A ctive and passive elds face to face

Antonio Celaniyz, M assim o Cencini{, Andrea M azzinoxand

M assim o Vergassolak

yCNRS, INLN, 1361 Route des Lucioles, 06560 Valbonne, France.

{ C enter for Statistical M echanics and C om plexity, IN FM R om a 1 and D ipartim ento diFisica, U niversita di R om a "La Sapienza", P iazzale A ldo M oro, 2, I-00185 R om a, Italy

x INFM {D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Genova, V ia D odecaneso 33, I-16146 Genova, Italy.

k CNRS, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, B P. 4229, 06304 Nice Cedex 4, France, and CNRS, URA 2171, Institut Pasteur, 28 nue du Dr. Roux, 75724 Paris Cedex 15, France.

A bstract. The statistical properties of active and passive scalar elds transported by the same turbulent ow are investigated. Four examples of active scalar have been considered: temperature in therm alconvection, magnetic potential in two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics, vorticity in two-dimensionalE km an turbulence and potential temperature in surface ows. In the cases of temperature and vorticity, it is found that the active scalar behavior is akin to that of its co-evolving passive counterpart. The two other cases indicate that this similarity is in fact not generic and di erences between passive and active elds can be striking: in two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics the magnetic potential performs an inverse cascade while the passive scalar cascades toward the small-scales; in surface ow s, albeit both perform a direct cascade, the potential temperature and the passive scalar have di erences are rooted in the correlations between the active scalar input and the particle trajectories. The role of such correlations in the issue of universality in active scalar transport and the behavior of dissipative anom alies is addressed.

PACS num bers: 47.27.-i

Submitted to: New J. Phys.

1. Introduction

Scalar elds transported by turbulent ow s are encountered in m any natural phenom ena and engineering problem s, ranging from atm ospheric physics [1] to com bustion [2], to the transport and am pli cation of m agnetic elds in astrophysical uids [3]. Here, we consider the case of advected scalar elds such as tem perature, pollutant density, chem ical or biological species concentration.

In many cases there is a two-way coupling between the scalar and the ow: the transported eld can in uence the velocity eld { this is dubbed active transport. This is the case, for example, of the temperature eld that acts on velocity through buoyancy forces. Conversely, situations where the feedback of the scalar eld is negligible and the velocity determ ines the properties of the scalar, but not vice versa are known as passive. This ideal case is well approximated by uorescent dye used in laboratory experiments to mark uid parcels.

A lthough active and passive scalars are governed by the same advection-di usion equation, their nature is radically di erent. Passive scalars belong to the realm of linear problem s, even though highly nontrivial. Indeed, as a consequence of the statistical independence of the forcing and the advecting velocity, the transported eld depends linearly on the forcing. This property allows a full-edged theoretical treatment of the problem, and has them a processequence that the passive scalar scaling laws are universal with respect to the injection mechanism. On the contrary, for active elds the presence of the fædback couples the velocity to the transported scalar and makes the problem fully nonlinear. In this case, the theoretical tools developed for the study of the passive problem may fall short of explaining the behavior of active scalars, and, as of today, the understanding of active turbulent transport lags far behind the know ledge accum ulated for the passive counterpart. This state of the art motivated us to pursue a \case study" of turbulent transport of active scalars, using the scaling properties of elds evolving in the same turbulent ow as the basic diagnostics for com parison.

We consider four dierent systems belonging to the two following general classes of problems: (i) active scalars that in uence the ow through local forces; (ii) active elds functionally related to the velocity.

The evolution of a scalar belonging to the st class is described in terms of the following set of equations:

$$\theta_t a + v \quad r a = a + f; \tag{1}$$

$$\theta_t c + v \quad r c = c + f; \qquad (2)$$

$$Q_{t}v + v rv = rp + v + F[a; ra; :::];$$
 (3)

where a and c are the active and passive scalar elds, respectively and obey the advection-di usion equations (1) and (2). The scalar inputs f_a and f_c have a characteristic lengthscale '_f, and represent two di erent realizations of the same stochastic process. W ere they coincident, no di erence between active and passive elds would persist. For the sake of simplicity, we use the same molecular di usivity, for

Active and passive elds face to face

both scalars. The active character of a is embodied by the term F [a;r a;:::], which acts as a forcing for the velocity eld in the N avier-Stokes equations. The speci c form of the F term depends on the physical system under investigation. In the following we shall consider two examples from this class: therm al convection [4, 5], where a is the temperature eld and F = ag is the buoyancy force, and two-dimensional m agnetohydrodynamics [6], where a is the m agnetic potential and F = ar a is the Lorentz force.

The second class of active scalars is relevant to geophysical ows [7, 8]. In this case the dynam ics is described in term s of a scalar eld obeying the advection-di usion equation (1), supplemented by a functional relation that gives v in term s of a :

 $v_{i}(x;t) = _{i}(x y)a(y;t)dy:$ (4)

Here, the vector valued kernel is divergence-free. A well-known instance from such class is the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equation, where the active scalar is the vorticity, r = v. Another problem which we shall discuss is the turbulent ow on the at surface of an in nitely high uid, described by the surface-quasi-geostrophic equation [9, 10]. Here, the active scalar is the uid density, which is related (e.g. for ideal gases) to the so-called potential temperature.

Som e of the results presented in this paper were previously discussed in Refs. [11, 12, 13]. Related investigations on active and passive transport m ay be found in [14, 15] and [16, 17].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we brie y review some results about passive scalar transport in turbulent ows. Particular emphasis is put on the Lagrangian description of scalar transport, pointing out the results which hold for active scalars as well. In Sect. 3 the statistics of the (active) temperature and a passive scalar eld in 2d convection is discussed. Sect. 4 is devoted to the analysis of two-dimensionalm agnetohydrodynamics, with a thorough discussion of the problem of discipative anomaly in scalar transport. In Sect. 5 the evolution of passive and active elds in E km an-N avier-Stokes turbulence is discussed. In Sect. 6 we study the properties of turbulence on uid surfaces under the quasi-geostrophic approximation. In the last section we summarize the main indings and delineate the perspectives for active scalar transport.

2. Passive scalar turbulence

2.1. Eulerian approach

The dynamics of passive scalars is governed by the advection di usion equation (2). In order to describe the general properties of passive scalar evolution in turbulent incompressible velocity elds, we assume that the velocity eld v is scale invariant and rough. In other words, the spatial increments $_{r}v = (v(x + r;t) v(x;t))$ r=r depend on the separation r as a fractional power, i.e. $_{r}v$ r^{h} with h < 1 (e.g.

h = 1=3 in Kolm ogorov's 1941 turbulence [18]). Being interested in the statistically steady properties of the eld, we introduce a source of scalar uctuations f_c . In the following we take for convenience a random, Gaussian, statistically hom ogeneous and isotropic forcing with zero mean and correlation function

$$hf_{c}(\mathbf{x}_{1};t)f_{c}(\mathbf{x}_{2};t^{0})i = (t \quad \mathfrak{h}F(\mathbf{x}_{1} \quad \mathbf{x}_{2};\mathbf{x}_{1}):$$
(5)

The correlation function of the forcing F $(r='_f)$ is roughly constant at scales smaller than 'f, which is assumed to be within the scaling range of v, and drops rapidly to zero for $r > '_f$.

The phenom enology of passive scalar turbulence may be sum marized as follows. Scalar uctuations injected at the scale $'_{f}$ are transferred toward the small scales with a constant ux, down to the dissipative scale 'd. There, the molecular di usion absorbs the incoming ux and ensures the equilibrium between the input and the dissipation. The uctuations are thus maintained in a statistically steady state which is characterized by two major properties. First, the scalar dissipation is asymptotically independent of the molecular di usivity, attaining a nite nonzero limiting value for ! 0. This singular behavior of the dissipation is also known as dissipative anom aly. Second, in the scaling range 'd r 'f the scalar statistics is intermittent. This amounts to saying that the small-scale statistics is characterized by the alternance of strong, rare events where the scalar increments, $_{r}c = c(x + r;t)$ c(x;t) are much larger than their typical value, $c_{rm s}$, and long quiescent phases where $_{r}c = c_{rm s}$. Interm ittency is rejected by the scaling behavior of the structure functions, i.e. the moments of the scalar increments

$$S_{N}^{c}(\mathbf{r}) = h(_{\mathbf{r}}c)^{N} \mathbf{i} / \mathbf{r}_{N}^{\dim} \frac{\mathbf{f}}{\mathbf{r}} :$$
(6)

The scaling exponents $_{N}^{c}$ are said to be anomalous, when they deviate from the dimensional expectation $_{N}^{\dim} = N (1 \quad h)=2$. The equality $_{N}^{c} = _{N}^{\dim}$ holds possibly only for N = 2 [4], whereas for N > 2 the deviations become more and more severe. The asymptotic behavior at large orders N corresponds to the saturation $_{N}^{c}$! $_{1}^{c}$ [21]. The saturation is related to the presence of sharp \fronts" in the scalar eld. The exponents $_{N}^{c}$ are universal with respect to the details of the energy injection statistics. The forcing only a ects the num erical prefactors appearing in the structure functions.

The anom abus scaling $_{N}^{\dim} \in _{N}^{c}$ signals the breakdown of scale invariance, as con med by the explicit appearance of 'f in (6), even at scales r 'f. Indeed, anom abus scaling of the moments of scalar increments is equivalent to state that the probability density functions (pdf's) of rc at dierent r's cannot be collapsed by rescaling them with a unique power law in r. Even though the speci c values of the exponents $_{N}^{c}$ depend on the details of the ow (statistics, time correlation, and roughness exponent h), intermittency and the breaking of scale invariance in the scalar statistics are generic features of passive scalar turbulence.

The physical mechanism leading to anom alous scaling has been recently understood in the fram ework of the K raichnan model of scalar advection [22, 23] (see Refs. [24, 25, 26]and Ref. [27] for an exhaustive review on the subject). In this model the advecting ow v is random, G aussian, self-sim ilar and -correlated in time. Under these special conditions, there exists a closed set of linear equations for multi-point correlation functions. The anom alous exponents are the scaling exponents of the hom ogeneous solutions (the so-called zero modes) of those equations. Since hom ogeneous solutions do not depend by de nition on the scalar input, their scaling exponents are universal, and cannot be inferred from dimensional arguments. The concept of zero mode can be extended to passive scalar turbulence in generic velocity elds [28].

The properties of passive scalars described up to now are in the language of elds {the Eulerian description. It is now interesting to adopt a di erent but equivalent view point in terms of particle trajectories {the Lagrangian description.

