

DYNAMICS OF DISTRIBUTED SOURCES

E.A. Novikov

Institute for Nonlinear Science, University of California / San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093-0402

Dynamics of distributed sources is described by nonlinear partial differential equations. Lagrangian analytical solutions of these (and associated) equations are obtained and discussed in the context of the Lagrangian modeling - from the Lagrangian Invariants to dynamics. Possible applications of distributed sources and sinks to geophysical fluid dynamics and to gravitation are indicated.

Singular vortices, sources (sinks) and vortex-sinks are well known models in fluid dynamics (including geophysical fluid dynamics), magnetized plasma, superfluidity and superconductivity (see, for example, Refs. 1-3 and references therein). Dynamics of distributed vortices is well developed classical area of research. However, to our knowledge, dynamics of distributed sources has not been considered before. Structure and intensity of distributed sources can change in time and, in this sense, they are more rich and flexible models for various media than singular sources with fixed intensity. In this letter we show that distributed sources have a nontrivial dynamics, which in some cases can be described analytically in Lagrangian variables.

Local intensity of distributed sources (sinks) in moving continuous media is characterized by the divergency of the velocity field $\alpha(t, \mathbf{x}) = \partial v_i(t, \mathbf{x}) / \partial x_i$, where summation over the repeated subscripts is assumed from 1 to the dimension of the space $s = 1, 2, 3$. To get an equation for α , we consider superposition of localized sources, which move each other with induced velocity field^[2,3]. Thus, the equation can be written in form:

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} + v_k \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_k} = f \quad (1)$$

Here f may include diffusion and some other effects (see below). We start with consideration of “free” sources with $f = 0$. In this case α is the Lagrangian Invariant (LI), which is conserved along trajectories. For the po-

tential motion with velocity $v_i = \partial\varphi/\partial x_i$, from (1) we get:

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial\Delta\varphi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial\Delta\varphi}{\partial x_k} = 0, \alpha = \Delta\varphi, \Delta \equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \quad (2)$$

The moving media, described by equation (2), can be interpreted as the barotropic (or incompressible) fluid: $dv_i/dt = -\partial w/\partial x_i$ with w determined by the equation $\Delta w = -\partial v_i/\partial x_k \partial v_k/\partial x_i = -(\partial^2\varphi/\partial x_i \partial x_k)^2$. The equation for w is similar to the equation for the kinematic pressure in the incompressible fluid, but now the compressibility depends on the mechanism of the mass production in distributed sources. The evolution of the density ρ is determined by the continuity equation: $d\rho/dt = -\alpha\rho + \mu$, where μ is the rate of mass production. One natural possibility is that source is self-adjusting in such a way that $\mu = \alpha\rho$ and fluid is incompressible. Let us stress that (2) is not equation for ordinary fluid, but a model equation for distributed sources. The physical nature of these sources can be different for different kind of media. Some possible applications of distributed sources and sinks are indicated below. Independently of an inducing mechanism of motion, if the source is distributed, we can expect that various parts of it will move each other. This simple interaction is reflected in equation (2). Dynamics of distributed sources, potentially, may depend on other effects, including deformation. Some generalizations of equation (2) are presented below.

The nonlinear partial differential equation (2), generally, is not easy to solve, even numerically. The type of nonlinearity in (2) is the same as in the equation for two-dimensional (2D) vorticity field $\omega = \Delta\psi$ in incompressible fluid (ψ is the stream function). However, there is a difference in the orientation of the components of velocity, been expressed in terms of ψ (instead of φ). We will see below that this leads to essential difference in dynamics and, in some sense, makes dynamics of 2D distributed sources more complicated than 2D vortex dynamics. It is known that 2D vortex dynamics, generally, is nonintegrable and chaotic^[1,4]. We can expect the same for 2D distributed sources in general situation. To obtain some analytical solutions of (2), we will use the fact that α is LI .

