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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A bstract } \\
& \text { In a previous paper the real evolution of the system of ODEs } \\
& z_{n}+z_{n}=X_{m=1 ; m \in n} \quad g_{n m}\left(z_{n} \quad z_{m}\right)^{3} ; \\
& z_{n} \quad z_{n}(t) ; \quad z_{n} \frac{d z_{n}(t)}{d t} ; \quad n=1 ;::: ; N
\end{aligned}
$$

is discussed in $C_{N}$, nam ely the $N$ dependent variables $z_{n}$, as well as the $N(\mathbb{N}$ 1) (arbitrary!) \coupling constants" $g_{n m}$, are considered to be com plex numbers, while the independent variable $t$ ( $\backslash$ tim $e$ ") is real. In that context it was proven that there exists, in the phase space of the initial data $z_{n}(0), z_{p}(0)$, an open dom ain having in nite $m$ easure, such that all trajectories em erging from it are com pletely periodic $w$ ith period $2, z_{n}(t+2)=z_{n}(t)$. In this paper we investigate, both by analytical techniques and via the display of num erical sim ulations, the rem aining solutions, and in particular we show that there exist $m$ any | em erging out of sets of initial data having nonvanishing $m$ easures in the phase space of such data $\mid$ that are also com pletely periodic but w th periods which are integer multiples of 2 . We also elucidate the $m$ echanism that yields nonperiodic solutions, including those characterized by a \chaotic" behavior, nam ely those associated, in the context of the in itial-value problem, with a sensitive dependence on the initial data.

## 1 Introduction

In a previous paper [1] one of us (FC) analyzed, in the com plex dom ain, the dynam ical system characterized by the $N$ ew tonian equations of $m$ otion

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{n}+z_{n}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}=1 ; \mathrm{m} f_{\mathrm{n}}}^{g_{n m}\left(z_{n} \quad z_{m}\right)^{3} ;} \\
& z_{n} \quad z_{n}(t) ; \quad z_{n} \quad \frac{d z_{n}(t)}{d t} ; \quad n=1 ;::: ; N^{N} \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

which obtain in the standard $m$ anner from the $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\underline{z} ; \underline{p})=\frac{1}{2}_{n=1}^{X^{N}} p_{n}^{2}+z_{n}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}{ }_{n, m=1 ; n \notin m}^{X^{N}} \quad g_{n m}\left(z_{n} \quad z_{m}\right)^{2} ; \tag{12a}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided (as we hereafter assum e| even though the m ain result of [1] holds w thout this restriction)

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathrm{nm}}=g_{\mathrm{m} \mathrm{n}}: \tag{12b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere and below $N$ is an arbitrary positive integer $(\mathbb{N} \quad 2)$, the indiges $n, m$ run from 1 to $N$ unless otherw ise indicated, underlined quantities are $N$-vectors, say $\underline{z} \quad\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{N}\right)$, and allquantities (nam ely, the $N$ \canonicalcoordinates" $z_{n}$, the $N$ \canonicalm om enta" $p_{n}$,
 ( $\backslash$ tim $e^{\prime \prime}$ ) is instead real. Of course the $N$ complex equations of $m$ otion can be reform ulated [1] as 2 N real| and as well H am iltonian [2] | equations of motion, by introducing the real and im aginary parts of the coordinates $z_{n}, z_{n} \quad x_{n}+i y_{n}$, or their amplitudes and phases, $z_{n} \quad{ }_{n} \exp \left(i_{n}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{N} \\
& =\quad r_{n m}^{3} \dot{g}_{\mathrm{nm}} \operatorname{jcos}(\mathrm{~nm} \quad 3 \mathrm{~nm}) \text {; }  \tag{1.3a}\\
& m=1 ; m \text { n } \\
& \mathrm{XN}^{\mathrm{N}} \\
& Y_{n}+Y_{n}=\sum_{m=1 ; m \notin n} r_{n m}^{6} a_{n m} Y_{n m} \quad Y_{n m}^{2} \quad 3 x_{n m}^{2} \quad b_{n m} Y_{n m} \quad x_{n m}^{2} \quad 3 y_{n m}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}} \\
& =\quad r_{n m}^{3} \dot{g}_{\mathrm{nm}} \dot{j} \sin \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{nm} & 3 \mathrm{~nm}) \text {; } ; \text {; }
\end{array}\right.  \tag{1.3.}\\
& \mathrm{m}=1 ; \mathrm{m} \ddagger \mathrm{n}
\end{align*}
$$

where of counse

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{n}=x_{n}+i y_{n} ; \\
& z_{n m} \quad z_{n} \quad z_{m}=x_{n} \quad x_{m}+i\left(y_{n} \quad y_{m}\right) \quad x_{n m}+i y_{n m}=r_{n m} \exp \left(i_{n m}\right) ; \\
& g_{n m}=a_{n m}+i b_{n m}=\dot{g_{n m}} j \operatorname{jexp}\left(i_{n m}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

W e shall retum to the $m$ otivations for this choice to investigate the system in the com plex dom ain at the end of this Section 1.

If all the coupling constants coincide, $g_{n m}=g$, the $H$ am iltonian system is a wellknow $n$ com pletely integrable $m$ anyłoody $m$ odel (see for instance [2] and the references quoted there), and all its nonsingular solutions are com pletely periodic w ith period 2 , or possibly an integer m ultiple of 2 . (Indeed, in this integrable case the $N$ coordinates $z_{n}(t)$ can be identi ed w ith the $N$ zeros of a polynom ialofdegree $N$ the coe cients of which are periodic in tw ith period 2 , so that the set of these $N$ zeros is also periodicw ith period 2 , and each individual zero is therefore also periodic, although possibly w ith a larger period which is an integer multiple of 2 due to a possible reshu ing of the zeros as the $m$ otion
unfolds; in the real case w ith all coupling constants equal and positive, $g_{n m}=g>0$, when the $m$ otions are con ned to the real axis and no such reshu ing can occur due to the singular and repulsive character of the tw o-body forces, all real solutions are nonsingular and com pletely periodic $w$ ith period $2, \underline{z}(t+2)=\underline{z}(t)$; see for instance [2]). H ere we focus instead on the $m$ ore general case $w$ ith com pletely anbitrary coupling constants $g_{n m}$, which is generally believed not to be integrable. But even in this case | as proven in [1] | there does exist a dom ain of initial data $\underline{z}(0), \underline{\underline{z}}(0)$ having in nite $m$ easure in phase space | indeed, having a m easure which is a nite fraction of that of the entire phase space | such that all the tra jectories originating from it are com pletely periodic $w$ th period 2 , $\underline{z}(t+2)=\underline{z}(t)$. A s pointed out in [1], this is a som ew hat surprising nding, inasm uch as it negates the expectation that, for a nonlinear dynam ical system $w$ ith several degrees of freedom that possesses com pletely periodic tra jectories em erging from som e speci c initial data, any generic variation of these initial data destroy the com plete periodicity of the trajectories or at least change their period | unless the system is essentially equivalent (say, via an appropriate change of variables) to a linear system (such as coupling constants vanishing, $\left.g_{n m}=0\right)$, which is certainly not the case for the system $w$ ith arbitrary coupling constants $g_{n m}$, at least not in any $m$ anner explicitly com putable in closed form.

But, as shown in [1], this fact is a rather elem entary consequence of an approach (a \trick") introduced and rather extensively used recently to evince analogous results (see [2] and the references quoted there, as well as $[3,4,5]$ ). This sam e trick can as well be exploited to investigate the rem aining solutions, nam ely those not belonging to the class of com pletely periodic solutions w ith period 2 the existence of which was proven in [1]. This we do in the present paper, and we also con $m$ the insight thereby gained by exhibiting num erical solutions of perform ed via a com puter code created by one of us (MS) [6]. In particular we dem onstrate below the existence of open dom ains of in itial data, having nonvanishing $m$ easures in the phase space of such data, which also yield com pletely periodic solutions, but with periods which are integer multiples of 2 , and we also elucidate the $m$ echanism that originates non-periodic and chaotic solutions.

In the next Section (the rst part of which is closely pattemed after Section 2 of [1] and is reported here to $m$ ake this paper self-contained) the \trick" m entioned above | which in fact am ounts to a change of (dependent and independent) variables $\mid$ is introduced. In Section 3 the analyticity properties in a tim e-like com_olex variable are investigated of the solutions of the system of ODEs, see related to via the trick. The im plications of these ndings as regards the periodicity of certain solutions of are discussed in Section 4; in particular the $m$ echanism that underlies the existence of com pletely periodic $m$ otions $w$ th higher periods (integer $m$ ultiples of 2 ), and of non-periodic and chaotic $m$ otions, is elucidated. In Section 5 num ericalexam ples of these tra jectories are exhibited. In Section 6 som e nal rem arks are pro ered. Two appendiaes com plete our presentation: A ppendix A contains som e developm ents (con ned there not to intermupt the ow of presentation in Section 3) conœming the analytic structure of the solutions of the evolution equations A ppendix B focuses on the three-body case ( $(\mathbb{N}=3$ ), in particular it reports its reducibillty to quadratures and a discussion of the inform ation about the analytic behavior of the solutions of entailed by this fact.

