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#### Abstract

G ross $P$ itaevsk ii and nonlinear $H$ artree equations are equations ofnonlinear Schrodinger type, which play an im portant role in the theory of $B$ ose $E$ instein condensation, $R$ ecent results ofA schenbacher al al (3) dem onstrate, for a class of 3 dim ensionalm odels, that for large boson num ber (squared $L^{2}$ nom ), $N$, the ground state does not have the sym $m$ etry properties as the ground state at $s m$ all $N$. W e present a detailed global study of the sym $m$ etry breaking bifurcation for a 1 dim ensional model G ross P itaevskii equation, in which the extemal potential (boson trap) is an attractive double-w ell, consisting of two attractive $D$ irac delta functions concentrated at distinct points. U sing dynam ical system $\mathrm{s} m$ ethods, we present a geom etric analysis of the sym $m$ etry breaking bifurcation of an asym $m$ etric ground state and the exchange ofdynam icalstability from the sym $m$ etric branch to the asym $m$ etric branch at the bifurcation point.


## 1 Introduction

The experim ental realization of $\operatorname{ose} \pm$ instein condensation has given great im petus to the study of the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskii and nonlinear $H$ artree equations. These are equations of nonlinear Schrodinger (NLS) type, w th a potentialhaving both linear and nonlinear parts. Such equations arise in the study of large dilute system sofbosons; N -body quantum m echanics of coupled bosons, where N tends to in nity and the coupling strength tends to zero, [4, 11, 5, 1]. Of fiundam ental interest are the properties of the ground state of such system s.

[^0]Because of the nonlinearity, the ground state can exhibit non-trivialtransitions in structure.

Indeed, that such a transition takes place w as established in the recent paper [3]. They consider the nonlinear $H$ artree energy functional

$$
H_{g}[; \quad]=\frac{1}{2}_{R^{d}}^{Z} j \hat{} \quad(x) j^{2}+v(x) j(x) j^{2}+g j(x) j^{2} V \quad j j^{\jmath}(x) d x: \quad(1.1)
$$

Here, $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})$ is an extemal potential (the boson trap), and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})$ is the tw o-body C oulomb interaction potentialV $(x)=\dot{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{j}^{1}$ betw een bosons. The ground state of system ofN bosons is characterized by solution to them inim ization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { inffe }_{\mathrm{g}}[; \quad]: \mathrm{k} \mathrm{k}_{2}^{2}=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{~g}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For spatialdim ensionsd 2 and the case in which $g<0$ is xed, corresponding to attractive interatom ic forces (negative scattering length), it is show $n$ that for a class of potentials $v(x)$, e.g., the double wellpotential, that if $N$ is su ciently large, then sym $m$ etry breaking in the ground state occurs, in the sense that the ground state of (12) does not have the sam e symm etries as $v(x)$. The proof in [3] is based upon the intuition in the doublewell case, for exam ple, that for sm all am plitude states ( N sm all) the nonlinear potential is negligible, and therefore the ground state should be a sm alldistortion of the linear ground state ( $\mathrm{g}=0$ ), and have the sam e sym m etries as the linear ground state. H ow ever, by careful construction of trial functions, one can see that for $N$ su ciently large, $\mathrm{N}>\mathrm{N}$ thresh, it is energetically preferable for the ground state to be concentrated in one well or the other, but not equally in both.
$T$ he size of $N$ thresh and the dynam ical stability properties of the various coexisting states (sym $m$ etric and asym $m$ etric) is unaddressed. In this work we address these questions in the context of the sim plerm odel, the one-dim ensional G ross $P$ itaevskiiequation ( $G$ P / $N L S$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{t}=\quad x x 2 j{ }^{\jmath}+v(x) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x})$ denotes the attractive double well potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x})=(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{~L}) \quad(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{L}) ; \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith conserved H am iltonian energy functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.H_{G P}[; \quad]^{Z}{\frac{d(x)^{2}}{d x}}^{2}+v(x) j(x)\right\}^{\mathcal{L}} \quad \frac{1}{2} j(x)\right)^{4} d x \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and conserved particle (boson) num ber
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
N[;]=j(x)\} d x: \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sym m etry breaking bifurcations have been observed in this $m$ odel [6] and in the analogous double square wellm odel [12], by piecew ise analytical solution in
term $s$ of hyperbolic secant and Jacobi elliptic function solutions of the translation invariant NLS equation ( $v=0$ ), follow ed by num erical solution of the nonlinear algebraic equations resulting from the required continuity and jump conditions at singular points of the equation's coe cients.

W e present a sim ple geom etric analysis dem onstrating
(A) the symm etry breaking of the ground state, as a bifurcation of an asym $m$ etric branch of solutions from the branch of sym $m$ etric solutions
and
(B) the exchange of dynam ical stability from the sym $m$ etric branch to the asym $m$ etric branch. In particular, beyond the bifurcation point the sym $m$ etric state is linearly exponentially and nonlinearly unstable, whereas the asym $m$ etric state is nonlinearly onbitally stable.

W e note that our analysis does not require the speci c cubic nonlinearity and is applicable for general local nonlinearities, where j $\}$ is replaced by $f(j f)$, $w$ th $f()$ of a general class.

A bifurcation diagram show ing the sym $m$ etric, antisym $m$ etric and asym $m$ etric states is presented in gure 1. The solid curve corresponds to the nonlinearly stable ground state. As is increased ( ${ }^{2}$ is the frequency) the sym $m$ etric solution becom es unstable at the bifurcation point, while the new asym $m$ etric state is nonlinearly stable. W e expect that anti-sym $m$ etric states (nonlinear excited states), corresponding to points on the disconnected branch to the left of the sym $m$ etric branch, are unstable to generic perturbations due to a nonlinear resonance phenom ena, and that for large $t$, the system 's energy resides in the ground state and radiation m odes; see, for exam ple, [14, 15].

