Symmetry constraints for dispersionless integrable equations and systems of hydrodynamic type

L.V. Bogdanov and B.G. Konopelchenko^y

A bstract

Sym metry constraints for (2+1)-dimensional dispersionless integrable equations are considered. It is demonstrated that they naturally lead to system sofhydrodynamic type which arise within the reduction method. One also easily obtaines an associated complex curve (Sato function) and corresponding generating equations. Dispersionless KP and 2DTL hierarchy are considered as illustrative examples.

1 Introduction

D ispersionless integrable equations arise in various contexts and have variety of applications from complex analysis to topological eld theory (see [1]-[22]). The reduction method seems to be the most developed method of construction of exact solutions of dispersionless equations [5, 6, 8, 17], [23]-[34]. It reveals a close connection between such equations and certain systems of hydrodynamic type, emphasising a role of complex curves (Sato functions). The reduction method has been successfully applied to several dispersionless equations. However, an intrinsic background of its validity and e ciency seems to remain not very clear.

In the present paper it is shown that systems of hydrodynamic type arising within the reduction method are nothing but the symmetry constrained (2+1)-dimensional integrable equations. We will consider here the dispersionless KP and 2DTL hierarchies.

First, we nd a general in nitesim al honisospectral' sym m etry transformation of the dispersionless—function (free energy F). Then we impose sym m etry constraint of the type 'isospectral sym m etry = nonisospectral sym m etry', similar to dispersionfull case [35]-[40]. It is demonstrated that

LD. Landau ITP, Kosygin str. 2, Moscow 119334, Russia

 $^{^{\}mathrm{y}}$ D ipartim ento di F isica dell' U niversita di Lecce and Sezione IN FN , 73100 Lecce, Italy

such a constraint reduces (2+1)-dim ensional dispersion less equation to a set of (1+1)-dim ensional systems of hydrodynamic type with a nite number of dependent variables. We present also a simple way to not an associated complex curve and to construct generating equations.

Our approach starts with the 0-m ethod applied to dispersionless equations. It provides us with a simple and natural way to consider symmetries and symmetry constraints. At the sametime, an analysis of such constraints indicates a direction of a possible extension of the quasiclassical 0-m ethod.

2 Quasiclassical @-m ethod

D ispersionless integrable hierarchies can be described in dierent forms within dierent approaches. In the papers [41, 42, 44] it was shown that such hierarchies can be introduced starting with the nonlinear Beltram i equation (quasi-classical @-problem)

$$S_z = W (z;z;S_z); (1)$$

where z 2 C, bar m eans complex conjugation, $S_z = \frac{\text{@S}(z;z)}{\text{@z}}$; $S_z = \frac{\text{@S}(z;z)}{\text{@z}}$; and W (quasi-classical @-data) is an analytic function of S_z ,

$$W (z;z;S_z) = \sum_{p=0}^{x^2} w_p (z;z) (S_z)^p :$$
 (2)

Applying the quasi-classical @-dressing m ethod based on equation (1), one can get dispersionless integrable hierarchies and the corresponding addition formulae in a very regular and simple way. Such an approach reveals also the connection of dispersionless hierarchies with the quasi-conformal mappings on the plane.

The speci c choice of the hierarchy depends on the choice of dom ain G which is the support of the ℓ -data. Once the dom ain is xed, we introduce the class of functions $S_0(z)$, having the derivative $\ell_z S_0(z)$ analytic in G, and formulate the boundary problem in G in the following way. Let the function $S_0(z)$ be given. The problem is to nd the function $S=S_0+$ 9, satisfying (1) in G, with ℓ 9 analytic outside G and decreasing at in nity

Introducing param eterization of the class of functions $S_0\left(z\right)$ in terms of in nite number of variables (times), and using the technique of quasi-classical θ -dressing method, it is possible to demonstrate that $S\left(z;z;t\right)$ is a solution of H am ilton-Jacobi equations of corresponding dispersionless hierarchy.

For dispersionless KP (dKP) hierarchy we take unit disc D as G, and param eterization of $S_0\left(z\right)$ is given by

$$S_0(z;t) = \sum_{n=1}^{X^1} t_n z^n;$$
 (3)

 $S(z;z;t)=S_0+$ \$, \$ is analytic outside the unit disc, and at in nity it has an expansion \$=\$ $\frac{1}{i=1}S_i(t)z^i$. The quantity $p=\frac{\varrho S}{\varrho t_1}$ is a basic hom eom orphism [42]. Im portant role in the theory of dKP hierarchy is played by the equation

$$p(z)$$
 $p(z_1) + z_1 \exp(D(z_1)S(z)) = 0; z 2 C; z_1 2 C nD; (4)$

(where D (z) is the quasiclassical vertex operator, D (z) = $\frac{P}{n=1} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{z^n} \frac{1}{e^n t_n}$, p = 1, p =

$$\mathfrak{S}(z;t) = D(z)F(t); \tag{5}$$

and provides the dispersionless addition formula.

