Is M ultiscaling an A rtifact in the Stochastically Forced Burgers Equation?

D hrubaditya M itra, ${ }^{1,2}$ Jerem ie $B$ ec, ${ }^{2,3} \mathrm{R}$ ahul P andit, ${ }^{1,}$ and U riel $\mathrm{Frisch}^{2}$<br>${ }^{1} C$ entre for $C$ ondensed $M$ atter $T$ heory, $D$ epartm ent of $P$ hysics, Indian Institute of Science, B angalore 560012, India<br>${ }^{2}$ D epartem ent C assiopee, O bservatoire de la C Ote d'A zur, BP 4229, 06304 N ice C edex 4, France<br>${ }^{3}$ D ipartim ento di F isica, U niversita La Sapienza, P .zzle A ldo M oro 2, 00185 Rom a, Italy (D ated: January 1, 2022)


#### Abstract

W e study turbulence in the one-dim ensional Burgers equation with a white-in-tim e, G aussian random force that has a Fourier-space spectrum $1=k$, where $k$ is the wave num ber. From very-highresolution num ericalsim ulations, in the lim it ofvanishing viscosity, we nd evidence form ultiscaling of velocity structure functions which cannot be falsi ed by standard tests. W e nd a new artifact in which logarithm ic corrections can appear disgu ised as anom alous scaling and conclude that bifractal scaling is likely.


PACS num bers: $47.27 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{s}, 05.45-\mathrm{a}, 05.40-\mathrm{a}$

H om ogeneous, isotropic uid tunbulence is often characterized by the order-p velocity structure functions $S_{p}(\Upsilon)=h\left[f v(x+\Upsilon) \quad v(x) g \quad ₹ \times j^{p} i\right.$, where $v(x)$ is the velocity at the point $x$ and the angular brackets denote an average over the statistical steady state of the turbulent uid. For separations 'in the inertial range, d ' L, one has $S_{p}\left({ }^{( }\right) \quad$. H ere d is the sm all length scale at which dissipation becom es im portant; $L$ is the large length scale at w hich energy is fed into the uid. The 1941 theory ( K 41 ) of K olm ogorov (1] predicts sim ple scaling w th exponents ${ }_{p}^{K} 41=p=3$. By contrast, experim ents and direct num erical sim ulations (D N S) suggest $m$ ultiscaling $w$ ith $p$ a nonlinear, $m$ onotonically increasing, convex function ofp, not predictable by dim ensional analysis [2]. H ow ever, the R eynolds num bers achieved in D NS are lim ited, so the exponents p have to be extracted from num erical ts over inertial ranges that extend, at best, over a decade in '. The processing of experim ental data \{ although they can achieve much higher Reynolds num bers \{ involves other well-know $n$ di culties [3]. It is im portant therefore to establish, or rule out, multiscaling of structure functions in sim pler form s of turbulence, such as passive-scalar, passive-vector or Burgers turbulence. Signi cant progress, both analytical and num erical, has been $m$ ade in con $m$ ing $m$ ultiscaling in passivescalar and passive-vector problem s (see, e.g., $R$ ef. [4] for a review ). The linearity of the passive-scalar and passivevector equations is a crucial ingredient of these studies, so it is not clear how they can be generalized to uid turbulence and the N avier\{Stokes equation.

Here we revisit the one-dim ensional, Burgers equation w th stochastic self-sim ilar forcing, studied earlier in Refs. [6, [6]. It is by far the sim plest nonlinear partial di erentialequation (PDE) that has the potential to display multiscaling of velocity structure functions [6]; and it is akin to the N avier\{Stokes equation. In particular, we investigate the statistical properties of the solutions
to

