

Sem iclassical approximation for a nonlinear oscillator with dissipation

A. Iom in

Department of Physics, Technion, Haifa, 32000, Israel.

An S matrix approach is developed for the chaotic dynamics of a nonlinear oscillator with dissipation. The quantum {classical crossover is studied in the framework of the sem iclassical expansion for the S matrix. Analytical expressions for the braking time and the S matrix are obtained.

PACS numbers: 05.45Mt, 05.60Gg, 03.65Sq.

We consider here a sem iclassical dynamics of a nonlinear oscillator with dissipation. The main objective is to find the breaking time of the quantum {classical crossover for the dissipative system. In the absence of dissipation, the breaking time, namely, the Ehrenfest time has been found [1] to scale logarithmically with respect to the Planck constant \hbar : $t_h = (1/\lambda) \ln(I_0/\hbar)$, where I_0 is a characteristic action, and λ is a Lyapunov exponent. It characterizes the exact classical{quantum correspondence between the Hamiltonian equation of motion and the Ehrenfest ones [1, 2, 3, 4]. The renewed interest to this time is related to the extensive studies of the chaotic scattering in cavities [6], the Loschmidt echo [7] and observation of essential deviation from the logarithmic scaling for systems with phase space structures [8, 9]. The nonlinear oscillator since the first observation of the Ehrenfest time [1] is explored to study the quantum {classical correspondence [2, 3, 4, 5, 10]. This time specifies a fast (exponential) growth of quantum corrections to the classical dynamics due to chaos. In the presence of dissipation the breaking time differs from the t_h since the classical dissipation changes the local instability of trajectories. The breaking time $t_h^{(d)}$ for a dissipative web map has been obtained [9] by the c-number projection of the Heisenberg equations on the coherent states basis. At the time $t_h^{(d)}$, the quantum corrections is of the order of 1 and destroy the (sem i)classical behavior of the system. The subject of quantum dissipative chaos grew out of the the pioneering work on dissipative quantum maps [11], and various aspects of the extensive studies on quantum dissipative chaos are reflected in the recent reviews [12] as well.

We show here in the framework of a S matrix approach for chaotic dynamics of the nonlinear oscillator with a dissipation rate γ , that the breaking time $t_h^{(d)}$ depends essentially on the ratio between the dissipation rate and the local instability characteristics the Lyapunov exponent λ . The Hamiltonian of the system can be written in the following non-Hermitian form

$$H = \hbar \left(\frac{1}{2} a^\dagger a + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} (a^\dagger a)^2 - \hbar (\dot{a}^\dagger + a) \tau(t) \right) \quad (1)$$

Creation and annihilation operators has the commutation rule $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$. The complex frequency $\omega = \frac{1}{2} i = 2$ determines the effective frequency $\omega = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} i = 4^{1/2}$ in the presence of a finite width of the levels $= 2$, and λ is the nonlinearity. The perturbation is a train of δ -functions: $\tau(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta(t - nT)$, characterized by the amplitude and the period T . Evolution of the wave function is governed by the quantum map

$$\psi(t+T) = U(T) \psi(t); \quad (2)$$

where the evolution operator $U(T)$ over the period T corresponds to a free dissipative motion and then a kick. In our case it is a product of the unitary evolution operator $U(T)$ and the decay operator B

$$U(T) = U B = e^{-i \int_0^T (a^\dagger + a) dt} e^{-i \int_0^T T a^\dagger a + \hbar (a^\dagger a)^2 dt} e^{-T a^\dagger a} \quad (3)$$

Here the dimensionless sem iclassical parameter $\hbar = \hbar T$ is introduced. In what follows this parameter is small: $\hbar \ll 1$. To describe chaotic dynamics of the open system it is necessary to construct an S matrix. To this end we close the system by means of the complementary conditions with an incident wave $\psi_{in}(t)$ and an outgoing wave $\psi_{out}(t)$. Therefore, the quantum map (2) takes the following new form [13, 14]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{out}(t+T) \\ \psi_{in}(t) \end{pmatrix} = V \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{in}(t) \\ \psi_{out}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U & U W_1 \\ W_2 & S_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{in}(t) \\ \psi_{out}(t) \end{pmatrix}; \quad (4)$$

where V is unitary: $V^\dagger V = 1$. Operators W_1, W_2 and S_0 are determined from dissipation by solving the Schrodinger equation on the period T :

$$\psi(t+T) = U \psi(t) - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^{t+T} U(s-t) W_1(s-T) \psi(s-T) ds \quad (5)$$

