

Speculative bubbles and fat tail phenomena in a heterogeneous agent model

Taisei Kaizoji

Division of Social Sciences,
International Christian University^y
and

Department of Economics, University of Kiel^z

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to propose a heterogeneous agent model of stock markets that develop complicated endogenous price fluctuations. We find occurrences of non-stationary chaos, or speculative bubble, are caused by the heterogeneity of traders' strategies. Furthermore, we show that the distributions of returns generated from the heterogeneous agent model have fat tails, a remarkable stylized fact observed in almost all financial markets.

Key words: heterogeneous interacting agents, non-stationary chaos, speculative bubbles, leptokurtosis, fat tails

1 Introduction

During the past decade, the dynamics of financial asset prices resulting from interaction of heterogeneous agents have become of increasing interest. For example, these price dynamics have been studied Arthur et al. (1997), Brock and Hommes (1997, 1998), Chen and Yeh (2001), Chiarella (1992), Chiarella and He (1999), Chiarella et al. (2001), Day and Huang (1990), De Grauwe

E-mail: kaizoji@icu.ac.jp, Home page: <http://subsite.icu.ac.jp/people/kaizoji/>.

^yDivision of Social Science, International Christian University, Ohsawa, Mitaka, Tokyo, 181-8585, Japan.

^zOlshausenstr. 40, 24118 Kiel, Germany.

et al. (1993), Farmer (2000), Frankel and Froot (1988), Kiman (1991, 1993) and Lux (1995, 1998), Brock, Hommes and Wagener (2001), Gaurersdorfer and Hommes (2000), Kiman and Teyssiere (1998), LeBaron et al. (1999), Lux and Marchesi (1999, 2000) and Youssefmir and Huberman (1997)). However, surprisingly few studies so far have tried to explain prolonged rises in asset prices, known as speculative bubbles, due to interaction of heterogeneous agents with different trading strategies and expectations. In contrast, a large number of both theoretical and empirical studies has been made on rational bubbles since Blanchard first proposed this idea in 1979. The aim of this paper is to propose a heterogeneous-agent model that can generate speculative bubbles as a result of the interaction of heterogeneous agents. The theoretical set-up of the model presented here resembles that of previous works in many respects. We think of stock markets as consisting of a market maker who mediates the trading and generates the market-clearing price, and three typical types of traders that can be observed in financial practice¹: fundamentalists who believe that the asset price will return to the fundamental price, chartists who base their trading decisions on an analysis of past price-trends, and noise traders who base their trading decisions on noisy information. Traders choose their trading strategy depending on the degree of accuracy of the price prediction. Our model differs from previous works in that the traders' excess demand functions for stock, which are derived from utility maximization, have lower but no upper limits. We demonstrate that this feature is an important factor in speculative bubbles. In particular, we derive the following results:

1. When the non-linearity of the excess demand functions is sufficiently strong, a speculative bubble or non-stationary chaos will be observed; that is, irregular fluctuations of the asset price around an upward price trend appear.
2. When speculative bubbles occur in the stock market, irrational traders, namely, the chartists and noise traders, will drive out of the market rational traders, namely the fundamentalists.
3. A fat-tailed distribution of the relative stock price change appears.

The structure of the paper is as follows: Chapter 2 presents the heterogeneous interacting agent model. Chapter 3 investigates the local and global properties of the deterministic dynamics in the model, and explains why speculative bubbles occur. Chapter 4 shows bubbles of stochastic dynamics

¹These three different classes of traders are often used in the finance literature on heterogeneous-agent systems.

and the fat tailed distribution of returns generated from the model. Chapter 5 gives brief concluding remarks.

2 The Model

Let us consider a simple stock market with the following characteristics. A large amount of stock is traded. In the market, there are three typical groups of traders with different strategies: fundamentalists, chartists, and noise traders. Traders can invest either in money or in stock. Since the model is designed to describe stock price movements over short periods, such as one day, the dividend from stock and the interest rate for the risk-free asset will be omitted for simplicity. Traders are myopic and bent on maximizing utility. Their utility depends on the price change they expect, and on their excess demand for stock rather than simply their demand. Their excess demand is derived from utility maximization. What follows is a more precise account of the decision making of each trader type.