2.2. Lagrangian description

The basic idea of the Lagrangian approach is to solve Eq. (2) by the method of characteristics. Let us denote (s;x;t) (henceforth whenever there is no ambiguity we indicate it as (s)) as the trajectory of a uid particle landing at point x at time t. The path (s) is the solution of the stochastic di erential equation

$$\frac{d (s)}{ds} = v ((s);s) + \frac{p}{2} w(s); \qquad (t) = x; \qquad (7)$$

where i; j = 1; :::; d (d being the space dimensionality), and w_(s) is a W iener process (the derivative of a Brownian motion), i.e. w_i are G aussian variables of zero mean and correlation $h_{\underline{W}_i}(s)w_j(s^0)i = _{ij}$ (s s^0). A long the path (s) Eq. (2) reduces to

$$\frac{d^{w}(s)}{ds} = f_{c}((s);s);$$
(8)

which is easily solved as $(t) = \frac{K_t}{0} ds f_c(s); s$. For the sake of sim plicity, we assumed (0) = 0. We indicated with (t) = 0 the solution obtained along the path obtained for a speci c realization of the process w. The passive scalar eld, c(x;t), is recovered by averaging over all the realizations of w, i.e. along all the Lagrangian paths ending in x at time t [29]:

$$c(x;t) = h^{w}(t)i_{w} = dsf_{c}((s);s)$$
 : (9)

The statistic of the trajectories is summarized in the particle propagator P(y;sjx;t) = h(y(s;x;t)j, that is the probability of noting a particle at point y and times t, provided it is in x at time t. A coording to the theory of stochastic processes [30], P(y;sjx;t) obeys the Kolm ogorov equations:

$$(P (y;s;k;t) = v_y [v(y;s)P(y;s;k;t)] = v_y P(y;s;k;t);$$
(10)

$$[v_{t}P(y;s_{x};t) + r_{x} [v(x;t)P(y;s_{x};t)] = {}_{x}P(y;s_{x};t);$$
(11)

with initial condition P (y;t;x;t) = (x y). The unusual minus signs in the lh.s. of (10) are due to the fact that particles move backward in time. The solution of (2) can be written in terms of the propagator: Z_{+} Z

$$c(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{t}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\mathbf{s} \, d\mathbf{y} \, \mathbf{f}_{c}(\mathbf{y};\mathbf{s}) P(\mathbf{y};\mathbf{s};\mathbf{x};\mathbf{t}); \qquad (12)$$

as it can be directly checked by inserting (12) in (2) and using (11).

At variance with smooth velocities (i.e. Lipschitz continuous, rv r) where for ! 0 particle trajectories are unique, for velocity elds rough and incompressible the particle propagator does not collapse onto a single trajectory in the limit ! 0. Lagrangian paths are not unique and initially coincident particles separate in a nite time. This property is at the root of the dissipative anom aly.

For active and passive scalars evolving in the same ow, the Lagrangian paths (s) are the same, as well as the propagator P (y;sjx;t). The dimension between a and c is that, since the active scalar enters the dynamics of v (3-4), the Lagrangian trajectories are functionally related to the active scalar forcing f_a , but are independent of the passive source term f_c . This is the Lagrangian counterpart of the linearity of the passive scalar problem, which does not hold for the more complicated case of active scalars.

O ne of the advantages of the Lagrangian description is that it makes transparent the physics of transport processes. For instance, let us consider the 2-points correlation function for passive scalars, $C^{c}(x_{1};x_{2};t) = hc(x_{1};t)c(x_{2};t)i$. This o ers the possibility of an intuitive interpretation of the energy cascade phenom enology and gives insights into measurable statistical objects such as the scalar spectrum $E_{c}(k)$, that is the Fourier transform of C_{2}^{c} . From (9) and averaging over f_{c} and v one obtains

$$C_{2}^{c}(x_{1};x_{2};t) = ds_{1} ds_{2} hf_{c}((s_{1};x_{1};t))f_{c}((s_{2};x_{2};t))i_{f} : (13)$$

Introducing the velocity-averaged two-particle propagator $hP_2(y_1;y_2;s_jx_1;x_2;t)i_v$, which evolves according to the straightforward generalization of (10, 11) to two particles, and using (5), Eq. (13) reduces to

$$C_{2}^{c}(\mathbf{x}_{1};\mathbf{x}_{2};t) = ds \quad hP_{2}(\mathbf{y}_{1};\mathbf{y}_{2};s\mathbf{\dot{x}}_{1};\mathbf{x}_{2};t)\mathbf{i}_{v}F \quad (\mathbf{\dot{y}}_{1} \quad \mathbf{y}_{2}\mathbf{\dot{f}}) d\mathbf{y}_{1}d\mathbf{y}_{2}; \quad (14)$$

which has a clear physical interpretation. Since F (x) is vanishingly small for x 1, the correlation C_2^c is essentially the average time spent by a particle pair with a separation $r = jx_1$ $x_2 j$ below the forcing scale '_f. Due to the explosive separation of particles, this time has a nite limit for r ! 0, which yields the leading contribution to C_2^c . The subleading behavior is uncovered by the second-order structure function

$$S_{2}^{c}(\mathbf{r}) = h(c(\mathbf{x}_{1};t) - c(\mathbf{x}_{2};t))^{2}i = 2(C_{2}^{c}(0) - C_{2}^{c}(\mathbf{r}));$$
 (15)

which is roughly the average time $T_{r_f}(r)$ taken by two coinciding particles to reach a separation r. For a K olm ogorov 1941 turbulent ow (h = 1=3) one has $S_2^{\circ}(r) = r^{2=3}$, i.e. $E_{\circ}(k) = k^{5=3}$ { the O boukov-C orrsin dimensional expectation [4].

The Lagrangian description can be extended also to higher-order statistics as multipoint correlation functions $C_N^c(x_1;:::;x_N) = hc(x_1;t):::c(x_N;t)i$. However, when many points come into play, their geometrical arrangement becomes crucial. D imensional arguments, which are based on the size of the conguration but forcibly neglect the \angular" information, fall short of capturing the observed behavior for multipoint observables. A detailed discussion of their properties is beyond the scope

of this brief review. In the following we just summarize the main concepts, referring to Refs. [31, 27] for further reading.

Expanding the power in the de nition of the structure functions S_N^c (r), it is immediate to express them as a linear combinations of N-point correlation functions (see, e.g., (15) for S_2^c (r)). Therefore, the latter must contain a contribution, denoted as Z_N^c (x_1 ;:::; x_N), that carries the anom abus scale dependence: Z_N^c (x_1 ;:::; x_N) =

 $\stackrel{\circ}{_{N}}$ Z $\stackrel{\circ}{_{N}}$ (x₁;:::;x_N) [24, 25, 26]. From a Lagrangian view point, the function Z $\stackrel{\circ}{_{N}}$ has a special property that distinguishes it from a generic scaling function. The remarkable result is that [31, 28]

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} h \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{c}} \dot{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathrm{L}} = 0; \qquad (16)$$

where the derivative $\frac{d}{dt}$ is performed along the trajectories of N particles advected by the ow, and the average is over the ensemble of all trajectories. In other terms, Z_N^c is statistically preserved by the ow [28, 32]. Universality of scaling exponents is then just a byproduct of the de nition of statistically preserved structures: since f_c does not appear in Eq. (16), the properties of zero modes are insensitive to the choice of the forcing.

2.3. D issipative anom aly

In spite of the continuous in jection of scalar through the pumping f_c , the second-order moment $hc^2(x;t)$ i does not grow inde nitely even in the limit ! 0. This is due to the existence of a nite nonzero limit of the scalar dissipation $_c = jr cj^2$ { the dissipative anomaly.

In order to understand how $hc^2(x;t)$ i achieves a nite value independent of the di usivity coe cient, we adopt the Lagrangian view point. From Eq. (13) we have:

 $hc^{2}(x;t) = \begin{array}{c} Z_{t} Z_{t} & * Z_{t} & 2^{+} \\ hc^{2}(x;t) = & ds_{1}ds_{2}f_{c}((s_{1};x;t))f_{c}((s_{2};x;t)) = & dsf_{c}((s;x;t)) & ;(17) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$

where the brackets indicate the average over the scalar forcing, the velocity eld and the noise.

Looking na vely at (17) one might expect that for a large class of random forcing of zero mean the rhs of the above expression would grow linearly with t. For instance, when the forcing is Gaussian and -correlated in time, one could argue that (17) is essentially a sum of independent variables and by central limit theorem arguments conclude that hc^2i / t. This conclusion would be correct if in the limit ! 0 all trajectories collapse onto a unique Lagrangian path. This turns out to be the case for strongly compressible ows but not in general. For compressible ows, energy indeed grows linearly in time and the advected scalar performs an inverse cascade process [33, 34]. On the contrary, in rough incompressible ows coinciding particles typically separate in a nite time, giving rise to multiple paths. As a consequence, a self-averaging process takes place in (17) and this prevents the inde nite growth of the energy. This is evident upon rewriting (17) as

$$hc^{2}(x;t)i = ds \quad hP_{2}(y_{1};y_{2};s_{j}x;x;t)i_{v}F(\dot{y}_{1} \quad y_{2};f)dy_{1}dy_{2}:$$
(18)

The time integral is cut o at t sj T_{f} , that is for times larger than the (nite) time needed by two coinciding particles to separate by a distance larger than the forcing correlation length 'f. This is the mechanism leading to a nite dissipation of energy. To summarize, the incompressibility and the roughness of the ow result in the dissipative anomaly through the explosive separation of particle paths. Further discussion on the role of dissipative anomaly in passive scalar turbulence can be found in [23, 33, 35].

3. Two-dim ensional turbulent convection

An interesting problem in the context of turbulent transport is the advection of inhom ogeneous temperature elds in a gravitational eld. Temperature uctuations induce density uctuations that in turn, via buoyancy forces, a ect the velocity eld: hence the temperature eld is an active scalar [4, 5]. Here, we consider two-dimensional convection, which is also of experimental interest in Hele-Shaw ows [36]. As an additional asset, the two-dimensional problem is better suited for the study of scaling properties since it allows to reach higher resolution and larger statistics.

Two-dimensional convection is described by the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\theta}_t a + v & ra &= a + \underline{f}; \\
& \underline{\theta}_t v + v & rv &= rp + v & ag & v;
\end{aligned}$$
(19)
$$\begin{aligned}
& (20)
\end{aligned}$$

where a is the eld of temperature uctuations. The second equation is the twodimensional Navier-Stokes equation where v is forced by the buoyancy term ga in the Boussinesq approximation [4]; $g = g\hat{y}$ is the gravitational acceleration and the thermal expansion coecient. K inetic energy is removed at the large scales by the friction term, v. The friction is physically due to the drag experienced by a thin (quasi 2d) layer of uid with the walls or air [7, 37]; is related to the thickness of the uid layer. A passive scalar, c, evolving according to Eq. (2) in the same ow has been considered as well, for comparison.

Before entering in the active/passive scalar issue, let us brie y recall the phenom enology of 2D turbulent convection (for the 3D case see, e.g., [4, 5]). The balance of buoyancy and inertial terms in (20) introduces the Bolgiano lengthscale $'_{B}$. [4]. At small scales, r $'_{B}$, the inertial term is larger than buoyancy forces and the temperature is basically a passive scalar. At large scales, r $'_{B}$, buoyancy dom inates and a ects the velocity, which in two dimensions performs an inverse energy cascade. However, at variance with the usual 2D N avier-Stokes turbulence, the kinetic energy input rate " depends here on the scale. D in ensional arguments yield "(r) = g hv (x + r;t)a (x;t)i 4=5, the Bolgiano scaling for the velocity structure



F igure 1. Scaling exponents of tem perature, a_N , and velocity, v_N . The straight lines are the dimensional predictions, N =5 for tem perature, 3N =5 for velocity. Details on the numerics may be found in [11]. Notice that at orders larger than N = 8 the tem perature exponents saturate to a constant value a_1 ' 0.8.

functions

$$S_{N}^{v}(r) ("(r)r)^{N=3} r_{N}^{v}; \qquad V_{N}^{v} = 3N = 5;$$
 (21)

and for tem perature

$$S_{N}^{a}(r) = r^{a}_{N}; \qquad a_{N}^{a} = N = 5;$$
 (22)

No interm ittency corrections are reported for the velocity, whereas the tem perature eld is strongly interm ittent (see Fig. 1 and Refs. [38, 11]).