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t} u+u @_{x} u=\varrho_{x x} u+f(x ; t) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the lim it of vanishing viscosity ! 0 . Here $u$ is the velocity, and $f(x ; t)$ is a zero-m ean, space-periodic $G$ aussian random force w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \hat{f}\left(k_{1} ; t_{1}\right) \hat{f}\left(k_{2} ; t_{2}\right) i=2 D_{0} k j \quad\left(t_{1} \quad t\right) \quad\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\hat{f}(k ; t)$ the spatialFouriertransform off $(x ; t)$. W erestrict ourselves to the case $=1$ and assum e spatialperiodicity of period L . E arlier studies [5, [6] suggested that Eqs. (1) and (2), w ith $=1$, show a nonequilibrium statistical steady state $w$ ith bifractal scaling: this $m$ eans that velocity structure functions of order p 3 exhibit self-sim ilar scaling $w$ ith exponents $p=3$ and im plies a K 41type $5=3$ energy spectrum, predictable by dim ensional analysis, whereas those of order p 3 have exponents all equal to unity being dom inated by the nite number of shocks, with $O\left(L^{1=3}\right)$ strength, typically present in the periodic dom ain; this bifractal scaling is som ew hat sim ilar to that observed when the Burgers equation is forced only at large spatial scales [1, 8].

W e overcom e the lim itations of these earlier studies [5, 6] by adapting the algorithm of $R$ efs. [9, 10] to develop a state-ofthe-art technique for the num ericalsolution ofE qs. (1) and (2), in the ! 0 lm it. This yields velocity pro les (Fig. 1 a) w ith shocks at all length scales resolved. Structure functions $\mathbb{F}$ igs. (1) b) and (1) c)] exhibit pow er-law behavior over nearly three decades of r; this is $m$ ore than tw o decades better than in Ref. [5]. In principle it should then be possible to $m$ easure the scaling exponents $\mathbb{E}$ igs. [1])] w ith enough accuracy to decide between bifractality and multiscaling. A naive analysis F ig. [2]a)] does suggestm ultiscaling [15]. H ow ever, given that sim ple scaling or bifractal scaling can som etim es be m istaken form ultiscaling in a variety ofm odels [12, 13], it behooves us to check if this is the case here. W e describe below our num erical procedure and the various tests we have carried out.


F IG. 1: (a) Representative snapshots of the force $f$ and the velocity $u$ (jagged line), in the statistically stationary regim e; the velocity develops sm all-scale uctuations much stronger than those present in the force. Log-log plots of the structure function $S_{p}^{\text {abs }}(r)$ versus $r$ for $N=2^{20}$ and (b) $p=3$ and (c) $p=4$. The straight line indicates the least-squares to the range of scales lim ited by the tw o vertical dashed lines in the plots. T he resulting $m$ ultiscaling exponents $p$ (see text) are show $n$ by horizontal lines in the insets w ith plots of the local slopes versus r.

In our sim ulations we use $L=2$ and $D_{0}=1 \mathrm{w}$ thout loss of generality. The spatial $m$ esh size is $x=L=N$ $w$ here $N$ is the num ber of grid points. To approxim ate the forcing, we use the \kicking" strategy of Ref. [0] in which the white-in-time force is approached by shot noise. Betw een successive kicks we evolve the velocity by using the follow ing well-know $n$ result on the solutions to the unforced Burgers equation in the lim it of vanishing viscosity (see, e.g., Ref. [8]) : the velocity potential (such that $u=Q$ ) obeys the $m$ axim um principle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x ; t^{0}\right)=\max _{y} \quad(y ; t) \quad \frac{(x \quad y)^{2}}{2\left(t^{0} \quad t\right)} ; t^{0}>t: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The search for the $m$ axim a in Eq. (3) requires only O (N $\log _{2} N$ ) operations [10] because, under B urgers dynam ics, colliding Lagrangian particles form shocks and do not cross each other. At sm all scales we w ant to have unforced B urgers dynam ics w ith well-identi able shocks. At least four $m$ esh points are needed for unam biguous identi cation of a shock; since the $m$ axim um wavenum ber is $N=2$, we set $\hat{f}(k ; t)=0$ beyond an ultra-violet cuto $=\mathrm{N}=8$.
Speci cally, at time $t_{n}=n$ t we add $\left.f_{n}(x)\right)^{p}-t$ to the Burgers velocity $u(x ; t)$, where the $f_{n}(x) s$ are independent $G$ aussian random functions $w$ th zero $m$ ean and a Fourier-space spectrum $1=k$, for $k<$. The tim e step $t$ is chosen to satisfy the follow ing conditions $\left(x=2 u_{0}\right)<t^{\prime} \quad(1=L)^{2=3}\left(L=u_{0}\right)$, where $u_{0}$ is the characteristic velocity di erence at large length scales O (L). $T$ he rstensures that a typicalLagrangian particlem oves at least half the $m$ esh-size in time $t$ (otherw ise, it would stay put) [16]; the second, which expresses that $t$ is com parable to the tumover tim es at the scale $\mathrm{L}=$, guarantees that, at scales larger than $L=$ the tim e-step, $t$, is $s m$ all com pared to all dynam ically signi cant tim es, but still perm its the form ation of individual shocks at sm aller scales. Finally, as our sim ulations are very long, for the stochastic force we use a good-quality random -

