EXISTENCE, UN IQUENESS AND MULTIPLICITY OF ROTATING FLUXON WAVES IN ANNULAR JOSEPHSON JUNCTIONS

GUY KATREL

Abstract. We prove that the equation modelling an annular Josephson junction has a rotating uxon wave solution for all values of the parameters. We also obtain results on uniqueness of the rotating uxon wave in some parameter regimes, and on multiplicity of rotating uxon waves in other parameter regimes.

1. Introduction

The equation modelling a long Josephson junction is [7]

(1)
$$tt + t + sin(t) = xx + xxt + t$$

where > 0; > 0; > 0 (; describe dissipative e ects, while describes the applied bias current). In the case of annular geometry the periodicity condition

(2)
$$(x + L;t) = (x;t) + 2;$$

is in posed, where L is the circum ference of the junction.

De nition 1. A rotating uxon wave is a solution of 1), (2) of the form

(3)
$$(x;t) = (kx + !t);$$

where the function (z) satis es

(4)
$$(z + 2) = (z) + 2 8z 2 R$$
:

C learly the assumption that (3) satis es (2) implies that

$$k = \frac{2}{L};$$

while the frequency! is an unknown to be found as part of the solution (in Proposition 5 we show that necessarily! > 0). We note that the time-period T of rotation of the wave around the annulus is given by $T = \frac{2}{1}$.

1

¹⁹⁹¹ M athem atics Subject C lassi cation. 35B 10, (35Q 53 82D 55 47J05).

Partially supported by the Edm und Landau Center for Research in Mathematical Analysis and Related Areas, sponsored by the Minerva Foundation (Germany).

Substituting (3) into (1) we obtain

so that rotating uxon waves are given by solutions (!; (z)) of (6), with (z) satisfying (4).

Our aim in this paper is to study the questions of existence and uniqueness/multiplicity of rotating uxon waves. We shall obtain existence of a rotating uxon wave for all values of the param eters:

Theorem 2. For any L > 0, > 0, > 0, > 0, (1), (2) has a rotating uxon wave solution (3), with ! > 0.

To prove Theorem 2 and our other results, we use methods of nonlinear functional analysis, reducing the problem to a xed-point equation depending on a parameter (!), to be solved by means of Leray-Schauder theory, coupled with an auxilliary scalar-valued equation, which we analyze. We have used a similar technique to prove existence of travelling waves of the discrete damped and do-driven sine-Gordon equation [5]. The details of the analysis dier, and in the discrete sine-Gordon case we could not prove existence for all parameter values (which rejects the phenomenon of pinning in the discrete case)—while here we are able to do so.

Several researchers have studied long Josephson junctions, and annular ones in particular, experim entally, [2, 8, 9], num erically [1, 6] and analytically [3, 4, 6, 7].

Note that in the case = 0 (known as the damped dc-driven sine-G ordon equation), (6) reduces to the damped dc-driven pendulum equation which is am enable to phase-plane analysis and is thus well understood, and the existence of rotating uxon waves for all > 0; > 0 is known (see [10], Section 2.2). The situation for > 0 is dierent. Most existing analytical studies assume that either , and are small, so that the equation is treated as a (singular) perturbation of the sine-Gordon equation (the case = 0, = 0, = 0 of (1)), or that is small so that the equation is a perturbation of the damped dc-driven sine-Gordon equation. An exception is [6], which studies travelling uxon waves of kink type on an in nite line, that is, solutions of (1) of the form (3) with (4) replaced by (+1) (1) = 2. Existence results for such waves are proved by a geometric analysis of the corresponding three-dim ensional phase-space, and a very intricate structure of solutions is revealed. Here, as we noted, our approach is functional-analytic rather than geom etric, leading to the general existence result of Theorem 2.

Our method also allows us to derive some further information about the set of rotating uxon waves. In the physical literature, a common and useful way to describe the behavior of a system is the 'I-V characteristic' - in this case the ! (bias current-frequency) characteristic:

De nition 3. The bias-frequency characterstic is the set (0;1) (0;1) of all pairs (!;) for which (1),(2) has a rotating uxon wave (3), with frequency!.

The set depends, of course, on the parameters L; . The following theorem shows that the set is 'large'.

