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## Introduction

Study of spectral uctuations in a quantum dynam ical system was in itiated by W igner in 1956 [1]. H e provided explanation of observed shortage of close spacing in nuclear energy levels using statistical argum ents. For exam ple, one can obtain precise inform ation about levels ranging from num ber $N$ (of order $10^{6}$ ) to $N+n$ from neutron-capture experim ents in heavy nuclei. T heoretically, it is di cult to analyse levels of this order on the basis of shell structure and collective or individual particle quantum numbers. W igner successfilly shown that the highly exited states can be understood by assum ing a working hypothesis that all shell structure is w ashed out and that no quantum num bers other than spin and parity rem ains good via statistical theory of energy levels. The aim of statistical theory is not to predict the detailed sequence of levels, but to describe the general appearance and the degree of irregularity of the level structure in the given quantum system.

This view led W igner to surm ise a possible spacing distribution based on the assum ption that $m$ atrix elem ents of the $H$ am iltonian $m$ atrix were unknow $n$ and unknowable and are random ly distributed. Success of $W$ igner surm ise in explaining the spacing betw een energy eigenvalues resulted in further developm ent of a one of the tools to study statistical properties of levels, know $n$ as $R$ andom $M$ atrix $T$ heory (see $[2,3]$ ).

The random $m$ atrix theory of H am iltonian system s is based on the assum ption that we know very liltle about the dynam ics of the given system except certain sym $m$ etry properties. T hese sym $m$ etry properties im pose restrictions on the form of the $H$ am iltonian $m$ atrix. B ased on the sym $m$ etry properties a norm alised probability distribution on the elem ents of the H am iltonian $m$ atrix can be obtained using a $m$ etric in the space ofm atrix elem ents
which is invariant under a sim ilarity transform ation. T he joint probability distribution is chosen to m inim ize inform ation about the H am iltonian m atrix and sub jected to the condition that it is nom alized to one and has elem ents that remain nite.

For realsym m etric H am iltonians (e.g. system shaving tim e reversal sym $m$ etry, , the sim ilarity transform ation is orthogonal and the probability distribution is said to be described by a G aussian O rthogonalE nsem ble (GOE) of H am iltonian m atrices. For other interesting cases such as Hem itian and realquatemion H am iltonians, the sim ilarity transform ations are unitary and sym plectic respectively. The probability distribution of these is said to be described by Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE) and Gaussian Sym plectic Ensembles (G SE).

There are num ber of statistical properties of eigenvalues of random m atrices that are com $m$ only used in the analysis of spectral properties. For, exam ple spacing distribution (or nearest neighbour spacing), the 3 statistic (or spectral rigidity) of yson and M ehta $[2,3]$.
$R$ andom $m$ atrix theory (RM T) have been successful in explaining universality in spectral uctuations (up to second order correlation) for di erent classes of chaotic system s as above in an appropriate high energy lim it. RM T is expected to be applicable only on those tim e scales where the variables associated w ith the classical dynam ics are random enough, to fully random ize the $m$ atrices, associated $w$ th the corresponding quantum operators. $M$ oreover, due to underlying assum ptions on which fram ew ork of RM T is build, it is not expected to shed any light on non-universal behaviour of spectral uctuations whidh is the characteristic of a given system .

A new dim ension is added to the study ofspectralproperties of a quantum system after the con jecture of Percival [4] that there exist a regular and an irregular quantum spectra corresponding to the integrable an non integrable classical dynam ics. This indication that the nature of classical dynam ics can have its im prints in the behaviour of a corresponding quantum system provided a new direction to study of statistical properties of levels.

C lassical H am iltonian system s can display wide variety of dynam ical features from integrability to chaos. Before the w orks of P oincare, classical dynam ics was viewed as a paradigm of regularity and predictability. T he works by P oincare, and subsequently by Lyapunov, B irkho and others opened the com plicated world of classical dynam ics. W ithin a few years after these concept of determ inism was abandoned from classicalm echanics. The concept about classicalm otion changed radically and led to birth ofw hat is now adays
called chaos. B roadly now, classical system s can be classi ed as one show ing com plete integrability and others show ing either com plete chaos or m ixed behaviour.

For integrable (or regular) system $s$ all constants of $m$ otion exist everywhere in the phase space. A typicaltra jectory resides on a torus like constant energy surface. O n other hand one in case of com pletely chaotic system s no constant of $m$ otion exist and a typical trajectory will occupy whole phase space available. M ost of the classical system s, how ever, belong to an interm ediate regim e betw een these extrem es, show ing a m ixed behaviour. Typically, som e of the trajectories will show regular behaviour winding around tori. T hese trajectories are separated by the other orbits that explore constant energy surface ergodically.

There are also $m$ any $H$ am iltonian system sthat are just step aw ay from the com plete integrability, known as pseudo-integrable system s. For these system $s$ constants ofm otion do not exist on a countable set of singular points. $T$ hese system shave $f$-dim ensional (f being dim ension of the system) sphere $w$ ith $g$ handles as an invariant surface embedded in $2 f$-dim ensional phase space. Typical exam ples of pseudo-integrable system s are rational of polygonalbilliards, where a particle m oves freely inside polygonal enclosure whose each angle is of form $n$, re ecting specularly from the walls. Results of som e recent studies indicate that generally one can expect coexistence of al$m$ ost integrable and alm ost chaotic regions in the $m$ ixed phase space. In this work we are concemed with spectral properties of these system s.

The im prints of classicalbehaviour on a quantum system can best be understood via sem iclassical techniques which provides a inform ation regarding quantum dynam ics in term sof properties of corresponding classical system. Sem iclassical techniques had been developed since the beginning of the quantum $m$ echanics. D ue to easiness $w$ ith classicalm echanics the search for connections.betw een classical and quantum $m$ echanics has been naturally sought for. TheW KB approxim ation was the rstm ethod built to extract quantum $m$ echanical properties using inform ation of classical orbits. T he recent interest in non-integrable system s further initiated developm ent of sem iclassical techniques which are applicable for large class of classical system s.

In this work we will consider dynam ical system s as mentioned above know $n$ as pseudointegrable billiards. D ue to $m$ athem atical intractability of these system S , few exact results are know n . N um erical studies perform ed on the energy spectra of these system $s$ led to the belief that the spectral statistic is interm ediate betw een those for the Poisson ensemble and the GOE of
random $m$ atrices. H ow ever, the results on various $m$ easures of the spectral statistics as analysed from the periodic orbit theory have been indicative only and do not bring out a com plete or explicit picture of underlying correlations. M oreover, num erical studies have to deal w ith nite num ber of levels only. T here is thus possibility that num erical results m ay not represent asym ptotic trend.

O ur aim here is to extend sem iclassical form alism to obtain explicit analyticalexpressions for various im portant m easures of spectral statistics. This will therefore can bring out dependence of spectral properties on di erent system dependent param eters.

P lan of the thesis is as follow s:
In chapter one we will discuss $m$ ain issues involved in developm ent of proper sem iclassical fram ew ork that can be applicable for w ide class of system s. The problem w ith W K B or sim ilar m ethods developed earlier is that they w ere applicable only for system s that are separable. E xistence caustics prohibits these $m$ ethods to be useful beyond short tim e scale. M ajor im provem ent in sem iclassicalm ethods has been taken place via P ath Integrals which ultim ately leads to a sem iclassical approxim ation to density of states in term $s$ of sum $m$ ation over periodic onbits of the classical system .

As the periodic orbits and their attributes such as stability, action etc. becom es im portant in establishing a sem iclassical approxim ation, study of the nature of classical system in question becom es mandatory. In the case of polygonal billiards there exist a large body of know ledge about classical behaviour in the literature. Som e of these are very helpful in the study of periodic orbits and their various properties that are relevant to sem iclassical $m$ ethods. T hese will be discussed in chapter two.

In chapter three we take up the rst task [5]: detailed study of periodic orbits in som e pseudointegrable billiards. Exploiting geom etrical properties of the billiards considered we develop a m odi ed version of intervalexchange m aps, called as polar m aps. From these $m$ aps we show how to classify and enum erate all the periodic orbits of these billiards. W e will also quantify actions and other param eters required for the sem icalssical study.

In chapter four a very im portant attribute of periodic orbit i.e. grow th
rate (w ith actions, periods or lengths) of periodic orbits is studied [6]. W e obtain exact (asym ptotic) law for som e pseudointegrable billiards. W e show that this law is quadratic in length and determ ine constants associated w ith it. Som e generalization of this law is also suggested.

U sing inform ation gathered in chapter 3 and 4 we obtain explicit analytical expression for two point correlation function and its fourier transform in chapter ve. G enerally sem icalssical study ends after establishing a sum over periodic onbits. This does not bring out dependence of spectral uctuations on the various attributes of periodic orbits explicitly. W e here, go further to carry out this sum $m$ ation by converting it into a integration via proper $m$ easure, whidh enables us to obtain analytical form s for spectral uctuation $m$ easures. T his also brings out role played by grow th rate of periodic onbits explicitly.

In chapter six we use two point correlation function to obtain short and interm ediate range spectral $m$ easures such as spacing distribution, num ber variance and spectral rigidity [7]. W ew ill also com pare our results w ith som e num erical experim ents.

In the concluding chapter we discuss our results and outline som e of the future research activities in this eld.
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## C hapter 1

## M odern A pproaches to Sem i-C lassicalQ uantization

### 1.1 Introduction:

Sem i-classicalm ethods have been developed since the beginning of quantum $m$ echanics because of the im portance of the $m$ odels based on classical particles and elds. B ohr and Som m erfeld in itiated these $m$ ethods to quantize atom ic system s . H ow ever their $m$ ethods im plicitly assum ed separability between the di erent intemal degrees of freedom and hence were of lim ited utility since $m$ ost of the classical system $s$ are not separable[1]. For exam ple even the three-body helium atom problem can not conform to the BohrSom $m$ erfeld schem ew ithout further assum ptions. T here were $m$ any attem pts to resolve this problem e.g. see [2]. H ow ever, m a jor developm ent in this direction had taken place w ith the advent of $H$ eisenberg's $m$ atrix $m$ echanics and of Schrodinger's wave m echanics.

The Schrodinger wave equation of quantum $m$ echanics tums out to be extrem ely useful in the developm ent of sem i-classical theory since it allow s a discussion of the relation to classical $m$ echanics along the lines sim ilar to the relation between w ave optics and geom etric optics, albeit in the m ultidi$m$ ensional phase space rather then in the three dim ensional physical space. This sim ilarity was developed by Brillouin, $W$ entzel and $K$ ram ers for one degree of freedom (well known as W K B approxim ation) based of well developed $m$ athem atical fram ew ork of asym ptotic $m$ ethods of linearm athem atical physics [3, 4]. For larger system s that are separable and reducible to several
one-degree of freedom system $s$ variant of this $m$ ethod (EW K B approxim ation) was developed.