For the dispersionless 2D T L (d2D T L) hierarchy the @-data are localized on the domain G which is an annulus a < jzj < b, where a;b (a;b 2 R, a;b > 0;b > a) are arbitrary, S₀ can be represented as [8, 12, 47]

$$S_0 (t; x; y) = t \log z + \sum_{n=1}^{x^n} z^n x_n + \sum_{n=1}^{x^n} y_n :$$
 (6)

We assume that \$(z) $P_{n=1} \frac{S_n}{z^n}$ as z ! 1 and denote $\$(0) = , G_+ = fz; zj > bg$, $G_- = fz; zj < ag$. The functions $p_+ = \frac{\varrho S}{\varrho x_1}$ and $p_- = \frac{\varrho S}{\varrho y_1}$ have pole singularities while $p_- = \frac{\varrho S}{\varrho t}$ has a logarithm ic singularity. Relations, characterizing the —function F , are = DF, $\$(z_1) = D_+(z_1)F$ ($z_1 2 G_+$), $\$(z_2) = D_-(z_2)F$ ($z_2 2 G_-$), where $D_+(z) = \frac{1}{n=1} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho x_n}$, $D_-(z) = \frac{\varrho}{n=1} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho x_n}$, $D_-(z) = \frac{\varrho}{n=1} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho x_n}$, $D_-(z) = \frac{\varrho}{n=1} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho x_n}$, $D_-(z) = \frac{\varrho}{n=1} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n$

$$e^{p(z)}$$
 $e^{p(z_1)} + z_1 e^{D_+(z_1)S(z)} = 0;$ $z \ 2 \ C; z_1 \ 2 \ G_+;$ (7)

$$e^{p(z)}$$
 1 $e^{D(z_2)S(z)} = z_2 e^{(D(z_2))}$; $z = 2 \cdot z_2 \cdot z_2 \cdot z_3 \cdot z_3 \cdot z_4 \cdot z_4 \cdot z_5 \cdot$

these relations also provide dispersionless addition formulae for F.

3 -function and sym m etries

It was noted in [44] that equation (1) is a Lagrangian one. It can be obtained by variation of the action (for the boundary problem in G)

$$f = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{S}_z \mathfrak{S}_z \quad W \quad _1 (z; z; S_z) \quad dz \wedge dz; \tag{9}$$

w here

$$W_{1}(z;z;S_{z}) = \sum_{p=0}^{X^{1}} W_{p}(z;z) \frac{(S_{z})^{p+1}}{p+1};$$
 @ $W_{1}(z;z;) = W_{1}(z;z;)$:

One should consider independent variations of \$, possessing required analytic properties (analytic outside G, decreasing at in nity), keeping S₀ xed.

In [48] it was proved for dispersionless KP and 2DTL hierarchies that the action (9) evaluated on the solution of nonlinear Beltram i equation (1) gives a —function of the corresponding hierarchy. Here we formulate rst an auxiliary statement, valid for an hierarchy with arbitrary G, and then recall the results of [48].

Lem m a 1 Let us evaluate the action (9) on the solution of the boundary problem for (1) and consider it as a functional of S_0 ,

$$F(S_0) = \frac{1}{2 i} \frac{1}{g} S_z S_z \quad W_1(z;z;S_z) dz^dz$$
 (10)

T hen

$$F = \frac{1}{2 i} \sum_{\alpha \in G}^{Z} S d S_0:$$
 (11)

U sing the formula (11), it is easy to reproduce the statements of the work [48].

Proposition 1 The function

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{2 i} \sum_{D} \frac{1}{2} \mathscr{F}_{z}(t) \mathscr{F}_{z}(t) \quad W_{1}(z;z;S_{z}(t)) \quad dz^{dz}; \quad (12)$$

i.e., the action (9) evaluated on the solution of the problem (1), with S_0 given by (3), is a -function of dKP hierarchy.

