# A ctive and passive elds face to face 

A ntonio C elaniyz, M assim o $C$ encini\{ , A ndrea $M$ azzinoxand M assim o V ergassolak<br>y CNRS, $\mathbb{I N} L N, 1361$ R oute des Lucioles, 06560 Valbonne, France.<br>\{ C enter for StatisticalM echanics and Com plexity, $\mathbb{N} F M \mathrm{R}$ om a 1 and D ipartim ento dif isica, U ni̇versita diR om a "La Sapienza", P iazzale A ldo M oro, 2, I-00185 R om a, Italy $x \mathbb{N} F M$ \{D ipartim ento diF isica, U niversita diG enova, $V$ ia $D$ odecaneso 33, I-16146 G enova, Italy.<br>k CNRS, O bservatoire de la C Ote d'A zur, B P. 4229, 06304 N ice C edex 4, France, and CNRS, URA 2171, Institut P asteur, 28 rue du D r. R oux, 75724 P aris C edex 15, France.

A b stract. The statistical properties of active and passive scalar elds transported by the sam e turbulent ow are investigated. Four exam ples of active scalar have been considered: tem perature in therm alconvection, magnetic potentialin tw o-dim ensional $m$ agnetohydrodynam ics, vorticity in tw o-dim ensionalE km an turbulence and potential tem perature in surface ow s . In the cases oftem perature and vorticity, it is found that the active scalarbehavior is akin to that of its co-evolving passive counterpart. The two other cases indicate that this sim ilarity is in fact not generic and di erences betw een passive and active elds can be striking: in two-dim ensionalm agnetohydrodynam ics the $m$ agnetic potential perform $s$ an inverse cascade while the passive scalar cascades tow ard the sm all-scales; in surface ow s , albeit both perform a direct cascade, the potential tem perature and the passive scalar have di erent scaling laws already at the level of low -order statistical ob jects. These dram atic di erences are rooted in the correlations betw een the active scalar input and the particle tra jectories. The role of such correlations in the issue of universality in active scalar transport and the behavior of dissipative anom alies is addressed.
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## 1. Introduction

Scalar elds transported by turbulent ow s are encountered in $m$ any naturalphenom ena and engineering problem s, ranging from atm ospheric physics [1] to com bustion [2], to the transport and ampli cation of $m$ agnetic elds in astrophysical uids [3]. Here, we consider the case of advected scalar elds such as tem perature, pollutant density, chem ical or biological species conœentration.

In $m$ any cases there is a two-way coupling between the scalar and the ow: the transported eld can in uence the velocity eld \{ this is dubbed active transport. This is the case, for exam ple, of the tem perature eld that acts on velocity through buoyancy forces. C onversely, situations where the feedback of the scalar eld is negligible and the velocity determ ines the properties of the scalar, but not vice versa are know $n$ as passive. This ideal case is well approxim ated by uorescent dye used in laboratory experim ents to $m$ ark uid paroels.

A though active and passive scalars are govemed by the sam e advection-di usion equation, their nature is radically di erent. P assive scalars belong to the realm of linear problem s, even though highly nontrivial. Indeed, as a consequence of the statistical independence of the forcing and the advecting velocity, the transported eld depends linearly on the forcing. This property allow s a full- edged theoretical treatm ent of the problem, and has them a jor consequence that the passive scalar scaling law s are universal $w$ ith respect to the in jection $m$ echanism. On the contrary, for active elds the presence of the feedback couples the velocity to the transported scalar and $m$ akes the problem fully nonlinear. In this case, the theoretical tools developed for the study of the passive problem $m$ ay fall short of explaining the behavior of active scalars, and, as of today, the understanding of active turbulent transport lags far behind the know ledge accum ulated for the passive countenpart. This state of the art m otivated us to pursue a \case study" ofturbulent transport of active and passive scalars, using the scaling properties of elds evolving in the sam e turbulent ow as the basic diagnostics for com parison.

W e consider four di erent system s belonging to the tw o follow ing general classes of problem s: (i) active scalars that in uence the ow through local foroes; (ii) active elds functionally related to the velocity.

The evolution of a scalar belonging to the rst class is described in term s of the follow ing set of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& @_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{ra}=\mathrm{a}+\frac{f}{\mathrm{f}} ;  \tag{1}\\
& \varrho_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{c}+\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{rc}=\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{f} ;  \tag{2}\\
& \varrho_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{rv}=\mathrm{rp}+\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{r} \mathrm{a} ;:::] ; \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where a and c are the active and passive scalar elds, respectively and obey the advection-di usion equations (1) and (2). The scalar inputs $f_{a}$ and $f_{c}$ have a characteristic lengthscale ${ }_{\mathrm{f}}$, and represent two di erent realizations of the same stochastic process. W ere they coincident, no di erence between active and passive elds would persist. For the sake of sim plicity, we use the sam e m olecular di usivity, for
both scalars. The active character of $a$ is em bodied by the term $F[a ; r a ;:::]$ which acts as a forcing for the velocity eld in the $N$ avier-Stokes equations. The speci c form of the $F$ term depends on the physical system under investigation. In the follow ing we shall consider two exam ples from this class: them al convection [4, 5], where a is the temperature eld and $F=$ ag is the buoyancy force, and two-dim ensional m agnetohydrodynam ics [6], where a is the m agnetic potential and $\mathrm{F}=$ ar a is the Lorentz force.

The second class of active scalars is relevant to geophysical ows [7, [8]. In this case the dynam ics is described in term s of a scalar eld obeying the advection-di usion equation (1), supplem ented by a functional relation that gives $v$ in term $s$ of $a$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}(x ; t)=\quad i(x \quad y) a(y ; t) d y: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the vector valued kemel is divergence-free. A well-known instance from such class is the two-dim ensional $N$ avier-Stokes equation, where the active scalar is the vorticity, r . A nother problem which we shall discuss is the turbulent ow on the at surface of an in nitely high uid, described by the surface-quasi-geostrophic equation [9, 10]. Here, the active scalar is the uid density, which is related (e.g. for ideal gases) to the so-called potential tem perature.

Som e of the results presented in this paper were previously discussed in Refs. [11, [12, 13]. R elated investigations on active and passive transport $m$ ay be found in [14, 15] and [16, 17].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 , we brie y review some results about passive scalar transport in turbulent ows. Particular em phasis is put on the Lagrangian description of scalar transport, pointing out the results which hold for active scalars as well. In Sect. 3 the statistics of the (active) tem perature and a passive scalar eld in 2d convection is discussed. Sect. 4 is devoted to the analysis of two-dim ensionalm agnetohydrodynam ics, w th a thorough discussion of the problem ofdissipative anom aly in scalar transport. In Sect. 5 the evolution of passive and active elds in Ekm an-N avier-Stokes turbulence is discussed. In Sect. 6 w e study the properties of turbulence on uid surfaces under the quasi-geostrophic approxim ation. In the last section we sum $m$ arize the $m$ ain ndings and delineate the perspectives for active scalar transport.

## 2. P assive scalar turbu lence

### 2.1. Eulerian approach

The dynam ics of passive scalars is govemed by the advection di usion equation (2) . In order to describe the general properties of passive scalar evolution in turbulent incom pressible velocity elds, we assume that the velocity eld $v$ is scale invariant and rough. In other words, the spatial increm ents $r v=(v(x+r ; t) \quad v(x ; t)) \quad r=r$ depend on the separation $r$ as a fractional power, i.e. $r^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{h}$ with $\mathrm{h}<1$ (e.g.
$h=1=3$ in $K$ olm ogorov's 1941 turbulence [18]). Being interested in the statistically steady properties of the eld, we introduce a source of scalar uctuations $f_{c}$. In the follow ing we take for convenience a random, G aussian, statistically hom ogeneous and isotropic forcing $w$ th zero $m$ ean and correlation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h f_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; t\right) f_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2} ; t^{0}\right) i=\quad\left(t \quad \forall F\left(\dot{x}_{1} \quad x_{2} \hat{F}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\right):\right. \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correlation function of the forcing $F\left(r={ }_{f}\right)$ is roughly constant at scales sm aller than ${ }_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{f}$, which is assum ed to be w ithin the scaling range of v , and drops rapidly to zero for $r>{ }_{f}$.

The phenom enology of passive scalar turbulence $m$ ay be sum $m$ arized as follow $s$. Scalar uctuations in jected at the scale `f are transferred tow ard the sm all scales with a constant ux, down to the dissipative scale ' d . There, the \(m\) olecular di usion absorbs the incom ing \(u x\) and ensures the equilibrium between the input and the dissipation. The uctuations are thusm aintained in a statistically steady state which is characterized by two \(m\) ajor properties. First, the scalar dissipation is asym ptotically independent of the molecular di usivity , attaining a nite nonzero lim iting value for ! 0 . This singular behavior of the dissipation is also know \(n\) as dissipative anom aly. Second, in the scaling range`d $\quad$ ¢ the scalar statistics is interm ittent. This am ounts to saying that the sm all-scale statistics is characterized by the altemance of strong, rare events where the scalar increm ents, ${ }_{r} C=C(x+r ; t) \quad C(x ; t)$ are much larger than their typical value, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{rm}}$, and long quiescent phases where ${ }_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{rm}}$. Interm ittency is re ected by the scaling behavior of the structure functions, i.e. them om ents of the scalar increm ents

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{N}^{C}(r)=h\left({ }_{r} C\right)^{N} i / r_{N}^{\text {dim }} \frac{\grave{f}_{f}}{r}{ }_{N}^{d_{N} i m}{ }_{N}^{c}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scaling exponents ${\underset{N}{c}}^{c}$ are said to be anom alous, when they deviate from the dim ensional expectation ${ }_{N}^{\text {dim }}=N(1 \quad h)=2$. The equality ${ }_{N}^{C}={\underset{N}{\text { dim }}}_{\text {dim }}^{\text {h }}$ holds possibly only for $\mathrm{N}=2[4]$, whereas for $\mathrm{N}>2$ the deviations becom $\mathrm{e} m$ ore and m ore severe. The asym ptotic behavior at large orders $N$ corresponds to the saturation ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ ! ${ }_{1}^{\mathrm{c}}$ [21]. The saturation is related to the presence of shanp \fronts" in the scalar eld. The exponents $\underset{N}{\mathrm{C}}$ are universalw ith respect to the details of the energy in jection statistics. $T$ he forcing only a ects the num erical prefactors appearing in the structure functions.

The anom alous scaling ${\underset{N}{N}}_{\text {dim }}^{G} \underset{N}{c}$ signals the breakdow $n$ of scale invariance, as con $m$ ed by the explicit appearance of f in (6), even at scales r 〕. Indeed, anom alous scaling of the $m$ om ents of scalar increm ents is equivalent to state that the probability density functions (pdf's) of ${ }_{r} \mathrm{C}$ at di erent $\mathrm{r}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ cannot be collapsed by rescaling them with a unique power law in r. Even though the speci c values of the exponents $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{c}}$ depend on the details of the ow (statistics, tim e correlation, and roughness exponent $h$ ), interm ittency and the breaking of scale invariance in the scalar statistics are generic features of passive scalar turbulence.

The physicalm echanism leading to anom alous scaling has been recently understood in the fram ew ork of the $K$ raichnan $m$ odelofscalar advection [22, 23] (see Refs. [24, 25, [26] and Ref. [27] for an exhaustive review on the subject). In this $m$ odel the advecting
ow $v$ is random, $G$ aussian, self-sim ilar and -correlated in tim e. U nder these special conditions, there exists a closed set of linear equations for multi-point correlation functions. The anom alous exponents are the scaling exponents of the hom ogeneous solutions (the so-called zero modes) of those equations. Since hom ogeneous solutions do not depend by de nition on the scalar input, their scaling exponents are universal, and cannot be inferred from dim ensional argum ents. The concept of zero mode can be extended to passive scalar turbulence in generic velocity elds [28].