Let us end this introductory Section 1 w th som e rem arks on the choige to investigate the $m$ otion determ ined by the N ew tonian equations in the com plex, rather than the
real, dom ain. A clear hint that, at least from a $m$ athem atical point of view, this is a $m$ ore natural environm ent to work in, com es already from the treatm ent of in the integrable case w ith equal coupling constants, $g_{n m}=g$, since, as mentioned above, it is then appropriate to identify the $N$ particle positions $z_{n} \quad z_{n}(t) w$ ith the $N$ zeros of a tim e dependent ( $m$ onic) polynom ial of degree $N$ in $z$, say $P_{N}(z ; t)$ such that $P_{N}\left[i ; z_{n}(t)\right]=0$ (see for instance Ref. [2]); and clearly the natural environm ent to investigate the zeros of a polynom ial is the com plex plane rather than the real line. In our context, an essential m otivation to work in the com plex com es from the im portant role that analyticity properties play in our treatm ent, see below. M oreover $m$ otions roam ing over the com plex plane display a much richer dynam ics than those restricted to the real line, especially in the case w ith singular interparticle forces, because of the possibility in the form er case, but not in the latter, that particles go around each other. A nd it is then natural to re-interpret the (com plex) N -body problem as describing the (real) m otion of N particles (in the plane), by introducing a one-to-one correspondence am ong the com plex coordinates $z_{n} \quad x_{n}+i y_{n}$, see and the real tw o-vectors in the plane $x_{n} \quad\left(x_{n} ; y_{n}\right)$. But this approach, that is quite convenient to identify interesting many-body problem s in the plane (see Chapter 4 of $R$ ef. [2]), su ens in the present case from a draw back: the resulting $m$ any-body problem in the plane is not rotation-invariant, see (1.3). Indeed the $m$ any-body problem (1.3) is characterized by a (clearly rotation-invariant) harm onicoscillator oneboody force attracting every particle towards the origin, and by a (clearly not rotation-invariant) singular tw ołbody force acting am ong each particle pair. A s clearly seen from (1.3), the strength of the two-body force is proportional to the inverse cube of the (Euclidian) interparticle distance, hence it generally diverges when two particles collide; but this force also depends, both in m odulus and direction, from the orientation of the interoarticle vector, as wellas from the phase $n m$ of the relevant interparticle coupling constant Indeed the two-body force $f_{n m}$ acting on the $n$-th particle due to the $m$ th particle is the two-vector $f_{n m}=r_{n m}^{3} \dot{g}_{n m} j\left(\cos \left(\begin{array}{ll}n m & 3 n m\end{array}\right) ; \sin \left(\begin{array}{ll}n m & 3 n m\end{array}\right)\right.$ ), which is generally not aligned to the interparticle distance $x_{n m}=r_{n m}(\cos n m ; \sin n m)$, see (1.3). The diligent reader is advised to try and becom e fam iliar w ith the speci cim plications of this fact, as thev will be eventually helpfulto understand the trajectories of the solutions of the $m$ odel see Section 5.

## 2 The trick

In this section we describe the \trick" [1 \{4] that underlies our subseonent ndings, and at the end wem ention a rem arkable property of the system of ODES But rstly we rew rite, $m$ ainly for notational conven ience, these equations of $m$ otion, as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}+!^{2} z_{n}=X_{m=1 ; m \notin n}^{\mathrm{AN}^{N}} g_{n m}\left(z_{n} \quad z_{m}\right)^{3} \tag{2.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we note that the corresponding H am iltonian reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\underline{z} ; \underline{p})=\frac{1}{2}_{n=1}^{X^{N}} p_{n}^{2}+!^{2} z_{n}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}{ }_{n ; m=1 ; n € m}^{X^{N}} g_{n m}\left(z_{n} \quad z_{m}\right)^{2}: \tag{2.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we introduce the additional constant ! , which is hereafter assum ed to be positive, $!>0$, and to which we associate the basic period

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=2=!: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the follow ing it w ill som etim es be convenient to set $!=1$ so that coincide w ith and the basic period becom es $T=2$, or to set instead ! = 2 so that the basic period becom es unity, $T=1$. Of course these cases are all related via a rescaling of the dependent and independent variables, since clearly by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{az}(\mathrm{t}) ; \quad \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{bt} ; \quad \quad \quad=\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{b} ; \quad \quad g_{\mathrm{nm}}=\mathrm{a}^{2}=\mathrm{b}^{2} g_{\mathrm{nm}} \tag{2.3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith a. b two positive rescaling constants which can be chosen at our convenience, the ODES get reform ulated in a com pletely analogous \tilded" version,

$$
z_{n}^{\infty}+t^{2} z_{n}=x_{m=1 ; m \in n}^{\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}} g_{n m}\left(z_{n} \quad z_{m}\right)^{3} ;
$$

where of course here the prim es indicate di erentiations $w$ ith respect to the argum ent of the function they are appended to, $z^{0} \quad d z(E)=d e . N$ ote in particular that by setting $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a}^{2}=1$ one gets $t=1$, $\mathrm{gnm}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{nm}}$, nam ely the tilded version reproduces essentially

N ow , the \trick". Let us set

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{n}(t)=\exp (i!t)_{n}() ;  \tag{2.4a}\\
& \quad(t)=[\exp (2 i!t) \quad 1]=(2 i!): \tag{2.4b}
\end{align*}
$$

A s can be readilv veri ed, this change of (dependent and independent) variables, (2.4), transform $s$ into

$$
{ }_{n}^{\infty}=X^{N} \quad g_{n m}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & m \tag{2.5}
\end{array}\right)^{3}:
$$

H ere and below appended prim es denote derivatives $w$ ith respect to the new independent variable, while of course the dots in and below denote as usual derivatives w ith respect to the real tim et.

The change of variables (2.4) entails the follow ing relations am ong the in itialdata, $\underline{z}(0)$, z ( 0 ), respectively _ $(0),{ }^{0}(0)$, for

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}(0)=\mathrm{n}(0) ;  \tag{2.6a}\\
& \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}(0)={ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}(0) \quad \text { i! } \mathrm{n}(0): \tag{2.6b}
\end{align*}
$$

W e now observe that, as the (real, \physical tim e") variable t varies from 0 to $T=2=$ $=$ !, the (com plex) variable travels (counterclockw ise) full circle over the circular contour $C$, the diam eter of which, of length $1=!=T=(2)$, lies on the upper-half of the complex -plane, with its lower end at the origin, $=0$, and its upper end at $=i=1$. H ence ifthe solution _( ) o which em erges from som e assigned initialdata _(0), ${ }^{0}$ (0)
is holom onphic, as a ( $\mathbb{N}$-vector-valued) function of the com plex variable, in the closed circular disk $C$ encircled by the circle $C$ in the com plex $-p l a n e$, then the corresponding solution $\underline{z}(t)$ of related to _( ) by (2.4), is com pletely periodic in $t w$ ith period $T$, $\underline{z}(t+T)=\underline{z}(t)$ (see ; and note that ( ), considered as function of the real variable $t$, is then periodic $w$ ith period $T=2$, but $\underline{z}(t)$ is instead antiperiodic $w$ ith period $T=2$, $\underline{z}(t+T=2)=\underline{z}(t)$, due to the prefactor $\exp (i!t)$, see

In [1] it was proven that there indeed exists a dom ain, having in nite $m$ easure in phase space, of initial data _(0), ${ }^{0}(0)$ such that the corresponding solutions _( ) o are holom orphic in in the disk C | and this fact implies the existence of an open dom ain, having as well in nite $m$ easure in phase space. of corresponding initial data $\underline{z}(0)$, $\underline{\underline{z}}(0)$ such that the corresponding solutions $\underline{z}(t)$ of are com pletely periodic $w$ ith period $T, \underline{z}(t+T)=z(t)$. In the following Section 3 we show that the singularities of the solutions ( ) o | considered as functions of the complex variable | are branch points of souare-root type, and in Section 4 we infer from this that, whenever the solution _ ( ) o has a nite num ber of such branch points inside the circle $C^{\sim}$ | generally nested inside each other, nam elv occurring on di erent $R$ iem ann sheets $\mid$ then the corresponding solution $\underline{z}(t)$ of considered as a function of the real \tim e" variable $t$, is again completely periodic, albeit now with a period which is an integer m ultiple of T. W e also infer that when instead the solution _( ) o has an in nite num ber of such square-root branch points inside the circle $C^{-}$| again, generally nested inside each other, nam ely occurring on di erent R iem ann sheets $\mid$ then the corresponding solution $\underline{z}(t)$ of considered again as a function of the real \tim e" variable $t$, $m$ ay be not periodic at all indeed it generally behaves chaotically (actually, strictly speaking, the chaotic character is not a property of a single solution, it rather has to do w th the di erence am ong the long-tim e behavior of a solution and those of other solutions which em erge from alm ost identical initial data ( see below).

Finally let us report a rem arkable property of the system of ODES $F$ irst of all we recall a trivial result, nam ely that the center of $m$ ass of the system
rotates uniform ly w ith period $T$, see since clearly these equations of $m$ otion, entail

$$
\begin{equation*}
z+!^{2} z=0 ; \tag{2.7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=z(0) \cos (!t)+z(0)(!)^{1} \sin (!t): \tag{2.7c}
\end{equation*}
$$

A less trivial result is that the sum of the squares of the particle coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{(2)}(t)=N{\underset{n=1}{X^{N}}\left[z_{n}(t)\right]^{2} ; ~}_{n=1} \tag{2.8a}
\end{equation*}
$$

evolves as well periodically, w ith period $T=2$. Indeed, as shown below, the equations of m otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{(2)}+(2!)^{2} z^{(2)}=(4=\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{H} \text {; } \tag{2.8b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the H am iltonian H, see is of course a constant of m otion. H ence

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{(2)}(t)=a \exp (2 i!t)+b+c \exp (2 i!t) ; \tag{2.8c}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the three constants $a, b, c$ are of course related to the in itial data as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& z(0)=a+b+c=N i^{X^{N}}\left[z_{n}(0)\right]^{2} ;  \tag{2.9a}\\
& z(0)=2 i!(a \quad b)=(2=N)^{A^{N}} \quad z_{n}(0) z_{n}(0) ; \\
& z^{(2)}(0)=\quad(2!)^{2}(a+b)=l_{n=1}(2!)^{2} z^{(2)}(0)+(4=N) H: \tag{2.9b}
\end{align*}
$$

There rem ains to prove that the equations of $m$ otion entail Indeed by di erentiating tw ioe the de nition one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{(2)}=\frac{2}{N}_{n=1}^{X_{n}^{N}} z_{n}^{2}+z_{n} z_{n} ; \tag{2.10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by using the equations of $m$ otion

$$
z^{(2)}=\frac{2}{N} 4^{2} x_{n=1}^{X^{N}} z_{n}^{2} \quad!^{2} z_{n}^{2}+X_{n ; m=1 ; m \notin n}^{X^{N}} \quad g_{n m} z_{n}\left(z_{n} \quad z_{m}\right)^{35}:
$$

Hence (using again the de nition and the sym $m$ etry of the coupling constants, see

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{(2)}+4!^{2} z^{(2)}=\frac{2}{N} 4^{2} X_{n=1}^{N^{N}} z_{n}^{2}+!^{2} z_{n}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}{ }_{n, m=1 ; m \notin n}^{X^{N}} g_{n m}\left(z_{n} \quad z_{m}\right)^{25} ; \tag{2.10c}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using again as well as the $H$ am iltonian equations $Z_{n}=p_{n}$ entailed by it is im m ediately senn. via that the right-hand side of coincides w ith the right-hand side of . But the lefthand sides obviously coincide as well, so the result is proven. (W e provided here a proof of this result for com pleteness, although of course this result can as wellbe directly inferred via the trick from the analogous nding recently proved for the system (2.5) [7]).

