## A SYM PTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF SMALL SOLUTIONS FOR THE DISCRETE NONLINEAR SCHRODINGER AND KLEIN-GORDON EQUATIONS

A.STEFANOV AND P.G.KEVREKIDIS

A bst ract. We show decay estimates for the propagator of the discrete Schrödinger and K lein-G ordon equations in the form kU (t)  $fk_{l^1}$  C  $(1 + \frac{1}{2})^{d=3} kfk_{l^1}$ . This implies a corresponding (restricted) set of Strichartz estimates. A pplications of the latter include the existence of excitation thresholds for certain regimes of the parameters and the decay of small initial data for relevant  $l^p$  norms. The analytical decay estimates are corroborated with numerical results.

#### 1. Introduction

A sequence of (tim e evolving) have onic oscillators which interact only with their immediate neighbors is described by the discrete Schrodinger equation

 $iu_n^0$  (t) + h<sup>2</sup> (u<sub>n+h</sub> (t) + u<sub>n h</sub> (t) 2u<sub>n</sub> (t)) + F<sub>n</sub> (t) = 0 k 2 hZ fu<sub>k</sub> (0)g 2 l<sup>2</sup>

where h is the distance between the oscillators and hZ is the lattice of points fhn : n integerg . M ore generally,

$$discrete = h^{2} \int_{j=1}^{X^{d}} (u_{n+he_{j}} + u_{n-he_{j}} - 2u_{n})$$

and the equation describing the system is given by

 $\operatorname{in}_{n}^{0}$  (t) +  $\operatorname{discrete} u + F_{n}$  (t) = 0; n 2 hZ<sup>d</sup>;

Ash! 0, one obtains the continuous model.

For  $F_n(t) = j_1 \hat{f}^{\dagger}$  u, the above equation becomes the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation. The latter is one of the prototypical dierential-dierence models that is both physically relevant and mathematically tractable. Perhaps, the most direct im – plementation of this equation can be identified in one-dimensional arrays of coupled optical waveguides [1, 2]. These may be multi-core structures created in a slab of a semiconductor material (such as A IG aA s), or virtual ones, induced by a set of laser

Date: April 16, 2024.

Key words and phrases. discrete Schrodinger equation, discrete Klein-Gordon, Strichartz estimates, excitation thresholds.

The rst author supported in part by NSF-DMS 0300511 and the University of Kansas General Research Fund # 2301716. The second author is supported in part by NSF-DMS 0204585, an NSF CAREER award and the Eppley Foundation for Research.

beam s illum inating a photorefractive crystal. In this experimental implementation, there are about forty lattice sites (guiding cores), and the localized, solitary wave structures that this model is well known to support [3, 4] may propagate over tens of diraction lengths.

Photonic lattices [5, 6] have recently provided another application of the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger class of models. In this case, the refractive index of a nonlinear medium changes periodically due to a grid of strong beam s, while a weaker probe beam is used to monitor the localized waves. This has created a large volume of recent activity in the direction of understanding discrete solitons in such photonic lattices.

F inally, besides its applications in nonlinear optics, the discrete nonlinear Schrodinger equation is a relevant m odel for B ose-E instein condensates trapped in strong optical lattices (form ed by the interference patterns of laser beam s) [7, 8]. In this context, the m odel can be derived system atically by using the W annier function expansions of [9].

These applications render the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation a particularly relevant dynam ical lattice for a variety of physical applications.

A nother example of a di erential-di erence equation that arises in m any physical contexts consists of the nonlinear K lein-G ordon m odels. P erhaps the sim plest possible in plem entation of such a lattice arises for an array of coupled torsion pendula under the e ect of gravity [10]. However, such m odels are also relevant in condensed m atter physics (e.g., describing the uxon dynam ics in arrays of superconducting Josephson-junctions) [11], as well as biophysics (e.g., describing the local denaturation of the D NA double strand [12]). It is also interesting to note that nonlinear K lein-G ordon m odels are intim ately related to their Schrodinger siblings since the latter are the natural envelope wave reduction of the form er [13].