The properties of passive scalars described up to now are in the language of elds \{the Eulerian description. It is now interesting to adopt a di erent but equivalent view point in term s of particle trajectories \{the Lagrangian description.

### 2.2. Lagrangian description

The basic idea of the Lagrangian approach is to solve Eq. (2) by the method of characteristics. Let us denote ( $s ; x ; t$ ) (henceforth whenever there is no ambiguity we indicate it as (s)) as the trajectory of a uid particle landing at point $x$ at time $t$. $T$ he path (s) is the solution of the stochastic di erential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{~s})}{\mathrm{ds}}=\mathrm{v}((\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{s})+\mathrm{p} \overline{2} \mathrm{w}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \quad(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{x} ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i ; j=1 ;::: ; d$ (d being the space dim ensionality), and w_(s) is a $W$ iener process (the derivative of a B row nian $m$ otion), i.e. $\underline{w}_{i}$ are $G$ aussian variables of zero $m$ ean and correlation $h \underline{w}_{j}(s) \underline{w}_{j}\left(s^{0}\right) i={ }_{i j}(s \quad s)$. A long the path (s) Eq. (2) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{~s})}{\mathrm{ds}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{s}\right) ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is easily solved as ${ }^{w}(t)={ }_{0}^{R_{t}}$ ds $f_{c}((s) ; s)$. For the sake ofsim plicity, we assum ed ${ }^{w}(0)=0 . W$ e indicated $w$ th ${ }^{w}$ the solution obtained along the path obtained for a speci $c$ realization of the process $w$. The passive scalar eld, $c(x ; t)$, is recovered by averaging over all the realizations of $w$, i.e. along all the Lagrangian paths ending in $x$ at timet [29]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(x ; t)=h^{w}(t) i_{w}=\operatorname{dsf}_{\mathrm{c}}((\mathrm{~s}) ; s) \quad: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The statistic of the trajectories is sum $m$ arized in the particle propagator $P(y ; s j x ; t)=h(y \quad(s ; x ; t) i$, that is the probability of nding a particle at point $y$ and times $t$, provided it is in $x$ at timet. A coording to the theory of stochastic processes [30], $P$ ( $y$; sjx; $t$ ) obeys the $K$ olm ogorov equations:

$$
\begin{array}{crr}
Q_{Q} P(y ; s \dot{x} ; t) & r_{y} \quad[v(y ; s) P(y ; s \dot{x} ; t)]= & { }_{y} P(y ; s \dot{x} ; t) ; \\
@_{t} P(y ; s j x ; t)+r_{x} \quad[y(x ; t) P(y ; s \dot{x} ; t)]= & { }_{x} P(y ; s \dot{x} ; t) ; \tag{11}
\end{array}
$$

$w$ ith initial condition $P(y ; t j ; t)=(x \quad y)$. The unusualm inus signs in the lh.s. of (10) are due to the fact that particles $m$ ove backw ard in tim $e$. The solution of (2) can be written in term sof the propagator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(x ; t)=\int_{0}^{d s} d y f_{c}(y ; s) P(y ; s \dot{x} ; t) ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as it can be directly checked by inserting (12) in (2) and using (11).
At variance w ith sm ooth velocities (ie. Lipschitz continuous, $r v r$ ) where for
! 0 particle trajectories are unique, for velocity elds rough and incom pressible the particle propagator does not collapse onto a single trajectory in the lim it ! 0. Lagrangian paths are not unique and initially coincident particles separate in a nite tim e. This property is at the root of the dissipative anom aly.

For active and passive scalars evolving in the sam e ow, the Lagrangian paths are the sam e , as well as the propagator $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{sj} \dot{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{t})$. The di erence betw een a and c is that, since the active scalar enters the dynam ics of $v$ (3-4), the Lagrangian tra jectories are functionally related to the active scalar forcing $f_{a}$, but are independent of the passive source term $f_{c}$. This is the Lagrangian counterpart of the linearity of the passive scalar problem, which does not hold for the $m$ ore com plicated case of active scalars.

O ne of the advantages of the Lagrangian description is that it $m$ akes transparent the physics of transport processes. For instance, let us consider the 2 -points correlation function for passive scalars, $C^{c}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; t\right)=h c\left(x_{1} ; t\right) c\left(x_{2} ; t\right) i$. This $o$ ers the possibility of an intuitive interpretation of the energy cascade phenom enology and gives insights into $m$ easurable statisticalob jects such as the scalar spectrum $E_{c}(k)$, that is the Fourier transform of $C_{2}^{c}$. From (9) and averaging over $f_{c}$ and $v$ one obtains

$$
\mathrm{C}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}\right)=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{ds}_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{ds}_{2} h f_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\left(\mathrm{~s}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{t}\right)\right) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\left(\mathrm{~s}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{f}}{ }_{\mathrm{wv}}:
$$

Introducing the velociy-averaged two-particle propagator $\mathrm{hP}_{2}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1} ; \mathrm{y}_{2} ; \mathrm{six}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{v}}$, which evolves according to the straightforw ard generalization of (10, 11) to tw o particles, and using (5), Eq. (13) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}\right)=\mathrm{ds}_{0} \quad \mathrm{hP}_{2}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1} ; \mathrm{y}_{2} ; \mathrm{Sj}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \dot{\mathrm{i}}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{~F} \quad\left(\dot{y}_{1} \quad \mathrm{y}_{2} \dot{\mathrm{~F}}{ }_{\mathrm{f}}\right) d y_{1} \mathrm{dy}_{2} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has a clear physical interpretation. Since $F(x)$ is vanishingly sm all for $x \quad 1$, the correlation $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{c}$ is essentially the average tim e spent by a particle pair w th a separation $r=\mathrm{x}_{1} \quad \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{j}$ below the forcing scale $\mathrm{`}_{\mathrm{f}} . \mathrm{D}$ ue to the explosive separation of particles, this time has a nite lim it for r ! 0, which yields the leading contribution to $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{c}$. The subleading behavior is uncovered by the second-order structure function

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}^{c}(r)=h\left(c\left(x_{1} ; t\right) \quad c\left(x_{2} ; t\right)\right)^{2} i=2\left(C_{2}^{c}(0) \quad C_{2}^{c}(r)\right) ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is roughly the average time $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{r})$ taken by two coinciding particles to reach a separation r. For a K olm ogorov 1941 turbulent ow ( $h=1=3$ ) one has $S_{2}^{c}(r) \quad r^{2=3}$, ie. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{k}^{5=3}$ \{ the O boukov-C orrsin dim ensional expectation [4].

The Lagrangian description can be extended also to higher-order statistics as multipoint correlation functions $C_{N}^{c}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{N}\right)=h c\left(x_{1} ; t\right)::: c\left(x_{N} ; t\right) i$. However,
 D im ensional argum ents, which are based on the size of the con guration but forcibly neglect the \angular" inform ation, fall short of capturing the observed behavior for multi-point observables. A detailed discussion of their properties is beyond the scope
of this brief review. In the follow ing we just sum $m$ arize the $m$ ain concepts, referring to Refs. [31, [27] for further reading.

Expanding the power in the de nition of the structure functions $S_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{r})$, it is imm ediate to express them as a linear combinations of $N$-point correlation functions (see, e.g., (15) for $\left.S_{2}^{c}(r)\right)$. Therefore, the latter $m$ ust contain a contribution, denoted as $Z_{N}^{c}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$, that carries the anom alous scale dependence: $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)=$
${ }_{N}^{c} Z_{N}^{c}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{N}\right)$ [24, 25, [26]. From a Lagrangian view point, the function $Z_{N}^{C}$ has a special property that distinguishes it from a generic scaling function. The rem arkable result is that [31, 28]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} h Z_{N}^{c} i_{L}=0 ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the derivative $\frac{d}{d t}$ is perform ed along the trajectories of $N$ particles advected by the ow, and the average is over the ensemble of all trajectories. In other term $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ is statistically preserved by the ow [28, 32]. U niversality of scaling exponents is then just a byproduct of the de nition of statistically preserved structures: since $f_{c}$ does not appear in Eq. (16), the properties of zero $m$ odes are insensitive to the choice of the forcing.

### 2.3. D issipative anom aly

In spite of the continuous in jection of scalar through the pum ping $f_{c}$, the second-order m om ent hc ${ }^{2}(x ; t)$ i does not grow inde nitely even in the lim it ! 0 . This is due to the existence of a nite nonzero lim it of the scalar dissipation $c=j c c^{\}}\{$the dissipative anom aly.

In order to understand how hc ${ }^{2}(x ; t) i$ achieves a nite value independent of the di usivity coe cient, we adopt the Lagrangian view point. From Eq. (13) we have:
$h c^{2}(x ; t) i=\int_{0}^{Z_{t} Z_{t}} \mathrm{ds}_{1} \mathrm{ds}_{2} f_{c}\left(\left(s_{1} ; x ; t\right)\right) f_{c}\left(\left(s_{2} ; x ; t\right)\right)=\int_{0}^{Z_{t}} \operatorname{dsf}_{c}((s ; x ; t))^{2^{+}} ;(17)$
where the brackets indicate the average over the scalar forcing, the velocity eld and the noise.

Looking na vely at (17) one $m$ ight expect that for a large class of random forcing of zero $m$ ean the rh.s of the above expression would grow linearly with $t$. For instance, when the forcing is G aussian and correlated in time, one could argue that [17) is essentially a sum of independent variables and by central lim it theorem argum ents conclude that hci / t. This conclusion would be correct if in the lim it ! 0 all trajectories collapse onto a unique Lagrangian path. This tums out to be the case for strongly com pressible ow s but not in general. For com pressible ow s, energy indeed grows linearly in time and the advected scalar perform s an inverse cascade process [33, 34]. On the contrary, in rough incom pressible ow s coinciding particles typically separate in a nite tim e, giving rise to $m$ ultiple paths. A sa consequence, a self-averaging
process takes place in (17) and this prevents the inde nite grow th of the energy. This is evident upon rew riting (17) as
$h c^{2}(x ; t) i=\int_{0}^{Z} d s \quad h P_{2}\left(y_{1} ; y_{2} ; \operatorname{sj} ; x ; t\right) i_{v} F \quad\left(\dot{y}_{1} \quad y_{2} \dot{F}_{f}^{\prime}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2}:$
The time integral is cut o at f $\mathrm{sj} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{f}}}$, that is for tim es larger than the (nite) tim e needed by tw o coinciding particles to separate by a distance larger than the forcing correlation length ${ }_{\mathrm{f}}$. This is the $m$ echanism leading to a nite dissipation ofenergy. To sum $m$ arize, the incom pressibility and the roughness of the ow result in the dissipative anom aly through the explosive separation of particle paths. Further discussion on the role of dissipative anom aly in passive scalar turbulence can be found in [23, 33, 35].

## 3. T wo-dim ensional turbulent convection

An interesting problem in the context of turbulent transport is the advection of inhom ogeneous tem perature elds in a gravitational eld. Temperature uctuations induce density uctuations that in tum, via buoyancy foroes, a ect the velocity eld: hence the tem perature eld is an active scalar [4, [5]. H ere, we consider tw o-dim ensional convection, which is also of experim ental interest in Hele-Shaw ows [36]. As an additional asset, the two-dim ensional problem is better suited for the study of scaling properties since it allow s to reach higher resolution and larger statistics.

T w o-dim ensional convection is described by the follow ing equations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
@_{t} a+v & r a=a+\frac{f}{q} ; \\
@_{t} v+v & r v=r p+\quad v \quad a g \quad v ; \tag{20}
\end{array}
$$

where $a$ is the eld of temperature uctuations. The second equation is the twodim ensional $N$ avier-Stokes equation where $v$ is foroed by the buoyancy term ga in the Boussinesq approxim ation [4]; $g=g \hat{y}$ is the gravitational acceleration and the therm al expansion coe cient. K inetic energy is rem oved at the large scales by the friction term, $V$. The friction is physically due to the drag experienced by a thin (quasi2d) layer of uid w ith the walls or air [7, 37]; is related to the thickness of the uid layer. A passive scalar, c, evolving according to Eq. (2) in the same ow has been considered as well, for com parison.