Taking the closure of the system in the form $W_1(t) = W_1 \prod_{n=1}^P (t - nT)$, we obtain after the Fourier transform respect to time that the quantum map (4) takes the following form

$$e^{iE T} \langle E \rangle = U \langle E \rangle - \frac{i}{\hbar} U W_1 \langle E \rangle + \langle E \rangle = W_2 \langle E \rangle + S_0 \langle E \rangle; \quad (6)$$

Relation between the incident and outgoing waves is determined by the following expression $\langle E \rangle = S \langle E \rangle \langle E \rangle$, where $S \langle E \rangle$ is called the S-matrix [13]. From this definition and Eq. (6) the S-matrix reads

$$S \langle E \rangle = S_0 - \frac{i}{\hbar} W_2 \frac{1}{e^{iE T} U} U W_1; \quad (7)$$

It is known [15] (see also [13]) that the matrix V can be parameterized as follows

$$V = U \prod_{T^+} \frac{1}{T^+ T^+} \prod_{T^+} \frac{U T}{1 - T^+ T}; \quad (8)$$

where $T = i \prod_{B^2}$, and $W_1 = i \hbar B^{-1} T$; $W_2 = \frac{i}{\hbar} W_1^Y B = T^Y$; $S_0 = B$. After the parametrization (8), the S-matrix reads

$$S \langle E \rangle = B \prod_{B^2} \frac{1}{e^{iE T} U} U \prod_{(1 - B^2) = B^2}; \quad (9)$$

Now, we consider the following autocorrelation function

$$R \langle E \rangle = \frac{\text{tr}(S^Y \langle E + E = 2T \rangle S \langle E - E = 2T \rangle)}{\text{tr}(S \langle E \rangle S \langle E \rangle)}; \quad (10)$$

The over-line means an average over the quasienergy $E T$ from 0 to 2π . It corresponds to the averaged cross-section [16]. The treatment of this form is analytically tractable, and in what follows we perform the semiclassical analysis for the correlation function. After simple calculations, we obtain

$$R \langle E \rangle = \sum_t e^{iE t} \text{tr} (U^Y)^t U^t - 2 (U^Y)^{t+1} U^{t+1} + (U^Y)^{t+2} U^{t+2}; \quad (11)$$

The evolution operator $U(T)$ in the power T could be formally considered as the evolution operator for an arbitrary time T corresponding to the power, namely $U^t(T) = U(t)$.

For the trace we take the following integration over the coherent states basis considered in the initial moment $t = 0$: $\text{tr}(\cdot) = \int \frac{d^2}{2\pi\hbar} j :: j i$. The action of the evolution operator

$$U(t) = \exp \int_0^t d\tau \left(-i a^\dagger a + \hbar (a^\dagger a)^2 \right) T(\cdot) (a^\dagger + a); \quad (12)$$

on the basis $j i$ can be calculated analytically in the framework of the semiclassical approximation [4, 5]. Here \exp means T -ordering. Applying the Stratonovich-Hubbard transformation [17] under the T -ordering, one obtains

$$\exp \int_0^t d\tau \left(-i a^\dagger a \right)^2 = T = \int \prod_{\tau} \frac{d(\cdot)}{4\pi\hbar} e^{i \int_0^t d\tau \left(\frac{R_t}{2} (\cdot)^2 - \hbar (\cdot) \right)} e^{i \int_0^t d\tau (\cdot) a^\dagger a}; \quad (13)$$

where we use that $\hbar = \hbar T$ and $t = T \int_0^1 dt$ is a number of kicks represented in the continuous form. We take into account that the harmonic oscillator, acting on the coherent state, changes its phase only, and the perturbation acts as a shift operator. Therefore, the wave function in the moment t has the form of the following functional integral

$$\begin{aligned} \langle t \rangle = U(t) j i = \int \prod_{\tau} \frac{d(\cdot)}{4\pi\hbar} \exp \int_0^t d\tau \left(\frac{R_t}{2} (\cdot)^2 - \hbar (\cdot) \right) \\ \exp \int_0^t d\tau \left(-i (\cdot) ((\cdot) + (\cdot)) \right) = 2 \exp \left((1 - e^{-T}) \int_0^t d\tau (\cdot) j (\cdot) j (\cdot) \right) i; \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where

$$\langle t \rangle = e^{-i \int_0^t dt} a(t) = e^{-i \int_0^t dt} + i \int_0^t d\tau (\cdot) e^{i \int_0^{\tau} dt} (\cdot); \quad (15)$$