2.1 Fundamentalists

Let Y_t^f be the amount of money that a fundamentalist holds at time t and let X_t^f be the number of shares purchased by a fundamentalist at time t . Let p_t be the price per share of stock at time t . The fundamentalist's budget constraint is given by

$$Y_t^f + p_t X_t^f = Y_{t+1}^f + p_t X_{t+1}^f;$$

or equivalently

$$y_t^f + p_t x_t^f = 0; \quad (1)$$

where $y_t^f = (Y_t^f - Y_{t+1}^f)$ denotes the fundamentalist's excess demand for money, and $x_t^f = (X_t^f - X_{t+1}^f)$ his excess demand for stock. Suppose that the fundamentalist's preferences are represented by the utility function,

$$u = (y_t^f + p_{t+1}^f x_t^f) + x_t^f (1 + x_t^f) \log(1 + x_t^f); \quad > 0; \quad > 0; \quad (2)$$

where p_{t+1}^f denotes the fundamentalist's expectation in period t about the price in the following period $t+1$. The parameters α and β are assumed to be positive. Inserting (1) into (2) the fundamentalist's utility maximization problem becomes:

$$\max_{x_t^f} u = (p_{t+1}^f - p_t)x_t^f + x_t^f (1 + x_t^f) \log(1 + x_t^f): \quad (3)$$

The utility function u satisfies the standard properties: $u'(x^f) > 0$, $u''(x^f) < 0$ for all x^f where $|x^f|$ denotes the absolute value of x^f producing a maximum utility. Thus, the utility function is strictly concave. It depends on the price change expected by fundamentalists ($p_{t+1}^f - p_t$) as well as the fundamentalist's excess demand for stock x_t^f . The first part $(p_{t+1}^f - p_t)x_t^f$ implies that a rise in the expected price change increases his utility. The remaining part expresses his attitude toward risk. Even if the expected price change is positive, he does not want to invest his total wealth in the stock, and vice versa. In this sense, fundamentalists are risk averse.

β is the parameter that sets the lower limitation on excess demand. All excess demand for stock derived from the utility maximization is limited to $\beta = 1$. When the expected price change ($p_{t+1}^f - p_t$) is positive, the maximum value of the utility function is also positive. This means that fundamentalists try to buy stock. By analogy, when the expected price change ($p_{t+1}^f - p_t$) is negative, the maximum value of the utility function is negative, which means that they try to sell. The utility maximization problem (3) is solved for the fundamentalist's excess demand,

$$x_t^f = \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\exp \left(\frac{(p_{t+1}^f - p_t)}{\beta} \right) - 1 \right) \quad (4)$$

Excess demand increases as the expected price change ($p_{t+1}^f - p_t$) increases. It should be noticed that the optimal value of excess supply is limited to $\beta = 1$, while the optimal value of excess demand is not restricted. Since there is little loss of generality in fixing the parameter β at unity, below, we will assume β to be constant and equal to 1.

Then let us think of the fundamentalist's expectation formation. We assume that he forms his price expectation according to a simple adaptive scheme:

$$p_{t+1}^f = p_t + \alpha (p - p_t) \quad (5)$$

We see from Equation (5) that fundamentalists believe that the price moves towards the fundamental price p by factor α . To sum up fundamentalists' behavior: if the price p_t is below their expected price, they will try to buy stock, because they consider the stock to be undervalued. On the contrary, if the price is above the expected value, they will try to sell, because they consider the stock to be overvalued.

2.2 Chartists

Chartists are assumed to have the same utility function as the fundamentalists (2). Their behavior is formalized as maximizing the utility function

$$v = (y_t + p_{t+1}^c x_t^c) - x_t^c (1 + x_t^c) \log(1 + x_t^c) \quad (6)$$

subject to the budget constraint

$$y_t^c + p_t x_t^c = 0 \quad (7)$$

where x_t^c and y_t^c represent the chartist's excess demand for stock and for money at period t , and p_{t+1}^c denotes the price expected by him. The chartist's excess demand function for the stock is given by

$$x_t^c = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\exp\left(\frac{(p_{t+1}^e - p_t)}{\alpha}\right) - 1 \right) \quad (8)$$

His expectation formation is as follows: He is assumed to forecast the future price p_{t+1}^c using adaptive expectations,

$$p_{t+1}^c = p_t^c + \lambda (p_t - p_t^c); \quad (9)$$

where the parameter λ ($0 < \lambda < 1$) is a so-called error correction coefficient². It follows that chartists try to buy stock when they anticipate a rising price for the next period, and, in contrast, try to sell stock when they expect a falling price.