## 2 O verview of G ross-P itaevskii / NLS

In appendix A we review the well-posedness theory of (1.3,1.4). The in itialvalue problem is well-posed in $\mathrm{C}^{0}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1} ; \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}\right)\right)$.

In this section we focus on nonlinear bound states of (1.3). These are solutions of the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(x ; t)=e^{i^{2} t}(x ;) ; \quad 2 L^{2} \text { : } \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the linearSchrodinger equation, the functions are eigenstates of a Schrodinger operator: $\varrho_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x})$ and satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x x+v(x)=2 \text { : } \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the casew here $v(x)$ is given by (1.4), with $L$ su ciently large, the set ofbound states is tw o-dim ensional, spanned by sym $m$ etric and antisym $m$ etric functions.

$F$ igure 1: A bifurcation diagram is given for the case $=1, L=2$. The curve on the left bifurcating from $\mathrm{N}=0$ represents the antisym $m$ etric pulse, whereas the curve on the right represents the sym $m$ etric pulse. At the threshold value $N_{\text {thresh }}$, an asym $m$ etric pulse bifurcates from the sym $m$ etric pulse. At $N$ levels $w$ here the asym $m$ etric pulse is present, the sym $m$ etric pulse is unstable.

For (1.3), nonlinear bound states satisfy the equation

$$
x x+v(x) \quad 2 j \text { j } \quad=\quad 2 \text { : }
$$

For the translation-invariant case, $\mathrm{v}=0$, there is a well-known fam ily of solitary (\soliton") traveling wave solutions. These are G alilean boosts (see (A 2)) of the basic solitary (\soliton") standing wave w th hyperbolic secant spatial pro le. For a non-trivial potential $v(x)$ as in (1.4), the equation is no longer translation-invariant and we have \defect" or \pinned" states. These pinned nonlinear bound states are plotted in the bifurcation diagram of gure 1. In the translation invariant case, $v \quad 0$, the nonlinear bound states reduce to the branch of NLS solitons, bifurcating from the zero state at zero frequency, the endpoint of the continuous spectrum of the linearized operator $\varrho_{x}^{2}$ about the zero state. For $v(x)$ nontrivial, there is a fam ily of defect states, bifurcating from the zero state at each linear eigenfrequency of the linearized operator,
$\varrho_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x})$ and in the direction of the corresponding eigenfunction; see (13) for a generaldiscussion.

Stability of nonlinear bound states: A n im portant characterization of the nonlinear bound states is variational. The advantage of the variational characteri-
zation is that it can be used to establish nonlinear stability of the ground state; see [18, 13].

Theorem 1. (I) N onlinear bound states can be constructed variationally by $m$ inim izing the $H$ am iltonian, $H$, subject to $x e d L^{2}-n o m, N$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{in} \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{Y}] ; \mathrm{N}[\mathrm{r}]=\text { : } \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such minim izers are called nonlinear ground states, Def ( ). The associated frequency, ${ }^{2}()$, arises as a Lagrange $m$ ultiplier for the constrained variational problem (2.4). As ! 0, ${ }^{2}$ ( ) tends to the ground state eigenvalue of $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x})$.
(II) G round states are $H^{1}$ non linearly orbitally Lyapunov stable, i.e., if the initialdata are $H^{1}$ close to a soliton ( m odulo the phase and translation sym $m$ etries of GP / NLS), then the solution rem ains close to a soliton in this sense for all t2 ( 1 ;1).

A though, the above theorem establishes the ground state as a dynam ically stable state, it leaves unaddressed the question ofdetailed spatialcharacteristics of the ground state. A s we shall now see, dynam ical system s m ethods can, in som e cases, be used to study this question globally and in detail.

## 3 N on linear bound states - dynam ical system s approach

D ynam ical system $s m$ ethods are particularly well-suited to studying the existence and nature of nonlinear bound states for the one-dim ensional problem (1.3.1.4), since the equation is piecew ise autonom ous. In particular, we study

$$
\begin{equation*}
x x+v(x) \quad 2^{3}=2 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v(x)=(x+L) \quad(x \quad L)$. W ith a quick apology for the follow ing non-physical substitutions, we rescale the variables as follow s :

$$
\begin{align*}
x & =\mathrm{z}= \\
(\mathrm{x}) & =\quad(\mathrm{x})=\quad(\mathrm{z}): \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

In these variables, we have

$$
\mathrm{zz}=2^{3} \overline{2} \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{z}=):
$$

This is equivalent to the follow ing system with matching conditions:

```
z& L m}=\mp@subsup{2}{}{3
z= ( (z+)=(z )
    0}(\mp@subsup{z}{}{+})=\mp@subsup{}{}{0}(z ) - (z)
```

where ${ }^{0}$ represents di erentiation $w$ ith respect to $z$ and $f(z)=\lim !0 f(z \quad$. A s usual, we can convert a second order di erential equation into a pair of rst order equations. In particular, if we let $(u ; v)=\left(;{ }^{0}\right)$, then we have

| z | L | $\mathrm{u}^{0}=\mathrm{v}$ <br> $\mathrm{v}^{0}=\mathrm{u} \quad 2 \mathrm{u}^{3}$ <br> $\mathrm{z}=$ <br> L |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{u}\left(\mathrm{z}^{+}\right)=\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{z})$ <br> $\mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{z}^{+}\right)=\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{z})$ |  |

A search for bound states (standing waves) in this system can then be undertaken as follow s:

1. Since any standing wave must decay as $z!1$, a potential standing $w$ ave solution $m$ ust originate (in the phase plane) along the globalunstable m anifold of ( $0 ; 0$ ). W thout loss of generality, we consider only the portion of this $m$ anifold that lies in the rst quadrant.
2. $W$ hen this solution approaches the rst wellat $z=\quad L$, wemay shift $z$ so that the point $\lim _{z!} \quad$ L $\quad(u(z) ; v(z))$ can be chosen anyw here along the unstable $m$ anifold. (T he value ofu ( $L$ ) can be thought of as a shooting param eter.) At this chosen point, the solution jum ps vertically according to the $m$ atching conditions for $v$.
3. A fter com pleting the vertical jum $p$ at $z=L$, we again ow the solution forw ard for \tim e" 2 L according to the ordinary di erential equation, until we approach the second well at $z=+L$.
4. W hen $z=+L$, the solution again jumps vertically in the phase plane according to the $m$ atching condition. The phase plane solution that we have been follow ing represents a standing wave if and only if this second jum p lands our solution exactly upon the stable $m$ anifold, in accordance w th the condition that $u(z)!0$ as $z!+1$.

For xed values of the param eters, and $L$, it $m$ ay happen that there are multiple standing waves present, corresponding to di erent values of the function at the rst defect. In accordance $w$ ith the search $m$ ethod described above, such solutions are shown in the phase plane in gure 2.

### 3.1 The linear lim it; in $n$ itesim al solutions

To ilhum inate this search for standing waves, it is instructive to rst consider the case ofsm allam plitude solutions. For in nitesim alsolutions, we neglect the nonlinear term and we are left w ith the follow ing linear system

| z | L | $\mathrm{u}^{0}=\mathrm{v}$ <br> $\mathrm{v}^{0}=\mathrm{u}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}$ | $u\left(\mathrm{z}^{+}\right)=\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{z})$ <br> $\mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{z}^{+}\right)=\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{z})$ |
|  |  |  |



Figure 2: Standing waves of di erent form s are found for $=1, L=2$ and $=$ $0: 65$. H ere we see a sym $m$ etric $w$ ave, an asym $m$ etric w ave and an antisym $m$ etric w ave.

For the linear system, a bounded solution again grows from (0;0) along the unstable subspace, jum ps to som e transient at the rst well, evolves further according to the linear system and then $m$ ust jum p exactly to the stable subspace at the second well in order to decay to $(0 ; 0)$ as $z!1$. For a xed value of $L$ and in this system, we can determ ine the values of for which standing waves exist. This is pursued below.
$F$ irst, the unstable subspace at $(0 ; 0)$ is given as the eigenvector of the $m$ atrix
01
10
associated $w$ ith the eigenvalue ofpositive realpart. This is the vector $(1 ; 1)$ and so any point in the unstable subspace can be written as (; ). Sim ilarly, the stable subspace is spanned by the vector $(1 ; 1)$. The jump at the rst well is then $\mathrm{J}:(;)!\quad$ ( $1 \quad-)$. N ote that this jum p w ill take us to one of the interior transient curves (inside the hom oclinic loop in phase space) when
$>=2$ and to one of the exterior transient curves (outside the hom oclinic loop) when $<=2$.


Figure 3: vs. L for $=1$. Symm etric states exist for any $>=2$, while for $<=2$, antisym $m$ etric states exist only for well-separation $L>{ }^{1}$.

In this in nitesim al am plitude lim 五, we can nd the standing waves of this system explicitly. W riting the landing point of the rst jump in term $s$ of the eigenbasis yields

$$
; 1-\quad=1 \overline{2}(1 ; 1)+\overline{2}(1 ; 1):
$$

Because the system is linear in this lim it, we ignore the term sfrom here on. Evolving this point forw ard for tim e 2 L brings us to the point

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { h i } \\
& \mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{~L}} \quad 1 ; 1 \quad-\quad=\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{~L} \quad \underset{\mathrm{~h}}{1} \overline{2} \quad(1 ; 1)+\frac{1}{2}(1 ; 1) \\
& =1 \overline{2} e^{2 L}(1 ; 1)+\overline{2}^{2 \mathrm{~L}}(1 ; 1) \\
& =e^{2 L} \quad \overline{2} e^{2 L} \quad e^{2 L} ; e^{2 L} \quad \overline{2} e^{2 L}+e^{2 L}: \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

N ow, the solution we are tracing w ill represent a standing w ave if and only if this point is taken to the stable subspace of zero at the second jump. By the jum $p$ conditions at $z=L$ and the fact that points on the unstable $m$ anifold are of the form ( ; ), such points have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{1}\left(\mathbb{W}^{s}\right)=;-1: \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

C hecking to see if the point (3.3) is in this form yields the follow ing equation

$$
(\quad) e^{2 L} \quad \overline{2} e^{2 L} \quad e^{2 L} \quad e^{2 L} \quad \overline{2} e^{2 L}+e^{2 L}=0:
$$

Som e algebraic $m$ anipulation show $s$ that this equation is equivalent to

$$
\mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{2} \ln \overline{2}:
$$

It $m$ ay be $m$ ore natural to consider as a function of $L$ rather than vioe versa; this would allow us to determ ine for which values of (frequencies ${ }^{2}$ ) stationary waves exist. This can be given im plicitly as

$$
=\overline{2}^{1} \quad e^{2 \mathrm{~L}}
$$

In particular, for ${ }^{2}$ to be real and positive, we need the argum ent of the log to be positive and therefore we have the follow ing two solutions