## 3 The analytic structure of the solutions of

In this section we discuss the analytic structure of the solutions _ ( ) of the system of ODES considered as functions of the com plex variable, and in particular we show that the singularities of these functions are branch points of square-root type (see below).
$T$ hese singularities are of course associated $w$ th values of the dependent variables $n$ that cause the right-hand side of to diverge, nam ely they are associated w ith \collisions" of two or m ore of the coordinates $n$. (By de nition the \collision" of two or m ore
coordinates occurs when their values coincide; but we use inverted com m as to underline that, since we are considering complex values of the independent variable, such \collisions" need not correspond to actual collisions of the \particles" the m otion of which as the real \tim e" variable t unfolds is described by the \physical" equations ofm otions or $\mid$ we shall retum to this point below ). C learly the generic case corresponds to tw o-body collisions, occurring at som e value $=$ b such that, say,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1(b)=2(b): \tag{3.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere and below, w ithout loss of generality, when discussing tw oboody collisions, we focus on the two particles carrying the labels 1 and 2. N ote that it is natural to expect that this equation, have one orm ore (possibly an in nity) of solutions $b$ in the com plex -plane for any generic solution_( ) of the O DE , while the equations characterizing a m ultiple collision, say

$$
\begin{equation*}
1(b)=2(b)=3(b)=\quad \text { F }(b) \text {; } \tag{3.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $2<\mathrm{M}<\mathrm{N}$ have generally no solution at all (for a generic solution ( ) of the ODES there exist of course special solutions of the ODES whidh feature such multiple collisions, and we discuss them below to dem onstrate that they as well feature branch points of square-root type; wem oreover believe, due to the scaling character of the equations ofm otion that com pletely $m$ ultiple collisions characterized by $M=N$ are, as well as tw o-body collisions, featured by any generic solution of $\mid$ as suggested by the analysis of the three-body case, see A ppendix B).

To dem onstrate that the singularity of the solution _( ) of the ODE: associated w ith the collision is a branch point of square-root type we introduce the follow ing ansatz, valid in the neighborkood of $=b$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1(\quad)=b+\quad(\quad b)^{1=2}+v(\quad b)+a(\quad b)^{3=2}+\prod_{l=4}^{X^{1}} \int_{1}^{(1)}(\quad b)^{1=2} ; \\
& 2()=b \quad(\quad b)^{1=2}+v( \\
& \text { b) a( } \\
& \text { b) })^{3=2}+ \\
& x^{1} \\
& 1=4 \\
& \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\quad \mathrm{~b})+\mathrm{X}_{1}^{(\mathrm{n})}(\quad \mathrm{b})^{1=2} ; \quad \mathrm{n}=3 ; 4 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}:\right. \\
& 1=4
\end{aligned}
$$

The fact that this ansatz is consistent $w$ ith the tw o-body-collision condition is plain. It can m oreover be shown (see A ppendix A) that this ansatz, (3 2), is com patible w ith the ODES and m oreover that, while the coe cients and ${ }_{1}^{(n)}$ featured by it are uniquely determ ined by the requirem ent that (32) satisfy the rem aining coe cients, nam ely the 4 constants $b, v, a$ and $b$, see , and the $2(\mathbb{N} \quad 2)$ constants $b_{n}$, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}$, see are arbitrary (except for the obvious requirem ents $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$ )| and since altogether the num ber of these arbitrary constants is 2 N, we infer that this ansatz, (32), is adequate to represent in the neighbornood of $=b$ the general solution of the system of N second-order ODES

We therefore conclude that the singularities associated with the generic solution of are branch points of square-root type, since clearly this is the singularity exhibited
by the right-hand sides of (32) | on the assum ption that the in nite series they feature do indeed converge in a su ciently sm all neighborhood of $=b$.

It is easily seen that thissam e conclusion obtains ifconsideration is extended to include m ultiple collisions, see . Indeed the corresponding ansatz reads, in analogy to (3 2),

$$
\begin{align*}
& n(\quad)=b+{ }_{n}(\quad b)^{1=2}+v(\quad b) \\
& +a_{n}(b)^{3=2}+{ }^{X^{1}} \quad l^{(n)}(\quad b)^{l=2} ; \quad n=1 ; 2 ;::: ; M \text {; }  \tag{3.3a}\\
& 1=4 \\
& X^{-1} \\
& \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{l}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\quad \mathrm{~b})+\mathrm{X}_{1}^{(\mathrm{n})}(\quad \mathrm{b})^{\mathrm{l}=2} ; \quad \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{M}+1 ; \mathrm{M}+2 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}: \tag{3.3b}
\end{align*}
$$

The consistency of this ansatz w ith the ODES is also dem onstrated in A ppendix A; but note that, as show $n$ there, only the 3 constants $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{b}$ appearing in the right-hand side of and a com $m$ on rescaling factor, say $a$, of the $M$ coe cients $a_{n}$, as well as the constants $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}$ appearing in the right-hand side of are now arbitrary; so, the ansatz (3.3) features altogether $4+2(\mathbb{N} \quad M)=2 N \quad 2(M \quad 2)$ arbitrary constants. H ence for $M>2$ this ansatz does not feature the fill complem ent of 2 N arbitrary constants required in order that (3.3) represent, for $\quad b$, the general solution of $\mid$ as indeed we expected (since we do not expect the generic solution of to feature multiple collisions, at least w ith $2<\mathrm{M}<\mathrm{N}$ ).

Let us end this section by reporting the sim ilarity solution of that indeed features an N -body collision. It reads, as can be easily veri ed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{b}+_{\mathrm{n}}(\quad \mathrm{~b})^{1=2}+\mathrm{v}(\quad \mathrm{~b}) ; \tag{3.4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the 3 constants $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{v}$ and b arbitrary, while the N constants n are instead determ ined by the N algebraic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=4_{m=1 ; m \in n}^{X^{N}} g_{n m}(n \quad m)^{3}: \tag{3.4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

As it is well known (see for instance [2]), in the integrable equal-coupling-constants case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n m}=g_{i} \tag{3.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $N$ realnumbers $n$ are the $N$ zeros of the $H$ erm ite polynom ialH ${ }_{N}($ ) ofdegree $N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{N}\left({ }_{n}\right)=0: \tag{3.5c}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that the form ula de nes in fact 4 di erent sets of coe cients $n$, due to the 4 possible determ inations of the fourth root appearing in the right-hand side of this equation.

Finally let us em phasize that these ndings entail that, even in the integrable equal-coupling-constants case, see the solutions of the ODES do not possess the so-called $P$ ainleve property, nam ely they are not free of $m$ ovable branch points, indeed their branch points (of square-root type, see for instance the special solution occur at values $=b$ of the independent variable which are not a priori predictable, but rather depend, in the context of the initial-value problem, on the initial data. But in the integrable case the number of these branch points is always nite: they are indeed determ ined by the requirem ent that the $m$ onic polynom ial of degree $N$ in the variable, say, , the $N$ zeros of which are the $N$ coordinates $n($ ) (and the coe cients of which are them selves polynom ials of degree $N$ in ), have a double zero (see for instance [2]).

## 4 P eriodicity of the solutions of the system of OD Es theoretical considerations

In this section we analyze the implications of the ndinas of the previous Section 3 as regards the periodicity of the solutions of the O DE.s

W e know of course that, if a solution _ ( ) o is holom onphic as a function of the complex variable inside the circleC (see Section 2) | and we know that such solutions do exist, in fact in the context of the initial-value problem they em erge out of a set of in itial data which has in nite $m$ easure in the phase space of such data [1] | then the solution z ( t ) see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{T})=\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t}): \tag{4.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

But the transform ation (2.4) actually im plies, as already noted in Section 2, an additional inform ation, nam ely that in this case $\underline{z}(t)$ is com pletely antiperiodic $w$ ith period $T=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{T}=2)=\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t}): \tag{4.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that implies $\quad$ while of course $\quad$ does not imply
Let us instead assum e that a branch point of a sohtion ( ) o. occurring, say, at $=b$, does fall inside the circle $C$ in the complex -plane (see Section 2 ). Then, due to the square-root nature of this branch point, see (32), the evolution of the solution _( ) of as the real tim e variable $t$ unfolds is obtained by follow ing the com plex tim elike variable as it travels (2.4) along the circular contour C on a two-sheeted $R$ iem ann surface. C learly the change of variable entails then that the corresponding solution $\underline{Z}(t)$ of is just as w ell com pletely periodic w ith period $T$, see , although in this case
does no $m$ ore hold. A nd of course th is conclusion holds provided only one branch point of the solution _( ) o. falls inside the circle $C$ in the $m$ ain sheet of the $R$ iem ann surface associate $\bar{d} w$ th this solution, and no other branch point occurs inside the circle $C$ in the second sheet of this R iem ann surface, nam ely on the sheet entered through the cut associated w the branch point occurring inside $C \sim$ on the $m$ ain sheet of the $R$ iem ann surface (of course th is $R$ iem ann surface $m$ ight feature $m$ any other sheets associated w th other branch points occurring elsew here hence not relevant to our present discussion).

