

# Dirty, Skewed, and Backwards: The Smectic A-C Phase Transition in Aerogel

Leiming Chen and John Toner

Department of Physics, Institute of Theoretical Science, University of Oregon, Eugene OR 97403

We study the smectic AC transition in anisotropic and uniaxial disordered environments, e.g., aerogel with an external field. We find very strange behavior of translational correlations: the low-temperature, lower-symmetry Smectic C phase is less translationally ordered than the high-temperature, higher-symmetry Smectic A phase, with short-ranged and algebraic translational correlations, respectively. Specifically, the A and C phase belong to the quasi-long-ranged translationally ordered  $\lambda$ XYBragg glass" and short-ranged translationally ordered  $\lambda$ m = 1Bragg glass" phase, respectively. The AC phase transition itself belongs to a new universality class, whose fixed points and exponents we find in a  $d = 5$  expansion.

PACS numbers: 61.30.Dk, 64.60.Fr, 64.70.Md, 82.70.-y

## I. INTRODUCTION

Of all randomly pinned elastic media [1, 2, 3, 4] liquid crystals in aerogel [5] exhibit a phenomenon unique to themselves: anomalous elasticity. That is the scalings of their elastic energies are changed radically (specifically, by non-trivial power laws).

However, there has been no previous work on phase transitions in pinned liquid crystal systems. In this paper we remedy this by treating the smectic A to smectic C (hereafter AC) transition in an anisotropic, uniaxial disordered environment. Such an environment could be realized, e.g., by applying an electric or magnetic field to a liquid crystal in isotropic aerogel [6], or by stretching the aerogel uniaxially before absorbing the liquid crystal. We will hereafter refer to the special uniaxial direction as  $\lambda$  along the applied field" or  $\lambda$  the z-axis".

The AC phase transition separates the two novel glassy phases discovered in reference [6]. The high temperature phase ( $T > T_{AC}$ ) is the glassy analog of the smectic A phase of the pure problem, in that the layer normals lie, on average, along the applied field. This  $\lambda$  random field XY smectic Bragg glass" (XYBG) phase is in the universality class of the random field XY model. The low temperature phase is the glassy analog of the smectic C phase, in that the layers normally make an angle  $\theta(T)$  with the applied field. The experimentally measurable  $\lambda$  tilt angle"  $\theta(T)$  is the magnitude of the order parameter for the transition. This phase is in the universality class of the  $\lambda$ m = 1 smectic Bragg glass" ( $\lambda$ m = 1) phase studied in [6].

We call both of these phases  $\lambda$  glassy" because the random environment (i.e., the aerogel) destroys long-ranged translational order in both. The extent of this destruction, however, differs greatly between the two phases. Strikingly, it is the low-temperature, higher-symmetry,  $\lambda$ m = 1 phase that has less translational order. In the XYBG phase, translational correlations are  $\lambda$  quasi-long-ranged", i.e., they decay as power laws with distance. In the  $\lambda$ m = 1 phase, these correlations are short-ranged. This leads to radically different X-ray scattering signatures in the two phases which we will now describe.

In the XYBG phase, the X-ray scattering intensity  $I(q)$  diverges near the smectic Bragg peaks, which occur at  $q = nq_0$  for all  $n$  integer, where  $q_0 = \frac{2\pi}{a}$ , with  $a$  the smectic layer spacing. This divergence is a power-law:

$$I(q) / [(q_x - nq_0)^2 + q_y^2]^{\frac{3 + 5.5n^2}{2}}; \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a non-universal constant of order 1 and  $q_y = \sqrt{q^2 - q_x^2}$ . Note only the first 2 peaks ( $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$ ) actually diverge. In contrast, in the  $\lambda$  glassy C" or  $\lambda$ m = 1BG" phase, the peaks in the X-ray scattering intensity are broad, with  $I(q)$  finite for all  $q$ .

As  $T \rightarrow T_{AC}$  from above (i.e., on the A side), the sharp peaks disappear in an unusual way. The peaks look broad for  $q$ 's sufficiently far from the Bragg peak position  $nq_0$ , while for  $q$ 's sufficiently close to the peak, they diverge per eqn.(1). Sufficiently close" means that both  $\lambda$   $q_x - nq_0$  and  $\lambda$   $q_y$  are small, and  $\lambda$   $q_x - nq_0$  is small.

$$I(q; T) / I(q; T_{AC}) \sim \frac{C_{yz}}{C_{yz}^{T_{AC}}} \frac{n^2}{3 + 5.5n^2} \quad (2)$$

with  $C_{yz} = \exp(A |T - T_{AC}|)$ , where  $\lambda$  is a universal exponent calculated below and  $A$  is a non-universal constant. These predictions are illustrated in figures 1 and 2. The divergence of  $C_{yz}$  implies that, as  $T \rightarrow T_{AC}^+$ , the algebraic  $\lambda$  spikes" on top of the broad short-ranged peaks get narrower and less intense, vanishing completely at  $T_{AC}$ . Lowering temperature further leads only to the broad peaks of the Smectic C phase. This entire scenario of sharp peaks at high temperature and broad peaks at low temperature is very counterintuitive, and unlike almost every other translationally ordered system [1].

