Loschmidt echo for a chaotic oscillator

A. Iomin
Department of Physics, Technion, Haifa, 32000, Israel.

Chaotic dynamics of a nonlinear oscillator is considered in the semiclassical approximation. The Loschmidt echo is calculated for a time scale which is of the power law in semiclassical parameter. It is shown that an exponential decay of the Loschmidt echo is due to a Lyapunov exponent and it has a pure classical nature.
PACS numbers: 05.45Mt, 05.60Gg, 03.65Sq.

arXiv:nlin/0312018v1 [nlin.CD] 9 Dec 2003

Classical chaotic dynamics can be characterized by a Lyapunov exponent Λ. The quantized procedure stops the classical spread of stretching and folding due to the uncertainty principle and, as a result, breaks the applicability of semiclassical approximation. The corresponding breaking time was found in [1] to be:

$$\tau_\hbar = (1/\Lambda)\ln(I_0/\hbar), \tag{1}$$

where I_0 is a characteristic action. It indicates a fast (exponential) growth of quantum corrections to the classical dynamics due to chaos. Recently this result gained a renewed interest also related to the fidelity of wave functions or the Loschmidt echo. In the field of quantum chaos a question on stability of trajectories can be re-addressed to stability of wave functions respect to a small variation of a control parameter [3] called the fidelity of the wave functions, which is a measure of a quantum reversibility. It is also named "Loschmidt echo" [4], and it is known that on the time scale of the order of $\tau_\hbar$ it decays exponentially $\sim e^{-\Lambda t}$ [4]. Different regimes of decay of the Loschmidt echo have been observed for *i.g.* integrable [5,6], chaotic [5,7,8] and pseudo-integrable [9] systems (see also references therein).

In the present paper, we show that the Loschmidt echo in the nonlinear kicked oscillator decays exponentially due to the Lyapunov exponent in a, so–called, Lyapunov regime [4,8]. An analytical expression for this behavior in the framework of the semiclassical expansion is obtained.

Dynamics of a nonlinear oscillator with the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0 = \hbar\omega a^\dagger a + \hbar^2\mu(a^\dagger a)^2$ is integrable [10]. Here ω and μ are linear frequency and nonlinearity parameters correspondingly, while, for the chosen notation, annihilation and creation operators have the commutation rule $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$. There is nontrivial semiclassical expansion that leads to an appearance of so–called D–forms. These forms are determined as derivatives over the initial conditions, say, (α, α^*) as

$$D \equiv D(A,B) = \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial \alpha}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha^*}\right), \tag{2}$$

where $A(B) = \langle\psi(\alpha)|\hat{A}(\hat{B})|\psi(\alpha)\rangle$ is the average value of the operator. Therefore, the D-forms determine the local instability of a dynamical system. In the presence of a perturbation dynamics becomes chaotic and the exponential growth of the D–forms due to the Lyapunov exponents leads to the logarithmic breaking time of Eq. (1), known also as the Ehrenfest time. That result could be transparently seen in the coherent state basis [1,2,10], and it is independent of the choice of the initial basis of wave functions [11]. Role of the D-forms as a sensitivity of dynamical variables to the initial conditions or to the perturbation can be also re-addressed to the wave functions. More detailed consideration on the D-forms for different dynamical realizations in the nonlinear oscillator is considered separately elsewhere [12].

Originally, a question on sensitivity of wave functions to a variance was asked in [3] to characterize quantum chaos by the fidelity of the wave function

$$M(t) = |\langle\psi_0|\exp\{i\int(\mathcal{H}+\delta\mathcal{H})dt/\hbar\}\exp\{-i\int\mathcal{H}dt/\hbar\}|\psi_0\rangle|^2. \tag{3}$$

It characterizes an evolution of the initial wave function ψ_0 governed by the two slightly different Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}$ and the variational Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}+\delta\mathcal{H}$. $M(t)$ was also referred to as the "Loschmidt echo" [4], where dynamics of the initial wave function due to $\mathcal{H}$ after time t is reversed back to the initial state with the variational Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}+\delta\mathcal{H}$. The dynamical decay of the overlap is characterized by the Lyapunov exponent on some semiclasical time scale τ_{scl} that also stands to be determined. We will consider analytically the overlap function $M(t)$ for chaotic dynamics of the nonlinear oscillator in the presence of a periodic perturbation of the form of δ–kicks with a period T and an amplitude ϵ. The Hamiltonian of the system is

$$\mathcal{H} = \hbar\omega a^\dagger a + \hbar^2\mu(a^\dagger a)^2 - \hbar\epsilon(a^\dagger + a)\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\delta(t-nT) \equiv \mathcal{H}_0 + V\,. \tag{4}$$

