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#### Abstract

We consider the problem of two interacting particles on a sphere. The potential of the interaction depends on the distance betw een the particles. The case of $N$ ew ton ian-type potentials is studied in $m$ ost detail. $W$ e reduce th is system to a system with two degrees of freedom and give a num ber of rem arkable periodic orbits. W e also discuss integrability and stochastization of the $m$ otion.


## 1. Introduction and historical notes

The origins of the analysis of $m$ otion of $m$ ass particles and rigid bodies in constant curvature spaces (plane, tw o- and three-dim ensional spheres, and Lobachevsky space) trace back to the classicalX IX th century papers by Serret, Killing, Lipschitz, Liebm ann, Schering, etc. O ne of the m ost com prehensive is the paper by $W$. $K$ illing $T$ he author studied the $m$ otion of a particle in the \central eld", a curved-space analog of the N ew tonian eld (the K epler problem ) . H e also presented the generalization of the Euler two-center problem and $N$-dim ensional analogs of the abovem entioned problem s. He derived and partially studied the equations of $m$ otion of a rigid body in these spaces and discussed som e issues of the theory of the $N$ ew tonian potential. M ore than a century after that, the study of dynam ics in constant curvature spaces attracted the interest of scientists again. This resulted in appearance of a series of papers, review ed in $N$ ote, though, that som e of the classical results w ere independently rediscovered in these papers.

O ne of the $m$ ajor distinctive features of curved spaces is the absence of translational (G alilean) invariance, resulting in the absence of the center-of-inertia integrals and non-existence of the corresponding barycentric fram e of reference. A s a result, this takes us out of the realm of the classical alestialm echanics.

For exam ple, the tw o-body problem, when considered on a two-dim ensional (or three-dim ensional) sphere $S^{2}\left(S^{3}\right)$ or on a Lobachevsky plane (or space) $L^{2}\left(L^{3}\right)$, can no longer be reduced to the corresponding problem of $m$ otion in a central potential eld (an analog of the $K$ epler problem ) and, generally, is not integrable, as will be shown below. H ereinafter, we will discuss only the case of a two-dim ensional sphere $S^{2}$, though the obtained results can be easily extended to $L^{2}$ and their m any-dim ensional generalizations.
$F$ irst of all, let us note that the $K$ epler problem ofm otion in a centralpotential eld on a sphere is integrable, and the orbits are ellipses $w$ th one of the fociat the center. A $n$ analog of the $N$ ew tonian potential on a sphere was rsto ered, apparently, by Serret in (1860), the sam e for a Lobachevsky plane was done by Lobachevsky him self and Bolyai. A generalization of the Bertrand theorem to a curved space w as perform ed by $L$ iebm ann (1903). A nalogs ofK epler's law $s$ for $S^{n}$ and $L^{n}$ can be found in a num ber of papers, of $w$ hich the $m$ ost fundam ental is the above-cited paper by $W$. $K$ illing. Am ona the $m$ odem explorations of the $K$ epler problem from various perspectives, we recom $m$ end

[^0]So, an analog of the $N$ ew tonian potential on $S^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}=\quad \operatorname{ctg} \quad(\mathrm{U}=\quad \text { cth }) ; \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the longitude $m$ easured from the pole. at which the gravitating oenter is located, and is the gravitational constant. The potential can be obtained as a centrosym $m$ etric solution to the Laplace $\left\{B\right.$ eltram i equations for $S^{3}\left(L^{\nu}\right)$ or obtained from an analog of Bertrand theorem for $S^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)$

In we state the restricted problem of two bodies on a sphere (or on $L^{2}$ ), where one of the bodies circum scribes a great circle on the sphere w th constant velocity (i.e. m oves freely along a geodesic). while the other moves in its eld (w ith the potential and does not a ect the rst body. In (as well as in , weo er also a num erical analysis of the P oincare $m$ ap for this problem, which is not integrable, judging by its stochastic orbits; we also use the averaging $m$ ethod to study the perigee $m$ otion caused by the curvature of the space. In it is proved, for the restricted problem, the non-existence of an additionalm erom onphic analytic integral.

## 2. Reduction of the two-body problem on $S^{2}$

The general (not restricted) tw oboody problem on $S^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)$ was studied in respect to reduction in where the quantization problem swere also discussed. T he generalm ethod of reduction, o ered in was rst suggested by E.C artan and further developed by J.M arsden and A.W einstein. This m ethod is based on rather form alargum entation, which, at the sam e tim e, has a profound di erentialgeom etric interpretation. U nfortunately, the $m$ ethod does not alw ays gives and suitable expressions, which have been sought after in the classical celestial mechanics (for exam ple, when reducing the three-body problem).