The Klein-Gordon model is of the form

where we take h = 1 and the nonlinearity will in general be assumed to be of the form  $F_n = j_1 j_1^2 u_1$ .

In this work, we exam ine such Schrodinger and K lein-G ordon m odels as follows: in section 2, we give a priori energy and decay estimates for the free propagation in these lattices, which we subsequently prove by means of Strichartz estimates in section 3. In sections 4 and 5, we use these estimates to exam ine solutions in the presence of nonlinearity for the Schrodinger and K lein-G ordon cases respectively. A s an application, we show the existence of energy thresholds for the appearance of localized solutions (for appropriate regimes of the parameters) and illustrate the decay of various lattice norms, complementing the analysis with numerical simulations. A number of technical details are worked out in the appendix. 2.1. Schrodinger equation.

Theorem 1. For the free discrete Schrodinger equation

$$iu_n^0$$
 (t) +  $_du = 0$   
 $u_n$  (0) 2  $l^2$  (Z <sup>d</sup>)

one has

(2) 
$$kfu_n(t)gk_{1^2} = kfu_n(0)gk_{1^2}$$
 energy identity

(3) 
$$kfu_n(t)gk_{l^1} = C \frac{h^{2d=3}}{t^{d=3}}kfu_n(0)gk_{l^1}$$
 decay estimate

M oreover, for the inhom ogeneous equation

$$iu_n^0$$
 (t) +  $discrete}u_n + F_n$  (t) = 0  
 $u_n$  (0) 2  $l^2$ 

one has the Strichartz estimates with (q;r) 2;1=q+d=(3r) d=6 and  $(q;r;d) \in (2;1;3)$ . That is

R em ark N ote that the decay rate (and consequently the Strichartz estimates) is smaller than the usual t d=2 that one has for the continuous analogue.

In the K lein-G ordon case, similarly to the Schrödinger models, we discuss various relevant estimates for the free evolution. We have the following analogue of T heorem 1:

Theorem 2. For the solutions of the one-dimensional homogeneous discrete K lein-Gordon equation (1), one has the a priori decay and energy estimates

- (4)  $kfu_n(t)gk_{l^2} = C(kf_nk_{l^2} + kg_nk_{l^2});$  energy estimate
- (5)  $kfu_n(t)gk_{l^1} \cdot t^{1=3}(kf_nk_{l^1} + kg_nk_{l^1})$  decay estimate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>At this stage, we have encountered som e technical di culties, when trying to extend the result to dimensions higher than one. In fact, the proof of the energy estimate (4) goes through in all dimensions, whereas the decay estimate (5) boils down to the exact rate of decay of an explicit d dimensional integral, see (10). While numerically, one can see that the relevant integral has the right rate of decay, its rigorous justication remains open.



Figure 1. Log-log plot of the tem poral evolution of the L<sup>1</sup> norm in a linear one dimensional Schrödinger lattice. The initial condition contains one site excited with  $u_0 = 10$ . The dashed line shows the best t (for times 50 t 90) t<sup>0.335</sup>.

For the solutions of the inhom ogeneous equation, one has the Strichartz estimates with (q;r) 2;1=q+1=(3r) 1=6. That is

# 3. Strichartz estimates for the Schrodinger and K lein-G ordon equations

In this section, we present the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. (Theorem 1) By rescaling in time, it su ces to consider the case h = 1. Next, associate  $fu_ng$  !  $f(k) = u_n e^{2 \text{ in } k}$ . Then,

$$discrete = \begin{cases} X^{d} \\ S_{j} + S_{j} \\ j = 1 \end{cases}$$
 (S<sub>j</sub> + S<sub>j</sub> 2Id)

where  $S_j$  is the shift operator in the direction of  $e_j$ . On the spaces of trigonom etric polynom ials,

$${}_{d}f = \sum_{j=1}^{X^{d}} (e^{2 i k_{j}} + e^{2 i k_{j}} 2) f(x) = 4 \sum_{j=1}^{X^{d}} \sin^{2} (k_{j}) f(x):$$



Figure 2. Log-log plot of the tem poral evolution of the L<sup>1</sup> norm in a linear one dimensional K lein-G ordon lattice. The initial condition contains one site excited with  $u_0 = 10$ . The dashed line shows the best t (for times 20 t 90) t<sup>0.3335</sup>.

