

Is relaxation to equilibrium hindered by transient dissipative structures in closed system s?

Akinori Awazu and Kunihiko Kaneko

Department of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tokyo,
Komaba 3-8-1, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan.

(Dated: January 17, 2022)

Dissipative structures are generally observed when a system relaxes from a far from equilibrium state. To address the reverse question given by the title, we investigate the relaxation process in a closed chemical reaction-diffusion system which can potentially form Turing-like patterns during the transient. We find that when certain conditions are fulfilled the relaxation process is indeed drastically hindered, once the pattern is formed. This slowing-down is shown to be due to stepwise relaxation, where each plateau in the relaxation process corresponds to residence at a certain spatial pattern. Universality of the phenomena as well as their biological relevance is briefly discussed.

PACS numbers:

In open systems far from equilibrium, organized structures are well-known phenomena [1]. Such "dissipative structures" include temporal rhythms and spatial patterns in chemical reaction-diffusion systems, hydrodynamical systems, optical systems and so forth [1, 2, 3, 11]. Among others, biological complex structures can also be maintained by non-equilibrium conditions [4, 5].

In the study of dissipative structures, systems are generally prepared in far from equilibrium states by imposing certain constraints. For example, the concentrations of some chemicals are fixed (compared to the equilibrium state) higher or lower levels by supplying or removing them from the outside. On the other hand, in biological systems, non-equilibrium conditions are maintained autonomously, at least when considering long time spans. As a first step for understanding the autonomous sustenance of biological non-equilibrium conditions, it is of interest to investigate the possibility that the longevity of the conditions for the dissipative structures is extended by the formation of the structures themselves.

In closed systems, of course, equilibrium states without any structures are reached eventually. However, oscillatory behaviors or spatial pattern formations can be observed as transient phenomena during the course of relaxation to equilibrium [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13]. Here, we address the following question: Can the formation of (transient) dissipative structures make far-from-equilibrium conditions last significantly longer by slowing down the relaxation process to equilibrium? To answer this question, we study the relaxation behaviors of a closed coupled chemical reactor that can potentially form transient Turing-like patterns during the relaxation process.

Here, in contrast to most studies in reaction-diffusion systems, we need to take the changes in the concentrations of all chemicals into account, instead of keeping the concentrations of some chemicals constant. Thus we consider the following reaction-diffusion system consisting of the reactions I) $A + v \xrightarrow{k_{AB}} 2u$, II) $u \xrightarrow{k_{BA}} v$, and III) $A + u \xrightarrow{k_{BA}} A + v$, and also diffusion. Considering

the limiting case $k_{AB} = 1 \gg k_{BA}$, the evolution of the chemical concentrations is given by

$$\dot{u}_i = A_i v_i u_i^2 - (1 + A_i)(u_i - v_i); \quad (1)$$

$$\dot{v}_i = A_i v_i u_i^2 - (1 + A_i)(v_i - u_i) + D_v(v_{i+1} + v_{i-1} - 2v_i); \quad (2)$$

$$\dot{A}_i = A_i v_i u_i^2 + D_A(A_{i+1} + A_{i-1} - 2A_i); \quad (3)$$

Here, u_i , v_i , and A_i denote the concentrations of the chemical components and i denotes the index of each site in a one-dimensional space, where periodic boundary conditions are adopted for i . Each chemical diffuses to neighboring sites with a diffusion coefficient D_X ($X = u, v$; or A). Although we adopt a spatially discrete system for simplicity, the conclusions drawn do not change even when the continuum limit (partial differential equation) is taken. The diffusion coefficient D_u is assumed to be slow, and we mostly study the case with $D_u = 0$ as in eq (1) since this will not affect our findings qualitatively as long as $D_u \ll D_v$. The chemicals u_i , v_i and A_i can be regarded as activators, inhibitors and resources respectively.