2.3 Noise traders

Finally, let us consider the noise traders' decision making. They are assumed to base decisions on noise in the sense of a large number of small events³. The behavior of a noise trader can be formalized as maximizing the quadratic utility function

$$W(x_t^n; y_t^n) = g(y_t^n + (p_t + \tau)x_t^n) - k(x_t^n)^2 \quad (10)$$

subject to the budget constraint

²The excess demand for chartists $\exp((p_{t+1}^e - p_t)/\alpha) - 1$ is rewritten as $\exp((1/\alpha)(p_{t+1}^e - p_t)) - 1$ under adaptive expectations. Hence, chartists' decisions are based on observation of the past price-data. This type of trader, who simply extrapolates patterns of past prices, is a common stylized example, currently in popular use in heterogeneous agent models (cf. Frankel and Froot (1988) and Brock and Hommes (1997, 1998), and Gaunersdorfer and Hommes (2000)).

³For the meaning of noise in financial markets, see Black (1986).

$$y_t^n + p_t x_t^n = 0$$

where x_t^n and y_t^n represent the noise trader's excess demand for stock and for money at time t , respectively. The noise ϵ_t is assumed to be an IID random variable. The excess demand function for stock is given as

$$x_t^n = \epsilon_t; \quad \epsilon_t = \frac{g}{2k} > 0 \quad (11)$$

where g denotes the strength of the reaction to noisy information. In short, noise traders try to buy stock if they believe the noise to be good news ($\epsilon_t > 0$). Inversely, if they believe the noise to be bad news ($\epsilon_t < 0$), they try to sell it.

2.4 The adjustment process of the price

Let us leave traders' decision-making processes and turn to the adjustment of the stock-market price. We assume the existence of a market maker, such as a specialist in the New York stock exchange. The role of the market maker is to give an execution price to incoming orders and to execute transactions⁴.

The market maker announces a price at the beginning of each trading period. Traders then determine their excess demand, based on the announced price and on their expected prices. When the market maker observes either excess demand or excess supply, he applies the so-called short-side rule to the demands and supplies, taking aggregate transactions for the stock to be equal to the minimum of total supply and demand⁵. Thus traders on the short side of the market will realize their desired transactions. At the beginning of the next trading period, he announces a new price. If the excess demand in period t is positive (negative), the market maker raises (reduces) the price for the following period $t+1$. The process then is repeated. Let α and β be the fractions of chartists and of noise traders in the total number of traders, respectively. Then the process of price adjustment can be written as

⁴We don't broach the issue of the market maker's own trading activity because to pursue this would take us beyond the scope of this paper. A heterogeneous agent model that contains a specialist as a market participant has been proposed by Ready (1999). Extension of our model to allow for the market maker's own trading activity is a possible direction for future research.

⁵The short side of a market is that where the aggregate volume of desired transactions is smallest: the demand side if there is excess supply, the supply side if there is excess demand.

$$p_{t+1} - p_t = \frac{1}{n} [(1 - \alpha) x_t^f + \alpha x_t^c + x_t^n]; \quad (12)$$

where α denotes the speed of the adjustment of the price, and n the total number of traders.

2.5 Strategy switching

Interesting questions are whether the rational traders (fundamentalists) will drive irrational traders (chartists and noise traders) out of the market, or whether the irrational traders (chartists and noise traders) will drive the rational traders (fundamentalists) out of the market. As in many studies on heterogeneous interacting agent models (cf. Brock and Hommes (1997, 1998) and Lux (1998)), it may seem natural that switching between different trading strategies plays an important role. In this subsection, we ask the question how a trader makes his choice between the fundamentalist and chartist strategies. The basic idea is that he chooses according to the accuracy of prediction. More precisely, we propose that the proportion of chartists α_t is updated according to the difference between the squared prediction errors of each strategy. Formally, we write the dynamics of the proportion of chartists as

$$\alpha_t = \frac{(1 - \alpha_t)}{1 + \exp(-\beta(E_t^c - E_t^f))}; \quad (13)$$

$$E_t^c = (p_t - p_t^c)^2; \quad E_t^f = (p_t - p_t^f)^2;$$

where β measures how sensitively the mass of traders selects the optimal prediction strategy at period t . Brock and Hommes (1997, 1998) introduced this parameter β as the intensity of choice to switch trading strategies. Equation (13) shows that if the chartists' squared prediction error E_t^c is smaller than that of fundamentalists E_t^f , some fraction of fundamentalists will become chartists, and visa versa.