Since sem i-classical $m$ ethods carry out the quantization on the basis of know ledge of the classical motion, they are susceptible to the di culties caused by the non-linearities of H am ilton's equations of classical m e chanics. The inapplicability of standard W K B m ethods to non-linear system s prom pted further developm ent of sem i-classicalm ethods[12, 13]. These $m$ ethods known as the periodic onbit quantization have been applied to a large variety of system s . These m ethods basically use a sem i-classical approxim ations of the trace of the resolvent of the quantum H am ilton operator. This trace form ula, which establishes link betw een the quantum operator and classical periodic orbits, can be used to obtain approxim ate values of quantum eigenenergies forw ide class ofsystem $s$. The trace form ula which contains di erent term s depending on the nature and on the stability of the periodic orbits. It not only im proves the accuracy of sem i-classicalm ethods but also provides a fram ew ork for the description of di raction, non-linear stability e ects, bifurcation of periodic orbits etc.

In this thesis we will discuss the im prints of nature of classical H am iltonian system on the corresponding quantum m echanical system, in particular on the collective properties of quantal eigenenergies. Wewill use the fram ework of sem i-classical $m$ ethods for our study. Before com ing to the $m$ ain them e, we w illdiscuss in this chapter som e im portant issues in $m$ odem sem iclassicalm ethods.

### 1.2 Short-w avelength A sym ptotics of ch codinger Equation :

C onsider the Cauchy problem with rapidly oscillating initial data for the Schrodinger equation [4, 7]

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { ih } \frac{@(q ; t)}{@ t} & =\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} r^{2}(q ; t)+V(q) \quad(q ; t)  \tag{1.1}\\
\dot{t} & =0=A_{0}(q) \exp \left(\frac{i}{h} S_{0}(q)\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q 2 R^{n}$, functions $V(q) ; S_{0}(q)$ are real valued and in nitely di erentiable, $A_{0}(q)$ is in nitely di erentiable w ith com pact support. O ne can seek
an asym ptotic solution of the problem ash! 0and q $2 \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$; $0 \quad \mathrm{t}$ T. The equation (12) can be regarded as the leading term of an asym ptotic expansion in $h$, in which $O(h)$ term $s$ are neglected. It is also assum ed that an asym ptotic solution of equation (1.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q ; t)=A(q ; t) \exp \frac{i}{h} S(q ; t) \tag{1,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is valid only for the short elapsed time $t$, after which it should be replaced by the sum of higher order term $s$ in an asym ptotic expansion. The reason for this single term break dow $n$ is form ation of caustic which occur at tim e of order $\left(\Lambda^{0}\right)$. H ow ever for su ciently longer tim e above assum $p-$ tion breaks dow $n$ altogether. W e w ill elaborate these issues in the follow ing paragraphs.

Substituting equation (1.3) in the Schrodinger equation and neglecting term soforder ( h ) and higher, we get the tim e-dependent H am ilton-Jacobi(H $J)$ equation for the action $S(q ; t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{q} ; \frac{\varrho S(q ; t)}{@ q} ; t+\frac{\varrho S(q ; t)}{@ t}=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is $H$ am iltonian and the canonical $m$ om entum $p$ of $H(q ; p ; t)$ is replaced by $p=@ S=@ q$. The order ( h ) term gives am plitude transport equation for $A$ or the continuity equation for $(q ; t)=7 A(q ; t)\}_{\text {as }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@(q ; t)}{@ t}+\frac{@}{@ q}[(q ; t) v(q ; t)]=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the velocity eld $v=@ H(q ; p ; t)=@ p$ with $p=@ S=@ q$. O ne then solves equation (1.4) for $S(q ; t)$ and use this $S$ to solve equation (1.5).

The H-J equation is typical ofnon-linear equations, hence has a bew iddering variety of solutions. A $m$ ong them are the com plete integrals which gives all the tra jectories of the system. A s we are concemed w th those solutions that have a relationship to a quantum $m$ echanicalwave function, it is desirable to nd a way of de ning $S$ such that it will be a single valued function of its variables. The rst step to do this is to use phase space description of trajectories $[5,6]$. The concept of Lagrangian $M$ anifold becom es im portant here.

### 1.2.1 Lagrangian M anifold:

The initialaction $S_{0}(q)$ and $m$ om entum eld $p_{0}=@ S_{0}=@ q$ can be viewed as a vector eld on the f-dim ensionalcon guration space. This eld represents the initial m om enta of the swam of particles whose initial density is given by $0(q)=\mathcal{A}_{0}(q) f$. This swarm of particles and vector eld constitute the classical and sem i-classical interpretation of the initial action function in con guration space. Since the $m$ om entum eld imposes $f$ independent constraints on the 2 f variables ( $q ; p$ ) of the phase space, initial swam of the particles lies on the $f$-dim ensional surface 0 . The surface 0 is graph of the function $p=p_{0}(q)$ i.e. the set of points in phase space of the form ( $q ; p_{0}(q)$ ). This surface satisfy properties of Lagrangian $m$ anifold, , the form alde nition of which is as follows:

De nition 1 Lagrangian $m$ anifold is a $f$ dim ensionalsurface in 2f-dim ensional phase space such that $8(q ; p) 2$ and for $8 \bar{z}$ ( $q ; p$ ) tangent to at ( $q ; p$ ) representing a sm all displacem ent, the action of the sym plectic form (i.e. di erential2-form) on all ${ }^{-}$; $\bar{z}_{\text {i }} 2 \mathrm{f} \overline{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{g}$ de ned as
where $\underline{\underline{J}}$ is unit sym plectic $m$ atrix.
A 11 the curves in the 2 -dim . phase space are Lagrangian $m$ anifolds. The concept is therefore really needed form ultidim ensional problem s. It can be im $m$ ediately seen that surfaces $q=$ const: or $p=$ const: are . Since the value ofsym plectic form of (1.6) is invariant under canonicaltransform ations every is a constant $q$ or constant $p$ surface in som e set of canonical 0 ordinates. The graph of any curl-free $m$ om entum eld is surface. The converse is how ever, not true, since $m$ ay contain points at which derivatives in the curl-free condition (ix: $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{Cq}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ) are not de ned. Such points are generally associated with caustics.

The f-dim ensional vectors $q$ and $p$ can be regarded as sm ooth functions of $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2} ;::::: ; u_{f}\right), " C o$-ordinates" labelling of . The variables $q(u)$ are locally invertible if Jacobian joq=@pjdo not vanish. T hen and only then one can de nep $(q)=p(u(q))$, a function of $q$ on . If above Jacobian vanishes then

$$
\frac{\varrho p_{i}}{@ q_{j}}={ }_{k}^{x} \frac{\varrho p_{i}}{@ u_{k}} \frac{\varrho u_{k}}{@ q_{j}}
$$

will behave badly. In 1 dimension since @p=@uk $\quad 0$ it $w i l l$ diverge. In higher dim ensions som ef the eigenvalues of $₫ p=@ u] m$ ay vanish at the sam e place where som e of the eigenvalues of [@q=@u] also vanish, resulting in the com plicated behaviour. A phase space vector $z$ tangent to can be $w$ rilten in the $u \quad c o$-ordinates $a s @ z=\frac{@ q}{@ u} u ; \frac{@ p}{@ u} u$. If the $m$ atrix [@q=@u] is singular, then for all $u \in 0$ and $q=0, z$ has vanishing components in the q-com ponents resulting in a caustic in the con guration space. The order of caustic or the num ber of null eigenvectors $u$, is given by corrank of the m atrix [@q=@u]. T he order of caustic as well as set of points for which @q=@u = 0 are independent of the choice of $u$ on. The im portant theorem about is

Theorem 1 A region of which is free of singular points if projected on con guration space gives curl-free $m$ om entum eld.[4, 7]

Since ow preserves the sym plectic structure (cf. Liouville Theorem) evolves into another ${ }^{0}$ under time evolution. A ny function $S(q)$ which satisfy $p(q)=@ S=@ q$ on $w$ ill now be called generating function of .

Theorem 2 On a region of, free of singular points, one can de ne unique generating function up to additive constant.[7]

This additive constant is usually associated w ith phase conventions in sem i-classical applications. For , having region of singular points, one can divide into sub-regions which extend up to and separated by the caustics as show $n$ in $F$ ig.1.1. Each such region corresponds to a distinct branch of the $m$ om entum eld $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{b}}(q)$ and associated generating function $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b}}(q)$ up to its ow $n$ additive constant. O ne can de ne action function $S(q ; p)$ on itself, as the line integral p dq along the contourbelonging to the and taken relative to an arbitrary initial point, see Fig. 12. This can be done by dem anding that the di erent functions $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b}}(q)$ approach one another at the caustic dividing branches. In this way one can also link som e or all of the additive constants together. The function $S(q ; p)$ will be multi-valued not only due to caustics but also due to non-trivial (e.g. m ulti-connectedness) topology of . The generating function in general is speci c to the choice of co-ordinates Some consist entirely of caustic points (e.g. $x=$ const: in 1-dim ension). Such $m$ anifolds do not have generating function $S(x)$. H ow ever, w ith respect to other co-ordinate system s one can de ne a generating function for such


Fig.1.1: The existance of a caustic point ( $\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{p}_{0}$ ) on a Lagrangian manifold $\Lambda$, leads to a multivalued momentum field, $p=p(x)$, shown here by points 1,2 for one degree of freedom.


Fig.1.2:An action function $S(x, p)$ can be defined at the phase space points ona Lagrangian manifold along a contour confined to the manifold, relative to point "0". This action function becomes multivalued upon projection onto configuration space, but is continuous at caustics.
surfaces. The locations of the caustic points are relative to the representation being used. This fact plays im portant role in the W KB theory. It is possible to cover every (Fig.1.3) w ith overlapping regions such that every region is caustic free in som e representation obtained from a com mutating $m$ ixture of $q^{\prime}$ s and $p^{\prime}$ s. A whole fam ily of wave functions, such as a com plete set of com m utating observables, will reproduce a whole fam ily of $m$ anifolds param eterized by som e set of param eters $=(1 ; 2 ; 3 ;::::::$; f $): T$ hus phase space is foliated up into $f$-param eter fam ily of $f$-dim ensional $m$ anifolds. The function $S(q ;)$ is one of the generating functions of canonical transform ations in classicalm echanics.

### 1.2.2 Solution of $H-J$ and A mplitude Transport Equations:

Now consider an initial Lagrangian $m$ anifold ${ }^{\circ}$, free of singular points, obtained from initial action $S\left(q^{\circ} ; t^{0}\right)=S_{0}\left(q^{\circ}\right)$ and $p\left(q^{\circ} ; t^{\circ}\right)=@ S\left(q^{\circ} ; t^{\circ}\right)=@ q^{\circ}$ : Each point of ${ }^{\circ}$ evolves under $H$ am itton's equations, $m$ apping into another
${ }^{\infty}$ at time $t^{\infty}$. If ${ }^{\infty}$ is also free of singular points then one can de ne a generating function $S\left(q^{\infty} ; t^{0}\right)$, which is also a solution of $H$ $J$ equation, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(q^{\infty} ; t^{0}\right)=S\left(q^{0} ; t^{0}\right)+R\left(q^{\infty} ; t^{0}: q^{0} ; t^{0}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R\left(q^{\infty} ; t^{0}: q^{0} ; t^{0}\right)={\underset{q}{ } q^{0} ; t^{0}}_{q^{\infty}}^{[0} \quad$ dq $\left.\quad H d t\right]$ is Ham ilton's principal function.
 required initial conditions.