This model is a variant of the Gray-Scott [10, 11] or Brusselator [1, 12] models such that we additionally include changes in the resources A_i . Note that the value $\frac{1}{2N} \sum_i (u_i + v_i) = S$ is conserved due to the system being closed (N is the number of sites.).

While relaxation to a unique equilibrium state satisfying $A = 0$ and $u_i = v_i = S$ is assured for $t \rightarrow \infty$ in this model, if we fix $A_i = A_0 \gg 1$ in order to maintain the non-equilibrium condition, this system shows the following bifurcation of the attractor, depending on S . I) If $S < 0.75$, a unique uniform state with u_i and v_i constant over i and time exists that is stable against small perturbations. II) If $S > 0.75$, the uniform state is unstable, and the attractor is replaced by a non-uniform pattern of u_i and v_i , which is constant in time. This Turing instability of the uniform states is straightforwardly obtained by linear stability analysis.

In order to study the relaxation process from a non-equilibrium state to the homogeneous equilibrium state, we now investigate the effects of changing A_i as in eq.(3) for the case $S > 0.75$. Here, depending on the initial configurations of u_i , spatial patterns can be formed during relaxation to the homogeneous equilibrium state. We study typical relaxation behaviors by varying initial configuration of $u_i = u_i^0$ with $S = 4$ and the initial condition $A_i = A_{in,i} = 100$ and $v_i = S = 4$. We control initial spatial inhomogeneity of u_i^0 by taking an initial condition $S + \text{rand}_i$, with rand_i as a uniform random number over $[-1,1]$ (0 $\leq S$).

Two sets of typical temporal evolutions of u_i and A_i are displayed in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) where $\eta = 0.1$ in (a) and $\eta = 4.0$ in (b) with $D_v = 250$ and $D_A = 0$. The pattern is plotted until it has nearly reached the equilibrium state. The corresponding time evolution of $\langle A \rangle (= \frac{1}{N} \sum_i A_i)$ is plotted in Fig. 1(c).

When η is small, u_i remains almost flat with only minor fluctuations, and no structure is formed as in Fig. 1(a). In this case $\langle A \rangle$ decreases smoothly with time as indicated by the solid curve in Fig. 1(c). On the other hand, when η is large, the initial inhomogeneity in u_i is amplified leading to the formation of a nonuniform spatial pattern that is sustained over some time span until it is re-organized into a different pattern, as shown in Figs. 1(b) and (d). In this case, the relaxation of $\langle A \rangle$ exhibits some plateaus as shown in Fig. 1(c), and requires much more time as compared to the case when η is small. In general, several plateaus are observed during the relaxation process, each of which corresponds to a specific spatial pattern, as shown in Fig. 1(d).

Hence, we have found an explicit example in which the formation of a dissipative structure slows down the relaxation process. This behavior is rather general in our model, as long as S and D_v are large enough to allow for the formation of spatial patterns.

In order to obtain insight into the relationship between pattern and relaxation, we have measured the spatial inhomogeneity of u_i defined by $F(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i |u_{i+1} - u_i|^2$. In Figure 2 we plot the decay rate of $\langle A \rangle$ defined by $\langle A \rangle_0 = \frac{d \log \langle A \rangle}{dt}$, as a function of $F(t)$. As can be seen, the system alternates between structure formation where A_i is consumed and residence at the formed non-uniform structure where consumption of A_i is suppressed. Indeed, the decrease of $\langle A \rangle_0$ is highly correlated with the increase of F . Thus, the slowing down of the relaxation process by the spatial structure is confirmed.