Proposition 2 The function

$$F(t;x;y) = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{G}^{ZZ} \frac{1}{2} S_z(t;x;y) S_z(t;x;y) \quad W_1(z;z;S_z(t;x;y)) dz^{dz};$$

with S_0 given by (6), evaluated on the solution of the problem (1), is a function of dispersionless 2D T L hierarchy (G is an annulus de ned above).

The function W is the @ data for the quasiclassical@-problem . Its variations provide us with a wide class of variations of the function F (rst we will use form ula (10) de ned for arbitrary G).

For the functions W of the form (2), varying w_n (z;z), one has

$$W = \sum_{n=1}^{X^{1}} W_{n} (z;z) (S_{z})^{n}; W_{1} = \sum_{n=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{W_{n}}{n+1} (S_{z})^{n+1};$$

and

$$F = \frac{ZZ}{2 i} U(z;z;S_z)dz^dz;$$
 (13)

where U (z;z;) is an arbitrary function with the support in z-plane belonging to G, analytic in . The formula (13) gives a general variation (in nitesim alsymmetry transformation) of the -function. Let us consider some special symmetry transformations.

Considering elementary variation $w_{n_0} = u_{n_0}$ (z z_0), $w_n = 0$; $n \in n_0$, one gets

$$F = \frac{n_0}{2 \cdot i} \frac{n_0}{(n_0 + 1)} (S_z)^{n_0 + 1} = z_0;$$
 (14)

and, respectively,

$$\mathfrak{S} = \frac{n_0}{2 i (n_0 + 1)} D (z) (S_z (z_0))^{n_0 + 1} : \tag{15}$$

Taking superposition of elementary variations (14), we obtain a variation of the form

$$F = f(S_{z}(z_0)); \tag{16}$$

where f is an arbitrary analytic function (sum m ation over di erent points and integration over z_0 are also possible).

A nother sim ple sym m etry transform ation is given by

$$F = c(S(\underline{z}) S(\underline{z}_1)); \qquad (17)$$

where z_1 ; z_2 are arbitrary points belonging to the domain G , and c is some constant. Indeed,

$$S(z_{2}) S(z_{1}) = \begin{cases} Z_{z_{2}} & Z_{z_{2}} & Z_{z_{2}} \\ S(z_{2}) & S(z_{1}) = & G(S) = & S_{z}dz + & S_{z}dz \end{cases}$$

$$Z_{z_{2}} = \begin{cases} Z_{z_{2}} & Z_{z_{2}} & Z_{z_{2}} \\ Z_{z_{2}} & Z_{z_{2}} & Z_{z_{2}} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} S_{z}dz + & W(z;z;S_{z})dz; \\ Z_{1} & Z_{1} & Z_{1} \end{cases}$$

thus the function $S(z_2)$ $S(z_1)$ corresponds to the special case of general variation (13). In the lim it z_2 ! z_1 the transform ation (17) is reduced to elementary symmetries (14).

4 Sym m etry constraints

G iven in nitesimal symmetries of the form

$$F = ;$$

(cf., (13), (16), (17)), it is possible to introduce sym metry constraints

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t_{a}} F = : (18)$$

These constraints are preserved by the ows of the hierarchy and represent a reduction of the hierarchy. There is a lot of similarity between constraints (18) and symmetry constraints in the (2+1)-dimensional dispersion full case, which are rather well studied [35]-[40]. Below we will demonstrate that constraints (18) lead to hydrodynamic reductions, and give a regular way to introduce basic objects connected with these reductions.

Technically, in the dispersionful case one starts with linear equations of the hierarchy (which give the hierarchy itself in the form of compatibility conditions). Symmetry constraints connect the potentials in these equations with some special wave functions, and in the end one obtains (1+1)-dimensional integrable system for the set of wave functions. The simplest symmetry constraint for KP hierarchy leads to nonlinear Schrodinger equation.

In the dispersionless case we will follow the same strategy. The role of linear equations of the hierarchy is played by H am ilton-Jacobi equations of the dispersionless hierarchy.