Before entering in the active/passive scalar issue, let us brie y recall the phenom enology of 2D turbulent convection (for the 3D case see, e.g., [4, 5]). The balance of buoyancy and inertial term s in (20) introduces the Bolgiano lengthscale
 and the tem perature is basically a passive scalar. At large scales, $r$ ¿ , buoyancy dom inates and a ects the velocity, which in two dimensions performs an inverse energy cascade. H owever, at variance with the usual 2D N avier-Stokes turbulence, the kinetic energy input rate " depends here on the scale. D im ensional argum ents yield $"(r)=g \quad h v(x+r ; t) a(x ; t) i \quad{ }^{4=5}$, the Bolgiano scaling for the velocity structure


F igure 1. Scaling exponents of tem perature, $\underset{N}{a}$, and velocity, ${ }_{N}^{V} . T$ he straight lines are the dim ensional predictions, $\mathrm{N}=5$ for tem perature, $3 \mathrm{~N}=5$ for velocity. D etails on the num erics $m$ ay be found in [11]. N otioe that at orders larger than $N=8$ the tem perature exponents saturate to a constant value ${ }_{1}^{a} \quad$ ' $0: 8$.
functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{N}^{v}(r) \quad("(r) r)^{N}=3 \quad r^{N} ; \quad{ }_{N}^{v}=3 N=5 \text {; } \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for tem perature

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{N}^{a}(r) \quad r^{a} ; \quad{ }_{N}^{a}=N=5 \text { : } \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

N o interm ittency corrections are reported for the velocity, whereas the tem perature eld is strongly interm ittent (see Fig. [1 and R efs. [38, [11]).

Sum $m$ arizing, the tem perature uctuations are in jected at scales, ì pump kinetic energy through the buoyancy term, and a non-interm ittent velocity inverse cascade establishes w ith ${ }_{r} \mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{r}^{3=5}$. The presence of friction stabilizes the system inducing a statistically steady state. The active and the passive scalars are therefore transported by a self-sim ilar, incom pressible and rough ow. A $n$ interm ittent cascade of uctuations w ith anom alous, universal scaling exponents, ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{C}}$ is observed for the passive scalar.

O ur aim is to com pare the statistical properties of the tem perature and the passive scalar elds. To this purpose, in Ref. (11], Eqs. (19) and (20) have been integrated w ith $f_{a}$ and $f_{c}$, chosen as tw o independent realizations of a stochastic, isotropic, hom ogeneous and $G$ aussian process of zero $m$ ean and correlation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h f_{i}(x ; t) f_{j}\left(x^{0} ; t^{0}\right) i=i j \quad\left(t \quad \psi F\left(\dot{x} \quad x^{0} j_{f}^{\prime}\right) ;\right. \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F\left(r={ }_{f}\right)=\exp \left(r^{2}=\left(2 \underset{f}{{ }_{f}^{2}}\right)\right)$ decreases rapidly as $r \quad \grave{f}$. The labels are $i ; j=a ; c$. $T$ he results of this num erical study clearly con $m$ that tem perature scaling exponents are anom alous ( F ig. (1) , and coincide with those of the passive eld: $\underset{N}{c}={ }_{N}^{a}$ ( F ig. (2a) .

In this system there is saturation of interm ittency, ie. for large $N$ the scaling exponents saturate to a constant ${ }_{1}^{\text {a;c }} 0: 8$ (see $F$ ig (1). This phenom enon, well know $n$


Figure 2. (a) Local scaling exponents of tem perature ( ) and concentration (4) uctuations, ${ }_{N}^{a ; c}(r)=d \ln S_{N}^{\text {a;c }}(r)=d \ln r$. Tem perature and concentration are driven by independent $G$ aussian random forcing with correlation function as Eq. (23) . (b) Tem perature structure functions, $S_{N}^{a}(r)$, for $N=2 ; 4 ; 6$, as a function of the separation $r$. The two sets of curves are generated by using the random ( ) and m ean gradient (+ ) forcing. N ote the parallelism within the inertial range. C urves have been $m$ ultiplied for a num erical factor for visualization purposes.
for passive scalars [21], is physically related to the presence of abrupt changes in the spatial structure in the scalar eld ( $\backslash$ fronts"). In the tem perature eld these quasidiscontinuities correspond to the boundaries between hot rising and cold descending patches of uid [38]. It is worth $m$ entioning that saturation has been experim entally observed both for passive scalars [19, 20] and tem perature elds [39]. C lear evidenœes of saturation have recently been obtained also in the convective atm ospheric boundary layer exploiting the large-eddy sim ulation technique [40].

These ndings point to the conclusion that the tem perature and the passive scalar have the sam e scaling law $s$. It rem ains to be ascertained w hether the tem perature scaling exponents are universalw ith respect to the forcing. To this aim, a set of sim ulations has been perform ed in $R$ ef. [38, [11], w ith a forcing that $m$ im ics the e ect of a superim posed $m$ ean gradient on the transported tem perature eld

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a}(x ; t)=g \quad v(x ; t): \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rem arkably, the results show that the scaling exponents of the tem perature eld do not depend on the in jection $m$ echanism ( $F$ ig. [2b) suggesting universality [11, [38]. A nother outcom e of this investigation is that the velocity eld statistics itself is universalw ith respect to the in jection $m$ echanism of the tem perature eld. Indeed, v displays a close-to-G aussian and non-interm ittent statistics $w$ ith both forcing [23) and [24) [11]. This is $m$ ost likely a consequence of the observed universal $G$ aussian behavior of the inverse energy cascade in two-dim ensional $N$ avier-Stokes turbulence [41, 42]. Indeed, velocity uctuations in two-dim ensional convection also arise from an inverse cascade process driven by buoyancy forces.

So far all the num ericalevidences converge to the follow ing global picture of scaling
and universality in two-dim ensional turbulent convection. Velocity statistics is strongly universal w ith respect to the tem perature extemal driving, $f_{a}$. Tem perature statistics shows anom alous scaling exponents that are universal and coincide with those of a passive scalar evolying in the same ow. It is worth noticing that sim ilar ndings have been obtained in the context of sim pli ed shellm odels for turbulent convection [14, 15].

The observed universality of the tem perature scaling exponents suggests that a $m$ echanism smilar to that of passive scalars $m$ ay be at work, i.e. that statistically preserved structures $m$ ight exist also for the (active) tem perature. P ursuing this line of thought, one $m$ ay be tem pted to de ne them through the property $\frac{d}{d t} h Z_{N}^{a} i_{L}=0$ as for passive scalars (see Eq. (16) ). H ow ever, statistically preserved structures are determ ined by the statistics of particle trajectories which, through the feedback of a on $v$, depend on $f_{a}$. Therefore, the above de nition does not autom atically im ply the universality of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{a}}$, because Lagrangian paths depend on $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{a}}$. N onetheless, the observed universality of the statistics ofv is su cient to guarantee the universality of the tra jectories statistics, leading to the conclusion that if $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}^{a}$ exists it m ight be universal. $\mathrm{Since} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{C}}$ are also de ned by the Lagrangian statistics that is the sam efor a and $c$, one $m$ ight further con jecture that $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{c}}$. This would explain the equality ofscaling exponents ${\underset{N}{a}}_{a}={ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{c}}$.

It has to be rem arked that this picture is not likely to be generic. T w o crucialpoints are needed to have the equality between active and passive scalar exponents: (i) the velocity statistics should be universal; (ii) the correlation between $f_{a}$ and the particle paths should be negligible. A s we shall see in the follow ing, those tw o requirem ents are not generally $m$ et.

## 4. Two-dim ensionalm agnetohydrodynam ics

### 4.1. D irect and inverse cascades

M agnetohydrodynam ics (MHD) m odels are extensively used in the study of magnetic fusion devioes, industrial processing plasm as, and ionospheric/astrophysicalplasm as [3]. M HD is the extension of hydrodynam ics to conductive uids, including the e ects of electrom agnetic elds. W hen the magnetic eld, b, has a strong large-scale com ponent in one direction, the dynam ics is adequately described by the two-dim ensional M HD equations [6]. Since the $m$ agnetic eld $b(x ; t)$ is solenoidal, in 2d it can be represented in term $s$ of the $m$ agnetic scalar potential, $a(x ; t)$, i.e. $b=r ? a=\left(Q_{2} a_{1} a\right)$. The $m$ agnetic potential evolves according to the advection-di usion equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{\mathrm{t}} a+\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{ra}=\mathrm{a}+\underset{\mathrm{f}}{ } \text {; } \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and will be our active scalar throughout this section. The advecting velocity eld is driven by the Lorentz force, ( $r \quad b$ ) $b=$ ar $a$, so that the $N$ avier-Stokes equation becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{t} v+v \quad r v=r p+\quad v \quad \text { ar } a: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he question is whether the picture drawn for the tem perature eld in 2 d convection applies to the m agnetic potential as well.


Figure 3. P ow er spectra of active (red) and passive (blue) scalar variances $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})=$ $k j a(k ; t) \jmath$ and $\left.E_{c}(k)=k \dot{\jmath}(k ; t)\right\}$. In the lower left comer, the uxes of scalar variance a;c out of $w$ avenum ber $k$. Negative values indicate an inverse cascade. In the upper right comer, the total scalar variance $e_{a ; c}(t)=E_{a ; c}(k ; t) d k$. The active variance $e_{a}(t)$ grow s linearly in tim ewhereas $e_{c}(t)$ uctuates around a nite value (see text). The rate of active to passive scalar dissipation is ${ }_{a}={ }_{c}{ }^{\prime} 0: 005$. A ll elds are set to zero at $t=0$, and time is de ned in units of eddy-tumover time $T=l_{f}=V_{m}$ s where $l_{f}=2=k_{f}$. At $k<k_{f}$ we observe power-law behaviors $E_{a}(k) k^{2: 0} 0: 1$ and $E_{c}(k) \quad k^{0: 7} 0: 1$, while at $k>k_{f}$ we nd $E_{a}(k) \quad k^{3: 6} 0: 1$ and $E_{C}(k) \quad k^{1: 4} 0: 1$.

Eqs. (25) and (26) have two quadratic invariants in the inviscid and unforoed lim it, nam ely the totalenergy $\frac{1}{2}{ }^{R}\left(v^{2}+b^{2}\right) d x$ and them ean squarem agnetic potential $\frac{1}{2}{ }^{R} a^{2} d x$. U sing standard quasi-equilibrium argum ents [6], an inverse cascade ofm agnetic potential is expected to take place in the foroed and dissipated case [43]. Th is expectation hasbeen $\infty$ n m ed in num erical experim ents [45]. Let us now com pare the $m$ agnetic potential w ith a passive scalar evolving in the sam e ow .

We performed a high-resolution ( $4096^{2}$ collocation points) direct num erical sim ulations ofE qs. (25)-(26) along w ith a passive scalar (2) . The scalar forcing term $s f_{a}$ and $f_{c}$ are hom ogeneous independent $G$ aussian processes $w$ ith zero $m$ ean and correlation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \hat{f}_{i}(k ; t) \hat{f_{j}}\left(k^{0} ; t^{0}\right) i=\frac{F_{0}}{\left(2 k_{f}\right)} i j \quad\left(k+k^{0}\right) \quad(k \quad \text { k }) \quad(t \quad \&) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i ; j=a ; c$. The in jection length scale $l_{f} 2=l_{\text {l }}$ has been chosen roughly in the $m$ iddle of the available range of scales. $F_{0}$ is the rate of scalar variance input.