Next, we study the conditions for this slowing down of the relaxation process. We investigate the dependence of the relaxation time on the initial inhomogeneity. Figure 3(a) shows the sample average of the relaxation time T as a function of the initial heterogeneity η , computed up to the time when $\langle A \rangle$ has decreased to $0.1 A_{in,i}$. Here, the parameters are set to $D_v = 250$, $S = 4$, $A_{in,i} = 100$ and $N = 200$, while the diffusion constant D_A is chosen to be

0, 0.25, and 25. As can be seen, there is a critical inhomogeneity $\eta_c \approx 0.5$, beyond which the relaxation time increases, when D_A is small. Indeed, η_c is nothing but a threshold for the inhomogeneity above which the re-organization of the spatial structure is possible. For large D_A , however, the re-organization of the structure is even then not possible, and the relaxation time is insensitive to the initial fluctuations. The threshold η_c exists for $D_A < 20$, while the value of η_c itself is insensitive to the value of D_A within this range.

The dependence of the relaxation time on D_A , on the other hand, is plotted in Figure 3(b) where $\eta = 0.1$ or $\eta = 4$. When η is smaller than η_c , the relaxation time remains short. In contrast, the relaxation time shows a peak around $D_A \approx 0.3$, when η is larger than η_c , while for smaller and larger D_A , it approaches constant values. Here the relaxation time for smaller D_A remains large, while it is quite small for larger D_A , as already shown in Fig. 3(a).

Now, we study the mechanism for the observed drastic enhancement of the relaxation time, by exploring the mechanism behind the dependence of the relaxation process on the spatial fluctuations in the case of small D_A as in Fig. 1. If u_i is distributed almost uniformly, A_i is consumed almost uniformly through the entire system as in Fig. 1(a). On the other hand, if u_i has large spatial variations, A_i is consumed locally at the sites with large u_i , resulting in an amplification of the spatial fluctuations, as shown in Fig. 1(d). Then, at sites with large u_i , A_i is consumed rapidly so that the reaction $A + v + 2u \xrightarrow[k_{BA}]{k_{AB}} B + 3u$ hardly occurs at these sites ($k_{AB} = 1 \gg k_{BA}$). The decrease of u_i there mainly progresses by the reaction $u_i \xrightarrow{} v_i$ which does not consume A_i .

On the other hand, with this spatial structure, at several sites that do not belong to the peaks in the pattern, u_i and v_i are suppressed. Then, the reaction between u and v with the consuming A (whose rate is $A_i v_i u_i^2$) is highly suppressed, even if A_i therein is large. A_i at such sites with small u_i is consumed only little by little. Hence, a plateau appears in the relaxation of $\langle A \rangle$. After the decay of u_i at the site with large u_i is completed, u_i at some other site that keeps large A_i starts to be amplified by consuming A_i . This process corresponds to the re-organization of spatial structure of u_i as described in Fig. 1(b) and Fig. 1(d). In this way, several plateaus appear successively during the relaxation process.

Based on the above argument, the drastic increase in the relaxation time by the increase of the initial heterogeneity is explained by comparing the speed of the reaction consuming A_i with the reaction $u_i \xrightarrow{} v_i$. Note that for a stationary state, $v_i u_i^2 = u_i v_i$ is satisfied (by disregarding diffusion) if $A_i \gg 1$. When the locally conserved quantity $u_i + v_i = 2S$ is large, this stationary solution satisfies $v_i = 1 - u_i$. Then, the consumption speed

of A_i can be approximated by $A_i v_i u_i^2 - A_i u_i$, according to the estimate for the steady state. On the other hand, the order of the speed of the reactions $u_i \xrightarrow{v_i}$ is given by u_i , which is much smaller when $\langle A \rangle > 1$. Accordingly, the relaxation process of $\langle A \rangle$ with the reorganizations of u_i involve fast and slow processes, with reaction speeds $A_i u_i$ and u_i . Thus, the relaxation of $\langle A \rangle$ has several bottlenecks corresponding to the slow processes with speeds in the order of u_i , leading to plateaus. In contrast, the relaxation from a state with little inhomogeneity involves only a fast process with a reaction speed $A_i u_i$, since the inhomogeneity in u_i is not amplified to form a structure. Hence, the relaxation time is small when $\langle A \rangle < c$.

