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#### Abstract

A kinetic approach to the B ose E instein condensates ( BECs ) is proposed, based on the $W$ ignerM oyal equation (W M E). In the quasi-classical lim it, the W M E reduces to the particle number conservation equation. Two exam ples of applications are: i) a self-phase m odulation of a BE condensate beam where we show that a part of the beam is decelerated and eventually stops as a result of the gradient of the e ective self-potential; ii) the derivation of a kinetic dispersion relation for sound waves in the BEC s, including a collisionless Landau dam ping.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Presently, the B ose Einstein condensates (BECs) provide one of the $m$ ost active and creative areas of research in physics [1, 2]. The dynam ics of the BEC s are usually described by a nonlinear Schrodinger equation (known in this eld as the G ross $P$ itaevskii equation (GPE) $[3,4]$ ), which determ ines the evolution of a collective wave function of ultra-cold atom $s$ in the BECs, evolving in the $m$ ean eld self-potential.

In this paper, we propose the use of an altemative but nearly equivalent approach to the physics of BECs, based on a kinetic equation for the condensate. W e also show that this kinetic theory can lead to a m ore com plete understanding of the physicalprocesses occurring in the BECs, not only by providing an altemative $m$ ethod for describing the system, but also by im proving our global view of the physical phenom ena. It is our hope that this w ill also lead to the discovery of new aspects of BECs.

The key point of our present approach is the use of a $W$ igner M oyal equation (W M E) for the BECs, describing the spatio-tem poral evolution of the appropriate $W$ igner function [5]. W igner functions for the BEC s were discussed in the past $[6,7]$ and the W M E has been sporadically used [8]. But no system atic application of the W ME to BECs has previously been considered. In the quasi-classical lim it, this equation reduces to the particle num ber conservation equation, which is a kinetic equation form ally analogous to the Liouville equation, but w ith a nonlinear potential. A description of the BECs in term $s$ of the kinetic equation is adequate to dealw ith a series of problem $s$, as exem pli ed here, and can be seen as an interm ediate (in accuracy) betw een the G P E and the hydrodynam ic equations usually found in the literature.

T hem anuscript is organized in the follow ing fashion. In Section 2, we establish the W M E and discuss its approxim ate version as a kinetic equation for the $W$ igner function. W e then apply the kinetic equation to two distinct physical problem s. T he rst one, considered in Section 3, is the self-phase m odulation of a BEC beam. A sim ilar problem has been studied num erically in the past [9]. H ere, we derive explicit analytical results and show that a part of the BEC beam is decelerated and eventually com es to a com plete halt as a result of the collective forces acting on the condensate. T he second exam ple is considered in Section 4, where we establish a kinetic dispersion relation for sound waves in the B EC s, giving a kinetic correction to the usual B ogolinbov sound speed $[12,13]$ and predicting the occurrence of

Landau dam ping $[10,11]$. O ur description ofLandau dam ping is signi cantly di erent from that previously considered for transverse oscillations ofBEC s [14]. Finally, in Section 5, the virtues and lim trations of the present kinetic approach are brie y discussed.
II. W IG NER MOYALEQUATION FOR THE BOSECONDENSATE

It is know $n$ that for an ultra-cold atom ic ensem ble, and in particular for BEC $s$, the ground state atom ic quantum eld can be replaced by a macroscopic atom ic wave function. In a large variety of situations, the evolution of is determ ined by the GPE

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ih } \frac{@}{@ t}=\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} r^{2}+\left(V_{0}+V_{e f f}\right) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{0} \quad V_{0}\left(x_{)}\right.$is the con ning potentialand $V_{\text {eff }}$ is thee ective potentialw hich takes into account the inter-atom ic interactions inside the condensate, as determ ined in its sim plest form by $V_{\text {eff }}(x ; t)=g j(x ; t){ }^{?}$, where $g$ is a constant $[3,4]$.