Summarizing, the temperature uctuations are injected at scales, $f_{\rm f}$, pump kinetic energy through the buoyancy tem, and a non-intermittent velocity inverse cascade establishes with $rv = r^{3=5}$. The presence of friction stabilizes the system inducing a statistically steady state. The active and the passive scalars are therefore transported by a self-similar, incompressible and rough ow. An intermittent cascade of uctuations with anom abus, universal scaling exponents, Γ_N° is observed for the passive scalar.

Our aim is to compare the statistical properties of the temperature and the passive scalar elds. To this purpose, in Ref. [11], Eqs. (19) and (20) have been integrated with f_a and f_c , chosen as two independent realizations of a stochastic, isotropic, hom ogeneous and G aussian process of zero m ean and correlation:

$$hf_{i}(x;t)f_{j}(x^{0};t^{0})i = _{ij} (t \ \ bF(jx \ x^{0}j = '_{f});$$
(23)

where F $(r=r_f) = exp(r_f^2=(2r_f^2))$ decreases rapidly as r r_f^{i} . The labels are i; j = a;c. The results of this num erical study clearly con m that tem perature scaling exponents are anom alous (Fig. 1), and coincide with those of the passive eld: $r_N^{c} = r_N^{a}$ (Fig. 2a).

In this system there is saturation of interm ittency, i.e. for large N the scaling exponents saturate to a constant $\frac{ac}{1}$ 0.8 (see Fig.1). This phenom enon, well known



Figure 2. (a) Local scaling exponents of temperature () and concentration (4) uctuations, $_{N}^{a,c}(\mathbf{r}) = d \ln S_{N}^{a,c}(\mathbf{r}) = d \ln r$. Temperature and concentration are driven by independent G aussian random forcing with correlation function as Eq. (23). (b) Temperature structure functions, S_{N}^{a} (r), for N = 2;4;6, as a function of the separation r. The two sets of curves are generated by using the random () and m ean gradient (+) forcing. Note the parallelism within the inertial range. Curves have been multiplied for a num erical factor for visualization purposes.

for passive scalars [21], is physically related to the presence of abrupt changes in the spatial structure in the scalar eld (\fronts"). In the temperature eld these quasidiscontinuities correspond to the boundaries between hot rising and cold descending patches of uid [38]. It is worth mentioning that saturation has been experimentally observed both for passive scalars [19, 20] and temperature elds [39]. C lear evidences of saturation have recently been obtained also in the convective atm ospheric boundary layer exploiting the large-eddy simulation technique [40].

These notings point to the conclusion that the tem perature and the passive scalar have the sam e scaling law s. It remains to be ascertained whether the tem perature scaling exponents are universal with respect to the forcing. To this aim, a set of simulations has been performed in R ef. [38, 11], with a forcing that m in ics the e ect of a superim posed m ean gradient on the transported tem perature eld

$$f_a(x;t) = g v(x;t)$$
: (24)

R em arkably, the results show that the scaling exponents of the tem perature eld do not depend on the injection m echanism (Fig. 2b) suggesting universality [11, 38]. A nother outcom e of this investigation is that the velocity eld statistics itself is universal with respect to the injection m echanism of the tem perature eld. Indeed, v displays a close-to-G aussian and non-interm ittent statistics with both forcing (23) and (24) [11]. This is m ost likely a consequence of the observed universal G aussian behavior of the inverse energy cascade in two-dim ensional N avier-Stokes turbulence [41, 42]. Indeed, velocity uctuations in two-dim ensional convection also arise from an inverse cascade process driven by buoyancy forces.

So far all the num erical evidences converge to the following global picture of scaling

and universality in two-dimensional turbulent convection. Velocity statistics is strongly universal with respect to the temperature external driving, f_a . Temperature statistics shows anom alous scaling exponents that are universal and coincide with those of a passive scalar evolving in the same ow. It is worth noticing that similar notings have been obtained in the context of simplied shellm odels for turbulent convection [14, 15].

The observed universality of the temperature scaling exponents suggests that a mechanism similar to that of passive scalars may be at work, i.e. that statistically preserved structures might exist also for the (active) temperature. Pursuing this line of thought, one may be tempted to de ne them through the property $\frac{d}{dt}hZ_{N}^{a}i_{L} = 0$ as for passive scalars (see Eq. (16)). However, statistically preserved structures are determined by the statistics of particle trajectories which, through the feedback of a on v, depend on f_{a} . Therefore, the above de nition does not autom atically in ply the universality of the statistics of v is su cient to guarantee the universality of the trajectories statistics, leading to the conclusion that if Z_{N}^{a} exists it might be universal. Since Z_{N}^{c} are also de ned by the Lagrangian statistics that is the same for a and c, one might further conjecture that $Z_{N}^{a} = Z_{N}^{c}$. This would explain the equality of scaling exponents $a^{a} = C_{N}^{c}$.

It has to be remarked that this picture is not likely to be generic. Two crucial points are needed to have the equality between active and passive scalar exponents: (i) the velocity statistics should be universal; (ii) the correlation between f_a and the particle paths should be negligible. A swe shall see in the following, those two requirements are not generally met.

4. Two-dim ensional magnetohydrodynam ics

4.1. Direct and inverse cascades

M agnetohydrodynam ics (M HD) m odels are extensively used in the study of m agnetic fusion devices, industrial processing plasm as, and ionospheric/astrophysical plasm as [3]. M HD is the extension of hydrodynam ics to conductive uids, including the e ects of electrom agnetic elds. W hen the m agnetic eld, b, has a strong large-scale component in one direction, the dynam ics is adequately described by the two-dimensional M HD equations [6]. Since the m agnetic eld b(x;t) is solenoidal, in 2d it can be represented in terms of the m agnetic scalar potential, a(x;t), i.e. $b = r^2 a = (Qa;Q_1a)$. The m agnetic potential evolves according to the advection-di usion equation

$$(25) \qquad (25)$$

and will be our active scalar throughout this section. The advecting velocity eld is driven by the Lorentz force, $(r \ b) \ b = ar a$, so that the N avier-Stokes equation becomes

The question is whether the picture drawn for the tem perature eld in 2d convection applies to the magnetic potential as well.



Figure 3. Power spectra of active (red) and passive (blue) scalar variances $E_a(k) = k f^a(k;t) f^a$ and $E_c(k) = k f^a(k;t) f^a$. In the lower left corner, the uxes of scalar variance $a_{;c}$ out of wavenum ber k. Negative values indicate an inverse cascade. In the upper right corner, the total scalar variance $e_{a;c}(t) = E_{a;c}(k;t) dk$. The active variance $e_a(t)$ grows linearly in time whereas $e_c(t)$ uctuates around a nite value (see text). The rate of active to passive scalar dissipation is a = c' = 0.005. All elds are set to zero at t = 0, and time is de ned in units of eddy-tumover time $T = l_f = v_{rm s}$ where $l_f = 2 = k_f$. At $k < k_f$ we observe power-law behaviors $E_a(k) = k^{-2.001} e^{-1.011}$.

Eqs. (25) and (26) have two quadratic invariants in the inviscid and unforced limit, namely the total energy $\frac{1}{2}^{R}$ (v^{2} + b^{2})dx and them ean square magnetic potential $\frac{1}{2}^{R}$ a²dx. Using standard quasi-equilibrium arguments [6], an inverse cascade of magnetic potential is expected to take place in the forced and dissipated case [43]. This expectation has been con rm ed in numerical experiments [45]. Let us now compare the magnetic potential with a passive scalar evolving in the same ow.

We performed a high-resolution $(4096^2 \text{ collocation points})$ direct numerical simulations of Eqs. (25)-(26) along with a passive scalar (2). The scalar forcing terms f_a and f_c are hom ogeneous independent G aussian processes with zero m ean and correlation

$$h\hat{f}_{i}(k;t)\hat{f}_{j}(k^{0};t^{0})i = \frac{F_{0}}{(2 k_{f})} i (k + k^{0}) (k k) (t^{0})$$
(27)

where i; j = a; c. The injection length scale $l_f = 2 = k_f$ has been chosen roughly in the middle of the available range of scales. F_0 is the rate of scalar variance input.

In Fig. 3 we summarize the spectral properties of the two scalars. The emerging picture is as follows. While a undergoes an inverse cascade process, clascades downscale. This striking difference is rejected in the behavior of the dissipation. The active scalar dissipation, $_{a} = jr a j$, vanishes in the limit ! 0 { no dissipative anomaly for the eld a. Consequently, the squared magnetic potential grows linearly in time $e_{a}(t) = \frac{1}{2} a^{2} dx = \frac{1}{2} F_{0}t$. On the contrary, for the passive scalar, a dissipative anomaly is present and $_{c} = jr c j$ equals the input $\frac{1}{2}F_{0}$ holding c in a statistically stationary state. (See inset in Fig. 3).



Figure 4. Velocity power spectrum $E_v(k) = kj^{\circ}(k;t)^{2}$. For $k < k_f$, in agreement with previous simulations [45], we observe $E_v(k) = k^{1-3}$ (dashed lines) which deviates from the dimensional prediction k^{1-3} . In the range $k > k_f$ a scaling close to k^{5-3} is observed, indicating that v is rough both in the inverse and in the direct cascade range.

The velocity eld is rough (as con med by its spectrum, see Fig. 4) and incompressible, therefore particle paths are not unique and explosively separate. This entails the dissipative anomaly for passive scalars. On the contrary, the dissipative anomaly is absent for the magnetic potential, in spite of the fact that the trajectories are the same { the advecting velocity is the same. How can these two seem ingly contradictory statements be reconciled ?

4.2. D issipative anomaly and particle paths

The solution of the riddle resides in the relationship between the Lagrangian trajectories and the active scalar input f_a . These two quantities are bridged by the Lorentz force appearing in (26). To study the correlations between forcing and particle paths we need to compute the evolution of the particle propagator. The relevant observables are the time sequences of $_{a,c}(s) = dy f_{a,c}(y;s)P(y;s;x;t)$. Indeed the equivalent of Eq. (12) can be written for the active scalar as

$$a(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{t}) = \int_{0}^{2} d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} f_{a}(\mathbf{y};\mathbf{s}) P(\mathbf{y};\mathbf{s};\mathbf{x};\mathbf{t}) :$$
(28)

The main di culty encountered here is that P evolves backward in time according to (10) and the condition P (y;t;x;t) = (y x) is set at the naltimet. Conversely, the initial conditions on velocity and scalar elds are set at the initial time. The solution of this mixed initial/ nalvalue problem is a non-trivial num erical task. To this aim, we devised a fast and low-memory demanding algorithm to integrate Eqs. (25) - (26) and (10) with the appropriate initial/ nalconditions. The details are given in Ref. [44].

The typical evolution of the propagator is shown in the central column of Fig. 5. From its evolution we reconstructed the time sequences of the forcing contributions



F igure 5. Time runs from bottom to top. First column: time evolution of the active scalar eld resulting from the numerical integration of Eqs. (25) and (26). Second column: backward evolution of the particle propagator according to Eq. (10). Third column: time evolution of the passive scalar eld in the same ow.