Next, we explain the D_A -dependence of the relaxation time in Fig. 3. As u_i increases at a certain site i , the resource A diffuses into this site from adjacent sites as A_i is consumed at site i . Thus the increase of u_i is further accelerated by the use of A_j at the sites adjacent to i . Consequently, the peak height of u_i can be much higher than is the case when $D_A = 0$. With such increases of the spatial amplitudes, the time intervals between reorganizations of u_i become larger, resulting in an increase of the relaxation time.

On the other hand, if $D_A \gg 1$, the resource A is consumed faster due to the diffusion of A . In this case, the speed of the flow of A_i is higher than that due to the reaction $u_i \xrightarrow{v_i}$ for sites with large u_i . Therefore, the resource A_i is consumed continuously by sites with large u_i , and a reorganization of the spatial structure u_i no longer occurs. In this case, the consumption speed of A_i goes up to the level for the relaxation from a homogeneous pattern. Hence, the relaxation time to equilibrium for the case $D_A \gg 1$ is much smaller than for the case with $D_A < 1$, even when $\langle A \rangle > c$. The peak of the relaxation time in Fig. 3(b) is thus explained.

Note that the mechanism for the slowing down of relaxation processes proposed here is rather general. Take any reaction-diffusion system in which dissipative structures are formed by constraining the concentrations of some resource chemicals in such a way that their values are larger than their equilibrium values. Then consider the corresponding closed system, where the dynamics of the resource chemical(s) is incorporated. Now the proposed mechanism for slowing down the relaxation process is possible if the following two conditions are fulfilled.

First, the reaction and diffusion processes of the chemicals that give rise to the non-equilibrium conditions should not be too fast compared to those of the other chemicals. The diffusion constants as well as the reaction rates for the consumption of the resource chemicals should be smaller than those for the others. This leads to differences in the time scales of the concentration changes and thus, when the pattern formation progresses fast enough, the consumption of resource chemicals to support the non-equilibrium conditions slows. Then, the

changes of resource chemical concentrations work as a kind of slow variables (or parameters) of the system.

Second, the consumption of resources should slow down due to feedback from the spatial structure. In the present example, the consumption of resources is completed soon at sites with higher u_i and for other sites with very small concentrations of u_i , the consumption reaction progresses only slowly. Hence the overall depletion of resources is much slower than in the case with a homogeneous concentration of u_i .

In general, it is not particularly difficult to satisfy these two conditions, and indeed we have confirmed the present mechanism by studying some variants of the present reaction-diffusion system.

If only the second condition is satisfied but not the first condition, some increase in the relaxation time is still observed, but it is neither drastic nor does the relaxation have several plateaus. As an example we consider the reaction system i) $a_i + v_i + 2u_i \xrightarrow{k} a_i^0 + 3u_i$, ii) $b_i + u_i \xrightarrow{v_i} b_i + v_i$, iii) $e_i + u_i \xrightarrow{c_i} e_i + c_i$, iv) $f_i + v_i \xrightarrow{d_i} f_i + d_i$, with diffusion. If we set $q = 0$ and $c_i = c (= \text{const})$, this model is equivalent to a Brusselator [1, 12] model with the reactions reversed, while it corresponds to the Gray-Scott model [10, 11], again with the reactions reversed, if $b_i = 0$ and $d_i = d (= \text{const})$. In this case too, Turing patterns are formed if the concentrations of resource and waste chemicals are fixed as $a_i = a_0$ with $k \ll 1$ in order to keep the non-equilibrium condition. By including the dynamics of a_i and by choosing a large a_i initially, a structure is formed if the initial inhomogeneity is not too small, in the same way as for our model above. Indeed, some amplification of the relaxation time is observed here. However, once the initially formed structures are destroyed, new large structures are not produced. Hence, the enhancement of the relaxation time in this case is not as significant as in the previous case.

In this Letter, the relaxation process to equilibrium is investigated through a closed coupled chemical reactor system. Under certain conditions, we have found that the relaxation is drastically hindered once a pattern is formed. In addition, we have observed repeated formations of patterns. With this itinerancy over patterns, the relaxation is further slowed down as compared to the case without the structure formation.

