

$$\frac{d}{dx} = \sum_{k=1}^{X+2} \frac{A_k}{x + t_k}$$

are constant.

The relation between Schlesinger equations and Gamier systems is given in the following

Lem m a 6. [11] Let $_1$; ...; $_n$ be the roots of the equation

$$\frac{X^{+2}}{x} \frac{A_{k_{12}}}{x t_{k}} = 0$$

and de ne $_1$;:::; $_n$ as

$$_{i} = \frac{X^{+2}}{\sum_{k=1}^{4} \frac{A_{k_{11}}}{i}}; \quad i = 1; ...; n:$$

Then A_1 ;:::; A_{n+2} are uniquely determined up to diagonal conjugation by a_1 ;:::; a_1 ; a_2 ; a_3 ; a_4 ; a_5 ; a_7 ; a_8 ; a

$$A_{k_{11}} = M_{k} (W_{k} W)$$

$$A_{k_{12}} = M_{i}$$

$$A_{k_{21}} = (W_{k} W) [M_{k} (W W_{k}) + k]$$

$$A_{k_{22}} = k M_{k} (W_{k} W);$$
(18)

where M $_k$ and W $_k$ are given in terms of the variables $_1;:::;_n;_1;:::;_n$ by (9) and $_1$ W = $_{j=1}^{n+2}$ W $_j$ (M $_j$ W $_j$ $_j$). Moreover A $_1;:::;_A_{n+2}$ satisfy the Schlesinger equations if and only if $_1;:::;_n;_1;:::;_n$ satisfy the Garmier system G_n given in (4).

In particular, using the form ulae (18) for the matrices A_1 ;:::; A_{n+2} and we obtain that the o-diagonal elements of V are given by the form ulae (8) up to diagonal conjugation.

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 2 it is enough to prove the following

Lem m a 7. The matrix V (t), $t = (t_1; :::; t_n)$ satisfies es (11) if and only if the matrices $A_1; :::; A_{n+2}$ defined by (16) satisfy the Schlesinger equations.

Proof. The matrix V (t), $t = (t_1; :::; t_n)$ satisfies (11) if and only if the diagonalizing matrix G such that $G^{-1}VG = 0$, satisfies

$$G^{-1}\frac{\partial G}{\partial t_i}; = G^{-1}V_iG; ; 8i:$$
 (19)

This equation is valid if and only if $G^{-1}\frac{\partial G}{\partial t_1}=G^{-1}V_1G+T_1$, where T_1 is a (n+2) (n+2) m atrix such that $T_{i_{12}}=T_{i_{21}}=0$. Let us de ne $A_k:=B_k$, where = diagonal(1;1;0;:::;0). It is clear that A_1 ;:::; A_{n+2} satisfy the Schlesinger equations if and only if A_1 ;:::; A_{n+2} do. This happens if and only if

$$G^{-1}\frac{\partial G}{\partial t_{i}};G^{-1}E_{k}G = G^{-1}V_{i}G;G^{-1}E_{k}G; 8k \in i;$$

and

$$G^{-1}\frac{\partial G}{\partial t_{i}};G^{-1}E_{i}G = X G^{-1}V_{i};G^{-1}E_{k}G : (20)$$

These equations are valid if and only if G $^{1}\frac{@G}{@t_{i}}=G$ $^{1}V_{i}G+R_{i}$, where R_{i} is a (n+2) (n+2) matrix such that $[R_{i};G]^{1}E_{k}G]=0$ for every $k\in i$. Since

G is determined by V up to G! GS, where S is a (n+2) (n+2) m atrix such that $S_{12}=S_{21}=0$, and $(S^{-1})_{11}=\frac{1}{S_{11}}$, $(S^{-1})_{22}=\frac{1}{S_{22}}$, $(S^{-1})_{12}=0$, $(S^{-1})_{21}=0$, we can choose G in such a way that $[R_i;G^{-1}E_kG]$ is zero if and only if $R_i=T_i$. This shows that (19) is valid if and only if (20) is.