For the initial wave function we choose the coherent states. At the moment $t = 0$ it determined as an eigenfunction of the annihilation operator: $a(t=0)|\alpha(t=0)\rangle \equiv a|\alpha\rangle = \alpha|\alpha\rangle$. It enables one to study their dynamical evolution analytically in the semiclassical limit. Evolution of a generating function was in detail considered in [13]. We borrow some details of that analysis with corresponding adaptation to the present consideration. The evolution operator is

$$U(t) = \widehat{\exp}\left\{-i\int_0^t d\tau\left[\omega a^\dagger a + \hbar\mu(a^\dagger a)^2 - \epsilon g(\tau)(a^\dagger + a)\right]\right\}, \tag{5}$$

where $\widehat{\exp}$ means T-ordered exponential. Under this symbol all exponents commute. Therefore, one can use the following Stratonovich–Hubbard transform [15] for the exponential

$$\widehat{\exp}\left[-i\hbar\mu T\int_0^t d\tau(a^\dagger a)^2/T\right] = \int\prod_\tau \frac{d\lambda(\tau)}{\sqrt{4\pi i\kappa}} e^{i\int_0^t d\tau\lambda^2(\tau)/4\kappa}\cdot e^{-i\int_0^t d\tau\lambda(\tau)a^\dagger a}\,, \tag{6}$$

where we use that $\kappa = \hbar\mu t$ and $t/T \to t$ is a number of kicks represented in the continuous form. We take into account that the harmonic oscillator, acting on the coherent state, changes its phase only, and the perturbation V acts as a shift operator. Namely, *e.g.* for the period one writes

$$e^{i\epsilon(a^\dagger+a)}e^{-i\phi_\lambda(1)a^\dagger a}|\alpha\rangle = \exp[i(\epsilon/2)(\alpha e^{-i\phi_\lambda(1)} + \alpha^* e^{i\phi_\lambda(1)}]|\alpha e^{-i\phi_\lambda(1)a^\dagger a} + i\epsilon\rangle\,,$$

where $\phi_\lambda(1) = \int_0^1 d\tau[\omega T + \lambda(\tau)]$ is a phase on the period 1. Finely, we obtain the wave function in the form of the following functional integral [13]

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(t) = U(t)|\alpha\rangle = \int\prod_\tau\left(d\lambda(\tau)/\sqrt{4\pi i\kappa}\right)\exp\left[i\int_0^t d\tau\lambda^2(\tau)/4\kappa\right]\\ \times\exp\left[i\epsilon\int_0^t d\tau g(\tau)(\alpha^*_\lambda(\tau) + \alpha_\lambda(\tau))/2\right]|\alpha_\lambda(t)\rangle\,,\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$\alpha(t) = e^{-i\phi_\lambda(t)}a(t) = e^{-i\phi_\lambda(t)}\left[\alpha + i\epsilon\int_0^t d\tau g(\tau)e^{i\phi_\lambda(\tau)}\right], \tag{8}$$

$$\phi_\lambda(t) = \int_0^t d\tau[\omega T + \lambda(\tau)]\,. \tag{9}$$

The wave function (7) is the evolution of the initial ket-vector $|\alpha\rangle$ due to the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ of (4). To obtain an echo, we reverse dynamics at the moment t back to $t = 0$ with a random (*e.g.* Gaussian) time-dependent process $\eta(t)$ to add it to the linear frequency ω. This variation of the linear frequency affects efficiently the chaos control parameter K, since the last is $K = 4\epsilon\mu T|\alpha(t)|^2$ [1]. Therefore, evolution of the initial bra-vector $\langle\alpha|$ is due to the variational Hamiltonian [14] $\mathcal{H} + \delta\mathcal{H}$, with the random time-dependent frequency:

$$\omega \to \omega_\eta = \omega + \eta(t)/T\,.$$

The wave function of the variational motion is

$$\Psi^*_\eta(t) = [U(\eta,t)|\alpha\rangle]^\dagger\,. \tag{10}$$

For simplicity, we consider the Loschmidt echo averaged over the Gaussian distribution

$$P[\eta(t)] \equiv P(\eta(t),\bar\eta,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\sigma}}\exp\left[-\int_0^t d\tau\left(\eta(\tau)-\bar\eta\right)^2/4\sigma\right]$$

