

On a theorem by Treves.

Carlo Morosi¹, Livio Pizzocchero²

¹ Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Milano,
P.zza L. da Vinci 32, I-20133 Milano, Italy
e-mail: carlo.morosi@mate.polimi.it

² Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Milano
Via C. Saldini 50, I-20133 Milano, Italy
and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Milano, Italy
e-mail: livio.pizzocchero@mat.unimi.it

Abstract. According to a theorem in [1], the conserved functionals of the KdV equation vanish on each formal Laurent series $u = x^2 + u_0 + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} u_k x^k$. We propose a new, very simple geometrical proof for this statement.

Keywords: KdV equation, symmetries and conservation laws, formal Laurent series.

AMS 2000 Subject classifications: 35Q53, 37K05, 37K10.

1 Introduction.

Three years ago, Treves obtained a new characterization for the conserved quantities of KdV theory. Roughly speaking, his result concerns functionals which are integrals of differential polynomials, and their evaluation on formal Laurent series with complex coefficients in one variable x (defining the integral as the residue in x). For each functional h of this kind on the Laurent series, Treves [1] proved the equivalence between a) and b):

- a) h is a conserved functional for the KdV equation;
 - b) $h(u) = 0$ for each Laurent series of the form $u = x^2 + u_0 + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} u_k x^k$ ($u_0, u_2, u_3, \dots \in \mathbb{C}$).
- Subsequently, Treves obtained a similar result for the modified KdV equation and derived the analogue of a) \Rightarrow b) for the conserved functionals of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation [2].

In all cases analysed by Treves, the proof of either a) \Rightarrow b) or b) \Rightarrow a) is very long. A simplified derivation for the KdV case, still based on the logic of the Treves proof, was given by Dickey; this author also found a new proof of a) \Rightarrow b) for the KdV, and established its analogue for the Boussinesq theory, using the dressing method for the Lax operator [3].

We became aware of the above results very recently, due to a talk given in Milano by Prof. Treves [4], and we soon developed an interest in a further simplification of the proofs. We investigated in particular the implication a) \Rightarrow b), concentrating for brevity on the KdV case and trying to isolate a single geometrical property of the conserved functionals, sufficient to derive the thesis. The conclusion of our analysis is described in this Letter: here we propose a proof of a) \Rightarrow b) for the KdV, different from the ones of Treves and Dickey and possessing in our opinion the previously asked feature; the same approach could be probably used for other integrable systems.

Our argument can be described in very few lines, in the following way:

I) the conserved KdV functionals are known to be invariant under the Backlund transformation (often called auto-Backlund) $M \mapsto R M^{-1}$, where M and R are the Miura and reflection transformations, respectively. This is the geometrical property from which everything follows.

II) Any Laurent series $u = x^2 + u_0 + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} u_k x^k$ is the Backlund transform of a series $w = w_0 + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} w_k x^k$.

III) If h is a conserved KdV functional and u, w are as before, we have $h(u) = h(w)$; on the other hand, $h(w) = 0$ for a trivial reason: in fact, this is the integral of a series with no negative powers of x and thus with zero residue. The conclusion is $h(u) = 0$.

The rest of this Letter is simply a rigorous formulation of items I)-III). In Section 2, to fix the language we give some background on differential polynomials, functionals, KdV theory and state precisely the Treves theorem; in Section 3, we review the Backlund transformation and formalize statement I) in the framework of Laurent series. Expert readers can skip most of the preliminaries in these two Sections, and concentrate on: Eqs (2.14-2.15) describing the space of Laurent series; Eqs (3.3-3.6) on the Backlund transformation and the invariance of KdV functionals. In Section 4, we prove II) and show a) b) along the lines of III).

Let us point out how the idea I)-III) could be employed in relation to other integrable equations. First of all, one needs a Backlund transformation leaving invariant the conserved functionals. Trivially, all functionals of the theory vanish on the subspace of formal series with no negative powers of x . One should start from this subspace or a subset of it, and characterize its image under the Backlund transformation; the latter is made of nontrivial Laurent series, on which the conserved functionals are again zero. In the KdV case, the starting set and its Backlund image consist, respectively, of the series w, u mentioned in II).