3 Deterministic Dynamics

The aim of this section is to describe the dynamic behavior of the model. In particular, we will indicate local and global properties of the deterministic dynamics when the parameter β is allowed to vary. β measures the strength of the non-linearity of the excess demand functions. Substituting (4), (5), (8), (9) and (11) to (12), the model can be rewritten as

$$p_{t+1} = p_t + n[(1 - \alpha_t)(\exp(-(p_t - p_t^f)) - 1) + \alpha_t(\exp(-(1 - \alpha_t)(p_t^c - p_t)) - 1) + \alpha_t];$$

$$p_{t+1}^f = p_t + (p_t - p_t^f);$$

$$p_{t+1}^c = p_t^c + (p_t - p_t^c); \quad (14)$$

where

$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(p_t - p_t^c)^2 - (p_t - p_t^f)^2)};$$

The first of the equations above represents the adjustment of the price performed by the market maker. The second and third equations show the formation of the expectations of fundamentalists and chartists, respectively. The fourth equation represents the movement of the chartist fraction. Throughout this section, we assume that there exists no noise traders ($\beta = 0$). Thus, the dynamics of the system (14) is deterministic. As can be checked easily, the dynamic system (14) has as an unique fixed point: $P = (p; p^f; p^c) = (p; p; p)$.

3.1 The local stability conditions

To investigate the dynamics of the model, we shall first determine the local stability region of the unique equilibrium point P . The local stability analysis of the equilibrium point P is performed via evaluation of the three eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix (14) at P . Let us denote by

$$c(\lambda) = \lambda^3 - T\lambda^2 + D \quad (15)$$

the associated characteristic polynomial of the Jacobian matrix at P , where $D = (2 - 0.5n(1 + \alpha))$ and $T = (1 - 0.5n(1 + \alpha)(1 - \alpha))$. An eigenvalue of the Jacobian is 0, and the other roots λ_1 and λ_2 , satisfy the relation

$$\lambda_1^2 - T\lambda_1 + D = 0; \quad (16)$$

Thus, the stability of the equilibrium point is determined by the absolute values of λ_1 and λ_2 . The eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 are $\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{T}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{T^2}{4} - D}$ where $T^2 - 4D$. As is well known, a sufficient condition for local stability consists of the following inequalities: (i) $1 - T + D > 0$, (ii) $1 + T + D > 0$, and (iii) $D < 1$, giving necessary and sufficient conditions for the two eigenvalues to be inside the unit circle of the complex plane. Elementary computations lead to the condition:

$$< \frac{3}{n(1 + \frac{2}{(1 + 0.5)})}; \quad (17)$$

The above local conditions demonstrate that while increasing α starting from a sufficiently low value inside the stability region, a loss of local stability of the equilibrium point P may occur via a flip bifurcation, when crossing the curve

$$= \frac{3}{n(1 + \frac{2}{(1 + 0.5)})}; \quad (18)$$

3.2 Global bifurcations

What happens as α is further increased? We will see that first, a stable 2-cycle appears; secondly, the time path of the price diverges; and thirdly, the time evolution of p_t displays a remarkable transition from regular to chaotic behavior around an upward time trend. It is important that the non-stationary chaos can be transformed to a stationary series by differentiation once $p_t = p_t - p_{t-1}$. The price series fluctuates irregularly around an upward price trend, and the series of the price change fluctuates chaotically within a finite interval. Below, this non-stationary chaotic pricing will be referred to as a speculative bubble.

Let us look at these phenomena using the numerical simulation. In all numerical simulations below, we will use a set of parameters given by

$$\alpha = 0.001; \quad n = 1000; \quad \beta = 0.5; \quad \gamma = 1; \quad p = 100; \quad \delta = 0.001; \quad (19)$$

Figure 1 shows a bifurcation diagram with the parameter α as the bifurcation parameter. The figure summarizes the long-run time evolution of the price change p_t , for values of the parameter $3 < \alpha < 7$. By looking at Figure 1, it is evident that the dynamic behavior starts from a stable point, then goes through a sequence of period doubling bifurcations into a stable cycle of periods 2; 4; 8; 16; :::, and so on. Then, the strange attractor set occurs for values beyond the cycle of period three, which appears at about $\alpha = 6$. This bifurcation scenario to chaos is the familiar route to chaos, that is to say, the Feigenbaum scenario.