5 Zakharov reduction for the dKP hierarchy

We begin with a simple example of symmetry constraint for the dKP hierarchy. The rst Hamilton-Jacobi equation of dKP hierarchy (dispersionless analogue of Lax equation) is

$$S_v = p^2 + 2u; \quad p = S_x; u = Q_x S_1;$$
 (19)

where $x = t_1$, $y = t_2$. Let us consider the sym m etry constraint

$$F_x = f(S_z(z_0));$$
 (20)

which is equivalent to the condition (cf., (5))

$$u = Q_x f(S_z(z_0))$$
:

Dierentiating (19) with respect to z and evaluating the result at $z=z_0$, we get

$$\frac{\theta_{y}S_{z}(z_{0})}{\theta_{x}S_{z}(z_{0})} = 2p_{0}; p_{0} = p(z_{0}):$$

U sing this equation and evaluating (19) at $z=z_0$, we obtain a system of hydrodynam ic type

$$\theta_{y}p_{0} = \theta_{x} (p_{0}^{2} + 2u);$$

 $\theta_{y}u = 2\theta_{x} (p_{0}u);$
(21)

This system is well-known [2], it represents a dispersionless lim it of nonlinear Schrodinger eqution. Thus a constraint (20) represents a proper dispersionless analogue of the simplest symmetry constraint of KP hierarchy.

It is possible to move further and demonstrate that the symmetry constraint (20) leads to explicit expression for the function z(p), which plays a fundamental role in the picture of constrained hierarchy and allows to nd Riemann invariants and hodograph equations. To do this, we will use generating Hamilton-Jacobi equation (4) rewritten as

D (z)S (z⁰) =
$$\log \frac{p(z) - p(z^0)}{z}$$
; $\dot{y}z\dot{j} > 1$; (22)

which produces in nite set of H am ilton-Jacobi equations by expansion into the powers of z^{-1} at in nity (see, e.g., [47]). Differentiating this equation by z^0 and evaluating the result at $z^0 = z_0$, we get

$$\frac{D(z)S_z(z_0)}{Q_xS_z(z_0)} = \frac{1}{p p_0}:$$

Then, using the constraint (20) and simple formula

$$D(z)F_x = z p$$
;

we obtain the relation

$$z = p + \frac{u}{p p_0}$$
: (23)

The function z(p) (Sato function) plays a crucial role in the picture of dispersionless hierarchy. D ispersionless KP hierarchy (for general z(p)) can be written in the form $\theta_n z(p) = fz_+^n$; zg: R elation (23) de nes the function z(p) for the constrained hierarchy, it is well known [2] and is usually called Zakharov reduction. Thus we have demonstrated that symmetry constraint (20) leads to Zakharov reduction (23).

R iem ann invariants for the constrained hierarchy of equations of hydrodynam ic type (the rst of which is (21)), are given by the values of z (p) (23) at p_i where $\frac{e_z}{e_p}$ vanishes, i = z (p_i), $\frac{e_z}{e_p}$ (p_i) = 0. It is rather straightforward to introduce hodograph transform for the solution of constrained hierarchy [15].

6 Generic sym metry constraint for the dKP hierarchy

Now we will use the symmetry (17) and consider the constraint

$$F_{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} c_{i} (S_{i} \quad S_{i}); \qquad (24)$$

where $S_i = S(z_i)$, $S_i = S(z_i)$, z_i , z_i are some sets of points, and c_i are arbitrary constants. In equivalent form,

$$u = \mathcal{Q}_{x} \quad C_{i}(S_{i} \quad S_{i}) = \begin{matrix} X^{N} \\ C_{i}(p_{i} \quad p_{i}) : \end{matrix}$$

Evaluating Hamilton-Jacobi equation (19) and its higher counterpart

$$S_t = p^3 + 3up \frac{3}{2} S_1;$$

$$\begin{cases}
 & X \\
 & Q_{y}p_{k} = Q_{x} (p_{k}^{2}) + 2 c_{i}(p_{i} p_{i}) \\
 & X^{i} \\
 & C_{i}(p_{i} p_{i}) \\
 & C_{i}(p_{i} p_{i})
\end{cases}$$

$$k = 1; :::; N (25)$$

and

These systems can be written in Hamiltonian form,

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{t_n} p &= J \frac{H_{n+1}}{p}; \quad J &= \begin{pmatrix} C^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & C^{-1} & \theta_x; \\
0 & C^{-1} & \theta_x; \\
H_3 &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} X & X & X \\ 0 & C_1 & p_1^3 & p_1^3 \end{pmatrix} + 3 \begin{pmatrix} X & X & Y \\ 0 & C_1 & p_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix}; \\
H_4 &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} X & X & X & X \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix}; \\
H_4 &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} X & X & X & X \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix}; \\
H_4 &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} X & X & X & X \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix}; \\
H_4 &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} X & X & X & X \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix}; \\
H_5 &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} X & X & X & X & X \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix}; \\
H_6 &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} X & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix}; \\
H_6 &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} X & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix}; \\
H_7 &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} X & Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y & Y & Y \\ 0 & Y & Y$$

where $p = (p_1; ...; p_N; p_1; ...; p_N)^t$, C is diagonal N matrix with the entries c_i , $C_{ik} = c_{i \ ik}$, i; k = 1; ...; N.