Let us consider the situation where this wave equation can be replaced by a kinetic equation. In order to construct such an equation, we introduce the $W$ igner function associated with , such that 5]

$$
W(x ; \widetilde{x} ; t)=^{Z} \quad(x+s=2 ; t) \quad(x \quad s=2 ; t) \exp (\mathbb{K} \quad s) d s:
$$

It is then possible to derive (see the A ppendix) the follow ing evolution equation for the W igner function
where a bi-directional di erential operator is denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{@}{@_{x}} \frac{\varrho}{@_{p}}!: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his operator acts to the left on $V$ and to the right on $W$ 5]. In this equation, the potential is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V_{0}+g^{Z} W(x ; \widetilde{\mathbb{K}} ; t) \frac{d \widetilde{K}}{(2)^{3}}+V ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=g^{0} j(x ; t) \jmath^{Z} W(x ; \widetilde{k} ; t) \frac{d \widetilde{k}}{(2)^{3}}{ }^{\mathrm{A}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be considered a noise term associated w th the square m ean deviations of the quasiprobability, determ ined by the $W$ igner function $W$ w th respect to the localquantum probability, determ ined by the wave function .

Equation (3) can be seen as the W ME describing the space and time evolution of the BECs, and it is exactly equivalent to the GPE (1). H ow ever, it is of little use in the above exact form, and it is convenient to introduce som e sim plifying assum ptions. This is justi ed for the im portant case of slow ly varying potentials. In this case, we can neglect the higher order space derivatives, and introduce the approxim ation $\sin$. . This corresponds to the quasi-classical approxim ation, where the quantum potential uctuations can also be neglected, viz. V ! 0 . Introducing these two sim plifying assum ptions, valid in the quasiclassical lim it, we reduce the W M E to a m uch sim pler form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varrho}{@ t}+\mathbb{W} \quad r \mathbb{E}^{r} \frac{@}{@ \widetilde{K}}^{!} W=0 ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{h} \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{m}$ is the velocity of the condensate atom s corresponding to the wavevector state $\widetilde{K}$, and $F^{F}=r V$ is a force associated $w$ th the inhom ogeneity of the condensate selfpotential. The nonlinear term in the GPE (1) is hidden inside this force $\mathrm{F}^{*}$. As we will see, this nonlinear term will look very much like a ponderom otive foroe term, sim ilar to the radiation pressure.

It should be notioed that this new equation is a closed kinetic equation for the W igner function $W$. In this quasi-classical lim it, $W$ is just the particle occupation number for translational states $w$ ith $m$ om entum $p=h \widetilde{K}$. Equation (7) is equivalent to a conservation equation, stating the conservation of the quasi-probability $W$ in the six-dim ensional classical phase space ( $\mathfrak{x} ; \widetilde{k}$ ), and can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} W(x ; \widetilde{\mathbb{K}} ; t)=0: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This kinetic equation can then be used to describe physical processes occurring in a BEC, as long as the quasi-classical approxim ation of slow ly varying potentials is justi ed. The interest of such a kinetic descriptions will be illustrated $w$ th the aid of two simple and
di erent exam ples, to be presented in the next two sections. $M$ any other applications can be envisaged, and will be explored in future publications.

## III. SELFPHASEMODULATION OFABEAM CONDENSATE

Let us rst consider the kinetic description ofself-phasem odulation of BEC gas, moving w ith respect to the con ning potential $V_{0}(x)$. Here, we can explore the analogy of this problem with that of self-phase $m$ odulation of short laser pulses $m$ oving in a nonlinear opticalm edium and well known in the literature [15]. In order to sim plify our description, we consider the one-dim ensional problem of a beam moving along the $z$-axis and neglect the axial variation of the background potential $@ V_{0}=@ z \quad 0 . T$ he radial structure of the beam can easily be introduced later, and w illnot essentially m odify the results obtained here. The kinetic equation (7) can then be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t}+V_{z} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho z}+F_{z} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho k} W(z ; k ; t)=0 ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}}$ given by, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{\mathrm{hk}}{\mathrm{~m}}+\mathrm{g} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho t} \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{t}) \quad ; \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{\mathrm{dk}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{@}} \mathrm{z} \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{t}) ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the intensity of the beam condensate, as de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(z ; t)={ }^{z} W(z ; k ; t) \frac{d k}{2}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assum e that the ultra-cold atom ic beam has a m ean velocity $\mathrm{v}_{0}=\mathrm{hk}_{0}=\mathrm{m}$. This suggests the use of a new space coordinate $=z \quad$ vt. In term sof this new coordinate, the quasi-classical equations ofm otion of a cold atom in the beam can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}=\frac{@ h}{@ k}=\frac{1}{m}\left(k \quad k_{\emptyset}\right) ;  \tag{12}\\
& \frac{d k}{d t}=\frac{@ h}{@}=\frac{g}{h} \frac{\varrho}{@} I(; t) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where we have introduced the H am iltonian function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(; k ; t)=!(; k ; t) \quad k v_{0}=\frac{k}{m} \quad \frac{k}{2} \quad k_{\theta}+\frac{g}{h} I(; t): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here ! ( $; k ; t$ ) is the $H$ am iltonian in the rest fram e expressed in the new coordinate. A straightforw ard integration of the equations ofm otion leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(t)=k_{0} \quad \frac{g}{h}^{2} \quad \frac{t}{@} I\left(; t^{0}\right) d t^{0}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point it is useful to introduce the concept of the beam energy chinp, $<$ ( ; ) $>$, in analogy w ith the frequency chip of short laser pulses [15]. By de nition, it will be the beam $m$ ean energy at a given position and at a given tim e

