

Comment on "Soliton ratchets induced by excitation of internal modes"

Niurka R. Quintero

Departamento de Física Aplicada I, E.U.P., Universidad de Sevilla, Virgen de África 7, 41011 Sevilla, Spain and
Instituto Carlos I de Física Teórica y Computacional, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain

Bernardo Sanchez-Rey

Nonlinear Physics Group, University of Seville, Spain

Jesús Casado-Pascual

Universidad de Sevilla, Física Teórica, Apartado de Correos 1065, Sevilla 41080, Spain

(dated: December 30, 2021)

Very recently Willis et al. [Phys. Rev. E 69, 056612 (2004)] have used a collective variable theory to explain the appearance of a nonzero energy current in an ac driven, damped sine-Gordon equation. In this comment, we prove rigorously that the time-averaged energy current in an ac driven nonlinear Klein-Gordon system is strictly zero.

PACS numbers: 05.45.Yv, 05.45.-a, 05.60.Cd, 63.20.Pw, 02.30.Jr
Keywords:

Recently several papers have been published trying to understand soliton ratchets (see, for example, Refs. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] and for a recent review chapter 9 in Ref. [7], pp. 343{364). This phenomenon is a generalization of the ratchet effect [8] to spatially extended systems, and manifests as a unidirectional motion of a soliton induced by zero-average forces. A paradigmatic example is the driven, damped nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation:

$$g_{zz}(\mathbf{x};t) - g_{xx}(\mathbf{x};t) = -U'(\mathbf{x};t) + f(t) - \gamma \dot{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x};t); \quad (1)$$

where $g_{zz} = \partial^2 g / \partial z^2$, $f(t)$ is a periodic field with period T and zero time-average [i.e., $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt f(t) = 0$], $\gamma > 0$ is the dissipation parameter determining the inverse relaxation time in the system, and $U'(z)$ is the derivative with respect to z of the potential $U(z)$. In this comment, we will assume that the potential $U(z)$ is periodic with period 2π , and presents minima at $z_j = z_0 + j\pi$, with $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. The ac driven, damped sine-Gordon equation considered in Ref. [6] is a particular case of this more general problem, with $U(z) = 1 - \cos(z)$ and

$$f(t) = \cos(\omega t) + \alpha \cos(2\omega t + \phi); \quad (2)$$

To fully specify the mathematical problem, the partial differential equation (1) must be amended by both initial conditions for $\mathbf{x}(0)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(0)$, and boundary conditions for $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} \mathbf{x}(t)$. Several boundary conditions can be imposed to have a well-posed boundary value problem. For instance, in the absence of the periodic field $f(t)$, it is possible to choose the fixed boundary conditions: $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} \mathbf{x}(t) = z_1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = z_m$. In the presence of $f(t)$, the fixed boundary conditions become incompatible with Eq. (1), and they are usually

replaced by the aperiodic boundary conditions:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} \mathbf{x}(t) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} \mathbf{x}(t) + Q; \quad (3)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} \dot{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x};t) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} \dot{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x};t); \quad (4)$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the so-called topological charge. The discrete version of these periodic boundary conditions are also the most used in the numerical solution of Eq. (1) (see, for example, Refs. [1] and [5]).

It can be derived from the continuity equation that the energy current density generated by the field $\mathbf{x}(t)$ in the absence of damping and external forcing is given by $j(\mathbf{x};t) = \dot{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x};t) - \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x};t)$ and, consequently, the energy current reads

$$J(t) = \int_{-1}^{+1} dx \dot{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x};t) - \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x};t); \quad (5)$$

The time-averaged energy current $\langle j \rangle$ is defined as the limit

$$\langle j \rangle = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt J(t); \quad (6)$$

In Ref. [1], it has been proved by symmetry considerations that a necessary condition for the appearance of a non-vanishing time-averaged energy current is that either the potential presents broken spatial symmetry, or the field $f(t)$ violates the symmetry property

$$f(t + \frac{T}{2}) = -f(t); \quad (7)$$

or both simultaneously. Following this idea, a collective variable approach has been developed in Ref. [6] for the ac driven, damped sine-Gordon equation with a field of the form (2) which leads to a non-vanishing time-averaged energy current. The purpose of this comment is to prove that the time-averaged energy current, $\langle j \rangle$, of a

Electronic address: niurka@euler.us.es

driven, damped nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation of the form (1) is necessarily zero and, consequently, the above mentioned results in Ref. [6] must be erroneous.

To prove that $\langle \dot{h} \rangle = 0$, firstly we will obtain an ordinary differential equation for the energy current $J(t)$. In order to do that, we differentiate with respect to time Eq. (5), resulting

$$\dot{J}(t) = \lim_{x \rightarrow +1} \frac{d}{dt} [\dot{\phi}(x;t) \dot{\phi}(x;t) + \dot{\phi}(x;t) \dot{\phi}(x;t)] : \quad (8)$$

By making use of Eq. (1) in the second term on the right-hand side of the above expression, it is straightforward to write it in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{J}(t) = & \frac{1}{2} \lim_{x \rightarrow +1} [\dot{\phi}(x;t)]^2 - \lim_{x \rightarrow -1} [\dot{\phi}(x;t)]^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \lim_{x \rightarrow +1} [\dot{\phi}(x;t)]^2 - \lim_{x \rightarrow -1} [\dot{\phi}(x;t)]^2 \\ & + \lim_{x \rightarrow +1} U[\phi(x;t)] - \lim_{x \rightarrow -1} U[\phi(x;t)] \\ & - J(t) \lim_{x \rightarrow +1} \phi(x;t) - \lim_{x \rightarrow -1} \phi(x;t) f(t) : \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Differentiating Eq. (3) with respect to t , it is easy to see that the first term between brace brackets on the right-hand side of Eq. (9) is equal to zero. From Eq. (4), it follows that the second term between brace brackets on the right-hand side of Eq. (9) is also equal to zero. The two terms of Eq. (9) containing $U[\phi(x;t)]$ also cancel each other due to the boundary condition (3) and the periodicity of $U(z)$. Thus, from Eq. (3) we finally obtain

$$\dot{J}(t) = -J(t) - Q f(t) : \quad (10)$$

It is important to emphasize that Eq. (10) is a direct consequence of Eq. (1) and the boundary conditions (3) and (4). Therefore, it is an exact result valid for any periodic potential $U(z)$ of the type described in the paragraph below Eq. (1), and any external field $f(t)$. Equation (10) appears in Ref. [6] as an approximate result obtained after neglecting the dressing due to phonons.

