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#### Abstract

We investigate the reductions of dispersionless Harry Dym hierarchy to systems of finitely many partial differential equations. These equations must satisfy the compatibility condition and they are diagonalizable and semi-Hamiltonian. By imposing a further constraint, the compatibility is reduced to a system of algebraic equations, whose solutions are described.
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## 1. Introduction

Dispersionless integrable equations arise in various contexts and have attracted people to investigate it from different point of view, such as topological field theory(WDVV equation) [3, 13, 14, 15, 30, matrix models [6, 7], conformal maps and interface dynamics [32, 40, 41], Einstein-Weyl space [16, 17]. The hydrodynamic reductions are the most developed method to find the exact solutions of the dispersionless integrable equations [5, 8, 12, 21, 22, 28, 29, 30]. From the hydrodynamic reductions, one can construct the Riemann's invariants and the corresponding characteristic speeds satisfy the semi-Hamiltonian property or Tsarev's condition [38]. Hence the generalized hodograph method can be used to find the exact solutions. Also, the solutions
of dispersionless integrable equations can be found by nonlinear Beltrami equation [26, [27], slightly different from the generalized hodograph method.

Let's recall dispersionless non-standard Lax hierarchy [33, 39]. Suppose that $\lambda$ is an algebra of Laurent series of the form

$$
\lambda=\left\{A \mid A=\sum_{i=-\infty}^{N} a_{i} p^{i}\right\}
$$

with coefficients $a_{i}$ depending on an infinite set of variables $t_{1} \equiv x, t_{2}, t_{3}, \cdots$. We can define a Lie-Bracket associated with $\lambda$ as follows:

$$
\{A, B\}=\frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \frac{\partial B}{\partial p}-\frac{\partial A}{\partial p} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x}, \quad A, B \in \lambda
$$

which can be regarded as the Poisson bracket defined in the 2-dimensional phase space $(x, p)$. The algebra $\lambda$ can be decomposed into the Lie subalgebras as

$$
\lambda=\lambda_{\geq k} \oplus \lambda_{<k}, \quad(k=0,1,2 .)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{\geq k}=\left\{A \in \Lambda \mid A=\sum_{i \geq k} a_{i} p^{i}\right\} \\
& \lambda_{<k}=\left\{A \in \lambda \mid A=\sum_{i<k} a_{i} p^{i}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on this, the Lax formulation of the dispersionless integrable hierarchy can be formally defined as

$$
\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t_{n}}=\left\{\left(\lambda^{\frac{n}{N}}\right)_{\geq k}, \lambda\right\} .
$$

- For $k=0$, it's called dispersionless KP hierarchy(dKP) [39]
- For $k=1$, it's called dispersionless modified KP(dmKP) hierarchy [11, 34
- For $k=2$, it's called dispersionless Harry Dym (dDym) hierarchy [11, 12, 34. It's the purpose of this paper.
We define the dispersionless Harry Dym (dDym)hydrodynamic systems as follows. The Lax operator of dDym has the form

$$
\lambda(p)=A_{-1} p+A_{0}+A_{1} p^{-1}+A_{2} p^{-2}+A_{3} p^{-3}+\cdots .
$$

Then the dDym hydrodynamic system is [11, 34]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t_{n}}=\left\{\lambda, \Omega_{n}(p)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Omega_{n}(p)=\left(\lambda(p)^{n}\right)_{\geq 2} .
$$

Here ()$_{\geq 2}$ denote the projection of Laurent series onto a linear combination of $\lambda(p)^{n}$ with $n \geq 2$. From the zero curvature equation $\left(t_{3}=t\right.$ and $t_{2}=y$ )

$$
\frac{\partial \Omega_{2}(p)}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial \Omega_{3}(p)}{\partial y}=\left\{\Omega_{2}(p), \Omega_{3}(p)\right\}
$$

where

$$
\Omega_{2}(p)=A_{-1}^{2} p^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{3}(p)=A_{-1}^{3} p^{3}+3 A_{-1}^{2} A_{0} p^{2},
$$

one can get the dispersionless Harry Dym Equation [11]

$$
\frac{\partial A_{-1}}{\partial t}=\frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{A_{-1}}\left[A_{-1}^{2} \partial_{x}^{-1}\left(\frac{A_{-1 y}}{A_{-1}^{2}}\right)\right]_{y} .
$$