Since  $kfu_n gk_{l^2} = kfk_{L^2([0;1]^d)}$ , it is easy to see that  $U(t)u_0 = e^{4it^{\frac{P}{j=1}}sin^2(k_j)}f(k)$  is an isometry in  $l^2$ . For the decay estimate (3), write  $U(t)u_0 = e^{4it^{\frac{P}{j=1}}sin^2(k_j)} u_n(0)e^{2ikn}$ , whence (6)  $u_n(t) = \frac{X}{u_m(0)} e^{4it^{\frac{P}{j=1}}sin^2(k_j)}e^{2i(m-n)k}dk$ :

Thus, (3), reduces to showing

$$\sup_{\substack{m,n \ge Z^{d} \\ 0;1\}^{d}}} e^{4it^{P} \frac{d}{j=1} \sin^{2}(k_{j})} e^{2i(m n)k} dk \quad Cmin(1;j)^{d=3}):$$

Since the integrals split into 1 D integrals, it su ces to show (after elementary change of variables)

$$\sup_{s^{2R^{1}}} e^{it(\sin^{2}(x) sx)} dx \quad C \min(1;j_{j})^{1=3}):$$

The estimate by 1 is trivial by taking absolute values, while the estimate by  $j j^{1=3}$  follows from the Van der Corput lemma, see [14], p. 332. Indeed, we have to verify that the phase function '(x) =  $\sin^2(x)$  sx satisfies  $\max(j^0(x)jj^0(x)jj^{(0)}(x)j)$  1, which is verified since ('<sup>(0)</sup>)<sup>2</sup>(x) + ('<sup>(0)</sup>)<sup>2</sup>(x) =  $4(\cos^2(2x) + \sin^2(2x)) = 4$ .

Note that if s = 1, we may have  $\max(j^{0}(x); j^{0}(x)) < 1$  for x = 4, indicating that this is the sharp rate of decay, at least as far as the Van der Corput lemma is concerned.

Corroborating this estimate in a direct numerical simulation, we have considered a linear one-dimensional lattice with h = 1. The result is shown in Fig. 1 for the tem – poralevolution of the L<sup>1</sup> norm. The best to f the numerical result in the log-log plot of the gure very closely follows the theoretical prediction since the corresponding decay exponent is found to be 0:335.

The Strichartz estimates follow from the abstract Strichartz estimate by K  $\approx$ l and Tao, [15], which states that energy and decay estimates imply Strichartz estimates. The last statement is also implicit in the earlier work of G inibre and Velo, [16].

The proof of the Strichartz estim ate for the discrete K lein-G ordon equation (D K G ) follows closely the proof for the Schrodinger case. There are how ever some distinctive di erences, which we try to highlight in the argument.

Proof. (Theorem 2) Let us consider the hom ogeneous equation rst. The energy estimate is derived in all dimensions. Let  $fu_n g$  be a solution and multiply both sides of the (DKG) by  $\theta_t u_n$  (t) and sum in n. We have

By the sum mation by parts formula, (e.g. (2.4) in [17], it is not hard to see that

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X & & X^{d} & X \\ & ( & _{d}u_{n}) @_{t}u_{n} = & @_{t} & & ju_{n} & & u_{n+e_{r}} & j^{2}=2: \\ & n & & & r=1 & n \end{array}$$

Thus,

im plying that

which is (4).