| Run | N | t |  | L | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {av }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B 1 | $2^{20}$ | 5 | $10^{4}$ | $2^{17}$ | $1: 0$ | $2: 0$ | 22 |
| B 2 | $2^{18}$ | 1 | $10^{4}$ | $2^{15}$ | $1: 0$ | $2: 0$ | 20 |
| B 3 | $2^{16}$ | 1 | $10^{4}$ | $2^{13}$ | $1: 0$ | $2: 0$ | 120 |

TABLE I: D i erent param eters used in our runs B1, B 2 and B3. $\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{u}_{0}$ is the equivalent of the large-eddy-tumover time. D ata from $T_{t r}$ tim e steps are discarded so that transients die dow $n$. $W$ e then average our data over a tim e $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{av}}$.
num ber generator w th a long repeat period of $2^{70}$ due to $K$ nuth [11]. T he $m$ ain characterisitics of the runs perform ed are sum $m$ arized in Table

In addition to the usual structure functions, we have used $S_{p}^{a b s}(r)$, de ned by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{p}^{a b s}(r) & \text { hj } u(x ; r)^{p j i} \quad r^{p} ; \\
u(x ; r) & u(x+r) \quad u(x) ; \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

from which we extract the exponents $p$. For each value of $N$ we have calculated $p$ for $p=m=4$, with integers 1 m 20. Figure a) sum $m$ arizes the results of our calculations conceming the exponents p, for $\mathrm{N}=$ $2^{16} ; 2^{18}$, and $2^{20}$; any system atic change in the values of these exponents w ith N is $\mathrm{m} u$ ch less than the errorbars determ ined by the procedure described below. Thus in all other plots we present data from our sim ulations w ith $\mathrm{N}=2^{20}$ grid points. The representative log-log plots of $F$ igs. [1] b) and [1 c) of $S_{p}^{\text {abs }}(r)$ for $p=3$ and 4 show pow er-law regim es that extend over nearly three decades of $r=L$. $W$ e obtain our estim ates for the exponents $p$ as follow s: for a given value ofp we rst determ ine the local slopes of the plot of $\log S_{p}^{\text {abs }}$ versus $\log r$ by least-squares ts to all triplets of consecutive points deep inside the power-law regim e [17]. These regions extend over one and half decade of $r=L$ as show $n$ in $F$ igs. (1)b) and (1). The value of $p$ we quote $\mathbb{F}$ ig. [2])] is the m ean of these
local slopes; and the error bars show $n$ are the $m$ axim um and $m$ in im um local slopes in these regions.
$F$ igure (2) a) shows that our results for $p$, indicated by circles for $N=2^{20}$, deviate signi cantly from the bifractal-scaling prediction (full lines). A s we shall see this deviation need not necessarily im ply \m ultiscaling" for the structure functions. W e have also considered the possibility of already well-understood artifacts, such as the role of tem poral transients [12] and nite-size e ects which can round sharp bifractal transitions [13], and decided that they do not play any $m$ a jor role in the present problem 18].