In $F$ ig. $3^{3}$ we sum $m$ arize the spectral properties of the two scalars. The em erging picture is as follow s. W hile a undergoes an inverse cascade process, c cascades dow nscale. This striking di erence is re ected in the behavior of the dissipation. The active scalar dissipation, $a=j c$ $\left.{ }^{\}}\right\}$, vanishes in the $\lim$ it ! 0 \{ no dissipative anom aly for the eld $a$. Consequently, the squared $m$ agnetic potential grow $s$ linearly in tim e $e_{a}(t)=\frac{1}{2}^{2} a^{2} d x \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~F}_{0} t$. On the contrary, for the passive scalar, a dissipative anom aly is present and $c=j r c f$ equals the input $\frac{1}{2} F_{0}$ holding $c$ in a statistically stationary state. (Se inset in Fig.(3) .


Figure 4. Velocity power spectrum $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{kj}(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{t})$ 刍. For $\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}$, in agreem ent w th previous sim ulations [45], we observe $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{k}^{1=3}$ (dashed lines) which deviates from the dim ensional prediction $\mathrm{k}^{1=3}$. In the range $\mathrm{k}>\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}$ a scaling close to $\mathrm{k}{ }^{5=3}$ is observed, indicating that v is rough both in the inverse and in the direct cascade range.

The velocity eld is rough (as con med by its spectrum, see Fig. 4) and incom pressible, therefore particle paths are not unique and explosively separate. This entails the dissipative anom aly for passive scalars. On the contrary, the dissipative anom aly is absent for the $m$ agnetic potential, in spite of the fact that the tra jectories are the same \{ the advecting velocity is the same. How can these two seem ingly contradictory statem ents be reconciled?

### 4.2. D issipative anom aly and particle paths

The solution of the riddle resides in the relationship between the Lagrangian tra jectories and the active scalar input $f_{a}$. These two quantities are bridged by the Lorentz force appearing in (26). To study the correlations betw een forcing and particle paths we need to com pute the evolution of the particle propagator. The relevant observables are the tim e sequences of $a ; c(s)=d y f_{a ; c}(y ; s) P(y ; s j x ; t)$. Indeed the equivalent of Eq. (12) can be w ritten for the active scalar as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x ; t)=\int_{0} d s \quad d y f_{a}(y ; s) P(y ; s \dot{x} ; t): \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main di culty encountered here is that $P$ evolves backw ard in tim e according to (10) and the condition $P(y ; t \dot{x} ; t)=(y \quad x)$ is set at the nal timet. C onversely, the initialconditions on velocity and scalar elds are set at the in itialtim e. The solution of this mixed initial/ nalvalue problem is a non-trivial num ericaltask. To this aim, we devised a fast and low m em ory dem anding algorithm to integrate Eqs. (25) - (26) and (10) w ith the appropriate initial/ nal conditions. The details are given in Ref. [44].

The typical evolution of the propagator is shown in the central colum $n$ of $F$ ig. [5. From its evolution we reconstructed the time sequences of the forcing contributions


F igure 5. Time runs from bottom to top. F irst colum n : tim e evolution of the active scalar eld resulting from the num erical integration of Eqs. 25) and (26). Second colum $n$ : backw ard evolution of the particle propagator according to Eq. 10) . Third column: tim e evolution of the passive scalar eld in the sam e ow.
$a_{\text {a; }}(s)$ which, integrated over $s$, give the am plitude of the scalar elds according to (12) and (28). The time series of ${ }_{a}(s)$ and $c(s)$ are markedly di erent (Fig. 6), the form er being strongly skewed tow ard positive values at all tim es. This signals that tra jectories preferentially select regions w here $f_{a}$ has a positive sign, sum $m$ ing up forcing contributions to generate a typical variance of a of the order $F_{0} t$. On the contrary, the passive scalar sequence displays the usual features: $f_{c}$ is independent of $P$ and their product can be positive or negative $w$ ith equal probability on distant tra jectories. This ensures that the tim e integral in Eq. (12) averages out to zero for jo $\mathrm{tj}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (see Sect. (2.2) and yields $c^{2} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{I}_{ \pm}}$.

As shown in the lower panel of $F$ ig. 6 the $e \frac{R_{s}}{}$ of correlations betw een forcing and propagator is even $m$ ore striking com paring $\int_{0}^{s} d s^{0}{ }_{a}\left(s^{0}\right) w$ th ${ }_{0}{ }_{0} \mathrm{ds}^{0}{ }_{c}\left(s^{0}\right)$. The conspicuous di erence has to be related to a strong spatial correlation between P and $a$, as can be inferred from
$\left.Z_{a}{ }^{2} s^{0}\right) d s^{0}={ }^{Z} d y a(y ; s) P(y ; s \dot{x} ; t) ;$
which can be derived from (25) and (11). An equivalent relation holds for c as well. The comparison of the rst and the second column of Fig . 5 highlights the role of


Figure 6. Top: $a_{; c}(s)={ }^{R} d y f_{a ; c}(y ; s) P(y ; s j x ; t)$. The two graphs have the sam e scale on the $R_{s}$ vertical axis. H ere, $t=32$. B ottom : tim e integrals $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ a $\left(s^{0}\right) d s^{0}$ (upper curve) and $R_{s}^{0}{ }_{c}\left(s^{0}\right) d s^{0}$ (low er curve). N ote the di erent scale on the vertical axis. R ecall that $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}^{0}{ }_{a}\left(s^{0}\right) d s^{0}=a(x ; t)$ (and sim ilarly for $c$ ).
spatial correlations: the distribution of particles follows the distribution of the active scalar. T his am ounts to saying that large-scale scalar structures are built out of sm aller ones that coalesce together [45]. This has to be contrasted w ith the absence of largescale correlations betw een the propagator and the passive scalar eld (second and third colum n of $F$ ig. (5).

Let us now clarify the $m$ echanism for the absence of dissipative anom aly. C onsider the squared active eld $a^{2}$. It can be expressed in two equivalent ways. On one hand, it can be written as the square of (28). O $n$ the other hand, multiplying (25) by 2a one obtains the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{t} a^{2}+v \quad r^{2} a=a^{2}+2 a f_{a} \quad 2 a: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exploiting the absence of dissipative anom aly, a=0, Eq. (30) reduces to a transport equation that can be solved in term s of particle tra jectories. The com parison of the two expressions yields [46]

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{t} Z_{t}{ }^{Z Z} \\
& \quad d s s^{0} d s^{0}(y ; s) f_{a}\left(y^{0} ; s^{0}\right) P(y ; \operatorname{six} ; t) P\left(y^{0} ; s^{0} j x ; t\right)= \\
& Z_{t}^{0} Z_{t}^{0} Z Z \\
& \quad d s d^{0} f_{a}(y ; s) f_{a}\left(y^{0} ; s^{0}\right) P\left(y^{0} ; s^{0} ; y ; \operatorname{six} ; t\right) ; \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P\left(y^{0} ; s^{0} ; y ; s \dot{x} ; t\right)=P(y ; s \dot{x} ; t) P\left(y^{0} ; s^{0} \dot{y} ; s\right)$ denotes the probability that a trajectory ending in $(x ; t)$ were in $(y ; s)$ and $\left(y^{0} ; s^{0}\right)$. Integration over $y$ and $y^{0}$ is im plied. Eq. (31) am ounts to saying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{s}\right) \mathrm{ds} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{w}}^{2}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{s}\right) \mathrm{ds}{ }^{+} \text {; } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ctive and passive elds face to face
$m$ eaning that ${ }_{0}^{R_{t}} f_{a}((s) ; s) d s$ is a non-random variable over the ensem ble of traj jectories. The above procedure can be generalized to show that $\left.h_{0}^{R_{t}} f_{a}(s) ; s\right) d s i_{w}^{N}=$ $\left.h\left[{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{s}) ; \mathrm{s}\right) \mathrm{ds}\right]^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{w}}$.

In plain words, the absence of the dissipative anom aly is equivalent to the property that along any of the in nite trajectories (s) ending in ( $x$; $t$ ) the quantity ${ }_{0}^{R_{t}} f_{a}((s) ; s) d s$ is exactly the sam $e$, and equals $a(x ; t)$. Therefore, a single tra jectory su ces to obtain the value of $a(x ; t)$, contrary to the passive case where di erent trajectories contribute disparate values of ${ }_{0}^{R_{t}} f_{c}((s) ; s) d s$, with a typical spread ${ }_{c} t_{1}$ and only the average over all trajectories yields the correct value of c(x;t). In the unforced case particles $m$ ove along isoscalar lines: this is how non-uniqueness and explosive separation of tra jectories is reconciled w ith the absence ofdissipative anom aly.

Inverse cascades appear also forpassive scalars in com pressible ow s [34]. There, the ensemble of the tra jectories collapses onto a unique path, fullling in the sim plest way the constraint 31). In M HD the constraint is satis ed thanks to the subtle correlation betw een forcing and tra jectories peculiar to the active case.

M agnetohydrodynam ics in two dim ension represents an \extrem e" exam ple of the e ect of correlations am ong Lagrangian paths and the active scalar input. T he property that all trajectories ending in the sam e point should contribute the sam e value of the input poses a global constraint over the possible paths.

Before discussing the statistical properties of $a$, on the basis of the previous discussion it is instructive to reconsider the concept of dissipative anom aly in general scalar turbulence.

### 4.3. D issipative anom aly revisited

In this subsection we give an altemative intenpretation of dissipative anom aly. To this aim, let us denote $w$ ith $(x ; t)$ a generic scalar eld, regardless of its passive or active character. The scalar evolves according to the transport equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{r}=+\mathrm{f}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can form ally solve Eq. (33) by the $m$ ethod of characteristics, i.e. in term $s$ of the stochastic ordinary di erential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \quad(t)}{d t} & =v(\quad(t) ; t)+P \overline{2} w ;  \tag{34}\\
\frac{d \#(t)}{d t} & =f \quad(\quad(t) ; t): \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

At variance w ith the procedure adopted in Sect. 22 here we are not conditioning a priori the paths to their nalpositions. The Eulerian value of the eld is recovered once the average over the all paths, , landing in $(x ; t)$ is perform ed, i.e. $(x ; t)=h \#(t) i_{w}$. Recall that if the ow is non-むipschitz continuous, such paths do not collapse onto a single one also for ! 0 .

W e can now de ne $P\left(x ; \# ; \mathrm{t}_{0} ; \#_{0} ; 0\right)$ as the probability that a path which started in $x_{0}$ at time 0 with $\#_{0}=\left(x_{0} ; 0\right)$ and arrives in $x$ at tim e carrying a scalar value \#.

 Eqs. (25) and (2) for two di erent values of the di usivity $=0: 003$ (lled sym bols) at resolution $512^{2}$ and $=0: 001$ (open symbols) at resolution $1024^{2}$. The straight lines indicates the grow th laws 2 at and $2{ }_{c}$ t for the two values of . N ote that c does not depend on consistently w ith the presence of dissipative anom aly, while a decreases as decreases. The variance has been evaluated averaging over $10^{6}$ Lagrangian paths evglving according to (34). The Lagrangian scalar values $\#_{a}$ and $\#_{c}\left(w h e r e a(x ; t)=\#_{a} P\left(x ; \#_{a} ; t\right) d \#_{a}\right.$, the equivalent relation holds betw een $c$ and $\#_{c}$ ) have been com puted integrating (35) both for the active $f_{a}$ and passive $f_{c}$ forcings along each paths. The forcings are chosen as in (27) The initialE ulerian a ( $\mathrm{x} ; 0$ ), $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{x} ; 0$ ) and Lagrangian $\#_{a}(0), \#_{c}(0)$ elds have been set to zero. Tim es is m easured in eddy tumover tim es.
$N$ otice that the conditioning is now on the initial value, and $P$ evolves according to the K olm ogorov equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{t} P+v \quad r_{x} P+f r_{\#} P=P \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th initial condition $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \# ; \mathrm{tjx}_{0} ; \# 0 ; 0\right)=\left(\mathrm{x} \quad\right.$ x) $(\# \quad \#)$ and $\#_{0}=(\mathrm{x} ; 0)$.
Integrating over the intial conditions we de ne now the probability density $P(x ; \# ; t)=P\left(x ; \# ; t x_{0} ;\left(x 0_{0} ; 0\right) ; 0\right) d x_{0} . \mathrm{P}$ still obeys to (36) with initial condition $P(x ; \# ; 0)=\left(\# \quad\left(x_{0} ; 0\right)\right)$, and represents the probability that a path arrives in $(x ; t)$ carrying a scalar value \# ( $t$ ) $={ }_{0}^{R_{t}} f((s) ; s) d s$.