3 Birational canonical transform ations for the Pain leve sixth equation

For n = 1, the Gamier system becomes and ODE in the variable $t_1 = t$, the Painleve sixth equation

$$\frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{t} \frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} \frac{2}{t} + \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} \frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} + \frac{(1)(t)}{t^{2}(t \cdot 1)^{2}} + \frac{t}{2} + \frac{t}{(1)^{2}} + \frac{t(t \cdot 1)}{(t \cdot t)^{2}};$$

w here

$$=\frac{(_{1} \quad 1)^{2}}{2}; \qquad =\frac{_{2}^{2}}{2}; \qquad =\frac{_{3}^{2}}{2}; \qquad =\frac{1}{_{2}^{2}}: \qquad (21)$$

In 0 kam oto's papers the param eters

$$0 = 2;$$
 $1 = 3;$ $1 = 1$ 1; $1 = 1$ (22)

are used. To $\,$ x notations, and for convenience of the reader, let us rem ind the results of [18]. In the canonical variables (;), the H am iltonian function K reads:

$$K = \frac{1}{t(t-1)} (1)(t)^{2} + b_{3}b_{4}(t)$$

$$[(b_{1} + b_{2})(1)(t) + (b_{1} b_{2})(t) + (b_{3} + b_{4})(t)]$$
(23)

where the parameters (b₁;b₂;b₃;b₄) are given by

$$b_1 = \frac{0+1}{2}$$
; $b_2 = \frac{0}{2}$; $b_3 = \frac{1+1}{2}$; $b_4 = \frac{1}{2}$: (24)

O kam oto's birational canonical transform ations are given in term softransformations of a certain auxiliary H am iltonian function

$$h(t) = t(t + 1)K(t) + (b_1b_3 + b_1b_4 + b_3b_4)t + \frac{b_1b_2 + b_1b_3 + b_1b_4 + b_2b_3 + b_2b_4 + b_3b_4}{2};$$

that satis es the following nonlinear ordinary di erential equation

$$\frac{dh}{dt} t(1 t) \frac{d^2h}{dt^2} + \frac{dh}{dt} 2h (2t 1) \frac{dh}{dt} + b_1b_2b_3b_4 = \frac{Y^4}{i=1} \frac{dh}{dt} + b_1^2 (25)$$

A singular solution h to (25) is a solution linear in t:

$$h(t) = at + b;$$

for som e constants a and b. For the case $_{1;2;3} = 0$, these singular solutions coincide with $= t_i$ and = 1 .0 kam oto (see [18]) proves the following:

Lem m a 8. There is a one-to-one correspondence between non singular solutions h to (25) and solutions (;) to the Ham iltonian system with Ham iltonian function K given by (23), or equivalently solutions of the Painleve VI equation with parameters;; given by (21).

Such a correspondence is realized by the form ulae at page 354 of [18]. The canonical transform ations of the general PVI are all listed by 0 kam oto and consist of three fam ilies:

1. Generators w_1 ; w_2 ; w_3 ; w_4 of W (D $_4$), which leave the equation (25) invariant

$$W_1 (b_1; b_2; b_3; b_4) = (b_2; b_1; b_3; b_4);$$

 $W_2 (b_1; b_2; b_3; b_4) = (b_1; b_3; b_2; b_4);$
 $W_3 (b_1; b_2; b_3; b_4) = (b_1; b_2; b_4; b_3);$
 $W_4 (b_1; b_2; b_3; b_4) = (b_1; b_2; b_3; b_4):$

The action of the transform ations $w_1; :::; w_4$ on ; is given by form ula (2.10) in [18].

2. Parallel transform ations l_i , which change the auxiliary H am iltonian h. They act on the param eters as follows:

$$l_i(b_i) = b_i$$
 for $j \in i$; $l_i(b_i) = b_i + 1$

and on the auxiliary Hamiltonian has:

$$l_i(h(b_1;b_2;b_3;b_4)) = h(l_i(b_1;b_2;b_3;b_4))$$
:

3. The sym m etries x_i , which change also the variable t:

O kam oto proves that all these transform ations are realized as birational canonical transform ations of (;), provided that the correspondent auxiliary H am itonian is non singular.

4 O kam oto's w₂ transform ation

As we mentioned in the introduction, the symmetries $x_1; x_2; x_3$ can all be obtained as conformal transformations on the variable x of the linear dierential equation (1). This fact can be extended to Gamier systems as explained in [11] and to Schlesinger systems in any dimensions [5]. All transformations w_i apart from w_2 can be obtained as constant gauge transformations on the Fuchsian system (12), that can be generalized to Gamier systems [21]. The a netransformations can be obtained as Schlesinger transformations on the Fuchsian system and can also be generalized to Gamier systems [21]. The isomonodromic meaning of w_2 was until now unknown, we explain it here below using the irregular system (5). We actually explain the meaning of an equivalent transformation $w_1 = x_2 w_2 x_2$ such that

$$w(;;t;b_1;b_2;b_3;b_4) = (~;~;t; b_4;b_2;b_3; b_1);$$

where (~;~) can be obtained by formula (2.10) in [18]. Our transformation w is obtained by the following $\sin p$ le gauge transformation of the irregular system (5)

$$\Upsilon(z) = z \Upsilon(z);$$
 $= \frac{1 + 2 + 3}{2} = b_1 + b_4 = 1$:

In fact this gauge transform ation m aps the system (5) to

$$\frac{d}{dz}\Upsilon = 0 0 \quad \vdots \quad \frac{1}{t_1} \quad \frac{1}{z} A \Upsilon;$$

where V = V 1. This gauge transform ation is therefore compatible with the condition that V has one null eigenvalue. In fact

Using (22) and (24), we im mediately obtain that such gauge transform ation maps $(b_1;b_2;b_3;b_4)$ to $(b_4;b_2;b_3;b_1)$. The transform ation law for (~;~) follows im mediately from the fact that V satis es (11) if and only if V does. Then it is possible to apply the same procedure as in Section 2 to V, i.e. express V in terms of ~,~, solutions of the Gamier system (Painleve sixth equation)

in one variable twith parameters $_1;_2;_3;_1$. Then $(~;^{\sim})$ must be related to (;) by formula (2.10) in [18]. It is clear that in the case of Gamier system s with n > 1 variables, the condition that V has n > 1 null eigenvalues is not preserved by the above gauge transform ation. Therefore we expect that the analogous of the transform ation w or equivalently of w_2 does not exist for Gamier systems with n > 1 variables [19]. Conversely, we expect such a transform ation to extend to the special cases of Schlesinger systems (17) as explained here below.

Theorem 9. Let m be any integer 0 < m < n + 2, and let $_1; :::;_m$ pairwise distinct non-zero com plex num bers. There is a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of (n + 2) (n + 2) systems of the form (5) where V (n + 2) diagonalizable matrix satisfying the conditions (6) and

and classes of equivalence of m m systems of the form (12)

$$\frac{d}{dx} = \frac{X^{+2}}{x} \frac{A_k}{x + t_k}$$

where $A_1; ::: ; A_{n+2}$ satisfy

eigen
$$(A_k) = (0; k)$$
 and $A_k = A_1 = 0$... A : (27)

Moreover V (t) satisfies (11) if and only if $A_1; ::: A_{n+2}$ satisfy (17).

Proof. The proof of this theorem is very similar to the proof of Lemmata 4 and 7. The only dierence is that instead of dealing with the rst 2 block with deal with the rst m m block. For example will be replaced by a diagonal matrix with the rst m diagonal elements equal to 1 and the rem aining ones equal to 0.

If in the above theorem we take m = n + 1, the matrix V has only one null eigenvalue and the gauge transform ation

$$\hat{Y}(z) = z \quad Y(z);$$

is one of the eigenvalues of V, is compatible with the condition that V has one null eigenvalue. Therefore the above gauge transform ation induces a canonical transform ation of the Schlesinger system s speci ed by (27) form = n + 1.

Remark 10. It is worth observing that our birational canonical transform ation w is precisely the one linking the special case PVI of the Painleve sixth equation studied in [4, 15] and the one studied in [9, 10]. The case of PVI is specified by the following choice of the parameters

$$=\frac{(2 \quad 1)^2}{2}; = 0; = 0; = \frac{1}{2};$$

that is $_1 = _2 = _3 = 0$ $_1 = 2$. Hitchin's case, say PVIk, is specified by

$$=\frac{p}{(2k-1)^2};$$
 $= k;$ $= k;$ $=\frac{1-2k}{2};$

that is $_1$; $_2$; $_3$; $_1$ = $\frac{p}{2k}$. Therefore the transform ation w perm its to map the classication results of [4, 15] to H itchin's case PV Ik.

APPENDIX

We describe the monodromy data of the system (5). A general description of monodromy data of linear systems of ODE can be found in [12, 13, 14]. The treatment of systems of the form (5) can be found in [2] where the case of antisymmetric V is dealt with. Here we essentially adapt (om itting all proofs) the description of [2] to any V satisfying our conditions (6).

A .1. Local theory at zero

The system (5) has a simple pole at z=0. We assume that a branch-cut between zero and in nity has been chosen along a xed line land a branch of $\log z$ has been selected.