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\quad(; \mathrm{t})>=\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~W}(; \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{t})!(; \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{t}) \frac{\mathrm{dk}}{2} \text {; } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the weighting function $W(; k ; t)$ is the solution of the kinetic one-dim ensional equation (9). A form al solution of this equation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { W }(; k ; t)=W\left(o(; k ; t) ; k_{0}(; k ; t) ; t_{0}\right) ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 0 and $k_{0}$ are the initial conditions corresponding to the observed values at tim e $t$, as determ ined by the dynam icalequations (13). Replacing it in equation (14), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
<(; \mathrm{t})>=\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~W}\left(0 ; \mathrm{k}_{0} ; \mathrm{t}_{0}\right) \frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}}+\frac{\mathrm{g}}{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{I}(; \mathrm{t})^{\#} \frac{\mathrm{dk}}{2}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (14) we notice that $\mathrm{dk}=\mathrm{dk}_{0} . \mathrm{N}$ eglecting higher order nonlinearities, we can then rew rite the above expression as

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\quad(; t)>=<\quad(0)>\quad \frac{k_{0}}{m} g g_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} @\left(; t^{0}\right) d t^{0} ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle(0)\rangle\left\langle\left(0 ; t_{0}\right)\right\rangle$ is the initialbeam energy chip.
Let us rst consider that the beam pro le I ( ) is independent of tim e. This is, of course, only valid for very short time intervals where the beam velocity dispersion is negligible. In this sim ple case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\quad(; t)>=<\quad(0)>\operatorname{hyg} \frac{@ I}{@} t: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maxim um energy shift will be attained at som e position inside the beam pro $l e$, $=\mathrm{max}$, determ ined by the stationarity condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@}<(; t)>=\frac{@^{2} I^{!}}{@^{2}}=0: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to deduce more speci c answers, let us assum e a G aussian beam pro le

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(1)=I_{0} \exp \quad{ }^{2}={ }^{2} ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where determ ines the beam width. For this pro le we have $m a x=\stackrel{p}{=} \overline{2}$, which leads to the follow ing value of the $m$ axim um energy shift

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (t) }<(t)>_{m a x}<(0)>=\frac{h^{p} \overline{2}}{} g v_{0} I_{0} e^{1=2} t: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is sim ilar to a well known result in nonlinear optics, stating that the $m$ axim um energy chinp due to a self-phase $m$ odulation is proportional to $t$, or to the distance travelled by the beam, $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{v}_{0} \mathrm{t}$. T his result clearly indicates that the initialbeam willeventually split into two parts, one being accelerated to higher translational speeds, and the other being decelerated. This would correspond to the red-shift and to the blue-shift observed in nonlinear optics. The decelerated beam will eventually stop after a timet', such that ()$=<(0)>$. This will determ ine the condition for translationalbeam freezing.

It should be noticed that the sam e result could also be obtained directly from the GPE (1). But the interest of the present derivation is that it dem onstrates the irrelevance of the phase of the wave function , because such a phase was ignored in our kinetic calculation. Therefore, instead of the self-phase modulation, it would be m ore appropriate to call it a beam self-deceleration.