(Figure 1 goes about here.)

3.3 Speculative bubbles

Why does a speculative bubble or non-stationary chaos appear when β increases? Unfortunately, it is difficult to prove mathematically the existence of the strange attractor. However, as we shall see, it is possible to give an intuitive interpretation of speculative bubbles. To begin with, let us consider why chaotic behavior of the price change is observed for large values of β . Figure 2 indicates a phase plot $(p_t; p_{t+1})$ of the sequence of price change p_t for $\beta = 5.5$. The phase plot is constructed by iteration of 20000 times, but here we use just the last 10000 iterations. A glance at Figure 2 shows a fixed point on the phase curve, depicted as an intersection between the phase curve and the 45-degree line⁶. As can be traced in this phase plot, a set of states of p_t initially located close to the fixed point will first be stretched, and then at some point will folded back on itself. This stretching and folding is the root of the chaotic price behavior (Formal mathematical proof see Li and York (1975), and Marotto (1978)).

(Figure 2 goes about here.)

The next question is why speculative bubbles occur when β is large. The price adjustment equation suggests that a price trend can occur only when excess demand aggregated over two types of traders is positive on average. Why would average excess demand be positive as β become large? For an answer, let us recall the traders' excess-demand functions (4) and (8). x_t denotes the traders' excess demand, and p_{t+1}^e denotes the expected price. The partial derivative of the excess-demand function with respect to the parameter β is obtained as

$$\frac{\partial x_t}{\partial \beta} = (p_{t+1}^e - p_t) \exp(-(p_{t+1}^e - p_t)); \quad (20)$$

where we assume $\alpha = 1$. This equation suggests a rise in β increasing excess demand exponentially, given the expected price change $(p_{t+1}^e - p_t)$ is positive. As shown in the preceding subsection, the maximum value of the excess demand increases freely when β increases, while the maximum value of the excess supply never can be lower than $-1/\beta$, regardless of the value of β . Assume that, in the initial state, the price p_t exceeds the fundamental price p^* in period t , and that the chartists forecast a rise over the next period. The fundamentalists predict a falling price. Then, chartists try to buy and fundamentalists try to sell stock. When β is sufficiently large, one can safely state that chartist excess demand will exceed fundamentalist excess supply. The

⁶We can also show that the phase curve is stretched upwards when β increases.

market maker observes excess demand, and raises the price for the following period. If the rise is strong, chartists may predict a new rise, which provokes yet another reaction of the market maker. Once the price deviates strongly from the fundamental price, the fundamentalists become perpetual sellers of stock, and when β is sufficiently large, the chartists' excess demand may begin to exceed the fundamentalists' excess supply on average. Furthermore, fundamentalists may be driven out of the market by evolutionary competition expressed by (13)⁷. It follows that when the value of β is sufficiently large, average excess demand is positive and speculative bubbles occur.

4 Stochastic dynamics

To adjust the model to approximate real stock markets, we now assume the existence of noise traders. Below, we set $\beta = 0.3$; i.e., 30 percent of the total number of traders are noise traders. Figure 3a and Figure 3b indicate the time series of the price and of the price change for $\beta = 0.3$, disturbed by dynamic noise with $\epsilon_t \sim N(0,1)$. The figures show that the time series of the price has an upward trend and that it fluctuates irregularly⁸. (Figure 3a and Figure 3b go about here.)

4.1 Evolutionary competition

Another very interesting question is whether the irrational traders (chartists and noise traders) can survive competition against the rational traders (fundamentalists), or whether the rational traders (fundamentalists) can survive competition against the irrational traders (chartists and noise traders). Figure 4a illustrates the time series of the fraction of chartists β_t for the parameters $\beta = 4$ and $\beta = 0.3$. The speculative bubble does not occur under this set of parameters. Figure 9a shows that β_t continues to fluctuate around 0.35. This means that, on average, the same number of fundamentalists and chartists exists, and that the irrational traders will stay in the market forever. On the other hand, Figure 4b shows the time series of the fraction of chartists β_t for the parameters $\beta = 5$ and $\beta = 0.3$. There occurs a speculative bubble under this set of parameters. We see from Figure 9b that β_t finally converges to 0.7. This means that fundamentalists die out, and irrational

⁷In the following section, we shall be examining this subject.