In this paper, to reduce the unrestricted two-body problem on a sphere, we use Bour's reduction of the spatial three-body problem (sim ilarly, R adau's reduction can be used . In a sense, the reduction we need can be regarded as its special case. C onnection betw een the m entioned problem s is based on a fam ous observation by Jacobi: once a barycentric fram e of reference was introduced, which is equivalent to reduction to the center of inertia, the classical three-body problem could be reduced to the problem of two m ass points moving in the xed space $O x y z w$ th a potential, which was a function of the points' distances from the xed center $O$ and of the angle betw een their radiusvectors. Such a reduced system has a (vector) integral of angularm om entum, and B our's reduction is an e ective reduction of the system 's order using this integral (elim ination of a node). W ith that, two $m$ ore degrees of freedom are elim inated. In the case of a sphere, the distances $r_{1} ; r_{2}$ betw een tw o points and the center are xed, so in the B our-reduced system we only have to put $r_{1}=r_{2}=R, \underline{r}_{1}=\underline{r}_{2}=0$ ( $R$ is the sphere's radius). T he speci ed reduction is valid for the potential, which arbitrarily depends on the distance betw een the points (the two-body problem is som etim es referenced as E.I.K ugushev's problem

Below we give the calculations in $m$ ore detail. Let $O$ xyz be a xed fram e of reference, and $O$ a $m$ oving fram e of reference, such that the plane $O$ contains the $m$ ass points $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$. The axis, being an intersection of the plane ( ) w ith ( $x y$ ), is the line of nodes (see $F$ id . The mass points are described by the coordinates 1 and 2 (the angular polar coordinates in the plane 0 ) and ' , (these angles specify the location of the fram e O relative to O xyz). It is easy to see that all these variables are identical w th the Euler angles from the rigid body dynam ics (this analogy was rst noted by J.Silvestr).

In term s of the introduced variables, the $C$ artesian coordinates read:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i}=R\left(\cos ^{\prime} \cos \sin i+\sin \cos i\right) ; \\
& y_{i}=R\left(\cos ^{\prime} \sin \sin i \cos \cos i\right) ; i=1 ; 2:  \tag{2.1}\\
& z_{i}=R \sin i \sin ^{\prime} ;
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 1.
U sing the Lagrangian function of the system can be w rilten as
$L=\frac{R^{2}}{2} m_{1}\left(I+-\cos ^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{m}_{2}\left(-_{2}+-\cos ^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{J}^{\prime}{ }_{-}^{2}+$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\mathrm{R} \sin ^{2} \prime \underline{2} 2 L^{\prime}--\sin ^{\prime}\right) \quad \mathrm{U}(1 \quad 2) ; \tag{2,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
J=m_{1} \sin ^{2} 1+m_{2} \sin ^{2} 2 ; \quad K=m_{1} \cos _{1}^{2}+m_{2} \cos ^{2} \quad ; \\
L=m_{1} \sin 1 \cos 1+m_{2} \sin 2 \cos 2:
\end{gathered}
$$

Let the axis O z be directed along the vector of angular $m$ om entum $\mathbb{M} j=C$. The Lagrangian equations w ith the Lagrangian adm it the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ L}{@-}=M_{z}=c=\text { const } \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and invariant relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ L}{@^{\prime}-}=M=0 ; \quad \frac{@ L}{@ r}=M_{z} \quad-=0: \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed,

$$
\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{z}} \quad \text { i } \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{M} \quad i
$$

$w$ here $M_{z}, M \quad, M_{z}$ are the proiections of the angular $m$ om entum $M$ onto the given axes F ig. 1). T he invariant m anifold is explicitly given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -=\frac{\mathrm{CJ}}{\mathrm{~m}_{1} \mathrm{~m}_{2} \mathrm{R}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)} ; \quad \quad,=\frac{\mathrm{CL} \sin { }^{\prime}}{\mathrm{m}_{1} \mathrm{~m}_{2} \mathrm{R}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)} ; \\
& \cos ^{\prime}=\frac{m_{1}+m_{2-2}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{R}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{1}+\mathrm{m}_{2}\right)-}: \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