Let us now continue th is analysis by considering, $m$ ore generally, a solution _( )o that possibly contains $m$ ore than one branch point inside the circle $C$ in the $m$ ain sheet of its R iem ann surface (that do not canceleach other) so that by traveling along the circle C several additional $R$ iem ann sheets are accessed from the $m$ ain sheet, and let us m oreover assum e that, on these additional sheets, additional branch points possibly occur inside the circle C which give access to other sheets, and that possibly on these other sheets there be additional branch points and so on. Let in conclusion B be the total num ber of additional sheets accessed by a point traveling around and around on the circle $C$ on the $R$ iem ann surface associated with the solution _( ) o This num ber $B$ m ight coincide $w$ ith the total num ber of branch points occurring, inside the circle $C$, on this $R$ iem ann surface | on all its sheets | or it $m$ ight be sm aller. Indeed, since each of these branch points is of square-root type, each of the associated cuts | if entered into | gives access to one additional sheet. But not all these sheets need be acoessed; the total num ber B that are actually accessed depends on the structure of the $R$ iem ann surface, for instance no additional sheet at all is accessed if there is no branch point on the $m$ ain sheet of the $R$ iem ann surface | even though other branch pointsm ay be present inside the circle $C$ on other sheets of the $R$ iem ann surface associated with the solution _ ( ) o also be possible that di erent branch points cancel each other pairw ise as is the case for two branch points that are on the sam e sheet inside the circle C and generate a cut that starts at one of them and ends at the other). In any case the overall time requested for the point ( $t$ ) traveling on the $R$ iem ann surface to retum to its point of deoarture (say, $(0)=0$ on the $m$ ain sheet) is $(B+1) T=2$, since a half-period $T=2$, see required to com plete a tour around the circle $C$ on each sheet, and the num ber of sheets to be traveled before getting back to the point of departure is overall $B+1$ (inchuding the $m$ ain sheet). H ence in this case, as the real tim e t evolves, the solution _ ( ) o w ill be com pletely periodic w th neriod ( $\mathrm{B}+1$ ) $\mathrm{T}=2$. H ence (see (2.4)) if B is even the corresponding solution $\underline{z}(t)$ of $w$ illbe com pletely antiperiodic $w$ th the sam e period $(B+1) T=2, \underline{z} t+(B+1) T=2]=\underline{z}(t)$, and com pletely periodic $w$ ith the lodd" period $(B+1) T, \underline{z}[t+(B+1) T]=\underline{z}(t)$. If instead $B$ is odd, the solution ( ) o as well as the corresponding solution $\underline{z}(t)$ of w ill both be com pletely periodic in $t$ w th the period ( $B+1$ ) $\mathrm{T}=2$ (which m ight be \even" or \odd" | of course, as an integer $m$ ultiple of the basic period $T), \underline{z} t t+(B+1) T=2]=\underline{z}(t)$ (so, in this case, the trajectories of $\underline{z}(t)$ w ill display no sym $m$ etry, in contrast to the previous case).

In this analysis the assum ption was im plicitly understood that the total num ber B of additional sheets accessed by traveling around and around on the circle $C$ on the $R$ iem ann surface associated with the solution _( ) o be nite (a number B which, as we just explained, $m$ ight coincide $w$ ith, or be sm aller than, the total num ber of branch points of that $R$ iem ann surface that are located inside the circle $C$ in the com plex plane); and $m$ oreover we im plicitly assum ed that no branch point occur exactly on the circle $C$. Let us now elaborate on these two points.

If a branch point b occurs exactly on the circle C , then the \physical" equations of $m$ otion becom e sinoular, due to a particle collision occurring at the real time $t_{c}$ de ned $m$ od ( $T^{\prime}=2$ ) (see by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.b=\exp \left(2 i!t_{c}\right) \quad 1\right]=(2 i!): \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed it is easy to check via (2.4) that the condition that $t_{c}$ be real coincides $w$ ith the
requirem ent that the corresponding value of b , as given by fall just on the circular contour $C$ in the complex -plane. The singularity is of course due to the divergence, at the collision tim e $t=t_{c}$, of the right-hand side of the equations of $m$ otion there is how ever no corresp onding divergence of the solution $\underline{Z}(t)$, which rather has a branch point of square root type at $t=t_{c}$, see (3.2). But of course this entails that the speeds of the colliding particles diverge at the collision time $t=t_{c}$ proportionally to $J \quad t_{c} j^{1=2}$, and their accelerations diverge proportionally to $J \quad t_{c} j^{3=2}$.

There is no a priori guarantee that the num ber of branch points inside $C$ of a solution _( ) o be nite, nor that the num ber B of additional sheets accessed according to the $m$ echanism described above by moving around the circle $C$ on the $R$ iem ann surface associated w th that solution _( ) o be nite (of course B m ight be in nite only if the num ber ofbranch points inside $C$ is itselfin nite). O bviously in such a case ( $B=1$ ), although the complex number $\quad(t)$, see considered as a function of the real \tim e" variable $t$, is still periodic w ith period $T=2$, neither the solution _ ( ) o nor the corresponding solution $\underline{z}(t)$ of $w$ ill be periodic. $T$ he question that $m$ ight then be raised is whether such a solution in particular, such a solution $\underline{z}(t)$ of the \physical" $N$ ew tonian equations ofm otion corresponding to the $m$ any-body problem characterized by the H am ittonian (12) displays a \chaotic" behavior, nam ely, in the context of the in itial-value problem, a \sensitive dependence" on the initial data. W e shall return to this question below.

So far we have discussed the relation am ono the analytic structure of a solution ( ) and the corresponding solution $\underline{z}(t)$ of Let usnow retum to the sim pler cases considered at the very beginning of this analysis and let us consider how the transition from one of the two regim es described there to the other occurs in the context of the in itial-value problem for , and corresoondingly for see (2.6). Hence let us assum e again that the in itial data for and correspondingly for (see (2.6)), entail that no branch point of the corresponding solution _ ( ) o occurs inside the circular contour $C$ on the $m$ ain sheet of the associated $R$ iem ann surface, so that the corresponding solution $\underline{z}(t)$ of satis es both and . Let us im agine then to m odify w ith continuity the initialdata, for instance by letting them depend on an appropriate scaling param eter (a particular w ay to do so w illbe introduced in the follow ing Section 5, as a convenient technique to present num erical results). A s a consequence the branch points of the solution ( ) o m ove, and the R iem ann surface associated to this solution _ ( ) o gets accordingly modi ed. W e are interested in a m ovem ent of the branch points which takes the closest one of them on the $m$ ain sheet of the $R$ iem ann surface from outside to inside the circle $C$. In the process that branch point $w$ ill cross the circle $C$, and the particular set of initial data that correspond to this happening is then just a set of in itialdata that entails the occurrence of a collision in the tim eevolution of the m any-body problem occurring at a real tim e $t=t_{c}$ de ned by as discussed above. A fter the branch point has crossed the contour $C$ and has thereby entered inside the circular disk $C$, the corresponding solution $\underline{z}(t)$ of is again collision-free but its periodicity properties are changed. O nem ight expect that the new solution continue then to satisfy but cease to satisfy . This is indeed a possibility, but it is not the only one. Indeed, since the tim e evolution of the solution $\underline{z}(t)$ of obtains via (2.4) by follow ing the tim e evolution of the corresponding solution _() oi as the point
(t) goes round the circlec on the $R$ iem ann surface associated $w$ ith that solution, the occurrence of a branch point inside the circle $C$ on the $m$ ain sheet of that $R$ iem ann surface entails that the access is now open to a second sheet, and then possibly to other sheets if, on that second sheet, there also are branch points inside the circle Cr . If th is latter possibility does not occur, nam ely if on that second sheet there are no branch points inside the circle $C$, then indeed there occurs for the corresponding solution $z(t)$ of atransition from a periodicity property characterized by the validity ofboth and , to one characterized by the validity of but not of . If instead there is a least one branch point in the second sheet inside the circle. then the periodicity | if any | featured after the transition by the solution $\underline{z}(t)$ of depends, as discussed above, on the num ber B of sheets that are sequentially accessed before retuming $\mid$ if ever $\mid$ to the $m$ ain sheet.

To sim plify our presentation we have discussion above the transition process by taking as point of departure for the analysis the basic periodic solution $\mid$ that characterized by the validity of both and the existence of which has been dem onstrated in [1] | and by discussing how a continuous $m$ odi cation of the in itial data $m$ ay cause a transition to a di erent regim e ofperiodicity, w ith the transition occurring in correspondence to the special set of initial data that yields a solution characterized by a particle collision, nam ely a set of initialdata for which the N ew tonian equations ofm otion becom e singular at a nite real time $t_{c}$ (de ned $m o d(T=2)$ ). But it is clear that exactly the sam e $m$ echanism accounts for every transition that occurs from a solution $\underline{z}(t)$ of characterized by a type of periodicity to a solution $\underline{z}(t)$ of characterized by a di erent periodicity regim e| or by a lack of periodicity.

The nalpoint to be discussed is the question we postponed above, nam ely the character of the nonperiodic solutions $\underline{z}(t)$ of
(if any), which we now understand to be characterized, in the context of the $m$ echanism described above, by access to an endless sequence of di erent sheets | all of them generated by branch points of square-root type | of the R iem ann surface associated w ith the corresponding solution ( ) o The follow ing tw o possibilities can be im agined in this connection $\mid$ whidh of course does not entail they are indeed both realized.

The rst possibility | which we surm ise to be the most likely one to be actually realized | is that an in nity of such relevant branch points occur quite closely to the circular contour $C$, hence that there be som e of them that occur arbitrarily close to $C^{r}$. This then entails that the corresponding nonperiodic solutions $\underline{z}(t)$ of m an ifest a sensitive dependence on their initial data (which we consider to be the signature of a chaotic behavior). Indeed a m odi cation, how ever sm all, of such initial data entails a m odi cation of the pattem of such branch points, which shall cause som e of them to cross over from, one to the other side of the circular contour $C^{C}$. But then the two solutions $\underline{z}$ ( $t$ ) of corresponding to these two assignm ents of initial data | before and after the m odi cation, how ever close these data are to each other $\mid \mathrm{w}$ ill eventually evolve quite di erently, since their tim e evolutions are determ ined by access to tw o di erent sequences of sheets of the $R$ iem ann surfaces associated with the two corresponding solutions _ ( ) of | two Riem ann surfaces which them selves need not be very di erent (to the extent one can $m$ ake such statem ents $w$ hen com paring tw o ob jects having as com plicated a structure as a $R$ iem ann surface $w$ ith an in nite num ber of sheets produced by an in nite num ber ofbranch points of square-root type). So this is the m echanism whereby a chaotic
behavior $m$ ay develop for the system $\quad \mid$ but of course not in the integrable case w ith equal coupling constants (in that case, as m entioned above, the num ber of branch points of the solutions _ ( ) o is alw ays nite, since the $N$ coordinates $n()$ are in this case the $N$ zeros of a polynom ial of degree $N$ the coe cients of which are polynom ials in the variable [2]). N ote that the em ergence ofsuch a chaotic behavior w ould not be associated w ith a local exponential divergence of trajectories in phase space | it would be rather analogous to the $m$ echanism that causes a chaotic behavior in the case of, say, a triangular billiard w ith angles which are irrational fractions of | a chaotic behavior also not due to a local separation of trajectories in phase space, but rather to the eventual em ergence of a di erent pattem of re ections (indeed of any two such billiard trajectories, how ever close their initialdata, one shalleventually $m$ iss a re ection near a comer which the other one does take, and from that $m$ om ent their tim e evolutions becom e quite di erent).