P Common solution of the systems (25), (26) generates a solution $u = {}_i c_i (p_i p_i)$ of the dKP equation.

The Sato function z(p), which allows to construct Riemann invariants and higher Ham iltonians, is the central object for the constrained hierarchy. To not it, we, similar to the rst example, use the generating Ham ilton–Jacobi equation (22). Evaluating this equation at z^0 equal to z_i , z_i and combining the results, we get

$$D(z) \quad C_{\underline{i}}(S_{\underline{i}} \quad S_{\underline{i}}) = \quad X^{\underline{N}} \quad C_{\underline{i}} \log \frac{p - p_{\underline{i}}}{p \quad p_{\underline{i}}};$$

$$= \sum_{\underline{i}=1}^{\underline{N}} c_{\underline{i}} \log \frac{p - p_{\underline{i}}}{p \quad p_{\underline{i}}};$$
(27)

Then, due to the constraint (24),

$$D (z)F_x = \sum_{i=1}^{X^N} c_i \log \frac{p p_i}{p p_i};$$

and nally we obtain the function z (p),

$$z = p \qquad c_i \log \frac{p - p_i}{p - p_i}; \qquad (28)$$

Equation $\frac{\partial z}{\partial p} = 0$ is an algebraic one, having 2N roots p, and R iem ann invariants for the constrained hierarchy are = z(p).

Expansion of z (p) at p! 1 looks like

$$z = p + \sum_{n=1}^{x^{i}} \frac{v_{n}}{p^{n}}; \quad v_{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{x^{i}} \frac{c_{i} (p_{i}^{n} p_{i}^{n})}{n};$$
 (29)

Equation (22) allows us to obtain the generating equation for the whole hierarchy of systems of hydrodynamic type associated with the constraint (24). Indeed, dierentiating (22) with respect to x and evaluating it at the points z_i , z_i , one gets

$$D(z)p_k = \theta_x \log (p \quad p_k)$$

$$D(z)p_k = \theta_x \log (p \quad p_k)$$

$$k = 1; :::; N;$$
(30)

where p is a function of z, (p₁;:::;p_N ;p₁;:::;p_N), de ned by the relation (28). Expanding both sides of this system into the powers of z 1 , one gets the system s (25), (26) and their higher counterparts. Introducing to S₀(z;t) dependence on extra time t_{log}, S₀(z⁰)! S₀(z⁰) t_{log} log (1 $\frac{z^0}{z}$), $\frac{\theta}{\theta t_{log}}$ = D (z), we may consider (30) as a system of hydrodynam ic type with the time t_{log}. Ham iltonian for this system is given by the expression

Di erentiating (30) by z, we will get another form of generating system,

8
$$< D_z(z)p_k = Q_x \frac{p_z}{p_z}$$
 $: D_z(z)p_k = Q_x \frac{p_z}{p_z}$
 $k = 1; ...; N;$
(31)

where p; p_z are functions of z, (p₁;:::;p_N;p₁;:::;p_N), de ned by the relation (28). This system can be considered as a system of hydrodynam ic type with the time t_{pole} , S_0 (z^0)! S_0 (z^0) + t_{pole} (z^0) 1, $\frac{e}{et_{pole}}$ = D_z(z). Ham iltonian for the generating system (31) is remarkably simple,

$$H_{pole} = pdx;$$

and its expansion into the powers of z 1 gives a general formula for the H am iltonians of constrained hierarchy,

$$H_n = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{res}_1 z(p)^n dx = \theta_x \mathfrak{S}_n;$$
 (32)

which is in agreem ent with general results [1].

In a sim ilar way one can treat the sym m etry constraints

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t_n} = \sum_{i=1}^{X^N} c_i (S_i S_i)$$
 (33)

In particular, since

$$\frac{\text{@S}}{\text{@t_n}} = p^n + u_{n-2}p^{n-2} +$$

one obtains a corresponding Sato function

E (p) =
$$z^n = p^n + u_{n-2}p^{n-2} + v_{i=1} + v_{i} \log \frac{p}{p} \cdot p_i$$
: (34)

Remark. It is easy to check that in the lim it $\mathbf{z}_i = \mathbf{z}_i + \mathbf{z}_i$! 0, $\mathbf{c}_{i-i} = \text{const}$, the Sato function (34) reproduces the curve

$$z^{n} = p^{n} + u_{n} 2p^{n}^{2} + u_{0} + u_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{a_{i}}{p p_{i}};$$
 (35)

which has been discussed in [15, 17]. At n=1 one gets a general Zakharov reduction.