It follow s from
that the variable is cyclic, so we can reduce the system 's order by one degree of freedom . Besides, the variable' is also cyclic on the invariant $m$ anifold and here we
again can reduce the num ber of degrees of freedom by one, using the R outh reduction procedure. The Routh function is

$$
\begin{gather*}
R=L \quad \frac{@ L}{@-}-\frac{@ L}{@ \prime} \prime^{\prime}=\frac{R^{2}}{2}\left(m_{1} \frac{2}{I}+m_{2} \frac{2}{2}\right) \\
\frac{R^{2} J\left(m_{1}+m_{2-2}\right)^{2}}{2\left(J\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) m_{1} m_{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)\right)} \frac{c^{2} J}{2 m_{1} m_{2} R^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)} U\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2
\end{array}\right): \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

U sing the Legendre transform ations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.p_{i}=\frac{@ R}{@ \pm}=m_{i} R^{2} \pm \frac{m_{i} R^{2} J\left(m_{1 \pm}+m_{2-2}\right)}{J\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \quad m_{1} m_{2} \sin ^{2}(1} \quad 2\right) \quad i=1 ; 2 ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the equations of $m$ otion of the $H$ am iltonian form w th the $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2 R^{2}} \frac{p_{1}^{2}}{m_{1}}+\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{m_{2}}+\left(c^{2} \quad\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}\right) \frac{J}{m_{1} m_{2} \sin ^{2}(1 \quad 2)}+U(1 \quad 2): \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the sought-for reduced system with two degrees of freedom .
The absolute $m$ otion (i.e. motion in the xed fram e of reference) can be obtained, using the two additional quadratures given by

Rem ark. On the $m$ anifold
the dom ain of possible values of the new variables $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ is bounded because it follow sfrom that $\cos ^{\prime}=\frac{p_{1}+p_{2}}{C}$ and jcos' j6 1 .

So, the phase space of the reduced system is param etrized $w$ ith the canonical variables ${ }_{1} ;{ }_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}$. The angle variables ${ }_{1} ;{ }_{2} \mathrm{mod} 2$ de ne a two-dim ensional tonus (con guration space of the reduced system), while the con jugate $m$ om enta $p_{1}, p_{2}$ form the strip $\dot{p}_{1}+p_{2} j=$ $=\dot{j} \cos ^{\prime} j 6 \dot{\jmath} j$. Besides, it is necessary to identify the points of the phase space $w$ ith coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }_{1} ;{ }_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \text { and }\left(\quad{ }_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right): \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, according to the di erent sets of coordinates, $\left({ }_{1} ;{ }_{2} ;\right.$; ) and ( $1_{1} ; \quad{ }_{2}$; ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{1} ;+$ ), de ne the sam e con guration of the bodies on the sphere. For the velocities we have (
$1_{1}=\operatorname{Ir}(2)=z_{2}$ and, using obtain $\quad W$ e believe it would be an interesting to develop a better geom etric description of the reduced phase space.

The H am ittonian
is a hom ogeneous, but not positively de ned, quadratic function of the m om enta. Since the system is not natural, well-developed $m$ ethods of topological and qualitative analysis can hardly be applied to $\dot{\text { it. }}$

## 3. P articular solutions and the Sm ale diagram of the two-body problem on $S^{2}$

Let us start with the general problem of $n$ interacting bodies. The potential of interaction $U$ depends on the distances betw een the bodies.

A particular solution to the $n \rightarrow o o d y$ problem will be called a rigid-body $m$ otion if the distances betw een the bodies rem ain constant. A particular solution to the $n$ boody problem will be called a stationary con guration (relative equilibrium ) ifall the bodies rotate uniform ly, w th the sam e angular velocity, about the axis containing the vector $M$.

It is obvious that any stationary con guration is a rigid $-b$ ody $m$ otion, but the reverse is, generally, not true.

### 3.1. Stationary con gurations and the Sm ale diagram

The stationary con gurations in the general $n$-body problem on $S^{2}$ can be obtained as the critical points of the reduced potential.

Proposition 1. The stationary con gurations (relative equilibrium a) of the $n$-body problem correspond to the critical points of the reduced (e ective) potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \quad=U \quad \frac{1}{2}!^{2} I=U \quad \frac{M^{2}}{2 I} ; \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ! is the angular velocity of the con guration, I is the total $m$ om ent of inertia of the system $w$ ith respect to the axis of rotation, $M^{2}$ is the squared total angular $m$ om entum of the system of bodies in a xed fram e of reference.
Proof.
C onsider in the fram e of reference, rotating with the angular velocity! about the axis z . In th is fram e , the Lagrangian (in term s of spherical coordinates) reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2}^{X} m_{i} R^{2}\left(\frac{2}{1}+\sin ^{2} i_{i-i}^{\prime 2}\right)+!\quad m_{i}^{\prime} A^{2} \sin ^{2} \quad U+\frac{1}{2}!^{2} I ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I={ }^{P} m_{i} R^{2} \sin ^{2}{ }_{i}$ is the total $m$ om ent of inertia $w$ ith respect to the $z$-axis ( $i$ is the num ber of a particle).

