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Abstract<br>We derive three-dimensional integrable mappings which have two invariants.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we focus on three-dimensional integrable autonomous mappings preserving at least one three-quadratic (possibly rational) integral (we considered the four-dimensional case (together with its generalization to higher dimensions) in [6]). A major reason for such a study is the lack off results on three-dimensional integrable mappings. A recent paper, which makes some progress on three-dimensional integrable mappings, is [5. In this paper Hirota et al used algebraic entropy [4] to determine which three-dimensional mappings of a particular form had polynomial growth implying zero algebraic entropy. Having discovered all such possible mappings, they used a procedure outlined in their paper to find two functionally independent conserved quantities for each map. In this paper we will take a different approach to the one used by Hirota et al to construct three-dimensional integrable mappings. For the purposes of this paper, we consider a three-dimensional autonomous mapping integrable if there exist two functionally independent integrals in involution with respect to some Poisson structure.

The plan of this paper is as follows : In Section 2 we derive three-dimensional volume-preserving mappings which preserve a three-quadratic expression (a method introduced in [2] on a rational fourquadratic expression), then assuming that these three-dimensional volume-preserving mappings have a second integral with a particular ansatz we find 3 three-dimensional volume-preserving integrable mappings. In Section 3 we use the processes of reparametrization and replacement [7, 8, 9] (terms introduced and defined in [9) to construct three-dimensional measure-preserving integrable mappings.

## 2 Three-Dimensional Volume-Preserving Mappings

In this section we construct three-dimensional volume-preserving mappings (orientation-reversing and -preserving $)^{1}$ possessing two integrals, at least one of the integrals being quadratic in the three variables.

We begin with the orientation-reversing case. Consider the three-quadratic expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x, y, z)=\sum A_{\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \gamma_{1}} x^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\beta_{1}} z^{\gamma_{1}}, \quad\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}=0,1,2\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \gamma_{1}}$ are independent parameters. Assume that (1) is invariant under a cyclic permutation of variables ${ }^{2}$, i.e. $I(x, y, z)=I(y, z, x)$, and that the mapping, $L$, preserving $I(x, y, z)$ is reversible, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \circ G \circ L=G \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]with reversing symmetry $G: x^{\prime}=y, y^{\prime}=x, z^{\prime}=x$. The reversing symmetry also implies that $I(x, y, z)=I(z, y, x)$. Under these conditions we obtain the integral
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
I(x, y, z)= & A_{1} x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}+A_{2} x y z(x y+x y+y z)+A_{3}\left(x^{2} y^{2}+x^{2} z^{2}+y^{2} z^{2}\right) \\
& +A_{4} x y z(x+y+z)+A_{5}\left(x^{2} y+x^{2} z+x y^{2}+x z^{2}+y^{2} z+y z^{2}\right) \\
& +A_{6} x y z+A_{7}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)+A_{8}(x y+x z+y z)+A_{9}(x+y+z) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

The mapping, $L$, which leaves the integral (3) invariant can be derived by setting $x^{\prime}=x$ and $y^{\prime}=y$ and differencing the integral (3), i.e. $I\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)=I\left(x, y, z^{\prime}\right)=I(x, y, z)$. Then assuming $z^{\prime} \neq z$, we can solve for $z^{\prime}$, to obtain the involution $L_{z}$. Finally, composing $L_{z}$ with the cyclic shift $L_{c}: x^{\prime}=$ $y, y^{\prime}=z, z^{\prime}=x$, i.e. $L=L_{z} \circ L_{c}$, we obtain the non-trivial volume-preserving orientation-reversing mapping, $L$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=y \\
& y^{\prime}=z \\
& z^{\prime}=-x-\frac{A_{2} y^{2} z^{2}+A_{4} y z(y+z)+A_{5}\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)+A_{6} y z+A_{8}(y+z)+A_{9}}{A_{1} y^{2} z^{2}+A_{2} y z(y+z)+A_{3}\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)+A_{4} y z+A_{5}(y+z)+A_{7}} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

For a slightly more general map see [3].
Next, assume that the mapping (4) has a second integral with the following ansatz ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x, y, z)=\sum A_{\alpha_{2} \beta_{2} \gamma_{2}} x^{\alpha_{2}} y^{\beta_{2}} z^{\gamma_{2}}, \quad\left(\alpha_{2}, \gamma_{2}=0,1,2, \quad \beta_{2}=0,1,2,3,4\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{\alpha_{2} \beta_{2} \gamma_{2}}$ are independent parameters. As the mapping (4) is reversible we also have $I_{2}(x, y, z)=$ $I_{2}(z, y, x)$. We have found the following mappings which simultaneously preserve integrals of the form (3) and (5):