Proposition 11. There exists a gauge transformation Y = G(z)Y where $G(z) = \int_{k=0}^{1} G_k z^k$, convergent near 0, with principal term $G_0 = G$ de ned by V = G G 1, that maps the system (5) into the Birkho Normal form:

$$\frac{d}{dz} \tilde{Y} = \frac{1}{z} + X R_k z^{k-1} \tilde{Y}$$
 (A-1)

where $R = P_{k-1} R_k$, with

$$R_{k_{ij}} \in 0;$$
 () $_{i}$ $_{j} = k:$

As a consequence there exists a fundamental matrix of the system (5) of the form

$$Y_0(z) = G(z)z^{-1}z^R$$
; as z! 0: (A-2)

The monodrom y M $_{0}$ of the system (5) with respect to the normalized fundamentalmatrix (A-2) generated by a simple closed loop around the origin is

$$M_0 = \exp(2 i) \exp(2 iR)$$
:

A 2. Local theory at in nity

Proposition 12. For the system (5) there exists a unique form alpower series

$$P(z) = \begin{cases} X^1 \\ P_k z^k \end{cases}$$
 (A-3)

with $P_0 = 1$, such that the formal gauge transformation Y = P(z)Y changes the system (5) into the system in normal form:

$$\frac{d}{dz} \Upsilon = T + \frac{1}{z} \Upsilon; \qquad (A-4)$$

where is a diagonal matrix of entries $_1$ 1;:::; $_{n+2}$ 1. As a consequence, there is a unique formal fundamental solution Y_f^1 to the system (5) at z=1 3

$$Y_f^1 = P(z)z e^{zT}$$
: (A-5)

The above result establishes only the existence of formal solutions. Requiring the true solutions, we need to set up some more machinery.

De nition 13. The half-line

$$R_{ij} = fz \Re [z(t_i t_j)] = 0; \operatorname{Im} [z(t_i t_j)] < 0q$$
 (A-6)

oriented from zero to in nity is called Stokes-ray.

De nition 14. An oriented line l in the complex plane is called admissible with respect to the points $(t_1; :::; t_{n+2})$ if it is such that all the Stokes rays R_{ij} with i < j lie on the left of l. V ice versa, xed any oriented line l in the complex plane, the set of points $(t_1; :::; t_{n+2})$ is called admissible with respect to the line l, if all the Stokes rays R_{ij} with i < j lie on the left of l.

De nition 15. (Valid for the particular case we are working with). Let be some psector near 1 and f(z) analytic for z 2 \ fizj > N g, N 2 R. We say that $\frac{n}{k=0} \frac{a_k}{z^k}$ is the asymptotic series expansion of f(z) in \ fizj > N g,

f(z)
$$X^{1} \frac{a_{k}}{z^{k}};$$

 $^{^3\}text{W}$ e x the branch of the logarithm required in the de nition of z as in the previous section.

if for all m 2 \mathbb{Z}_+ , and for z! 1 inside

$$\lim_{z! \ 1} z^{m} f(z) \begin{cases} x^{m} & ! \\ \frac{a_{k}}{z^{k}} & = 0 \end{cases}$$

Lem m a 16. Fix an adm issible oriented line land consider the system (5) and its unique formal fundamental solution (A-5). Then there exists "> 0 small enough, two sectors $_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm R}$ dened as

$$_{R} = fz : arg(1)$$
 " < arg(z) < arg(1) + "g
 $_{L} = fz : arg(1)$ " < arg(z) < arg(1) + + "g (A -7)

and two fundam ental solutions Y_{L} (z) in $_{\text{L}}$ and Y_{R} (z) in $_{\text{R}}$ such that

$$Y_{L;R}$$
 1+0 $\frac{1}{z}$ z e^{zT} ; as z! 1; z2 $_{L;R}$: (A-8)

The fundam ental solutions $Y_{L,R}$ (z) are uniquely determined by (A-8).

The proof can be found in [1].

We are now going to de ne one of the main objects in the monodromy theory of our system at in nity: the Stokes matrices. In both of the narrow sectors

obtained by the intersection of $_{\rm l}$ and $_{\rm R}$, we have two fundam entalm atrices. They must be related by multiplication by a constant invertible matrix

$$Y_L(z) = Y_R(z)S_+; z 2_+:$$

$$Y_{L}(z) = Y_{R}(z)S$$
; z 2:

De nition 17. The matrices S_+ , S_- are called Stokes matrices of the system (5) with respect to the admissible line '.

A .3. M onodrom y data

Resuming, for our system (5)

$$\frac{d}{dz}Y = T + \frac{V}{z} Y;$$

where T is a diagonal matrix with pairwise distinct entries t_1 ;:::; t_{n+2} and V is diagonalizable with n null eigenvalues, we have built three distinguished bases

in the space of solutions, i.e. Y_0 (z) near 0, and $Y_{L,R}$ (z) near 1 depending on the choice of the adm issible line `.