Theorem 4. For any L > 0, > 0, the bias-frequency characteristic has a subset $_0$ which has the following properties:

- (i) o is connected.
- (ii) For any ! > 0 there exists > 0 with $(!;) 2 _0$.
- (iii) For any > 0 there exists ! > 0 with $(!;) 2 _0$.
- (iv) $(0;0) 2 \frac{1}{0}$.
- (v) n_0 is a bounded set.

Note that Theorem 2 follows at once from part (iii) of Theorem 4.

We shall also obtain some bounds on the frequency! of rotating uxon waves (see section 2):

P roposition 5. For any rotating uxon wave of 1), (2), we have ! > 0 and

$$\frac{1}{1} ! -$$

We note that since we are assured that ! > 0, the lower bound in (7) is nontrivial only when > 1.

The above results are completely general in that they hold for all values of the parameters. We also obtain some further results under some restrictions on the parameters. While we do not expect our conditions on the parameters, which are imposed by our methods of proof, to be sharp, these more restricted results expose some of the phenomena which can occur and point the way to further investigation (analytical or numerical) by raising various questions which remain unresolved.

We do not know whether in general we can take $_0=$ in Theorem 4, or in other words whether the characteristic is connected. In Section 3 we shall provide a positive answer to this question, and also obtain further inform ation about the bias-frequency characteristic , for a certain subset of the space of param eters L; ; :

Theorem 6. Assume L > 0; > 0; > 0. If

$$k = \frac{2}{L} > 1$$

and

(9)
$$+ k^2 \frac{p}{2k}$$

then the bias-frequency characteristic can be represented in the form

(10) =
$$f(!; -(!)) j! > 0g$$

where $\overline{}: [0;1) ! [0;1)$ is continuous and real-analytic in (0;1), and satis es

$$(11) -(0) = 0;$$

(12)
$$\lim_{\substack{1 \le 1 \le 1}} -(!) = +1 :$$

In particular, is connected, and for a given bias > 0 the frequencies of rotating uxon waves are the solutions! of the equation

(13)
$$-(!) = :$$

Let us note that in the case = 0, part of the above theorem is not true: while is connected and can be param eterized as in (10) (for any k > 0, > 0; see [10], sec. 2.2), the function $\overline{}$ is not analytic in the case = 0, in fact it has a cusp at ! = k (see [10], g. 2), and the value ! = k separates the 'low-voltage' and 'high-voltage' regions. Theorem 6 shows that the term $_{xxt}$ in (1) has a smoothing e ect on the curve (at least in the case that (8),(9) hold). When we prove Theorem 6 we will point out why the assumption > 0 is crucial, which leads to this difference between the damped dc-forced sine-Gordon equation and (1).

A natural question is whether the rotating uxon wave whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 2, is unique (for given L;;;). In Section 4 we obtain a uniqueness result in the case that is su ciently large.

Theorem 7. Fix any L > 0, > 0. Then for su ciently large > 0, there is a unique rotating uxon wave.

On the other hand, in Section 5 we show that uniqueness does not hold in general, by proving that for certain parameter ranges there exist at least three rotating uxon waves with dierent frequencies.

Theorem 8. Assume (8), and replace (9) by the stronger assumption

Then there exists a value $_0 > 0$ such that given any $0 < < \frac{1}{2} _0$, there exists $_0 > 0$ so that for any $_0 > 0$ and any $_0 > 0$ so that for any $_0 > 0$ and any $_0 > 0$, $_0$

We can expect this multiplicity of rotating uxon waves to lead to bistability, jump and hysteresis phenomena as the bias is varied. We do not know whether the result of Theorem 8 is valid for all values of the parameters L;; or whether, on the contrary, there are some values of these parameters for which there is a unique rotating uxon wave for all > 0.

We mention here that all our results and proofs in this paper remain valid, with minor changes, if the nonlinearity $\sin(\cdot)$ in (1) is replaced by p(), where p is an arbitrary C 1 2 -periodic function, satisfying $_0^{\circ}$ p() d = 0.

An important issue which we do not address here is the question of stability. For example: is it true that for any value of > 0; > 0; > 0 there exists at least one rotating uxon wave of (1) which is at least locally asymptotically stable?