Now, considering the $y$-flow, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{y}=\left\{\lambda, A_{-1}^{2} p^{2}\right\}=2 p A_{-1}^{2} \lambda_{x}-\left(A_{-1}^{2}\right)_{x} p^{2} \lambda_{p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{-1 y} & =2 A_{-1}^{2} A_{0 x} \\
A_{0 y} & =2 A_{-1}^{2} A_{1 x}+\left(A_{-1}^{2}\right)_{x} A_{1} \\
& \vdots \\
A_{n y} & =2 A_{-1}^{2} A_{n+1, x}+(n+1)\left(A_{-1}^{2}\right)_{x} A_{n+1} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n=-1,0,1,2,3 \cdots$. Comparing it with the Benney moment chain [23, 36, 44, one calls (3) the dDym moment chain. It's the subject of this paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, one considers the reduction problems and obtains finitely many partial differential equations. In section 3, we prove the semi-Hamiltonian property when using Riemann invariants. In section 4, by imposing a further constraint, one gets some particular reductions. In the final section, we discuss some problems to be investigated.

## 2. The compatibility conditions

In this section, we consider the hydrodynamic reduction problems following [1, 21, 22]. For non-hydrodynamic reductions, one refers to [2].

We assume that the moments $A_{i}$ are functions of only $N$ independent variables $u_{i}$. If the $A_{i}$ satisfy (3), then it's straightforward to show that the mapping

$$
\left(u_{-1}, u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{N-2}\right) \rightarrow\left(A_{-1}, A_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{N-2}\right)
$$

is non-degenerate. Hence without loss of generality we set $u_{-1}=$ $A_{-1}, u_{0}=A_{0}, u_{1}=A_{1}, \cdots, u_{N-2}=A_{N-2}$. The first $N$-moments are the independent variables, while the higher moments are functions of them, i.e.,

$$
A_{k}=A_{k}\left(A_{-1}, A_{0}, \cdots, A_{N-2}\right), \quad k \geq N-1
$$

The equations of motion for $A_{-1}, A_{0}, \cdots, A_{N-2}$ become

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial A_{j}}{\partial y} & =2 A_{-1}^{2} A_{j+1, x}+(j+1)\left(A_{-1}^{2}\right)_{x} A_{j+1}, \quad j \leq N-3  \tag{4}\\
\frac{\partial A_{N-2}}{\partial y} & =2 A_{-1}^{2} A_{N-1, x}+(N-1)\left(A_{-1}^{2}\right)_{x} A_{N-1}  \tag{5}\\
& =2 A_{-1}^{2} \frac{\partial A_{N-1}}{\partial A_{j}} \frac{\partial A_{j}}{\partial x}+(N-1)\left(A_{-1}^{2}\right)_{x} A_{N-1}
\end{align*}
$$