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \ll=2 \quad L_{\text {anti }}=\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2}{2}  \tag{3.5}\\
& =2<\quad I_{\text {sym }}=\frac{1}{2} \ln \overline{2}: \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he antisym $m$ etric solutions exist only for $L>\quad{ }^{1}$ because the function $L_{\text {anti }}()$ is $m$ onotone increasing on $[0 ;=2), \mathrm{w}$ ith m inim um value ${ }^{1}$ attained at $=0$. $T$ he two branches of solutions (sym $m$ etric and antisym $m$ etric) are show $n$ in gure 3. The results are sum $m$ arized in the follow ing proposition:

Proposition 2 . In the $s m$ all am plitude lim it, with the wells separated by any positive distance $L>1=$, there exists a sym $m$ etric standing wave with $=2<$
$(L)<\quad$ and an antisym m etric wave with $0<(L)<=2$. These states are unique (up to linear scaling) and no other standing waves exist. Finally, for L su ciently large,

$$
\overline{2}^{1} e^{\mathrm{L}} ;+\quad e^{\mathrm{L}}
$$

### 3.2 N onlinear regim e; solutions w ith larger am plitude.

For solutions w ith nontrivial am plitude, things get $m$ uch $m$ ore interesting (as we have seen in our initial num erical search). B ecause of the periodicity of the transient states, there are $m$ any possible solutions for this system (especially for largervalues ofL). W e show particularly that in addition to the sym m etric pulse (and the antisym $m$ etric pulse, for $L$ values at which it exists) described above, there is also an asym $m$ etric pulse that bifurcates aw ay from the sym $m$ etric pulse at a particular value of the boson num ber $N$. (T hese results follow for a focusing nonlinearity, $g<0$. In the defocusing case, $g<0$, an asym $m$ etric pulse can be seen to bifurcate from the antisym $m$ etric solution.)

For xed values of the param eters $L$ and, we will consider what sorts of positive-valued standing waves exist for varying values of . These solutions can be determ ined by adapting the previous search technique in the follow ing way:

1. For this system, the branch of the unstable $m$ anifold in the rst quadrant is given by the explicit expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{u}={ }^{n}(u ; v): v=u^{p} \overline{1 u^{2}} ; 0 \quad u \quad 1^{\circ}: \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e now search for standing waves by evolving this entire curve via the $m$ atching conditions and the evolution equation.
2. For a particular value of , application of the $m$ atching conditions at the rst defect yields the curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(\mathbb{W}^{u}\right)={ }^{n}(u ; v): v=u p^{p} \overline{1 u^{2}}-{ }^{\circ} ; \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

see the dashed curve in gure 2.
3. W e then evolve this curve for tim e 2 L , yielding the curve

$$
\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{~L}\left(\mathrm{~J}\left(\mathbb{W}^{\mathrm{u}}\right)\right):
$$

4. Finally, we com pare the evolved curve $T_{2}$ L $\left.\left(J^{( } W^{u}\right)\right)$ w ith the set ofpoints that jum $p$ to the stable $m$ anifold at the second defect

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{1}\left(W^{s}\right)={ }^{n}(u ; v): v=u^{p} \overline{1 u^{2}}-0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$


#### Abstract

$T$ his agrees $w$ ith (3.4) in the linear lim it. $N$ otice that the set $J{ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ is simply the re ection of the set $J\left(W{ }^{\mathrm{u}}\right)$ through the v -axis. Each nontrivial point of intersection of the two sets $T_{2} L\left(J\left(W{ }^{u}\right)\right)$ and $J^{1}\left(\mathbb{W}{ }^{s}\right)$ represents a standing w ave of th is system .


$R$ em ark 3. Because the curve $J\left(W^{u}\right)$ intersects $m$ any of the periodic transients $m$ ore than once, it seem $s$ plausible that there $m$ ay be non-sym $m$ etric solutions for som $e$ values of $L$. W e will m ake that clear by pursuing the strategy above.

Proposition 4. Let and Lem ain xed. For all $>$ sym (where sym is the value of for which a sym $m$ etric solution exists in the linear lim it, as in (3.6) ), there is at least one sym $m$ etric solution standing wave.

Proof. This is a sim ple argum ent regarding the continuity ofthe curves $J^{1}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ and $T_{2} \quad\left(J\left(W^{u}\right)\right) . W$ e consider only the portions of these curves interior to the hom oclinic orbit of the autonom ous system. The portion of $J\left(\mathbb{W}^{u}\right)$ inside this loop has one endpoint at $(0 ; 0)$ and the other endpoint on the curve $W^{s}$ in the low er half-plane; see gure 4.

For $>$ sym, the initial portion of the curve $T_{2 L}\left(J\left(W^{u}\right)\right)$ leaving $(0 ; 0)$ is betw een $J^{1}\left(W^{s}\right)$ and $W^{u}$ \{ in particular, above the curve $J^{1}\left(W^{s}\right)$. H ow ever, the other end $m$ ust rem ain on the invariant $m$ anifold $W^{s}$, and this $m$ anifold lies below the curve $J^{1}\left(\mathbb{W}^{s}\right)$. Therefore, since $T_{2}$ L $\left.\left(J^{( } \mathbb{W}^{u}\right)\right)$ is a continuous curve, it m ust intersect the curve $J^{1}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{s}\right)$ in at least one point. T herefore there is at least one standing wave in this system for all $>$ sym.

Finally, notioe that if there is only one point of intersection, then the standing $w$ ave corresponding to this intersection point $w i l l$ be a sym $m$ etric function of position. This follow s from the reversibility of the autonom ous portion of the evolution equation.

K now ing that one orm ore standing waves exist, we now look into the form s that such $w$ avestake. In the follow ing, wew illlook for sym $m$ etric standing $w$ aves that are concentrated at the defects; in particular, we hope to nd pro les that achieve their $m$ axim um values at the two defects. $W$ e take a slight change of view in the follow ing, considering and as xed and asking forwhich values of the spacing param eter $L$ do such standing $w$ aves exist?