We have already introduced and studied the action of disc (where for simplicity disc = 1 is the discrete Laplacian in 1 D), see (6). Following the same idea, consider  $fu_ng$  !  $f = \int_n u_n e^{2n k}$ . Then, from D uham el's form ula for the wave equation (and also by straightforward veri cation), the solution to the inhom ogeneous equation is given by

$$u_{n}(t) = \cos\left(t \frac{p}{1} \frac{1}{disc}\right)f_{n} + \frac{\sin\left(t \frac{p}{1} \frac{1}{disc}\right)}{1}g_{n} + \int_{0}^{Z^{t}} \frac{\sin\left(t \frac{s}{p} \frac{1}{1} \frac{disc}{disc}\right)}{1}F_{n}(s)ds$$

where  $\sin(x);\cos(x)$  are expressed via the Euler's formula in terms of  $e^{itx}$  and a (continuous) function of  $1 \frac{1}{disc}$  is the operator given by

$$(h ( 1 _{\text{disc}})u)_{n} (t) = X _{\text{m2Z}} U_{m} (0) _{0} h (1 + 4 \sin^{2} (k))e^{2 i(m n)k} dk:$$

We have the following technical lamma, whose proof is postponed for the appendix. Lemma 1. The operator  $h\begin{pmatrix} p \\ 1 \\ disc \end{pmatrix}$ :  $\mathbb{P}$ !  $\mathbb{P}$  for all 1 p 1 for all succently smooth functions h.

By the abtract result of Keel and Tao, the energy estimate (4) and Lemma 1, matters reduce to establishing the decay estimate

(7) 
$$e^{it^{p} \frac{1}{1 - disc}} u = C t^{1=3} k u_{n} k_{1}$$
:

Indeed, for D uham el's term, we have by the above mentioned result of K eel and Tao

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \\ 0 \\ n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \\ n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}}$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 1. As in the proof of (6), one needs to show

(8) 
$$\sup_{\substack{m \ge Z \\ m \ge Z \\ 0}} e^{it \frac{p_{1+4\sin^2(k)}}{1+4\sin^2(k)}} e^{2imk} dk \quad Ct^{1=3};$$

which by an elementary change of variables would follow from

(9) 
$$\sup_{\substack{s2R^{1}\\0}} e^{it(\frac{p}{1+\sin^{2}(x)} sx)} dx \quad Ct^{1=3};$$

Thus, the phase function is  $(x) = \frac{p}{1 + \sin^2(x)}$  sx and let us denote the function  $(x) = \frac{p}{1 + \sin^2(x)}$ . Denote =  $\arccos(2 + \frac{p}{2})$ .

We have that the solutions to (x) = 0 in [0;2] are x = ;; +;2. As we know by the Van der Corput lemma, the worst rate of decay occurs, when some consecutive derivatives (starting from (0) vanish at a point. In our case, we have that only for s = (0); (0); (0); (0); (0); (0); (0), one has (x) = (0); (x) = 0 (at the points x = ;; +;2. ). It is easy to check that for these values of s, one has max ((0)(x); (0)(x); (0)(x)) 1=2, which guarantees by the Van der Corput lemma a decay of at least t <sup>1-3</sup>.

R em ark The proof of the higher dimensional analogue of such result would involve showing that

(10) 
$$\sum_{\substack{s2R^{d} \\ [0;1]^{d}}}^{Z} e^{\frac{q}{1+\sum_{j=1}^{d} sin^{2}(x_{j})} hs_{j}x_{j}} dx Ct^{d=3};$$

C learly, this integral does not reduce to the one dimensional case as in the Schrödinger case, which makes it harder object to study. Numerical simulations seem to con m the validity of (10) since in a two dimensional numerical experiment for a DKG lattice, the best t to the decay was found to be t<sup>0:675</sup>.

4. Applications to the discrete Schrodinger equation

Consider

(11) 
$$i\theta_{t}u_{n} + u_{d}u \quad ju_{n} f u_{n} = 0$$
$$u_{k} (0) 2 f^{2}$$

4.1. G lobal solutions for sm all data in the regime 3=d. For (11), we establish the global existence of sm all solutions, provided  $kfu_n(0)gk_{l^2} << 1$  and 3=d.