⁸Many numerical simulations for various values of β and β suggest that the existence of noise traders does not change essentially the properties of the price dynamics, such as speculative bubbles.

traders survive competition. The reason is the speculative bubble caused by the strong non-linearity of the excess-demand functions. When speculative bubbles occur, the price continues to deviate from the fundamental price, so that the prediction errors of the fundamentalists' trading strategy increase compared to those of the chartists' trading strategy. Therefore, the number of fundamentalists decreases and the number of chartists increases.

(Figure 4a and Figure 4b go about here.)

4.2 Fat tail phenomenon

A number of empirical studies have found that the empirical distributions of returns in almost all financial data show a unimodal bell shape, and possess excessive fourth moments, so-called leptokurtosis, (cf. Pagan (1996)). Figure 5 is a histogram of the stock-return series⁹ for $\alpha = 4$. It is constructed by 20000 iterations of the system (14) with $\beta = 5.5$. The shape of the histogram appears well behaved: an unimodal bell-shape. Table 1 presents sample statistics of the stock returns, which are based upon several series of 20000 data points. The sixth and seventh columns denote the dynamic properties of the price and the price change, respectively. The mean value is very close to zero, and the standard deviation is small. The skewness is positive, and the kurtosis is significantly positive. The important result is that the histogram exhibits apparently more probability mass in the tails and the centre than the standard Normal. Therefore, the time series of the returns are leptokurtotic, i.e., possessing excessive fourth moments.

(Figure 5 goes about here.)

Table 1: Statistics of returns from artificial data

	Mean	S.D.	Skewness	Kurtosis	Price	Return	
1	0	0.014	0.023	0.061	stable	stable	4.83
2	0	0.016	0.210	0.323	stable	stable	4.14
3	0	0.02	0.703	1.552	periodic	periodic	3.2
4	0	0.026	1.411	4.277	periodic	periodic	2.32
5	0	0.004	2.963	17.605	bubble	chaotic	4.58

⁹Returns are defined as $r_t = \log p_{t+1} - \log p_t$.

However, the kurtosis is a relatively limited measure of deviation for Gaussian statistics. Recent literature finds that the distribution of returns is not only leptokurtotic, but also belongs to the class of fat-tailed distributions. This finding is generally accepted as a universal characteristic of practically all financial returns. More formally, it has been shown that the tails of the distribution of returns follow approximately a power law :

$$F(|j_t| > x) \propto x^{-\alpha} \quad (21)$$

where the function F denotes the cumulative probability distribution of the normalized stock returns and α is called tail index. We normalized the stock returns,

$$z_t = \frac{(r_t - \bar{r}_t)}{\sigma_r} \quad (22)$$

where \bar{r}_t denotes the time average and σ_r denotes the standard deviation. The last column of Table 1 shows the values of the tail index α for tail sizes up to 5 percent of the size of the return series generated from our model under various values of λ ¹⁰. Most empirical studies show estimates of α falling in the range of 2 to 4 (cf. Pagan (1996), Gopikrishnan et al. (1998)). In Table 1 the values of α range between 2 and 4, as long as periodic solutions of the price are observed. Thus, we find a power-law behavior for the cumulative distribution of the stock returns with α well outside the Levy regime ($0 < \alpha < 2$). Consequently, the time series of the returns generated from the above artificial stock market share this remarkable feature of actual data from stock markets.

5 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we proposed a heterogeneous interacting agent model for stock markets. It generates three important findings: (1) the non-linearity of the excess demand functions derived from the traders' optimization behavior might generate speculative bubbles; (2) fundamentalists may be driven out of the market by irrational traders, such as chartists and noise traders, provided a speculative bubble occurs; and (3) the return distributions derived from the model have fat tails, an important characteristic of empirical financial data which is observed in almost all financial markets. There are, however, remarkable differences between the time series generated by our model and actual financial data. For example, the noisy time series of the price change

¹⁰The values of α are computed by the Hill tail index estimate, which is a standard working tool for estimations of the Pareto exponent of the tails.