Then, using equations of $m$ otion $w$ ith the Lagrangian and bearing in $m$ ind that $i_{i}={ }_{\prime_{i}}=0$ (stationary solution), we obtain the follow ing conditions of the relative equilibrium :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@_{i}} U \quad \frac{1}{2}!^{2} I \quad=0 ; \quad \frac{@}{@{ }_{i}} \text { U } \quad \frac{1}{2}!^{2} I \quad=0 ; \quad i=1 ;::: ; n: \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To nish the proof, we have yet to express the angular m om entum of the stationary con guration in the xed fram e of reference:

$$
M_{x}=M_{y}=0 ; \quad M_{z}={ }^{X} \quad m_{i} R_{i}^{2} \sin ^{2} i_{i-i}^{\prime}=!\quad X \quad m_{i} R^{2} \sin ^{2}{ }_{i}=I!:
$$

$N$ ow we consider the case of the generalized $N$ ew tonian potential and give a complete description of the relative equilibrium a of the tw o-body problem on $S^{2}$. A ccording to $P$ ropositio it is necessary to nd the critical points of the reduced potential

$$
U=R^{2} m_{1} m_{2} \operatorname{ctg}{ }_{12} \frac{1}{2}!^{2} R^{2} m_{1} \sin ^{2}{ }_{1}+m_{2} \sin ^{2} 2 ;
$$

where 12 is the angular distance the particles on the sphere, and is the gravitational constant.
It can be show $n$ that for relative equilibrium a of tw o particles on $S^{2}$, the follow ing tw o observations are true:

1. W hile $m$ oving, the particles never leave the great circle that contains the axis of rotation.
2. W hen $>0$ (the case of attraction) the particles stay on the great circle, $w$ th the axis of rotation betw een them (see Fig

Indeed, the cosine of the angle betw een the $m$ ass points can be rew ritten as

$$
=\cos 12=\cos _{1} \cos 2+\sin _{1} \sin 2 \cos \left(\boldsymbol{\prime}_{1} \quad{ }_{2}\right) ;
$$

and we nd that

$$
\frac{\varrho U}{@_{1}^{\prime}}=\frac{\varrho U}{@^{\prime}{ }_{2}}=\frac{R^{2} m_{1} m_{2}}{\left(1 r^{2}\right)^{3=2}} \sin { }_{1} \sin { }_{2} \sin \left(\prime_{1} \quad \prime_{2}\right)=0:
$$

Hence, ether ${ }_{1}={ }^{\prime}{ }_{2}$, or ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{2}=\prime_{1}+$ If $^{\prime}{ }_{1}=\prime_{2}$ the equations $\frac{@ U}{@}=0$ do not have a com $m$ on solution.

So, to nd stationary con gurations, it is necessary to nd the criticalpoints of the function

$$
\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{1} m_{2} \operatorname{ctg}\left(\left(_{1}+2\right) \quad \frac{1}{2}!^{2} R^{2}\left(m_{1} \sin ^{2}{ }_{1}+m_{2} \sin ^{2} 2\right)\right.
$$

$w$ here the angles ${ }_{1}$ and 2 are $m$ easured from the axis of rotation ( $F$ iq T hese angles are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
!^{2} \sin _{1} \cos 1 \quad \frac{m}{\sin ^{2}\left(\left(_{1}+2\right)\right.}=0 ; \quad!^{2} \sin { }_{2} \cos 2 \quad \frac{m}{\sin ^{2}\left(1_{1}+2\right)}=0: \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 2.
From this, we obtain the equation that generalizes the planar \law of the lever" and relates the angles 1 and 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1} \sin 2_{1}=m_{2} \sin 2_{2}: \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing and one can show that for $>0$, either $1 i_{2}<=2$, or $1 ; 2>=2$. These con gurations are identical up to the re ection about the equatorial plane. T herefore, we w ill assum e that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<{ }_{1} ;{ }_{2}<\frac{-}{2}: \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $w e$ put $m_{1}<m_{2}$, then the equation has tw o roots on the interval

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2} \arcsin \frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}} \sin 21 \quad ; \quad{ }_{2}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \arcsin \quad \frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}} \sin 2_{1} ; \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $2_{2}^{(1)}<1$, and $2_{2}^{(2)}>1$.
T he rst solution ${ }_{2}^{(1)}$ describes a con guration, where the heavier particle m oves along the sm aller circle. A s R ! 1 , this solution tends to ordinary stationary con guration of the two-body problem on a plane.