Let us now look at the variance of the distribution of such values, i.e. ${ }^{2}(x ; t)=$ $R \quad \#^{2} \mathrm{Pd} \mathrm{\#}(\# \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{\#})^{2}$. From Eq. (36) it is easy to derive the follow ing equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{t}^{2}(x ; t)+v \quad r^{2}(x ; t)={ }^{2}(x ; t)+2 \quad(x ; t) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x ; t)=j x_{x}^{R} \# P(x ; \# ; t) d \# f$. In the Eulerian frame $(x ; t)=j r(x ; t) j^{2}$, i.e. the localdissipation eld.

Integrating over $x$, we end up ${ }_{Z}$ ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \quad 2(x ; t) d x=2 \quad(x ; t) d x=2 \quad ; \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=h$ jr $(x ; t) j^{2} i$ is the average dissipation rate of $h{ }^{2} i=2$. Therefore, if ${ }^{2}$ cascades tow ard the $m$ all scales with a nite (even in the lim it ! 0) dissipation, ,


Figure 8. (a) The surface is the instantaneous Eulerian magnetic potential eld $a(x ; t)$. The dots represent the positions of particles in the $\left(x ; \#_{a}\right)$-space at tim et. (b) $T$ he sam e for the passive scalar, $c$. $T$ he tim e corresponds to the largest tim e in $F$ ig. 7. For visualization purposes only the portion $[0 ;=4] \quad[0 ;=4]$ is displayed. In (a) the cloud of dots closely follow s the the $m$ agnetic potential surface, while in (b) they are considerably m ore dispersed (notice the di erences in the values of the \#-axis).
the variance of the distribution of the values of\# $(t)={ }_{0}^{R_{t}} \mathrm{dsf}$ ( ( $s$ ); $s$ ) will grow linearly in time. C onversely, for an inverse cascade of ${ }^{2}$ in the absence of dissipative anom aly $d x^{2}(x ; t)=0$, corresponding to a singular distribution $P(x ; \# ; t)=(\# \quad(x ; t))$. In $F$ ig. 7 we show the tim e evolution of $d x \underset{a ; c}{2}(x ; t)$ in the case of $2 d M H D$ which con ms the above ndings.

The fact that, for inverse cascading scalars, the probability density $P$ collapses onto a -function in the lim it of vanishing di usivity am ounts to saying that particles in the ( $x ; \#$ ) space do not disperse in the \#-direction but $m$ ove rem aining attached to the surface \# $=(x ; t) .(s e e \mathrm{Fig} .8 \mathrm{~B})$. This is related to the strong spatial correlations between a and the Lagrangian propagator observed in Fig. [5. On the contrary, for a direct cascade of scalar such correlations do not exist and dissipation takes place because of dispersion in the \#-direction (see Fig. 8 b ) .

### 4.4. Eulerian statistics

4.4.1. Single-point statistics $T$ he statistical properties of the magnetic potential a and the passive scalar c are markedly di erent already at the level of the singlepoint statistics. The pdf of $a$ is $G$ aussian, w ith zero $m$ ean and variance $F_{0} t$ ( $F$ ig. (9) . C onversely, the pdf of $c$ is stationary and supergaussian (see Fig. [9), as it generically happens for passive elds sustained by a $G$ aussian forcing in rough ows [27].

The G aussianity of the pdf of a is a straightforw ard consequence of the vanishing of active scalar dissipation. This is sim ply derived by multiplying Eq. (25) for 2na ${ }^{2 n}{ }^{1}$ and averaging over the forcing statistics. The active scalar $m$ om ents obey the equation $@_{t} h^{2 n} i=n(2 n \quad 1) F_{0} h a^{2 n}{ }^{2} i$ (odd $m$ om ents vanish by sym $m$ etry) whose solutions are the $G$ aussian $m$ om ents: $h a^{2 n} i=(2 n \quad 1)!!\left(F_{0} t\right)^{n}$. An equivalent derivation can be


Figure 9. Pdf's of active (em pty boxes) and passive (full boxes) scalar elds norm alized by their standard deviation. The active scalar pdf is indistinguishable from a $G$ aussian (solid line).
obtained in Lagrangian term s. Follow ing the sam e steps which lead from Eq. (30) to (31) it is easy to derive the follow ing expression

$$
a^{2 n}(x ; t)=2 n_{0}^{Z}{ }_{0}^{t} s_{1}^{Z} d y_{1} P\left(y_{1} ; s_{1} \dot{x} ; t\right) f_{a}\left(y_{1} ; s_{1}\right) a^{2 n \quad 1}\left(y_{1} ; s_{1}\right) ;
$$

which after integrating over x and averaging over the forcing statistics reduces to

$$
h a^{2 n} i(t)=n\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 n & 1) F_{0} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \\
d s h a^{2 n 2} & i(s) ; ~ \tag{40}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which, unraveling the hierarchy, yields $G$ aussian ${ }_{R} m$ om ents w ritten above. In passing from Eq. (39) to (40) we used the property that $d x P(y ; s j x ; t)=1$ (which is ensured by Eq. (10) ), and G aussian integration by parts.
4.4.2. M ulti-point statistics and the absence of anom alous scaling in the inverse cascade $T$ he results about tw o-point statistics of the $m$ agnetic potential and passive scalar elds is sum $m$ arized in $F$ ig. 10. In the inverse cascade scaling range $r>{ }_{f}$ the rescaled pdf of $r^{a}$ at di erent values of the separation $r$ collapse onto the sam e curve indicating absence of anom alous scaling ( $F$ ig. 10a). By contrast, in the scaling range $r<{ }_{\mathrm{f}}$ the rescaled pdfs of passive scalar increm ents at di erent $r$ do not collapse ( F ig. 10b), ie. we have anom alous scaling, as expected.

Let us now consider in quantitative terms the scaling behaviors. Since in our simulations the scaling range at $r<{ }_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{(k}>\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) is poorly resolved, we do not enter the much debated issue of the scaling of the $m$ agnetic and velocity elds in this range of scales (see, e.g., [45, 43, 47, 48, 49, 50]). W e just mention that current opinions are divided between the K olm ogorov scaling ${ }_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{r}^{1=3}$, and the IroshnikovK raichnan ${ }_{r} V \quad \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{l}}=4$, corresponding to spectral behaviors such as $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{k}{ }^{5=3}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{k}^{3=2}$, respectively. B oth theories agree on the sm ooth scaling behavior for


Figure 10. (a) Rescaled pdf of the norm alized active scalar increments,
 $4{ }_{\mathrm{f}}$ ( ). The norm alized passive scalar increm ents pdf at the sam e scales (dashed line) and the $G$ aussian (solid line) are show $n$ for com parison. In the inset the rescaled pdf of ${ }_{r}$ a for $r=3$ ' $_{f}$ (solid line) is show $n$ in the interval [ $2: 5: 2: 5$ ] in linear scale, to em phasize the deviation from a G aussian (dashed line). The atness is 2:76, signi cantly sm aller than the G aussian value 3. (b) R escaled pdf of the passive scalar increm ents, ${ }_{r} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{h}\left({ }_{r} \mathrm{C}\right)^{2} \mathrm{i}^{1=2}$, for tw 0 separations in the $r<$ 'f range: $r=0: 3$ ` $_{f}$ (full triangles) and $0: 6$ ¢ ( ). The rescaled pdfs of active scalar increm ents at the sam e scales (solid line) and a G aussian (dashed line) are reported for com parison.
the magnetic potential, ${ }_{r} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{r}$, that is observed num erically (see F ig. (3) . In the
 [6, 43, 45], which is di erent from our ndings $E_{a}(k) k^{2}$ ( $F$ ig. 11). In real space this $m$ eans that $r^{a} \quad r^{1=2}$, which is dim ensionally com patible w ith scaling behavior ${ }_{r} V=[V(x+r ; t) \quad V(x ; t)] \hat{r} \quad f$ for $r>~_{f}$ (as suggested by the velocity spectrum, see Fig. (4), and the Yaglom relation $h_{r} V\left({ }_{r} a\right)^{2} i^{\prime} F_{0} r$ [4]. As a side rem ark, note that the argum ent for $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{k}^{7=3}$ rests on the assum ption of locality for velocity increm ents, a hypothesis incom patible with the observed velocity spectrum at $\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (see Fig. (4).

It is worth rem arking that the increm ents of active scalar $r_{r a}=a(x+r ; t) \quad a(x ; t)$ eventually reach a stationary state in spite of the grow th of $a^{2}$. The distribution of of ${ }_{r} a$ is subgaussian ( $F$ ig. (10). This behaviour can be explained recalling that ${ }_{r} a$ is the di erence of two G aussian variables, which are how ever strongly correlated (indeed the $m$ ain contributions $w$ ill com $e$ from $x+r$ and $x$ inside the sam $e$ island, see $F$ ig. (5) . $T$ his correlation leads to cancellations resulting in the observed subgaussian probability density function.

Let us now focus on the $m$ ost interesting aspect, nam ely the absence of anom alous scaling in the inverse cascade range. The absence of interm ittency seem s to be a com m on feature of inverse cascading system s as passive scalars in com pressible ows [34, 33] and the velocity eld in two dim ensional $N$ avier Stokes turbulence [42]. This leads to the conjecture that an universal $m$ echanism $m$ ay be responsible for the self sim ilarity of inverse cascading system s. W hile 2d N avier-Stokes turbulence is still far to be


Figure 11. C om pensated power spectra of active scalar variances $E_{a}(k) k^{2}$ at three di erent tim es of the evolution. N ote that an increasingly clear plateau at $k<k_{f}$ appears.
understood, the absence of anom alous scaling in passive scalars evolving in com pressible ow shasbeen recently understood in term softhe collapse of the Lagrangian tra jectories onto a unique path [33, [34]. B rie $y$, the collapse of trajectories allows to express the 2 N -order structure function in term s of two-particle propagators, $\mathrm{hP}_{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{v}}$, instead of the 2 N -particle propagator, $\mathrm{hP}_{2 \mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{v}}$. W hile the latterm ay be dom inated by a zero m ode w ith a non trivial anom alous scaling, the form er are not anom alous and lead to dim ensional scaling.

The above argum ent cannot be sim ply exported to the $m$ agnetic potential inverse cascade: rst, the Lagrangian paths do not collapse onto a unique one; second, the correlation between the $f_{a}$ and $v$ does not allow to split the averages. H ow ever, the form er di culty can be overcom e. Indeed the property that all paths landing in the sam epoint contribute the sam e value has im portant consequences also in them ultipoints statistics. P roceeding as for the derivation of Eq. 31], it is possible to show that $m$ trajectories are enough to calculate the product of arbitrary powers of a at m di erent pointsha ${ }^{n_{1}}\left(x_{1} ; t\right)::: a^{n_{m}}\left(x_{m} ; t\right) i$. In particular, structure functions $S_{N}^{a}(r)=h\left({ }_{r} a\right)^{N}$ i for any order $N$ involve only two trajectories. This should be contrasted with the passive scalar, where the number of trajectories increases $w$ ith $N$ and this is at the core of anom alous scaling of passive elds 27].