2. Existence of rotating fluxon waves

In this section we prove Theorem 4, which in particular implies Theorem 2.To do so we rst recast our problem into a functional analytic form, so that we can apply Leray-Schauder theory.

Multiplying both sides of (6) by 0 (z) and integrating over [0;2], taking (4) into account, we obtain

where obtain

h
$$z_2$$
 z_2 z_2
! z_2 z_2 z_2
! z_2 $z_$

By our assumption that > 0; > 0; this implies that ! > 0, as stated in Proposition 5, and thus we may set

$$=\frac{1}{l}:$$

W e also set

(16)
$$(z) = z + u(z);$$

with u(z) satisfying

(17)
$$u(z + 2) = u(z) 8z 2 R;$$

so that we can rewrite (6) as

(18)
$$k^2 u^{(0)}(z) + k^2 \frac{1}{z} u^{(0)}(z) = u^0(z) \sin(z + u(z)) + 0$$

Rotating uxon solutions of (1), (2) thus correspond to pairs (;u(z)) with > 0 and u(z) satisfying (17),(18).

By integrating (18) over [0;2], taking (17) into account, we obtain

(19)
$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} \sin(s + u(s)) ds = -;$$

so that we can rewrite (18) as

(20)
$$k^{2}u^{00}(z) + k^{2} \frac{1}{2}u^{0}(z) = u^{0}(z)$$

$$\sin(z + u(z)) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{Z-2} \sin(s + u(s))ds = 0$$

We note that if (;u(z)) is a solution to our problem then so is (;u(z+c)+c) for any constant c (this of course corresponds to the time-invariance of (1)),

hence by adjusting c w e m ay assum e that

(21)
$$u(s)ds = 0$$
:

We thus seek pairs (; u(z)), satisfying (17), (19), (20) and (21).

Multiplying (20) by $1 + u^0(z)$ and integrating over [0;2] we obtain

Lem m a 9. Any solution (;u) of (17), (20) and (21) satis $\frac{7}{2}$

(22)
$$\sin(s + u(s))ds = k^2 (u^{(0)}(s))^2 ds + (u^{(0)}(s))^2 ds$$

In particular, together with (19), (22) im plies that

;

which gives the upper bound of (7). Also, noting that the value of the integral on the left-hand side of (19) is at most 1, we have

(23)
$$1 - ;$$

which implies the lower bound in (7). We have thus proven Proposition 5.

We denote by X;Y;Z the Banach spaces of real-valued functions

$$X = u 2 H^{3}[0;2] u^{(i)}(0) = u^{(i)}(2); 0 i 2; u(s)ds = 0;$$

$$Z_{2}$$

$$Y = u 2 H^{1}[0;2] u(s)ds = 0;$$

$$Z_{2}^{0}$$

$$Z = u 2 L^{2}[0;2] u(s)ds = 0;$$

with the norm s

$$kuk_{X} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{z=2}^{Z} (u^{00}(s))^{2} ds^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$kuk_{Y} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{z=2}^{Z} (u^{0}(s))^{2} ds^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$kuk_{Z} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{z=2}^{Z} (u(s))^{2} ds^{\frac{1}{2}}:$$

A ssum ing v 2 H 1 [0;2] with 0 v (s)ds = 0, developing v in a Fourier series and using the Parseval identity one obtains

(24)
$$Z_2$$
 Z_2 $(v(s))^2 ds$ $(v^0(s))^2 ds$;

known as W irtinger's inequality, in plying the following inequalities which we will often use:

 kuk_Y kuk_X 8u 2 X; kuk_Z kuk_Y 8u 2 Y:

We de ne a family of linear mappings L: X! Z (& 0) by

(25)
$$L (u) = k^2 u^{(0)}(z) + k^2 - \frac{1}{2} u^{(0)}(z) \qquad u^0(z)$$

and a nonlinear mapping N: Z! Z by

(26)
$$N(u) = \sin(z + u(z)) \frac{1}{2} \sin(s + u(s)) ds:$$

Solving (17), (20), (21) is equivalent to solving

(27)
$$L(u) = N(u); u 2 X;$$

so that our problem reduces to: nd (;u) 2 (0;1) $\,$ X such that (27) and (19) hold (we note that, by a simple bootstrap argument, any solution u 2 X of (27) is in fact C 1). In other words, de ning the nonlinear functional : (0;1) $\,$ Z $\,$! R by