T he second solution ${ }_{2}^{(2)}$ describes a con guration, where the heavier particle $m$ oves along the larger circle; this solution does not have an analog in the two-body problem on a plane.

Let us plot a bifurcation diagram (see for the twobody problem on $S^{2}$ on the integral plane $\left(C^{2}=M^{2}=R^{4} ; E=h=R^{2}\right)$, where $h$ is the energy integral ( $F$ ig . W hen $m_{1} m_{2}$, we obtain
tw o curves, corresoonding to the solutions of (see. Fig ). When $m_{1}=m_{2}$, the curves merge at a point $P$ ( $F$ ig ) ; in this case, also according to we have ether ${ }_{1}={ }_{2}$, or ${ }_{1}+2=2$.
H ere we also give a bifurcation diagram for the twobody problem on a sphere in the presence of a potential that, unlike the gravitational potential, has no singularities (see Fig . For that potential, in the paper a geom etrical (topological) analysis of the integralm anifolds $\mathrm{M}^{2}=$ const, $h=c o n s t ~ a n d ~ o f ~ t h e ~ l e v e l ~ s u r f a c e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ r e d u c e d ~ s y s t e m ~ ' s ~ e n e r g y ~ i n t e g r a l ~ i s ~ p e r f o r m ~ e d . ~ N ~ o t e ~ t h a t, ~$ due to singularities at the poles in the case of the gravitational potential it is im possible to directly apply the results o: to this case. So, the com plete topological analysis of three-dim ensional isoenergetic $m$ anifolds does not seem to have been done.

a) $m_{1}=0.7, m_{2}=1, æ=1$

b) $m_{1}=m_{2}=1, æ=1$

Fig. 3. B ifurcation diagram for the two-body problem $w$ th the gravitationalpotential $U=m_{1} m_{2}$ ctg


Fig. 4. B ifurcation diagram for the twobody problem on a sphere $w$ ith the potential $U=m_{1} m_{2}(1 \quad \cos )$

## 32. A particular solution in the case of equalm asses

The n-body problem on a plane has a particular solution (collinear con guration), in which all the particles m ove along ellinses, while staying at alltim es on the sam e straight line. T his solution was rst o ered by Euler (for the three-body problem) and M oulton (for the $n$ boody case). In M oulton also proved that for the case when allm asses are di erent the num ber of such collinear con gurations (for di erent perm utations of the particles) is equal to $\frac{n!}{2}$. Let us show that analogous (pulsating) solutions on a sphere do not, generally, exist.

Proposition 2. For the two body ( n boody) problem on $S^{2}$, when $m_{i} \not \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{j}}$, there are no solutions other than a stationary con guration, in which all the particles stay on the sam e great circle that contains the axis of rotation.

P roof.
Let O z be the axis of rotation. The equations of $m$ otion in spherical coordinates are

$$
\begin{gather*}
m_{i i}=m_{i} \sin \cos _{i-2}^{\prime 2} \frac{@ U}{@} \\
\frac{d}{d t} m_{i} \sin ^{2}{ }_{i-i}^{\prime}=\frac{@ U}{@^{\prime}}=\frac{X_{i}^{n}}{j \notin 1 ; j \notin 1} U_{i j}^{0} \sin { }_{i} \sin { }_{j} \sin \left(r_{i}^{\prime} r_{j}\right) ; \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where ${ }_{i j}=\cos { }_{i} \cos { }_{j}+\cos { }_{i} \sin { }_{j} \cos \left({ }^{\prime}{ }_{i} \quad\right.$ ' $\left.{ }_{j}\right)$.
If the particles alw ays stay on a great circles that contains the axis ofrotation, then either ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{i}={ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}$ ' or' ${ }_{j}=$ ' ${ }_{i}+$ and, besides,

$$
{ }_{-i}=r_{-j}=i \quad i ; j=1 ;::: ; n:
$$

T herefore, according to the follow ing $m$ ust hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i} \sin ^{2}{ }_{i}-=m_{i} c_{i}^{2} ; \quad C_{i}=\text { const } \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ence, all the angles $i$ depend only on the constants $c_{i}$ and the function ${ }^{2}(t)=1=-(t)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=\sin i=C_{i} \quad(t): \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