Substituting (18), (19) and (23) into (17), one obtains the following expression for the trace

$$M = \frac{2}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^2 e^{2j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d(\cdot) d(\cdot)}{2} \exp \left[i \int_0^{T-t} d(\cdot) \right] \exp \left[i \hbar \int_0^{T-t} d(\cdot) \right] (e^{-T} = 2a(\cdot) + \frac{1}{2} j^2 j) : \quad (24)$$

The functional integration over (\cdot) is exact and gives the δ -function in (\cdot) . Hence the integration over (\cdot) is also exact. After these integrations we obtain from (24)

$$M = \frac{2}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^2 e^{2j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[j \int_0^{T-t} d I_{cl}(\cdot; \cdot; \cdot) \right] T \int_0^{T-t} d I_{cl}(\cdot; \cdot; \cdot) ; \quad (25)$$

where $I_{cl}(\cdot; \cdot; \cdot) = I_1(T - 2j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}; e^{-T} = 2a(\cdot) + \frac{1}{2} j^2 j; e^{-T} = 2a(\cdot) + \frac{1}{2} j^2 j)$, and $(\cdot); (\cdot)$ are determined in Eqs. (15) and (16). Expanding the last exponential in (25) in the Taylor series in j and j^2 , and taking into account that $(2 = \hbar) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^2 e^{2j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p \cdot q} = \frac{(n!)^{p+q}}{(n!)^{p+q}} \frac{p! q!}{p! q!}$, we obtain the trace of Eq. (25) in the form of the expansion in the semiclassical parameter \hbar

$$M = \sum_{n,l} \frac{(n+l)!}{(n!)^2 l!} \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial^n \partial^n} \frac{\partial^l}{\partial (T)^l} \left(\frac{1}{2(n!)^{n+1}} \exp \left[j \int_0^{T-t} d I_{cl}(\cdot; \cdot; \cdot) \right] \right)_{=0; =0} : \quad (26)$$

The validity of Eq. (20), which is the zero approximation, is the neglecting of the all terms higher than zero order in Taylor's series of Eq. (26). In the classical case, when $\hbar = 0$, the action $I_{cl}(\cdot; \cdot; \cdot) = I_{cl}(\cdot; \cdot; \cdot)$ for $\cdot = 0$ corresponds to a chaotic attractor under condition of strong chaos. A rough estimation of the classical chaotic attractor can be obtained from the stability condition e.g. of a limiting cycle with the minimum phase space volume of the order of \hbar^2 . In this case, the criterion of the chaotic attractor is

$$K = 4^{-2} T > e^{-T} > 1 : \quad (27)$$

In the case, when a chaotic attractor exists, the strongest contribution to the sums in Eq. (26) is due to the derivatives over the initial conditions determined by the following term

$$D(I_{cl}; I_{cl}) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial I_{cl}(\cdot; \cdot; \cdot)}{\partial} \frac{\partial I_{cl}(\cdot; \cdot; \cdot)}{\partial} / e^{(-T)} : \quad (28)$$

Therefore, the validity condition of the performed approximation is $D(I_{cl}; I_{cl}) \exp[(T)t] < I_1 = \hbar$. Finally, we obtain the validity condition on time, which is a breaking time of the crossover between classical and quantum dynamics for the nonlinear kicked oscillator in the presence of dissipation:

$$\tau_h^{(d)} = \ln(I_{cl} = \hbar) = (T) : \quad (29)$$

It worth to underline that this result coincides with the breaking time obtained for a quantum chaotic attractor of a web map [9], where an existence of the classical chaotic attractor, namely, "the dying attractor" ($T \rightarrow 0+$) leads to the essential increasing of the applicability of the semiclassical approximation. Since the S-matrix corresponds to the quantum map of Eq. (4) considered on the period T , therefore, the conditions of the S-matrix approach application for studying the quantum chaotic attractor depends on the correlations between the Ehrenfest time $\tau_h^{(d)}$, the period T and the relaxation time $1 =$. There exist two important inequalities, that are important for the present analysis. The first one is $T > 1 =$, and it means that the relaxation process is fast and should not be taken into the consideration. The second inequality $\tau_h^{(d)} > T$ means that the semiclassical approximation is valid for the S-matrix construction. In particular, the Ericson distribution of fluctuations of the S-matrix (21) and (22) is valid. In the same time, a possible phase space structure of the chaotic attractor as a cantor set might be studied in the framework of the semiclassical S-matrix consideration on the finite time scale restricted by the breaking time (29).