(28)
$$(xu) = - + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{Z} \sin(s + u(s)) ds;$$

we need to solve the pair of equations (27) and

(29)
$$(;u) = ;$$

for the unknowns (;u) 2 (0;1) X:

We derive some estimates on L which will be useful. Let u 2 $\rm X$ and write u as a Fourier series

$$u(z) = X a_1 e^{i1z}$$
:

Noting that

so that

$$L^{-1}(e^{ilz}) = h$$
 $(1 + k^2l^3)i + l^2(k^2) + h^2(k^2) + h^2($

we obtain

so that

(30) kL
$${}^{1}k_{2}$$
; y $(+k^{2})^{2}+(k^{2})^{2}$:

In particular (dropping the rst term on the right-hand side of (30))

(31)
$$kL^{-1}k_{Z;Y} = \frac{1}{j^2k^2-1}$$
:

W e can also obtain

$$\begin{array}{lll} kL^{-1}\left(u\right)k_{X} & = & \displaystyle \frac{X}{1^{6}\,\dot{j}a_{1}\dot{j}} \, \left(1 \, + \, k^{2}\,l^{3}\right)^{2} + \, l^{4}\,\left(\,\,k^{2}\,\right)^{-1})^{2^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\,l^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & \\ & & \\$$

so that

(32)
$$kL^{-1}k_{Y;X} = \frac{1}{j^2k^2-1}$$

Dening F:(0;1) Z! Z by

(33)
$$F(;u) = L^{1} N(u);$$

wemay rewrite (27) as

(34)
$$u = F (;u); u 2 Z:$$

We note that since L $^{\,1}$ maps Z onto X , any solution u 2 Z of (34) is in fact in X .

Since $k\sin{(z+u\,(z))}k_{L^2}-1$ and N (u) is the orthogonal projection of $\sin{(z+u\,(z))}\,2\,\,L^2\,$ [] into Z , we have

(35)
$$kN (u)k_z = 1 8u 2 Z$$
:

U sing (35) and (30), we have the bound:

(36)

We extend the denition of F (;u) by setting F (0;u) = 0 for all u 2 Z (this is necessary because L is undened for = 0). The bound (36) shows that the extension is continuous, and moreover that the mapping F takes bounded sets in [0;1) Z into bounded sets in Y, hence (since the embedding of Y into Z is compact) into compact sets in Z, so that F:[0;1) Z! Z is a compact mapping.

W e de ne

=
$$f(;u) 2 (0;1)$$
 Z $ju = F(;u)g$:

The solutions of (27), (29), are the solutions of the equation

(37)
$$(;u) = ; (;u) 2 :$$

In other terms, recalling (15), the bias-frequency characteristic de ned in the introduction is given by

(38) =
$$f(!; (!^{1};u)) j(!^{1};u) 2$$
 g:

We will now apply the following version of the Leray-Schauder continuation principle (see, e.g., [11], Theorem 14D):

Theorem 10. Let Z be a Banach space and let F:[0;1) Z! Z be a continuous and compact mapping, with

$$F(0;u) = 0 8u 2 Z:$$

De ne (0;1) Z by

=
$$f(;u) 2 (0;1)$$
 Z $ju = F(;u)g$:

Then there exists an unbounded connected set $_0$ with (0;0) 2 $_0$.

Since the mapping F that we have de ned satis es the hypotheses of Theorem 10, we have a set $_{0}$ as in the Theorem .

We de ne $_0$ by

(39)
$$0 = f(!; (! ^1; u)) j! > 0; (! ^1; u) 2 0g;$$

and we will show that $_0$ has all the properties claim ed in Theorem 4.

Since is continuous and $_0$ is connected, $_0$ is connected, so we have (i) of Theorem 4.