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime} & =y \\
y^{\prime} & =z  \tag{6}\\
z^{\prime} & =-x-\frac{\beta(y+z)^{2}+\epsilon(y+z)+\xi}{\beta(y+z)+\gamma}
\end{align*}
$$

with integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}= & \beta\left(x^{2} y+x^{2} z+x y^{2}+x z^{2}+y^{2} z+y z^{2}+2 x y z\right)+\gamma\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \\
& +\epsilon(x y+x z+y z)+\xi(x+y+z)  \tag{7}\\
I_{2}= & \beta^{2}(x+y)^{2}(y+z)^{2}+\beta(\epsilon-\gamma)\left[(x+y)^{2}(y+z)+(x+y)(y+z)^{2}\right] \\
& +\gamma(\epsilon-2 \gamma)\left[(x+y)^{2}+(y+z)^{2}\right]+[\beta \xi+(\epsilon-\gamma)(\epsilon-2 \gamma)](x+y)(y+z) \\
& +\xi(\epsilon-2 \gamma)[(x+y)+(y+z)] \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=y \\
& y^{\prime}=z  \tag{9}\\
& z^{\prime}=-x-\frac{2 \beta y z+\epsilon(y+z)+\xi}{\alpha y z+\beta(y+z)+\gamma}
\end{align*}
$$

with integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}= & \alpha^{2} x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}+2 \alpha \beta x y z(x y+x z+y z)+\alpha \epsilon x y z(x+y+z) \\
& +\beta^{2}(x y+x z+y z)^{2}+\beta \epsilon\left(x^{2} y+x^{2} z+x y^{2}+x z^{2}+y^{2} z+y z^{2}+4 x y z\right) \\
& +(\alpha \xi-2 \beta \gamma) x y z+\gamma \epsilon\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-x y-x z-y z\right)-\gamma^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(\beta \xi+\epsilon^{2}\right)(x y+x z+y z)+\xi(\epsilon-\gamma)(x+y+z)  \tag{10}\\
I_{2}= & (\beta+\epsilon)\left[\alpha x y z(x-y+z)+\gamma\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-x y-y z+x z\right)+\xi(x+z)\right] \\
& +\left[\beta^{2}(x+z)+\beta \epsilon(x+z+1)+\epsilon^{2}\right]\left[x y+x z+y z-y^{2}\right] . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]We next consider the orientation-preserving case. Consider the three-quadratic expression (1) possessing the symmetry $I(x, y, z)=I(y, z,-x)^{4}$. Following the procedure outlined above we obtain the mapping

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime} & =y  \tag{12}\\
y^{\prime} & =z \\
z^{\prime} & =x+\frac{A(y-z)}{B y z+C}
\end{align*}
$$

with integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}= & B^{2} x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}-A B x y z(x-y+z)-A(A+C)(x y-x z+y z) \\
& -C(A+C)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)  \tag{13}\\
I_{2}= & B^{3} x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}-C^{3}(x y+x z+y z)+A B C\left(2 x z-2 x y^{2} z-y\right)+A^{2}(B-C) y^{2} \\
& -B C^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+x+y+z+x y z[x+y+z]\right) \\
& +2 A B^{2} x y^{2} z-B^{2} C x y z(x y z+1)-A C^{2}(x+y)(y+z) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

We close this section with the following remark.
Remark The mapping (6) under the coordinate transformation $X=x+y, Y=y+z$ can be reduced to a two-dimensional area-preserving mapping, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}: \quad X^{\prime}=Y, \quad Y^{\prime}=-X-\frac{(\epsilon-\gamma) Y+\xi}{\beta Y+\gamma} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the second integral, $I_{2}$, becoming

$$
\begin{align*}
I= & \beta^{2} X^{2} Y^{2}+\beta(\epsilon-\gamma)\left(X^{2} Y+X Y^{2}\right)+\gamma(\epsilon-2 \gamma)\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right) \\
& +[\beta \xi+(\epsilon-\gamma)(\epsilon-2 \gamma)] X Y+\xi(\epsilon-2 \gamma)(X+Y) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the first integral, $I_{1}$, does not reduce under this transformation.
In fact, the mapping (6) is a member of a recently-discovered hierarchy of integrable mappings given in [6], the three-dimensional asymmetric mapping ${ }^{5}$ being

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime} & =-x-\frac{\beta(y+z)^{2}+\epsilon(y+z)+\xi_{0}}{\beta(y+z)+\gamma_{0}} \\
y^{\prime} & =-y-\frac{\beta\left(x^{\prime}+z\right)^{2}+\epsilon\left(x^{\prime}+z\right)+\xi_{1}}{\beta\left(x^{\prime}+z\right)+\gamma_{1}} \\
z^{\prime} & =-z-\frac{\beta\left(x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\epsilon\left(x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}\right)+\xi_{2}}{\beta\left(x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}\right)+\gamma_{2}} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 Three-Dimensional Measure-Preserving Mappings

In this section we apply the processes of reparametrization and replacement to the three-dimensional volume-preserving integrable mappings constructed above to construct measure-preserving integrable mappings. These examples illustrate how integrable three-dimensional families of mappings can be embedded in larger (i.e. higher number of parameters) integrable three-dimensional families of mappings via the processes of reparametrization and replacement.