 $_{a,c}$ (s) which, integrated over s, give the amplitude of the scalar elds according to (12) and (28). The time series of $_{a}$ (s) and $_{c}$ (s) are markedly diment (Fig. 6), the former being strongly skewed toward positive values at all times. This signals that trajectories preferentially select regions where f_{a} has a positive sign, summing up forcing contributions to generate a typical variance of a of the order $F_{0}t$. On the contrary, the passive scalar sequence displays the usual features: f_{c} is independent of P and their product can be positive or negative with equal probability on distant trajectories. This ensures that the time integral in Eq. (12) averages out to zero for js tj > T_{f} (see Sect. 2.2) and yields $c^{2} = F_{0}T_{f_{e}}$.

As shown in the lower panel of Fig. 6 the e ect of correlations between forcing and propagator is even more striking comparing ${}_{0}^{s} ds^{0} {}_{a} (s^{0})$ with ${}_{0}^{s} ds^{0} {}_{c} (s^{0})$. The conspicuous di erence has to be related to a strong spatial correlation between P and a, as can be inferred from

$$Z_{s} \qquad Z_{a}(s^{0}) ds^{0} = dy a (y;s) P (y;s;x;t); \qquad (29)$$

which can be derived from (25) and (11). An equivalent relation holds for c as well. The comparison of the rst and the second column of Fig. 5 highlights the role of



Figure 6. Top: $_{a;c}(s) = {R \atop dy f_{a;c}(y;s)P(y;sjx;t)}$. The two graphs have the same scale on the vertical axis. Here, t = 32. Bottom : time integrals ${R \atop 0}^{R_s}_{0}_{a}(s^0) ds^0$ (upper curve) and ${R \atop t}^{s}_{c}(s^0) ds^0$ (low er curve). Note the dierent scale on the vertical axis. Recall that ${R \atop 0}^{c}_{a}(s^0) ds^0 = a(x;t)$ (and sim ilarly for c).

spatial correlations: the distribution of particles follows the distribution of the active scalar. This amounts to saying that large-scale scalar structures are built out of smaller ones that coalesce together [45]. This has to be contrasted with the absence of large-scale correlations between the propagator and the passive scalar eld (second and third column of Fig. 5).

Let us now clarify the mechanism for the absence of dissipative anomaly. Consider the squared active eld a^2 . It can be expressed in two equivalent ways. On one hand, it can be written as the square of (28). On the other hand, multiplying (25) by 2a one obtains the equation

Exploiting the absence of dissipative anomaly, $_{a} = 0$, Eq. (30) reduces to a transport equation that can be solved in terms of particle trajectories. The comparison of the two expressions yields [46]

$$Z_{t} Z_{t} Z_{t} ZZ$$

$$ds ds^{0} f_{a}(y;s)f_{a}(y^{0};s^{0})P(y;s\dot{y};t)P(y^{0};s^{0}\dot{y};t) =$$

$$Z_{t}^{0} Z_{t}^{0} ZZ$$

$$ds ds^{0} f_{a}(y;s)f_{a}(y^{0};s^{0})P(y^{0};s^{0};y;s\dot{y};t);$$
(31)

where $P(y^0;s^0;y;s;x;t) = P(y;s;x;t)P(y^0;s^0;y;s)$ denotes the probability that a trajectory ending in (x;t) were in (y;s) and $(y^0;s^0)$. Integration over y and y^0 is implied. Eq. (31) amounts to saying that

meaning that ${}^{R_{t}}_{0} f_{a}$ ((s);s)ds is a non-random variable over the ensemble of trajectories. The above procedure can be generalized to show that $h_{0}^{R_{t}} f_{a}$ ((s);s)ds $i_{w}^{N} = h[{}^{R_{t}}_{0} f_{a}$ ((s);s)ds j^{N} i_{w} .

In plain words, the absence of the dissipative anomaly is equivalent to the property that along any of the in nite trajectories (s) ending in (x;t) the quantity $_{0}^{R_{t}} f_{a}((s);s)ds$ is exactly the same, and equals a(x;t). Therefore, a single trajectory su ces to obtain the value of a(x;t), contrary to the passive case where di erent trajectories contribute disparate values of $_{0}^{R_{t}} f_{c}((s);s)ds$, with a typical spread $_{c}t$, and only the average over all trajectories yields the correct value of c(x;t). In the unforced case particles move along isoscalar lines: this is how non-uniqueness and explosive separation of trajectories is reconciled with the absence of dissipative anomaly.

Inverse cascades appear also for passive scalars in compressible ows [34]. There, the ensemble of the trajectories collapses onto a unique path, fulling in the simplest way the constraint (31). In MHD the constraint is satisfied thanks to the subtle correlation between forcing and trajectories peculiar to the active case.

M agnetohydrodynam ics in two dimension represents an \extrem e" example of the e ect of correlations am ong Lagrangian paths and the active scalar input. The property that all trajectories ending in the same point should contribute the same value of the input poses a global constraint over the possible paths.

Before discussing the statistical properties of a, on the basis of the previous discussion it is instructive to reconsider the concept of dissipative anomaly in general scalar turbulence.

4.3. D issipative anom aly revisited

In this subsection we give an alternative interpretation of dissipative anomaly. To this aim, let us denote with (x;t) a generic scalar eld, regardless of its passive or active character. The scalar evolves according to the transport equation

$$\theta_t + v \quad r = + f : \tag{33}$$

W e can form ally solve Eq. (33) by the method of characteristics, i.e. in terms of the stochastic ordinary di erential equations

$$\frac{d (t)}{dt} = v ((t);t) + \frac{p}{2} w_{j};$$
(34)

$$\frac{d\#(t)}{dt} = f((t);t):$$
(35)

At variance with the procedure adopted in Sect. 2.2 here we are not conditioning a priori the paths to their nalpositions. The Eulerian value of the eld is recovered once the average over the all paths, , landing in (x;t) is performed, i.e. $(x;t) = h \# (t) i_w$. Recall that if the ow is non-Lipschitz continuous, such paths do not collapse onto a single one also for ! 0.

We can now de ne P (x; #; t_{y_0} ; $\#_0$; 0) as the probability that a path which started in x_0 at time 0 with $\#_0 = (x_0; 0)$ and arrives in x at time t carrying a scalar value #.



^R Figure 7. ^R dx $_{a}^{2}$ (x;t) (boxes) and ^R dx $_{c}^{2}$ (x;t) (circles) vstime. We have integrated Eqs. (25) and (2) for two dimensional din diteration dimension

Notice that the conditioning is now on the initial value, and P evolves according to the K olm ogorov equation:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{t}\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{v} \quad \mathbf{g}\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{f} \mathbf{r}_{*}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P} \tag{36}$$

with initial condition P (x; #; tyx₀; $\#_0$; 0) = (x x₀) (# #) and $\#_0$ = (x; 0).

Integrating over the initial conditions we de ne now the probability density $P(x; \#; t) = \Pr(x; \#; t; x_0; (x_0; 0); 0) dx_0$. P still obeys to (36) with initial condition $P(x; \#; 0) = (\#(x_0; 0))$, and represents the probability that a path arrives in (x; t) carrying a scalar value $\#(t) = \int_0^{R_t} f(x_0; s) ds$.

Let us now book at the variance of the distribution of such values, i.e. 2 (x;t) = R $^{\#^{2}Pd\#}$ ($^{\#Pd\#}$)². From Eq. (36) it is easy to derive the following equation

$$\mathcal{Q}_{t}^{2}(x;t) + v \quad \mathbf{x}^{2}(x;t) = ^{2}(x;t) + 2 \quad (x;t)$$
(37)

where $(x;t) = jr_x^R \#P(x;\#;t)d\#j^2$. In the Eulerian frame $(x;t) = jr(x;t)j^2$, i.e. the local dissipation eld.

Integrating over x, we end up with

$$\frac{d}{dt}^{2} (x;t)dx = 2 (x;t)dx = 2 ;$$
(38)

where = h jr (x;t) j²i is the average dissipation rate of h ²i=2. Therefore, if ² cascades toward the small scales with a nite (even in the limit ! 0) dissipation, ,



Figure 8. (a) The surface is the instantaneous Eulerian magnetic potential eld a(x;t). The dots represent the positions of particles in the $(x;\#_a)$ -space at time t. (b) The same for the passive scalar, c. The time t corresponds to the largest time in Fig.7. For visualization purposes only the portion [0; =4] [0; =4] is displayed. In (a) the cloud of dots closely follows the the magnetic potential surface, while in (b) they are considerably more dispersed (notice the di erences in the values of the #-axis).

the variance of the distribution of the values of $\#(t) = \int_{0}^{R_{t}} dsf(s); s = 0$ will grow linearly in time. Conversely, for an inverse cascade of 2 in the absence of dissipative anomaly $dx^{2}(x;t) = 0$, corresponding to a singular distribution P (x; #;t) = (#(x;t)).

In Fig. 7 we show the time evolution of $dx = \frac{2}{a_{,c}} (x;t)$ in the case of 2d M HD which con m s the above notings.

The fact that, for inverse cascading scalars, the probability density P collapses onto a -function in the limit of vanishing di usivity amounts to saying that particles in the (x;#) space do not disperse in the #-direction but move remaining attached to the surface # = (x;t). (see Fig. 8a). This is related to the strong spatial correlations between a and the Lagrangian propagator observed in Fig. 5. On the contrary, for a direct cascade of scalar such correlations do not exist and dissipation takes place because of dispersion in the #-direction (see Fig. 8b).

4.4. Eulerian statistics

4.4.1. Single-point statistics The statistical properties of the magnetic potential a and the passive scalar c are markedly di erent already at the level of the singlepoint statistics. The pdf of a is G aussian, with zero m ean and variance F_0t (Fig. 9). C onversely, the pdf of c is stationary and supergaussian (see Fig. 9), as it generically happens for passive elds sustained by a G aussian forcing in rough ow s [27].

The G aussianity of the pdf of a is a straightforward consequence of the vanishing of active scalar dissipation. This is simply derived by multiplying Eq. (25) for $2na^{2n-1}$ and averaging over the forcing statistics. The active scalar m on ents obey the equation $\mathfrak{g}_t ha^{2n} \mathfrak{i} = n (2n - 1)F_0 ha^{2n-2} \mathfrak{i}$ (odd m on ents vanish by symmetry) whose solutions are the G aussian m on ents: $ha^{2n}\mathfrak{i} = (2n - 1)!!(F_0\mathfrak{t})^n$. An equivalent derivation can be



Figure 9. Pdf's of active (empty boxes) and passive (full boxes) scalar elds normalized by their standard deviation. The active scalar pdf is indistinguishable from a Gaussian (solid line).

obtained in Lagrangian terms. Following the same steps which lead from Eq. (30) to (31) it is easy to derive the following expression

$$a^{2n} (\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{t}) = 2n ds_1 dy_1 P (y_1; s_1; \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{t}) f_a (y_1; s_1) a^{2n-1} (y_1; s_1);$$
(39)

which after integrating over x and averaging over the forcing statistics reduces to

$$ha^{2n}i(t) = n(2n \ 1)F_0 dsha^{2n \ 2}i(s);$$
 (40)

which, unraveling the hierarchy, yields G aussian m om ents written above. In passing from Eq. (39) to (40) we used the property that dxP(y;sjx;t) = 1 (which is ensured by Eq. (10)), and G aussian integration by parts.