A nother interesting aspect of our kinetic approach is that it can be easily re ned, as show $n$ brie $y$ here. Let us then im prove the above calculation by considering the beam dispersion. This will inevitably occur because of the linear velocity dispersion of the atom ic beam. Such a dispersion will decrease the chinping e ect, because of the decrease in time of (@I=@ ). In order to model 斗, we can assum e a tim e-varying $G$ aussian beam shape, as described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(; t)=I_{0} \frac{0}{(t)}^{!=2} \exp {\frac{2}{(t)^{2}}}^{!}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ifwe now consider that $(t)=0\left(1+t^{2}\right)$, where $=\left(2 \mathrm{~m}=h^{2}\right) \quad 0=0$ is proportional to the initial energy spread $0 . T$ his $w i l l$ lead to a $m$ axim um energy shift

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(t)=\frac{\ln (t)}{t} \quad(t): \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that the linear beam velocity dispersion $w$ ill decrease the maxim um attainable chinp, by changing the linearity with tim e into a logarithm ic law. H owever, this w ill only occur for very long tim es, $t \quad 1=$ - , which for ultra-cold atom ic beam $s$ with a very low translational energy dispersion ow ill not be relevant.

T he other cause of the beam dispersion is the nonlinear process itself, which will eventually break up the initialpulse into two distinct pulses. In this case, the self-phasem odulation process will not be attenuated because the beam width will be conserved, but the tw o secondary pulses will su er self-phase modulation them selves, and will eventually break up later, resulting in the form ation of several secondary pulses $w$ th di erent $m$ ean energy. H ow ever, the nonlinear dispersion $w$ ill also be negligible as far as ${ }_{0}^{2}>4 m j(t) f^{2}=h^{2}$. A $m$ ore com plete description of all these dispersion regim es can be obtained by solving num erically the kinetic equation (9).

## IV. $\mathrm{K} \mathbb{I N} \mathrm{ET}$ IC DESCRIPTION OF BOGOLIUBOV OSC ILLATIONS

T he second exam ple of an application of the kinetic equation for the BEC s deals w ith the dispersion relation of sound waves. For sim plicity, we consider again the one-dim ensional m odel and neglect the radial structure of the oscillations. This allow s us to treat the low est order oscillating $m$ odes of the condensate. W e assume som e given equilibrium distribution $W_{0}(z ; k ; t)$, corresponding, for instance, to the $T$ hom as $F e m$ iequilibrium solution in a given con ning potential $V_{0}\left(x_{?} ; z\right)[16]$, and after linearization of the one-dim ensionalkinetic equation (9) $w$ th respect to the perturbation $\mathbb{W}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varrho}{\varrho t}+v_{z} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho}{ }^{\varrho} W(z ; k ; t)+F^{r} \frac{\varrho}{@ k} W_{0}(z ; k ; t)=0 ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the perturbed force is determ ined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{N}=\frac{g}{h} \frac{@}{@} I(z ; t)=\frac{g}{h}_{h}^{\varrho}{ }^{z} W(z ; k ; t) \frac{d k}{2}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now assume perturbations of the form $W^{\sim}$; $\mathcal{I} \exp (i k z \quad i!t)$. From the above equations we then obtain a relation between the perturbation am plinude of the $W$ igner
function $W$ and the perturbed beam intensity I