Theorem 3. Let 3=d. There exists an " = "(d) > 0 and a constant C = C (d), so that whenever  $kfu_n (0)gk_{l^2}$  ", a unique global solution to (11) exists, which satis es

for all Strichartz admissible pairs (q;r). In particular,  $ku_n(t)k_r ! 0$  as t ! 1 for every r > 2.

Proof. W e perform an iteration procedure for the equation

$$u_n(t) = u_n = e^{it} u_n(0)$$
 i  $e^{i(t s)} u_n f u_n(s) ds$ 

in the (metric) space X = fu:  $\sup_{(q;r) \text{ adm issible}} kuk_{L^{q}r} < 2C ku_n (0)k_{1^2}g, \text{ where } C \text{ is the}$ 

constant in the Strichartz inequality, that is, we are seeking a xed point of the map . Clearly, by the Strichartz estimates with (q;r) and  $q^0 = 1; r^0 = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\substack{(q;r) \text{ adm issible}}} & k \, u_n \, (t) k_{L^{q}F} & C \, ku_n \, (0) k_{P}^2 + C_1 \, ju_n \int_{-L^1}^{2} L^{1} L^2 \\ & C \, ku_n \, (0) k_{P}^2 + C_1 ku_n k_{L^2 + 1}^{2 + 1} L^{2} L$$

In the above sequence of inequalities, we have used that since 3=d, (2 + 1;2(2 + 1)) is a Strichartz adm issible pair and therefore controllable by the norm in X. Thus, for an appropriate absolute "> 0, one has

$$\sup_{(q,r) \text{ adm issible}} k u_n (t) k_{L^q r} 2C ku_n (0) k_{l^2};$$

8

that is :X ! X . Sim ilarly one veri es that

which by the smallness of ku<sub>n</sub> (0)  $k_{l^2}$  implies that the map :X ! X is a contraction. This shows the existence of a global solution of u = u and by construction kuk<sub>LqF</sub> < 2C ku<sub>0</sub>k<sub>l<sup>2</sup></sub>.

42. Decay of sm all solutions and the W einstein conjecture. M.W einstein has proved that for 2=d, one has an energy excitation treshold, [17], i.e., that there exists " = "(d), so that every standing wave solution fe<sup>it</sup> ng must satisfy k k<sub>1</sub>? ". In the same paper, he has also conjectured that for su ciently sm all solutions, one has lim<sub>t! 1</sub> ku(t)k<sub>p</sub> = 0 for all p 1.

In the next theorem, we give conditions under which small solutions will actually decay like the free solution in the corresponding  $\mathbb{P}$  norms. This of course is a statement in plying the W einstein conjecture and is similar to a result that he has established for the continuous equation in an earlier paper<sup>2</sup> [18], see also the excellent expository paper [19].

Theorem 4. Let > 2=d and d 2. There exists an ", so that whenever  $ku_n(0)k_{1(8+2d)=(d+7)}$  ", one has for all p:2 p (8+2d)=(d+1),

(12)  $kfu_n (t)gk_p C t^{d(p-2)=(3p)} ku_n (0)k_{n^0}$ :

which is the generic rate of decay for the free solutions (see (13) below). In particular, no standing wave solutions are possible under the sm allness assumptions outlined above.

Proof. By interpolation between (2) and (3), we obtain

(13) 
$$fe^{it} u_n(0)g \sim C < t > d(p^2)=(3p) kfu_n(0)gk_{p^0}$$
:

valid for all 2 p 1.

Note that from (13), we deduce that for every p = 2,  $fe^{it} du_n (0)g_{\mathbb{P}} \cdot t^{d(p-2)=(3p)}$ . We would like to establish an a priori bound (which simultaneously implies exis-

tence) for the solution  $fu_n g$ , that is we want to place it in

$$X = ffu_ng:kfu_n(t)gk_p \quad 2Ct^{d(p-2)=(3p)}kfu_n(0)gk_p g:$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>W einstein's result makes signi cant use of the pseudoconformal invariance of the continuous equation, which is unfortunately not present for the discrete problem. In particular, for the decaying result, he imposes the condition  $kxu_0k_{L^2} < 1$  on the initial data. In our results, it su ces to assume somewhat less – in the one dimensional case:  $jn j^{\beta=10}u_n \frac{1}{2^{2/1}(Z)} << 1$  and in the two dimensional case, one has to impose  $jn j^{\beta=2}u_n \frac{1}{2^{2/1}(Z^2)} << 1$ , see the precise statement of Theorem 4 for conditions in terms of the P spaces.