Why is the lower-symmetry C phase less translationally ordered than higher-symmetry A phase? In fact, it is precisely the new broken symmetry of the smectic C phase—that is, the tilt of the layer normal—that causes this. This is because, while the energetically preferred layer normal  $\hat{N}$  in the smectic A phase is unique—it must point along  $\lambda$ —there are infinitely many energetically preferred orientations of  $\hat{N}$  in the C phase:  $\hat{N}$  can lie anywhere on a cone making an angle  $\theta(T)$  with  $\lambda$ . As a result, the elasticity of the Smectic C phase is softer than that of the A phase because rotating the layers in such a way




FIG. 1: The  $q_z$ -dependence of the X-ray scattering intensity for  $q_\perp = 0$  in the smectic A phase. In the C phase, the sharp, power law peaks disappear, leaving only the broad scattering.




FIG. 2: The  $q_\perp$ -dependence of the X-ray scattering intensity for  $q_z = q_0$  in the smectic A phase. Again, the sharp peak vanishes in the C phase.

that  $\hat{N}$  rotates around this cone costs no energy. This exact symmetry of the elastic energy of the smectic C phase means that the direction perpendicular to the  $\hat{z}$ - $\langle \hat{N} \rangle$  plane (where  $\langle \hat{N} \rangle$  is the mean of  $\hat{N}$ ; i.e., the direction of spontaneous tilt) becomes "soft", that is, an easy direction for layer displacements to vary in. Precisely such softness occurs (for different reasons) in the "m = 1 smectic" studied in [6] and, indeed, the elastic Hamiltonian for the C phase we find is identical to that studied for "m = 1 smectic" in [6]. Thus, we can simply transcribe the results of [6] to this problem.

In particular, positional fluctuations  $u$  of the layers about their optimal (tilted) positions obey  $\langle j_i(q)j_j \rangle =$

$TC(q)^{-1} + (\langle s \rangle q_s^2 + \langle h \rangle q_h^2 + \langle z \rangle q_z^2)C(q)^{-2}$ , with  $C(q) = Bq_\perp^2 + \langle q \rangle q_\parallel^2 + K(q)q_z^2$ , where  $\langle \rangle$  denotes a thermal average, and the overbar denotes an average over disorder. The anomalous quantities  $s_{jh}(q)$ ,  $K(q)$  and  $\langle q \rangle$  obey

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q \rangle &\sim q_s^{-\kappa} ; ; s_{jh} ; q_s^h ; q_h ; q_z^z ; q_z^z \\ K(q) &\sim q_s^{-\kappa} ; ; s_{jh} = h ; q_h^h ; q_h^h ; q_z^z ; q_z^z \\ &\sim q_s^{-\kappa} ; ; s_{jh} = z ; q_z^z ; q_z^z ; q_h^h \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $q_h = E q_\perp - F q$  with  $E = \frac{1}{2} T_{AC} j^2 (1 - \frac{\kappa}{2})$  and  $F = \frac{1}{2} T_{AC} j^2 (2 + t_{\kappa} - \kappa - c)$ ,  $q_s$  is the component of  $q$  perpendicular to the  $\hat{z}$ - $\hat{N}$  plane,  $q_\perp$  is the component of  $q$  within the  $\hat{z}$ - $\hat{N}$  plane orthogonal to  $\hat{z}$  and the critical exponents  $\kappa$  and  $t_{\kappa}$  will be given later. The universal exponents in (3) are given by  $h = 2 - (\frac{\kappa}{2})$ ,  $z = 2 - \frac{\kappa}{2}$  with the  $\kappa$ 's obeying the exact scaling relations  $1 + s = \frac{\kappa}{2} + 2\kappa$  and  $h = 2 + s - \kappa$ . Numerical values for the  $\kappa$ 's have been obtained [6] by comparing  $\epsilon$ -expansions based on two different analytical continuations of this model to higher dimensions, giving  $\kappa = 0.50 \pm 0.03$ ,  $t_{\kappa} = 0.26 \pm 0.12$ ,  $s = 0.132 \pm 0.002$  and  $h = 1.372 \pm 0.12$ . These predictions can be tested by light scattering, which measures  $\langle N_i(q)N_j(-q) \rangle = q_i^2 q_j^2 \langle j_i(q)j_j \rangle$ . The form given for  $\langle j_i(q)j_j \rangle$  also implies [6] short-ranged translational order and, hence, broad Bragg peaks, as described earlier.