A di erent possibility, which we consider unlikely but we cannot a priori exclude at this stage of our analysis, is that nonperiodic solutions $\underline{z}(t)$ of exist.w. hidh are associated w ith a R iem ann surface of the corresponding solutions _( ) o that, even though it possesses an in nite num ber of relevant branch points inside the circular contour $C$, it features all of them | or at least m ost of them, except possibly for a nite number of them | located in a region well inside $C$, nam ely separated from it by an annulus of nite thickness. C learly in such a case two nonperiodic solutions $\underline{z}(t)$ of which em erge from su ciently close initial data separate slow ly and gradually throughout their tim e evolution, hence they do not display a sensitive dependence on their in itial data hence, in such a case there would be solutions which are nonperiodic (nor, of course, m ultiply periodic) but which nevertheless do not display a chaotic behavior $\mid$ or, to be $m$ ore precise, there w ould be sets of in itialdata, having nonvanishing $m$ easure in the phase space of in itial data, which yield such nonperiodic (yet nonchaotic) solutions.

A s indicated by its title, this paper is mainly focussed on the periodic solutions. In the follow ing Section 5 the analysis of their phenom enology given in this section is com plem ented by the display of num erical sim ulations. W e shall also exhibit solutions which appear nonperiodic and perhaps chaotic, although such characteristics can of course never be dem onstrated w ith com plete cogency via num erical exam ples.

## 5 P eriodicity of the solutions of the system num erical sim u lations

In this section we display severalnum ericalsolutions of the $N$ ew ton ian equations ofm otion w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=2 \tag{5.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence (see

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=1: \tag{5.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

These results con m graphically the ndings discussed in the preceding Section 4. T he strategy of our presentation is to exhibit a sequence of num erically-com puted solutions of w ith corresponding to di erent choiges of initial data, for various m odels
characterized by an assignm ent of the number $N$ of particles and of the values of the coupling constants $g_{n m}$ (that are alw ays assum ed to satisfy the sym $m$ etry property $g_{n m}=g_{m n}$ ). For obvious reasons of sim plicity, see below, we restrict consideration to $\mathrm{N}=3$ and $\mathrm{N}=4$. For each m odelwe consider sequences ofm otions characterized by sets of initial data linked to each other by the form ulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}(0)={ }^{1} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)} ; \quad \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}}(0)={ }^{1} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)} ;  \tag{52a}\\
& \underline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}(0)=\underline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)} 2 \tag{52b}
\end{align*} \quad\left(\quad{ }^{1}\right) \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)} ; \quad \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}(0)=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)}+2\left(\quad{ }^{1}\right) \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)} ; ~ l
$$

of course w ith $z_{n} \quad x_{n}+i y_{n}$. Here is a positive rescaling param eter the di erent values of which identify di erent sets of initial data (while the data $x_{n}^{(0)}, y_{n}^{(0)}, \underline{x}_{n}^{(0)}, y_{n}^{(0)}$ are kept xed). The $m$ otivation for such a choige is that, as it can be easily veri ed by rescaling appropriately the dependent and independent variables (see (2.3) and (2.6) w ith these sets of initialdata (5.2) identify di erent solutions $\underline{z}$ ( $($ ) of the system ofODES with that correspond to di erent solutions _( ) of the system of ODE: related to each other by the follow ing change of in itial dāta,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underbrace{(0)}={ }^{1}{ }^{(0)} ; \quad-^{0}(0)=-^{0(0)}: \tag{5.2c}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, as can be easily veri ed, entails these solutions _ ( ) are related to each other m erely via a rescaling of dependent and independent variables by a constant factor. H ence all these solutions are associated to the sam e R iem ann surface except for a shrinking of the complex plane by a common factor \| which, as can be readily veri ed, is just ${ }^{2}$. Therefore, in the context of the discussion of the preceding Section 4, to analyze the $m$ otions yielded by the initial data (5.2) one can just im agine to multiply the diam eter of the circle $C$ by the factor ${ }^{2}$ w ithout m odifying the $R$ iem ann surface $\mid$ so that larger values of entail that $m$ ore branch points fallw ith in $C$.

So for sm all enough values of | nam ely for initial conditions characterized by large particle coordinates hence by large intenparticle separation and by large in itial velocities alm ost lorthogonal" to the initial positions (see (52a,b)) | the circle C shrinks to a sm allenough radius so that it contains no branch points, hence the corresponding motion (solution of w ith ( $52 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ ) is com pletely periodic w th neriod $\mathrm{T}=1$, see and (5.1b), and m oreover it features the sym m etry property . A s gets increased one goes through the scenarios described in Section $4 \mid$ since, as we concluded above, such an increase can be interpreted as am ounting $m$ erely to an increase of the radius of the circle $C^{\sigma}$, causing thereby $m$ ore and $m$ ore branch points to be enclosed by it. For very large this process can cause all branch points to be enclosed insidec. a situation which is of course equivalent, as regards the periodicity of the solutions of as functions of the real tim e variable $t$, to all of them being outside | hence the expectation, that forvery large the motion be again com pletely periodic w ith period $\mathrm{T}=1$ and also sym m etrical, see (but this need not necessarily happen, since the possibility that the solution _( ) possesses branch points at in nity cannot be excluded). This expectation is indeed con m ed by som e of the exam ples reported below, while in other cases for very large the num erical sim ulations becom e too di cult to be perform ed reliably, and the corresp onding tra jectories becom e unsuitable for transparent display | note that for very large the particles are in itially all very close to the origin (hence to each other) and w ith large in itial velocities, see ( $52 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ).

But in this paper we do not elaborate on the num erical aspects, except to reassure the skeptic reader that we m ade sure in each case of the reliability of the results presented below; we refer for $m$ ore details to [6]. Let us how ever note that, while one $m$ ight think that a usefiulchedk of the accuracy of the com putation is provided by a veri cation that the num erically evaluated coordinates $z_{n}(t)$ indeed im ply that the collective coordinates $z(t)$ respectively $z^{(2)}(t)$, see respectivelv evolve periodically $w$ ith periods $T=1$ respectively $T=2=1=2$ according to respectively , these tests are in fact not at all cogent: indeed, even poorly evaluated coordinates $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})$ tend to yield collective coordinates $z(t)$ and $z^{(2)}(t)$ that evolve properly. This happens because the equations of $m$ otion that determ ine the evolution of the collective coordinates $z(t)$ and $z^{(2)}(t)$ are in fact so sim ple (see (2.7) and (2.8)), that num ericalerrorsm ade in the integration of tend to cancel out when $z(t)$ and $z^{(2)}(t)$ are evaluated.

Let us also note that, in all the exam ples considered below, we assigned for sim plicity real integer values to the coupling constants; but we did check in every case that the qualitative character of the $m$ otions does not change if these coupling constants are replaced by (neighboring) values which are neither entire nor real.

The rst exam ple we consider is characterized by the follow ing param eters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=3 ; \quad g_{12}=g_{21}=1 ; \quad g_{23}=g_{32}=10 ; \quad g_{31}=g_{13}=2 ; \tag{5.3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by the follow ing values of the param eters $x_{n}^{(0)}, y_{n}^{(0)}, \underline{x}_{n}^{(0)}, \underline{y n}_{n}^{(0)}$ characterizing the initial data via (52):

$$
\begin{array}{lllc}
\mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=1 ; & \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; & \mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; & \underline{y}_{1}^{(0)}=1 ; \\
\mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; & \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=1 ; & \mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=2 ; & \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; \\
\mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=0: 5 ; & \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=0: 5 ; & \mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=1 ; & \underline{y}_{1}^{(0)}=0: \tag{5.3.b}
\end{array}
$$

The follow ing Table 5.1 provides an overview of the m ain features (periodicitv, sym $m$ etry) of the $m$ otions that em erge from initial data determ ined by (52) with as well as an indication of the gures (if any) where the corresponding trajectories are displayed. H ere and alw ays below the trajectories of particle 1, 2 respectively 3 are shown in red, green, respectively blue (and below, those of particle 4 in yellow). W henever we felt such an additional indication $m$ ight be usefully displayed we indicated $w$ th a black diam ond the initial position of each particle (at $t=0$ ), w th a black dot the position at a subsequent tim e $t=t_{1}$ (generally chosen to coincide $w$ ith som e fraction of the period, $t_{1}=T=p, w$ th $p$ a conveniently chosen positive integer), and $w$ th sm aller black dots the position at every subsequent integer multiple of $t_{1}$ (nam ely at $t=t_{k}=k t_{1}, k=2 ; 3 ;:::$; ; in this $m$ anner the direction of the $m$ otion along the trajectories can be inferred (from the relative positions along the tra jectories of the diam ond and the larger dot), as w ell as som e indication of the positions of the particles over tim e, as they move by counting the dots along the tra jectory). O f course a much m ore satisfactory visualization of the $m$ otions is provided by sim ulations in which the particle $m$ otions are displayed as they unfold over tim e (as in a m ovie); it is planned to make available soon, via the web, the num erical code suitable to perform such sim ulations on personal com puters [6]. Let us em phasize that such sim ulations are particularly stunning to $w$ atch in the case of high-period tra jectories,
which are very com plicated (see below), so that the fact that the particles retum eventually exactly on their tracks appears quite $m$ iraculous and is indeed a rem arkable proof of the reliability of the num erical com putation.