where C is the constant in the Strichartz inequality. W e have by the decay estimate (13)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{kf } u_n (t) g k_{\mathbb{P}} & C < t > {}^{d(p \ 2) = (3p)} & \text{k} u_n (0) k_{\mathbb{P}^0} + \\ & + & C_1 & \frac{Z^t}{< t \quad s > {}^{d(p \ 2) = (3p)}} \text{k} u_n (s) k_{\underline{1}^{(2 \ + \ 1)p^0}}^{2 \ + \ 1} ds; \end{array}$$

Since  $(2 + 1)p^0 > (4=d+1)(8+2d)=(d+7)$  (8+2d)=(d+1) = p for d 2, we have by the inclusion  $\mathbb{P}$ ,  $1^{(2 + 1)p^0}$ 

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{kf } u_{n} (t) g k_{\mathbb{P}} & C < t > {}^{d(p \ 2) = (3p)} \quad \text{ku}_{n} (0) k_{\mathbb{P}^{0}} + \\ & + {}^{Z^{t}} \frac{1}{< t \quad s > {}^{d(p \ 2) = (3p)}} \text{ku}_{n} (s) k_{\mathbb{P}}^{2 \ + 1} ds \\ & {}^{0} & C < t > {}^{d(p \ 2) = (3p)} \quad \text{ku}_{n} (0) k_{\mathbb{P}^{0}} + \\ & + {}^{C} {}_{2} \text{ku}_{n} (0) k_{\mathbb{P}^{0}}^{2 \ + 1} \frac{1}{< t \quad s > {}^{d(p \ 2) = (3p)}} \frac{1}{< s > {}^{d(p \ 2) = (3p)}} ds: \end{array}$$

Note that d(p - 2)(2 + 1) = (3p) > d(p - 2)(4=d + 1) = (3p) > 1, and therefore the integral term above is controlled by a constant times  $t^{d(p-2)=(3p)}$ . As a consequence,

kf 
$$u_n$$
 (t) $qk_m$  2C < t>  $d(p 2)=(3p)$   $ku_n$  (0) $k_m$ ;

provided  $C_3 ku_n (0) k_{\mathbb{P}^0}^2 - C_3 u^2 < C$  .

The contractivity of the map :X ! X follows in the same manner, given that " << 1. A xed point argument shows that a solution exists together with the estimate kfu<sub>n</sub> (t)gk<sub>p</sub>. t<sup>d(p 2)=(3p)</sup>.  $\Box$ 

The numerical simulations performed in a d = 1, = 3 lattice, with an initial condition of a single excited site with  $u_0 = 1$  showed (see Fig. 3) that the actual decay rate is larger than that predicted by Theorem 4. In particular, for p = 4 and p = 5, the theorem predicts decay rates of t<sup>1=6</sup> and t<sup>1=5</sup> respectively, while the numerical simulations show decay rates of t<sup>0.221</sup> and t<sup>0.257</sup> respectively. For the case of p = 6, the decay rate is faster and given by t<sup>0.277</sup> (cf. with the theoretical prediction of t<sup>2=9</sup>).

#### 5. Applications to the discrete K lein-G ordon equation

For the discrete K lein-G ordon equation, as mentioned above we consider nonlinearities of the form  $j_{1}j_{1}$  u. We can deduce essentially the same results as in the case for the discrete nonlinear Schrodinger equation. We state them without proofs, since they follow by exactly the same proofs as in the Schrodinger case (by the exact same decay and Strichartrz estimates).