We have studied the AC transition in an  $d = 5$   $\epsilon$  expansion, where  $d$  is the dimension of space, and find that there is a stable fixed point, implying a second-order phase transition with universal critical behavior. In particular, the tilt angle  $(T)$  obeys  $(T) = A(T_{AC} - T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , where, to leading order in  $\epsilon$ ,  $A = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{10} + O(\epsilon^2)$ .

The specific heat exponent  $\alpha = \frac{1}{10} + O(\epsilon^2)$  and the susceptibility exponent  $\beta = 0$ .

The order parameter  $N_z$  for this transition is the projection of the smectic layer normals  $\hat{N}$  perpendicular to the applied field. Above  $T_{AC}$ , real space correlations of  $N_z$  decay rapidly with distance, with correlation lengths  $\xi_z$  and  $\xi_\perp$  parallel and perpendicular to the field respectively. Both diverge as power laws in  $(T - T_{AC})$ :  $\xi_z \sim \frac{1}{2} T_{AC} j^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . We find  $\xi_\perp = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{20} + O(\epsilon^2)$ ,  $\xi_z = 1 + \frac{3}{10} + O(\epsilon^2)$ .

We also find that the system exhibits anomalous elasticity right at  $T_{AC}$  as well. Specifically, we find that, right at  $T_{AC}$ , the smectic layer bend modulus  $K$  vanishes as  $q \rightarrow 0$  according to the scaling laws

$$K(q) = q_\perp^{-\kappa} f_K \frac{q_z^{\kappa}}{q_\perp^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}} ; q_z^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} ; q_z^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \quad (4)$$

where the anisotropy exponent  $\kappa = 2 - \frac{\kappa}{2}$  and  $\kappa = C_K^{-2} + O(\epsilon^3)$  with  $C_K = \frac{32 \ln(\frac{4}{3})}{225} = 0.0353$ . Note that  $C_K < 0$ , which implies that  $K$  vanishes as  $q \rightarrow 0$ . The anisotropy exponent also obeys  $\kappa = \frac{\kappa}{2}$ .

The disordering effect of the random aerogel matrix can be quantified by disorder variances  $\epsilon_t$  and  $\epsilon_c$  describing tilt and compressive stresses respectively. These variances also become exponents, obeying ( $i = t, c$ )

$$\epsilon_i(q) = q_i^{-1} \text{ if } \frac{q_z}{q_i} \rightarrow \frac{q_z}{q_i}; \frac{q_z}{q_i} \rightarrow \frac{q_z}{q_i} : (5)$$

Unlike the similar problem of a smectic A in isotropic aerogel and no field, the smectic layer compression modulus  $B$  remains finite as  $q \rightarrow 0$  at  $T_{AC}$ .

The exponents  $\epsilon_t$  and  $\epsilon_c$  are given by  $\epsilon_t = C^{-2} + O(\epsilon^3)$  with  $C = \frac{12 \ln(\frac{4}{3})}{225} \approx 0.1386$  and  $\epsilon_c = 2^{-\frac{1}{5}} + O(\epsilon^2)$ .

For  $T$  bigger than  $T_{AC}$  the disorder variance  $\epsilon_{t,c}(q; T)$  and layer bend modulus  $K(q; T)$  are given by their  $T = T_{AC}$  forms equations (4) and (5) if either  $q_z \rightarrow 1$  or  $q_z \rightarrow 1$ . Otherwise (i.e., if both  $q_z \rightarrow 1$  and  $q_z \rightarrow 1$ ),  $\epsilon_{t,c} / \epsilon_{t,c}^0 / (T - T_{AC})^{-\epsilon_{t,c}}$  and  $K / K^0 / (T - T_{AC})^{-\epsilon_K}$ .

The critical exponents obey exact scaling relations:

$$\epsilon_t = 2 - d - 1 + \frac{K}{2} \epsilon_t; (6)$$

$$\epsilon_c = 2 - d - 6 + 3K - 2\epsilon_t = 4; (7)$$

$$\epsilon_K = 2 - \frac{3}{2}K + \epsilon_t; (8)$$

$\epsilon_z = \epsilon_t$  and  $\epsilon_K = 2 - \frac{K}{2}$ . Note that  $\epsilon_K$  does not obey hyperscaling, due to the strongly relevant disorder.

All of these exponents can be measured experimentally. The specific heat can, of course, be measured by the usual thermodynamic measurements. The spontaneous tilt angle  $\theta_0$  can not be deduced from the position of the smectic C Bragg peak, since that peak is broad.

Fortunately, an alternative measure of  $\theta_0$  can be deduced from the dielectric or diamagnetic susceptibility tensors  $\chi_{ij}$  and  $\chi_{ij}$ . In the A phase, one of the principal axes of both tensors is along the applied field. In the C phase, this axis rotates away from the applied field due to the tipping of the layers. This rotation angle is proportional to  $\theta_0$ .