Proposition 5. Let and be xed param eters. There is a symm etric solution concentrated at the defects in each of the follow ing regim es:

1. For $_{2} \ll$, there is such a solution for allL $>\mathrm{L}_{\text {sym }}()=\frac{1}{2} \ln \overline{2}$.
2. For $<{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$, there is such a solution for all valhes of $L$.
3. For $={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$, there is such a solution for all $\mathrm{L}>\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{1} 2$.

 $J^{1}\left(W^{s}\right)$ \{ and such an intersection represents a standing wave. As increases, m ore intersections $m$ ay arise.

Proof. W e w ill prove this proposition by considering the am ount of $\backslash$ tim e" it takes each point on the curve $J\left(W{ }^{\mathrm{u}}\right.$ ) (from the point where the curve enters the lower half plane until it $m$ eets the $m$ anifold $W{ }^{s}$ ) to reach its re ection on the curve $J^{1}\left(W^{s}\right)$. For xed value $2 L$, we show there is a point on $J\left(W^{u}\right)$ which is $m$ apped to its re ection on $J^{1}\left(W^{s}\right)$ in tim e $2 L . W$ ecan de nethe function $L$ ( $u$ ) as the \tim e" it takes the solution beginning at a point u;u( $\overline{1} \mathrm{u}^{2}=$ ) ( $m$ eeting the previous parenthetical requirem ent) to reach the sym $m$ etric point $u$; u( $\overline{1 \quad u^{2}}=$ ).

1. The result follow s quickly in the regim $e_{\overline{2}} \ll$, by noting that as $u!0^{+}, L(u)!L_{s}$ as given in the earlier proposition. A lso, as u!
$\mathrm{P} \overline{1 \quad(=2)^{2}}, \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{u})!1$. A continuity argum ent completes this case.
2. For $>$ the curve $J\left(W^{u}\right)$ paves the origin into the upper halfplane. It reaches the $u$-axis $w$ hen $u=\frac{1}{1 \quad(=)^{2}}$ and reaches the stable $m$ anifold $W^{s}$ when $u=P \overline{1 \quad(=2)^{2}}$. In this regim e, it is clear that $L(u)!0$ as $\mathrm{u}!\mathrm{P}{\overline{1 \quad(=)^{2}}}^{+}$and $L(u)!1$ asu! $\mathrm{P} \overline{1(=2)^{2}}$
3. $W$ hen $\overline{\overline{\mathrm{p}}} \overline{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$, the curve $J\left(W^{\mathrm{u}}\right)$ crosses the $u$-axis at the elliptic xed point ( $1=\overline{2} ; 0$ ). A carefulstudy of the linearization near this xed point reveals the low er lim it given above.

Now we note an im portant consideration conceming the form of these sym $m$ etric pulses. In particular, for xed values of the param eters and , there is a distinguished value of the param eter $L$ so that the curve $J(W)$ is tangent
to the sym $m$ etric standing $w$ ave as we approach the rst defect from the right. $T$ he value of the standing $w$ ave at each defect can be quickly com puted for this threshold value,

and the threshold value ofL,$L_{\text {th resh }}$, can then be com puted sim ply by noting the \tim e" it takes to ow from ( $u_{\text {thresh }} ; \mathrm{v}_{\text {thresh }}$ ) to its re ection ( $\mathrm{u}_{\text {thresh }}$; $\mathrm{v}_{\text {thresh }}$ ).

In gure5, we show the energy of the sym $m$ etric standing $w$ ave at the threshold values of L. We will now show that beyond this threshold, there is a pair of asym $m$ etric standing waves (in addition to the sym $m$ etric and antisym $m$ etric w aves discussed previously).

$F$ igure 5: Threshold values of the particle num ber $N_{\text {thresh }}$ for varying values of L are show n . At these threshold values, there is a bifurcation of asym m etric pulses (see Proposition (6) and beyond these values, the sym $m$ etric standing wave w illbe unstable (see T heorem 8). T he point along the curve $m$ arked w ith a solid circle corresponds to the special value $=\overline{2}$, where the point $u_{\text {th resh }}$ is the xed point in the phase plane. A long the curve to the right of this point, the sym $m$ etric standing w aves at threshold have the desired tw o peak pro $l e$; to the left, the sym $m$ etric standing w aves at threshold have a single peak centered betw een the two wells.

Proposition 6. Let and rem ain xed. For L $>L_{\text {thresh }}$ ( ), there exists a pair of non-sym $m$ etric standing waves. T hese waves leave the sym $m$ etric $w$ ave in a pitchfork bifurcation at $L=L_{\text {thresh }}$.


Figure 6: The solutions corresponding to the various intersection points from the phase plane diagram in gure 4 are show $n$ here.

Proof. Consider and xed and positive, with $L$ so that $L>L_{\text {thresh }}()$. For this value of $L$, the sym $m$ etric standing $w$ ave has a value ( $u_{0} ; v_{0}$ ), with $u_{0}>u_{\text {thresh }}$, approaching the rst defect from the right. This wave then $m$ ust pass through $J^{1}\left(W^{s}\right)$ in the phase plane an additionaltim e before the reaching the term inalpoint at the second defect.

In the phase space, the part of the standing wave betw een the tw o defects can be w ritten as the curve

$$
0=\mathrm{fT}_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\left(\mathrm{u}_{0} ; \mathrm{v}_{0}\right)\right): 0 \quad \mathrm{z} \quad 2 \mathrm{Lg}:
$$

N ote that the curve 0 sim ply traces out the portion of the periodic orbit connecting the sym $m$ etric points ( $\mathrm{u}_{0} ; \mathrm{v}_{0}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{u}_{0} ; \mathrm{v}_{0}$ ).