F igure 3. Log-log plot of the tem poral evolution of the  $1^4$  (bottom panel),  $1^5$  (m iddle panel) and  $1^6$  (top panel) norm s in a nonlinear one dimensional Schrödinger lattice. The initial condition contains one site excited with  $u_0 = 1$ . The dashed line shows the best ts (for times 20 t 90) that are given respectively by  $jju_n jj_4$  t<sup>0:221</sup>,  $jju_n jj_6$  t<sup>0:277</sup>.

Theorem 5. Let 3. There exists an "> 0 and a constant C, so that whenever  $kfu_n(0)gk_{l^2}$ ;  $kf@_tu_n(0)gk_{l^2}$  " there exists a unique global solution to the onedimensional discrete K lein-G ordon equation with a nonlinearity juf u, satisfying

$$kfu_n$$
 (t) $gk_{\tau q r}$  C";

for all Strichartz adm issible pairs (q;r). In particular,  $ku_n(t)k_r ! 0$  as t ! 1 for every r > 2.

In the next theorem, we establish the W einstein excitation threshold conjecture in the K lein-G ordon case.

Theorem 6. Let > 2. There exists an ", so that whenever  $ku_n (0)k_{1^{5-4}}$  ",  $k@_tu_n (0)k_{1^{5-4}}$  ", one has for all p:2 p 5,

(14)  $kfu_n (t)gk_p Ct^{(p-2)=(3p)} ku_n (0)k_{p0}$ :

In particular, there are no sm all standing wave solutions to the discrete K lein-G ordon equation.

The numerical results, in this case as well, show a faster decay than theoretically predicted. Furtherm ore, the decay is slightly faster in this model for the same norms in comparison with the corresponding cases for the Schrodinger equation. More specially, in the Klein-Gordon model,  $jj\mu_n jj_4 = t^{0.226}$ ,  $jj\mu_n jj_5 = t^{0.267}$  and  $jj\mu_n jj_6 = t^{0.292}$ . It is worth noting, however, that when we consider multiple sites excited by the initial condition, then we obtain decay rates which are much obser to the ones theoretically predicted above. More specially, if we excite 2 nodes (rather than a single one), with 3 sites betwen them, then the decay rates are  $t^{0.207}$ ,  $t^{245}$ and  $t^{0.270}$  which are considerably closer to the theoretically predicted exponents of 1=6, 1=5 and 2=9 respectively.



Figure 4. Same as the previous gure but for the nonlinear K lein-Gordon lattice. The dashed line shows the best ts (for times 20 t 90) that are given respectively by  $jj\mu_n jj_4$  t<sup>0.226</sup>,  $jj\mu_n jj_5$  t<sup>0.267</sup> and  $jj\mu_n jj_6$  t<sup>0.292</sup>.

### 6. A ppendix

Proof. (Lemma 1) we present the proof in the d dimensional case. We use the representation of h ( $\begin{array}{c}1\\\\\end{array}$ ) to conclude that it su ces to show that for every N, there exists  $C_N$ , so that

(15) 
$$p_{m} j = j \quad h(1 + 4 \quad \sin^{2}(k_{j}))e^{2 \quad im \quad k}dkj \quad C_{N} < m > N$$
:  
 $p_{m} j = 1$ 

Indeed, if we had (15), then by the expression  $(h \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 1 \\ d \end{pmatrix})u_n = \begin{pmatrix} P \\ m \\ b_{h m } u_m \end{pmatrix}$ , we conclude  $h \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 1 \\ d \end{pmatrix}u_m \cdot kbk_{l^1}kuk_{l^p} \quad C_d < b^{d+2} = b_{l^1}kuk_{l^p}$ :

For the proof of (15), use integration by parts and the fact that boundary terms disappear (due to the fact that  $h(1 + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{pd} \sin^2(k_j))$  is one-periodic in every variable  $k_j$ ). We get

$$b_{m} = \frac{c}{m_{j}} \sum_{[0;1]^{d}}^{Z} h^{0}(1 + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{X^{d}} \sin^{2}(k_{j})) \sin(2k_{j}) e^{2m_{j}k_{j}} dk:$$

C learly, one keeps integrating by parts to obtain arbitrary large rate of decay in all variables  $m_{i}$ . The lemma is proven.