D i erentiating this and substituting into the rst equation of we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m_{i C_{i}}}{1 C_{i}^{2}}+\frac{C_{i}^{2}-^{2}}{1{C_{i}^{2}}^{2}}=m_{i C_{i}} 3^{q} \overline{1}{C_{i}^{2}}^{2} \quad \frac{@ U}{@_{i}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{@ U}{@_{i}}=\frac{@ U}{@_{i}} \frac{@_{i}}{@_{i}}=\frac{q}{1 C_{i}^{2}} \frac{\varrho U}{@_{i}}$. The required solution exists if $\quad$ can be $m$ ade independent on the particle's num ber $i$. P rovided that -60 , this can be done if $\#_{i}$ are assum ed to be the critical points of the reduced potential and all $c_{i}$ are assum ed to be equal, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ U}{@_{i}}=!^{2} m_{i} \sin _{i} \cos { }_{i} ; \quad C_{i}=c=\text { const }: \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, taking into account and incom patible system s are obtained

$$
m_{i} \sin 2_{i}=m_{j} \sin 2_{j} \text { and } \sin i=\sin j
$$

which does not have a solution $m_{i} m_{j}$.
Let us consider $m$ ore closely the case of equalmasses in the two-body problem, $m_{1}=m_{2}=1$ $\left(\mathrm{w}\right.$ th ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{2}='_{1}+$ and $\left.{ }_{1}=2=\right) \cdot \mathrm{U}$ sing directly
and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-=r_{-1}^{\prime}=r_{-2}=\frac{c}{\sin ^{2}} \quad=\sin \cos \frac{2}{\sin ^{2} 2} ; \quad c=\text { const: } \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations describe the $m$ otion of a particle on a sphere in the eld of an attracting center w ith the potential

$$
\mathrm{U}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ctg} 2:
$$

For this potential, the orbits are unclosed, which show es another di erence betw een curved and at spaces. It is know n that, due to uniform ity condition, the particles' onbits in at spaces are ellipses $w$ th one of the fociat the center of $m$ ass.
$N$ evertheless, orbits on a sphere are closed in som e rotating fram e of reference. M oreover, one can choose the velocity of rotation so that both particles will m ove along one and the sam e curve (such $m$ otion is called a relative choreography
see $F$ ig $\left.B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}\right)$.

### 3.3. R igid-boody m otions in the two-body problem

$C$ onsider them otion ofparticles in a at space $R^{3}$ in a xed fram e of reference. In this case, the relative equilibrium a of the tw oboody ( $n$-body) problem in the center-offm ass reference fram e, generally (i.e. $w$ hen the velocity of the center of $m$ ass is not zero), correspond to the sim plest rigid-body solutions. The mutual distances between the particles are alw ays the same. W e show that in a curved space (on $S^{2}$ ), lacking the notion of the center ofm ass, all the ricid -body solutions of the tw o-boody problem are stationary con gurations discussed above
 Therefore, the corresponding fam ily of con gurations has less free param eters than such a fam ily in a at space.

Theorem. W hen the interaction potential depends only on the m utual distances, all rigid-boody solutions of the two-body problem on $S^{2}$, are stationary con gurations.

Proof.
The proof is based on successive di erentiation of the invariant relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y)=\cos 0=\text { const; } \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $x ; y$ are the radius-vectors of the particles on $S^{2}$ in $R^{3}$, and nding the $m$ axim al invariant $m$ anifold that contains these $m$ otions.
$N$ ow let us perform an algebraic reduction of our system by restricting it onto a level surface of M . For the variables

$$
M=m_{1} \underline{x} x+m_{2} \underline{y} y ; \quad L=(\underline{x} \quad x \quad \underline{y} \quad y) ;
$$

where $=\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}$, we have nine equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
L=U^{0} x \quad y \\
\underline{x}=\frac{1}{m_{1}+m_{2}} x \quad M+\frac{1}{m_{1}} x \quad L ;  \tag{3.15}\\
\underline{y}=\frac{1}{m_{1}+m_{2}} y \quad M \quad \frac{1}{m_{1}} y \quad L:
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $U()$ is the potential energy of the interaction, expressed in term $s$ of the cosine of the angle between the particles $=(x ; y)$, and vector $M$ is a param eter. Due to the condition $(x ; x)=$ $=(\underline{y} ; y)=0$ and de nition of $x ; y$, the follow ing relations hold :

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{2}=y^{2}=1 ; \\
\frac{m_{1}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}(x ; M)+(x ; L)=0 ; \quad \frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}(y ; M) \quad(y ; L)=0: \tag{3.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