4.4.2. Multi-point statistics and the absence of anom abus scaling in the inverse cascade T he results about two-point statistics of the magnetic potential and passive scalar elds is summarized in Fig. 10. In the inverse cascade scaling range $r > `_f$ the rescaled pdf of ra at di erent values of the separation r collapse onto the same curve indicating absence of anom abus scaling (Fig. 10a). By contrast, in the scaling range $r < `_f$ the rescaled pdfs of passive scalar increments at di erent r do not collapse (Fig. 10b), i.e. we have anom abus scaling, as expected.

Let us now consider in quantitative terms the scaling behaviors. Since in our simulations the scaling range at $r < {}^{*}_{f}$ ($k > k_{f}$) is poorly resolved, we do not enter the much debated issue of the scaling of the magnetic and velocity elds in this range of scales (see, e.g., [45, 43, 47, 48, 49, 50]). We just mention that current opinions are divided between the Kolmogorov scaling $_{r}v = r^{1=3}$, and the Iroshnikov-Kraichnan $_{r}v = r^{1=4}$, corresponding to spectral behaviors such as $E_{v}(k) = k^{5=3}$ and $E_{v}(k) = k^{3=2}$, respectively. Both theories agree on the smooth scaling behavior for



Figure 10. (a) Rescaled pdf of the normalized active scalar increments, $ra=h(ra)^2i^{1=2}$, for three separations in the $r > r_f$ range: $r = 2r_f$ (), $3r_f$ (), $4r_f$ (). The normalized passive scalar increments pdf at the same scales (dashed line) and the Gaussian (solid line) are shown for comparison. In the inset the rescaled pdf of ra for $r = 3r_f$ (solid line) is shown in the interval [2:5:2:5] in linear scale, to emphasize the deviation from a Gaussian (dashed line). The atness is 2:76, signi cantly smaller than the Gaussian value 3. (b) Rescaled pdf of the passive scalar increments, $rc=h(rc)^2i^{1=2}$, for two separations in the $r < r_f$ range: $r = 0.3r_f$ (full triangles) and 0.6 r_f (). The rescaled pdfs of active scalar increments at the same scales (solid line) and a Gaussian (dashed line) are reported for comparison.

the magnetic potential, $_{ra}$ r, that is observed numerically (see Fig.3). In the range of scales $r > '_{f}$ (k < k_f) standard dimensional arguments predict E_{a} (k) k⁷⁼³ [6, 43, 45], which is dimensional arguments predict E_{a} (k) k⁷⁼³ [6, 43, 45], which is dimensional arguments predict E_{a} (k) k⁷⁼³ [6, 43, 45], which is dimensionally compatible with scaling behavior this means that $_{ra}$ $r^{1=2}$, which is dimensionally compatible with scaling behavior $_{r}v = [v (x + r;t) v (x;t)] f$ r^{0} for $r > '_{f}$ (as suggested by the velocity spectrum, see Fig. 4), and the Yaglom relation $h_{r}v (_{ra})^{2}i' F_{0}r$ [4]. As a side remark, note that the argument for E_{a} (k) k⁷⁼³ rests on the assumption of locality for velocity increments, a hypothesis incompatible with the observed velocity spectrum at k < k_f (see Fig. 4).

It is worth remarking that the increments of active scalar $_{r}a = a(x + r;t)$ a(x;t) eventually reach a stationary state in spite of the growth of a^2 . The distribution of of $_{r}a$ is subgaussian (Fig. 10). This behaviour can be explained recalling that $_{r}a$ is the di erence of two G aussian variables, which are however strongly correlated (indeed the main contributions will come from x + r and x inside the same island, see Fig. 5). This correlation leads to cancellations resulting in the observed subgaussian probability density function.

Let us now focus on the most interesting aspect, namely the absence of anom alous scaling in the inverse cascade range. The absence of interm ittency seem s to be a comm on feature of inverse cascading systems as passive scalars in compressible ows [34, 33] and the velocity eld in two dimensional N avier Stokes turbulence [42]. This leads to the conjecture that an universal mechanism may be responsible for the self similarity of inverse cascading systems. While 2d N avier-Stokes turbulence is still far to be



F igure 11. Compensated power spectra of active scalar variances $E_a\,(\!k)k^2$ at three di erent times of the evolution. Note that an increasingly clear plateau at $k < k_{\rm f}$ appears.

understood, the absence of anom abus scaling in passive scalars evolving in compressible ow shasbeen recently understood in term softhe collapse of the Lagrangian trajectories onto a unique path [33, 34]. Brie y, the collapse of trajectories allows to express the 2N -order structure function in term s of two-particle propagators, hP_2i_v , instead of the 2N -particle propagator, $hP_{2N}i_v$. W hile the latterm ay be dom inated by a zero m ode with a non trivial anom alous scaling, the form er are not anom alous and lead to dimensional scaling.

The above argument cannot be simply exported to the magnetic potential inverse cascade: rst, the Lagrangian paths do not collapse onto a unique one; second, the correlation between the f_a and v does not allow to split the averages. However, the former diculty can be overcome. Indeed the property that all paths landing in the same point contribute the same value has in portant consequences also in the multipoints statistics. Proceeding as for the derivation of Eq. (31), it is possible to show that m trajectories are enough to calculate the product of arbitrary powers of a at m di erent points haⁿ¹ (x₁;t) ::: a^{nm} (x_m;t) i. In particular, structure functions S_N^a (r) = h(ra)^N i for any order N involve only two trajectories. This should be contrasted with the passive scalar, where the number of trajectories increases with N and this is at the core of anom alous scaling of passive elds [27].

5. Two-dim ensional Ekm an turbulence

Let us now consider the case of scalars that act on the velocity eld through a functional dependence. A mong them, probably the best known case is vorticity in two dimensions a = r v. It obeys the E km an-N avier-Stokes equation

$$Q_{t}a + v r a = a a + af; \tag{41}$$



Figure 12. (a)The vorticity spectrum $E_a(k) = k^{-1}$ steepens by increasing the Ekman coecient . Here = 0:15 (+), = 0:23 (), = 0:30 (). In the inset, the exponent as a function of . (b) Power spectra of passive scalar () and vorticity (+). Here = 0:15. In the inset we show the same spectra compensated by $k^{1+\frac{2}{2}}$. For details on the DNS see [12].

The term a m odels the E km and drag experienced by a thin uid layer with the walls or the surrounding air [7, 37].

In the absence of friction (= 0) dimensional arguments [51, 52], con med by experiments [41, 53] and numerical simulations [42], give the following scenario. At large scales an inverse (non-intermittent) cascade of kinetic energy takes place with $E_v(k) = k^{5=3}$ and $E_a(k) = k^{1=3}$. At small scales the enstrophy, ha²i, performs a forward cascade with $E_a(k) = k^1$ and $E_v(k) = k^3$, meaning that the velocity eld is smooth in this range of scales.

In the presence of friction (> 0) kinetic energy is removed at large scales holding the system in a statistically steady state and small-scale statistics is modiled by the competition of the inertial (v r a) and friction (a). Since these two terms have the same dimension due to smoothness of the velocity eld, this results in nontrivial scaling laws for a. This elect is evident in DNS with > 0 [17, 12], where the vorticity spectrum displays a power law steeper than in the frictionless case: $E_a(k) = k^1$ with dependent on (see Fig.12a). For 0 < < 2 the exponent coincides with the scaling exponent, $\frac{a}{2}$, of the second order structure function $S_2^a(r)$. Additionally, high-order structure functions at xed show anom abus scaling, $\frac{a}{N} \in N = 2$ [2]. The spectral steepening and the presence of interm ittency are observed [16, 12] also in passive scalars evolving in smooth ow s according to

$$\theta_{t}c + v \quad rc = c \quad c + f \tag{42}$$

Physically Eq. (42) describes the evolution of a decaying passive substance (e.g. a radioactive marker) [4, 54]. For = 0 the dimensional expectation $E_c(k) = k^{-1}$ has been verified in experiments [55]. For positive passive scalar spectra become steeper than k^{-1} and, at high wavenumbers, have the same slope of the vorticity spectrum (Fig. 12b). Additionally, the pdfs of passive and vorticity increments for separations



F igure 13. Probability density functions of vorticity di erences (+) and of passive scalar ones (), norm alized by their respective standard deviation, at di erent scales r within the scaling range.

inside the inertial range collapse one onto the others (Fig. 13), signalling that the scaling exponents coincide: $_{N}^{c} = _{N}^{a}$. In sum m ary, the vorticity eld and the passive scalar share the sam e statistical scaling properties [17, 16, 12], sim ilarly to the 2D convection case. However, di erences m ay appear for odd order m on ents [56].

It is now interesting to understand how the equivalence of active and passive statistics is realized: we shall see that in this case the smoothness of the ow is a crucial ingredient.

Let us start with the decaying passive scalar (42). First of all, it should be noted that the presence of non-zero friction (> 0) regularizes the eld, and there is absence of dissipative anomaly [54], even if the mechanism is dierent from the MHD one. As a consequence, in Eq. (42) we can put = 0 and solve it by the method of characteristics (see Sect. 2.2), i.e. $c(x;t) = \int_{1}^{R_t} dsf_c((s;x;t);s) exp((t s)), where now the path (s;x;t);s) is unique due to the smoothness of the velocity eld (note that <math>E_v(k) = k^3$ is always steeper than k^3 , see also Fig. 12a). The integral extends up to 1 where the initial conditions are set.

Passive scalar increments $_{r}c = c(x_{1};t) \quad c(x_{2};t) \quad (r = jx_{1} \quad x_{2}j)$, which are the objects we are interested in, are associated to particles pairs

$${}_{r}c = dse^{(t s)} [f_{c}((s;x_{1},t);s) f_{c}((s;x_{2},t);s)]:$$
(43)

It should be now noted that the integrand $f_c((s;x_1;t);s)$ $f_c((s;x_2;t);s)$ stays small as long as the separation between the two paths remains below the forcing correlation scale, 'f; while for separations larger than 'f it is approximately equal to a Gaussian random variable, X. In the latter statement we used the independence between f_c and the particle trajectories, ensured by the passive nature of c. Therefore, Eq. (43) can be



Figure 14. The scaling exponents of the passive scalar $_{p}^{c}$ (+), which have been computed also for noninteger moments, indeed Eq. (46) holds in general. We also show the exponents obtained from the separation times statistics () according to hexp[$pT_{r_{f}}(r)$]i r_{p}^{c} with average over about 2 10⁵ couple of Lagrangian particles. The errorbars are estimated by the rms. uctuation of the local slope. In the inset we plot the Cramer function S () computed from nite time Lyapunov exponents (symbols) and exit time statistics (line).

approxim ated as

$${}_{r}^{Z} C X ds e^{(t s)} X e^{T} {}_{f}^{(r)};$$
(44)

where $T_{r_f}(r)$ is the time necessary for the particles pair to go from r to r_f backward in time. It is now clear that large uctuations are associated to fast separating couples, $T_{r_f}(r) = hT_{r_f}(r)i$, and small uctuations to slow ones. Moreover, since v is smooth, two-dimensional and incompressible pairs separation is exponential and its statistics is described by a single nite-time Lyapunov exponent [57], . It is related to the separation time through the relation:

$$r_{f} = re^{T_{r_{f}}(r)}$$
: (45)

Large deviations theory states that at large times the random variable is distributed as $P(;t) = t^{2} \exp[S()t]$. S(), the so-called C ram er function 58, is positive, concave and has a quadratic minimum, S() = 0 in , the maximum Lyapunov exponent. From (44) and (45) along with with the expression for P(;t), structure functions can be computed as

$$S_{N}^{c}(\mathbf{r}) \quad hX^{N}i \quad d \quad \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r}} \qquad \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r}} \qquad \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r}} \qquad \mathbf{r} \qquad \mathbf{r}$$

where $_{N}^{c} = m \text{ in } fN; N + S() \models g$. Fig.14 shows that this prediction is veried by num erical simulations. The anom alous exponents $_{N}^{c}$ can therefore be evaluated in terms of the ow properties through the Cram er function S().