while each higher moment $\left(A_{N-1}, \cdots,\right)$ must satisfy the overdetermined system ( $k \geq N-1$ ) using (4) (5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial A_{k}}{\partial y} & =\sum_{j=-1}^{N-3} \frac{\partial A_{k}}{\partial A_{j}}\left[2 A_{-1}^{2} A_{j+1, x}+(j+1)\left(A_{-1}^{2}\right)_{x} A_{j+1}\right] \\
& +\frac{\partial A_{k}}{\partial A_{N-2}}\left[2 A_{-1}^{2} \sum_{j=-1}^{N-2} \frac{\partial A_{N-1}}{\partial A_{j}} \frac{\partial A_{j}}{\partial x}+(N-1)\left(A_{-1}^{2}\right)_{x} A_{N-1}\right] \\
& =2 A_{-1}^{2}\left[\sum_{j=-1}^{N-2} \frac{\partial A_{k+1}}{\partial A_{j}} \frac{\partial A_{j}}{\partial x}\right]+(k+1)\left(A_{-1}^{2}\right)_{x} A_{k+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $\frac{\partial A_{j}}{\partial x}(j=-1,0,1, \cdots, N-2)$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial A_{k+1}}{\partial A_{j}} & =\frac{\partial A_{k}}{\partial A_{j-1}}+\frac{\partial A_{k}}{\partial A_{N-2}} \frac{\partial A_{N-1}}{\partial A_{j}}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N-2  \tag{6}\\
A_{-1} \frac{\partial A_{k+1}}{\partial A_{-1}} & =\sum_{j=-1}^{N-2} \frac{\partial A_{k}}{\partial A_{j}}(j+1) A_{j+1}+A_{-1} \frac{\partial A_{k}}{\partial A_{N-2}} \frac{\partial A_{N-1}}{\partial A_{-1}}  \tag{7}\\
& -(k+1) A_{k+1}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, letting $k=N-1$ and defining $r=\log A_{-1}$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial A_{N}}{\partial A_{j}} & =\frac{\partial A_{N-1}}{\partial A_{j-1}}+\frac{\partial A_{N-1}}{\partial A_{N-2}} \frac{\partial A_{N-1}}{\partial A_{j}}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N-2  \tag{8}\\
\frac{\partial A_{N}}{\partial r} & =\sum_{j=-1}^{N-2} \frac{\partial A_{N-1}}{\partial A_{j}}(j+1) A_{j+1}+\frac{\partial A_{N-1}}{\partial A_{N-2}} \frac{\partial A_{N-1}}{\partial r}  \tag{9}\\
& -N A_{N}
\end{align*}
$$

The compatibility of (8) and (9) gives a system $\Gamma$ of $\frac{N(N-1)}{2}$ non-linear second order equation for the single known $A_{N-1}\left(A_{-1}, A_{0}, A_{1}, \cdots, A_{N-2}\right)$. One can show that by induction if $\Gamma$ is satisfied then the analogous compatibility for $A_{k}(k \geq N)$ is also derived. Let's investigate the case $N=2$ in more details. Then we have ( $\left.A_{0}=s\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial A_{2}}{\partial s}=A_{1 r} \exp (-r)+A_{1 s}^{2} \\
& \frac{\partial A_{2}}{\partial r}=A_{1} A_{1 s}+A_{1 s} A_{1 r}-2 A_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Cross-differentiating, one gets the quasi-linear second differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1 r r} \exp (-r)+A_{1 s} A_{1 r s}-\left(A_{1}+A_{1 r s}\right) A_{1 s s}+A_{1 r} \exp (-r)  \tag{10}\\
& +\left(A_{1 s}\right)^{2}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Letting

$$
A_{1}=a, \quad A_{1 r}=b, \quad A_{1 s}=c,
$$

one can express (10) as the degenerate non-homogeneous hydrodynamic system

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right)_{r} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -c \exp r & (a+b) \exp r \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right)_{s}  \tag{11}\\
& +\left(\begin{array}{c}
b \\
-b-c^{2} \exp r \\
0
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Define the characteristic speeds as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=\frac{-c \exp r+\sqrt{c^{2} \exp (2 r)+4(a+b) \exp r}}{2} \\
& v=\frac{-c \exp r+\sqrt{c^{2} \exp (2 r)-4(a+b) \exp r}}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A simple calculation yields,

$$
\binom{u}{v}_{r}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
v & 0  \tag{12}\\
0 & u
\end{array}\right)\binom{u}{v}_{s}+\binom{\frac{u v}{v-u}}{\frac{u v}{u-v}},
$$

there being no $a$-term! It is of non-homogeneous hydrodynamic systems of Tsarev-Gibbons type [21, 18] and it has one obvious hydrodynamic type conserved density $(u+v)$. Moreover, according to the theory of

Poisson commuting Hamiltonians [18, one can also find a conserved density of first derivatives:

$$
(u-v)\left[\left(\frac{u_{s}}{u}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{v_{s}}{v}\right)^{2}\right] .
$$