W e consider an arbitrary param eterization (u ; v ), 2 [0;1] of the portion of the curve $J\left(W^{u}\right)$ betw een $\left(\mathrm{u}_{0} ; \mathrm{v}_{0}\right)$ and its nontrivial intersection w th the stable manifold $W^{s}$, so that ( $u_{0} ; v_{0}$ ) is as above and ( $\left.u_{1} ; v_{1}\right) 2 W^{s}$. Then we can de ne

$$
=\mathrm{fT}_{\mathrm{z}}((\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v})): 0 \quad \mathrm{z} \quad 2 \mathrm{Lg} ;
$$

for all $2[0 ; 1]$. N otice that the curve $J^{1}\left(W^{s}\right)$ is transverse to all of the periodic orbits in the phase plane aw ay from the xed point ( $1=\overline{2} ; 0$ ). Therefore if a curve crosses $J^{1}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)$, it $m$ ust do so transversely.

Recall that the curve 0 crosses $J^{1}\left(W^{s}\right)$ prior to its term inal point at the second defect. ( $T$ his earlier crossing does not represent a standing $w$ ave, of course, since it occurs for a value of $z$ not corresponding to a defect.) On the other hand, for su ciently close to 1 , the curve no longer crosses $J^{1}\left(W^{s}\right)$. Continuity considerations therefore dictate that there must be a value of between 0 and 1 so that $T_{2}$ ( ( $u$;v )) lies on the curve $J^{1}\left(W^{s}\right)$. $T$ his value clearly does not represent a sym $m$ etric state since $u_{0}>u_{\text {th resh }}, w h i l e$ the rst point of intersection is sm aller than $u_{\text {th resh }}$.
$T$ here is a second non-sym $m$ etric standing wave that, in the phase plane, has the form of the w ave just found re ected across the u-axis.

This pair of non-sym $m$ etric solutions is originally produced in a pitchfork bifurcation when $L=L_{\text {thresh }}\{$ at this param eter value the transversality of $J^{1}\left(W^{s}\right)$ and the periodic onbit carrying the sym $m$ etric standing wave is lost.

## 4 The eigenvalue problem and stability.

In the previous section, we found various standing wave solutions of the equation

$$
i_{t}=x x 2 j \jmath+v(x)
$$

where $v(x)$ is the double well potential ( $x$ L) ( $x+L$ ). In this section, we will analyze the stability of the various branches of solutions constructed, by studying the eigenvalue equation, associated $w$ ith linearization about a xed nonlinear bound state.
$F$ irst we change coordinates into the fram e of the standing wave

$$
(x ; t)=e^{i^{2} t} \quad(x ; t)
$$

and arrive at the equivalent equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{t}=x x+22 j f+v(x) \quad: \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ew rite this equation in realand im aginary parts ( $=\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{iv}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{t}} & =\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{xx}}+\left[2\left(\mathrm{v}^{2}+\mathrm{w}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x})\right] \mathrm{w}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{w} ;  \tag{42}\\
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{t}} & =\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{xx}}+\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{2}+\mathrm{w}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x})\right] \mathrm{v}
\end{align*}
$$

and form ally consider sm allperturbations of the standing $w$ ave. Since the standing wave (let's call it $u(x)$ ) is real-valued, we then write (v $(x ; t) ; w(x ; t))=$ $(u(x) ; 0)+(p(x ; t) ; q(x ; t)) w$ ith $s m$ all. Leading order in,$y i e l d s$ the linearized dynam ics

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{t}=q_{x x} \quad\left[2 u^{2}+v(x)\right] q \quad+\quad{ }^{2} q ; \\
& q=p_{x x}+\left[2 u^{2}+v(x)\right] p 4 u^{2} p \quad{ }^{2} p:
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\left[2 u^{2}+v(x)\right] \quad 2 ; \\
& L_{+}=\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\left[2 u^{2}+v(x)\right]+4 u^{2} \quad 2 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

equation (4.2) can be rew ritten as the system

| p | 0 | L | p | , N | p |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| q | $\mathrm{L}_{+}$ | 0 | q | N |  |  |

Of interest is the spectrum of this operator $N$. For the ground state (see section (2), the spectrum of lies entirely on the im aginary axis and $m$ odulo zero $m$ odes,
associated $w$ ith sym $m$ etries, $\exp (t N)$ is bounded on $H^{1}$ and indeed, the solution is nonlinearly stable 17, 13].

A state is unstable if one can exhibit an eigenvalue of the operator N w th positive real part. $N$ onlinear instability is a consequence; see the appendix of [8]. Unfortunately, even though the spectrum of $L_{+}$and $L$ can, in principle, be determ ined using Sturm \{Liouville theory, it is not obvious how to construct the spectrum of N using this inform ation. H ow ever, this is exactly the operator studied by Jones in [9], in an application to optical pulses in nonlinear waveguides. In that work, Jones interprets the search for a real positive eigenvalue as a shooting problem in the space of Lagrangian planes. U sing a winding argum ent in this space, he derives a relationship betw een the num ber of positive eigenvalues of the simpler operators $L_{+}$and $L$ and the num ber of positive eigenvalues of $N$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\text { num ber of positive eigenvalues of } L_{+} \text {and } \\
& Q=\text { num ber of positive eigenvalues of } L:
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have [9, 8]:
Theorem 7. If $P Q 0 ; 1$, there is a realpositive eigenvalue of the operator N .