Active and passive elds face to face

Since $_{N}^{c} = _{N}^{a}$ one may be tempted to apply straightforwardly to vorticity the same argument used for the passive scalar. However, the crucial assumption used in the derivation of Eq. (46) is the statistical independence of particle trajectories and the forcing. That allows to consider $T_{Y_{f}}(r)$ and X as independent random variables. While this is obviously true for c, it is clear that the vorticity forcing, f_{a} , may in uence the velocity statistics and, as a consequence, the particle paths. In other terms, for the vorticity eld we cannot a priori consider X and $T_{Y_{f}}(r)$ as independent.

Nevertheless, it is possible to argue in favour of the validity of Eq. (43) also for vorticity. Indeed, the random variable $T_{r_f}(r)$ is essentially determ ined by the evolution of the strain along the Lagrangian paths for times t $T_f(r) < s < t$, whilst X results from the scalar input accumulated at times $s < t T_f(r)$. Since the strain correlation time is basically ¹, for $T_{r_f}(r)$ ¹ it is reasonable to assume that $T_{r_f}(r)$ and X are statistically independent. Eq. (45) allows to translate the condition $T_{r_f}(r)$ ¹ into $r r_f(r) = 0$, and to conclude that at small scales we can safely assume that the vorticity behaves as a passive eld.

We conclude this section with two remarks. First, a dimensional between the present scenario and the one arisen in two-dimensional convection should be pointed out. Here the scaling exponents depend on the statistics of the nite time Lyapunov exponents, which in turn depends on the way the vorticity is forced. As a consequence universality may be lost. Second, the smoothness of the velocity eld plays a central role in the argument used to justify the equivalence of the statistics of a and c in this system. For rough velocity elds T_{i_f} (r) is basically independent of r for $r < '_f$ and the argument given in this section would not apply.

6. Turbulence on uid surfaces

6.1. Surface Quasi Geostrophic turbulence

The study of geophysical uids is of obvious in portance for the understanding of weather dynamics and global circulation. Taking advantage of stratication and rotation, controlled approximations on the Navier-Stokes equations are usually done to obtain more tractable models. For example, the motion of large portions of the atmosphere and ocean have a stable density stratication, i.e. lighter uid sits above heavier one. This stable stratication, combined with the planetary rotation and the consequent Coriolis force, causes the most energetic motion to occur approximately in horizontal planes and leads to a balance of pressure gradients and inertial forces. This situation is mathematically described by the Quasi-Geostrophic equations [7, 8]. On the lower boundary, the surface of the earth or the bottom of the ocean, the vertical velocity has to be zero and this further simplifies the equations. A ssuming that the uid is in nitely high and that the free surface is at, the dynamics is then described by the Surface Quasi-Geostrophic equation (SQG) [9, 59]. It governs the temporal variations of the density eld, our active scalar a throughout this section. For an ideal uid the density variation will be proportional to the tem perature variation and one can use the potential tem perature as fundamental eld.

The density uctuations evolve according to the transport equation

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ta} + \mathbf{v} \quad \mathbf{r} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{f}; \tag{47}$$

and the velocity eld is functionally related to a. In term s of the stream function , the density a is obtained as a (x;t) = $()^{1=2}$ (x;t), and v = $(@_y; @_x)$. In Fourier space the link is particularly simple

$$\hat{\nabla}(k;t) = i \frac{k_y}{k}; \frac{k_x}{k} \hat{a}(k;t): \qquad (48)$$

In the early days of computer simulations eqs. (47) and (48) had been used for weather forecasting. Recently they received renewed interest in view of their form all analogy with the 3d N avier-Stokes equations [60, 61], and as a model of active scalar [9, 10]

It is instructive to generalize (48) as

$$\hat{\nabla}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{t}) = i \frac{\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{Y}}}{\mathbf{k}^{\mathrm{z}}}; \quad \frac{\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{x}}}{\mathbf{k}^{\mathrm{z}}} \quad \hat{a}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{t}):$$
(49)

For z = 1 one recovers (48), while z = 2 corresponds to the 2d N avier-Stokes equation and a is the vorticity (see the previous section). The value of z tunes the degree of locality. The case of interest here is z = 1, corresponding to the same degree of locality as in 3d turbulence [9].

Eqs.(47),(49) have two inviscid unforced quadratic invariants $E = \int_{R}^{R} dx a$ and $= dx a^{2}$, which for z = 2 correspond to the energy and the enstrophy, respectively. Notice that, for z = 1, the elds v and a have the same dimension and $E_{a}(k) = E_{v}(k)$. Quasi-equilibrium arguments predict an inverse cascade of E and a direct cascade of

. If $k_{\rm f}$ identi es the injection wave number dimensional arguments give the expected spectral behavior [9]:

$$E_{a}(k) / \begin{array}{c} k \frac{7}{3} + \frac{4z}{3} & k & k_{f} \\ k \frac{7}{3} + \frac{2z}{3} & k & k_{f} \end{array}$$
(50)

For z = 2 one recovers the 2d Navier-Stokes expectation [51, 52]. Here, we are interested in the range $k > k_f$ and z = 1, so that $E_a(k) = E_v(k) = k^{5=3}$ as in 3d turbulence. Assuming absence of intermittency, the spectrum $E_v(k) = k^{5=3} = 0$ would imply $rv = r^{1=3}$. Therefore, for a passive scalar one expects the standard phenomenology: an intermittent direct cascade with $E_c(k) = k^{5=3}$ to the Oboukov-Corrsin-Kolm ogorov type of arguments [4].

6.1.1. Direct num erical simulations settings W e performed a set of high resolution direct num erical simulations of Eqs. (47) and (48) along with the passive scalar equation (2). Integration has been performed by means of a 2=3 de-aliased pseudo-spectral method on a 2 2 doubly periodic square domain with N² collocation points. Time integration has been done with a second-order A dam -B ashforth or R unge-K utta algorithm s, approviately modi ed to exactly integrate the dissipative term s. The latter

Table 1. Sum mary of settings in DNS.

run	N 2	р	q	F	k _f
1 ^a	4096 ²	2		В	44-48
2 ^b	2048 ²	2	1/2	В	2–6
3 ^b	2048 ²	2	0	G	5
4 ^b	2048 ²	2	1/2	G	5
5 ^c	2048 ²	2	0	NG	5

 $^{\rm a}$ Runs 1,2 are forced according to Eq. (23), and run 1 is without any friction term .

^b Run 3_{4} are forced according to Eq. (27).

 $^{\rm c}$ R un 5 is forced with the non-G aussian forcing discussed in Sect. 6.3. Lower (512²) resolutions runs with several settings both for the dissipative and friction terms have been also perform ed

ones, as custom ary, have been replaced by a hyper-di usive term that in Fourier space reads $k^{2p} \hat{a}(k;t)$. Since the system is not stationary due to the inverse cascade of E, we added an energy sink at large scales in the form $k^{q} \hat{a}(k;t)$. In order to evaluate its possible e ect on inertial quantities, a very high resolution (4096²) DNS has been done without any energy sink at large scales.

A summary of the numerical settings can be found in table 1. We considered di erent scalar inputs: (G) a Gaussian -correlated in time forcing as (23); (B) a -correlated in time one restricted to a few wavenumbers shells as (27); (NG) a non-Gaussian non -correlated in time one suited to produce non zero three points correlations for the scalar elds (see below in Sect. 6.3).

6.2. Active and passive scalar statistics in SQG turbulence

Let us start boking at the measured scalar spectra in order to check the dimensional predictions. In Fig. 15 we sum marize the spectral behavior for a and c in two di erent simulations (i.e. runs 1 and 3).

Two observations are in order:

The scalar spectra $E_k(k)$ is steeper than the dimensionally expected k $^{5=3}$. The slope does not seem to depend on the injection mechanism.

The active and passive scalars, both performing a direct cascade, are di erent already at the level of the spectral slopes. In particular, c is much rougher than a.

The di erences between a and c are evident also from Fig. 16, where two simultaneous snapshots of the elds are displayed. A direct inspection of the elds con rm s that c is more rough than a, and resembles a passive scalar in a smooth ow. Moreover, in a we observe the presence of large coherent structures at the scale of the forcing. These are actually long-lived, slow ly-evolving structures that strongly in pair the convergence of the statistics for high-order structure functions. In the following we thus lim it ourselves to a comparison of the low-order statistics of a and c. That is however su cient to appreciate the di erences beween active and passive scalar



Figure 15. (a) Power spectra of active (red) and passive (blue) scalar variances $E_a(k) = k \hat{a}(k;t) \hat{j}$ and $E_c(k) = k \hat{c}(k;t) \hat{j}$ for run 1, the inset shows the active, and passive energy uxes $a_{,c}(k)$. The dashed lines corresponds to the best tted $k^{1:8}$ 0:1 and $E_{c}(k)$ $k^{1:15}$ 0:05. Note that the tted spectral slopes $E_a(k)$ slope for $E_a(k)$ is better recovered at higher wavenum bers, close to the energy peak a steeper (k^{2} ^{0:1}) is observed. (b) The same but for run 3. The left inset shows the scalar uxes. The dashed lines indicates the spectral slopes E_a (k) k^{1:8} ^{0:1} and $k^{1:17}$ 0:05. Here the scaling range is wider than in run 1. For both runs E_c (k) active and passive spectra have been shifted for visualization purposes. In the other runs we observe the sam e qualitative and quantitative features, within the error bars. In particular, run 1 and run 2 give alm ost indistinguishable spectral slopes, m eaning that the large scale energy sink does not enter too much into the inertial range, as expected since the velocity eld is rough at small scales. Run 5 produced spectral slopes indistinguishable from those of runs 3 and 4. How ever, it should be noted that run 1 and run 2 display a steeper spectra close to the forcing wave numbers, this feature is absent in the other runs and may be due to nite size e ects, see text and also Fig. 17.

statistics.

The deviation from the dimensional expectation for the spectral slope of the active eld was already observed in previous numerical studies [9], and it is possibly due to interm ittency in the active scalar and velocity statistics. Indeed, the rescaled pdfs of the increments do not collapse (not shown). Concerning the universality of anom alous exponents with respect to the forcing statistics, we observe that the spectral slopes do not depend sensitively on the forcing statistics. However, the forcing (B) (see table 1) induces a steeper spectrum close to the forcing scale, while a universal slope is apparently recovered at large wavenumbers. (Fig. 15a). The scaling of S_2^a (r) seems to be more sensitive to the forcing (see Fig. 17). These discrepancies may be due to nite size e ects which are more severe for forcing (B) which is characterized by a slower decay of the spatial correlations. Unfortunately, it is di cult to extract reliable inform ation on the high-order statistics. Indeed the presence of slow ly evolving coherent structures induces a poorly converging statistics for high-order structure functions. Therefore, we cannot rule out the possibility of a dependence of active scalar exponents on forcing statistics.