Some terminology. All vector spaces considered in this Letter are over \mathbb{C} . By a differential algebra, we mean an associative and commutative algebra equipped with a derivation, i.e., with a linear map of the algebra into itself having the Leibnitz property w.r.t. the product. A morphism of differential algebras is an algebraic morphism respecting the derivations.

2 Formal variational calculus, KdV and the Treves theorem .

In all concrete manipulations, the KdV equation $(d/dt)q = q_{xxx} - 12qq_x$ is understood as a vector field on some "space" Q , whose elements q are "functions of one variable x ". The analysis of this vector field is greatly simplified if one assumes Q to be closed under pointwise sums and products, and under the operation $q \mapsto q_x$ of derivation w.r.t. x ; in this case, Q is a differential algebra.

Investigations in this area soon made clear that the striking features of KdV are largely independent of the choice of the differential algebra Q ; the same can be said for other integrable PDEs, discovered shortly after it. To take this fact into account, Gelfand and Dickey (see [5] and references therein) invented a formal variational calculus, allowing to describe the KdV and similar systems within a very pure algebraic setting. Hereafter we illustrate some facts about this calculus, in a fashion convenient for our purposes (and partly inspired by the setting of [1]).

Formal variational calculus for KdV theory can be based on the commutative algebra

$$F = \mathbb{C}[\dots; x; x_x; x_{xx}; \dots] \quad (2.1)$$

made of complex polynomials in infinitely many indeterminates $\dots; x, x_x, x_{xx}, \dots$ without constant term. F becomes a differential algebra, when equipped with the unique derivation ∂ such that ⁽¹⁾

$$\partial = \partial_x; \quad \partial_x = \partial_{xx}; \quad \dots \quad (2.2)$$

We write $F; G$, etc. for the elements of F , and $F \cdot G$ for their product as polynomials. The composition product $F \cdot G \mapsto F \cdot G$ is the polynomial obtained from the expression of F replacing $\dots; x; \dots$ with $G, \partial G; \dots$ ⁽²⁾. For each fixed G , the mapping $F \mapsto F \cdot G$ is the unique automorphism of the differential algebra F sending ∂ into ∂ . The operation \cdot is associative, so $(F; \cdot)$ is a monoid with unit 1 .

Let us consider any differential algebra $(Q; \partial_x)$ (of elements $q; p; \dots$, with a derivation $q \mapsto q_x \in Q$; this notation for the derivation is purely conventional). Then, we can represent the elements of F as transformations of Q into itself. More precisely, if $F \in F$ and $q \in Q$, let us denote with $F(q) \in Q$ the

⁽¹⁾One occasionally needs the full algebra $\mathbb{C}[\dots; x; x_x; \dots]$, including polynomials with constant term. This is an algebra with unity, containing F as an ideal and identifiable with $F \oplus \mathbb{C}$ as a vector space; the derivation ∂ is extended to this larger algebra setting $\partial 1 = 0$. However, this enlargement plays no role in our construction.

⁽²⁾For example, if $F = x^2 + x$ and $G = x^4 + x_{xx}$ we have: $F \cdot G = x^5 + x^4 x^2 + x^3 x_{xx} + x^2 x_{xx} x + x \cdot G = G + (\partial_x G)^2 = (4x^3 + x_{xx}) + (4x^3 + x_{xx})^2 = 4x^4 + 16x^6 + 2x^2 x_{xx} + 8x^3 x_{xxx} + x^2 x_{xxx}$.