A n altemative, PD E approach to this result was developed by G rillak is [8].
From Sturm \{Liouville theory, P and Q can be determ ined by considering the behavior of solutions of $L_{+} p=0$ and $L \quad q=0$, respectively. In particular, $P$ and $Q$ equal the num ber of nodes of the associated solutions $p$ and $q$. Since $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{q}=0$ is satis ed by the standing wave $\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x})$ itself, it quickly follow s that

$$
Q=\text { num ber of zeros of the standing } w \text { ave } u(x):
$$

For allpositive-valued pulses, then, $Q=0$.
So we have that if $P>1$, then $N$ has a positive eigenvalue and the standing $w$ ave $u(x)$ is unstable. The operator $L_{+}$acts as the equation of variations for (4.1), and a natural interpretation of the equation of variations is that it carries tangent vectors under the ow. So if we initialize $q(x)$ as a vector tangent to the unstable $m$ anifold at the point $u(x)$, then we can calculate $P$ by counting the nodes of the resulting solution $q(x)$.

In the context of vectors, a node of $q(x)$ is a vector in the phase plane pointing straight up or down. And so, $P$ can be com puted by counting the num ber of tim es that the vector initialized tangent to the unstable manifold passes through verticality. In particular, considering only the angle of th is vector and not its $m$ agnitude, $P$ corresponds to the largest num ber of integerm ultiples of through which this vector rotates as it is evolved under the linearized ow .

The only di culty in the computation is this: because of the jumps at the defects, i.e., the inhom ogeneity of the evolution equation, a vector $q(x)$
in itialized tangent to the nonlinearbound state solution will not rem ain tangent to this solution once we reach the rst defect.

H ow ever, using the sim plem atching conditions for these vectors at the wells, we have the follow ing theorem:

Theorem 8. For $L \quad L_{\text {thresh }}$, the symmetric solution has $P$ 1. For $L>$ $L_{\text {thresh }}$, the symm etric solution has $P$ 2. Therefore, after the bifurcation of the asym $m$ etric waves, the sym $m$ etric wave is unstable.

P roof. The result follow s sim ply by evolving a vector (initialized as a tangent vector to the upper branch of the hom oclinic) around each standing w ave under the in uence of the equation of variations. $T$ his can be visualized by noting that, at the rst defect, the tangent vector to the unstable $m$ anifold $W{ }^{u}$ is taken to a vector that is tangent to the curve $J\left(W^{u}\right)$. The observation of instability is then reduced to sim ply noting the $m$ anner in which this landing curve $J\left(\mathbb{W}{ }^{u}\right)$ intersects the periodic curve in the phase plane representing the standing wave betw een the defects.

1. At the threshold value $L=L_{\text {thresh }}$ itself, the curve $J\left(\mathbb{W}{ }^{u}\right)$ is tangent to the transient to which the solution jumps at the rst well. The variational solution, then, will still be tangent to the transient curve (although pointing backw ards). H ence, we can continue to trace the solution exactly by follow ing the tangent vector around this transient to the second jum p . $T$ hen, as sym $m$ etry dictates, this vector is taken to a tangent vector to $W^{s}$ at the second jum $p$. This vector is seen to pass through verticality only once (at $t=0$ ) and hence has $P=1$.
2. For $L<L_{\text {thresh }}$, the vector jum ps through a sm aller angle at each well than it does at the bifurcation point itself. At the rst defect, it then points along the curve $J\left(W^{u}\right)$, which in this case points up and into the intemal ellipse. B ecause solutions are unique, the tangent vector to this ellipse provides a bound for our solution as it evolves, and the vector in question $m$ ust retain its orientation $w$ ith respect to the tangent to the ellipse; in particular, it rotates clockw ise and must be pointing \below" the ellipse at the second defect. H ow ever, the vector that is taken to the curve $W^{s}$ is the vector tangent to $J^{1}\left(W^{s}\right)$, and com paring these two vectors we quickly see that $\mathrm{P} \quad 1$ in this case.
3. For $L<L_{\text {thresh }}$, the vector jum ps through a larger angle at the rst defect and now points along $J\left(W^{u}\right)$ down and out of the intemalellipse, and so the tangents to the ellipse now provide a bound on the other side. At the second well, the solution $m$ ust stillpoint out of the ellipse and com parison w ith the vector tangent to $J{ }^{1}\left(W^{s}\right)$ foroes $P \quad 2$ in this case.

These three cases are sketched in gure 7 .


Figure 7: The evolution of the tangent vector is show $n$ for solutions $w$ ith varying values of $L$. In the rst gure $L=L_{\text {thresh }}$ and the evolved vector is parallel to the solution throughout its evolution. In the second gure $L<L_{\text {thresh }}$ and the vector $m$ oves through a sm aller angle at each defect and we see $P \quad 1 . W$ hen $L>L_{\text {thresh }}$ as in the third gure, the vector $m$ oves through a larger angle at each defect and forces $P 2$.

## A W ellposedness of G ross-P itaevskii / N LS

Structural properties of NLS: The G ross $P$ itaevskii/ NLS equation is a H am iltonian system, which can be written in the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathrm{i}}_{\mathrm{t}}=\underline{\mathrm{u}}_{\mathrm{GP}}[\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{u}] ; \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

 translations im plies that $H_{G P}[u ; u]$ is conserved by the ow generated by A.1). A dditionally, invariance under the transform ation u $\eta e^{i} u ; 2 R^{1}$ implies that $N[u ; u]$, the $L^{2}$ norm de ned in [1.6), is conserved.