In experimental studies of dissipative structures, the system under consideration is usually set to be open in order to sustain the non-equilibrium condition. Still, even in closed systems, dissipative structures are often observed as transients which may last for rather long time spans (recall for example the Belousov-Zhabotinsky reaction in a petri dish). By choosing a suitable reaction system, it will be possible to demonstrate the present enhancement of the relaxation time due to the transient dissipative structure. In relationship with the possibility of an experimental observation, it should be noted

that the slow relaxation process we studied here does not progress gradually, but repeats residence at several quasi-stationary states corresponding to certain structures. The quasi-stationary states associated with the successive spatial structures act as bottlenecks for the relaxation process.

In complex reaction systems, with more chemical components, the relaxation process could further be slowed down. For example, assume that A and B in our model are synthesized by lower-level resources A^0 and B^0 , and that these reactions also satisfy the mechanism demonstrated in the present paper. By a hierarchy of such reactions, the relaxation time is then expected to be further increased. This may provide some insight into why a cell system can maintain a non-equilibrium state over a huge time span.

The authors are grateful to F. H. Willeboordse for useful discussions. This work is supported by Grant-in-Aids for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan (11CE2006).

Structures to Order through Fluctuations (John Wiley & Sons, 1977).

- [2] H. Haken: *Advanced Synergetics: Instability Hierarchies of Self-Organizing Systems and Devices* (Springer-Verlag GmbH & Co. KG, 1983).
- [3] Y. Kuramoto: *Chemical Oscillations, Waves and Turbulence* (Springer, Berlin, 1984).
- [4] A. T. Winfree: *The Geometry of Biological Time* (Springer, New York, 1980).
- [5] L. A. Blumfeld and A. N. Tikhonov: *Biophysical Thermodynamics of Intracellular Processes* (Springer-Verlag New York Inc. 1994).
- [6] P. E. Strizhak and J. A. Pojman: *Chaos* 6 (1996) 461.
- [7] M. Rustici, C. Caravati, E. Petretto, M. Branca, and N. Marchettini: *J. Phys. Chem A* 103 (1999) 6564.
- [8] M. Masia, N. Marchettini, V. Zambrano, and M. Rustici: *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 341 (2001) 285.
- [9] R. M. Noyes: *J. Chem. Phys.* 64 (1976) 1266.
- [10] P. Gray and S. K. Scott, *Ber. Bunsenges phys. Chem.*, 90 (1986) 985.
- [11] J. E. Pearson, *Science* 261 (1993) 189.
- [12] R. Lefever, G. Nicolis, and P. Borckmans: *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans 1* 84 (1988) 1013.
- [13] I. R. Epstein, and I. Lengyel: *Physica D* 84 (1995) 1.

[1] G. Nicolis and I. Prigogine: *SELF-ORGANIZATION IN NONEQUILIBRIUM SYSTEMS - From Dissipative*




FIG. 1: Typical temporal evolutions of u_i (left), A_i (right) for $D_v = 250$, $D_A = 0$, and $S = 4$. (a) $\delta = 0.1$ and (b) $\delta = 4.0$ plotted until $\langle A \rangle$ becomes smaller than $0.001A_{ini}$. (c) The time evolutions of $\langle A \rangle$ corresponding to (a) and (b). (d) Three typical snapshots of spatial patterns in (b) which are plotted at the time step shown by the arrows.




FIG. 2: Time course of $\langle A \rangle^0(t)$; $F(t)$ obtained from the same simulation of Fig. 1 (b). See text for the definition of $\langle A \rangle^0$ and F .




FIG. 3: (a) The average relaxation time T , plotted as a function of δ , for $D_A = 0$, $D_A = 0.25$, and $D_A = 25$, and (b) T as a function of D_A for $\delta = 0.1$ and $\delta = 4$. $D_V = 250$.