Since the particle density is conserved one can write $\left(q^{0} ; t^{0}\right) d q^{\infty}=\left(q^{0} ; t^{0}\right) d q^{0}$, and since $=$ A $\}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(q^{\infty} ; t^{\infty}\right)=A\left(q^{0} ; t^{0}\right) \operatorname{det} \frac{@ q^{0}}{\varrho q^{\infty}}= \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the absolute sign in this equation required only when one considers $m$ any branches.

Thus the W KB solution to the C auchy problem can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{\infty} ; t^{\infty}\right)=A\left(q^{0} ; t^{0}\right)^{"} \operatorname{det} \frac{\varrho q^{q^{\#_{1}}=2}}{\left(q^{\infty}\right.}{ }^{\infty} \exp \frac{i}{h}\left[S\left(q^{0} ; t^{0}\right)+R\left(q^{\infty} ; t^{\infty}: q^{0} ; t^{0}\right)\right] \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 1.3: In one degree of freedom, configuration space caustics ( $2 \& 2^{\prime}$ ) and momentum space causics ( $1 \& 1^{\prime}$ ) never occur at the same place. In higher degrees of freedom also there always exist a representation in which a given point is caustic free. Thus a Lagrangian manifold can always be covered by overlapping regions (e.g. $A, B, C, D$ ) that are caustic-free.

This expression diverges when $q^{\infty}$ is at caustic (ie. det@ $q^{0}=@ q^{\infty}$ diverges) of ${ }^{\infty}$. This is ilhustrated in $F$ ig. 1.4 for one degree of freedom. This divergence represents a non uniform ity in the variables $\left(q^{\infty} ; t^{0}\right)$ of in $h$, since the error in ; ! 0 , like $h$, as $h$ ! 0 for xed $\left(q^{\infty} ; t^{\infty}\right)$, however it goes to in nity for xed h as ( $q^{\infty} ; t^{\infty}$ ) approadhes caustic. Though the solution near caustic is not valid one can continue the solution through the divergence. H ow ever, function $q^{0}\left(q^{\infty} ; t^{0} ; t^{0}\right)$ is then $m u l t i-v a l u e d$. By passing through caustic the
 nary after rst caustic pass. O ne can absorb this im aginary part into a phase factor as $\exp (i=2)$, forcing $A$ to be positive. The here is well known as $M$ aslov index. C onsidering $m$ ultiplicity of orbits arriving at $q^{\infty}$ at tim e $t^{\infty}$, one can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{\infty} ; t^{\infty}\right)={ }_{b}^{x} A_{b}\left(q^{\infty} ; t^{\infty}\right) \exp \frac{i}{h} S_{b}\left(q^{\infty} ; t^{\infty}\right) \quad i_{b}- \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To com pute indiges ${ }^{0}$ S one needs to considerm om entum -space wave function which isFourier transform ofa con guration-space function. The Fourier transform integral is evaluated by stationary phase approxim ation. T his leads to the $m$ om entum-space function

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p)=\exp \quad i \frac{-}{4} j \operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{M}}(q) j^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \frac{i}{h}[S(q) \quad q \quad p] \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

 integer given by the index of inertia of m inus num ber of negative eigenvalues). If do not have singular points in say, $X$ representation but do have a caustic in $P$ representation, then at them om entum-space caustic detM (q)! 0, hence changes discontinuously across the caustic by $2 n$, since num ber of eigenvalues ofM which vanish at caustic is sam e as the order " n " of the caustic. Therefore relative phase shift between the two branches will have form $\exp (i=2)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p)=\exp \quad i 0_{4}^{x} \quad E_{b}(p) \exp \frac{i}{h} S_{b}(p) \quad i_{b}-\frac{1}{2} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 0 is index of inertia of one of the branches for which $=0$, and $S_{b}(p)=S_{b}(q) \quad q \quad p_{b}=\left(e_{b}(p)\right)^{1=2} w$ th $e_{b}(p)=b_{b}(q) j d e t @ q=@ p j$.

Thus the relative phase shifts between branches can be determ ined by sw itching to a representation which is caustic free. Considering any two


Fig. 1.4: The orbit $a$, has reached a caustic, $b$ has not yet while $c$, has already passed one. After caustic deveoped $x$ and $p$ at corres ponding point (e.g. $a^{\prime}$ ) becomes multivalued.
branches the phases of the two branches of $b\left(p^{\infty} ; t^{\infty}\right)$ can be written as $\mathbb{S}_{b}(p) \quad i_{b}=2+i_{b}=4$. Dem anding continuity of phases across caustic in caustic free representation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=1+\frac{2 \quad 1}{2}: \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bounds on 4 , change in across a caustic is then $n 4+n, n$ being order of caustic. By extending process, it is possible to associate total 4 m easured between the end points of a curve segm ent on ; which may cross a num ber of caustic and straddle several branches. The quantity 4 associated w ith a directed curve segm ent of is the $M$ aslov index of that segm ent. The index depends only on the geom etry of and its' projection on con guration space. It also depends on the representation chosen.

The m ethod discussed in this section is simple and allow s us to derive severalim portant expressions. H ow ever, caustics are causing such di culties that form ulation has restricted applicability. The determ inant $\operatorname{det}(@ p=@ q$ ) plays an im portant role in the form ulation which becom es singular at caustic. At caustic, the $m$ ethod ofm atching $m$ entioned above uses di erent equations than preceding ones. This $m$ ethod is also di cult to apply for higher order term $s$ in $h$ expansion. For this reason it is advantageous to reform ulate the problem in term s of $P$ ath Integrals.

### 1.3 P ath Integrals and Sem i-classicalLim it

An altemate sem i-classical quantization technique via Feynm an $P$ ath Integral gained $m$ om entum due to problem s discussed in the previous section. The rst hint regarding this com es from the work of Selberg [8] who obtained a path integral form ulation for a particle $m$ oving on a Riem ann surface of negative curvature. On the short tim e scales path integrals had been used to study sem i-classical integrable system $s[9,10]$. A fter overcom ing the problem w ith caustics the sem i-classical path integralw as extended to long tim es[11]. These e orts culm inated in the trace form ulae of $G$ utzw illar, Balian and B loch, as well as Berry and Tabor [12,13,14]. The trace form ulas are am ong the very few theoretical results of any generality that connects quantum me chanics w th classicalm echanics via sem i-classical approxim ation for quantal density of states of a bound quantum system, in term $s$ of a sum over the periodic orbits of corresponding classical system. In this section we brie y outline these developm ents.

### 1.3.1 The resolvent operator and its' singu larities

Consider [15] time evolution of equation (1.1), which is linear in nature. $T$ herefore we can de ne a linear operator $\hat{U}\left(t ; t^{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(q)=\hat{U}\left(t ; t^{0}\right) \quad t^{0}(q) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $\hat{U}$ known as evolution operator, obeys the Schodinger equation (1.1), w th the initial condition $\hat{U}\left(t^{0} ; t^{0}\right)=\hat{I}$, an identity operator on the space of state vectors. The H am ittonian de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{t}=x_{j=1}^{f} \frac{h^{2}}{2 m_{j}} r_{j}^{2}+V(q) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a Herm itian operator $\left(\hat{H}=\hat{H}^{y}\right)$; which implies $@_{t} h{ }_{t}(q) j_{t}(q) i=0$. Hence the evolution operator $\hat{U}$ is unitary $\hat{U} \hat{y}^{y} \hat{U}=I: T$ he form al solution for $\hat{U}^{\hat{\prime}}\left(t ; t^{0}\right)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\hat{I} \quad \frac{i}{h}_{t^{0}}^{Z^{t}} d s \hat{H}_{s} \hat{U}\left(s ; t^{0}\right) \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case of tim e-independent $\hat{H}_{t}$ one can solve this equation by iterations to obtain the D yson series for the operator which nally reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\exp \frac{i \hat{H}(t \quad e)^{\prime}}{h}: \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The evolution operator also obeys group property $\hat{U}\left(t ; t^{0}\right) \hat{U}\left(t^{\infty} ; t^{0}\right)=\hat{U}\left(t ; t^{0}\right)$ : ( U sing this we can set $t^{0}=0$ ). O ne can introduce evolution operators for ''forward'' and ' 'badkward'' propagation in time such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t) \hat{U}(t)=\hat{U} \quad(t) ; \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $t$ ) is the H eaviside step function (i.e. $\quad(t)=18 t>0$; ( $t$ ) $=08 t<$ $0)$. The form of (1.17) is indication of conjugacy ofenergy and tim e variables as involved in Fourier transform. Thus one can describe a system either in the tim e-dom ain or in the energy dom ain. Both of these description are related by a Fourier transform .

The Fourier transform of the $\hat{U} \quad(t)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{G}(\mathbb{E}) & =\frac{i}{h}^{\mathbb{R}} d t \exp \frac{\mathrm{itE}}{h}^{\circ} \hat{U} \quad(t) \\
& =\frac{i}{h}_{0}^{\hat{1}} d t \exp \frac{\frac{\text { it }(\mathbb{E} \hat{H})}{h}}{} \tag{1.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E$ is the eigenvalue of the stationary Schrodinger equation $\hat{H} j(E) i=$ E $j$ ( $E$ ) i, obtained from taking Fourier transform of (1.1). The Integral (1.19) does not exist, hence $G$ reen operator does not have $m$ eaning as an operator-valued functions, but can be $m$ eaningfiul as operator-valued distribution. W e can rede ne $\hat{G}$ (E) by replacing $E$ ! $E \quad i \quad ; \quad 0$ (i.e. by analytical continuation in com plex E surface), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{G}(E)=\lim _{!}(\mathbb{E} \quad \text { i } \hat{H})^{1} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ob ject $\hat{G}(z)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}z & \hat{H}\end{array}\right)^{1}$ is known as resolvent of $\hat{H}$. The operators $\hat{G}$ ( $E$ ) are now called as forw ard and backw ard $G$ reen operators. The resolvent in bounded, except for the values of $z$ which corresponds to the spectrum of $H$ am iltonian. Since, eigenvalues of the $H$ am iltonian, being a $H$ erm itian operator, are real and $(t)+(t)=1$, one can write evolution operator via inverse Fourier transform of $\hat{G}(z)$ as a contour integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U} \quad(t)=\frac{1}{2 i}^{z} d z \exp \frac{i+z}{h} \hat{G}(z): \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fort> 0 ( $\mathrm{t}<\mathrm{0}$ ), the contribution of the contour $\mathrm{C}^{+}$(C ) is zero. The resolvent has tw o types of singularities on the realaxes. The discrete spectrum of $\hat{H}$ results in poles on the real axis below an energy threshold $E_{0}$. The C ontinuous spectrum on other hand results in the branch points (in general of order two, since energy is related to $m$ om entum by relation $E=E_{c}+p^{2}=2 m$ ) at real energies $\mathrm{fE}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{g}$ : T hus spectral decom position of the evolution operator can be carried out in term s of a sum over bound states $j$ bi and sum over $_{n}$ continuous states $j$ c ( $E$ ) i; of di erent channels $C$, with eigenvalues $\exp \frac{\operatorname{iE}_{\mathrm{n}} t}{\mathrm{~h}}$; $\exp \quad \frac{i \mathrm{IE}^{\mathrm{h}}}{\mathrm{h}}$ respectively, as[17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}(t)={ }_{b}^{x} j_{b} i \exp \frac{\mathrm{iE}_{b} t}{h^{\prime}} h_{b j}{ }_{c_{E_{c}}}^{x^{z t}} d E j_{c}(E) i \exp \quad \frac{i E t}{h} h_{c}(E) j \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he eigenfunctions of $\hat{U}$ are also the eigenfunctions of $\hat{H}$. The energy eigenvalues are then poles of the $G$ reen operator, and hence also of its trace [16]. C onsidering bounded system s only we can w rite the expression for the level density (or density of states) $d(E)$ as

The leveldensity is derivative of the staircase function de ned as ${\underset{P}{f}}_{\text {the num ber }}$ of eigenvalues below energy $E: N(E)=N$ um ber $\mathrm{fE}_{\mathrm{n}}<\mathrm{E} g={ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad$ (E $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ): The singularities of the level density on the real axis give eigenvalues of the discrete spectrum of $\hat{H}$ : It is di cult to establish exact quantum me chanical expression for the evolution operator. T he path integral form ulation is proved to be quite useful in establishing an expression for the evolution operator and a propagator.