with the non-zero first $\bar{\eta}$ and the second σ moments. It reads

$$M_\sigma(t) = \int \prod_\tau d\eta(\tau) P[\eta(\tau)] M(\eta, t)\,, \tag{11}$$

where

$$M(\eta, t) = |\langle \Psi_\eta(t)|\Psi(t)\rangle|^2 \tag{12}$$

is the Loschmidt echo for a one fixed realization of $\eta(\tau)$ with $0 < \tau < t$. Denoting by

$$\beta_\lambda = -i \int_0^t d\tau g(\tau)\alpha_\lambda(\tau)\,,$$

we obtain the scalar product of the wave function in (12) in the form

$$\mathcal{M}(\eta, t) \equiv \mathcal{M} = \langle \Psi_\eta(t)|\Psi(t)\rangle = \int \prod_\tau \frac{d\lambda_1(\tau) d\lambda_2(\tau)}{4\pi\kappa} \exp\left[\frac{i}{4\kappa}\int_0^t d\tau \left(\lambda_1^2(\tau) - \lambda_2^2(\tau)\right)\right]$$
$$\times \exp\left[iIm(\alpha^*_{\lambda_2}\alpha_{\lambda_1} - \epsilon\beta_{\lambda_1} - \epsilon\beta^*_{\lambda_2}) - \frac{1}{2}|\alpha_{\lambda_1} - \alpha_{\lambda_2}|\right]. \tag{13}$$

In the semiclassical limit $\kappa \ll 1$ this integral is strongly simplified and its evaluation is analytically tractable. After linear change of variables

$$\lambda_1 = 2\mu + \kappa\nu/2, \quad \lambda_2 = 2\mu - \kappa\nu/2 - \eta\,, \tag{14}$$

the phases are

$$\phi_{\lambda_1} = \int d\tau[\omega T + \lambda_1] = \int d\tau[\omega T + 2\mu + \kappa\nu/2],$$

$$\phi_{\lambda_2} = \int d\tau[\omega T + \lambda_2 + \delta] = \int d\tau[\omega T - 2\mu + \kappa\nu/2].$$

The Jacobian of the transformation is 2κ. Taking into account these expressions for the phases we obtain from (8) in the semiclassical approximation that

$$\alpha^*_{\lambda_2}(t)\alpha_{\lambda_1}(t) - \epsilon\beta_{\lambda_1} - \epsilon\beta^*_{\lambda_2} \approx -i\kappa \int_0^t d\tau\nu(\tau)|a(\tau)|^2\,, \tag{15}$$

$$e^{i\phi_{2\mu}(t)}[\alpha_{\lambda_1} - \alpha_{\lambda_2}] \approx -i\kappa \int_0^t d\tau\nu(\tau)a(\tau)\,. \tag{16}$$

Here $a(\tau)$, defined in (8), is taken for $\nu(\tau) \equiv 0$. It gives the definition of the classical action as $I(t) = \kappa|a(t)|^2$ for $\kappa \to 0$ and $|a(t)|^2 \to \infty$. To carry out integration over $\nu(\tau)$ we use the following auxiliary expression [13]

$$\exp\left[-(\kappa^2/2)|\int_0^t d\tau\nu(\tau)a(\tau)|^2\right] = \frac{2}{\pi\kappa}\int d^2\xi e^{-2|\xi|^2/\kappa} \exp\left[-i\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{2} Re\xi^* \int_0^t d\tau\nu(\tau)a(\tau)\right] \tag{17}$$

Substituting (15), (16) and (17) into (13), we obtain for the scalar product of the wave functions

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{2}{\kappa\pi}\int d^2\xi e^{-2|\xi|^2/\kappa} \int \prod_\tau \frac{d\mu(\tau) d\nu(\tau)}{2\pi} \exp\left[\frac{-i}{4\kappa}\int d\tau \left(4\mu(\tau) - \eta(\tau)\right)\left(\kappa\nu(\tau) - \eta(\tau)\right)\right]$$
$$\exp\left[i\kappa \int d\tau\nu(\tau)(|a(\tau) + \xi|^2 - |\xi|^2)\right]. \tag{18}$$

The functional integrations over $\nu(\tau)$ is exact and gives the δ–function in μ. Hence the integration over $\mu(\tau)$ is also exact. After these integrations we obtain from (18)