The order parameter correlation lengths can be measured by light scattering, which probes fluctuations in both the dielectric ( $\chi_{ij}$ ) and diamagnetic ( $\chi_{ij}$ ) susceptibility tensors. The full form of the light scattering is extremely rich and complicated; we will defer a complete description of it to a future publication. Here we will restrict ourselves to pointing out that for  $q_z = 0$ , the light scattering intensity scales like  $q_z^{2K - \epsilon_t - 4}$  for  $q_z \rightarrow 1$ , is independent of  $q_z$  for  $q_z \rightarrow 1$ , and scales like  $\frac{1}{q_z}$  for  $q_z \rightarrow 1$ , where  $\epsilon_t / \epsilon_t^0 / (T - T_{AC})^{-\epsilon_t}$  as  $T \rightarrow T_{AC}^+$ . Thus light scattering data should easily allow determination of  $\epsilon_t(T)$  and the combination of exponents  $2K - \epsilon_t$ . Fitting the  $T$ -dependence of  $\epsilon_t$  to  $(T - T_{AC})^{-\epsilon_t}$  then determines  $\epsilon_t$ .

We now briefly sketch the derivation of our results. Our starting point is an elastic energy for the layer displacement field  $u$ , which is the only soft variable in the

problem, since the applied field locks the nematic director  $\hat{n}$  [5]. For the smectic AC transition in a pure (i.e., disorder-free) system, with an applied field freezing the director out, Ginzburg and Pelcovits [7] showed that the appropriate elastic energy is:

$$H_{\text{pure}} = \int d^d r \left[ \frac{K}{2} (\nabla_z^2 u)^2 + \frac{B}{2} (\partial_z u)^2 + \frac{g}{2} (\partial_z u) \tilde{f}_z u \right] + \frac{w}{8} \tilde{f}_z u^4 + \frac{D_0(T)}{2} \tilde{f}_z u^2 : (9)$$

This model is very similar to that for a smectic A in the absence of an external field. However, because the rotational symmetry is broken due to the external field, a new term  $\tilde{f}_z u^2$ , which hardens the directions orthogonal to  $\hat{z}$ , is generated. Since  $\tilde{f}_z u^2$  is proportional to the tilt angle of the smectic layers, the coefficient  $D_0(T)$  is positive in the A phase (favoring alignment of the layer normal with the applied field), and negative in the C phase (favoring tilt of the layers). Hence, by continuity, at  $T = T_{AC}$ ,  $D_0(T)$  vanishes. In what follows, we will assume that  $D_0(T) / (T - T_{AC})$  near  $T_{AC}$ .

The other terms in (9) are simply those of the elastic theory of a smectic A in zero field, with one crucial exception: in a smectic in zero field, rotation in variance requires that  $g = w = B$ , while for the AC in a non-zero field problem, at all temperatures, even at  $T = T_{AC}$ , where  $D \neq 0$  and softness is recovered,  $g$  and  $w$  are still free, because rotation invariance is still broken.

To include the effects of the quenched disorder of the aerogel, we add to the pure Hamiltonian (9) random fields coupling to  $u$  and its gradients: giving us

$$H = H_{\text{pure}} + \int d^d r \left[ \tilde{h}(\mathbf{r}) \tilde{f}_z u + V_p(u, \mathbf{r}) \right] (10)$$

where  $\tilde{h}(\mathbf{r})$  is a quenched random field that for simplicity we take to be Gaussian zero distributed mean, and characterized by short-ranged anisotropic correlations:

$$\overline{\tilde{h}_i(\mathbf{r}) \tilde{h}_j(\mathbf{r}')} = \epsilon_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}^z \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') : (11)$$

The field  $\tilde{h}(\mathbf{r})$  is also a quenched random field with only short-ranged correlations, and is uniformly distributed between 0 and  $a$ , the smectic layer spacing. The function  $V_p(u, \mathbf{r})$  is periodic with period  $a$ .

The physical interpretation of the quenched random fields  $\tilde{h}(\mathbf{r})$  and  $V_p(u, \mathbf{r})$  is very simple. Note that the random field  $\tilde{h}$  incorporates random torques and random compressions, coming from the  $x$  and  $z$  components of  $\tilde{h}$ , respectively. The function  $V_p(u, \mathbf{r})$  represents the tendency of the aerogel to pin the smectic layers in a set of random positions  $\mathbf{r}$ , modulo the smectic layer spacing  $a$ , which is why  $V_p$  is periodic in its argument.

To compute self-averaging quantities, e.g., the disorder averaged free energy, it is convenient to employ the replica "trick" that relies on the identity  $\log Z =$