For 2D axisymmetrical flow we have:

$$\alpha(t, r) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(rv)}{\partial r} = \alpha_o(r_o), \frac{dr}{dt} = v \quad (3)$$

where $v = \partial\varphi/\partial r$ is the radial velocity, subscript “ o ” indicate initial value and in the second equation in (3) $r(t, r_o)$ is the trajectory. Introducing Lagrangian

variable $p(t, p_o) \equiv r^2(t, r_o)$, $p_o = r_o^2$, we rewrite (3) in the form: $\frac{\partial}{\partial p}(\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}) = \alpha_o(r_o) \equiv \beta(p_o)$, where we used that $d/dt \equiv \partial/\partial t|_{p_o}$. Multiplication by $\partial p/\partial p_o$, gives: $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_o}(\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\frac{\partial p}{\partial p_o}) = \beta(p_o)\frac{\partial p}{\partial p_o}$. Solution of this equation has the form: $\partial p/\partial p_o = \exp[\beta(p_o)t]$. Integration of this solution with natural condition $p = 0$ when $p_o = 0$, and time differentiation gives:

$$p(t, p_o) = r^2(t, r_o) = \int_0^{p_o} \exp[\beta(z)t] dz, 2rv(t, r_o) = \int_0^{p_o} \beta(z) \exp[\beta(z)t] dz \quad (4)$$

Formulas (4) present Lagrangian description of the system. The same formulas give Eulerian velocity $v(t, r)$ and $\alpha(t, r) = \alpha_o(r_o)$ in parametric form (r_o - parameter). We note that a differential rotation of the system will not affect the obtained results. The solution depends on the initial distribution $\alpha_o(r_o)$. For example, let $\alpha_o(r_o) = \theta = \text{const}$ for $r_o \leq l$ and $\alpha_o(r_o) = 0$ for $r_o > l$. From (4) in the Lagrangian description we get $r(t, r_o) = r_o \exp(\theta t/2)$, $v(t, r_o) = (\theta r_o/2) \exp(\theta t/2)$ for $r_o \leq l$ and $r(t, r_o) = [l^2 \exp(\theta t) + r_o^2 - l^2]^{1/2}$, $v(t, r_o) = (\theta l^2/2) \exp(\theta t) [l^2 \exp(\theta t) + r_o^2 - l^2]^{-1/2}$ for $r_o > l$. In the Eulerian description $v(t, r) = \theta r/2$ and $\alpha(t, r) = \theta$ for $r \leq L(t) = l \exp(\theta t/2)$, for $r > L(t)$ we have $v(t, r) = (\theta l^2/2r) \exp(\theta t)$ and $\alpha(t, r) = 0$.

The main thing is that distributed source ($\theta > 0$) is spreading, while distributed sink ($\theta < 0$) is shrinking. In contrast, for 2D incompressible fluid, where the role of LI plays the vorticity ω , axisymmetric flow is the stationary solution. Distributed 2D sinks and sources potentially can model some convective systems in the atmosphere. Particularly, such modeling can be useful for horizontally converging updrafts, leading to concentration of vorticity (compare with Ref. 2 and references therein), and for horizontally divergent downdrafts, which cause aircraft accidents (see Ref. 5 and references therein).

For the three-dimensional (3D) distributed sources with spherical symmetry, instead of (3), we have: $\alpha(t, r) = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^2 v) = \alpha_o(r_o)$, $\frac{dr}{dt} = v$. Introducing $q(t, q_o) \equiv r^3(t, r_o)$, $q_o = r_o^3$ and $\gamma(q_o) \equiv \alpha_o(r_o)$, we get analogous solution:

$$q(t, q_o) = r^3(t, r_o) = \int_0^{q_o} \exp[\gamma(z)t] dz, 3r^2 v(t, r_o) = \int_0^{q_o} \gamma(z) \exp[\gamma(z)t] dz \quad (5)$$

For the one-dimensional (1D) distributed sources we will get general solution without any restriction of symmetry. First steps of obtaining solution

are the same as before for 2D and 3D:

$$\alpha(t, x) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \alpha_o(x_o), \frac{dx}{dt} = v, \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_o} = \exp[\alpha_o(x_o)t] \quad (6)$$