Part (ii) of Theorem 4 follows from the following

Lem m a 11. Let $_0$ be as in Theorem 10. For any > 0 there exists u 2 Z such that (;u) 2 $_0$.

proof: Since from (34) and (36) we have

(40) (;u) 2)
$$kuk_z = \frac{1}{k^2 + };$$

we conclude that the unboundedness of $_0$ is in the -direction, that is, for any M > 0 there exists (;u) 2 $_0$ with > M . In other words, denoting by (0;1) the projection of $_0$ to the -axis,

= f > 0 j9u 2 Z such that (;u) 2
$$_{0}$$
g;

we have that contains arbitrarily large values. Since (0;0) 2 $_0$, also contains arbitrary small positive values. Since $_0$, hence , is connected, we conclude that = (0;1).

To prove that $_0$ satisfies property (iii) of Theorem 4 (and hence also Theorem 2), we need to show that

(41)
$$(0;1)$$
 (0) :

Since $_0$ is connected and is continuous, ($_0$) is also connected, so that to prove (41) it su ces to show that takes arbitrarily large and arbitrarily small positive values on $_0$. Since the integral in the de nition (28) of is bounded by 1, it is immediate that

(42)
$$\lim_{! \ 0+; \ (;u)2} (;u) = +1;$$

so that takes arbitrarily large values on 0.We shall prove

Lem m a 12.

(43)
$$\lim_{! + 1; (u)^2} (u) = 0:$$

This implies that takes arbitrarily small positive values on $_0$, completing the proof of (41), and thus of part (iii) of Theorem 4 holds.

proof of Lemma 12: Applying W irtinger's inequality (24) with $v=u^0$, Lemma 9 implies

(;u) 2)
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 $\sin(s + u(s))ds$ $(k^2 +)\frac{1}{2}$ $(u^0(s))^2ds$:

From (34) and (31) we obtain

(45) (
$$;$$
u) 2) kuk_Y $\frac{^2}{j^2k^2-1};$

(44) together with (45) im plies

(;u)2)
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 $\sin(s+u(s))ds$ $\frac{(k^2+)^3}{(2k^2-1)^2}$;

which implies that

(46)
$$\lim_{\substack{1 \text{ in } \\ 1 + 1 \text{ } i \text{ } (i, ju) 2}} \frac{1}{2} \sin(s + u(s)) ds = 0;$$

hence we have (43).

To prove claim (iv) of Theorem 4, let $!_n = \frac{1}{n}$ (n 1), and note that by part (ii) of the Theorem , there exists, for each n, $_n > 0$ such that $(!_n;_n)$ 2 $_0$. By the de nition of $_0$ there exists u_n 2 $_0$ such that $_n = (!_n ^1; u_n)$. But by Lem m a 12 we have $_n$! 0 as n ! 1 . Hence we have $(!_n;_n)$! (0;0), so we have (0;0) 2 $\overline{_0}$.

To prove part (v) of Theorem 4, we will use the following two lemmas, which will also be used in the following sections.

Lem m a 13. If

then F(;:):Z!Y (hence also F(;:):Z!Z) is a contraction.

proof: Com puting the Frechet derivative of N : Z ! Z we have

$$N^{0}(u)(v) = \cos(z + u(z))v(z)$$
 $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{0}^{Z_{2}} \cos(s + u(s))v(s)ds;$

so that N $^0(u)$ is the mapping from Z to L 2 [0;2 $\,]$ given by v(z) ! $\cos(z+u\,(z))v\,(z)$ followed by an orthogonal projection into the subspace Z , and this im plies

(48)
$$kN^{0}(u)k_{z:z}$$
 1 8u 2 Z:

From (30), (33) and (48) we conclude that (49)

which, by (47), completes the proof.

Lem m a 14. Fixing 0, > 0, there exists $_0$ > 0 such that for any 2 $[0;_0)$ the equation (34) has a unique solution, which we denote by u . The mapping ! u from $[0;_0)$ into Z is continuous and is real-analytic for 2 $(0;_0)$.

proof: It is easy to see that we can nd $_0 > 0$ such that (47) holds for 2 $[0;_0)$, and thus by Lemma 13, for any 2 $[0;_0)$ the mapping F (;;): Z ! Z is a contraction, so that, by Banach's xed-point Theorem, equation (34) has a unique solution, which we denote by u . Real-analyticity of the mapping ! u follows from the real-analytic in plicit function Theorem.