F igure 16. Snapshots of the active (left) and passive (right) scalar (at 512^2 resolution). Note the presence of coherent structures in the active eld, which are slow evolving. The passive eld displays lam ental like features indicating that it is more rough than the active one.

A result that emerges beyond any doubt is that active and passive scalars behave di erently, as shown in Figs. 15, 16 and 17. This is con rm ed by the di erences in the pdfs of $_{\rm r}a$ and $_{\rm r}c$ at various scales within the inertial range (Fig. 18). The single-point pdfs of a and c are di erent as well (not shown).

It is worth noticing that the behavior of the passive scalar deviates from na ve expectations. We observe $E_c(k) = k^{1:15}$ whereas a dimensional argument based on the observed velocity spectrum ($E_v(k) = E_a(k) = k^{1:8}$) and on the Yaglom relation [4]



F igure 17. (a) Second order structure function for the active (empty boxes) and the passive (full circles) scalar in run 2. The straight line shows the scaling r^1 for the S_2^a (r) (the same exponent is observed in run 1). The passive scalar does not display a neat scaling behavior. In the inset we show the correlation function for the passive scalar, C_2^c (r), in log-lin scale, (see text). (b) The same but for run 3. Here the straight dashed line indicates the slope $r^{0:8}$. S_2^a (r) in run 5 has a slope $r^{0:84}$, com patible with the ones observed in runs 3 and 4 within statistical errors.



F igure 18. Pdf of active (red) and passive (blue) scalar di erences norm alized by their respective standard deviations for four di erent scales within the inertial range. The dotted lines are G aussian pdfs drawn for comparison. D ata refer to run 3, the other runs show sim ilar results.

 $(h_r v (_r c)^2 i = r)$ would give $E_a(k) = k^{1:6}$. The violation of the dimensional prediction is even more striking boking at $S_2^c(r)$ in Fig. 17. This feature is reminiscent of some experimental investigations of passive scalars in turbulent ows, see e.g. Refs. [62, 63] and references therein, where shallow scalar spectra are observed for the scalar even at those scales where the velocity eld displays a K 41 spectrum. It is likely that the presence of coherent structures (see Fig. 16) leads to persistent regions where the velocity eld has a smooth (shear-like) behavior. This suggest a two- uid picture: a slow ly evolving shear-like ow, with superim posed faster turbulent uctuations. Under those conditions, one may expect that the particle pairs separate faster than expected, leading to shallow er passive scalar spectra [64].

In conclusion, even though both passive and active scalar perform a direct cascade, their statistical properties are de nitely di erent.

6.3. Scaling and geometry

Let us now compare the two scalars eld by investigating the three-point correlation functions of the active eld, $C_3^a(x_1;x_2;x_3) = ha(x_1;t)a(x_2;t)a(x_3;t)i$, and of the passive one, $C_3^c(x_1;x_2;x_3) = hc(x_1;t)c(x_3;t)c(x_3;t)i$. This allows to compare the scaling properties of the correlation function by measuring the dependence on the global size of the triangle identied by the three points, $R^2 = \int_{i < j} x_{ij}^2$ (being $x_{ij} = jx_i - x_j$). We will also investigate the geometrical dependence of C_3 .

It has to be noted that for -correlated G aussian forcings as (23) and (27), C_3^a and C_3^c are identically zero. Therefore we need a di erent forcing statistics in order to study three-point correlations. A possibility is to break the rotational symmetry of the system

by an anisotropic forcing, e.g., a mean gradient (24) as in [28]. However, that choice leads inevitably to a = c: the equations are identical for a and c so that the di erence eld a cwill decay out. We then choose to force the system as follows. The two inputs f_a and f_c are

$$f_{a;c}(x;t) = g_{a;c}^{2}(x;t) \qquad dy g_{a;c}^{2}(y;t)$$
(51)

where $g_{a,c}$ is a hom ogeneous, isotropic and G aussian random eld with correlation

$$hg_{i}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{t})g_{j}(\mathbf{x}^{0};\mathbf{t}^{0})i = _{i;j}G(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{0};\mathbf{t}^{0})e^{\frac{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{t}^{0}\mathbf{t}^{0}}{\mathbf{f}}};$$
(52)

where i; j = a; c, r_{f} is the forcing scale, f the forcing correlation time and G (r) / $G_{0} \exp(r^{2}=2)$. The time correlation is imposed by performing an independent 0 mstein– Uhlenbeck process at each Fourier mode, i.e. integrating the stochastic dimential equation $d\hat{g}_{i}(k;t) = 1 = {}_{f}\hat{g}_{i}(k;t)dt + \frac{P}{2G_{0}dt} = {}_{f}dw_{i}(k;t)$ (where dw_{i} are zero mean G aussian variables with $hdw_{i}(k;t)dw_{j}(k^{0};t^{0})i = {}_{i;j}$ (t $\hat{\Phi}_{i}(k,k^{0})$). If ${}_{f}$ T $_{r_{f}}$ by the central limit theorem a G aussian statistics is recovered. Therefore, we ked ${}_{f}$ O (T $_{r_{f}}$) in our DNS. The advantages of this choice are that it preserves the isotropy and gives analytical control on the forcing correlation functions.

Let us now see how the triangle identi ed by the three points, $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{x}_1; \mathbf{x}_2; \mathbf{x}_3)$ can be parameterized. In two dimensions we need 3d = 6 variables to de ne a triangle. Since the correlation functions should possess all the statistical symmetries of the system the number of degrees of freedom is reduced. In particular, translational invariance ensures no dependence on the position of the center of m ass of the triangle, $(\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3)=3$. The correlation function is thus a function of the separation vectors among the 3 points, i.e. $C_3^{a,c}(\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = C_3^{a,c}(\mathbf{x}_{12}; \mathbf{x}_{23}; \mathbf{x}_{31})$. Additionally, isotropy in plies that a rigid rotation of the triangle has no e ect on the value of C_3 , so that three variables su ce: the global size of the triangle R, and two parameters that de ne its shape. In term s of the Euler parameterization [65, 66, 67], upon de ning $_1 = (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) = \overline{2}$ and $_2 = (\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 - 2\mathbf{x}_3) = \overline{6}$, the shape of the triangle is given in term s of the two variables:

$$w = \frac{2}{R^2} = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \frac{2}{\frac{2}{1} - \frac{2}{2}}; \qquad (53)$$

where jw j is the ratio of the area of the triangle divided by the area of the equilateral triangle having the same size, $R^2 = \frac{2}{1} + \frac{2}{2}$. has not a simple geometrical interpretation. Some shapes corresponding to a few (w;) are shown in Fig. 19.

The three-point correlation function can be decom posed as [31, 27]

$$C_{3}^{a,c}(\underline{x}) = R f C_{3}^{a,c}(\underline{x})g + I f C_{3}^{a,c}(\underline{x})g;$$
(54)

where R; I are the reducible and irreducible components, respectively. This means that C₃ can be expressed as the sum of a part that depends on three points (hereafter denoted as C₃) and a part that depends on two points (hereafter denoted C₂), i.e. $C_3(x_1;x_2;x_3) = C_3(x_1;x_2;x_3) + C_2(x_1;x_2) + C_2(x_2;x_3) + C_2(x_3;x_1)$. The reducible, R fC₃g = C₂(x₁;x₂)+C₂(x₂;x₃)+C₂(x₃;x₁) and irriducible part I fC₃g = C₃(x₁;x₂;x₃)



Figure 19. Contour plots in the w plane of the three-point shape function, , for passive (left) and active (right) scalar.

are in general characterized by di erent scaling properties as a function of the triangle size R, and di erent geom etrical (shape) dependencies [68, 28].

In term s of the variables R ;w and $\$, the reducible and irreducible components take the following form

$$R fC_{3}^{a,c}(\underline{x})g = h_{a,c}^{R}(R) \stackrel{R}{}_{a,c}(;w);$$

$$I fC_{3}^{a,c}(\underline{x})g = h_{a,c}^{I}(R) \stackrel{I}{}_{a,c}(;w);$$
(55)

where the function h (R) is expected to have a scaling dependence in the inertial range.

For the passive scalar, the scaling behavior of the reducible part, h_c^R (R), is dominated by the dimensional scaling in posed by the balance with the forcing, while the scaling of the irreducible part, h_c^R (R), is given by the zero modes [31]. With a nite-correlated pumping the forcing statistics may in principle contribute to the irreducible part [27]; however in our case these hypothetical contributions seem small, if not absent. For the active scalar it is not possible to make any a priori argum ent to predict the scaling behavior of the reducible and irreducible part. As far as the geometrical dependence is concerned, $C_c^{R,I}$ and $C_a^{R,I}$, it is very dicult to separate the two contributions. In our case, in agreement with the results obtained for the K raichanan model [68], the reducible part turns out to be the leading contribution, so that we only present the shape dependence of the full correlation functions which basically coincides with the reducible one. Hereafter we will then use $C_{a,c}$ dropping the indices which distinguish the two contributions.

Let us start by studying the shape dependence of the correlation function for the active, $_{a}(;w)$, and passive scalars, $_{c}(;w)$. Exploiting the invariance under arbitrary permutations of the three verifices of the triangle we can reduce the con guration space to 2 [=6 : =6], and w 2 [0 :1] going from degenerate (collinear points) to equilateral triangles. The function (;w) is antiperiodic in with period =3 [65, 66, 67]. In Fig. 19 the functions $_{c}$ and $_{c}$ are shown. They have been measured for a xed size R within the inertial range. The two functions display sim ilar qualitative features: the intensity grows going from equilateral to collinear triangles, and the



Figure 20. (a) h^{R} (R) vs R for the active () and passive () scalar. The dashed lines are the second order structure functions, $S_{2}^{a,c}$, multiplied by a factor to allow for a comparison. The solid line gives the tted slope, R^{1:23} ^{0:05}, for h_{a}^{R} (R). The slope of S_{2}^{a} is $r^{:84}$ ^{0:05} and is compatible with those obtained with the forcing (G), see Fig. 17). The passive curves have been shifted for visualization purposes. (b) $h_{a,c}^{I}(R)$ vs R for the active () and passive () scalar. For c the scaling R^{1:7} ^{0:2} (solid line) is m easured. The signal for the active scalar is very low and no scaling behavior can be detected. The statistics has been computed averaging over about 65 fram es separated by half eddy turnover tim e.

maximum is realized for almost degenerate triangles (;w) = (0;0). $_{\rm c}$ is invariant for ! , which corresponds to relection with respect to an axis. This symmetry is a consequence of the equation of motion and the fact that $f_{\rm c}$! $f_{\rm c}$ under this symmetry transformation. The active scalar displays a weak breaking of this symmetry, as a consequence of the fact that it is a pseudoscalar while $f_{\rm a}$ is not.

Let us now turn our attention to the scaling behavior. Since the reducible scaling behavior is the leading one it is simply obtained by xing a certain shape for the triangle (we did for several choices of (;w)) and varying its size. The results are shown in in Fig. 20a. The observation is that h_a^R (R) and h_c^R (R) are di erent. Moreover, while h_c^R (R) is practically parallel to S_2^c (R), for the active scalar we observe that h_a^R (R) is not scaling as S_2^a (R).