T he energy integral in this case reads

$$
E=\frac{1}{2} L^{2}+U()=\text { const: }
$$

D i erentiating the relation $(x ; y)=$ const by tim e and using and we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
(x ; y \quad L)=0 ; \\
\underline{1}_{L}(x ; y)+U^{0}()(x \quad y)^{2}+\frac{2}{m}(x ; M)(y ; M)=0 ;  \tag{3.17}\\
(x ; M \quad L)=0:
\end{gather*}
$$

It follow s from the second and the fourth equations of that the vectors $x ; y ; M$, and $L$ belong to the sameplane. Express M ; L in term sofx; y:

$$
\begin{align*}
M & =\frac{(M ; x) \cos _{0}(M ; y)}{\sin ^{2} 0} x+\frac{(M ; y) \cos 0(M ; x)}{\sin ^{2} 0} y ;  \tag{3.18}\\
L & =\frac{(L ; x) \cos _{0}(L ; y)}{\sin ^{2} 0} x+\frac{(L ; y) \cos _{0}(L ; x)}{\sin ^{2} 0} y ;
\end{align*}
$$

substituting this into and we have $(\mathbb{M} ; x)=$ const, and $(\mathbb{M} ; y)=$ const. Thus, the particles $m$ ove in circles about som e axis de ned by the vector $M$, and during the $m$ otion the particles and the axis alw ays lie in the sam e plane.

### 3.4. The case of $=0$ and the twołoody problem on a circle. Collisions

In the tw o-body problem on a sphere (w ith an arbitrary potential, depending only on the inter-particle distance), the $m$ otion $w$ ith zero total $m$ om entum $(M=0)$ is a special case. W e w ill show that the particles in this case $m$ ove along a creat circle, which holds its position in a xed fram e of reference.

Indeed, for $M=0$, equations read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{x}=\frac{1}{m_{1}} x \quad L ; \quad \underline{y}=\quad \frac{1}{m_{2}} y \quad L ; \quad L=U^{0} x \quad y ; \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the relations take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; L)=(y ; L)=0: \tag{320}
\end{equation*}
$$

C alculating the orbital derivative of the norm al to the particles' plane $n=\frac{x}{j} \frac{y}{y}$ under the ow of and using we get $\underline{n} 0$. Thus, the plane that contains the radius-vectors of the particles is xed, and the particles $m$ ove along a great circle.

Rem ark. In the two-body problem on a plane, when the $m$ om entum is zero, the particles $m$ ove along the sam e straight line, $\quad x e d$ in the center-ofm ass reference fram $e$. $T$ his fram e $m$ oves uniform ly and in a straight line.

Since for zero $m$ om entum $M=0$, the twobody problem on a sphere is reduced to a system on a circle, we will consider the latter problem in more detail (for arbitrary M ). W e will also show that in this case there is a center-ofm ass reference fram $e$, in which the behavior of the particles does not depend on the value of $M$. The new variables are

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{m_{11}+m_{22}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} ; \quad=1 \quad 2 ; \tag{321}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1_{1}$ and 2 are the angles that de ne the positions of the particles on the circle. So, the Lagrangian reads

$$
L=\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \underline{2}+\frac{1}{2} \xrightarrow{2} U() ; \quad=\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}:
$$

This im plies that the \center-ofm ass angle" varies uniform ly with tim e:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-=\frac{\mathbb{M} j}{R^{2}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}=\text { const: } \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tim e dependency of is given in term s of quadratures
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \frac{d}{21^{1}\left(h_{1} \quad U()\right)}=t \quad t_{0} \tag{3,23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 ; t_{0}$, and $h_{1}$ are som e constants.

For the generalized $N$ ew tonian potential $U()=\quad m_{1} m_{2}$ ctg , we nd that the particles will collide in nite tim e. Ift $t_{0} 0$ at the tim e of collision, the tim e dependency of the angle can be w ritten in the form of the P uiseux series

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=t^{2=3} x_{n=0}^{x^{3}} c_{n} t^{n=3}: \tag{324}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $c_{0} \in 0$, while the coe cients $c_{n}$ w ith odd indices are zero ( $c_{2 n+1}=0$ ). In other words, ( $t$ ) is an even function of tim e. C onsequently, the particles undergo perfectly elastic collision (for a suitable regularization).


U sing the Taylor expansion of the integrand, we obtain the follow ing series equations de ning $x(t)$ :

$$
u^{3} x_{n=0}^{x^{1}} g_{n} u^{2 n}=; \quad u^{2}=x ;
$$

$w$ here $g_{n}$ are constants that depend on $a$. A fter elim inating $u$, we have

$$
x()=\sum_{n=0}^{x^{x^{2}}} b_{n}^{2 n=3}:
$$

Rem ark 2. W e believe it would be interesting to generalize the classicalresults obtained by Z igel, conceming zero $m$ easure of collision orbits, to this problem (i.e. to specify the collision $m$ anifold).