### 1.3.2 A P ropagator and P ath Integral

In position representation equation (1.14), can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& t(q)=R \quad h q j \hat{j}\left(t ; t^{0}\right) j t^{0} i  \tag{1.24}\\
& ={ }_{R}^{R} q^{0} h q j \hat{J}\left(t ; t^{0}\right) j p_{i n q}^{0} j t^{0} i \\
& =\quad d q^{0} K\left(q q^{0}: t^{0}\right) \quad t^{0}\left(q^{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $K\left(q q^{0}: t^{0}\right)=h q j \hat{J}\left(t ; t^{0}\right) \dot{q}^{0} i$ is propagator, which gives wave function at tim e $t$ and position $q$ once the wave function at $t^{0} ; q^{0}$ is know $n$. It $m$ ay be noted that equation (124) is in fact the expression of H uygens principle of the wave propagation. The propagator satis es Schrodinger equation (1.1) along with intial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t!t^{0}} K\left(q q^{0}: t^{0}\right)=(q \quad q): \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

It also follow s from the group com position properties of the evolution operator and de nition of the propagator that the propagator also satis es the sem i-group property e.g.

$$
K\left(q q^{0}: t^{0}\right)={ }^{z} d q^{\infty} K\left(q q^{\infty}: t t^{\infty}\right) K\left(q^{\infty} q^{0}: t^{\infty} t^{0}\right)
$$

Thus transition from $\left(q^{0} ; t^{0}\right)$ ! ( $q ; t$ ) involves all the possible points, hence all possible paths. This notion of propagation over all possible path is very im portant in the path integral form ulation of quantum $m$ echanics[18].

C onsider a transition between two space-tim e points ( $q_{i} ; t_{i}$ ) and ( $q_{f} ; t_{f}$ ). D ivide the time interval $=t \quad t$ in $N$ equal pieces of length $t=\Rightarrow N$. $T$ he sem i-group property implies (w ith $q_{V} ; t_{N}=q_{f} ; t_{f}$ and $q_{D} ; t_{0}=q_{i} ; t_{i}$ )

The paths entering in the above equation are not necessarily classical trajectories, and in general continuous but non-di erentiable. Form ally, these paths are sim ilar to those of $B$ row nian $m$ otion, which are $M$ arkovian $P$ rocesses. U sing equation (1.17), the propagator for the H am iltonian of form (1.15), over a sm all tim e interval t can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(g_{n+1} G_{h}: t\right)=h q_{n+1} j \operatorname{expf} \frac{i \hat{H} t}{h} g \dot{g}_{h} i: \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $m$ utator $[\hat{A} ; \hat{B}]=\hat{A B} \hat{B} \hat{A}$ and dots represents higher order com $m$ utators, one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{expf} \frac{i \hat{H} t}{h} g, \operatorname{expf} \frac{i t \hat{T}}{h} g \operatorname{expf} \frac{i t \hat{V}}{h} g 1+\frac{1}{2} \frac{t^{2}}{h} \quad[\hat{T} \hat{V}] \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the lim it $N$ ! 1 ; $\quad t$ becom es sm allenough so that $O\left(t^{2}\right)$ term $s$ can be neglected. Therefore, equation (128) becom es

$$
K\left(q_{h+1} g_{h}: t\right)=h q_{n+1} j \operatorname{expf} \frac{i \hat{T} t}{h} g j_{h} i \operatorname{expf} \frac{i t \hat{v}}{h} g ;
$$

which can be rearranged in form

$$
K\left(q_{h+1} q_{h}: t\right)=\frac{m}{2 i h t}{ }^{\frac{f}{2}} \exp \frac{i t}{h} \frac{m}{2} \frac{q_{n+1} G_{i}^{2}}{t} V^{\text {\# ) }}:
$$

Thus in lim it N ! 1 ; equation ( 127 ) becom es

where, $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{q})=\mathrm{T} \mathrm{V}$; is the classical Lagrangian. In the phase of above equation we have $H$ am ilton's P rincipal Function

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{2} \\
& R[q(t)]=L_{t_{1}} L(q ; q) d t: \tag{1.31}
\end{align*}
$$

The physical path (or classical tra jectory) will be realized only if one extr$m$ izes $R[q]$ :

W e are now interested in the sem i-classical lim it of equation (1.30). The sem i-classical lim it is obtained via m ethod of stationary phase approxim ation, which am ounts to an expansion about the classical path.

### 1.3.3 Stationary P hase A pproxim ation:

The kemel of the propagator ( 1.30 ) is com plex exponential of the type ( $\exp \left(\frac{\mathrm{iR}}{\mathrm{h}}\right)$ ). The stationary phase approxim ation [19] is an asym ptotic approxim ation of the Feynm an P ath Integral where $h$ is considered as a sm all param eter.

C onsider a sim ple exam ple, i.e. the evaluation of follow ing integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)=_{1}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{dx} \exp \frac{i f(x)}{\mathrm{h}} \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen h ! 0 ; the exponential becom es highly oscillatory function of x and the integralbecom es nearly zero. H ow ever there are points where the oscillations stop. These points are located where the variation of $f(x)$ slow dow $n$. $T$ herefore phase becom e stationary at these points, i.e. $f^{0}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=0: T$ he set of points $f x_{c} g$ are called set of stationary points. The idea is to nd stationary points in the dom ain of integration, including boundaries, and calculate their contribution to the integral separately.

This is done by expanding $\exp \frac{\text { if }(x)}{h}$ around each of the stationary point $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}}$. Then equation (1.32) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)=\underbrace{7}_{1} d x \exp \frac{i^{"}}{h} f\left(x_{C}\right)+\frac{f^{\infty}\left(x_{C}\right)}{2}\left(x \quad x_{c}\right)^{2}+\quad \text { ! } \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $m$ ost of the exam ples $f^{\infty}(x)=0$; and gives dom inant contribution hence, one can neglect cubic or higher order term s. If integrand is analytically continued into the com plex plane of $x$, one needs to consider com plex critical
points. Integral can then be perform ed by a steepest-descent m ethod. Tunneling is one of the physical phenom enon in which com plex critical points are im portant.

By considering only quadratic term s equation (1.33) can be transform ed into an im aginary G aussian integral, which can be evaluated to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)=\frac{s \frac{2 h i}{f^{\infty}\left(x_{C}\right)}}{x} \operatorname{xp} \frac{\text { if }\left(x_{C}\right)}{h} \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tuming back to equations(1.30) and (1.31), the stationary points (or paths) are solutions of equation

$$
R(q(t))=0 ;
$$

which therefore are the classical tra jectories satisfying boundary condition $q(0)=q_{0} ; q(t)=q$, as well as $N$ ew ton's equations. W e can expand action W around the critical path (ie. classical orbit) $q^{C}(t)$

$$
R\left[q^{c}+q\right]=R[q]+\frac{R}{q}\left[q^{c}\right] q+\frac{{ }^{2} R}{2!q^{2}}\left[q^{c}\right] q q+:::::
$$

It $m$ ay be recalled that the type of extrem um for the classical orbit and hence linear stability of the orbit depends on the nature of second variation. T hus the inform ation about the stability of the classical orbit enters into the sem i-classical fram ew ork via second variation of the action functional. T he second variation of the action is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{2} R[f]={ }_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}^{t}} d t \quad \mathrm{q}^{2}(\mathrm{t})(\mathrm{L}[\mathrm{f}]) \quad \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{t}) ; \quad \mathrm{q}(0)=\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{t})=0 \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L=\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} q$ Gq $V[f] q: A$ solution of ${ }^{2} R[f]=0$ is a Jacobi eld along the classical path. The equation of $m$ otion for the variation under this Lagrangian $L$ is of the form of JacobiH ill equation. W ith stipulated boundary conditions, we have a Sturm 孔iouville problem for the operator $\hat{D}=\quad 1 \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} \bigodot_{q q}^{2} V$, over the tim e interval $(0 ; t)$. The operator $\hat{D}$ is real sym $m$ etric so that it has real eigenvalues $n$ corresponding to realeigenfunction
$u_{n}$, form ing a com plete basis on which it is possible to expand any variation satisfying boundary conditions as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q={ }_{n=0}^{X^{N}} a_{n} u_{n} \tag{1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\hat{D} \quad \mu={ }_{n} u_{n} ; u_{n}(0)=u(t)=0$; and $R_{0}^{R_{t}} d t u_{m}^{>}(t) \quad \mu(t)={ }_{n m}$. The second variation becom es diagonal in this new basis.

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{2} R=\frac{1}{2}_{n=1}^{X^{1}}{ }_{n} a_{n}^{2} \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he nature of the classical path depends on the sign of the quadratic form of the second variation, which in tum depends on the num ber of negative eigenvalues of the operator $\hat{D}$. In general variation of eigenvalues is either negative or zero for solutions of equation (1.36). Therefore $w$ ith increasing time interval $n$ will decrease crossing zero at time say $T_{n}$ : The equation D $\quad q=0$ adm its a nontrivialsolutions at this tim es satisfying b.c. $q_{n}(\mathbb{T}=0$ : At other tim es no such solution exist. T he conjugate points corresponds to the tim es $T=T_{n}$ :

B oth sides of equation (1.36) are then di erentiated w ith respect to $T$ and the integral ( 1.35 ) can be solved.