Table 5.1

|  | 0.5 | 0.712 | 0.81 | 0.85 | 0.9 | 0.97 | 0.974 | 0.975 | 0.98 | 0.99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | H SL | 15 | 15 | 13 | 11 |
| Sym m etry | Yes | Yes | N $\circ$ | N $\circ$ | No |  |  | N $\circ$ | N $\circ$ | N $\circ$ |
| N $\circ$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fig. 5.1 | a | b | c |  | d |  |  | e |  |  |


|  | 1 | 1.01 | 1.02 | 1.03 | 1.04 | 1.05 | 1.1 | 12 | 2 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | 9 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Sym m etry | $\mathrm{N} \circ$ | $\mathrm{N} \circ$ | $\mathrm{N} \circ$ | $\mathrm{N} \circ$ | $\mathrm{N} \circ$ | $\mathrm{N} \circ$ | $\mathrm{N} \circ$ | $\mathrm{N} \circ$ | $\mathrm{N} \circ$ | Yes |
| Fig. 5.1 | g |  |  | h | i |  | j | l | k |  |

N ow some comments on these results (see Table 5.1 and the set of F igs. 5.1). For $0: 712$ there clearly are no singu larities inside $C^{\sim}$, hence the $m$ otion is periodic $w$ th the basic period $T=1$, and $m$ oreover antiperiodic $w$ ith period $T=1=2$, so that alltra jectories are sym $m$ etrical (see for instance $F$ igs. 5.1a,b). A transition occurs at som evalue of larger than $0: 712$ but $s m$ aller than $0: 81$, and it causes a branch point to enter inside $C$, opening the w ay to a second sheet (ofthe R iem ann surface associated w th the solution ( ) of (2.5) w ith (5.3)) and thereby causing the trajectories of the solution $\underline{z}$ (t) of (2.1) to loose their sym $m$ etry, while still preserving period $T=1$. This transition is due to a collision am ong particles 1 (red) and 3 (blue), occurring at a tim e of the order of, or m aybe a bit less than, $(3=10) T=3=10$ (see $F$ igs. 5.1 b and 5.1 c , where clearly $t_{1}=T=10=1=10$ ). A nother, $m$ ore dram atic, transition occurs for a value of between 0:9 and 0:97, and it causes a m ajor increase in the com plication of the $m$ otion, perhaps a transition to chaos. This transition is of course due to the entrance inside $C$ of a branch point that opens the way $\mid$ as the complex variable travels around and around on the circlec $C_{\text {| }}$ to a very large number of sheets of the $R$ iem ann surface associated w ith the solution _( ) of (2.5) w ith (5.3), possibly to an in nity of them, resulting in motions that look chaotic: this we indicate in Table 5.1 w th the acronym H SL, which stands for $\backslash \mathrm{H}$ ic Sunt Leones" $\mid$ see the colum $n$ w ith $=0: 97$. This transition is due again to a collision betw een particles 1 (red) and 3 (blue), at a tim e of the order, or m aybe a little less, than $(8=10) \mathrm{T}=8=10$ (see Fig.5.1d, $w$ here clearly again $t_{1}=T=10=1=10$ ). Further increases of cause instead a decrease of the com plication of the tra jectories, characterized rst of all by a retum to periodic (if still very com plicated, due to the large periods) $m$ otions, and subsequently by a progressive decrease of the period (see the relevant graphs, from Fig .5 .1 e to F ig. 5.1m ); th is is of course interpreted as due to the fact that the access to additional sheets of the $R$ iem ann surface associated w ith the solution _ ( ) of (2.5) w ith (5.3) gets shut o because also the second branch point corresponding to the cut that opened the way to those sheets gets enclosed inside the circle $C$. Thus the tra jectories show $n$ in $F$ ig. 5.1 e (corresponding to $=0: 974$; see Table 5.1) are interpreted, on the basis of the discussion of the preceding Section 4, as corresp onding to access to 30 sheets altogether of the $R$ iem ann surface associated w ith the solution ( ) of (2.5) with (5.3); likew ise those show $n$ in $F i g .5 .1 \mathrm{f}$ (corresponding to
$=0: 99$; see Table 5.1) are interpreted as corresponding to access to 22 sheets of the $R$ iem ann surface associated with the solution ( ) of (2.5) with (5.3); those shown in F ig. 5.1 k (corresponding to $=1.2$; see $\mathrm{Table}-5.1$ ) are interpreted as corresponding to
access to 2 sheets, and nally those shown in Fig . 5.1 m (corresponding to $=5$; see Table 5.1) are interpreted as corresponding to access to just 1 sheet, nam ely just the $m$ ain one.

T he second exam ple we consider is characterized by the follow ing param eters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=3 ; \quad g_{12}=g_{21}=10 ; \quad g_{23}=g_{32}=3 ; \quad g_{31}=g_{13}=10 ; \tag{5.4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by the follow ing values of the param eters $x_{n}^{(0)}, y_{n}^{(0)}, \underline{x}_{n}^{(0)}, y_{n}^{(0)}$ characterizing the in itial data via (52):

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=1 ; & \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; & \mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; & \underline{y}_{4}^{(0)}=2 ; \\
\mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; & \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=1 ; & \mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=4 ; & \underline{y}_{4}^{(0)}=0 ; \\
\mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=0: 5 ; & \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=0: 5 ; & \mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}= & 0: 5 ; \tag{5.4b}
\end{array} \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=1: ~ l
$$

Table 52

|  | 0.5 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | 1 | 1 | H SL | H SL | 14 | 14 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Sym etry | Yes | N o |  |  |  | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fig. 52 | a | b |  |  | c | d |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4.05 | 4.15 | 42 | 4.5 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 20 | 30 | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | 17 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Sym m etry | Yes | N ○ | N ○ | N ○ | N ○ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Fig. 52 |  | f | g |  |  | h |  | i |  |  |

Since the qualitative picture is analogous to that displayed above, we lim it our presentation to the display of Table 52 and of the corresponding set of graphs (see Figs. 52), letting to the alert reader the fun to repeat the discussion as given above. W e m erely em phasize that, in contrast to the previous case, we see in this case also trajectories w ith an even period, as well as som e high-period tra jectories that are sym $m$ etrical (the alert reader will have no di culty in identifying the num ber of sheets of the $R$ iem ann surface associated w the solution ( ) of (2.5) w th (5.4), for each of the cases reported in Table 52). O ne is also led to suspect, from the data reported in the last colum ns of Table 52, that the R iem ann surface associated w ith the solution ( ) of (2.5) w ith (5.4) possesses (at least) 7 branch points at or near in nity (of course on di erent sheets, so that they do not cancel each other).

The third exam ple we consider is a 4-particle one, characterized by the follow ing param eters:

$$
\begin{align*}
& N=4 ; \quad g_{12}=g_{21}=3 ; \quad g_{13}=g_{31}=9 ; \quad g_{14}=g_{41}=12 ; \\
& g_{23}=g_{32}=\quad 9 ; \quad g_{24}=g_{42}=12 ; \quad g_{34}=g_{43}=3 ; \tag{5.5a}
\end{align*}
$$

and by the follow ing values of the param eters $x_{n}^{(0)}, y_{n}^{(0)}, x_{n}^{(0)}, y_{n}^{(0)}$ characterizing the initial data via (5 2) :

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
x_{1}^{(0)}=2: 4 ; & y_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; & x_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; & y_{1}^{(0)}=1 ; \\
x_{1}^{(0)}=2: 4 ; & y_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; & \underline{x}_{1}^{(0)}=2 ; & y_{1}^{(0)}=2 ; \\
x_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; & y_{1}^{(0)}=0: 5 ; & x_{1}^{(0)}=0: 5 ; & \underline{y}_{1}^{(0)}=2 ; \\
x_{1}^{(0)}=0: 5 ; & y_{1}^{(0)}=0: 5 ; & x_{1}^{(0)}=0: 5 ; & y_{1}^{(0)}=1: \tag{5.5b}
\end{array}
$$








A representative selection of our ndings is reported in Table 5.3, and som e of the corresponding tra jectories are displayed in the $F$ igures as indicated there.

Table 5.3

|  | 0.4 | 0.533 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9687 | 0.98 | 0.985 | 0.99 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| Sym m etry | Yes | Yes | N ○ | N ○ | N $\circ$ | Yes | N ○ | Yes | Yes |
| Fig. 5.3 | a | b | c |  | d | e | f |  | g |


|  | 1.01 | 1.1 | 1.225 | 125 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | H SL | H SL | H SL | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Sym m etry |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fig. 5.3 |  |  | h |  |  | i | j | l | k |  |

For $\quad 0: 533$ we have no singularities inside $C$, hence the $m$ otion is periodic $w$ the the basic period $T=1$, and $m$ oreover antiperiodic $w$ ith period $T=1=2$, so that all tra jectories are sym m etrical (see Figs. 5.3a,b). A transition occurs at som e value of betw een 0:533 and $0: 8$, due to a collision am ong particles 3 (blue) and 4 (yellow), occurring at a tim e of the order of, or m aybe a bitt less than, $(3=10) \mathrm{T}=3=10$ (see Figs. 5.3 b and 5.3 c , where clearly $t_{1}=T=10=1=10$ ). A nother transition occurs for a value of greater than 0:9687 but less than $0: 98$, and it causes a branch point to enter inside $C$ at som $e$ value of betw een $0: 8$ and $0: 9687$, opening thereby the $w$ ay to $a$ third sheet of the $R$ iem ann surface associated w the solution _( ) of (2.5) w th (5.5). This second transition is due to a collision, in this case am ong particles 2 (green) and 4 (yellow), occurring at a time of the order, or $m$ aybe a little less, than $(8=10) \mathrm{T}=8=10$ (see Fig. 5.1d, where clearly again $t_{1}=T=10=1=10$ ). Then two m ore transitions occur (see Fig. 5.3e, $f, g$ ) one for betw een 0:98 and 0:985, the other for betw een 0:985 and 0:99 | each opening the $w$ ay to one $m$ ore sheet of the $R$ iem ann surface associated $w$ ith the solution ( ) of (2.5) $w$ ith (5.5) (recall the discussion of Section 4: overall access to $P$ sheets including the $m$ ain one, $P=1+B$ in the notation of Section 4, yields a com pletely periodic sym $m$ etrical $m$ otion $w$ ith period $P$ if $P$ is odd \| w ith every sheet being visited tw ice in each period; it yields a com pletely periodic unsym metrical $m$ otion $w$ ith period $P=2$ if $P$ is even | with every shet being visited once in each period: hence for the trajectories show in Figs. 5.3a,b $P=1$, for those shown in $F$ igs. 5.3c,d $P=2$, for those shown in $F$ ig. $5.3 e$ $P=3$, for those show $n$ in $F i g .5 .3 f P=4$, for those shown in $F$ ig. $5.3 g P=5$ ). A m ore dram atic transition occurs for a value of between 1 and 1:01, and it causes a m ajor increase in the com plication of the $m$ otion, perhaps a transition to chaos (see Fig. 5.3h). Like in the rst exam ple, and of course due to the sam emechanism as discussed above, further increases of ( $>1: 225$ ) cause instead a decrease of the complication of the trajectories, characterized rst of all by a retum to periodic m otions, and subsequently by a progressive decrease of the period (see Figs. 5.3i\{m ).