Let us also mention a long-discussed question about a possibility ofextending Sundm an's results (i.e. solutions in power series) to the tw o-and three-body problem s in curved spaces. Unfortunately, the answ er to this question has not yet been found and is, $m$ ost likely, negative.

## 4. T he P oincare section. N um erical analysis

### 4.1. The surface of section. C haos

To study the system 's behavior num erically, we plot the P oincare section of the reduced system $\square$ the follow ing way. T he tw o-dim ensional surface of section of the three-dim ensional energy levelH = $=\mathrm{E}$ is given by $\mathrm{p}_{2}=$ const. Unlike one-and-a-half degree of freedom system s (or trivial two-degree system $s$ ), the surfaces of section in our case $m$ ight have a complicated structure. M oreover, the projection of such a surface on a plane (for exam ple, ( $p_{1}, ~ l_{1}$ )) has singularities. To avoid them, we w ill plot these surfaces in the three-dim ensional space of variables $\left(1 ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ;{ }_{2}\right)$. Som e exam ples of surfaces of section $p_{2}=0$ are given in $F$ io $T$ he section's type dependson the location of the point ( $E$; $c$ ) on the bifurcation diagram ( $F$ ig . The phase ow of the system generates a point Poincare $m \mathrm{ap}$ on these surfaces. T he P oincare maps for the case of equalm asses (for som e values of $c$ and $E$ ) are show $n$ in $F$ ig A ccording to the points $w$ ith coordinates $\left(1 ; 2 ; \mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ and ( $\quad 1$; should, generally, be identi ed. For large energies, there are chaotic $m$ otions on the sections ( $F$ ig $\mathrm{f}, 1)$, which prevent the existence of an additional analytic integral of the system
 Rem ark $N$ on-existence of an additional analytic integral for can also be proved using Poincare's
methods i.e. analyzing the secular term $s$ of the perturbed system and the corresponding num her of non-
degenerate periodic orbits. In this connection, the expansions of the disturbing function, given in may be
useful. These expansions were used in the proof of non-integrability of the classical three-body problem.


Fig. 5. Energy level sections ( $a, c, e, g, k$ ) and corresponding Poincare maps (b, d, f, h, l) for di erent values of $E$ and $C$.

## 42. Periodic solutions and choreographies

A $n$ im portant part in the classical celestial $m$ echanics is played by periodic orbits in a center-ofm ass reference fram e. Such orbits were studied in $m$ any papers Recently, a new class of periodic solutions in the problem of $n$ equalm ass bodies has been discovered. T hese are so-called (relative)


Fig. 5. (C ontinuation.) E nergy level sections ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{k}$ ) and corresponding Poincare maps ( $b, d, f, h, l$ ) for di erent values of $E$ and $C$.
choreographies | solutions, when the particles follow each other (in a rotating fram e of reference) along the sam e curve, w ith tim e shift $T=n$ see also .
A sim ilar part in the two-body problem on a sphere is played by periodic solutions of the reduced system The sim plest periodic solution that can be expressed analytically There are other sim ilar solutions, which, how ever, cannot be presented by analytical form ulas. A suitable tool to analyze these solutions is the Poincare $m$ ap constnucted above. Fixed points of the least order correspond to the sim plest periodic solutions (see Fig These solutions de ne chorenaramhies of particles (the particles $m$ ove along the sam e curve) in som e rotating fram e of reference For the least-period periodic solutions, the proiections of such choreographies on a plane, perpendicular to the axis of rotation, are given in $F$ ig $F$ igures $A_{1}\left\{A_{6}\right.$ and $B_{1}\left\{B_{3}\right.$ show two di erent stable solutions, while gures $C_{1}\left\{C_{2}\right.$ show the unstable sohution of the reduced system for di erent energies. F igures $\mathrm{B}_{1} ; \mathrm{B}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ show the analytic solution

Rem ark. It can be show $n$, using the $m$ ethods 0 that for a xed $c$, there exists a countable set of values of $E$, for which the particles form an absolute choreography, i.e. $m$ ove along a closed curve in a xed space.

Rem ark. W hen $m_{1} \not \mathrm{~m}_{2}$, choreographies are broken | each particle $m$ oves along its ow $n$ closed curve (in a rotating fram e of reference).
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Fig. 6. Relative choreographies for the problem of two equalm ass bodies ( $m_{1}=m_{2}=1$ ) on a sphere, $c=1$, $\mathrm{R}=1$.
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