There exist several classical paths $q_{1}(t)$ that goes from $q_{0}$ to $q$ during time $t$, and each of these stationary solution contribute to the propagator. From above com $m$ ents we can write equation (1.30) as

The higher order term s are obtained in [15]. T hem atrix of the second derivatives $\underline{D}=\varrho_{q q}^{2} R[q]$ is a $\left.\mathbb{N} \quad 1\right) f \quad(\mathbb{N} \quad$ 1)f matrix given by
where $A_{n}$ are $f \quad f m$ atrices given by $A_{n}=A \quad(n t)=2 \quad t^{2} @^{2} V$. In the lim it $t$ ! 0 the $m$ atrix $D$ is related to the JacobiH ill operator $\hat{D}: T$ he
propagator can be obtained evaluating all the G aussian integrals and their m om ents. F inally one gets
where 1 is the $M$ orse index.
A s m entioned earlier a Fourier transform establishes the bridge betw een the energy and tim e dom ain, the energy $G$ reen function can be obtained by taking Fourier transform of equation (1.39):

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(q ; q_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{i h}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{dt} \exp \left(\frac{\mathrm{iFt}}{\mathrm{~h}}\right) \mathrm{K}\left(q ; q_{0} ; \mathrm{t}\right): \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

This integral can again be evaluated using stationary phase approxim ation. Here the stationary phase condition is $\left.\varrho_{t} \mathbb{E} t+R_{1}\right]=0{ }_{R_{q}}$ If we de ne the reduced action $S_{1}\left(q_{0} ; q ; E\right)=E t+R_{1}\left(q_{0} ; q ; t\left(q_{0} ; q ; E\right)\right)={ }_{q_{0}}^{R_{q}} p$ dq we obtain a quantity independent of $\operatorname{tim} \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{S}_{1}=0$. This stationary phase condition picks up all the classical trajectories 1 from $q_{0}$ to $q$ at given energy $E . T$ he follow ing steps are sam e as above and as a result we get
where
$F$ inally, to obtain sem i-classical density of states as in equation (123), we take trace of above $G$ reen function. The contributions to the trace $m$ ainly com es from two sources: (i)very short paths for which the propagator is delta function [20]. In fact these are equilibrium points of the system. (ii) periodic orbits of non-zero length. The contribution of paths that are closed but not periodic, is negligible as a result of destructive interference am ong them selves. $T$ he form er leads to $T$ hom as $F e r m$ i term for the average density of states

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{a v}(E)={\frac{1}{h^{f}}}^{\mathrm{z}} \quad \text { dpdq } \quad(E \quad H(p ; q)): \tag{1.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second source leads to the oscillatory contribution for the density of states, which in general form can be written as
where $1 ; r$ denotes the prim itive periodic orbits and their repetitions respectively, and $S_{1} ;{ }_{1}$ denotes action and phase. The am plitudes $A_{1 ; r}$ depend on two aspects of the periodic orbit-w hether they are stable and whether they are isolated. The total density of states is sum of these contributions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(E)=d_{\mathrm{av}}(E)+d_{\mathrm{osc}}(E): \tag{1.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.4 D ensity of States for $P$ seudo-integrable B illiards

In the pseudo-integrable billiards alm ost all(in sense of Lebesgue $m$ easure) periodic orbits are $m$ arginally stable and non-isolated (i.e. occur in bands.). The density of states is given by [21]

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(E)=\frac{m}{2 h^{2}} \operatorname{Re}^{x_{i}^{z z} \quad \operatorname{dqH} 0_{0}^{(1)}{\frac{l_{i}}{h} p}_{2 m E}^{l} \exp \left(i_{i}\right): ~: ~} \tag{1.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is $m$ ass of the particle (billiard ball) and $l$ is length of the periodic orbits. The index i (half ofM aslov indioes ) represents num ber of specular re ections at the boundary of the billiard. A nd $\mathrm{H}_{0}^{(1)}$ is a BesselFunction of third kind (H ankel function). Since periodic orbits form continuous fam ilies on the invariant surface and each orbit in the fam ily has the sam e value of $l_{i}$; independent of $q$; integration in (1.45) is trivial. The oscillatory contribution to the density of states in the asym ptotic form $(\mathrm{h}!~ 0)$ is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{osc}}(E)=\frac{\mathrm{m}}{2^{2} h^{2}}{ }^{3=4} \mathrm{E}^{1=4} \mathrm{x} \frac{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}^{1=2}} \cos \frac{\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{~h}} \overline{2 \mathrm{mE}}+\left(\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}} \frac{1}{4}\right) ; \tag{1.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $A_{i}$ represents area of the bands of the periodic onbits. The sum $m$ ation here is over all prim itive periodic onbits and their repetitions. T he average contribution from zero length periodic orbit gives the $W$ yle area [22] contribution to the level density

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{av}}(E) & =\frac{m A_{R}}{2 \mathrm{~h}^{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left(A_{R} H_{0}^{(1)}(0)\right)+\text { higher:order:term } \mathrm{s}:  \tag{1.47}\\
& =\frac{m A_{R}}{2 \mathrm{~h}^{2}} \mathrm{~J}_{0}(0)+\mathrm{h}: 0: t:=\frac{m A_{R}}{2 \mathrm{~h}^{2}}+\mathrm{h}: 0: t:
\end{align*}
$$

where, $A_{R}$ is con guration space area of the billiard and higher order term $s$ include corrections from boundary and comers of the con guration space.

Integrated density ofstates orm ode num berN $(\mathbb{E})=\mathrm{N}$ um ber $\mathrm{fE}_{\mathrm{n}}<\mathrm{E} g=$ ${ }^{P}{ }_{n}^{1} \quad\left(E \quad E_{n}\right)$ is then given by

$$
\mathrm{N}(E)={ }_{0}^{Z^{E}} d E^{0} d\left(E^{0}\right)
$$

O nœ the com plete inform ation about classical variables such as l;A is obtained, equations (1.46) and (1.47) can be used to study various spectral uctuation properties in which we are interested.

In this chapter, we have attem pted to give brief sketch of the develop$m$ ents in sem i-classical techniques taken place during last few decades. In particular the problem begun by Selberg of nding sem i-classical properties of a quantum particle moving on a constant negative curvature $R$ iem ann surface, has en joyed a urry ofnew activity in recent years. A n extensive developm ent of concepts by $G$ utzw iller and other w orkers have resulted in $m$ any applications in laser spectroscopy, R ydberg states of atom s orm olecules, electronic sem ioonductor devices etc. T he success for the path integral approach is in the fact that in all these system s periodic orbits can be classi ed, their actions com puted, and the path integrals can be sum $m$ ed. $M$ any techniques has been developed to carry out this task. The G utzw iller trace form ula, how ever, gives only leading order term s in the h expansion. H igher order term $s$ also has been com puted recently by $G$ aspard [15].
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## Chapter 2

## B illiards - C lassical D ynam ics

### 2.1 Introduction

$B$ illiards are dynam ical system scorresponding to the $m$ otion of a point like particle in a bounded dom ain $Q$; which is a com pact $R$ iem annian $m$ anifold w th piecew ise sm ooth boundary @Q:W e assum e $\mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{Q}_{0} ; \mathrm{Q}_{0}$ being a closed $C^{1} R$ iem annian $m$ anifold. The boundary @Q consist of a nite number of sm ooth com pact $C^{1}$ sub-m anifolds $Q_{1} Q_{1} @_{2}$; r OQoo-dim ension $1: T$ he points of the boundary $q 2$ @Q are singular of order $n$ if $@ Q$ is only $C^{n}$ at $q$. $T$ he points which are not singular are called regular and represented by a set @Q = @Q n fq of order < 1 g :

The particle re ect from the boundary according to law of elastic re ections. The m otion between re ections corresponds to the geodesic ow $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}}$; associated to a $H$ am iltonian $H_{0}$ w ith $Q_{0}$ as con guration space. The re ection of the particle trajectories from a singular point of the boundary is not well de ned. Being ofm easure zero (in Lebesgue sense) these tra jectories are of little im portance from the point of view of ergodic theory. These tra jectories $m$ ay play im portant role in sem i-classical theories, rendering di raction e ects. Before tuming to speci c types of billiards we are interested, few relevant term inologies, de nitions are given below.

### 2.1.1 P hase space

The phase space of the billiards is the set of all tangent vectors of $x e d$ length, $M=f x=(q ; v) j$ j $2 Q ; v 2 S^{d}(q) g$ where $d=\operatorname{dim} Q$ and $S^{d}(q)$
is the unit sphere of dim ension $d \quad 1$ over $q$. The re ections of $\times 2$ @ $\begin{aligned} & \text { 青 }\end{aligned}=$ $\mathrm{fx}=(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{v}) 2 \mathrm{M} \dot{\mathrm{q}} 2$ @ Q g where $@ \mathscr{Q}=@ \mathrm{Q}$ nfq of order 0 g ; are de ned by $\mathrm{R}:(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{v})$ ! ( $\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{v} 2 \mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{vin}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ): H ere, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is the unit inward norm alto @Q at $\mathrm{q}:$ Let $: \mathrm{M}!\mathrm{Q}$ (equivalently; $\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{v}) 7!\mathrm{q})$ be the natural projection on $Q:$ Since $\quad{ }^{1}(q)=q \quad S^{1}(q)$, we have dim $M=2 d \quad 1:$ For $x 2 M$; the point $q=(x)$ is said to be carrier of $x . M$ possesses a natural involution sending each point $x=(q ; v) 2 M$ into a point $x^{0}=(q ; v) 2 M$ :

De nition 2 Themeasure on $M$ is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=d \quad(q) d!(q) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d(q)$ is the elem ent of volum e generated by the $R$ iem annian $m$ etric into $Q$ and $d!(q)$ is the Lebesgue $m$ easure into $S^{d}{ }^{1}(q) 3 \mathrm{v}$ :

The ow $G_{t}$ of the billiard corresponds to a vector eld $f G_{t}(x) ; \times 2 M 0 g$, where $G_{t}(x)$ is a tangent vector to $M_{0}$ at $x$. (Mobeing unit tangent bundle over $Q_{0}$. The ow $G_{t}$ thus determ ines the $m$ otion of a particle $w$ ith unit velocity along geodesic lines. W e de ne ow tat the $m$ om ent of a re ection from boundary @Q as follow s

$$
(x)=\quad \begin{align*}
& \quad  \tag{2.2}\\
& G(x) ; \quad \text { if } x z M \\
& R ;
\end{align*} \text { if } x 2 M
$$

where, $M=f x=(q ; v) 2$ @म年 $j h n_{q} ; v i \quad 0 g$ and $(x)$ is the nearest strictly positive $m$ om ent of a boundary re ection of the trajectory issued from $x$.

Let $N_{i j}$ be the set of all interior points $\times 2 \mathrm{M}$ such that the segm ent of the geodesic line in the direction of $x$ intersect $@ Q$ on $@ Q_{i} \backslash @ Q_{j}$. D enote by $N^{(1)}$, the set of all points $\times 2 \mathrm{M}$ which $w$ ill be contained in $\left[{ }_{i f} j_{j} N_{i j}\right.$ at som e step of construction of the geodesic ow. Denote by $\mathrm{N}^{(2)}$, a set all x for which process of construction of a geodesic leads to an in nite num ber of re ection in nite time. Then

De nition 3 If for am ost every (in the sense ofm easure ) $x 2 \mathrm{M}^{0}=\mathrm{M} n$ $\mathbb{N}^{(1)}\left[\mathrm{N}^{(2)}\right)$, we have a geodesic segm ent of a nite length with end point located at a regular point, the billiards are said to be proper.