The fourth and last exam ple we report is characterized by the follow ing param eters:

$$
\begin{align*}
& N=4 ; \quad g_{12}=g_{21}=5 ; \quad g_{13}=g_{31}=10 ; \quad g_{14}=g_{41}=20 ; \\
& g_{23}=g_{32}=20 ; \quad g_{24}=g_{42}=10 ; \quad g_{34}=g_{43}=5 ; \tag{5.6a}
\end{align*}
$$

and by the follow ing values of the param eters $x_{n}^{(0)}, y_{n}^{(0)}, \underline{x}_{n}^{(0)}, y_{n}^{(0)}$ characterizing the initial
data via (52):

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=1 ; & \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=2 ; & \mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; & \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=1 ; \\
\mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=2 ; & \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=1 ; & \underline{x}_{1}^{(0)}=1 ; & \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=1 ; \\
\mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=2 ; & \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; & \underline{x}_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; & \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=1 ; \\
\mathrm{x}_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; & \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=2 ; & \underline{x}_{1}^{(0)}=1 ; & \mathrm{y}_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; \tag{5.6.b}
\end{array}
$$

A representative selection of our ndings is reported in Table 5.4, and som e of the corresponding trajectories are displayed in the Figs. 5.4 as indicated there.

Table 5.4

|  | 0.5 | 0.736 | 0.8 | 0.894 | 0.9 | 0.904 | 0.905 | 0.91 | 0.95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P eriod | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | H SL | H SL | H SL |
| Sym m etry | Yes | Yes | No | N $\circ$ | N $\circ$ | $\mathrm{N} \circ$ |  |  |  |
| Fig. 5.4 | a | b | c | d | e | l |  |  |  |


|  | 1 | 1.1 | 1.125 | 1.13 | 1.15 | 12 | 1.5 | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P eriod | H SL | H SL | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Sym m etry |  |  |  | N $\circ$ | N $\circ$ | $\mathrm{N} \circ$ | $\mathrm{N} \circ$ | $\mathrm{N} \circ$ | $\mathrm{N} \circ$ | $\mathrm{N} \circ$ |
| $\mathrm{N} \circ$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fig. 5.4 | f |  |  |  |  | g | l | h |  |  |

Since the qualitative picture is analogous to those displayed above, we lim it our presentation to the display of $T$ able 5.4 and of the corresponding set of F igs. 5.4 , letting to the alert reader the fun to repeat the discussion as given above.

## 6 O utlook

The results proven in this paper provide an additional explicit instance of a phenom enon whose rather general scope has been already advertized via a num ber of other exam ples, treated elsew here in $m$ ore or less com plete detail [1 \{4].

A $n$ analogous treatm ent to that given in this paperw illbe published soon [5] in the context of the \generalized gold sh model" [8, 2], which is som ew hat richer inasm uch as it features branch points the nature ofw hich depends on the values of the coupling constants (in contrast to the case treated herein, w here all relevant branch points are of square-root type), and has m oreover the advantage that its treatm ent in the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}$ context is directly interpretable as a genuine (i.e., rotation-invariant) realm any-body problem in the plane (as discussed at the end of the introductory Section 1, this is not the case for the $m$ odel considered herein although there does exist a modied version of it in which this \defect" is elim inated [9]). On the other hand the class of many-body problem $s$ w ith inverse-cube intenparticle potentials, as considered herein, have been (especially, of course, in the integrable version $w$ ith equalcoupling constants) $m$ uch studied over the last quarter century, while the \gold sh" m odel has not yet quite acquired a com parable \classical" status.

O fcourse a m ore detailed, if perhaps less \physical", understanding of the dynam ics of the $m$ odel studied herein $m$ ight be gained by investigating num erically the solutions _ ( ) of the equations of $m$ otion (2.5) as functions of the com plex variable (rather than the
solutions $\underline{Z}$ ( $t$ ) as fiunctions of the real variable $t$ ), and in particular by $m$ apping out the detailed shape of the m ulti-sheeted R iem ann surfaces associated with these solutions; and analogous considerations apply to all the models [1\{5] in which the \trick", see Section 2, plays a key role. $T$ his rem ains as a task for the future.
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## A ppendix A: the ansatz (3.2)

In this appendix we show that the ansatz (32) is consistent w ith the ODEs, and that the requirem ent that (3 2) satisfy allow s to determ ine uniquely the coe cient and ${ }_{1}^{(n)}$ featured by this ansatz. while the rem aining in principle as well all the coe cients and the $2(\mathbb{N} \quad 2)$ coe cients, nam ely the 4 constants $b, v, a$ and $b$ in constants $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}$ in rem ain arbitrary (except for the obvious requirem ents $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathrm{b}$, $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}}$, which are hereafter assum ed to hold).

Indeed the insertion of (32) in $\quad w$ ith $n=1$ respectively $n=2$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4}(\quad \text { b) }{ }^{3=2}+\frac{3}{4} a(\quad \text { b })^{1=2}+\underbrace{X^{1}}_{l=4} \frac{l(1 \quad 2)}{4} 1_{1}^{(1)}(\quad \text { b })^{(l \quad 4)=2} \\
& \text { (2) } \begin{array}{llll}
X^{3} & \text { (1) } & \text { (2) } \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \left.=g_{12}(2)^{3}(\quad \text { b })^{3=2} 1+\frac{a}{}(\quad b)+{ }^{X^{1}} \frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \quad b^{(11}\right)^{(1)=2} \\
& X^{N} \quad g_{1 m}\left(b \quad b_{m}\right)^{3} 1+\left(b \quad b_{m}\right)^{1} \quad(\quad b)^{1=2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(\mathrm{v} & V_{m}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\quad b
\end{array}\right) \\
& m=1 ; m 6 n
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \#) } 3 \\
& 1=4
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4}\left(\quad \text { b) }{ }^{3=2} \frac{3}{4} a(\quad \text { b })^{1=2}+x^{X^{1}} \frac{l(1 \quad 2)}{4}{ }_{1}^{(2)}(\quad \text { b })^{(14)=2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ( " }=4 \\
& +X^{N^{N}} \quad g_{2 m}\left(b \quad b_{m}\right)^{3} 1+\left(b \quad b_{m}\right)^{1} \quad(\quad b)^{1=2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(b m
\end{array}\right)(\quad b)
\end{array}\right. \\
& m=1 ; m \notin
\end{aligned}
$$

W e now equate in each of these two equations the cone cents of the term ( b) ${ }^{3=2}$ and we get the (sam e) relation that determ ines the doe cient,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left(g_{12}=2\right)^{1=4}: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A nd we also see that, with this assignm ent of , in both equations, the term $s$ that $m$ ultiply $\left(\quad\right.$ b) ${ }^{1=2}$ also $m$ atch exactly.

It is also easily seen that the rem aininoterm scan hem ached recursively (by expanding the right-hand sides of these equations, below in powers of $\left.(\quad b)^{1=2}\right)$, and that in this $m$ anner the cone cients uniquely deter ned, for instance

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{4}^{(j)}=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}} \quad\left[\left(13 g_{j m} \quad 3 g_{j+1 ; m}\right)=20\right]\left(\mathrm{b} \quad \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)^{3} ; \quad j=1 ; 2 \bmod (2): \tag{A.3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

To com plete the analysis one ust also check the rem ain ing equations $\quad$ with $n>2$. Insertion of the ansatz (32) in these yields

$$
x_{l=4}^{X^{1}} \frac{l(1 \quad 2)}{4}{ }_{1}^{(n)}\left(\quad b^{)^{(14)=2}}\right.
$$

\#) 3
$+a(\quad b)^{3=2}+{ }_{1}^{X^{1}} \quad{ }_{1}^{(n)} \quad{ }_{l}^{(2)}$
b) ${ }^{l=2}$
"
$X^{N}$
$+\quad g_{n m}\left(b_{n} \quad b_{m}\right)^{3} 1+\left(\begin{array}{lll}b_{n} & b_{m}\end{array}\right)^{1}\left(\begin{array}{lll}v_{n} & v_{m}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}\quad\end{array}\right)$
$\mathrm{m}=1 ; \mathrm{m}$ © n

(A.1c)

T he consistency of these equations is plain, as w ell as the possibility they entail to com puke in principle $\mid$ in conjunction with $\quad \mid$ the cone cents ${ }_{1}^{(n)}$. For instance one in mediately obtains

$$
\begin{gather*}
{ }_{4}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{2} 4\left(g_{n 1}+g_{n 2}\right)\left(b_{n} \quad \text { b) }\right)^{3}+X_{m=3 ; m \in n}^{A N} g_{n m}\left(b_{n} \quad b_{n}\right)^{35 ;} ; \\
n=3 ; 4 ;::: ; N:
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { b) }{ }^{1} \text { ( }
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ he treatm ent of the $m$ ultiple-collision case, see via the corresponding ansatz, (3.3), is closely analogous, but in this case the matching of the coe cients of the term $s$ proportional to ( b) ${ }^{3=2}$ respectively to ( b) ${ }^{1=2}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{M} \\
& { }_{n}=4^{X} \quad g_{n m}\binom{n}{m}^{3} ; \quad n=1 ; 2 ;:: ; \text { M ; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{M} \\
& a_{n}=4 \quad g_{n m}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & m
\end{array}\right)^{4}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{n} & a_{m}
\end{array}\right) ; \quad n=1 ; 2 ;:: ; \text { M : } \\
& \text { (A.4b) }
\end{aligned}
$$

So in this case the coe cients $n$ and $a_{n}$ get xed by these algebraic equations (up, for the coe cients $a_{n}$, to a com $m$ on factor). But the basic conclusion about the square-root nature of the branch points is con m ed, as indeed entailed by the ansatz (3.3).

A nd it can be easily veri ed that analogous conclusions also obtain in the case ofm ore generalm ultiple collisions in which the coordinates collide in groups, for instance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1(b)=2(b) ; \quad 3(b)=4(b)=5(b) ; \quad n(b){ }_{1}(b) \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

the appropriate ansatz being in this case of type for the colliding coordinates $n()$, $n=1 ; 2 ;::: ; 5$ ( $w$ ith the sam e values of the constants $b$ and $v$ for each subgroup of colliding coordinates, say, in selfevident notation, $b_{1}=b_{2}, b_{3}=b_{4}=b_{5}, v_{1}=v_{2}, v_{3}=v_{4}=v_{5}$ ), of type for the non colliding coordinates $n(), n=6 ; 7 ;::: ; N$.