#### References

 H.S.Eisenberg, R.M. orandotti, Y.Silberberg, JM.A.mold, G.Pennelli, and J.S.A. itchison, Optical discrete solitons in waveguide arrays. I. Soliton form ation, J.Opt.Soc.Am. B 19 (2002) 2938-2944.

- [2] U. Peschel, R. Morandotti, JM. A mold, J.S. A itchison, H.S. Eisenberg, Y. Silberberg, T. Pertsch, and F. Lederer, O ptical discrete solitons in waveguide arrays. 2. D ynam ic properties, J.O pt. Soc. Am. B 19 (2002) 2637-2644.
- [3] P.G. Kevrekidis, K.O. Rasmussen, and A.R. Bishop, The discrete nonlinear Schrodinger equation: A survey of recent results, Int. J.M od. Phys. B 15 (2001) 2833-2900.
- [4] J.C.h. Eilbeck and M. Johansson, in Localization and Energy Transfer in Nonlinear Systems, L.Vazquez, R.S.MacKay, and M.P.Zorzano (eds.), (World Scientic, Singapore, 2003), p.44.
- [5] N.K. E frem idis, S. Sears, D.N. Christodoulides, J.W. Fleischer, and M. Segev, D iscrete solitons in photorefractive optically induced photonic lattices, Phys. Rev. E 66 (2002) 046602.
- [6] A A . Sukhorukov, YuS.K ivshar, HS.E isenberg, and Y.Siberberg, Spatial optical solitons in waveguide arrays, IEEE J.Q uantum Elect. 39 (2003) 31-50.
- [7] F.S.Cataliotti, S.Burger, C.Fort, P.M addaloni, F.M inardi, A.Trom bettoni, A.Smerzi, and M. Inguscio, Josephson junction arrays with Bose-Einstein condensates, Science 293 (2001) 843-846.
- [8] F.S. Cataliotti, L. Fallani, F. Ferlaino, C. Fort, P. Maddaloni, and M. Inguscio, Super uid current disruption in a chain of weakly coupled Bose-Einstein condensates, New. J. Phys. 5 (2003) 71.
- [9] G L.Almov, P.G. Kevrekidis, V.V. Konotop, and M. Salemo, Wannier functions analysis of the nonlinear Schrodinger equation with a periodic potential, Phys. Rev. E 66 (2002) 046608.
- [10] E N. Pelinovsky and SK. Shavratsky, B reaking of solitary and periodic non-linear waves, P hysica 3D, 410 (1981).
- [11] A.V.Ustinov, M.Cirillo and B.A.M alom ed, Fluxon dynam ics in one-dimensional Josephsonjunction arrays, Phys. Rev. B 47, 8357 (1993).
- [12] M. Peyrard and A. R. Bishop, Statistical mechanics of a nonlinear model for DNA denaturation, Phys. Rev. Lett., 62, 2755 (1989).
- [13] M. Peyrard and Yu.S.K ivshar, M odulational Instabilities in discrete lattices, Phys. Rev. A 46 (1992) 3198-3205.
- [14] E.M. Stein, Harmonic analysis: Real variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1993.
- [15] M.Keeland T.Tao, Endpoint Strichartz Estimates, Amer. J.Math. 120 (1998), 955 (980.
- [16] J. G inibre and G. Velo, Sm oothing Properties and Retarded estimates for Some D ispersive Evolution Equations, Comm. M ath. Phys. 123 (1989), 535{573.
- [17] M J.W einstein, Nonlinearity 12, 673 (1999).
- [18] M J. W einstein, Nonlinear Schrdinger equations and sharp interpolation estimates., Comm. M ath. Phys., 87 (1982/83), no. 4, 567{576.
- [19] M. J. W einstein, Contemporary M ath. 89, (1989).

Atanas Stefanov, Department of M athematics, University of Kansas, 1460 Jayhawk Blvd, Lawrence, KS 66045{7523

Panayotis Kevrekidis, Lederle Graduate Research Tower, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003