W e will consider here proper billiards only. D ynam ics of billiards is of Ham iltonian nature. H ow ever, due to the re ections on the boundary its dynam ics cannot be com pletely described by a H am iltonian, unlike a particle $m$ oving under the in uence of a conservative force. There are $m$ any exam ples of dynam ical system that can equivalently be described in a billiard. For exam ple system of two particles of $m$ asses $m_{1} ; \mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ oving in a unit interval $[0 ; 1]$; bouncing $\circ$ from boundary as well as from each other elastically is equivalent to a triangular billiard. A nother exam ple is of three hard rods sliding along a frictionless ring and $m$ aking elastic collisions which is also equivalent to a billiard on triangular table[1]. O ne can also deform a Ham iltonian system m athem atically into a billiard. $[2,3,4]$

The billiards can exhibit all features of dynam ical system s , from integrability to chaotic behaviour. The behaviour ofcourse depends on the geom etry of the billiard table. B illiards can be classi ed according to their behavioural pattem as: 1) H yperbolic billiards or dispersing billiards, e.g., polygons w ith sm ooth obstacles [5], som e billiards w ith convex boundary [6], 2)E lipticalbilliards w ith strictly positive curvature convex tables[7]. 3) P arabolic billiards, e.g., polygonalbilliards. H ere we shall concentrate on polygonalbilliards in the Euclidean plane.

### 2.2 P olygonalbilliards

Let $P$ be closed, connected, non-self intersecting polygon in the Euclidean plane, $\mathrm{P} \quad \mathbb{R}^{2}$, whose boundary @P consist of a nite num ber of line seg$m$ ents (edges), we denote them in arranged order @P $P_{1}$; @P $P_{2} \quad r$ ( $@ m$ etim es we will use notations $\mathrm{a}_{1} ; \mathrm{a}_{2}$ : etc. for convenience) such that $@ \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}$ are neighbour of $@ P_{i}$. The points $@ P_{i} \backslash @ P_{i 1}$ are vertices of $P . W e$ thus have a set
 $\mathrm{q} 2 @ P_{i} \backslash @ P_{i-1}$ are zero order singular points. $W$ e denote its phase space by $M$ (P). At each regular point q $2 @ P_{i}$, the unit norm al vector is sam e and shall be denoted by $n_{i}$.

C onsider the one-param eter group $\mathrm{fT} \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{g}$ of transform ations on M (for $\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{v}) 2 \mathrm{M}^{0}$; $1<\mathrm{t}<1$ ) de ned as follows: $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{X}$ 。 is obtained by starting at $q_{0}$ and draw ing a continuous path inside $P$ consisting of straight line segm ents and oftotal length Jjand ending at $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{t}}=\left(\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{t}} ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$. The straight line segm ents (except rst and last) begin and end on @P and is called as the link of tra jectory a gíven tra jectory. T he direction change at the boundary in
passing from one link to the next is $m$ ade in accordance with law s of elastic re ections. This is done via $a \mathrm{map} \quad \mathrm{i}: \mathrm{S}^{1} \quad!S^{1}$ at each point $\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{v})$; q 2 $@ P_{i}$ which acts according to the formula ${ }_{i} V=v \quad 2 h_{i} ; V_{i n}$ If path hits vertex we stop trajectory there. The set of the form (fT ${ }^{\mathrm{t} x}: 1<\mathrm{t}<$ 1 ; $\mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{M}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{g}$ ) represents con guration trajectory of P . If trajectories hit vertioes of $P$ both in the future and in the past then trajectory is nite, we call it a generalized diagonalofP :

The procedure of unfolding polygons can be applied now (see Fig. 2.1). The con gurational trajectory ( $\mathrm{fT}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{xg}$ ) has vertioes on $\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Starting from any reference point ( $\mathrm{q}_{0} ; \mathrm{v}_{0}$ ) on the trajectory, let trajectory has successive vertioes on the boundary segm ents of $a_{1} ; a_{2} ; a_{3}::$ :of $P$. Then re ections of P w ith respect to these faces transform broken line to the trajectory into a straight line intersecting the polygons $P ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}_{1} ; a_{2}}$ :::::. H ere, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}_{1} ; a_{2}}:: \mathrm{a}_{1}$ is the polygon obtained by re ecting $P$ with respect to the sides $a_{1} ; a_{2} ;:: a_{i}$. The velocity of the $m$ otion on the part of trajectory after $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ re ection is $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}a_{k} & a_{k} 1 & ::: \\ a_{1}\end{array}\right) \mathrm{V}_{0}$. Let $G_{P}$ be the sub-group of the isom etry group of the unit circle $S^{1}$ generated by the isom etries $12::{ }_{r}$. In the analysis of billiard dynam ics, the singularities produced by the vertioes play a major role. The vertex angles and the relative lengths of the edges are im portant characteristics of $P$ as far as dynam ics is concemed. If all the angles of $P$ are com $m$ ensurable $w$ th then we call $P$ a rational billiard. The group $G_{P}$ is nite for rational billiards. The problem of billiard dynam ics deals $w$ th behaviour of the billiard trajectory. The question $m$ ainly falls into two categories, one conceming statistics which belong to ergodic theory and other conceming the topology of trajectories. H ere we are $m$ ore interested in the topological properties then the ergodic properties of the polygonal billiards. M ost of the inform ation we need for sem i-classical study can be extracted from techniques developed in these areas. A s shown in the previous chapter sem i-classical properties of a system depends mainly on the periodic orbits. W e shall therefore sim ply state som e well know $n$ facts about ergodic properties of polygonal billiards. A nd discuss topological properties that m ainly concems about periodic orbits of polygonalbilliards.

The billiard in a typical polygon is ergodic [8]. A prevailing opinion in the $m$ athem atical com $m$ unity is that polygonal billiards are never $m$ ixing, but this has not been established yet. H ow ever there is a con jecture about existence of weakly m ixing polygonalbilliards[9] which is stronger property than ergodicity but weaker than $m$ ixing. The rationalpolygonalbilliards are how ever, proved to be not $m$ ixing. Som e of the im portant facts relevant to


Fig. 2.1: Unfolding of a polygon P. Boundary segments are denoted by nos. 1,2,3,4. A trajectory starting from ' $a$ ' in $P$ hits sides 4-3-1-4 at b-c-d-e respectively.
$A$ straightened trajectory $a-b-c^{\prime}-d^{\prime}-e^{\prime}$ is obtained by reflecting $P$ in side 4 to get $P_{71}$ and so on.
our w ork are presented below.

P roposition 1 T he set of generalized diagonals of P is countable.[10]

Proof: Let X be the set of polygons obtained by unfolding along all billiard trajectories in $P$. Then $X$ belongs to the set of polygons fgP : 92 $G_{P} g$ which is countable because the group $G_{P}$ has nite num ber ofgenerators and hence countable. This im plies that set of pairs of vertioes of any two polygons in X is also countable.

Theorem 3 For any q $2 \mathrm{P} \quad \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and alm ost all (w .r.t. Lebesgue m easure) v $2 S^{1}$ the closer of the con gurationaltrajectory of the point $x=(q ; v) w$ ith respect to the billiards ow $\mathrm{fT}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{g}$ contains at least one vertex of the polygon.

Let be periodic trajectory (of length L) in $P$ with $m$ links 0 ; 1 ; $m 1$ such that 0 is the re ection of m 1 . Unfolding of then results in set of polygons $\mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{P} \boldsymbol{;} \mathrm{P}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{m} \quad 1 \mathrm{FP}_{\mathrm{m}}$. Choose a link 0 and let 1 be the line through 0 . The elem ent $g 2 G_{P}$ that $m$ oves $P$ into $P_{m}$, also $m$ oves 0 into $e_{m}$ (tidde here represents link in the unfolded polygon that corresponds to the link in originalpolygon) which belongs to the sam e line las o. For exam ple in $F$ ig 2.1, is abod::, links $0 ; 1$ ::: are sim ply segm ents ab;bc::: so on and links in the unfolded polygons are $b c^{0} ; \mathrm{c}^{0} \mathrm{~d}^{0}$ etc.

Thus $g$ preserves the line 1 , hence $g$ is either a parallel translation along l preserving orientation, or a sliding re ection $w$ ith axis 1 reversing the orientation. Since $g P=P_{m}, g$ is the product of $m$ re ections, $m$ is even if $g$ preserves orientation and odd if reverses orientation.

C orollary 1 If $m$ is even, extends to a band of periodic trajectories of length $L$ parallel to . B oth boundaries are unions of the generalized diagonals. If $m$ is odd, every trajectory ${ }^{0}$ starting close to and parallel to it com es back after $m$ re ections to the sam e edge, at the sam e distance from and in the sam e direction but on the opposite side of.

Proof: It is convenient to denote by o unfolding $P$ along o we obtain: 1) the sequence $P=P_{0} ; P_{1} ; \quad m ; i \mathbb{R}$ the line $l_{0}$ and 3) the $m$ otion $g$ such that $P_{m}=g P$. Let the point $x_{0}$ on the edge $a$ of $P$ be the starting point of 0 and let $y_{0}=g x_{0}$ be the corresponding point on the edge $b=g a$ of $P_{m}$. $T$ he periodicity of 0 implies that $l_{0}$ goes from $x_{0}$ to $Y_{0}$.

Let be the trajectory starting at x 2 a close to $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ and parallel to 0 . If is closes enough to o, unfolding along we obtain the line 1 through x parallel to $l_{0}$ and passing by the same sequence $P=P_{0} ; P_{1} ; \quad m \quad$ iPof polygons. Let 1 intersect $b$ at $y$.

If 0 is even, by previous discussion, the quadrangle form ed by $a ; b ; l_{0} ;$ and $l$ is a parallelogram, thus $y=g x$, i.e. com es back to $x$ in the sam $e$ direction. H ence, is periodic. $N$ ow start $m$ oving o to the right parallel to itself. We then get $t$; $t \quad 0$. For small $t$, $t$ is periodic of the sam e length as 0 . Increasing $t$ we com $e$ to the $m$ om ent $t=t_{1}$ such that ${ }_{1}=t_{1}$, hits the vertex of $P$. Since $t_{1}$ is the lim it of the periodic tra jectory, it is also a generalized diagonal or union of such. The sam e argum ent works for $t 0$.

W hen 0 is odd, the quadrangle above is a trapezoid and the point $\mathrm{x}_{1}=$ $g^{1} y$ on the side a where retums afterm re ections is sym $m$ etric to $x$ w th respect to $x_{0}$.

Theorem 4 In any rationalpolygonalbilliard there is atm ost a nite num ber of prim e periodic onbits of odd periods.

C onsider convex rational polygon $P$ with $r$ vertioes. Its interior angles $1 ;{ }_{2}$; $\quad$ lgeing com $m$ ensurable $w$ th , can be written in form $i_{i}=\left(k_{i}=n\right)$, where $n \quad 1$ and the greatest com $m$ on divisor of the num bers $n ; k_{1} ; k_{2} ; r$ is $\mathbb{k}$. Then we have follow ing lem $m$ a for group $G_{p}$.