7 Sym m etry constraint for the d2D T L hierarchy

Now we will consider symmetry reduction of d2D TL hierarchy de ned by the constraint

$$F_{t} = \begin{cases} \dot{X}^{N} \\ c_{i} (S_{i} \quad S'_{i}) : \end{cases}$$
 (36)

Technically this case is very similar to that considered in the previous section, and we will omit some details. The rst Hamilton-Jacobi equations of d2DTL hierarchy are

$$Q_x S(z) = e^p \quad Q_t S_1;$$
 (37)

$$\theta_{v}S = e^{t} p; (38)$$

where $x = x_1$, $y = y_1$. Using the constraint (36), from these equations we get two systems of hydrodynam ic type, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q_{x}p_{i} = Q_{t} e^{p_{i}} + P & Q_{k} (e^{p_{k}} e^{p_{k}}) \\
& Q_{x}p_{i} = Q_{t} e^{p_{i}} + Q_{k} (e^{p_{k}} e^{p_{k}})
\end{aligned} \qquad i = 1; :::; N \qquad (39)$$

and

Both systems can be written in Hamiltonian form,

$$@_{x}p = J - \frac{H_{1}^{+}}{p}; \quad @_{y}p = J - \frac{H_{1}}{p}; \quad J = E + \begin{pmatrix} C & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & C & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

2N m atrix with all entries equal to 1, E $_{ik}$ = 1, i; k = where E is 2N 1;:::;2N , C is diagonal N N matrix with the entries c_i , $C_{ik} = c_{i ik}$, i;k = 1;:::;N, and the H am iltonians are, respectively,

U sing generating equation (7), we obtain the function z (p) for the constrained hierarchy,

$$z = e^{p} \frac{Y^{N}}{e^{p}} \frac{e^{p} e^{p_{k}}}{e^{p} e^{p_{k}}} :$$
 (43)

Starting with second generating equation (8), we get equivalent form of this relation,

$$z = \exp p$$
 x^{N} $c_{k} (p_{k} p_{k})$ x^{N} $\frac{e^{p} e^{p_{k}}}{e^{p} e^{p_{k}}}$: (44)

Equation $\frac{\partial z}{\partial p} = 0$ is algebraic (with respect to the variable $= e^p$), having 2N roots , and R iem ann invariants for the constrained hierarchy are = z().

Generating systems for the constrained hierarchy read

$$D_{+}(z)p_{k} = Q_{t} \log (e^{p} - e^{p_{k}})$$

$$D_{+}(z)p_{k} = Q_{t} \log (e^{p} - e^{p_{k}})$$

$$k = 1; :::; N;$$
(45)

D
$$(z)p_k = \theta_t \log (1 e^{p p_k})$$

D $(z)p_k = \theta_t \log (1 e^{p p_k})$ $k = 1; :::; N;$ (46)

(logarithmic' form) and

8
$$< D_z (z)p_k = Q_t \frac{p_z}{e^{p_k} p} \frac{1}{p_z}$$
 $\vdots D_z (z)p_k = Q_t \frac{p_z}{e^{p_k} p} \frac{1}{1}$
 $k = 1; :::; N;$
(47)

(bole' form). Ham iltonian for the bole' form of generating equations is

$$H (z) = \frac{1}{z} \text{ pdt:}$$

Expansion of this H am iltonian at z=1 and z=0 gives general expressions for the H am iltonians of constrained hierarchy,

$$H_n^+ = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{Z} \text{res}_1 z()^n dt;$$
 (48)

$$H_n = \frac{1}{n}^{2n} \operatorname{res}_0 z()^{n-1} dt;$$
 (49)

where $= e^{p}$.

In m ore detail, the H am iltonian and bi-H am iltonian structure of equation considered above will be considered elsewhere.

Symmetry constraints of the type $F_{x_n}=\sum_{i=1}^{P}c_i(S_i)$ and $F_{y_n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}c_i(S_i)$ are treated analogously. The corresponding Sato function is given by (34) with substitutions $p! e^p$, $p! e^p$, respectively.