C onsider $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{c}}$ (s), the cum ulative probability distribution function of shock strengths s. Sim ple scaling argu$m$ ents predict $P^{c}(s) \quad s, w$ th $=3$, which follow $s$ by dem anding that $P^{c}(s)$ rem ain invariant if lengths are scaled by a factor and velocities by ${ }^{1=3}$. O ne of the signatures of multiscaling would be deviations of from this scaling value. H ow ever, the follow ing argument favors $=3$ : the total input energy, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{in}}=$ ${ }_{k 0} D(k) d k \quad \mathrm{ln}$, where is the ultra-violet cuto. In the lim it of vanishing viscosity, the energy dissipation in the Burgers equation occurs only at the shocks and is proportional to the cube of the shock strength [8], so the total energy dissipation is $\quad \int_{s_{m \text { in }}} P(s) s^{3} d s$. H ere $P(s)=\left(d P^{c}(s)=d s\right) \quad s^{1}$ is the probability distribution function (PDF) of shock strengths and $s_{m}$ in and $S_{m}$ ax are, respectively, them inim um and $m$ axim um shock strengths. A steady state can occur only if $\mathrm{E}_{\text {in }}$ and have the sam e asym ptotic properties as ! 1 , i.e.,
$\ln ()$; this requires $=3$. By contrast we nd
2:7 $\mathbb{F}$ ig. l b b $^{\text {b }}$ ] by a naive least-squares $t$ to the tail of $P^{c}$ (s) [19]. This suggests that the results of our sim ulation are far from the lim it ! 1 , although more than a million grid points are used. H ence the \anom alous" exponents in F ig. (2) a) m ight w ell be suspect.

To explore this further, consider the third-order structure function of velocity di erences, $w$ thout the absolute value, nam ely, $S_{3}(r)$ h ưi. From Eqs. (1) and (2) follow s the exact relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{6} S_{3}(r)=\int_{0}^{Z_{r}} F(y) d y \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(y)$ is the spatial part of the force correlation function, de ned by hf $\left(x+y ; t^{0}\right) f(x ; t) i=F(y)$ (t $\left.\quad \ell\right)$. W e obtain this analog of the von K arm an \{ H ow arth relation in uid turbulence by a simple generalization of the proofgiven in $R$ ef. [9] for the B urgers equation forced determ inistically at large spatial scales. A n explicit check of Eq . (6) provides a stringent test of our sim ulations $\mathbb{F}$ ig. (2 c) inset]. Furthem ore, Eq. (6) im plies that $S_{3}(r) \quad r \log (r)$ for $s m$ all $r$ and thus should display signi cant curvature in a log-log plot, as is indeed seen in $F$ ig. (2 c). By contrast $S_{p}^{\text {abs }}$ (r) $\mathbb{F}$ ig. (2 c)] displays much less curvature and can be tted over nearly three decades in $(r=L)$ to a power law $w$ ith an \anom alous"
exponent of $0: 85$. This anom alous behavior is probably an artifact as we now show. Let us de ne the positive (resp., negative) part of the velocity increm ent ${ }^{+} u$ (resp., u) equal to $u$ when $u \quad 0$ and to zero when $u<0$ (resp., to $u$ when $u \quad 0$ and to zero when $u>0)$. Obviously $S_{3}(r)=h\left({ }^{+} u\right)^{3} i+h(u)^{3} i$, whereas $S_{p}^{\text {abs }}(r)=h\left({ }^{+} u\right)^{3} i \quad h(u)^{3} i$. The log-log plot ofh $\left({ }^{+} u\right)^{3} i=(1=2)\left[S_{3}(r)+S_{3}^{\text {abs }}(r)\right]$ in $F$ ig. (2) c) is much straighter than those for $S_{3}(r)$ and $S_{3}^{\text {abs }}(r)$ and leads to a scaling exponent 1:07 0:02, very close to unity. For a $m$ om ent assum $e$ that $h\left({ }^{+} u\right)^{3}$ i indeed has a scaling exponent of unity. G iven that $S_{3}(r)$ has, undoubtedly, a logarithm ic correction, it follow $s$ that $S_{3}^{\text {abs }}(r)$ has (except for a change in sign) the sam e logarithm ic correction in its leading term (for sm all r) but di ens by a subleading correction proportional to $r$. This subleading correction is equivalent to replacing $r \log (r)$ by $r \log (r)$ for a suitably chosen factor. In a log-log plot this shifts the graph aw ay from where it is $m$ ost curved and thus $m$ akes it straighter, albeit w ith a (local) slope which is not unity.