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\frac{g k}{h\left(!\quad k v^{v}\right)} T \frac{\varrho}{@ k^{0}} W_{0}\left(k^{0}\right) ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we now specify the particle wavenum ber state $w$ th $k^{0}$, in order to avoid confusion $w$ th the wavenum ber $k$ of the oscillation that we intend to study. T he velocity corresponding to this particle state is $\mathrm{v}^{0}=\mathrm{hk}^{0}=\mathrm{m}$. Integration over the m om entum spectrum of the particle condensate w ill then lead to the follow ing expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{g^{2}}{\mathrm{~h}}{ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{@ W 0\left(\mathrm{k}^{0}\right)=\mathrm{@k}^{0}}{(!\quad \mathrm{hkk}=\mathrm{m})} \frac{\mathrm{dk}^{0}}{2}=0: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the kinetic dispersion relation for axial perturbations in the BECs. Let us ilhustrate this result by considering a sim ple case for a condensate beam with no translational dispersion, or w ith a translational tem perature exactly equal to zero. The equilibrium state of the beam can then be described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{0}\left(\mathrm{k}^{0}\right)=2 \mathrm{n}_{0} \quad\left(\mathrm{k}^{0} \quad \mathrm{k}_{6}^{0}\right) ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{0}={ }^{R} W{ }_{0}\left(k^{0}\right) d k^{0}=2$ is the particle num ber density in the condensate. Replacing this in the dispersion relation (28). we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{gk}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}} \frac{\mathrm{n}_{0}}{\left(!\mathrm{kr}^{8}\right)^{2}}=0 \text {; } \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{v}_{0}^{0}=\mathrm{hk}_{0}^{0}=\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{p}_{0}^{0}=\mathrm{m}$ is the beam velocity. This can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!\quad k y)^{2}=k^{2} c_{s}^{2} ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{s}=\frac{q}{{g n_{0}=m}^{l}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nothing but the B ogoliubov sound speed. O bviously, equation (31) is the D oppler shifted dispersion relation of sound waves in the BEC gas, In its reference frame it reduces to $!=\mathrm{kc}_{\mathrm{s}}$.

Let us now consider a situation where, instead of the distribution (29), we have a beam w ith a sm all translational velocity spread, such that the num ber of particles w ith a velocity
$v^{0} \quad \mathrm{G}$ is $s m$ allbut nonzero. In this case, the resonant contribution in the integralofequation (28) has to be retained, although it is still possible to neglect the kinetic corrections in the principalpart of the integral. The dispersion relation can then be written, in the condensate fram e of reference, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \frac{\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}}{!^{2}} \frac{i g m}{2} \frac{@ \mathrm{~W}_{0}}{\mathrm{~h}^{2}}{\frac{\mathrm{k}}{}{ }^{0}=k_{s}^{0}}_{!}=0 \text {; } \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}=\mathrm{m} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{h}$ is the resonant m om entum. The im aginary term in this equation can then lead to dam ping of the sound waves. W riting now ! = kcs $+i$, with j j $\mathrm{kc}_{\mathrm{s}}$, we can then obtain the expression for the dam ping coe cient

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{!}{4} \frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{~h}^{2}}{\frac{@ W_{0}}{@ \mathrm{k}^{0}}}_{\mathrm{k}^{0}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}}^{!}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above expression corresponds to the non-collisional Landau dam ping of Bogoliubov oscillations in the BEC s. T he present approach can also be generalized in a straightforw ard w ay to higher order oscillations of the condensate, w here the radial structure has to be taken into account $[13,17]$.
V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have proposed a kinetic view of the B ose E instein condensate physics, based on the $W$ igner $M$ oyal equation. In the quasi-classical lim it, the latter can be reduced to a closed kinetic equation for the corresponding $W$ igner function. The kinetic approach to BEC can be seen as an interm ediate step between the GPE and the hydrodynam ical equations for the condensate gas, often found in the literature.

W e have discussed two di erent physical problem $s$, in order to illustrate the versatility of the kinetic theory. One is a self-phase modulation of a BEC beam. The other is the dispersion relation of the B ogoliubov oscillations in the condensate gas. The rst exam ple shows that, due to the in uence of its own inhom ogeneous self-potential, nearly half of the beam is accelerated while the other half is decelerated. U nder certain conditions, the decelerated part of the beam will tend to a state of com plete halt. The second exam ple show s that a kinetic dispersion relation for sound waves in BEC s can be established, where Landau dam ping is autom atically included. The present results only considered the low est
order m odes, but the sam e approach can be used to describe higher order oscillations of the BEC s, including their radial structures, as well as the coupling with a background them al gas. This investigation is, how ever, beyond the soope of the present work.