element obtained from the expression of F substituting the symbols x_1, \dots with q, q_k , etc.. In this way, F induces a map of polynomial type ⁽³⁾

$$F : Q \rightarrow Q; \quad q \mapsto F(q) : \quad (2.3)$$

We point out the notation of bold typeface to distinguish this map from F ; in particular, the transformation $Q \rightarrow Q$ induced by id is just the identity map $q \mapsto q$. As F ranges over the whole F , we get a correspondence

$$F \mapsto \text{Pol}(Q; Q); \quad F \mapsto F : \quad (2.4)$$

Now, the set $\text{Pol}(Q; Q)$ of polynomial maps $Q \rightarrow Q$ is itself a commutative algebra, with all the operations defined pointwisely: for $K, L : Q \rightarrow Q$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, K + L, \alpha K, \alpha L : Q \rightarrow Q$ are the maps $q \mapsto K(q) + L(q), q \mapsto \alpha K(q), q \mapsto \alpha K(q)L(q)$. Furthermore, $\text{Pol}(Q; Q)$ becomes a differential algebra with the derivation $\partial : K \mapsto \partial K$ such that $(\partial K)(q) = K(q)_x$ for all $q \in Q$. One easily recognizes that (2.4) is a morphism of differential algebras: for all $F, G \in F$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the transformations corresponding to $F + G, \alpha F, \alpha F G, \partial F$ are $F + G, \alpha F, \alpha F G, \partial F$.

$\text{Pol}(Q; Q)$ is also a monoid with the usual composition of maps $F \circ G : q \mapsto F(G(q))$ and the identity map as unit; it turns out that (2.4) is a monoid morphism between $(F; \circ)$ and $(\text{Pol}(Q; Q); \circ)$.

Due to the previous facts, it is helpful for intuition to think the elements of F as transformations, even when no differential algebra $(Q; x)$ is specified.

The next step in formal variational calculus is the introduction of functionals, which are "integrals" of transformations. The only property needed for the integral is to vanish on a derivative; for this reason Gelfand and Dickey defined this operation as the quotient map

$$\mathbf{R} : F \rightarrow F = \text{Im } \partial; \quad (2.5)$$

and called functionals the elements of $F = \text{Im } \partial$; each of them has the form

$$f = \mathbf{R}_F (F \circ F) : \quad (2.6)$$

For any "transformation" $G \in F$, the functional

$$f \circ G := \mathbf{R}_F (F \circ G) \in F = \text{Im } \partial \quad (2.7)$$

is well defined (i.e., independent on the choice of F within the equivalence class f); we call this the composition between f and G . One easily checks the associative property $(f \circ G) \circ G^0 = f \circ (G \circ G^0)$ for any $G^0 \in F$.

To get concrete counterparts of functionals, consider any differential algebra $(Q; x)$, and define an integration for it to be any linear map

$$\mathbf{R} : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \mathbf{R} x_k = 0 \quad \forall q \in Q; \quad (2.8)$$

the triple $(Q; x; \mathbf{R})$ will then be called an integral-differential algebra. If $f = \mathbf{R}_F (F \circ F) \in F = \text{Im } \partial$, define

$$f : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad q \mapsto f(q) := \mathbf{R}_F (F(q)); \quad (2.9)$$

this definition is well posed, and gives a linear correspondence

$$F = \text{Im } \partial \rightarrow \text{Pol}(Q; \mathbb{C}); \quad f \mapsto f; \quad (2.10)$$

for all f as above and $G \in F$, the map $Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ induced by $f \circ G$ is the usual composition $f \circ G : q \mapsto f(G(q))$.

³A map $K : S \rightarrow T$, where S and T are vector spaces, is said to be of polynomial type if there are m -linear maps $K_m : {}^m S \rightarrow T$ ($m = 0, \dots, n$) such that $K(s) = \sum_{m=0}^n K_m(s; \dots; s)$ for all $s \in S$. We will write $\text{Pol}(S; T)$ for the maps of polynomial type between S and T .

One can then go on at the level of F , defining notions such as vector fields (identifiable with elements of F), and the (Lie) derivative of a functional h along a vector field X ; if the latter vanishes, we say that h is conserved by X (see [5] and references therein).