The measure of the subleading, irreducible part is more involved, and we proceed as follows. We x a reference triangle shape (;w) and set the origin in the center of mass of the triangle. Now x_i indicates the position of the vertex i of the triangle. We de ne $\hat{d_1} = \hat{d_1}()$ (1) as the dilation operator which transforms the triangle $(x_1;x_2;x_3)$ in $(x_1;x_2;x_3)$. Analogous de nitions hold for the other vertices. Obviously $\hat{d_i}(1)$ \hat{f} is the identity. We then consider the composite operator $\hat{D}() = \hat{d_1}\hat{d_2}\hat{d_3}$ $\hat{d_1}\hat{d_2}$ $\hat{d_2}\hat{d_3}$ $\hat{d_3}\hat{d_1} + \hat{d_1} + \hat{d_2} + \hat{d_3}$ \hat{f} . By direct substitution it is easily seen that in $\hat{D}()C_3(x_1;x_2;x_3)$ all the reducible terms are cancelled, and only a linear superposition of terms involving the irreducible parts survives Therefore, the average of $\hat{D}()c(x_1)c(x_2)c(x_3)$ for triangles of di erent sizes R but of xed shape (;w) will give the scaling of the irreducible part of the three-point function. (55). A sin ilar procedure has been done for a. We used as reference con guration a collinear triangle with two degenerate vertices, i.e. (;w) = (0;0). This choice reduces the num ber of cancellations. A dditionally, this con guration corresponds to the region of stronger gradients in the function (see Fig. 19), yielding a higher signal to noise ratio. By varying we tested the robustness of the measured scaling.

In Fig. 20 we present the results on the scale dependence of $h_c^I(R)$ and $h_a^I(R)$. C learly $h_a^I(R)$ has too low a signal to identify any scaling behavior, while the passive scalar scales fairly well and we measured $h_c^I(R) = R^{1:7}$, con m ing that the irreducible part is subleading.

A couple of nal remarks are in order. First, the fact that h_a^R (R) does not scale as S_2^a (R) is the signature of the correlations between f_a and the particle propagator. Indeed, for the passive scalar h_c^R (R) / S_2^c (R) is a straightforward consequence of the independence of v and f_c . Second, h_a^R (R) scales di erently from h_c^I (R): this rules out the possibility of establishing simple relationships between active and passive scalar statistics (see [15] for a related discussion).

7. Conclusions and perspectives

In sum m ary, we have investigated the statistics of active and passive scalars transported by the same turbulent ow. We put the focus on the issue of universality and scaling. In this respect the passive scalar problem is essentially understood. Conversely, the active case is by far and away a challenging open problem. The basic property that make passive scalar turbulence substantially simpler is the absence of statistical correlations between scalar forcing and carrier ow. On the contrary, the hallmark of active scalars is the functional dependence of velocity on the scalar eld and thus on active scalar pumping. Yet, when correlations are su ciently weak, the active scalar behaves similarly to the passive one: this is the case of two-dimensional therm al convection and Ekm an turbulence. However, this appears to be a nongeneric situation, and the equivalence between passive and active scalar is rooted in special properties of those system s. Indeed, di erent system s as two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics or surface quasi-geostrophic turbulence are characterized by a marked di erence between passive and active scalar statistics. This poses the problem of universality in active scalar turbulence: if the forcing is capable of in uencing the velocity dynam ics, how can scaling exponents be universal with respect to the details of the injection mechanism ? So far, a satisfactory answer is missing. As of today, num erical experiments favor the hypothesis that universality is not lost in a number of active scalars, but further investigation is needed to elucidate this fundam ental issue.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e are grateful to G.Bo etta, S.M usacchio, T.M atsum oto for their collaboration in part of the material here presented. W e thank T.G ilbert, A.N oullez and I.P rocaccia for useful discussions. This work has been partially supported by the EU under the

Active and passive elds face to face

contracts HPRN-CT-2000-00162 and HPRN-CT-2002-00300, and by Indo-French Center for Promotion of A dvanced Research (FCPAR 2404-2). AM and M C have been partially supported by Co n2003 (\Sistem i Complessi e Problem i a Molti Corpi"). M C has been partially supported by the EU under the contract HPRN-CT-2000-00162, and aknow ledges the M ax P lanck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems (D resden) for computational resources. Numerical simulations have been performed at ID R IS (project 021226) and at CINECA (INFM parallel computing initiative).

References

- [1] Pasquill F and Sm ith F B 1983 Atm ospheric Di usion (Ellis Horwood, Chichester)
- [2] William s F A 1985 C om bustion Theory (Ben jam in-C um mings, M en lo Park)
- [3] Zel'dovich YaB, Ruzmaikin A and Sokolo D 1983 Magnetic Fields in Astrophysics (Gordon and Breach, New York)
- [4] Monin A and Yaglom A 1975 Statistical Fluid Mechanics (M IT Press, Cambridge, Mass.)
- [5] Siggia E D 1994 Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 26, 137
- [6] B iskam p D 1993 N onlinear M agnetohydrodynam ics, (C am bridge U niversity P ress, C am bridge U K)
- [7] Salm on R 1998 Geophysical Fluid D ynam ics, (O xford University Press, New York, USA)
- [8] Rhines P B 1979 Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 11, 401
- [9] Pierrehum bert R T, Held IM and Swanson K L 1994 Chaos, Sol. and Fract. 4, 1111 Held IM, Pierrehum bert R T, Garner S T and Swanson K L 1995 J. Fluid Mech 282, 1
- [10] Constantin P 1998 J. Stat. Phys. 90, 571
- [11] CelaniA, Matsum oto T, Mazzino A and Vergassola M 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 054503
- [12] Bo etta G, Celani A, Musacchio A and Vergassola M 2002 Phys. Rev. E 66, 026304
- [13] CelaniA, CenciniM, MazzinoA and Vergassola M 2002 \Active versus Passive Scalar Turbulence", Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 234502
- [14] Ching E S C, Cohen Y, G ilbert T and Procaccia I 2002 Europhys. Lett. 60, 369
- [15] Ching E S C, Cohen Y, G ilbert T and Procaccia I 2003 Phys. Rev. E 67, 016304
- [16] Nam K, Antonsen T M, Guzdar P N and Ott E 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3426
- [17] Nam K, Ott E, Antonsen T M and Guzdar P N 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5134
- [18] Frisch U 1995 Turbulence: The Legacy of A.N.Kolmogorov (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [19] W arhaft Z 2000 Annu. Rev. Fluid M ech. 32, 203
- [20] Moisy F, Willaim e H, Andersen JS and Tabeling P 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4827
- [21] CelaniA, Lanotte A, Mazzino A and Vergassola M 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2385 CelaniA, Lanotte A, Mazzino A and Vergassola M 2001 Phys. Fluids 13, 1768
- [22] K raichnan R H 1968 Phys. Fluids 11, 945
- [23] K raichnan R H 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1016
- [24] GawedzkiK and Kupiainen A 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3834
- [25] Chertkov M, Falkovich G, Kolokolov I and Lebedev V 1995 Phys. Rev. E 52, 4924
- [26] Shraim an B I and Siggia E D 1995 C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, serie II 321, 279
- [27] Falkovich G, Gawedzki K and Vergassola M 2001 Rev. M od. Phys. 73, 913
- [28] CelaniA and Vergassola M 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 424
- [29] Frisch U, Mazzino A, Noullez A and Vergassola M 1999 Phys. Fluids 11, 2178
- [30] Risken H 1998 The Fokker Planck Equation (Springer Verlag, Berlin)
- [31] Bernard D, Gawedzki K and Kupiainen A 1998 J. Stat. Phys. 90, 519
- [32] A rad I, B iferale L, Celani A, Procaccia I and Vergassola M 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 164502
- [33] Chertkov M, Kolokolov I and Vergassola M, 197 Phys. Rev. E 56, 5483
- [34] GawedzkiK and Vergassola M 2000 Physica D 138,63

Active and passive elds face to face

- [35] Yakhot V 1997 Phys. Rev. E 55, 329
- [36] Bizon C, Werne J, Predtechensky A A, Julien K, McCormick W D, Swift JB and Swinney H L 1997 Chaos 7,1
- [37] Rivera M and W u X L 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 976
- [38] CelaniA, Mazzino A and Vergassola M 2001 Phys. Fluids 13, 2133
- [39] Zhou S-Q and X ia K-Q 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 184502
- [40] Antonelli M, Mazzino A and Rizza U 2003 J. Atm os. Sci. 60, 215
- [41] Paret J and Tabeling P 1998 Phys. Fluids 10, 3126
- [42] Bo etta G, CelaniA and Vergassola M 2000 Phys. Rev. E 61, R29
- [43] Pouquet A 1978 J. Fluid. Mech 88, 1
- [44] CelaniA, CenciniM and Noullez A 2004 \Going forth and back in time: a fast and parsimonious algorithm form ixed initial/ nal-value problem s", Physica D to appear Preprint physics/0305058
- [45] Biskamp D and Bremer U 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 3819
- [46] To obtain the r.h.s. of (31) consider the expression $a^2(x;t) = 2 \frac{R_t}{0} ds^R dy f_a(y;s)a(y;s)$, insert $a(y;s) = \int_{0}^{s} ds^{0} dy^{0} f_{a}(y^{0};s^{0}), ie. Eq. (4)$ evaluated at times, and exploit the symmetry under exchange of s and s⁰ to switch from a time-ordered form to a time-symmetric one to get rid of the factor two.
- [47] Sridhar S and Goldreich P 1994 A stroph. J. 432, 612
- [48] Verm a M K, Roberts D A, Goldstein M L, Ghosh S and Stribling W T 1996 J. Geophys. Res. 101, 21619
- [49] Politano H, Pouquet A and Carbone V 1998 Europhys. Lett. 43, 516
- [50] Biskam p D and Schwarz E 2001 Phys. Plasm as 8, 3282
- [51] K raichnan R H 1967 Phys. Fluids 10, 1417
- [52] G.K.BatchelorG K 1969 Phys. Fluids. Suppl. II 12, 233
- [53] Paret J, Jullien M C and Tabeling P 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3418
- [54] Chertkov M 1999 Phys. Fluids 11, 2257
- [55] Jullien M C, Castiglione P and Tabeling P 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3636
- [56] Bernard D 2000 Europhys. Lett. 50, 333
- [57] CrisantiA, Paladin G and VulpianiA 1993 Products of Random Matrices (Springer Verlag, Berlin)
- [58] Ellis R S 1985 Entropy, Large D eviations, and Statistical M echanics (Springer-Verlag, New York)
- [59] Constantin P 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 184501
- [60] Constantin P 1995 Physica D 86, 212
- [61] Majda A and Tabak E 1996 Physica D 98, 515
- [62] Villermaux E, Innocenti C and Duplat J 2001 Phys. Fluids 13, 284
- [63] Villerm aux E and Innocenti C 1999 J. Fluid Mech. 393, 123
- [64] CelaniA, CenciniM, Vergassola M, VincenziD and Villerm aux E 2004 in preparation
- [65] Shraim an B I and Siggia E D 1998 Phys. Rev. E 57, 2965
- [66] Pum ir A 1998 Phys. Rev. E 57, 2914
- [67] Castiglione P and Pum ir A 2001 Phys. Rev. E 64, 056303
- [68] GatO, L'vov V S and Procaccia I 1997 Phys. Rev. E 56, 406