Lem mal 1 he group $G_{P}$ is isom onphic to the sym $m$ etry group of a regular polygon with $n$ vertices.

Proof: N ote that in the velocity space $S^{1}$ the com position of the sym $m$ etries corresponding to the sides bounding the angle $i$ is a rotation $R_{2} i_{i}$ of the circle $S^{1}$ by an angle $2 i=\left(2 k_{i}=n\right)$. Hence, for any fam ily of integers $\mathrm{S}_{0} ; \mathrm{S}_{1} ; \quad{ }_{\mathrm{r}}$; the group $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P}}$ contains the rotation by an angle $=$ $\left(s_{0} n+s_{1} k_{1} \quad{ }_{r} k_{r}^{+}\right) s(2=n)$. But it follow $s$ from our assum ptions that there exist a fam ily $\mathrm{s}_{0} ; \mathrm{S}_{1}$; $\quad$; fagr which $\mathrm{s}_{0} \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{s}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{1} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{s}$ 1. Hence the group $G_{p}$ contains the rotation $R_{2=n}$ and all rotations by angles which are multiples of $2=n$. M oreover, the group $G_{p}$ contains $n$ sym $m$ etries $w$ th respect to the axes of the form $R_{2=n} 1 ; k=0 ; 1 ; \quad ; n \quad 1$, where $l$ is any of the axes of sym $m$ etry of ${ }_{1} ; 2 ; \quad r$. The transform ations indicated above generate the sym $m$ etry group of a regular polygon of $n$ sides.

The group $G_{P}$ is thus isom orphic to the dihedral group $D_{n}$. The group $G_{P}$ therefore has $2 n$ elem ents and the circle $0 \quad 2$ is divided by the
action of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}$ into 2 n intervals $(\mathrm{i} \quad 1)=\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{i}=1 ; \quad$; 2 n . Every , 022 is equivalent by action off to a unique 1 ; $0 \quad=n$; so that set of orbits of $G_{p}$ is param etrized by $[0 ;=n]$. The orbit of every , $0 \quad=\mathrm{n}$ has 2 n elem ents, the orbits of $=0$ and $=\quad=\mathrm{n}$ have n elem ents each.

### 2.3 Invariant Surface of P olygonal B illiards

Theorem $5 \mathrm{If}_{\mathrm{P}}$ is a nite group, then the billiards in the polygon $P$ are not ergodic. M oreover, to each orbit of the natural action of the group $G_{P}$ on $S^{1}$ (i.e., to the set $\left.=(v o)=\mathrm{fgv}_{0} 2 S^{1}: g 2 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{g}\right)$, corresponds to the set A, invariant with respect to $f T^{t} g$, consisting of all $x=(q ; v) 2 \mathrm{M}$ such that v 2 . [20]

Proof: Suppose $\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{v}) 2 \mathrm{~A}$;ix:; $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{g}_{0} \mathrm{~V}_{0} ; \mathrm{g}_{0} 2 \mathrm{G}$. . Then any $t 2 \mathbb{R}$; we have $\left(q_{t} ; v_{t}\right)=T^{t} x 2 A$ : Since the group $G_{P}$ is nite we can nd a set of orbits of the group $G_{P}: C \quad S^{1}=G_{P}$ whose $m$ easure di ens from zero or one. The set $A=\left[{ }_{2 c} A\right.$ is invariant w r.t. $f T^{t} g$ and (A) di ers from zero or one.

This theorem states that for a nite group $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P}}$; only a nite number of directions $m$ ay be obtained when we $m$ ove along billiards trajectories from the given initialdirection.

It $m$ ay be noted that any vector $v 2 S^{1}$ can equivalently expressed in term s of angle. From the discussion of previous section for any ; 0 $=n$; the set $R \quad A$ is invariant under the billiard ow. The invariant surface $R$ are levelsurfaces of the function $=M(P)![0 ;=n]$, de ned as $(x ;)=$ where $0 \quad=n$ and $=g[10,11,12,13]$. The function is independent of the $H$ am iltonian $H$ that gives rise to the ow of the billiard and is a constant ofm otion.

### 2.3.1 C onstruction of the invariant surface

The invariant surfaces $R$ for $0 \quad=n$ are isom orphic to a surface $R$ which can be geom etrically constructed the polygon P (see Fig. 22 for an example). To construct $R$ which is $m$ ade up of $2 n$ copies of $P$; say $P_{1} ; P_{2}$
$2 i$ ifchoose $1 ; 0 \quad 1 \quad=n$ and denote ${ }_{2} ; 3 ; 2 n$ the elem ents of the $G_{P}$ orbit of ${ }_{1}$ in the naturalorder. $N$ ow take polygon $P_{1}$ rem ove it from list
of $2 n$ copies of $P_{1}$ and consider any trajectory in direction ${ }_{1}$, it hits a side say $a_{i j}$ of $P_{1}$. Re ect $P_{1}$ on the plane about $a_{i j}$, join the re ected $P_{1}$ (say $P_{1}$ ) to the gure under construction (i.e. $R$ ) resulting in a combined gure $P_{1}+P_{1^{0}}=P_{1}^{0}$ and rem ove a copy of $P_{1^{0}}$ from set of $2 n$ copies of $P$. Repeat the whole process with $P_{1}{ }_{1}$, for trajectory in the sam e direction ${ }_{1}$ but not necessarily along the sam e line. E ach tim e tra jectory m eets a side $a_{i j}$, carry out re ection. If $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}^{0}}$ is still in the list of $2 n$ polygons join it to the gure under construction and rem ove it from the list. If $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}^{0}}$ is not in the list then identify side $a_{i j}$ and corresponding side of $P_{i 0}$ in the $P_{i}^{0}$ which are already drawn and transfer a trajectory to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\circ}$. Repeat the procedure until all the 2 n polygons are exhausted from the list, and resulting in a polygonal surface R. In the case of overlapping betw een $P_{i}^{0}$ we consider them belonging to the di erent copies of the plane. There are $m$ any possible surfaces $R$ for a given $P$. The polygon $R$ has an even num ber of sides and they are divided in pairs, each side of any pair di ers from the other one by a parallel translation. The billiard ow have sim ple realization on $R$. C onsider a trajectory in any direction , suppose it $m$ eets boundary segm ent a of $R$. Since sides of $R$ com es in a pair, let $b$ be pair of $a$. The trajectory $m$ eeting a gets instantly transferred to $b$ by the parallel translation that identi es a and b. The tra jectory then starts anew in the sam e direction from the side b.

Ifeach identi ed pair glued together one gets the invariant surface $R$ (we keep here sam e notation $R$ for sim plicity reason). It is therefore clear that $R$ is a closed surface w thout any boundary. It can also be shown that $R$ is orientable. The gluing or identifying sides preserve the orientation of the side.

### 2.3.2 Topology of the invariant Surface

The topological type of a closed orientable surface $R$ is detem ined by its genus $g(\mathbb{R})$. The surface of genus $g$ looks like a pretzel $w$ ith $g$ holes or equivalently a sphere $w$ th $g$ handles.

A gain, let $m_{i}=n_{i} ; i=1 ;::: ; r$; be the vertex angles between the sides of P. From discussion above one can see that each vertex $a_{i}$ of $P$ with angle
$m_{i}=n_{i}$ gives rise to $n=n_{i}$ singularpoints $a_{i j}$ of the ow on $R$. Each $a_{i j}$ has $2 m_{i}$ equally spaced throngs and the ow lines in a sm all neighbourhood of such singular point are show $n$ in $F$ ig. (2.3). Singular points of this type are called multisaddles, the ow lines com ing into and going from the singular point are called the incom ing and the outgoing separatrices. T hus a vertex $a_{i}$ gives

(B)

Fig. 2.2: (A) and (B) shows two different surfaces ' $R$ ' of a ' $L$ ' shaped billiard $P_{1}$. Here since ' $n$ ' $=2$, we require four copies of $P_{1}$, i.e. $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ and $P_{4}$. Respective identification of the sides are shown by nos. 1,2...
rise to $n=n_{i} m$ ultisaddles on $R$ with $m_{i}$ number of incom ing and outgoing separatrioes each. Varying does not change the position ofm ultisaddles $a_{i j}$ but uniform ly rotates the separatrices around $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}$. The index of a m ultisaddle a w th 2 m separatrices is equal to m 1. The index formula for the Euler num ber gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R)=x_{i=1}^{x^{r}} \frac{n}{n_{i}}\left(1 \quad m_{i}\right)=2 \quad 2 g(r) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence the genus of the surface $R$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\mathbb{R})=1+\frac{n}{2}_{i=1}^{x^{r}} \frac{m_{i} \quad 1}{n_{i}}: \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus the topology of $R$ is thus determ ined by the angles of $P$. T he surfaces $\mathrm{R}_{0} ; \mathrm{R}_{=\mathrm{n}}$ are called exceptional invariant surfaces. Their topology, is not determ ined by the angles ofP [14].

The topology of the invariant surface determ ines whether the system is com pletely integrable or not. W e will now discuss this in a dynam ical sense.

### 2.4 Integrability and beyond:

The dynam ics of classical H am iltonian system is com pletely described by the H am ilton's equations of m otion

$$
q_{i}=\frac{@ H}{\varrho p_{i}} ; \quad \text { and } \quad p_{i}=\frac{@ H}{\varrho q_{i}}
$$

where sym bols have their usualm eaning i.e. H is the H am iltonian and $\left(q_{i} ; p_{i}\right)$ are the canonical coordinate m om entum pair.

C onsider a system of $f$ degrees of freedom w th the $H$ am ittonian $H: T$ he H am iltonian induces the ow $t$ on the phase space M. The dynam ical system is integrable (in the sense of Liouville) $[15,16]$ if there exists a canonical transform ation such that the new $m$ om enta are the constants of $m$ otion. A necessary and su cient condition for this transform ation to exist is that it should be possible to nd $f$ analytic functions $\mathrm{fF}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}=1: \text { :::f }}$ on M satisfying follow ing conditions :

The Poisson bracket, $\mathrm{fF} ; \mathrm{H} g=0$ for all $i$, which implies $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{tx})=$ $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})$ for all x 2 M ;


Fig. 2.3 Vector field near singular point with $m=3$
all F are in involution $w$ th each other i.e. $\mathrm{fF} ; \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{g}=0$ for all $\mathrm{i} j \mathrm{j}=$ 1::f,
all grad ( $\boldsymbol{F}_{\mathbf{F}}$ ) are linearly independent.
Here the Poisson bracket $f A ; B g=F_{i=1}^{f}\left(\frac{\varrho A}{\varrho q_{i}} \frac{\varrho B}{\varrho} \frac{\varrho( }{} \frac{\varrho( }{\varrho p_{i}} \frac{\varrho B}{\varrho q_{i}}\right)$, and grad $=$ $\left(r_{q} ; r_{p}\right)$. O ne can then de ne a level set of the functions $f F_{i} g$ as $M_{k}=$ fx $2 M F_{i}(x)=k_{i} ; i=1:: f g$. This level set is invariant under ow $t$. furtherm ore if $M_{k}$ is compact and connected, then it is di om onphic to the $f$ dimensional torus[16]. The vector eld $\mathrm{fV}_{\mathrm{i}} g ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is linearly independent, tangent to $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}}$, and com $m$ utating. A ccording to the N oether's theorem [17,18] these constants of $m$ otion (or isolating integrals) result from the sym $m$ etries of the dynam ical system.