At this step we can not assume that $x(t, x_o) = 0$ when $x_o = 0$, because, generally, we do not have symmetry relative to the origin of the coordinate. Instead, we have to impose a boundary condition in order to fix the velocity of the system of observation. One possibility is condition: $v(t, \infty) + v(t, -\infty) = 0$. We will see that with symmetric initial distribution $\alpha_o(-x_o) = \alpha_o(x_o)$, this condition insures that $x(t, 0) \equiv 0$. Time derivative of the last equation in (6) and integration over x_o gives:

$$v(t, x_o) = \int_0^{x_o} \alpha_o(z) \exp[\alpha_o(z)t] dz + u(t), u(t) \equiv v(t, 0) \quad (7)$$

Using indicated boundary condition, we have:

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \{ \alpha_o(-z) \exp[\alpha_o(-z)t] - \alpha_o(z) \exp[\alpha_o(z)t] \} dz \quad (8)$$

We see that $u(t) = 0$ for symmetric initial distribution, as expected. Integrating (7) with respect to time, we get:

$$x(t, x_o) = x_o + \int_0^{x_o} \{ \exp[\alpha_o(z)t] - 1 \} dz + \int_0^t u(\tau) d\tau \quad (9)$$

Formulas (7) - (9) give general analytical description of the dynamics of distributed sources. With the simplest symmetric initial distribution $\alpha_o(x_o) = \theta$ for $|x_o| \leq l$ and $\alpha_o(x_o) = 0$ for $|x_o| > l$, we get solution analogous to the presented above for the axisymmetric 2D flow. Description of interaction of several patches of distributed sources and sinks will be presented elsewhere.

There is an analogy between distributed sinks and the gravitation, for which we also have general 1D analytical solution in the Lagrangian variables^[6]. Relative accelerations of gravitational masses depend on distances (in any dimension) similarly to relative velocities of sinks^[2,6]. Homogeneous solutions of the general relativity with the cosmological constant (CC)^[6] behave similarly to (5) with $\gamma = const$. Incorporation of the 3D distributed sources into cosmological modeling can contribute to an explanation of the accelerated expansion of the Universe, which is now a hot topic in physics (see Ref. 8 and references therein).

Let us consider a generalization of the equation for distributed sources:

$$\frac{dJ_\sigma}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial J_\sigma}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial J_\sigma}{\partial x} = 0, J_\sigma \equiv \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} \right)^\sigma = \alpha_o(a) \quad (10)$$

Here $a \equiv x_o(t, x)$ is the inverse trajectory and σ is an arbitrary parameter. With $\sigma = 0$ we return to (6). With $\sigma = 1$ we get 1D analog of 3D vortex dynamics, for which $\omega_k \partial a_i / \partial x_k$ is the LI . This LI corresponds to the Kelvin's theorem of conservation of the velocity circulation in the local (Cauchy) form^[8,9]. It potentially can lead to formation of singularities due to the vortex stretching. With $\sigma = -1$ equation (10) gives the inertial motion: $v(t, a) = v_o(a)$. From (10) we get equation for the deformation: $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial a} \right) = \alpha_o(a) \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial a} \right)^{1+\sigma}$. Solution of this equation is: $\frac{\partial x}{\partial a} = [1 - \sigma t \alpha_o(a)]^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}$. Here we assume that $\sigma \neq 0$, the limit with $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ gives (6). From (10) we now have:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \alpha_o(a) [1 - \sigma t \alpha_o(a)]^{-1} \quad (11)$$

We see that for $\sigma < 0$, which includes inertial motion, we get finite-time singularity with compression ($\alpha_o < 0$). For $\sigma > 0$, which includes analog of 3D vortex dynamics, we get finite-time singularity with stretching ($\alpha_o > 0$). The intermediate case (7) - (9) has both tendencies (shrinking sinks and spreading sources), but avoids finite-time singularities. We note, that equation (10) can be written in the form (1) with $f = \sigma \alpha^2$. Similar generalizations can be made for 2D and 3D distributed sources and can be interpreted in terms of the barotropic (or incompressible) fluid with corresponding modification of w .