All this machinery is designed to discuss topics such as the KdV vector field and its conserved functionals, i.e.,

$$X_{\text{KdV}} := -\frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} \quad (2.11)$$

$$Z_{\text{KdV}} := \int h \frac{1}{2} F = \int \text{Im} \, \partial \bar{\partial} h \text{ is a conserved by } X_{\text{KdV}} g : \quad (2.12)$$

An outstanding feature of KdV theory is that Z_{KdV} is infinite dimensional (as a vector space over \mathbb{C}). A basis for it is well known and consists of countably many functionals $(h_k)_{k=1,2,\dots}$, for which several equivalent constructions are available: for example, one can use the Magri-Lenard recursion scheme [6]. The first elements are

$$h_1 = \frac{1}{4} R; \quad h_2 = \frac{1}{2} R^2; \quad h_3 = R \left(2^3 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right); \quad h_4 = R \left(10^4 + 10 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right); \quad (2.13)$$

We finally come to the Treves theorem, concerning the KdV conserved functionals and their representation on a particular integral-differential algebra $(Q; \frac{\partial}{\partial x})$. By definition, this is made of formal Laurent series in one indeterminate x and complex coefficients, i.e.

$$Q := \left\{ f(x) = \sum_k q_k x^k \mid q_k \in \mathbb{C} \right\}; \quad q_k = 0 \text{ for } k \leq k_0(x); \quad (2.14)$$

Q is a commutative algebra with usual Cauchy product; it carries the derivation and integration

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} : Q \rightarrow Q; \quad q \mapsto q_k = \sum_k k q_k x^{k-1}; \quad R : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad q \mapsto q_1 = q_1 : \quad (2.15)$$

Clearly, $q = 0$ if $q = p_x$ for some $p \in Q$; of course, the definition of R as the "residue" q_1 suggests to interpret it as a loop integral about zero.

With the previous notations, the Treves theorem reads:

2.1 Proposition [1]. For any $h \in F = \text{Im} \, \partial \bar{\partial}$, statements a) and b) are equivalent:

- a) $h \in Z_{\text{KdV}}$;
- b) it is $h(u) = 0$ for each $u \in Q$ of the form $u = 1/x^2 + u_0 + \sum_{k=2}^{P+1} u_k x^k$.

As anticipated, the rest of this Letter is a new geometrical proof of the implication a) \Rightarrow b).

3 A review of the Miura and Backlund transformations.

The basic facts on these transformations can be stated in the language of formal variational calculus; so, we consider the algebra F of the previous Section, and state the following

3.1 Definition. The Miura and reflection transformations are

$$M := \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2^2; \quad R := : \quad (3.1)$$

Both M, R are elements of F , so they can be composed as explained previously; of course $M \circ R = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2^2$. We can as well compose functionals $\in F = \text{Im} \, \partial \bar{\partial}$ with these transformations; for example, composing the first KdV conserved functionals (2.13) with the Miura transformation we obtain

$$h_1 \circ M = \frac{1}{2} R^2; \quad h_2 \circ M = R \left(2^4 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right); \quad h_3 \circ M = R \left(16^6 + 20 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right); \quad (3.2)$$

$$h_4 \circ M = R \left(160^8 + 560 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 18 \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4} + 96 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 28 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) :$$

The following facts are known from the very beginning of KdV history:

3.2 Proposition. For each $h \in Z_{KdV}$:

- i) $h \circ M$ is a conserved functional for the modified KdV vector field $X_{mKdV} = -24x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$.
- ii) $h \circ M$ is invariant under reflection: $(h \circ M) \circ R = h \circ M$.

References for the proof. For i), see the original papers by Miura et al [7]. ii) is proved recursively for all elements $(h_k)_{k=1,2,\dots}$ in the basis of Z_{KdV} , using the Magri-Lenard recursion relations connecting $h_k \circ M$ to $h_{k+1} \circ M$ [6]: these relations are reflection invariant.