The general solution of Eq. (10) is

$$J(t) = J(0) e^{-t} - Q \int_0^t e^{-(t-t^0)} f(t^0) dt^0 ; \quad (11)$$

and making use of the definition of the time-averaged energy current in Eq. (6), it results

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \dot{h} \rangle = & \lim_{t \rightarrow +1} \frac{J(0)}{(1 - e^{-t})} - \frac{Q}{0} \int_0^t dt f(t) \\ & + \frac{Q}{0} \int_0^t dt f(t) e^{-(t-t^0)} : \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The first limit in the above expression is obviously equal to zero. The second one is also equal to zero as the




FIG. 1: Evolution of the energy current $J(t)$ corresponding to the sine-Gordon equation with the biharmonic field in Eq. (2) and the initial conditions corresponding to a static kink-like solution of the unperturbed sine-Gordon equation [i.e., $f(t) = 0$]. The parameter values are the same as in Fig. 3 of Ref. [6]: $\alpha = 0.15$, $\beta_1 = 0.16$, $\beta_2 = \beta_1/2$, $\gamma = 0.25$, and $\omega = 1.61$. The points represent the results obtained by solving numerically the sine-Gordon equation and the solid line the analytical expression in Eq. (14). The agreement is obviously excellent since Eq. (14) is exact. The time-averaged energy current $\langle \dot{h} \rangle$ is zero.

external field is periodic with zero time-average. The integral appearing in Eq. (12) can be bounded using the fact that

$$\int_0^t dt f(t) e^{-(t-t^0)} \leq \int_0^t dt |f(t)| e^{-(t-t^0)} \leq \frac{f_m}{1 - e^{-t}} ; \quad (13)$$

where f_m is an upper bound of $|f(t)|$ and, thus, the third limit in Eq. (12) is also equal to zero. We conclude that $\langle \dot{h} \rangle = 0$ for any periodic potential $U(z)$ of the type described in the paragraph below Eq. (1), and any bounded, zero time-averaged periodic field $f(t)$.

To corroborate this result, we have solved numerically the sine-Gordon equation for the case of the biharmonic field in Eq. (2). We have considered the initial conditions for $\phi(x;0)$ and $\dot{\phi}(x;0)$ corresponding to a static kink-like solution of the unperturbed sine-Gordon equation [i.e., $f(t) = 0$], so that, $\beta_2 = \beta_1/2$, $Q = 1$, and $J(0) = 0$. For this particular choice, the energy current in Eq. (11) reads

$$\begin{aligned} J(t) = & \frac{2 - \beta_1}{2 + \beta_1^2} e^{-t} + \cos(\beta_1 t) + \beta_1 \sin(\beta_1 t) \\ & + \frac{2 - \beta_2}{2 + \beta_2^2} [e^{-t} (\cos \beta_2 t + \beta_2 \sin \beta_2 t) \\ & + \cos(2\beta_2 t) + 2\beta_2 \sin(2\beta_2 t)] : \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Figure 1 shows the perfect agreement between the above expression and the numerical simulation of the sine-

Gordon equation. This agreement is not surprising at all since Eq. (14) is exact. The time-averaged energy current $\langle \mathbf{h} \rangle$ is zero.

It is important to emphasize that the result in this comment is not applicable when the external field not only depends on t but also on \mathbf{x} . In that case Eq. (10) cannot be obtained and, in principle, it is possible to observe a non-vanishing time-averaged energy current. A field of this kind has been considered in Ref. [1], where $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x};t) = \mathbf{E}(t) + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x};t)$, with $\mathbf{E}(t)$ being an ac field with zero mean and $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x};t)$ a Gaussian white noise.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge financial support from the Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología of Spain under the grants BFM 2001-3878 (N.R.Q.), BFM 2003-03015 (B.S.-R.), and BFM 2002-03822 (J.C.-P.), and from the Junta de Andalucía.

-
- [1] S. Flach, Y. Zolotaryuk, A. E. Miroshnichenko, and M. V. Fistul, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 184101 (2002).
 - [2] M. Salerno and Y. Zolotaryuk, Phys. Rev. E 65, 056603 (2002).
 - [3] M. Salerno and N. R. Quintero, Phys. Rev. E, 65 025602(R) (2002); N. R. Quintero, B. Sanchez-Rey and M. Salerno, nlin.SI/0405023.
 - [4] G. Costantini, F. Marchesoni and M. Borromeo, Phys. Rev. E 65, 051103 (2002).
 - [5] L. Morales-Molina, N. R. Quintero, F. G. Mertens, and A. Sanchez, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 234102 (2003)
 - [6] C. R. Willis and M. Farzaneh, Phys. Rev. E 69, 056612 (2004).
 - [7] Oleg M. Braun and Yuri S. Kivshar, The Frenkel-Kontorova Model: Concepts, Methods and Applications (Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2004).
 - [8] P. Reinann Phys. Rep. 361, 57 (2002).