For a given affinor $v_{i}^{j}(u)$ of a hydrodynamic system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial y}=v_{i}^{j}(u) \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can define the Nijenhuis tensor $N_{i j}^{k}(u)$ 35]

$$
N_{i j}^{k}=v_{i}^{s} \frac{\partial v_{j}^{k}}{\partial u_{s}}-v_{j}^{s} \frac{\partial v_{i}^{k}}{\partial u_{s}}+v_{s}^{k} \frac{\partial v_{i}^{s}}{\partial u_{j}}-v_{s}^{k} \frac{\partial v_{j}^{s}}{\partial u_{i}} .
$$

Then we can define the corresponding Haantjes tensor $H_{j k}^{i}(u)$ [24]

$$
H_{j k}^{i}=\left(N_{q p}^{i} v_{k}^{q}-N_{k p}^{q} v_{q}^{i}\right) v_{j}^{p}-\left(N_{q j}^{p} v_{k}^{q}-N_{k j}^{q} v_{q}^{p}\right) v_{p}^{i} .
$$

The system (13) is diagonalized if and only if the Haantjes tensor $H_{j k}^{i}(u)$ vanishes identically and all the eigenvalues of the affinor $v_{i}^{j}(u)$ are real and distinct. That is, there exist $N$-functions $\lambda_{n}$ (Riemann invariants), depending on the variables $u_{i}$, in which the equation (13) is diagonalized

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \lambda_{n}}{\partial y}=V_{n} \frac{\partial \lambda_{n}}{\partial x} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{n}$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix $v_{i}^{j}(u)$, called characteristic speed. For the hydrodynamic system (4) and (5) of the reduced dDym, the Haantjes tensor $H_{j k}^{i}(u)$ vanishes identically whenever the system (8) and (9) is satisfied. Therefore, any consistent reduction of dDym is diagonalizable and then we can use Riemann invariants to discuss problem further.

Finally, one remarks that as in the case of dKP hierarchy [28], a similar argument shows that for the reduced dDym hierarchy (11) we also have the Kodama-Gibbons formulation: the Riemann invariants are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=\lambda\left(q_{n}\right), \quad \text { where } \quad \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial p}\left(q_{n}\right)=0, \quad n=1,2, \cdots, N \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then the hierarchy (1) can be expressed as

$$
\frac{\partial \lambda_{n}}{\partial t_{m}}=\hat{\Omega}_{m}\left(\hat{V}_{n}\right) \frac{\partial \lambda_{n}}{\partial x}
$$

where $\hat{V}_{n}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{N}\right)$ and $\hat{\Omega}_{m}\left(\hat{V}_{n}\right)=\left.\frac{d \Omega_{m}(p)}{d p}\right|_{p=\hat{V}_{n}}$.

## 3. SEmi-Hamiltonian property

In this section, one will prove the semi-Hamiltonian property (Tsarev's condition) [38] of the reduced dDym hierarchy (11) using Riemann invariants. Suppose that each moments $A_{n}$ can be expressed as the Riemann's invariants $\lambda_{i}$, which satisfy the equation $\left(t_{2}=y\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \lambda_{i}}{\partial y}=V_{i} \frac{\partial \lambda_{i}}{\partial x} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
V_{i}=2 A_{-1}^{2} q_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3 \cdots, N .
$$

Then the moment equations (3) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i} A_{n, \lambda_{i}}=2 A_{-1}^{2} A_{n+1, \lambda_{i}}+(n+1) A_{n+1}\left(A_{-1}^{2}\right)_{\lambda_{i}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from (1), we also have, equivalent to (17),

$$
\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial p}\left(A_{-1}^{2}\right)_{x} p^{2}-2 \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} A_{-1}^{2} p
$$