(Figure 3b) has strongly significant autocorrelations at the first two lags (0.5 and 0.1), whereas in reality the series of price changes or returns have almost no significant autocorrelations at any lags. Furthermore, the returns series generated by the model exhibit no volatility clustering, which is a universal property of real returns series. Apparently, our model is too simple to explain all stylized facts of real financial data at the same time and, thus, needs to be further developed. This we leave to future work.

6 Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Thomas Lux for helpful suggestions and encouragement. Comments by Tatsuhiko Aoki, Shu-Heng Chen, Janusz Holyst, Akio Matsumoto, Tetsuya Misawa, Tamotsu Onozaki, Peter Flaschel, Reiner Frank, Willi Ziemler, Toichiro Asada, and anonymous referees were very helpful and have led to several improvements. Financial support by the Alexander Humboldt Foundation, and the Japan Society for Promotion of Science under the Grant-in-Aid, No. 06632 gratefully acknowledged. All remaining errors, of course, are mine.

References

- [1] Arthur, W. B., Holland, J. H., LeBaron, B., Palmer, R. and Taylor, P., Asset Pricing under Endogenous Expectations in an Artificial Stock Market, in Arthur, W. B., Durlauf, S. N., and Lane, D. A., eds., *The economy as an evolving complex system II*, (1997) Redwood City, Addison-Wesley.
- [2] Black, F., Noise, *Journal of Finance*, 41 (1986) 529-543.
- [3] Blanchard, O. J., Speculative Bubbles, Crashes and Rational Expectations, *Economics Letters*, 3 (1979) 387-389.
- [4] Brock, W. A., and Hommes, C. H., Models of Complexity in Economics and Finance, in C. Hey et. al. eds. *System Dynamics in Economics and Financial Models*, Chapter 1, (1997) 3-41 Wiley Publisher.
- [5] Brock, W. A., and Hommes, C. H., Heterogeneous Beliefs and Routes to Chaos in a Simple Asset Pricing Model, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 22 (1998) 1235-1274.

- [6] Brock, W. A., and Hommes, C. H., and Wagener, F. O. O., Evolutionary Dynamics in Financial Markets with Many Trader Types, (2001) Unpublished paper.
- [7] Chen, S. H., and Yeh, C. H., Evolving Traders and the Business School with Genetic Programming, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 25 3-4 (2001) 363-393.
- [8] Chiarella, C., The Dynamics of Speculative Behavior, *Annals of Operations Research* 37 (1992) 101-123.
- [9] Chiarella, C., Dieci, R., and Gardini, L., Speculative Behavior and Complex Asset Price Dynamics, forthcoming in *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* (2001).
- [10] Chiarella, C., and He, X., Heterogeneous Beliefs, Risk and Learning in a Simple Asset Pricing Model, forthcoming in *Computational Economics* (1999).
- [11] Day, R. H., *Complex Economic Dynamics*, Volume I, Chapter 11, (1994) The MIT Press Cambridge, Massachusetts, London, England.
- [12] Day, R. H. and Huang, W., Bulls, Bears and Market Sheep, *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 14 (1990) 299-329.
- [13] DeGruuwe, P., DeWachter, H. and Embrechts, M., *Exchange Rate Theory: Chaotic Models of Foreign Exchange Markets*, (1993) Blackwell, Oxford.
- [14] Farmer, J. D., Market Force, Ecology and Evolution, *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, forthcoming (2000).
- [15] Frankel, J. A. and Froot, K. A., Chartists, Fundamentalists and the dem and for Dollars, *Greek Economic Review* 10 (1988) 49-102.
- [16] Gaunersdorfer, A., and Hommes, C. H., A Nonlinear Structural Model for Volatility Clustering, CeNDEF working paper 00-02, (2000) University of Amsterdam.
- [17] Gaunersdorfer, A., and Hommes, C. H., and Wagener, F. O. O., Bifurcation Routes to Volatility Clustering, CeNDEF working paper 00-04, (2000) University of Amsterdam.