Returning to the proof of part (v) of Theorem 4, we note that since, by Lem m a 11, for each there exists u such that (;u) 2 $_0$, and since the uniqueness in Lem m a 14 in plies that when < $_0$ such a u is unique in , we m ust have

(50)
$$0 < (3u) 2$$
 (;u) 2) (;u) 2 0:

Thus if we set ! $_{0} = \frac{1}{_{0}}$ we have by (50), (38), (39)

(51)
$$! > !_0; (!;) 2) (!;) 2_0:$$

Setting $_0 = !_0 + 1$ and using Proposition 5 and (51) we obtain

$$(52) > {}_{0}; (!;)2) !> !_{0}; (!;)2) (!;)2 {}_{0};$$

and (51), (52) m ean that

$$(!;)2 n_0) ! !_0; 0;$$

so that $n \circ is$ bounded.

We note for later use that (22) implies that

Lem m a 15

(;u)2; > 0)
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 sin (s + u (s))ds > 0:

The strictness in the above inequality follows from the fact that, by (22), if we had equality this would in ply u=0, but it is easy to check that (;0) δ for >0.

3. M ore on the bias-frequency characteristic

In this section we prove Theorem 6, which shows that for a certain open subset of the space of parameters L;; the bias-frequency characteristic is a connected, real analytic curve, and can be represented as the graph of a function: = - (!).

Lem m a 16. Assume that 0, > 0 and the inequalities (8) and (9) hold. Then for all 0, the equation (34) has a unique solution, which we denote by u, and therefore

(53) =
$$_{0} = f(;u) \uparrow$$
 0q:

The mapping ! u from [0;1) into Y is continuous, and real-analytic on (0;1).

proof: We use Banach's xed point Theorem . By Lem ma 13, to show that F(;;) is a contraction from Z to itself for all 0 it su ces to show that (47) holds for all 0. A fler some manipulations, and setting = 2 , we see that this inequality is equivalent to

(54) p()
$$(k^4 1)^2 + [(+k^2)^2 2k^2] + 1 > 0 8 0$$
:

The condition k>1 ensures that the quadratic function p() has a m inim um, and we have p(0)=1>0. Moreover the condition (9) ensures that $p^0(0)=0$, which implies p is increasing on [0;1), so that (54) holds. We thus obtain a unique solution ue to (34). The fact that it is real-analytic in 2 (0;1) follows from the analytic implicit function Theorem and the fact that the function! Left from (0;1) to the space B(Z) of bounded linear operators from Z to itself is real-analytic.

Let us note here that it is precisely the last statem ent in the above proof—the fact that $! L^{-1}$ is real-analytic, that breaks down when = 0, and is responsible for the fact that in that case (i.e. the case of the damped, deforced sine-G ordon equation) the curve—is not smooth (see [10], g.2). The reason that, when = 0, $! L^{-1}$ is not real-analytic can be gleaned by recalling the denition (25): if = 0 then at $= \frac{1}{k}$ the coe cient of the highest derivative in the operator L vanishes.

Thus, under the assum ptions of Lem m a 16, we have

$$= 0 = f(!; (! ^1)) j! > 0q;$$

where : (0;1)! R is de ned by

$$(55)$$
 $() = (;u);$

so de ning $\overline{\ }(!)=\ (!)$ we have (10). The fact that $\overline{\ }(!)>0$ for !>0 follows from Lem m a 15. (11) follows from Lem m a 12, and (12) follows from (42). This concludes the proof of Theorem 6.

4. uniqueness of rotating fluxon waves for large bias current

We now prove Theorem 7. By Lemma 14, de ning $_0$ as in Lemma 14, for any $_0$ [0; $_0$) the equation (34) has a unique solution, which we denote by u .We de ne the function : (0; $_0$)! R by (55), where is the functionalde ned by (28), so that solutions of (37) with $_0$ 2 (0; $_0$) correspond to solutions of

$$(56)$$
 $() = :$

We show below that there exists some $_1$ 2 (0; $_0$) such that

We note that if is su ciently large then, by (7), we have 2 (0; 1) for any solution of (56). Therefore (57) implies uniqueness of solutions of (56), hence uniqueness of the rotating uxon wave, for su ciently large , which is the content of Theorem 7.