and then, using (16), one obtains, after reshuffling terms,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \lambda_{i}}=p^{2} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial p}\left(A_{-1}^{2}\right)_{\lambda_{i}} \frac{1}{V_{i}+2 A_{-1}^{2} p} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Cross-differentiating, one has $\left(\phi=A_{-1}^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}}\left(V_{i}+2 \phi p\right)\left(V_{j}+2 \phi p\right)^{2}-\phi_{\lambda_{i}} \phi_{\lambda_{j}} 2 \phi p^{2}\left(V_{i}+2 \phi p\right)-\left(V_{j}+2 \phi p\right)^{2} \phi_{\lambda_{i}} \frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial \lambda_{j}} \\
& -2 p \phi_{\lambda_{i}} \phi_{\lambda_{j}}\left(V_{j}+2 \phi p\right)^{2} \\
& =\phi_{\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}}\left(V_{j}+2 \phi p\right)\left(V_{i}+2 \phi p\right)^{2}-\phi_{\lambda_{i}} \phi_{\lambda_{j}} 2 \phi p^{2}\left(V_{j}+2 \phi p\right)-\left(V_{i}+2 \phi p\right)^{2} \phi_{\lambda_{j}} \frac{\partial V_{j}}{\partial \lambda_{i}} \\
& -2 p \phi_{\lambda_{i}} \phi_{\lambda_{j}}\left(V_{i}+2 \phi p\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $p=\frac{-V_{j}}{2 \phi}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial V_{j}}{\partial \lambda_{i}}=\frac{1}{2}(\ln \phi)_{\lambda_{i}}\left[\frac{V_{i} V_{j}}{V_{i}-V_{j}}+V_{j}\right], \quad i \neq j . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, comparing the coefficients of p-power and using (19), we get the only equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}}=\frac{\phi_{\lambda_{i}} \phi_{\lambda_{j}}}{\phi}\left[\frac{V_{i} V_{j}}{\left(V_{j}-V_{i}\right)^{2}}+1\right], \quad i \neq j . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The higher moments $A_{n}$, with $n \geq 0$ can be solved recursively using (17). These equations (19) (20) are compatible and their solutions are parameterized by $2 N$ functions of a single variable.

A direct calculation, using MAPLE, confirms that the reduced equation (16) is semi-Hamiltonian, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{k}}\left(\frac{\frac{\partial V_{j}}{\partial \lambda_{i}}}{V_{j}-V_{i}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{k}}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\ln \phi)_{\lambda_{i}}\left[1-\left(\frac{V_{i}}{V_{j}-V_{i}}\right)^{2}\right]\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{i}}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\ln \phi)_{\lambda_{k}}\left[1-\left(\frac{V_{k}}{V_{j}-V_{k}}\right)^{2}\right]\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{i}}\left(\frac{\frac{\partial V_{j}}{\partial \lambda_{k}}}{V_{j}-V_{k}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i, j, k$ all distinct. Then the reduced equations (16) are thus integrable by the generalized hodograph transformation 38].

## 4. Algebraic Equations and Special Reductions

To investigate the reduction problems, we introduce $A=2 \ln A_{-1}$ to put (19) and (20) in a more compact form $(i \neq j)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial V_{j}}{\partial \lambda_{i}} & =\frac{1}{2} A_{\lambda_{i}}\left[\frac{V_{i} V_{j}}{V_{i}-V_{j}}+V_{j}\right] \\
A_{\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}} & =A_{\lambda_{i}} A_{\lambda_{j}} \frac{V_{i} V_{j}}{\left(V_{j}-V_{i}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

or, noting that $V_{i}=2 A_{-1}^{2} q_{i}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial q_{j}}{\partial \lambda_{i}} & =\frac{1}{2} A_{\lambda_{i}}\left[\frac{q_{i} q_{j}}{q_{i}-q_{j}}-q_{j}\right]  \tag{21}\\
A_{\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}} & =A_{\lambda_{i}} A_{\lambda_{j}} \frac{q_{i} q_{j}}{\left(q_{j}-q_{i}\right)^{2}} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Then as in [21] for the dKP case, we impose two further restrictions on the reduced system (21) and (22). First, from the form (21), we require that the reduced system is translation-invariant in the sense that

$$
\lambda_{i} \rightarrow \lambda_{i}+c \Rightarrow q_{i} \rightarrow q_{i}, \quad A \rightarrow A
$$