For our purposes, ii) is the essential feature of M and R ; now we represent this result on any integral-differential algebra $(Q; x; \cdot)$. Let us consider the maps of Q into itself induced by M, R according to the framework of the previous Section; these are

$$M : Q \rightarrow Q; p \mapsto M(p) = p_x + 2p^2; \quad R : Q \rightarrow Q; p \mapsto R(p) = -p \quad (3.3)$$

(the letter p for elements of Q is used here for future convenience). The above maps will be called the Miura and reflection transformations on Q . Let us also recall that any functional $f \in F = \text{Im } \partial$ induces a map $f : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$; in particular, considering the KdV conserved functionals we infer from Prop. 3.2 ii) that

$$(h \circ M) \circ R = h \circ M \quad \text{for each } h \in Z_{KdV}; \quad (3.4)$$

with the usual composition of maps.

We go on and introduce the Backlund transformation on Q ; essentially, this is the composition of maps $M \circ R \circ M^{-1}$, leaving invariant any conserved KdV functional due to Eq. (3.4). However, M is typically non invertible on the full space Q : to overcome this difficulty, we use the following

3.3 Definition. Consider the set 2^Q of the parts of Q (i.e., the collection of all subsets of Q). The Backlund transformation on Q is the set-valued map

$$B : Q \rightarrow 2^Q; q \mapsto B(q) = \{f(M \circ R)(p) \mid p \in Q; M(p) = q\} \quad (3.5)$$

With this definition, Eq. (3.4) implies

3.4 Proposition. Let $h \in Z_{KdV}$; then the map $h : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is Backlund invariant, in the following sense: for all $q, r \in Q$,

$$r \in B(q) \iff h(r) = h(q) \quad (3.6)$$

4 The implication a) \Rightarrow b) in Prop. 2.1: a new proof.

The simple geometrical proof we propose is based on the scheme I)-III) of the Introduction. Item I) has been treated in the previous Section; here we formalise II)-III). From now on, $(Q; x; \cdot)$ is the integral-differential algebra (2.14-2.15) of formal Laurent series.

4.1 Definition. We put

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \{f \in 2^Q \mid f = w_0 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} w_k x^k g\}; \quad V = \{f \in 2^Q \mid f = \frac{1}{2x} + v_1 x + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} v_k x^k g\}; \\ U &= \{f \in 2^Q \mid f = \frac{1}{x^2} + u_0 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} u_k x^k g\}; \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

4.2 Lemma. Consider the Miura and reflections transformations M, R of Eq. (3.3). Then:

- i) M is one to one between V and W ;
- ii) $M \circ R$ is one to one between V and U .

Proof. i) For all $v \in V$, an elementary computation gives

$$M(v) = v_x + 2v^2 = 3v_1 + (5v_3 + 2v_1^2)x^2 + 6v_4x^3 + (7v_5 + 4v_1v_3)x^4 + (8v_6 + 4v_1v_4)x^5 + \\ + \sum_{k=6}^{\infty} (k+3)v_{k+1} + 4v_1v_{k-1} + 2 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} v_jv_{k-j} x^k ; \quad (4.2)$$

from here, we see that $M(v) \in W$. Now, let us consider any $w \in W$ and show that the equation $M(v) = w$ has a unique solution $v \in V$. In fact, $M(v) = w$ is equivalent to $3v_1 = w_0$, $5v_3 + 2v_1^2 = w_2$, etc., giving

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{3}w_0 ; \quad v_3 = \frac{2}{5}v_1^2 + \frac{1}{5}w_2 = \frac{2}{45}w_0^2 + \frac{1}{5}w_2 ; \quad v_4 = \frac{1}{6}w_3 ; \\ v_5 = \frac{8}{945}w_0^3 - \frac{4}{105}w_0w_2 + \frac{1}{7}w_4 ; \quad v_6 = \frac{1}{36}w_0w_3 + \frac{1}{8}w_5 ; \quad (4.3) \\ v_{k+1} = \frac{2}{k+3} (2v_1v_{k-1} + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} v_jv_{k-j}) + \frac{w_k}{k+3} \quad \text{for all } k > 6 ;$$

the equation in the last line is a recursion formula, determining uniquely v_k for all $k > 7$.