An independent check of $h\left({ }^{+} u\right)^{3} i \quad r$ is obtained by plotting the cum ulative probabilities, ${ }^{c}$, of positive and negative velocity increm ents (for a separation $r=800 \mathrm{x}$ ) in F ig. [6) [20]. For positive increm ents
c falls o faster than any negative power of $u$, but, for negative ones, there is a range of increm ents over which $c \quad j u j^{3}$, the same 3 law seen in $P^{c}(s)$ earlier. Indeed the negative increm ents are dom inated by the contribution from shocks. Just as $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{s})$ has cut$\circ S s_{m}$ in and $s_{m} a^{\prime},^{c}$ has cuto $s u_{m}$ in $(r)$ and $u_{m \text { ax }}(r)$ for negative velocity increm ents. Since ${ }^{c}$ falls $O$ as $j u j^{3}, u_{m}$ ax can be taken to be 1 ; furtherm ore as the PDF of velocity di erences, ( u) $d\left({ }^{c}\right)=d(u)$, m ust be norm alizable, we nd $u_{m}$ in $(r) \quad r^{1=3}$. W e now know enough about the form of to obtain, in agreem ent $w$ ith our argum ents above, that $S_{3}(r) \quad A r \ln (r)+B r$ and $S_{3}^{\text {abs }}(r) \quad A r \ln (r)+B r$, whence $h\left({ }^{+} u\right)^{3} i \quad B r$. The presence of this cuto yields a logarithm ic term in both $S_{3}$ and $S_{3}^{\text {abs }}$ but $w$ th di erent sign agreeing $w$ th the argum ents given in the previous paragraph.

By a sim ilar approach, we nd $S_{4}(r)$ Cr $D^{4}=3$, where C and D are two positive constants. T he negative sign before the sub-leading term $\left(r^{4=3}\right)$ is crucial. It im plies that, for any nite $r$, a naive pow er-law $t$ to $S_{4}$ can yield a scaling exponent less than unity. T he presence of sub-leading, pow er-law term sw ith opposite signs also explains the sm all apparent \anom alous" scaling behavior observed for other values ofp in our sim ulations. A sim ilar artifact involving tw o com peting pow er-law s has been described in Ref. [14].

In conclusion, we have perform ed very-high-resolution num erical sim ulations of the stochastically forced Burgers equation $w$ th a $1=\mathrm{k}$ forcing spectrum. A naive interpretation of our data show s apparent $m$ ultiscaling phenom enon. But our detailed analysis has identi ed a hitherto-unknow n num ericalartifact by which sim ple bis-


FIG.2: (a) Themultiscaling exponents $p$ versus order p for Eqs. (1] and [2] $w$ ith $N=2^{16}()$; $2^{8}()$, and $2^{0}()$ grid points. E rror bars (see text) are show $n$ for the case $N=2^{20}$. The deviation of $p$ from the exponents for bifractal scaling (full lines), show $n$ as an inset, suggest naive $m$ ultiscaling. (b) Log-log plots of the cum ulative probability distribution function $P^{c}$ (s) versus shock strengths s obtained from an average over 1000 snapshots. A least-squares $t$ to the form $P^{c}(s) s$, for the dark points in the range $\left.5 . \log _{10} \mathbb{P}(s)\right]$. $2: 5$, yields $=2: 70$; the simple-scaling prediction $=3$ is indicated by the straight line. The inset show s log-log plots of the cum ulative probability distribution function, ${ }^{c}[u(r)]$, (dashed line : positive $u$, continuous line : negative u) versus the velocity di erence $u(r)$ for length scale $r=800 \mathrm{x}$. (c) Log-log plots of $S_{3}$ ( $r$ ) (crosses), $S_{3}^{\text {abs }}(r)$ (dashed line) and $h\left({ }^{+} u\right)^{3} i$ (squares) versus $r$. The continuous line is a least-square $t$ to the range of points lim ited by two vertical dashed lines in the plot. Inset: A n explicit check of Eq. 6) from our sim ulations, plotted on a log-log scale. The dashed line is the right-hand side of Eq. 6) ; the lefthand side of this equation has been obtained for $N=2^{20}$ ( ) (run B1).
caling can $m$ asquerade as $m$ ultiscaling. O ur work ilhustrates that the elucidation of multiscaling in spatially extended nonlinear system S , including the N avier\{Stokes equation, requires considerable theoretical insight that $m$ ust supplem ent state-of-the-art num erical sim ulations and experim ents.
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