Several other di erent problem s relevant to BEC s can also be considered in the fram e of the kinetic theory, such as m odulationalinstabilities [18] and the wake eld generation. This indicates that the kinetic theory is a very prom ising approach to the physics of BEC s, which w ill eventually allow us to introduce new ideas in this stim ulating area of research, and to suggest new con gurations to the experim entalists. H ow ever, the present work also clearly states that the present theory is only valid in the quasi-classical lim it and for that reason som e relevant problem s, where the phase of the BEC wave function plays an im portant role, can only be treated by m eans of the G P E. Surprisingly, the self-phase m odulation is not one of them, as dem onstrated here.
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A P P EN D IX : D erivation of the $W$ igner-M oyal equation
In the present derivation we follow a procedure already used in other cases, for instance, in the case of electrom agnetic $w$ aves $m$ oving in a space and tim e dependent dielectric m edium [19]. For a di erent but nearly equivalent derivation of the $W$ M $E$ see the appendix of reference [8]. Let us consider two distinct sets of values for space and time coordinates, $\left(x_{1} ; t_{1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{2} ; t_{2}\right)$, and let us use the notation $j=\left(x_{j} ; t_{j}\right)$ and $V_{j}=V\left(x_{j} ; t_{j}\right)$, for $j=1 ; 2$. This allow s us to w rite tw o versions of the GPE (1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} r_{j}^{2} \quad i h^{@ t_{j}} \quad{ }_{j}^{!}=\quad V_{j}: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ultiplying the equation $j=1$ by 2 and the conjugate of the equation $j=2$ by $\quad 1$, and subtract the resulting equations, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h^{2}}{2 m}\left(r_{1}^{2} \quad r_{2}^{2}\right) \quad \text { ih } \frac{@}{@ t_{1}}+\frac{@!\#}{@ t_{2}} \quad C_{12}=\quad\left(V_{1} \quad V_{2}\right) C_{12} ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used $C_{12}=12$. The above equation suggests the use of tw o pairs of space and tim e variables, such that

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\Re_{1}=\Re \quad s=2 & ; & t=t & =2 ;  \tag{37}\\
\mathbb{N}_{2}=\Re+s=2 & ; & t_{2}=t+\quad=2:
\end{array}
$$

W e can then rew rite the above equation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h^{2}}{m} \frac{@}{@ x} \frac{@}{@ s} \quad i^{\text {@ }} \frac{\varrho^{\#}}{@ t} C_{12}=\quad\left(V_{1} \quad V_{2}\right) C_{12}: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can also easily be show $n$, by developing the potentials $V_{j}$ around $V(x ; t)$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V_{1} \quad V_{2}\right)=2 \sinh \frac{s}{2} \frac{@}{@ x}+\frac{\varrho}{2} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho t} V(x ; t): \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now introduce the double Fourier transform ation of the function $C_{12} \quad C(x ; s ; t ;)$ on the variables $s$ and , as de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x ; t ;!; \widetilde{k})={ }^{Z} d s \quad d C(x ; s ; t ;) \exp \left(\widetilde{x^{2}} s+i!\right): \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his can be rew ritten in term $s$ of the $w$ ave function as

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

U sing such a developm ent in equation (38), we obtain for the Fourier am plitudes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{h}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathbb{K} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho x} \frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{@t}} \mathrm{~W}=2 \mathrm{~V} \sin Q^{\mathrm{C}} ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the follow ing di erential operator, operating backw ards on the potential $\mathrm{V}(x ; t)$ and forw ard on $W$, viz.

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{@}{@ x} \frac{@}{@ \widetilde{K}} \quad \frac{@}{@ t} \frac{@!}{!}: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a form idable equation for $W$, which can be simpli ed by noting that the GPE im plies the existence of a well de ned relation between energy and $m$ om entum. This $m$ eans that ! m ust be equal to som efunction of $\mathbb{K}$, or ! $=!(\mathbb{K})$. Hence, we can state that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x ; t ;!; \widetilde{k})=W(x ; \widetilde{\mathbb{K}} ; t)(!\quad!\tilde{k})): \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his leads to a much sim pler evolution expression for $W(x ; k ; t)$. Before $w r i t i n g$ it dow $n$, we should also notice that the nonlinear term in $V$ depends on $j$ f, and not on the fiunction W . Thus, we can nally write
where is a sim pler di erential operator de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{@}{@ \Upsilon} \frac{@}{@ p}^{!}!: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $W(x ; \widetilde{k} ; t)$ can be seen as the $W$ igner function associated w ith the GPE, and equation (45) as the W M E equation that describes its spatio-tem poralbehavior. This equation is equivalent to the initial wave equation (1), but it is not a closed equation for the quasi-probability function $W$. Therefore, som e sim plifying assum ptions have to be introduced in order to $m$ ake it $m$ ore tractable, as explained in Section 2.
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