## A ppendix B: the three-body case

In this appendix we discuss the solution of the evolution equations in the three-body case, $\mathrm{N}=3$. A s we show below the solution of this three-body problem can be reduced to quadratures. T his fact was discovered by C Jacobialm ost tw o centuries ago (but this nding was only published after his death [10]), was then rediscovered by C M archioro (w ho also did not publish this nding) and was nally neatly presented by D C K handekar and S V Law ande [11], who actually treated rather than (they were not aw are at the tim e of the \trick" (2.4) that relates to and they $m$ ainly focussed on the integrable case w ith equal coupling constants and on its connection $w$ ith the corresponding quantalproblem | for abitm ore on the history of this problem see Section 2 N ofR ef. [2]). So we now focus on the evolution equations
which clearly obtain from the H am iltonian

$$
H=\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} g_{12}(1 \quad 2)^{2}+g_{23}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 3
\end{array}\right)^{2}+g_{31}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & )^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

hence entail that the quantity

$$
\left.\mathrm{H}=\frac{1}{2}{\underset{1}{Q}+\frac{Q}{2}+{ }_{3}^{Q}+\frac{1}{2} g_{12}(1}_{1} 2^{2}\right)^{2}+g_{23}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 3
\end{array}\right)^{2}+g_{31}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 1
\end{array}\right)^{2} \quad(\mathrm{~B} 2 \mathrm{~b})
$$

is a constant of the $m$ otion. It is also plain that the initialposition, ( 0 ), and the (initial) velocity, $V$, of the center of $m$ ass

$$
\begin{equation*}
=(1+2+3)=3 ; \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are tw o additional constants of $m$ otion, since the evolution equations clearly entail that the center of $m$ ass $m$ oves uniform ly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\quad)=(0)+V \quad: \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A nd we m oreover now know (see the last part of Section 2) that the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (2) }={ }_{1}^{2}+{ }_{2}^{2}+{ }_{3}^{2}=3 \tag{B.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

evolves according to the sim ple equation (see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(^{(2)}\right)^{\infty}=(4=3) \mathrm{H} \text {; } \tag{B.5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $H$ de ned by
It is now convenient [11] to introduce the \Jacobi coordinates"

$$
=2^{1=2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \tag{B.6a}
\end{array}\right) ; \quad=6^{1=2}\left(1_{1}+2 \quad 23\right) ;
$$

and $m$ oreover to introduce the corresponding \circular coordinates" by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sin () ; \quad=\cos (): \tag{B.6b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that these de nitions entail

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=+2^{1=2}+6^{1=2}=\quad(2=3)^{1=2} \cos [+(2=3)] ;  \tag{B.7a}\\
& 2=2^{1=2}+6^{1=2}=\quad(2=3)^{1=2} \cos [(2=3)] ;  \tag{B.7b}\\
& 3=\quad(2=3)^{1=2}=\quad(2=3)^{1=2} \cos ; \tag{B.7c}
\end{align*}
$$

as well as

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=(3=2) V^{2}+(1=2)^{\mathscr{2}}+(1=2)^{2} \mathscr{L}^{\mathscr{L}}+(1=4){ }^{2} f() ;  \tag{B.8a}\\
& f()=g_{12}=\sin ^{2}()+g_{3}=\sin ^{2}[+(2=3)]+g_{1}=\sin ^{2}[\quad(2=3)] \tag{B.8b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (2) }=2^{2}+(1=3)^{2}: \tag{B.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the last form ula and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{\infty}=4 \mathrm{H} \quad 6 \mathrm{~V}^{2} \text {; } \tag{B.10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2()=A A^{2}+2 \mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A}(\quad+)(\quad)  \tag{B.10b}\\
& \mathrm{A}=2 \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~V}^{2} ;  \tag{B.10c}\\
& =\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{D} ;  \tag{B.10d}\\
& \mathrm{D}^{2}=\mathrm{B}^{2} \quad \mathrm{C}: \tag{B.10e}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere w e have introduced two otherconstants of integration, $B$ and $C$, while the constants $A$ and $D$ are de ned by and
W e now introduce this expression of ( ) in and easily obtain the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{Q}^{\mathscr{L}}=A^{2} D^{2}+(1=2) f()^{4} ; \tag{B.11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

that entails the quadrature

$$
d^{0} \quad A^{2} D^{2} \quad(1=2) f\left({ }^{0}\right)^{1=2}=d^{0} \quad\left({ }^{0}\right)^{2}:
$$

$T$ he integral in the right-hand side of this form ula, is easily done (see (B.10)), and one arrives thus at the nal form ula

$$
\begin{align*}
& F()=\operatorname{arccotan}[(+B)=D] ;  \tag{B.12a}\\
& F()=d^{0} 1+(1=2)(A D)^{2} f(0, \quad 1=2 \tag{B..12b}
\end{align*}
$$

where of course $f()$ is de ned by
In the integrable \equal-coupling-constants" case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathrm{nm}}=g ; \tag{B.13a}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is easily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f()=9 g[\sin (3)]^{2} ; \tag{B.13b}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence the integration in the right-hand side of is easily perform ed to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
F()=(1=3) f E \quad \arcsin [\cos (3)=] g ; \tag{B.13c}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $E$ is an integration constant and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1+(9=2) g(A D)^{21=2}: \tag{B.13d}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ence in this case one gets for ( ) the com pletely explicit expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
=(1=3) \arccos [\sin f E \quad 3 \operatorname{arccotan}[(+B)=D] g] ; \tag{B.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, together w ith of the coordinates $n(), n=$ and (B.4), provides via (B.7) com pletely explicit expressions tes $n()$, considered as finctions branch points: those occurring at $=$, see $\quad$ which clearly correspond to triple collisions, see and (B.7); and those occurring at $=\mathrm{b}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=B+D \operatorname{cotan} f(1=3) \mathbb{E} \quad \arcsin (1=)+(2=3) k] g ; \quad k=0 ; 1 ; 2 ; \tag{B.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which correspond instead to pair collisions. Indeed it is easily seen that, for b ,

$$
\begin{align*}
& =(2=3) k+[(\quad b)=D]^{1=2}+O(j \quad b) ; \quad k=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;  \tag{B.16a}\\
& =i(2=3)^{1=2} 1+(2=9)(A D)^{2}=g^{1=4} D \quad(\mathrm{~b}+\mathrm{B})^{2}+D^{2} \quad 1=2 \tag{B.16b}
\end{align*}
$$

and clearly entails, see (B.7), that a pair collisions occurs at $=\mathrm{b}$.
The analysis of the periodicity of the solutions of (2.1) in this case is plain; of counse the fact that the num ber of branch points is nite entails that in this intearable case all nonsingular solutions are com pletely periodic, either $w$ th period $T$, see . or $w$ ith $a$ period which is a (sm all) entire m ultiple of $T$, con m ing the results im plied by the general treatm ent [2] of the integrable equal-coupling-constant $N$ toody problem (2.1)

In the general case w ith arbitrary coupling constants one can rew rite as follow s (by setting $u=4 \sin ^{2} 0 \quad 3$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z U() } \\
& F()=(1=2) \quad \text { duu( } 1 \quad u)^{1=2} \\
& { }^{h} u^{2}(u+3+G)+G+\hat{G}(3+u)^{3=2}(1 \\
& u)^{1=2^{i}} \quad \text {; } \\
& G=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\left(g_{12}\right. & g_{23} & g_{31}
\end{array}\right)(A D)^{2} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the equal-coupling-constants case, see things sim plify because

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\hat{G}=0 ; \tag{B.18a}
\end{equation*}
$$

see hence the integral in the right-hand side of $\quad$ can then be perform ed in
term s of elem entary functions, since via the change of variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{2}(u+3)=4 v ; \tag{B.18b}
\end{equation*}
$$

som ew hat $m$ iraculously (albeit not surprisingly) (B .17) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{)}=(1=3)^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~W}()\right. \\
& \mathrm{dv} \mathrm{f}(1 \quad \mathrm{v})(\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{v}) \mathrm{g}^{1=2} ;  \tag{B.18c}\\
& \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{r})=\left[\sin (3)^{\mathrm{P}} ;\right.
\end{align*}
$$

and of course one gets thereby again
If instead (only) the two coupling constants ond $g_{31}$ coincide, $g_{23}=g_{31}$, entailing $\hat{G}=0$, the integral in the right-hand side of $\quad$ is of elliptic type (of course the sam e outcom e, nam ely the elliptic character of the solutions [9], characterizes any case w ith tw o equal coupling constants, although this is not im m ediately evident from . B ut not $m$ uch en lightenm ent seem $s$ to obtain, even in this (som ew hat sim pler) case, from an attem pt to perform explicitly the integration in the right-hand side of

O ne m ust rather try and evince inform ation directly from the integral representation of $F$ ( ), see $W$ ith or (B.17), or from the follow ing representation which the diligent reader will have no di culty in deriving:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.Z_{\exp (2 i}\right) \\
& F()=(2 i)^{1} \quad d w w^{1} w^{3} \quad 1 \quad[(w)]^{1=2} \text {; }  \tag{B.19a}\\
& (w)=w^{3} 1^{2} 4(A D)^{2} W^{n} g_{12}\left[\begin{array}{l}
W
\end{array} \exp (2 \quad i=3)\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
W & \left.\exp \left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & i=3
\end{array}\right)\right]^{2} .
\end{array}\right. \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{w} & 1
\end{array}\right)^{2} g_{23}\left[\mathrm{w} \quad \exp \left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & i=3
\end{array}\right)\right]^{2}+g_{31}[\mathrm{w} \quad \exp (2 \quad i=3)]^{2} \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

It is now clear from these form ulas, together w ith (B.7), (B.10) and that just as in the integrable equal-coupling-constants case | also in the case w ith arbitrary coupling constants the solutions $n()$ feature tw $O$ kinds of square-ront branch noints: those associated w ith triple collisions, which occur at $=$, see , and those associated w th the vanishing of the derivative $\mathrm{F}^{0}() \quad \mathrm{dF}(\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{d}$ of F ( ), nam ely those associated w th the 3 yalues $=0,=2=3,=2=3$ (see (B.19); of course is de ned $\bmod (2)$, see , which of course correspond, as expected, to pair collisions, see (B.7). It is how ever not possible now | in contrast to the integrable case, see to obtain an explicit expression for the values $b$ of at which these branch points occur: but we infer from (B.17) and that generally there is an in nite number of such branch points in the com plex plane (again, in contrast to the integrable case, when there only are three, see . It is on the other hand easy to obtain from these form ulas an explicit expression for the behavior of ( ) for b, for instance for the branch point w th $(\mathrm{b})=0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& ()=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(\quad b)=A]^{1=2}+O(j & b) ; \\
=i\left(b_{12}=2\right)^{1=4} D^{2}+(b+B) \quad
\end{array} ;\right. \text { i=2 } \tag{B20a}
\end{align*}
$$
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