8 Conclusion

As we have seen, the systems of hydrodynamic type and associated complex curves (Sato functions) are direct consequences of the generating Hamilton-Jacobi equations (4), (7,8) under the symmetry constraint.

We would like to note here that complex curves discussed above are connected with the 0-equation in a very natural way. Let us consider the dKP hierarchy as an example. The function $p=0_xS$ solves a linear Beltram i equation $p_z=W^0p_z$, and under certain conditions is a basic homeomorphism. The inverse function z(p;p) is analytic in some neighborhood of in nity. The Cauchy-G reen formula implies that

in nity. The Cauchy-G reen formula implies that
$$z=p+\frac{1}{2 \text{ i}} \frac{\text{d}p^0 \wedge \text{d}p^0}{p^0 \text{ p}} \frac{\text{@}z}{\text{@}p^0} \text{:} \tag{50}$$

In the neighborhood of in nity one has

$$z = p + \sum_{n=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{v_{n}}{p^{n}};$$
 (51)

w here

$$v_{n} = \frac{1}{2 i} p^{m-1} \frac{e^{2}}{e^{p^{0}}} dp^{0} dp^{0}$$
(52)

Let us choose a special $\frac{\varrho_z}{\varrho_p}$ with a support along the curve = $_{i}^{S}$ $_{i}^{S}$ composed of N disconnected pieces $_{i}^{S}$ with the ends at the points z_i and z_i^{S} and such that $\frac{\varrho_z}{\varrho_p}$ = $_{i=1}^{N}$ c_{i} $_{i}^{S}$. For such 'bonstrained' ϱ -data formula (50) gives

$$z = p \qquad x^{N} c_{i} \log \frac{p p_{i}}{p p_{i}};$$

while from (52) we obtain

$$v_{n} = \frac{X^{N}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{C_{i} (p_{i}^{n} p_{i}^{n})}{n};$$

that coincides with (28,29). For the ℓ -data given by $\frac{\ell Z}{\ell P} = \sum_{i=1}^{P} a_i$ (p p_i), equation (50) im mediately leads to the Sato function associated with Zakharov reduction (see (35)). Thus, the Cauchy-G reen formula is a generator of complex curves associated with equations of hydrodynamic type.

Equation (50) is the two-dimensional extension of the integral equation discussed in [2], [3].

A cknow ledgm ents

Authors would like to thank Luis Mart nez Alonso for useful discussions. LVB is also grateful to E.V. Ferapontov for attracting his interest to the problem of hydrodynamic reductions. LVB was supported in part by RFBR grant 01-01-00929 and President of Russia grant 1716-2003; BGK was supported in part by the grant COFIN 2002 Sintesi'.

R eferences

- [1] B.A.Kuperschm idt and Yu.I.M anin, Funk. Anal Appl I 11 (3), 31{42 (1977); II 17 (1), 25{37 (1978).
- [2] V.E.Zakharov, Func. Anal. Priloz. 14, 89{98 (1980); Physica 3D, 193{202 (1981).
- [3] J.G ibbons, Phys. D 3 (1981), no. 3, 503{511
- [4] P.D. Lax and C.D. Leverm ore, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 36, 253(290, 571(593, 809(830 (1983).
- [5] I.M. Krichever, Func. Anal. Priloz., 22, 37(52 (1988).
- [6] Y.Kodama, Phys. Lett. 129A, 223{226 (1988); 147A, 477{482 (1990).
- [7] B.A.Dubrovin and S.P.Novikov, Russian Math. Surveys, 44, 35{124 (1989).