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{2}{\kappa\pi}\int d^2\xi e^{-2|\xi|^2/\kappa}\exp\left[\frac{i}{\kappa}\int d\tau\eta(\tau)\bar{I}_{cl}(\alpha,\alpha^*,\tau)\right], \tag{19}$$

where $\bar{I}_{cl}(\alpha,\alpha^*,\tau) \equiv I(\omega T - 2|\xi|^2, \alpha+\xi, \alpha^*+\xi^*, \tau)$, and we neglect a small term of the order of η^2 in the exponential. Let us expand the last exponential in (19) in the Taylor series in ξ and ξ^*. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\exp\left[\tfrac{i}{\kappa}\textstyle\int d\tau\eta(\tau)\bar{I}_{cl}(\alpha,\alpha^*,\tau)\right] \equiv \mathcal{F}(\omega T - 2|\xi|^2, \alpha+\xi, \alpha^*+\xi^*)\\ &= \textstyle\sum_{m,n,l}\frac{1}{n!m!l!}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial\alpha^m}\frac{\partial^n}{\partial\alpha^{*n}}\frac{\partial^l}{\partial(\omega T)^l}\mathcal{F}(\omega T,\alpha,\alpha^*)\cdot\xi^m\xi^{*n}(-2|\xi|^2)^l\,.\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Substituting (20) in (19) and taking into account that

$$\frac{2}{\pi\kappa}\int d^2\xi e^{-2|\xi|^2/\kappa}\xi^p\xi^{*q} = \sqrt{(\kappa/2)^{p+q}}\sqrt{p!q!}\delta_{p,q}\,,$$

we obtain an expression for the scalar product in the form of the expansion in the semiclassical parameter κ

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{n,l}\frac{(n+l)!}{n!n!l!}\frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial\alpha^n\partial\alpha^{*n}}\frac{\partial^l}{\partial(\omega T)^l}\mathcal{F}(\omega T,\alpha,\alpha^*)\cdot(-2)^l(\kappa/2)^{n+l}\,. \tag{21}$$

It should be stressed that the strongest contribution to the sum (21) for the same orders of κ is due to the derivatives over the initial conditions, namely due to the D-form:

$$D(\tau) \equiv D(I,I) = \frac{1}{\kappa}\left(\frac{\partial I(\tau,\alpha,\alpha^*)}{\partial\alpha}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{\partial I(\tau,\alpha,\alpha^*)}{\partial\alpha^*}\right) \propto e^{2\Lambda\tau}\,. \tag{22}$$

Therefore, we obtain, approximately,

$$\mathcal{M}_\eta(t) \approx \exp\left[\frac{i}{\kappa}\int d\tau\eta(\tau)I_{cl}(\tau)\right]\exp\left[-\int d\tau\eta(\tau)\int d\tau'\eta(\tau')D(I_{cl}(\tau),I_{cl}(\tau'))\right]. \tag{23}$$

Finely, using this approximation, we obtain that the Loschmidt echo is determined by the following Gaussian integral

$$M_\sigma(t) \propto \int\prod_\tau d\eta(\tau)P[\eta(\tau)]|\mathcal{M}(\eta,t)|^2\,. \tag{24}$$

In the case of narrow packet $\sigma \ll 1$, that might be roughly approximated by a δ-function $P[\eta(\tau)] \to \delta(\eta(\tau)-\bar{\eta})$, we obtain

$$M_\sigma(t) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{\bar{\eta}^2}{\kappa\Lambda^2}D(t)\right] \approx \exp\left[-\frac{\bar{\eta}^2}{\Lambda^2}e^{2\Lambda t}\right]. \tag{25}$$

A possibility of such super-exponential drop off is also mentioned in [5]. For an arbitrary Gaussian distribution, we obtain that the Loschmidt echo decays exponentially like $\exp[-\Lambda t]$. For instance, in the opposite case, when $\sigma \gg 1$, using the Fourier transform

$$e^{-\kappa A^2(t)} = \int\frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{4\pi}}e^{-\xi^2/4}e^{-i\xi A(t)}\,,$$

where $A(t) = \int_0^t d\tau e^{\Lambda\tau}\eta(\tau)$, we can calculate the functional integral over $\eta(\tau)$ and then over ξ. Finely, we have

$$M_\sigma(t) = \left[2\pi + \frac{4\pi\sigma}{\Lambda}\left(e^{2\Lambda t}-1\right)\right]^{-1/2} \propto \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{\sigma}}e^{-\Lambda t}\,. \tag{26}$$

These decays of $M_\sigma(t)$ in (25) and (26) are determined by the classical Lyapunov exponent Λ. This result has pure classical nature and is independent of the semiclassical parameter κ, and it survives in the classical case when $\kappa = 0$, as well.