To complete the list of the monodromy data we dene the central connection matrix between 0 and 1 $\,$

$$Y_0(z) = Y_{R:I_0}(z)C_{R:I_0}; z = 2$$

Recall that to de ne Y_0 we had to x the branch cut of $\log(z)$. We can choose it along the negative part 1 of the same line 1.

W e can reduce the list of the monodromy data (;R;S $_+$;S ;C $_R$;C $_L$), by noticing the following cyclic relation

$$C_R^{1}S^{T}S_{+}^{1}C_R = C_L^{1}S_{+}^{T}S^{1}C_LM_0 = \exp(2 \text{ i })\exp(2 \text{ R})$$
:

This expresses a simple topological fact: on the punctured plane \mathbb{C} nf0g a loop around in nity is hom otopic to a loop around the origin. For a sim ilar reason $C_L = S_+^{\ 1}C_R$.

De nition 18. The Monodromy data of the system (5) are a collection of constant matrices

Theorem 19. Two systems of the same form (5) coincide if and only of they have the same monodromy data.

The proof can be found in [2].

R eferences

- [1] W . Balser, W B. Jurkat, and D A. Lutz. Birkho invariants and stokes multipliers for meromorphic linear dierential equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 71:48{94,1979.
- [2] B. Dubrovin. Geometry of 2D topological eld theories, volume 1620 of Springer Lecture Notes in Math. Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups, M. Francaviglia, S.G. reco editors, 1996.
- [3] B. Dubrovin. Painleve transcendents in Two-D in ensional Topological Field Theory. The Painleve property One Century Later, pages 287{412, 1999.
- [4] B.Dubrovin and M.Mazzocco. Monodromy of certain Painleve-VI transcendents and rejection groups. Invent. Math., 141:55{147, 2000.

- [5] B. Dubrovin and M. Mazzocco. Canonical structures for the Schlesinger systems. preprint, 2003.
- [6] R. Gamier. Sur des equations di erentielles du troisiem e ordre dont l'integrale generale est uniform e et sur une classe d'equations nouvelles d'ordre superieur dont l'integrale generale a sespoints critiques xes. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup., 29 no. 3, 1{126, 1912.
- [7] R. Garnier. Solution du probleme de Riemann pour les systemes di erentielles lineaires du second ordre. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm.. Sup., 43:239{352,1926.
- [8] J. Harnad. Dualisom onodrom ic deform ations and moment maps to loop algebras. Commun. Math. Phys., 166:337{365, 1994.
- [9] N. Hitchin. A lgebraic solutions of the Painleve VI equation. talk at Edinburgh, work in progress, 1998.
- [10] N. Hitchin. A lecture on the octahedron. preprint, 2002.
- [11] K. Iwasaki, H. Kimura, S. Shimomura, and M. Yoshida. From Gauss to Painleve, a Modern Theory of Special Functions, volume E 16. A spects of Mathematics, 1991.
- [12] M. Jim bo and T. Miwa. Monodromy preserving deformations of linear ordinary dierential equations with rational coecients I. Physica 2D, 2 no. 2:306 (352, 1981.
- [13] M . Jim bo and T . M iwa. M onodrom y preserving deform ations of linear ordinary di erential equations with rational coe cients Π . Physica 2D , 4 no 1:407 {448, 1981.
- [14] M. Jim bo, T. M. iwa, and K. Ueno. Monodrom y preserving deform ations of linear ordinary dierential equations with rational coecients iii. Physica 2D, 4 no 1:26{46, 1982.
- [15] M.Mazzocco. Picard and Chazy solutions to the PVI equation. Math. Ann., 321 no.1:131{169, 2001.
- [16] M. Mazzocco. Painleve sixth equation as isom onodrom ic deform ations equation of an irregular system. The Kowalevski property (Leeds, 2000), CRM Proc. Lecture Notes,, 32:219{238, 2002.
- [17] K. O kam oto. Isom onodrom ic deform ations, Painleve equations, and the Garnier system. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. 1A, Math., 33:576{618, 1986.

- [18] K. Okamoto. Studies on the Painleve equations I, sixth Painleve equation. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 146:337{381, 1987.
- [19] H. Sakai and T. Tsuda. private communication, 2002.
- [20] L. Schlesinger. Uber eine Klasse von Dierentsial System Beliebliger Ordnung mit Festen Kritischer Punkten. J. für Math., 141:96{145, 1912.
- [21] T.T suda. Universal characters and integrable system s. PhD thesis, Tokyo G raduate School of M athem atics, 2003.