- [18] Gopikrishnan, P., Meyer, M., Amaral, L. A. N., and Stanley, H. E., Inverse Cubic Law for the Distribution of Stock Price Variations, *European Physical Journal B* 3 (1998) 139-140.
- [19] Kiman, A. P., Epidemics of Opinion and Speculative Bubbles in Financial Markets, in Money and Financial Market Chapter 17, M. Taylor ed., (1991) Macmillan London.
- [20] Kiman, A. P., Ants, Rationality and Recruitment, *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 108, (1993) 137-156.
- [21] Kiman, A. P., and Teyssiere, G., Microeconomic Models for Long-Memory in the Volatility of Financial Time Series, (2000) unpublished paper.
- [22] LeBaron, B., Arthur, W. B. and Palmer, R., The Time Series Properties of an Artificial Stock Market, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 23 (1999) 1487-1516.
- [23] Li, T-Y., and Yorke, J., Period 3 Implies Chaos, *American Mathematical Monthly*, 82 (1975) 985-992.
- [24] Lux, T., Herd Behavior, Bubbles and Crashes, *The Economic Journal* 105 (1995) 881-896.
- [25] Lux, T., The Socio-Economic Dynamics of Speculative Markets: Interacting Agents, Chaos and the Fat Tails of Return Distributions, *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 33 (1998) 143-165.
- [26] Lux, T., and Marchesi, M., Scaling and Criticality in a Stochastic Multi-Agent Model of a Financial Market, *Nature* 397 (1999) 498 - 500.
- [27] Lux, T., and Marchesi, M., Volatility Clustering in Financial Markets: A Micro-Simulation of Interacting Agents, *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance* 3-4 (2000) 675-702.
- [28] Marotto, F. R., Snap-Back Repellers Imply Chaos in R^n , *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 72 (1978) 199-223.
- [29] Pagan, A., The Econometrics of Financial Markets, *Journal of Empirical Finance* 3 (1996) 15-102.
- [30] Ready, M. J., The Specialist's Discretion: Stopped Orders and Price Improvement, *The Review of Financial Studies*, 12, 5 (1999) 1075-1112.

- [31] Youssefmir, M., and Huberman, B., Clustered Volatility in Multiagent Dynamics, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization 32 (1997) 101-118.

Figure 1




Figure 1: The bifurcation diagram of the stock return when the parameter varies smoothly from 3 to 7. The other parameter values: $\beta = 0.001$, $n = 1000$, $\gamma = 0.5$, $\delta = 1$, $p = 100$, $\epsilon = 0.001$, and $\eta = 0$.

Figure 2




Figure 2: A phase plot $(\Delta p_t; \Delta p_{t+1})$ of the sequence of price change Δp_t generated for $\beta = 5.5$. The other parameter values are: $\alpha = 0.001$, $n = 1000$, $\gamma = 0.5$, $\delta = 1$, $p = 100$, $\epsilon = 0.001$, and $\eta = 0$.

Figure 3a




Figure 3b




Figure 3: (a) The time series of the price for $\mu = 5.5$, simulated with dynamic noise with $N(0;1)$. The other parameter values are: $\sigma = 0.001$, $n = 1000$, $\alpha = 0.5$, $\beta = 1$, $p = 100$, $\gamma = 0.001$, and $\delta = 0.3$. (b) The time series of the price change for $\mu = 5.5$, simulated with dynamic noise with $N(0;1)$. The other parameter values are: $\sigma = 0.001$, $n = 1000$, $\alpha = 0.5$, $\beta = 1$, $p = 100$, $\gamma = 0.001$, and $\delta = 0.3$.

Figure 4a




Figure 4b




Figure 4: (a) The time series plots of the fraction of the chartists for the parameters $\beta = 4$ and $\alpha = 0.2$. The other parameter values are: $\gamma = 0.001$, $n = 1000$, $\delta = 0.5$, $\rho = 1$, $p = 100$, $\sigma = 0.001$, and $\theta = 0.3$. (b) The time series plots of the fraction of the chartists for the parameters $\beta = 5$ and $\alpha = 0.3$. The other parameter values: $\gamma = 0.001$, $n = 1000$, $\delta = 0.5$, $\rho = 1$, $p = 100$, $\sigma = 0.001$, and $\theta = 0.3$.

Figure 5

The relative frequency




Figure 5: A histogram of returns generated from the time series of the price for $\beta = 5.5$. The other parameter values are: $\sigma = 0.001$, $n = 1000$, $\alpha = 0.5$, $\gamma = 1$, $p = 100$, $\delta = 0.001$, and $\theta = 0.3$.