Finally, let us try one more step in generalization by using second order spatial derivatives in LI :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} \right) = 0, \frac{d}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad (12)$$

Assuming, for simplicity, boundary condition $v(t, -\infty) = 0$ and integrating (12) from $-\infty$ to x , we get: $\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2, \alpha \equiv \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$. Solution of this equation corresponds to (11) with $\sigma = 1/2$. Surprisingly, we get equivalence of two LI : $\partial^2 v / \partial x^2$ in (12) and $J_{1/2} = \partial v / \partial x (\partial a / \partial x)^{1/2}$ in (10).

A more detailed analysis and interpretation of the obtained in this letter nonlinear partial differential equations, as well as their generalization with diffusion, will be presented elsewhere. Here we used them for illustration of the Lagrangian modeling - from LI to dynamics - and corresponding

technique. This approach creates a broad variety of Lagrangian models for nonlinear continuous media.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. A. Novikov, “Stochastization and collapse of vortex systems”, Ann. NY Acad. Sciences **357**, 47 (1980)
- [2] E. A. Novikov and Yu. B. Sedov, “Concentration of vorticity and helical vortices”, Fluid Dynamics **18**, 6 (1983)
- [3] A. E. Novikov and E. A. Novikov, “Vortex-sink dynamics”, Physical Review E **54**, 3681 (1996)
- [4] E. A. Novikov and Yu. B. Sedov, “Stochastic properties of a four-vortex system”, Sov. Phys. JETP **48**(3), 440 (1978); “Stochastization of vortices”, JETP Lett. **29**(12), 678 (1979). S. L. Ziglin, “The nonintegrability of the problem on the motion of four vortices of finite strengths”, Physica D **4**, 268 (1982). H. Aref, “Integrable, chaotic and turbulent vortex motion in two-dimensional flows”, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. **15**, 345 (1983). E. A. Novikov, “Chaotic vortex-body interaction”, Phys. Lett. A **152**, 393 (1991). J. B. Kadtko, E. A. Novikov, “Chaotic capture of vortices by a moving body”, Chaos **3**(4), 543 (1993). E. A. Novikov, “Vorticity gradient in 2D turbulence of ideal fluid”, Phys. Lett. A **266**, 377 (2000)
- [5] E. A. Novikov, “Transformation of a vortex ring, initiated by a downburst, into a horseshoe vortex in the boundary layer”, Boundary-Layer Meteorol. **38**, 305 (1987)
- [6] E. A. Novikov, “Nonlinear evolution of disturbances in a one-dimensional universe”, Sov. Phys. JETP **30**(3), 512 (1970)
- [7] J. V. Narlikar, “An Introduction to Cosmology” (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002). Einstein introduced CC to make the steady-state cosmology, but later (after discovery of the expansion of the Universe) dismissed CC as his greatest blunder. Careful observations of distant supernovae in the last five years suggest the accelerated expansion of the Universe, which renewed interest to CC and to other models with repulsion.
- [8] A. Albrecht and C. Skordis, “Phenomenology of realistic accelerating universe using only Planck-scale physics”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2076 (2000); E. V. Linder, “Exploring the expansion history of the universe” *ibid.* **90**, 091301 (2003); B. G. Sidharth, “The new cosmos”, Chaos, Solitons, Fractals **18**, 197 (2003); Y. Fujii and K. Maeda, “The Scalar-Tensor Theory of Gravitation” (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003).

- [9] J. Serrin, “Mathematical Principles of Classical Fluid Mechanics”, p. 152 [Handbuch Der Physik, Band 8(1), Ed. C. Truesdell; Springer, 1959]
- [10] This LI was used for the vorton method in 3D vortex dynamics [E. A. Novikov, “Generalized dynamics of three-dimensional vortical singularities”, Sov. Phys. JETP **57**(3), 565 (1983); M. J. Aksman, E. A. Novikov, S. Orszag, “Vorton method in three-dimensional hydrodynamics”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 2410 (1985)]. Statistics of microcirculations was studied in E. A. Novikov, “Microcirculations in turbulent flows”, Phys. Rev. E **52**, 2574 (1995)