To complete the consideration, the criterion of chaos (27) should be explained. By denoting $(\cdot) = \frac{p}{I(\cdot)} e^{i(\cdot)}$ (see also Eqs. (15) and (16)), an approximated map for the action (angle $(I; \cdot)$ variables has the form

$$I_{+1} = e^{-T} [I + 2 \frac{p}{I} \sin(\cdot + \frac{1}{2})]; \quad \cdot_{+1} = \cdot + T + 2 I_{+1} : \quad (30)$$

In spite of the map is an approximation of the exact analysis of [1], it is detailed enough in order to obtain a crude criterion of chaos. Therefore we obtain for the local instability condition $\|J_{t+1}\|_4 = e^{\lambda_1} \|J_t\|_4$ $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{\lambda_1 t} dt = K e^{\lambda_1 T}$. The minimum action and correspondingly phase space volume in any moment is $\min(I) = \inf_0 \int_0^T I(t) dt$. Therefore the condition for chaotic attractor is $K e^{\lambda_1 T} > 1$. It also follows that the condition for quantum chaos [4] $\hbar = h T^{-1}$; $\lambda_1 = 4^{-2} h^{-1}$ reads now $\hbar = K > e^{\lambda_1 T}$.

I thank P. Gaspard and I. Guameri for useful discussions. This work was supported by the Minerva Center for Nonlinear Physics of Complex Systems.

-
- [1] G. P. Berman and G. M. Zaslavsky, *Physica (Amsterdam)* 91A, 450 (1978).
 - [2] G. M. Zaslavsky, *Phys. Rep.* 80, 157 (1981).
 - [3] G. P. Berman, A. M. Iomin, and G. M. Zaslavsky, *Physica D* 4, 113 (1981); G. P. Berman and A. J. Iomin, *Phys. Lett. A* 95, 79 (1983); *Theor. Math. Phys.* 77, 1197 (1988) [*Teor. Mat. Fiz.* 77, 277 (1988)].
 - [4] G. P. Berman and G. M. Zaslavsky, *Physica A* 111, 17 (1982).
 - [5] V. V. Sokolov, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.*, 61, 128 (1984).
 - [6] O. Aagam, I. Leiner, and A. Larkin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85, 3153 (2000); P. G. Silvestrov, M. C. G. Gorden, and C. W. J. Beenakker, *Phys. Rev. E* 68, 241301 (2003).
 - [7] R. A. Jalabert and H. M. Pastawsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86, 2490 (2001).
 - [8] A. Iomin and G. M. Zaslavsky, *Phys. Rev. E* 63, 047203 (2001).
 - [9] A. Iomin and G. M. Zaslavsky, *Phys. Rev. E* 67, 027203 (2003).
 - [10] R. M. Angelo, L. Sanz and K. Furuya, *Phys. Rev. E* 68, 016206 (2003); A. C. Oliveira, M. C. Nemes and K. M. F. Romero, *ibid* 68, 036214 (2003); A. Iomin, unpublished
 - [11] R. Graham, *Physica Scripta*, 35, 111 (1987); T. Dittrich, R. Graham, *Z. Phys. B* 62, 515 (1986); *Physica Scripta*, 40, 409 (1989).
 - [12] F. Haake, *Quantum Signature of Chaos* (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2000); D. Braun, *Dissipative Quantum Chaos and Decoherence*, (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2001); T. Dittrich, in *Quantum Transport and Dissipation*, ed. by T. Dittrich, P. Hanggi, et. al. (Wiley (VCH, Weinheim, 1998).
 - [13] Y. V. Fyodorov and H.-J. Sommers, *JETP Lett.* 72, 422 (2000).
 - [14] M. S. Livsic, *Operators, Oscillations, Waves: Open Systems* (American Math. Soc., Providence, 1973), Vol. 34.
 - [15] P. A. M. Elb and J.-L. Pichard, *J. Phys. (France) I* 1, 493 (1991).
 - [16] R. Blumel and W. P. Reinhardt, *Chaos in Atomic Physics* (Cambridge, University Press, 1997).
 - [17] R. L. Stratonovich, *Dokl. Akad. nauk SSSR*, 115 1097 (1957) [*Sov. Phys. Dokl.* 2, 416 (1958)]; J. Hubbard, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 3, 77 (1958).