ii) For all $v \in V$, we find

$$(M - R)(v) = v_x + 2v^2 = \frac{1}{x^2} + v_1 + (v_3 + 2v_1^2)x^2 - 2v_4x^3 + (3v_5 + 4v_1v_3)x^4 + (4v_6 + 4v_1v_4)x^5 + \\ + \sum_{k=6}^{\infty} (1-k)v_{k+1} + 4v_1v_{k-1} + 2 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} v_jv_{k-j} x^k ; \quad (4.4)$$

this shows that $(M - R)(v) \in U$. For all $u \in U$, the equation $(M - R)(v) = u$ has a unique solution $v \in V$, given by

$$v_1 = u_0 ; \quad v_3 = 2u_0^2 - u_2 ; \quad v_4 = \frac{1}{2}u_3 ; \\ v_5 = \frac{8}{3}u_0^3 - \frac{4}{3}u_0u_2 - \frac{1}{3}u_4 ; \quad v_6 = \frac{1}{2}u_0u_3 - \frac{1}{4}u_5 ; \quad (4.5) \\ v_{k+1} = \frac{2}{k-1} (2v_1v_{k-1} + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} v_jv_{k-j}) - \frac{u_k}{k-1} \quad \text{for all } k > 6 ;$$

Of course, the previous Lemma implies:

4.3 Corollary. Define a restricted Backlund transformation

$$B_0 : W \rightarrow U ; \quad B_0 = (M - R) - V : (M - V)^{-1} ; \quad (4.6)$$

then, B_0 is one to one between W and U .

The final step in our argument is trivial:

4.4 Lemma. Consider any functional $f \in F = \text{Im } \partial$; then f vanishes on the "holomorphic subspace"

$$Z = \{z \in \mathbb{Q} \mid z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z_k x^k \mid g : \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. Z is a differential subalgebra of Q , and $\frac{R}{R}$ clearly vanishes on Z . Consider any functional $f = \frac{R}{R}$. For all $z \in Z$ we have $F(z) \in Z$ and $f(z) = \frac{R}{R} F(z) = 0$.

We are finally ready to give our

Proof of the implication a) \Rightarrow b) in the Treves theorem. Consider a functional $h \in Z_{KdV}$, and any Laurent series $u \in U$. By the previous Corollary, there is a unique $w \in W$ such that $u = B_0(w)$; of course this implies $u \in B(w)$, with B the (set-valued) Backlund transformation (3.5). These facts give

$$h(u) = h(w) = 0 : \quad (4.8)$$

The first equality above is ensured by the Backlund invariance of h (Prop. 3.4); the second one follows from Lemma 4.4 and the evident inclusion $W \subset Z$.

Acknowledgments. This work was partly supported by INDAM, Gruppo Nazionale per la Fisica Matematica.

References

- [1] F. Treves, An algebraic characterization of the Korteweg-de Vries hierarchy, *Duke Math. Journal* 108, 251-294 (2001).
- [2] F. Treves, On the characterization of the nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchy, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 9, 601-656 (2003).
- [3] L.A. Dickey: On Treves' algebraic characterization of the KdV hierarchy, arXiv:nlin.SI/0304013 (2003); On a generalization of the Treves criterion for the first integrals of the KdV hierarchy to higher GD hierarchies, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 65, 187-197 (2003).
- [4] F. Treves, New marvels and mysteries in the algebraic theory of completely integrable systems, talk given in "Lezioni Leonardesche" (Milano, 2004).
- [5] L.A. Dickey, *Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ (2003).
- [6] F. Magri, A simple model of the integrable Hamiltonian equation, *J. Math. Phys.* 19, 1156-1162 (1978).
- [7] R.M. Miura, Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations. I, *J. Math. Phys.* 9 1202-1204 (1968); R.M. Miura, C.S. Gardner, M.D. Kruskal, Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations. II, *J. Math. Phys.* 9 1204-1209 (1968).