## 5. Two-dim ensional Ekm an turbulence

Let us now consider the case of scalars that act on the velocity eld through a functional dependence. A m ong them, probably the best know n case is vorticity in two dim ensions $a=r \quad v$. It obeys the Ekm an N avier-Stokes equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t} a+v \quad r a=a \quad a+{ }_{a} f ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 12. (a)T he vorticity spectrum $E_{a}(k) \quad k^{1}$ steepens by increasing the Ekm an coe cient. Here $=0: 15(+),=0: 23(),=0: 30()$. In the inset, the exponent as a function of . (b) Power spectra of passive scalar ( ) and vorticity $(+)$. Here $=0: 15$. In the inset we show the sam e spectra com pensated by $\mathrm{k}^{1+}{ }_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$. For details on the D N S see [12].

The term a m odels the Ekm an drag experienced by a thin uid layer w the the alls or the surrounding air [7, 37].

In the absence of friction ( $=0$ ) dim ensional argum ents [51, 52], con m ed by experim ents [41, 53] and num erical sim ulations [42], give the follow ing soenario. At large scales an inverse (non-interm ittent) cascade of kinetic energy takes place with $E_{v}(k) \quad k^{5=3}$ and $E_{a}(k) \quad k^{1=3}$. At sm all scales the enstrophy, ha ${ }^{2} i^{\prime}$, perform $s$ a forw ard cascade w ith $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{k}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{k}^{3}$, m eaning that the velocity eld is sm ooth in this range of scales.

In the presence of friction ( $>0$ ) kinetic energy is rem oved at large scales holding the system in a statistically steady state and small-scale statistics is m odi ed by the com petition of the inertial ( $v \quad r$ a) and friction ( $a$ ). Since these two term $s$ have the sam e dim ension due to $s m$ oothness of the velocily eld, this results in nontrivial scaling law sfor a. Thise ect is evident in DNS w th $>0$ [17, [12], where the vorticity spectrum displays a power law steeper than in the frictionless case: $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{k}^{1}$ with dependent on (see Fig. 12 a a). For $0 \ll 2$ the exponent coincides with the scaling exponent, ${ }_{2}^{a}$, of the second order structure function $S_{2}^{a}(r)$. A dditionally, high-order structure functions at xed show anom alous scaling, ${ }_{N}^{\text {a }} \in \mathrm{N}=2$ [12]. The spectral steepening and the presence of interm ittency are observed [16, 12] also in passive scalars evolving in sm ooth ow saccording to

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t} c+v \quad r c=c \quad c+{ }_{c} \mathrm{C} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Physically Eq. (42) describes the evolution of a decaying passive substance (e.g. a radioactive $m$ arker) [4, 54]. For $=0$ the dim ensional expectation $E_{c}(k) \quad k{ }^{1}$ has been veri ed in experim ents [55]. For positive passive scalar spectra becom e steeper than $k^{1}$ and, at high wavenum bers, have the same slope of the vorticity spectrum (F ig. 12b). A dditionally, the pdfs of passive and vorticity increm ents for separations


Figure 13. Probability density functions of vorticity di erences (+) and of passive scalar ones ( ), norm alized by their respective standard deviation, at di erent scales $r w$ thin the scaling range.
inside the inertial range collapse one onto the others ( $F$ ig. [13) , signalling that the scaling
 the sam e statistical scaling properties [17, [16, [12], sim ilarly to the 2D convection case. H ow ever, di erenœes $m$ ay appear for odd order $m$ om ents 56].

It is now interesting to understand how the equivalence of active and passive statistics is realized: we shall see that in this case the smoothness of the ow is a crucial ingredient.

Let us start w th the decaying passive scalar (42). First of all, it should be noted that the presence of non-zero friction ( $>0$ ) regularizes the eld, and there is absence of dissipative anom aly [54], even if the mechanism is di erent from the M HD one. As a consequence, in Eq. (42) we can put $=0$ and solve it by the $m$ ethod of characteristics (see Sect. (22) , i.e. $c(x ; t)={ }_{1}{ }_{1} d s f_{c}((s ; x ; t) ; s) \exp \left(\begin{array}{ll}(t & s)\end{array}\right)$, where now the path $(s ; x ; t) ; s)$ is unique due to the sm oothness of the velocity eld (note that $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{k}^{3}$ is alw ays steeper than $\mathrm{k}^{3}$, see also F ig. (12a). The integral extends up to 1 where the initial conditions are set.

Passive scalar increm ents ${ }_{r} C=C\left(x_{1} ; t\right) \quad C\left(x_{2} ; t\right)\left(r=x_{1} \quad x_{2} \eta\right)$, which are the obj jects we are interested in, are associated to particles pairs

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{r} C={ }_{1}^{Z} d s e{ }^{(t s)}\left[f_{C}\left(\left(s ; x_{1} ; t\right) ; s\right) \quad f_{c}\left(\left(s ; x_{2}, t\right) ; s\right)\right]: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be now noted that the integrand $f_{c}\left(\left(s ; x_{1} ; t\right) ; s\right) \quad f_{c}\left(\left(s ; x_{2} ; t\right) ; s\right)$ stays sm all as long as the separation between the two paths rem ains below the forcing correlation scale, ${ }_{\mathrm{f}}$; while for separations larger than $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{f}}$ it is approxim ately equal to a $G$ aussian random variable, X . In the latter statem ent we used the independence between $f_{c}$ and the particle trajectories, ensured by the passive nature of c. Therefore, Eq. (43) can be


Figure 14. The scaling exponents of the passive scalar $\underset{p}{c}(+)$, which have been com puted also for noninteger m om ents, indeed Eq. (46) holds in general. W e also show the exponents obtained from the separation tim es statistics ( ) according to
 $T$ he erronbars are estim ated by the rm s. uctuation of the local slope. In the inset we plot the $C$ ram er function $S($ ) computed from nite time Lyapunov exponents (sym bols) and exit tim e statistics (line).
approxim ated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{r} C \quad X_{1}^{Z_{t T_{f}}(r)} d s e^{(t s)} \quad X^{T_{Y_{f}(r)}} ; \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $T_{I_{f}}(r)$ is the tim e necessary for the particles pair to go from $r$ to ${ }_{\mathrm{f}}$ badkw ard in time. It is now clear that large uctuations are associated to fast separating couples, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{f}}}(\mathrm{r}) \quad \mathrm{hT} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{i}$, and sm all uctuations to slow ones. M oreover, since v is sm ooth, two-dim ensional and incom pressible pairs separation is exponential and its statistics is described by a single nite-time Lyapunov exponent [57], . It is related to the separation tim e through the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varliminf_{\mathrm{f}}=r e^{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}(r)}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Large deviations theory states that at large tim es the random variable is distributed as $P(; t) \quad t^{=2} \exp [S() t] . S()$, the so-called C ram er function [58], is positive, concave and has a quadratic $m$ in $m u m, S()=0$ in , the $m$ axim um Lyapunov exponent. From (44) and (45) along $w$ th $w$ th the expression for $P(; t)$, structure functions can be com puted as
where ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{m}$ in $\mathrm{fN} ; \mathbb{N}+\mathrm{S}()=\mathrm{g}$. Fig . 14 shows that this prediction is veri ed by num erical sim ulations. The anom alous exponents $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{C}}$ can therefore be evaluated in term s of the ow properties through the C ram er funtion $S()$.

Since $\underset{N}{c}={ }_{N}^{a}$ one $m$ ay be tem pted to apply straightforw ardly to vorticity the sam e argum ent used for the passive scalar. H ow ever, the crucial assum ption used in the derivation of Eq. (46) is the statistical independence of particle tra jectories and the forcing. That allow sto consider $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{r})$ and X as independent random variables. W hile this is obviously true for $c$, it is clear that the vorticity forcing, $f_{a}$, $m$ ay in uence the velocity statistics and, as a consequence, the particle paths. In other term $s$, for the vorticity eld we cannot a priori consider $X$ and $T_{\text {I }}(r)$ as independent.
$N$ evertheless, it is possible to argue in favour of the validity of Eq. (431) also for vorticity. Indeed, the random variable $T_{{ }_{f}}(r)$ is essentially determ ined by the evolution of the strain along the Lagrangian paths for timest $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{r})<\mathrm{s}<\mathrm{t}$, whilst X results from the scalar input accum ulated at tim es $s<t \quad T_{f}(r)$. Since the strain correlation tim e is basically $\quad{ }^{1}$, for $T_{y_{f}}(r) \quad{ }^{1}$ it is reasonable to assum $e$ that $T_{Y_{f}}(r)$ and $X$ are statistically independent. Eq. (45) allow s to translate the condition $T_{r_{f}}(r) \quad{ }^{1}$ into $r \quad{ }_{f} \exp (=)$, and to conlcude that at sm all scales we can safely assum e that the vorticity behaves as a passive eld.

W e conclude this section w th two rem arks. First, a di erence betw een the present scenario and the one arisen in two-dim ensional convection should be pointed out. Here the scaling exponents depend on the statistics of the nite tim e Lyapunov exponents, which in tum depends on the way the vorticity is forced. A s a consequence universality $m$ ay be lost. Second, the sm oothness of the velocity eld plays a central role in the argum ent used to justify the equivalence of the statistics of a and $c$ in this system. For rough velocity elds $T_{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{f}}}(\mathrm{r})$ is basically independent of r for $\mathrm{r} \ll \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and the argum ent given in this section would not apply.

## 6. Turbulence on uid surfaces

### 6.1. Surface Q uasi G eostrophic turbulence

The study ofgeophysical uids is ofobvious im portance for the understanding of weather dynam ics and global circulation. Taking advantage of strati cation and rotation, controlled approxim ations on the N avier-Stokes equations are usually done to obtain $m$ ore tractable $m$ odels. For exam ple, the $m$ otion of large portions of the atm osphere and ocean have a stable density strati cation, i.e. lighter uid sits above heavier one. This stable strati cation, combined w ith the planetary rotation and the consequent C oriolis force, causes the $m$ ost energetic $m$ otion to occur approxim ately in horizontal planes and leads to a balance of pressure gradients and inertial forces. This situation is $m$ athem atically described by the $Q$ uasi-G eostrophic equations [7, 8]. On the lower boundary, the surface of the earth or the bottom of the ocean, the vertical velocity has to be zero and this further sim pli es the equations. A ssum ing that the uid is in nitely high and that the free surface is at, the dynam ics is then described by the Surface Q uasi-G eostrophic equation (SQ G) [9, 59]. It govems the tem poral variations of the density eld, our active scalar a throughout this section. For an ideal uid the density
variation w illbe proportional to the tem perature variation and one can use the potential tem perature as fundam ental eld.

The density uctuations evolve according to the transport equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t} a+v \quad r a=a+\frac{f}{a} ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the velocity eld is functionally related to $a$. In term sof the stream function , the density $a$ is obtained as $a(x ; t)=(\quad)^{1=2}(x ; t)$, and $v=\left(@_{y} ; ~ Q\right)$. In Fourier space the link is particularly sim ple

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{v}(k ; t)=\quad i \frac{k_{y}}{k} ; \frac{k_{x}}{k} \quad a(k ; t): \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the early days of com puter sim ulations eqs. (47) and (48) had been used for weather forecasting. Recently they received renewed interest in view of their form al analogy w th the 3d N avier-Stokes equations [60, 61], and as a m odel of active scalar [9, 10]

It is instructive to generalize (48) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{v}(k ; t)=\quad i \frac{k_{y}}{k^{2}} ; \frac{k_{x}}{k^{z}} \quad a(k ; t): \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $z=1$ one recovers (48), while $z=2$ corresponds to the $2 d \mathrm{~N}$ avier-Stokes equation and $a$ is the vorticity (see the previous section). The value of $z$ tunes the degree of locality. The case of interest here is $z=1$, corresponding to the sam e degree of locality as in 3d turbulence 9].