- [8] K. Takasaki and T. Takebe, Int. J. M od. Phys. A, Suppl. 1B, 889 (922 (1992).
- [9] I.M. Krichever, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 47, 437 (475 (1994).
- [10] N.M. Ercolani et al, eds., Singular limits of dispersive waves, Nato Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys. 320, Plenum, New York (1994).
- [11] V.E.Zakharov, D ispersionless lim it of integrable systems in (2+1)-dimensions, in [10], pp.165{174 (1994)
- [12] K. Takasasi and T. Takebe, Rev. M ath. Phys., 7,743 (808 (1995).
- [13] R.Carrolland Y.Kodama, J.Phys. A Math. Gen. 28, 6373 (6387 (1995).
- [14] S. Jin, C. D. Leverm ore and D. W. M. cLaughlin, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., 52, 613(654 (1999).
- [15] I.M.Krichever, Comm.Math.Phys., 143, 415(429 (1992).
- [16] B.A. Dubrovin, Comm. Math. Phys. 145, 195{207 (1992); B.A. Dubrovin, Nucl. Phys. B 379, 627{289 (1992); B.A. Dubrovin and Y. Zhang, Comm. Math. Phys. 198, 311{361 (1998).
- [17] S.Aoyam a and Y.Kodam a, Commun. Math. Phys. 182, 185{219 (1996).
- [18] Y.Kodam a, SIAM J.ApplM ath. 59, 2162 (2192 (1999)
- [19] Y.G ibbons and S.P.T sarev, Phys. Lett. 258A, 263(271 (1999).
- [20] M.M. ineev-W. einstein P.B.W. iegm ann and A.Zabrodin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5106 (5109 (2000).
- [21] P.B.W iegm ann and A.Zabrodin, Commun.Math.Phys. 213, 523 [538 (2000).
- [22] M .D unajski, L.J.M ason and P.Tod, J.Geom .Phys. 37, 63{93 (2001).
- [23] E.V. Ferapontov, D.A. Korotkin and V.A. Shram chenko, Class. Quantum Grav. 19, L205 (L210 (2002).
- [24] E.V. Ferapontov and M.V. Pavlov, Classical Quantum Gravity 20 (2003), no. 11, 2429 (2441
- [25] M.V.Pavlov, J.Math.Phys. 44 (2003), no. 9, 4134 (4156
- [26] E.V. Ferapontov and K.R. Khusnutdinova, On integrability of (2+1)-dimensional quasilinear systems, arXiv:nlin.SI/0305044
- [27] E.V. Ferapontov and K.R. Khusnutdinova, The characterization of two-component (2+1)-dim ensional integrable systems of hydrodynamic type, arXiv:nlin.SI/0310021
- [28] M.Maras, L.Mart nez Alonso and E.Medina, J.Phys. A 35(2), 401(417 (2002).
- [29] L.M art nez A lonso and M.M aras, J.M ath. Phys 44 (8), (2003).
- [30] L.M art nez A lonso and M.M amas, A hodograph transform ation which applies to the heavenly equation, arXiv:nlin.SI/0209050
- [31] F.Guil, M. Manas and L. Mart nez Alonso, J. Phys. A 36 (2003), no.14, 4047 (4062)
- [32] J.H. Chang and M.H. Tu, J. Math. Phys. 41 (2000), no. 12, 8117 (8131
- [33] J.H. Chang and M.H. Tu, J. Phys. A 34 (2001), no. 2, 251 (272
- [34] Y.-T.Chen and M.-H.Tu, J. Phys. A 36 (2003), 9875{9883
- [35] B.Konopelchenko and W. Strampp, Inverse Problems 7 (1991), no. 2, L17 (L24

- [37] B.Konopelchenko and W. Strampp, J. Math. Phys. 33 (1992), no. 11, 3676 (3686
- [38] Y. Cheng and Y. S. Li, Phys. Lett. A 157 (1991), no. 1, 22{26
- [39] Z.Yunbo, J. Phys. A 24 (1991), no. 18, L1065 (L1072)
- [40] A Yu O rlov, Sym m etries for Unifying Di erent Soliton Systems into a Single Integrable Hierarchy, preprint INS/Oce04/03, March 1991; the report at the NEEDS workshop, Gallipolli 1991.
- [41] B \mathcal{G} . K onopelchenko, L . M art nez A lonso and O . R agnisco, J . P hys. A : M ath . G en . 34, 10209(10217 (2001).
- [42] B. Konopelchenko and L. Mart nez Alonso, Phys. Lett. A 286, 161 (166 (2001).
- [43] B.Konopelchenko and L.Mart nez Alonso, J.Math. Phys. 43 (7), 3807 (3823 (2002)
- [44] B. Konopelchenko and L. Mart nez Alonso, Stud. Appl. Math. 109 (2002), no. 4, 313{336
- [45] IN. Vekua, Generalized analytic functions, Pergam on Press, Oxford (1962).
- [46] IK. Kostov et al, -function for analytic curves, in: Random matrices and their applications, MSRIPublications, v. 40, 1{15 (2001).
- [47] L.V.Bogdanov, B.G.Konopelchenko and L.M art nez Alonso, Teor.M at.Fiz.134(1), 46(54 (2003).
- [48] L.V.Bogdanov and B.G.Konopelchenko, Nonlinear Beltram i equation and $\,$ -function for dispersionless hierarchies, arXiv:nlin.SI/0310040