To prove claim (57) we note that, since

$$() = - + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{Z_2} \sin(s + u) \sin(s)$$

we have

$$^{0}() = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{Z} \cos(s + u) \sin(s) ds;$$

and using Cauchy's inequality

(58)
$$^{0}()$$
 $\frac{}{2} + kD \ u \ k_{z};$

so to prove (57) it su ces to show that kD $u k_Z = o(\frac{1}{2})$ as ! 0+. In fact we shall prove a much stronger statem ent:

Lem m a 17. W e have

(59)
$$kD \ u \ k_z = 0 \ () \ as \ ! \ 0+ :$$

proof: W e di erentiate the identity

$$L(u) = N(u)$$

with respect to , obtaining

(60)
$$(D L)(u) + L (D u) = N(u) + N^{0}(u)(D u)$$
:

We note that, because we assume 2 (0; $_0$), where $_0$ is de ned as in Lemma 14, L 1 N 0 (u) is a contraction from Z to itself so the inverse of I L 1 N 0 (u) is well de ned as a mapping from Z to itself. Moreover we have, using (49),

(61)

$$k[I L^{-1}N^{-0}(u)]^{-1}k_{Z;Z} \frac{1}{1 kL^{-1}N^{-0}(u)k_{Z;Z}} = O(1) as ! 0+:$$

We can rewrite (60) in the form

$$D u = [I L^{1}N^{0}(u)]^{1}L^{1}N(u) (D L)(u)];$$

which implies

(62) kD u kz
$$h \qquad \qquad i \\ k[I \quad L \quad ^1N \quad ^0(u \quad)] \quad ^1k_{Z;Z} \quad kL \quad ^1N \quad (u \quad)k_Z \ + \ kL \quad ^1(D \quad L \quad) \quad (u \quad)k_Z \ \ ;$$

Using (45) we obtain

$$kN (u)k_{Y} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} \cos^{2}(s + u (s)) (1 + u^{0}(s))^{2} ds^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} (1 + u^{0}(s))^{2} ds^{\frac{1}{2}} = [1 + ku k_{Y}^{2}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{1} (1 + u^{0}(s))^{2} ds^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

so that

(63)
$$kN (u)k_Y = 0 (1) as ! 0 + :$$

From (32) and (63) we have (64)

kL 1N (u)k_Z $\,$ kL 1N (u)k_X $\,$ kL $^1k_{Y\,;X}$ kN (u)k_Y = O () as ! 0+:

From the de nition (25) of L we have, for all u 2 X

(D L) (u) =
$$k^2 + \frac{1}{2} u^{(0)}(z)$$
;

hence

(65)
$$k (D L) (u) k_Y = k^2 + \frac{1}{2} ku k_X 8u 2 X$$
:

From (64) we have

(66) ku
$$k_X = kL^{-1}N$$
 (u) $k_X = 0$ (²) as ! 0+;

so using (65),(66)

(67)
$$k (D L) (u) k_Y = 0 (1) as ! 0+;$$

and together with (32)

(68) kL
1
 (D L) (u) k_Z kL 1 (D L) (u) k_X = O () as ! 0+: U sing (61), (62), (64) and (68) we obtain (59).

5. multiplicity of rotating fluxon waves

In this section we prove Theorem 8, which shows that for some values of the parameters L, , if >0 is su ciently small then there exists an interval of 's for which there exist at least three rotating uxon waves.

Lem m a 18. Assume that > 0 and (8),(14) hold. Then for any 0, 0, the equation (34) has a unique solution, which we denote by u; . The mapping (;) u; from [0;1) [0;1) into Z is continuous.

As in the proof of Lemma 16, this follows from the Banach xed point Theorem applied to (34). Note that (14) implies that (9) holds for all 0.