For the spatially translation invariant case, N LS has the G alilean invariance:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u(x ; t) \geqslant u(x \quad s t ; t) e^{i(x v} \frac{1}{2} s^{2} t\right) ; s 2 R^{1}: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ell-posedness theory: $W$ e present a sketch of the existence and uniqueness of solutions to [1.3) ; see also [7]. The functionals $H_{G P}$ [ ] and $N$ [ ] are well de ned on $H^{1}\left(R^{1}\right)$, the space of functions $f$, for which $f$ and $\varrho_{x} f$ are square integrable. It is therefore natural to construct the ow for initialdata of class $\mathrm{H}^{1}$. In fact, it can be show $n$ that, for intial conditions $u_{0}=u(x ; t=0) 2 H^{1}\left(R^{1}\right)$, there exists a unique global solution of $N L S$, u $2 C^{0}\left(R^{1} ; H^{1}(R)\right)$, in the sense of the equivalent integralequation:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
u(t)=U(t) u_{0}+2 i_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{t} \\
\mathrm{s}
\end{array} \mathrm{~s}\right) j \mathrm{j}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{J} \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{ds} ;
$$

The spectral decom position of $H$ is known explicitly [D] and can be used to construct U ( t ) explicitly.

To show the existence of a solution to Aa in $H^{1}$, we must show the existence of a $C^{0}\left(R^{1} ; H^{1}(R)\right)$ xed point of the mapping $u(x ; t) \geqslant J[u](x ; t)$, given by the right hand side of A 3a).
$W$ e now outline the key ingredients of the proof. To bound $J[u]$ and its rst derivative in $L^{2}$, we introduce the operator $A=I+P_{C} H$, where $P_{C}$ denotes the projection onto the continuous spectralpart of $H$. N ote that A is a nonnegative operator, since the continuous spectrum of $H$ is the nonnegative realhalf-line. $M$ oreover, we expect $k A^{\frac{1}{2}} f k \quad \operatorname{kfk}_{\mathrm{H}^{1}} \quad \mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{I} \quad @_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{fk}_{\mathrm{L}^{2}}$. In fact, we shall use that the follow ing operators are bounded from $L^{2}$ to $L^{2}$ :

$$
A^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & @_{x}^{2}
\end{array}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ; A A^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & @_{x}^{2}
\end{array}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}:
$$

This follow sfrom the boundedness of the wave operators on $H^{1}$ [16]. Therefore, we have an equivalence of norm s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{kfk}_{\mathrm{H}^{1}} \quad \mathrm{kA}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{fk}_{\mathrm{L}^{2}} \quad \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{kf}^{2} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}^{1}}: \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ur form ulation A 3a and introduction of A are related to the nioe property that $A$, and hence also functions ofA, com $m$ ute $w$ ith the propagatorexp ( $i H t$ ).

W e shall also use the Sobolev inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{f}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{J}^{\rho} \quad \mathrm{Ckfk}_{\mathrm{L}^{2}} \mathrm{k@}_{\mathrm{x}} f \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}^{2}} \text {; } \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Leibniz rule 10]:

$$
k\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & \left.@_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}(f g) k \quad C \quad k f k_{\mathrm{L}^{1}} \quad k\left(I \quad @_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{gk}_{\mathrm{L}^{2}}+\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{I} \quad @_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{fk}_{\mathrm{L}^{2}} \mathrm{kgk}_{\mathrm{L}^{1}} \quad: ~ \tag{A.6}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $U(t)$ is unitary in $L^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{kA}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~J}\left[\mathrm{u} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{2}\right. \\
& k A^{\frac{1}{2}} u_{0} k_{L^{2}}+\quad Z_{k A^{\frac{1}{2}}} j u(s) \jmath u(s) k_{L^{2}} d s \\
& Z_{t}^{0} \\
& =k A^{\frac{1}{2}} u_{0} k_{L^{2}}+\quad k A^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(I \quad @_{x}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad\left(I \quad @_{x}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} j u(s) \jmath u(s) k_{L} \text { ds: } \tag{A.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By (A.4), A.5), and A.6),

$$
\mathrm{kJ}[\mathrm{u}](\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}{ }^{1}} \quad \mathrm{C} k A J\left[\mathrm{u} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}^{2}} \quad \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{ku}_{0} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}^{1}}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~T} \sup _{\mathrm{s} 2[0 ; \mathrm{T}]} \mathrm{ku}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}}^{3}: \quad\right. \text { (A .8) }
$$

N ow assum $e$ that $u$ is such that $\sup _{\mathrm{s} 2[0 ; \mathrm{T}]} \mathrm{ku}(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H} 1} \quad 2 \mathrm{C}_{1}$. Then, by A.8), choosing $\mathrm{T}<\mathrm{T}_{1}$ su ciently small, sup s2[0;T] $\mathrm{kJ}[\mathrm{u}](\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{1} \quad 2 \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{ku}_{0} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{1}$ and so

$$
\mathrm{kJ}[\mathrm{u}](\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H} 1} \quad \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{ku}_{0} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H} 1}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~T} \quad\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{ku}_{0} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}^{1}}\right)^{3}:
$$

It follow s that for $0<T<T_{1}$ su ciently sm all, the transform ation $J$ [ ]m aps a ball ${ }^{0}\left([0 ; T] ; H^{1}(R)\right)$ into itself. A sim ilar calculation show sthat

$$
\mathrm{kJ}[\mathrm{u}](\mathrm{t}) \quad \mathrm{J}[\mathrm{~V}](\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}^{1}} \quad \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~T} \quad\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{ku}_{0} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}^{1}}\right)^{2} \sup _{\mathrm{s} 2[0 ; \mathrm{T}]} \mathrm{ku}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}^{1}} ; \text { (A.9) }
$$

and therefore for $0<T<T_{2} \quad T_{1}$, the transform ation $J[]$ is a contraction on this ball. Therefore, $J\left[\right.$ ] has a unique xed point in $C\left([0 ; T] ; H^{1}(R)\right)$ for $T$ su ciently sm alland localexistence in tim e of the ow follow s. G lobalexistence in time follows from the a prioribound on the $H^{1}$ norm of the solution im plied by the tim e-invariance of $L^{2}$ norm,$N$, and the $H$ am iltonian, $H_{G P}$.
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