or,equivalently,

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta q_{i} & =0  \tag{23}\\
\delta A & =0, \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{i}}$. Secondly, we require the homogeneity of the functions $A$ and $q_{j}$ in the variables $\lambda_{i}$. $A$ should be of weight 0 , and the $q_{j}$ of weight -1 . Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
R q_{i} & =-\frac{1}{\kappa} q_{i}  \tag{25}\\
R \frac{\partial A}{\partial \lambda_{i}} & =-\frac{\partial A}{\partial \lambda_{i}}, \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is a positive integer and $R=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{i}}$. Plugging (22) into (24) (26) and eliminating the second derivative, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\partial A}{\partial \lambda_{i}} & =R \frac{\partial A}{\partial \lambda_{i}}=\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} \lambda_{j} \frac{\partial^{2} A}{\partial \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}}+\lambda_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} A}{\partial \lambda_{i}^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} \lambda_{j} \frac{\partial^{2} A}{\partial \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}}+\lambda_{i}\left(-\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} \frac{\partial^{2} A}{\partial \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}\right) A_{\lambda_{j}} A_{\lambda_{i}} \frac{q_{i} q_{j}}{\left(q_{j}-q_{i}\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, either $A_{\lambda_{i}}=0$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}\right) A_{\lambda_{j}} \frac{q_{i} q_{j}}{\left(q_{j}-q_{i}\right)^{2}}=-1 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, plugging (21) into (23) and (25), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{1}{\kappa} q_{i} & =R q_{i}=\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} \lambda_{j} \frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial \lambda_{j}}+\lambda_{i} \frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial \lambda_{i}} \\
& =\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} \lambda_{j} \frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial \lambda_{j}}-\lambda_{i} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} \frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial \lambda_{j}} \\
& =\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}\right) \frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial \lambda_{j}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}\right) \frac{\partial A}{\partial \lambda_{j}}\left[\frac{q_{i} q_{j}}{q_{j}-q_{i}}-q_{i}\right] . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

The systems (27) and (28) form a $2 N$ algebraic equations for $2 N$ unknowns, the $q_{i}$ and $\frac{\partial A}{\partial \lambda_{i}}$. The solutions of the system are essentially unique. With two Riemann invariants and $\kappa=1$, a simple calculation can yield by carefully choosing the integration constants

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}=-q_{2}=\frac{4}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}, \quad A_{-1}=\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{2}}{16} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (16) we have

$$
\binom{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}_{y}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{3}}{32} & 0  \tag{30}\\
0 & -\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{3}}{32}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}_{x} .
$$

This equation (301) will correspond to the reduction of Lax operator constructed by Riemann-Hilbert method [11, 12 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(p)=A_{-1} p+A_{0}+\frac{1}{p} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider more general Lax reductions of the form for positive integers $m$ and $\kappa$ [11, 13]

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda(p)^{m} & =A_{-1}^{m} p^{m}+w_{m-1} p^{m-1}+w_{m-2} p^{m-2}+\cdots  \tag{32}\\
& +w_{-\kappa+1} p^{-\kappa+1}+p^{-\kappa}, \quad m+\kappa=N
\end{align*}
$$

then from (15) it's not difficult to see that (32) satisfies the conditions (23) (24) (25) (26). Hence its corresponding characteristic speeds and $A_{-1}$ will be one of the solution of the $2 N$ algebraic equation (27) and (28). It would be interesting to know whether any other solutions exist.