Since in the following argument the dependence on is important, we display it in the notation (of course u; also depends on the parameters k and, but since we shall regard these as xed we can suppress this dependence).

proof of Theorem 8: Under the conditions of Lemma 18 we can de ne () as in (55), now de ned for all 2 [0;1), so that rotating uxon waves correspond to solutions of

Wewrite () in the form (see (28))

(70)
$$() = - + ();$$

w here

$$() = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} \sin(s + u); (s) ds$$
:

We note some properties of the function () (valid for any 0). Since $u_{i,0}=0$ we have

(71)
$$(0) = 0:$$

From (46) we obtain:

(72)
$$\lim_{\substack{! \ +1}} () = 0:$$

Lem m a 15 im plies

$$(73) () > 0 8 > 0:$$

(71),(72),(73), imply that () attains a positive global maximum at some > 0. In particular this is true for = 0, and we denote the global maximum of $_0$ () by $_0$, and assume that it is attained at $_0 > 0$:

We now x an arbitrary 0 < $<\frac{1}{2}$ $_{0}$. By (71),(72) we can choose 0 < $_{1}$ < $_{0}$ and $_{2}$ > $_{0}$ so that

(75)
$$_{0}\left(_{1}\right) <\frac{-}{4}; \quad _{0}\left(_{2}\right) <\frac{-}{4}:$$

Since the dependence of $\;$ () on $\;$ is continuous, we can choose $\;_0 > 0$ su ciently small so that

(76) j ()
$$_{0}$$
()j< $_{2}$ 8 2 [0; $_{0}$]; 2 [0; $_{2}$]:

From (74),(75) and (76) we obtain, for all 2 [0; 0],

(77)
$$(0) > 0 \frac{\pi}{2};$$

(78)
$${\binom{1}{4}} < \frac{3}{4}$$
; ${\binom{2}{4}} < \frac{3}{4}$:

Moreover we can choose $_0 > 0$ su ciently small so that

(79)
$$\frac{0}{1} < \frac{1}{2}$$
:

From (70) and (79) we then obtain

j () ()j<
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 8 2 [0; 0]; 1:

Together with (77), (78) this gives, for all 0 < < 0,

(80)
$$(0) > 0 ;$$

(82)
$$\binom{2}{2} < \frac{1}{2}$$

and by (70) we also have

(83)
$$\lim_{\substack{! \ 0+}} () = +1 :$$

Thus by continuity of on (0;1), if we take 2 (;0) then by 63) and (81) the equation (69) has a solution 2 (0;1), by (81) and (80) the equation (69) has a solution 2 (1;0), and by (80) and (82) the equation (69) has a solution 2 (1;2). We have thus found, under the stated assumptions, three solutions of (69), corresponding to three rotating uxon waves with dierent frequencies.

References

- [1] D L.Brown, M G. Forest, B J.M iller & N A.Petersson, Computation and stability of uxons in a singularly perturbed sine-Gordon model of the Josephson junction, SIAM J.Appl.Math.54 (1994), 1048-1066.
- [2] A.Davidson, B.Dueholm, B.Kryger & N.F.Pedersen, Experimental investigation of trapped sine-Gordon Solitons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55 (1985), 2059-2062.
- [3] G. Derks, A. Doelman, S.A. van Gils & T. Visser, Travelling waves in a singularly perturbed sine-Gordon equation, Physica D 180 (2003), 40-70.
- [4] W . Hauck, K inks and rotations in long Josephson junctions, M ath. M eth. Appl. Sci 24 (2001), 1189-1217.
- [5] G. Katriel, Existence of travelling waves in discrete sine-Gordon rings, SIAM J.M ath. Anal. 36 (2005), 1434-1443.
- [6] A G .M aksim ov, V I.N ekorkin & M I.R abinovich, Soliton trains and I-V characteristics of long Josephson junctions, Int. J.B ifurcation & Chaos 5 (1995), 491-505.
- [7] D W .M cLaughlin & A.C. Scott, Perturbation analysis of uxon dynamics, Phys. Rev. A 18 (1978), 1652-1680.
- [8] A.V. U stinov, T.D oderer, R.P. Huebener, N.F. Pedersen, B.M. ayer & V.A.O boznov, D.ynam ics of sine-G ordon solitons in the annular Josephson junctions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992), 1815-1818.
- [9] A.V.Ustinov, Solitons in Josephson junctions, Physica D 123 (1998), 315-329.
- [10] S.W atanabe, H.S.J van der Zant, S. Strogatz & T.P.O rlando, Dynam ics of circular arrays of Josephson junctions and the discrete sine-Gordon equation, Physica D 97 (1996), 429-470.
- [11] E. Zeidler, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications I', Springer-Verlag (New-York), 1993.

Einstein Institute of M athematics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, 91904, Israel

E-m ailaddress: haggaik@wowmail.com