Consider the mapping (9) when $\alpha=0$ and $\epsilon=\gamma$, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=y \\
& y^{\prime}=z \\
& z^{\prime}=-x-\frac{2 \beta y z+\gamma(y+z)+\xi}{\beta(y+z)+\gamma} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

[^2]which has integrals
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}= & \beta(x y+x z+y z)^{2}+\gamma(x+y)(x+z)(y+z)+\xi(x y+x z+y z)  \tag{19}\\
I_{2}= & (\beta+\gamma)\left[\gamma\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-x y-y z+x z\right)+\xi(x+z)\right] \\
& +\left[\beta^{2}(x+z)+\beta \gamma(x+z+1)+\gamma^{2}\right]\left[x y+x z+y z-y^{2}\right] . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Notice that the parameters $\beta, \gamma$ and $\xi$ now appear linearly in the integral $I_{1}$. Reparametrizing the parameters, i.e. $\beta \rightarrow \beta_{0}+\beta_{1} K, \gamma \rightarrow \gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} K, \xi \rightarrow \xi_{0}+\xi_{1} K$ and the integral $I_{1} \rightarrow \bar{I}_{1}=I_{1}+\mu_{0}+\mu_{1} K$, we obtain the mapping

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=y \\
& y^{\prime}=z \\
& z^{\prime}=-x-\frac{2\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} K\right) y z+\left(\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} K\right)(y+z)+\xi_{0}+\xi_{1} K}{\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} K\right)(y+z)+\left(\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} K\right)} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Using $\bar{I}_{1}(x, y, z)=0$ (as $\bar{I}_{1}(x, y, z)=0 \Rightarrow \bar{I}_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)=0$ ) a new integral $K=k(x, y, z)$ can be defined. Define the map $L_{K}$ to be the map (21) with replacement $K=k(x, y, z)$. The map $L_{K}$ has two integrals $k(x, y, z)$ and $\bar{I}_{2}=\left.I_{2}(x, y, z)\right|_{K=k(x, y, z)}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
k= & -\frac{\beta_{0}(x y+x z+y z)^{2}+\gamma_{0}(x+y)(x+z)(y+z)+\xi_{0}(x y+x z+y z)+\mu_{0}}{\beta_{1}(x y+x z+y z)^{2}+\gamma_{1}(x+y)(x+z)(y+z)+\xi_{1}(x y+x z+y z)+\mu_{1}} \\
\bar{I}_{2}= & \left\{[ \beta _ { 0 } + \gamma _ { 0 } + ( \beta _ { 1 } + \gamma _ { 1 } ) K ] \left[\left(\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} K\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-x y-y z+x z\right)\right.\right.  \tag{22}\\
& \left.+\left(\xi_{0}+\xi_{1} K\right)(x+z)\right]+\left[\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} K\right)^{2}(x+z)\right. \\
& +\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} K\right)\left(\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} K\right)(x+z+1) \\
& \left.\left.+\left(\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} K\right)^{2}\right]\left[x y+x z+y z-y^{2}\right]\right\}\left.\right|_{K=k(x, y, z)} . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

The map $L_{K}$ is also measure preserving with

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(x, y, z)=\left[\frac{\partial \bar{I}_{1}}{\partial K}\right]^{-1} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the mapping (9) when $\alpha=0$ and $\epsilon=\gamma=\beta$, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime} & =y \\
y^{\prime} & =z \\
z^{\prime} & =-x-\frac{\beta(2 y z+y+z)+\xi}{\beta(y+z+1)} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

which has integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1} & =\beta\left[(x y+x z+y z)^{2}+(x+y)(x+z)(y+z)\right]+\xi(x y+x z+y z)  \tag{26}\\
I_{2} & =\beta(x+z)\left(x+z+x y+x z+y z-y^{2}\right)+\xi(x+z) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that the parameters $\beta$ and $\xi$ now appear linearly in both integrals. Reparametrizing the parameters and the integrals, i.e. $\beta \rightarrow \beta_{0}+\beta_{1} K_{1}+\beta_{2} K_{2}, \xi \rightarrow \xi_{0}+\xi_{1} K_{1}+\xi_{2} K_{2}, I_{1} \rightarrow \bar{I}_{1}=$ $I_{1}+\mu_{0}+\mu_{1} K_{1}+\mu_{2} K_{2}$ and $I_{2} \rightarrow \bar{I}_{2}=I_{2}+\nu_{0}+\nu_{1} K_{1}+\nu_{2} K_{2}$, we obtain the mapping