Next, one generalizes the operator $R$ in (25) or (26) to the following forms

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{R} & =\sum_{k=1}^{N} \hat{g}_{k}(\vec{\lambda}) \partial_{\lambda_{k}} \hat{h}_{k}(\vec{\lambda}) \\
\hat{R} V_{i} & =-\frac{1}{\kappa} V_{i} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{R} & =\sum_{k=1}^{N} \tilde{g}_{k}(\vec{\lambda}) \partial_{\lambda_{k}} \tilde{h}_{k}(\vec{\lambda}) \\
\tilde{R} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \lambda_{i}} & =-\frac{\partial A}{\partial \lambda_{i}}, \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\vec{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{N}\right)$ and $\left(\hat{g}_{k}, \hat{h}_{k}\right),\left(\tilde{g}_{k}, \tilde{h}_{k}\right)$ are arbitrary functions satisfying the conditions compatible with (23) (24)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \hat{g}_{k}=\delta \hat{h}_{k}=\delta \tilde{g}_{k}=\delta \tilde{h}_{k}=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then a similar calculation can get the following $2 N$ algebraic equations generalizing (27) (28)
$(36) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N}\left(\hat{g}_{j} \hat{h}_{j}-\hat{g}_{i} \hat{h}_{i}\right) A_{\lambda_{j}}\left[\frac{q_{j} q_{i}}{q_{j}-q_{i}}+q_{i}\right]=-\frac{1}{\kappa} V_{i}\left(1+\kappa \sum_{j=1}^{N} \hat{g}_{j} \frac{\partial \hat{h}_{j}}{\partial \lambda_{j}}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N}\left(\tilde{g}_{j} \tilde{h}_{j}-\tilde{g}_{i} \tilde{h}_{i}\right) A_{\lambda_{j}} \frac{q_{i} q_{j}}{\left(q_{j}-q_{i}\right)^{2}}=-\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \tilde{g}_{j} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{j}}{\partial \lambda_{j}}\right) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $N=2$ and $\kappa=1$, simple calculations can show that a suitable choice of $\left(\hat{g}_{1}, \hat{h}_{1}\right),\left(\hat{g}_{2}, \hat{h}_{2}\right),\left(\tilde{g}_{1}, \tilde{h}_{1}\right),\left(\tilde{g}_{2}, \tilde{h}_{2}\right)$, not unique, can also obtain
the solution (29).
Finally, one notices that if we let

$$
\hat{h}_{j}=\tilde{h}_{j}=1
$$

for $j=1,2, \cdots, N$, then we get the weaker condition than (35)

$$
\delta \hat{g}_{k}=\delta \tilde{g}_{k} .
$$

Hence the equations (36) (37) reduce to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N}\left(\hat{g}_{j}-\hat{g}_{i}\right) A_{\lambda_{j}}\left[\frac{q_{j} q_{i}}{q_{j}-q_{i}}+q_{i}\right] & =-\frac{1}{\kappa} V_{i} \\
\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N}\left(\tilde{g}_{j}-\tilde{g}_{i}\right) A_{\lambda_{j}} \frac{q_{i} q_{j}}{\left(q_{j}-q_{i}\right)^{2}} & =-1
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\hat{g}_{j}=\tilde{g}_{j}=\lambda_{j}$, then we can obtain (27) and (28).

## 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We prove the semi-Hamiltonian property of reductions for the dDym and find some particular solutions invariant under translation and homogenity. In spite of the results obtained, there are some interesting issues deserving investigations.

- The integrability and solution structure of the equation (12) (or (10)) is unclear [5, 18, 19, 20]. It is not difficult to see that (12) can be extended to :

$$
\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial r}=r_{i} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial s}+\frac{u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{N}}{\Pi_{k \neq i}\left(u_{k}-u_{i}\right)}, \text { where } \quad r_{i}=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} u_{k}\right)-u_{i} .
$$

One hopes issue these problems elsewhere.

- In 31, the algebraic reductions for dKP are found and in [25, 43], the waterbag reduction(non-algebraic) for dKP is also found. If we define

$$
A_{n}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n} f(q, x, y) d q, \quad n=-1,0,1,2, \cdots
$$

then we obtain

$$
\lambda=p^{2}\left(P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f / q}{p-q} d q\right), \quad f=f(q, x, y)
$$

where $P \int$ denotes the Cauchy principal value of the integral. Also,from (38), the "distribution" function $f(x, y, q)$ must satisfy the Vlasov-like equation [23, 37, 44]

$$
f_{y}=2 A_{-1}^{2} q f_{x}-\left(A_{-1}^{2}\right)_{x} q^{2} f_{q}=\left\{f, A_{-1}^{2} q^{2}\right\}_{x, q}
$$

Comparing (39) and (22), we can assume $f=F(\lambda)$ for any function $F$. Hence the Lax operator $\lambda$ will satisfy the nonlinear singular integral equation

$$
\lambda=p^{2}\left(P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F(\lambda) / q}{p-q} d q\right) .
$$

This equation will help us find the (non-)algebraic reductions [22, 34], study the initial value problem for d-Dym as in the case of dKP 42, 43] and it needs further investigations.
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