Eqs.(47), (49) have two inviscid unforoed quadratic invariants $E={ }^{R} d x a$ and $=\mathrm{dxa}^{2}$, which for $\mathrm{z}=2$ correspond to the energy and the enstrophy, respectively. $N$ otice that, for $z=1$, the elds $v$ and a have the sam e dim ension and $E_{a}(k) \quad E_{v}(k)$. Q uasi-equilibrium argum ents predict an inverse cascade of E and a direct cascade of
. If $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}$ identi es the in jection wave num ber dim ensional argum ents give the expected spectral behavior [9]:

For $z=2$ one recovers the $2 \mathrm{~d} N$ avier-Stokes expectation [51, 52]. Here, we are interested in the range $k>k_{f}$ and $z=1$, so that $E_{a}(k) \quad E_{v}(k) \quad k^{5=3}$ as in 3d turbulence. A ssum ing absence of interm ittency, the spectrum $E_{v}(k) \quad k^{5=3}$ would im ply $\mathrm{rV} \quad \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{r}}=3$. Therefore, for a passive scalar one expects the standard phenom enology: an interm ittent direct cascade with $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{k}^{5=3}$ to the O boukovC orrsin-K olm ogorov type of argum ents [4].
6.1.1. D irect num erical sim ulations settings $W$ e perform ed a set of high resolution direct num erical sim ulations ofE qs. (47) and (48) along with the passive scalar equation (2). Integration has been perform ed by means of a $2=3$ de-aliased pseudo-spectral $m$ ethod on a 22 doubly periodic square dom ain $w$ th $N^{2}$ collocation points. $T$ im e integration has been done with a second-order A dam Bashforth or R unge $K$ utta algorithm s , approriately m odi ed to exactly integrate the dissipative term s . T he latter

Table 1. Sum m ary of settings in D N S.

| run | $N^{2}$ | $p$ | $q$ | $F$ | $k_{f}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1^{a}$ | $4096^{2}$ | 2 |  | $B$ | $44-48$ |
| $2^{\text {b }}$ | $2048^{2}$ | 2 | $1 / 2$ | $B$ | $2-6$ |
| $3^{b}$ | $2048^{2}$ | 2 | 0 | $G$ | 5 |
| $4^{\text {b }}$ | $2048^{2}$ | 2 | $1 / 2$ | $G$ | 5 |
| $5^{c}$ | $2048^{2}$ | 2 | 0 | NG | 5 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ R uns 1,2 are forced according to Eq. (23), and run 1 is $w$ thout any friction term .
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ R un 3,4 are foroed according to Eq. (27).
${ }^{c}$ Run 5 is forced w th the non-G aussian forcing discussed in Sect. 6.3. Low er (512 ${ }^{2}$ ) resolutions runs $w$ th several settings both for the dissipative and friction term $s$ have been also perform ed
ones, as custom ary, have been replaced by a hyper-di usive term that in Fourier space reads $k^{2 p} a(k ; t)$. Since the system is not stationary due to the inverse cascade of $E$, we added an energy sink at large scales in the form $k^{q} \hat{d}(k ; t)$. In order to evaluate its possible e ect on inertialquantities, a very high resolution (4096 ${ }^{2}$ ) D NS has been done w thout any energy sink at large scales.

A sum $m$ ary of the num erical settings can be found in table 1. W e considered di erent scalar inputs: (G) a G aussian -correlated in time forcing as [23); (B) a
-correlated in time one restricted to a few wavenumbers shells as [27); (NG) a non-G aussian non -correlated in time one suited to produce non zero three points correlations for the scalar elds (see below in Sect.6.3).

### 6.2. Active and passive scalar statistics in SQ G turbulence

Let us start looking at the $m$ easured scalar spectra in order to check the dim ensional predictions. In $F$ ig. 15 we sum $m$ arize the spectral behavior for $a$ and $c$ in two di erent sim ulations (i.e. runs 1 and 3).

Two observations are in order:
The scalar spectra $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})$ is steeper than the dim ensionally expected $\mathrm{k}^{5=3}$. The slope does not seem to depend on the in jection $m$ echanism .

The active and passive scalars, both perform ing a direct cascade, are di erent already at the level of the spectral slopes. In particular, c is m uch rougher than a .

The di erences between a and c are evident also from Fig. 16, where two sim ultaneous snapshots of the elds are displayed. A direct inspection of the elds con ms that c is m ore rough than a , and resem bles a passive scalar in a sm ooth ow . M oreover, in a we observe the presence of large coherent structures at the scale of the forcing. T hese are actually long-lived, slow ly-evolving structures that strongly im pair the convergence of the statistics for high-order structure functions. In the follow ing we thus lim it ourselves to a com parison of the low-order statistics of a and c. That is however su cient to appreciate the di erences beween active and passive scalar


Figure 15. (a) Power spectra of active (red) and passive (blue) scalar variances $E_{a}(k)=k \dot{\mu}(k ; t) \hat{\jmath}$ and $E_{c}(k)=k \dot{\jmath}(k ; t) \hat{\jmath}$ for run 1 , the inset show sthe active, and passive energy uxes $a_{; c}(k)$. The dashed lines corresponds to the best tted spectral slopes $E_{a}(k) \quad k^{1: 8} 0: 1$ and $E_{c}(k) \quad k^{1: 15} 0: 05$. N ote that the tted slope for $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})$ is better recovered at higher wavenum bers, close to the energy peak a steeper ( $\mathrm{k}^{2} 0: 1$ ) is observed. (b) The sam e but for run 3. The left inset show s the scalar uxes. The dashed lines indicates the spectral slopes $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{k}^{1: 8} 0: 1$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{k}^{1: 17} 0: 05$. Here the scaling range is wider than in run 1. For both runs active and passive spectra have been shifted for visualization purposes. In the other runs we observe the sam e qualitative and quantitative features, $w$ thin the error bars. In particular, run 1 and run 2 give alm ost indistinguishable spectral slopes, m eaning that the large scale energy sink does not enter too much into the inertial range, as expected since the velocity eld is rough at sm all scales. R un 5 produced spectral slopes indistinguishable from those of runs 3 and 4. H ow ever, it should be noted that run 1 and run 2 display a steeper spectra close to the forcing $w$ ave num bers, this feature is absent in the other runs and $m$ ay be due to nite size e ects, see text and also F ig. 17 .
statistics.
The deviation from the dim ensional expectation for the spectral slope of the active eld was already observed in previous num erical studies [9], and it is possibly due to interm ittency in the active scalar and velocity statistics. Indeed, the rescaled pdfs of the increm ents do not collapse (not shown). C onceming the universality of anom alous exponents w ith respect to the forcing statistics, we observe that the spectral slopes do not depend sensitively on the forcing statistics. H ow ever, the forcing (B) (see table 1) induces a steeper spectrum close to the forcing scale, while a universal slope is apparently recovered at large wavenum bers. ( $F$ ig. 15a). The scaling of $S_{2}^{a}(r)$ seem $s$ to be $m$ ore sensitive to the forcing (see Fig. 17). These discrepancies $m$ ay be due to nite size $e$ ects which are $m$ ore severe for forcing (B) which is characterized by a slower decay of the spatial correlations. U nfortunately, it is di cult to extract reliable inform ation on the high-order statistics. Indeed the presence of slow ly evolving coherent structures induces a poorly converging statistics for high-order structure functions. Therefore, we cannot rule out the possibility of a dependence of active scalar exponents on forcing statistics.


F igu re 16. Snapshots ofthe active (left) and passive (right) scalar (at $512^{2}$ resolution). N ote the presence of coherent structures in the active eld, which are slow evolving. $T$ he passive eld displays lam ental like features indicating that it is more rough than the active one.

A result that em erges beyond any doubt is that active and passive scalars behave di erently, as shown in $F$ igs. 15,16 and 17. This is con $m$ ed by the di erences in the pdfs of ${ }_{r}$ a and ${ }_{r} C$ at various scales w ithin the inertial range ( F ig. (18) . The single-point pdfs of a and c are di erent as well (not shown).

It is worth noticing that the behavior of the passive scalar deviates from na ve expectations. W e observe $E_{c}(k) \quad k^{1: 15}$ whereas a dim ensional argum ent based on the observed velocity spectrum ( $\left.E_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{k}^{1: 8}\right)$ and on the Yaglom relation [4]


F igu re 17. (a) Second order structure function for the active (em pty boxes) and the passive (fiull circles) scalar in run 2. The straight line show sthe scaling $r^{1}$ for the $S_{2}^{a}(r)$ (the sam e exponent is observed in run 1). The passive scalar does not display a neat scaling behavior. In the inset we show the correlation function for the passive scalar, $C_{2}^{c}$ (r), in log-lin scale, (see text). (b) $T$ he sam e but for run 3. H ere the straight dashed line indicates the slope $r^{0: 8} . S_{2}^{a}(r)$ in run 5 has a slope $r^{0: 84}$, com patible w th the ones observed in runs 3 and 4 w thin statisticalerrors.


Figure 18. Pdf of active (red) and passive (blue) scalar di erences nom alized by their respective standard deviations for four di erent scales w ithin the inertial range. The dotted lines are $G$ aussian pdfs drawn for com parison. D ata refer to run 3, the other runs show sim ilar results.
$\left(h_{r} V\left({ }_{r} C\right)^{2} i \quad r\right)$ would give $E_{a}(k) \quad k^{1: 6}$. The violation of the dim ensional prediction is even $m$ ore striking looking at $S_{2}^{c}(r)$ in $F$ ig. 17. This feature is rem iniscent of some experim ental investigations of passive scalars in turbulent ow s, see e.g. Refs. [62, 63] and references therein, where shallow scalar spectra are observed for the scalar even at those scales where the velocity eld displays a K 41 spectrum. It is likely that the presence ofcoherent structures (see Fig.(16) leads to persistent regions w here the velocity eld has a sm ooth (shear-like) behavior. This suggest a two- uid picture: a slow ly evolving shear-like ow, with superim posed faster turbulent uctuations. U nder those conditions, onem ay expect that the particle pairs separate faster than expected, leading to shallow er passive scalar spectra [64].

In conchusion, even though both passive and active scalar perform a direct cascade, their statistical properties are de nitely di erent.

### 6.3. Scaling and geom etry

Let us now com pare the two scalars eld by investigating the three-point correlation functions of the active eld, $C_{3}^{a}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3}\right)=$ ha $\left(x_{1} ; t\right) a\left(x_{2} ; t\right) a\left(x_{3} ; t\right)$ i, and ofthe passive one, $C_{3}^{c}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3}\right)=h c\left(x_{1} ; t\right) c\left(x_{3} ; t\right) c\left(x_{3} ; t\right) i$. This allow s to com pare the scaling properties of the correlation function by $m$ easuring the dependence on the global size of the triangle identi ed by the three points, $R^{2}={ }_{i<j} x_{i j}^{2}$ (being $x_{i j}=\dot{X}_{i} \quad x_{j}$ ). We $w$ ill also investigate the geom etrical dependence of $C_{3}$.