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=y \\
& y^{\prime}=z \\
& z^{\prime}=-x-\frac{\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} K_{1}+\beta_{2} K_{2}\right)(2 y z+y+z)+\xi_{0}+\xi_{1} K_{1}+\xi_{2} K_{2}}{\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} K_{1}+\beta_{2} K_{2}\right)(y+z+1)} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

with integrals $\bar{I}_{1}(x, y, z)$ and $\bar{I}_{2}(x, y, z)$. Setting $\bar{I}_{1}(x, y, z)=0$ and $\bar{I}_{2}(x, y, z)=0$ it is possible to solve for $K_{1}=k_{1}(x, y, z)$ and $K_{2}=k_{2}(x, y, z)$ as $\bar{I}_{1}$ and $\bar{I}_{2}$ are linear in $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. Define the map $L_{K_{1} K_{2}}$
to be the map (28) with replacements $K_{1}=k_{1}(x, y, z)$ and $K_{2}=k_{2}(x, y, z)$. The map $L_{K_{1} K_{2}}$ has the integrals $K_{1}=k_{1}(x, y, z)$ and $K_{2}=k_{2}(x, y, z)$. The map $L_{K_{1} K_{2}}$ is also measure preserving with

$$
m(x, y, z)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \bar{I}_{1}}{\partial K_{1}} & \frac{\partial \bar{I}_{1}}{\partial K_{2}}  \tag{29}\\
\frac{\partial \bar{I}_{2}}{\partial K_{1}} & \frac{\partial \bar{I}_{2}}{\partial K_{2}}
\end{array}\right|^{-1}
$$

The integrals to the above maps can be shown to be functionally independent and in involution with respect to the following Poisson structure [1]

$$
m(x, y, z)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \frac{\partial I}{\partial z} & -\frac{\partial I}{\partial y}  \tag{30}\\
-\frac{\partial I}{\partial z} & 0 & \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial I}{\partial y} & -\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $I$ is either one of integrals and $m(x, y, z)$ is the measure.
Finally, we consider the mapping (6). As noted in the remark at the end of Section 2 we can use a coordinate transformation, i.e. $X=x+y$ and $Y=y+z$, to reduce the mapping to a two-dimensional mapping. Importantly, however, the three-quadratic integral, $I_{1}$, does not reduce under this coordinate transformation and as a result if we use the processes of reparametrisation and replacement on this integral then the resulting mapping, $L_{r}$, is not reducible to a two dimensional mapping, although for every fixed $K$ it is. The remark at the end of Section 2 also shows that the reduced mapping has a biquadratic integral and thus can be explicitly integrated ${ }^{6}$, see [7]. This result can be used to integrate the mapping $L_{r}$ also but this time curve-wise (leaf-wise). ${ }^{7}$
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## Appendix

Our work on multidimensional integrable mappings (particularly 6]) has lead us to the following observation about the degree of the variables that occur in the integrals:

A 2n-dimensional volume-preserving integrable mapping with variables $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{2 n-1}$, which has one $n$-quadratic integral, possesses an additional $(n-1)$ integrals of the following form

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{2}=\sum A_{\alpha_{21} \ldots \alpha_{2,2 n}} v_{0}^{\alpha_{21}} \ldots v_{2 n-1}^{\alpha_{2,2 n}}, \quad\left(\alpha_{21}, \ldots, \alpha_{2,2 n}=0, \ldots, 4\right)  \tag{31}\\
\vdots \\
I_{n}=\sum A_{\alpha_{n, 1} \ldots \alpha_{n, 2 n}} v_{0}^{\alpha_{n, 1}} \ldots v_{2 n-1}^{\alpha_{n, 2 n}}, \quad\left(\alpha_{n, 1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n, 2 n}=0, \ldots, 2 n\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

While a (2n+1)-dimensional volume-preserving integrable mapping with variables $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{2 n}$, which has one n-quadratic integral, possesses an additional $n$ integrals of the following form

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{2}=\sum A_{\alpha_{21} \ldots \alpha_{2,2 n+1}} v_{0}^{\alpha_{21}} \ldots v_{2 n}^{\alpha_{2,2 n+1}},\left(\alpha_{21}, \ldots, \alpha_{2,2 n+1}=0, \ldots, 4\right)  \tag{32}\\
\vdots \\
I_{n+1}=\sum A_{\alpha_{n+1,1} \ldots \alpha_{n+1,2 n+1}} v_{0}^{\alpha_{n+1,1}} \ldots v_{2 n}^{\alpha_{n+1,2 n+1}}, \\
\left(\alpha_{n+1,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1,2 n+1}=0, \ldots, 2 n+2\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

In the case we have considered in this paper, the power of the first and last variables ranges from 0 to 2 .

[^3]
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