In conclusion, we presented an analytical evaluation of the Loschmidt echo $M(t)$ by means of semiclassical expansion. It leads to some restriction on time of the validity of semiclassical description which is definitely not coincided with the quantum breaking time $\tau_\hbar$ in Eq. (1). We shown that $M(t)$ has sense on this semiclassical time scale and $M(t)$ decays exponentially due to the D-form according Eqs. (23) and (25).

The validity of Eqs. (25) and (26) for this time scale can be obtained from the semiclassical expansion (15) and (16) in exponential (13) that is the semiclassical expansion for the linear oscillator exposed to the quasi–random field λ. Therefore, the Jacobian of the Hamiltonian flow is

$$J = \det \left| \partial \left[\alpha(t), \alpha^*(t) \right] / \partial \left[\partial \alpha, \alpha^* \right] \right| \approx 1 - 2\kappa \sin \phi_\mu(t) \int \nu(\tau) d\tau + \kappa^2 \left[\int \nu(\tau) d\tau \right]^2 . \tag{27}$$

It reflects that the Liouville theorem does not valid for the averages [10] Since $\nu(\tau)$ is the quasi–random field with the complex Gaussian distribution defined at the Stratonovich–Hubbard transform in (6), we able to evaluate the integral in Eq. (27) substituting a mean value of ν. The first moment equals zero: $\langle \nu(\tau) \rangle = 0$, that is why we use the second moment equaled $\langle \nu^2(\tau) \rangle = 16i/\kappa$. Substituting the square root from the modulus of $\langle \nu^2(\tau) \rangle$ in (27), we obtain for the Jacobian

$$J \approx 1 - 8\kappa^{1/2} t \sin \phi_\mu + 16\kappa t^2 .$$

Therefore, the validity of the semiclassical expansion carried out in the exponential (13) and, consequently, validity of the exponential decay of $M(t)$ due to the Lyapunov exponent defined in Eq. (26) is determined by the following semiclassica time scale

$$t < \tau_{scl} \sim 1/4\kappa^{1/2} .$$

In the semiclassical limit, when $\kappa \ll 1$ the following inequality is definitely true: $\tau_{scl} \gg \tau_\hbar$. This expression also might be a possible explanation of the observation in [8] of the exponential decay of $M(t)$ in the Lyapunov regime for a kicked rotor on times much longer than the Ehrenfest time $\tau_\hbar$.

I thank P. Gaspard, I. Vanicek, and G.M. Zaslavsky for helpful discussions. This research was supported by the Minerva Center of Nonlinear Physics of Complex Systems.

---

[1] G.P. Berman and G.M. Zaslavsky, Physica (Amsterdam) **91A**, 450 (1978).
[2] G.M. Zaslavsky, Phys. Rep. **80**, 157 (1981).
[3] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. A **30**, 1610 (1984).
[4] R.A. Jalabert and H.M. Pastawsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2490 (2001).
[5] B. Eckhardt, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36**, 371 (2003).
[6] G. Benenti, G. Casati, and G. Veble, preprint nlin/0304032.
[7] P.G. Silvestrov, J. Tworzydlo, and C.W.J Beenakker, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 025204 (2003).
[8] J. Vanicek and E. Heller, preprint quant-ph/0302192.
[9] Ph. Jacquod, I. Adagideli, and C.W.J. Beenakker, Europhys. Lett. **61**, 729 (2003).
[10] G.P. Berman, A.M. Iomin, and G.M. Zaslavsky, Physica D **4**, 113 (1981).
[11] G.P. Berman and A.I. Iomin, Phys. Lett. A **95**, 79 (1983); Theor. Math. Phys. **77**, 1197 (1988) [Teor. Mat. Fiz.
[12] A. Iomin, unpublished.
[13] V.V. Sokolov, Teor. Mat. Fiz., **61**, 128 (1984).
[14] We use here the variational Hamiltonian with the variance $\delta\mathcal{H} = \delta(t) a^\dagger a/T$ instead of the perturbed one to not confuse it with the time dependent perturbation V.
[15] R.L. Stratonovich, Dokl. Akad. nauk SSSR, **115** 1097 (1957) [Sov. Phys. Dokl. **2**, 416 (1958)]; J. Hubbard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **3**, 77 (1958). For more details see also B. Mühlschlegel in *Functional integration and its applications*, ed. A.M. Arthurs (Claredon Press, Oxford, 1975) p. 124.