It has to be noted that for -correlated $G$ aussian forcings as (23) and (27), $C_{3}^{a}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}^{c}$ are identically zero. Therefore we need a di erent forcing statistics in order to study three-point correlations. A possibility is to break the rotationalsym m etry of the system
by an anisotropic forcing, e.g., a m ean gradient (24) as in [28]. H ow ever, that choice leads inevitably to $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{c}$ : the equations are identical for a and c so that the di erence eld a cwilldecay out. $W$ e then choose to force the system as follow $s$. The tw o inputs $f_{a}$ and $f_{c}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a ; c}(x ; t)=g_{a ; c}^{2}(x ; t) \quad d y g_{a ; c}^{2}(y ; t) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $g_{a ; c}$ is a hom ogeneous, isotropic and $G$ aussian random eld with correlation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hg}_{i}(x ; t) g_{j}\left(x^{0} ; t^{0}\right) i={ }_{i ; j} G\left(j x \quad x^{0} \dot{j}_{f}^{v}\right) e^{\frac{j t t_{j}^{0}}{f}} ; \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i ; j=a ; c$, ${ }_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the forcing scale, f the forcing correlation time and $G(r) /$ $\mathrm{G}_{0} \exp \left(\mathrm{I}^{2}=2\right)$. The tim e correlation is im posed by perform ing an independent 0 msteinUhlenbedk process at each Fourier $m$ ode, i.e. integrating the stochastic di erential equation $d \hat{g}_{i}(k ; t)=1={ }_{f} \hat{g}_{i}(k ; t) d t+\overline{2 G_{0} d t=}{ }_{f} d w_{i}(k ; t)$ (where dw ${ }_{i}$ are zero mean
 centrallim it theorem a G aussian statistics is recovered. Therefore, we xed $f \quad O$ ( $T_{f}$ ) in our DNS. The advantages of this choice are that it preserves the isotropy and gives analytical control on the forcing correlation functions.

Let us now see how the triangle identi ed by the three points, $\underline{x}=\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3}\right)$ can be param eterized. In two dim ensions we need $3 d=6$ variables to de ne a triangle. Since the correlation functions should possess all the statistical sym m etries of the system the num ber of degrees of freedom is reduced. In particular, translational invariance ensures no dependence on the position of the center of $m$ ass of the triangle, $\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)=3$. The correlation function is thus a function of the separation vectors am ong the 3 points, i.e. $C_{3}^{\text {a;c }}(\underline{x})=C_{3}^{\text {a;c }}\left(\mathrm{x}_{12} ; \mathrm{x}_{23} ; \mathrm{x}_{31}\right)$. Additionally, isotropy im plies that a rigid rotation of the triangle has no e ect on the value of $C_{3}$, so that three variables su ce: the global size of the triangle $R$, and two param eters that de ne its shape. In term $s$ of the Euler param etrization [65, 66, 67], upon de ning $1=\left(x_{1} \quad x_{2}\right)={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$ and ${ }_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}x_{1}+x_{2} & 2 x_{3}\end{array}\right)=\overline{6}$, the shape of the triangle is given in term $s$ of the tw o variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}=\frac{21 \mathrm{I}_{1}}{\mathrm{R}^{2}} \quad=\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{1} \frac{21 \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{2}}{1_{2}^{2}} ; \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e ~ j w j$ is the ratio of the area of the triangle divided by the area of the equilateral triangle having the same size, $R^{2}=1_{1}^{2}+{ }_{2}^{2}$. has not a simple geom etrical interpretation. Som e shapes corresponding to a few (w ; ) are shown in Fig. (19.

The three-point correlation function can be decom posed as [31, 27]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{3}^{\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{c}}(\underline{\mathrm{x}})=\mathrm{Rf}_{3}^{\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{c}}(\underline{\mathrm{x}}) \mathrm{g}+\mathrm{IfC}_{3}^{\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{c}}(\underline{\mathrm{x}}) \mathrm{g} ; \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where R;I are the reducible and irreducible com ponents, respectively. This means that $C_{3}$ can be expressed as the sum of a part that depends on three points (hereafter denoted as $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ) and a part that depends on two points (hereafter denoted $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ), i.e. $\mathrm{C}_{3}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{C}_{3}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{3} ; \mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$. The reducible, $R \mathrm{fC}_{3} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{3} ; \mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$ and irriducible part IfC $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{C}_{3}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$


Figure 19. C ontour plots in the w plane of the three-point shape function, , for passive (left) and active (right) scalar.
are in general characterized by di erent scaling properties as a function of the triangle size R, and di erent geom etrical (shape) dependencies [68,[28].

In term s of the variables R ; w and , the reducible and irreducible com ponents take the follow ing form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{IfC}_{3}^{\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{c}}(\underline{\mathrm{x}}) \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{R}){ }_{\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{I}}(\text {; } \mathrm{w}) \text {; } \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

where the function $h(R)$ is expected to have a scaling dependence in the inertial range.
For the passive scalar, the scaling behavior of the reducible part, $h_{c}^{R}(R)$, is dom inated by the dim ensional scaling im posed by the balance with the forcing, while the scaling of the irreducible part, $h_{c}^{R}(\mathbb{R})$, is given by the zero modes [31]. W ith a nite-correlated pum ping the forcing statistics $m$ ay in principle contribute to the irreducible part [27]; how ever in our case these hypothetical contributions sem sm all, if not absent. For the active scalar it is not possible to m ake any a priori argum ent to predict the scaling behavior of the reducible and irreducible part. As far as the geom etrical dependence is concemed, ${ }_{C}^{R}$;I and ${ }_{a}^{R ; I}$, it is very di cult to separate the two contributions. In our case, in agreem ent with the results obtained for the K raichanan m odel [68], the reducible part tums out to be the leading contribution, so that we only present the shape dependence of the full correlation functions which basically coincides w ith the reducible one. Hereafter we will then use a;c dropping the indioes which distinguish the two contributions.

Let us start by studying the shape dependence of the correlation function for the active, a ( ; w ), and passive scalars, c ( ; ) . Exploiting the invariance under arbitrary perm utations of the three veritioes of the triangle we can reduce the con guration space to 2 [ $=6:=6]$, and w 2 [ $0: 1]$ going from degenerate (collinear points) to equilateral triangles. The function ( ; w ) is antiperiodic in with period =3 [65, 66, 67]. In Fig. 19 the functions $c$ and $c$ are show $n$. They have been $m$ easured for a xed size $R$ w ithin the inertial range. The two functions display sim ilar qualitative features: the intensity grows going from equilateral to collinear triangles, and the


Figure 20. (a) $h^{R}(R)$ vs $R$ for the active ( ) and passive ( ) scalar. The dashed lines are the second order structure functions, $S_{2}^{\text {a; }}$, $m$ ultiplied by a factor to allow for a com parison. The solid line gives the tted slope, $R^{1: 23} 0: 05$, for $h_{a}^{R}(R)$. The slope of $S_{2}^{a}$ is $r^{: 84}$ 0:05 and is com patible w ith those obtained $w$ th the forcing ( $G$ ), see $F$ ig. 17) . T he passive curves have been shifted for visualization punposes. (b) $h_{a ; c}^{I}(R)$ vs R for the active ( ) and passive ( ) scalar. For c the scaling $R^{1: 7} 0: 2$ (solid line) is $m$ easured. T he signal for the active scalar is very low and no scaling behavior can be detected. T he statistics has been com puted averaging over about 65 fram es separated by half eddy tumover tim e.
$m$ axim um is realized for alm ost degenerate triangles $(; w)=(0 ; 0) . \quad c$ is invariant for ! , which corresponds to re ection w ith respect to an axis. This sym $m$ etry is a consequence of the equation ofm otion and the fact that $f_{c}!f_{c}$ under this sym $m$ etry transform ation. The active scalar displays a weak breaking of this sym $m$ etry, as a consequence of the fact that it is a pseudoscalar while $f_{a}$ is not.

Let us now tum our attention to the scaling behavior. Since the reducible scaling behavior is the leading one it is sim ply obtained by xing a certain shape for the triangle (we did for several choices of ( ; w )) and varying its size. T he results are shown in in Fig. 20a. The observation is that $h_{a}^{R}(\mathbb{R})$ and $h_{c}^{R}(\mathbb{R})$ are di erent. M oreover, while $h_{c}^{R}(R)$ is practically parallel to $S_{2}^{c}(R)$, for the active scalar we observe that $h_{a}^{R}(\mathbb{R})$ is not scaling as $S_{2}^{a}(\mathbb{R})$.

The $m$ easure of the subleading, irreducible part is $m$ ore involved, and we proceed as follows. W e x a reference triangle shape ( $; \mathrm{w}$ ) and set the origin in the center of $m$ ass of the triangle. Now $x_{i}$ indicates the position of the vertex $i$ of the triangle. We de ne $\hat{d_{1}}=\hat{d_{1}}()$ (1) as the dilation operator which transform $s$ the triangle $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ in $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$. A nalogous de nitions hold for the other vertioes. O bviously $\widehat{d_{i}}(1) \quad \hat{I}$ is the identity. W e then consider the com posite operator $\hat{D}()=\hat{d_{1}} \hat{d_{2}} \hat{d_{3}} \quad \hat{d_{1}} \hat{d_{2}} \quad \hat{d_{2}} \hat{d_{3}} \quad \hat{d_{3}} \hat{d_{1}}+\hat{d_{1}}+\hat{d_{2}}+\hat{d_{3}} \quad \hat{I}$. By direct substitution it is easily seen that in $\hat{D}() C_{3}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3}\right)$ all the reducible term s are cancelled, and only a linear supenposition of term $s$ involving the irreducible parts survives $T$ herefore, the average of $\hat{D}() C\left(x_{1}\right) C\left(x_{2}\right) C\left(x_{3}\right)$ for triangles of di erent sizes $R$ but of $x e d$ shape (; w$) \mathrm{w}$ ill give the scaling of the irreducible part of the three-point function. (55). A sim ilar procedure has been done for $a$. W e used as reference con guration a collinear triangle with two
degenerate vertices, i.e. $(; w)=(0 ; 0)$. This choioe reduces the num ber of cancellations. A dditionally, this con guration corresponds to the region of stronger gradients in the function (see Fig. 19), yielding a higher signal to noise ratio. By varying we tested the robustness of the $m$ easured scaling.

In $F$ ig. 20 we present the results on the scale dependence of $h_{c}^{I}(R)$ and $h_{a}^{I}(R)$. $C$ learly $h_{a}^{I}(R)$ has too low a signal to identify any scaling behavior, while the passive scalar scales fairly well and we m easured $h_{c}^{T}(R) \quad R^{1: 7}$, con $m$ ing that the irreducible part is subleading.

A couple of nal rem arks are in order. First, the fact that $h_{a}^{R}(R)$ does not scale as $S_{2}^{a}(R)$ is the signature of the comelations betw een $f_{a}$ and the particle propagator. Indeed, for the passive scalar $h_{c}^{R}(R) / S_{2}^{C}(R)$ is a straightforw ard consequence of the independence of $v$ and $f_{c}$. Second, $h_{a}^{R}(R)$ scales di erently from $h_{c}^{I}(R)$ : this nules out the possibility of establishing simple relationships between active and passive scalar statistics (see [15] for a related discussion).

## 7. C onclusions and perspectives

In sum $m$ ary, we have investigated the statistics of active and passive scalars transported by the sam e turbulent ow. We put the focus on the issue of universality and scaling. In this respect the passive scalar problem is essentially understood. C onversely, the active case is by far and away a challenging open problem. The basic property that $m$ ake passive scalar turbulence substantially sim pler is the absence of statistical correlations between scalar forcing and carrier ow. On the contrary, the halm ark of active scalars is the functional dependence of velocity on the scalar eld and thus on active scalar pum ping. Yet, when correlations are su ciently weak, the active scalar behaves sim ilarly to the passive one: this is the case of tw o-dim ensional therm al convection and Ekm an turbulence. H ow ever, this appears to be a nongeneric situation, and the equivalence betw een passive and active scalar is rooted in special properties of those system s . Indeed, di erent system s as two-dim ensionalm agnetohydrodynam ics or surface quasi-geostrophic turbulence are characterized by a m arked di erence between passive and active scalar statistics. This poses the problem of universality in active scalar turbulence: if the forcing is capable of in uencing the velocity dynam ics, how can scaling exponents be universal w ith respect to the details of the in jection $m$ echanism ? So far, a satisfactory answer is $m$ issing. A s of today, num erical experim ents favor the hypothesis that universality is not lost in a num ber of active scalars, but further investigation is needed to elucidate this fundam ental issue.
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