P olygonalbilliards are exam ples of dynam ical system s where there exist one or two constants of $m$ otion depending upon the angles and ratio of the sides of the polygon. For som e rational polygons w th a rational ratio of their sides, there exist two constants of $m$ otion satisfying all integrability conditions except one i.e. $\mathrm{fF}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{g} \in 0$ at the vertioes of the polygon. Thus the vector eld $V$ becom es singular at countable num ber of points in the phase space. H ence it is not possible to obtain global action-angle variables or constants of motions. Follow ing R ichens and Berry [13], we call these system s a pseudo-integrable.

### 2.5 B irkhofP oincare $M$ aps and Interval Exchange M aps

The BirkhofP oincare $m$ ap is rst retum $m$ ap for billiards. For sim plicity let $P$ be simply connected billiard. W e enum erate the vertices of $P$ counterclockw ise $A_{0} ;:: A_{n} 1 ; A_{n}=A_{0}$. Denote by $a_{i}=\left[A_{i 1} ; A_{i}\right] ; i=1 ;::: ; ; n$ the edges of $P$. The boundary @P with length coordinate x is isom orphic to the circle of perim eter equal to the length ( L ) of @P. T he set M ( P ) of vectors with footpoints in @P can be param eterized by coordinates 0 x L and $=2 \quad=2$. The vector ( x ; ) has foot points in $\times 2 a_{i} \quad @ P$ and angle between it and the inner norm al to $a$ : Set
 de ned for $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}$ because these x are vertioes of P and the angle can be
$m$ easured $w$ th respect to any of the tw o nom als. The set $w$ th points excluded is isom orphic to the cylinder [0;L) [ $=2 ;=2]$ w ith deleted intervals $L_{0} \quad[\quad=2 ;=2] ;::::: ;$ It $_{1} \quad[\quad=2 ;=2]$ (see ig. 2.4). TheB inkhof $P$ oincare $\mathrm{map} F: \quad$ ! is de ned as follow s : consider a trajectory from x in direction ; ( x ; ) 2 . W hen trajectory hits @P the rst time and bounces 0 , it determ ines another point $(y ;)=F(x ;)$ to : Them apping $F$ is not well de ned on the deleted intervals $L_{0} \quad[\quad=2 ;=2] ;::::: ; H_{1} \quad[\quad=2 ;=2]$ as wellas on the boundaries $==2 . \mathrm{Fix}$ an edge $a^{2}=\left[\mathbb{A}_{i 1} ; \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]$ and a vertex $A_{j} ; j$ i 1 i. Points ( $\left.\mathrm{x} ; ~\right) ; \mathrm{x} 2$ a; such that the ball goes to the comer $A_{j}$ form a curve in on which $m$ ap $F$ is not well de ned. Each rectangle of
is divided by these curves into the dom ains of continuity of $F$. T hus, the set of discontinuities of $F$ is the union of a nite num ber of curves in , $F$ is obviously invertible and $F^{1}$ is the $B$ irkhof $P$ oincare $m$ ap for the billiards $w$ ith time reversed. The $F$-invariant Lebesgue $m$ easure is cos $d d x$. The properties of the billiard ow are easily translated into the properties of the $m$ apping $F$.

### 2.5.1 Interval exchange M ap For R ational B illiards

Since the billiard ow $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{t}}$ decom poses into the fam ily $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{t}}$ of ow $\mathrm{S}, 0$
$=\mathrm{N}$; the B inkhofP oincare m ap F also decom pose into the one param eter fam ily F : ! $\quad \mathrm{m}$ appings, where $=\backslash \mathrm{R}$ is set of vectors w ith foot points on @P with directions equivalent to and $F=F j$. The fam ily fF g is the fam ily of interval exchanges [19, 20,21].

Suppose the space I is sem i-interval $[0 ; 1)$ and $=\left(I_{1}::: I_{r}\right)$ is a partition ofI into r 2 disjoint sem i-intervals $I=\left[0 ; d_{1}\right) ;:::: ; I_{r}=\left[d_{r} 1 ; 1\right) ; 0<d_{1}<$ $:::<d_{r}<1$. Let $!=\left(!_{1} ;:::!_{r}\right)$ be perm utation of the num ber $f 1 ;::$ rg.

De nition 4 Suppose the transform ation $T: I!I$ is a translation $T{ }_{i} x=$ $x+i(m$ od 1$)$ on each of the sem $i$-intervals $I_{i}$ (the num ber $i_{i}$ depends on $i$ ) and exchanges the sem i-intervals according to perm utations! i.e. The sem iintervals $T \quad I_{i}=T{ }_{i} I_{i}=I_{i}^{0}$ adhere to each other in the order $I_{!_{1}}^{0}::: I_{!_{r}}^{0}$ : then $T$ is said to be interval exchange transform ation corresponding to the partition and the perm utation!.

Thus if I is piece of w ire then the transform ation $T$ essentially is the cutting of $I$ into pieces $I_{1}:::: I_{r}$, rearranging them according to perm utation! and welding them together again. Identifying $I$ w ith the circle we can think


Fig. 2.4: Domain of Birkhof-Poincare map F. Vertical dashed lines (corresponding to $x=L_{0 . .} x=L_{n}$ ) are deleted intervals from the domain. See text.
of $T$ as an interval exchange on the circle. An exchange of two intervals is given be one number $0 \ll 1$ and it is smply rotation by angle. It is clear that interval exchanges are invertible transform ations of I preserving the Lebesgue $m$ easure and the numbers $1::::{ }_{\mathrm{r}}$ are well de ned (mod 1 ) by the pair (; !):

N ow to see that the fam ily fF g is the fam ily of intervalexchanges recall that in coordinates $(x ;)$ the set is $[0 ; L) \quad(\quad=2 ;=2)$ and $F(x ;)=(y ;)$ where locally depends only on . Them ap F perseveres $d m=\sin d x$ which is interpreted as the $m$ ass elem ent carried by the ow in direction. Fix a direction and for each side $a_{i}$ ofP let $=2<i_{i_{1}}<:::<i_{N_{N}}<=2$ be the directions $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{N}}$-equivalent to (they depend on $i$ because $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ determ ines angle of reference). The set is the union $a_{1} \quad f_{1_{1}}::: 1_{1_{N}} g\left[:::\left[\begin{array}{ll}a_{p} & f_{p_{1}}::: p_{N} \\ \end{array}\right.\right.$ of horizontal intervals. The $m$ apping $F$ preserves the set which is union of pN horizontal intervals (they can be glued into one interval) and the length elem ent dm on : T he positive orientation of $P$ induces orientation of (each interval oriented from left to right), the $m$ ap $F$ reverses the orientation. Thus, the restriction $F$ of $F$ to is an interval exchange $w$ ith the ipping of intervals. M ultiplying $F$ by the trivial orientation reversing m ap $\mathrm{J}:(\mathrm{x}$; ) ! (L x ; ) we obtain honest interval exchange. D ividing by total length $m$ of which is

$$
m=\dot{j}_{1} j\left(\sin 1_{1}+:::+\sin 1_{N}\right)+:::+\dot{j}_{p} j\left(\sin p_{1}+:::+\sin p_{N}\right)
$$

we norm alize $F$ to an intervalexchange on $[0 ; 1$ ) (w ith ipping). For a xed polygon $P$ the param eter of $F$ i.e. the num ber of exchanged intervals, the perm utation ! and the length of interval depends only on : T he obvious upperbound on the num ber of exchanged intervals is $\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{~N}$ :
$T$ he interval exchange $m$ aps has been used to prove $m$ any statistical and topological properties of polygonal billiards[20,21]. O urm ain interest is in enum eration, classi cation of the periodic orbits. In the next chapter we w ill use $m$ odi ed form of interval exchange to carry out this task in case of som e speci c exam ples.
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## Chapter 3

## Periodic Orbits in Som e P seudo-integrable B illiards

### 3.1 Introduction

In this chapter we take up rst task to study spectral uctuations of pseudointegrable billiards w ithin sem i-classical fram ew onk, i.e. to enum erate and classify all the periodic onbits of som e typical pseudo-integrable billiards and also de ne their respective actions. W e shall consider $=3$-thom bus billiard for this purpose. This speci c exam ple serves as a paradigm m odel as there are hardly any general results known in the literature. Furthem ore the $m$ ethodology we develop here, being based on interval exchange transform ation, $m$ ay be used to enum erate and classify periodic orbits in $m$ any rational polygonalbilliards.

### 3.2 Periodic orbits of the $=3$-rhom bus billiard

The =3-thom bus billiard is an exam ple of an alm ost integrable system. A s discussed before, billiard ow for a particle inside an alm ost integrable polygon is called an alm ost integrable billiard. T he $m$ ost fascinating $m$ athem aticalquestions are related to the periodic orbits of these billiards. For com plete analytical sem i-classical study of such system s one needs to enum erate and classify all the periodic orbits and also able to com pute actions of periodic
orbits of the given system. The exam ple where the enum eration and classi cation of periodic orbits is analytically carried out are very rare. A part from the trivial enum eration of the orbits for a separable barrier billiard there is no instance where a com plete study exists.

### 3.2.1 E num eration

To begin with, let us brie y recapture how the m otion of a particle inside $=3$-rhom bus shaped encloser can be visualized as $m$ otion on an equivalent barrier billiard [1,2]. It is sim ple to see (ref. Fig. 3.1) that after three sucœessive re ections (A ! B ! C) of the rhom bus A around a vertex of angle $2=3$, the rhom bus retums upon itselfbut w ith reversed orientation $w$ ith vertices 2 and 4 interchanged. In other words, we obtain the nalcon guration of vertices as if we have re ected the rhom bus about the shorter diagonal of the rhom bus joining the vertioes 1 and 3. If we continue the re ections, it w ill take exactly three m ore, or equivalently, another re ection about the shorter diagonal, for the rhom bus to identify itself $w$ ith original orientation. In this picture, due to double-valuedness of con guration of vertices per direction ( by direction we $m$ ean one of the three directions the rhom bus is facing in Fig. 3.1), one can visualize three rhom bus-orientations in $F$ ig. 3.1 on one sheet (orplane) and the subsequent three orientations (required to obtain the originalcon guration of vertices in rhom bus-A ) on another sheet (or, plane). O ne can visualize a trajectory of particle re ecting from a wallof the rhom bus by letting the particle $m$ ove straight and appropriately re ecting the rhom bus about the wall. It is this way of analysing that tums out to be $m$ ore fruitfuland hence the discussion on the tessellation ofplane by rhom bi. $D$ ue to its equivalence to the $R$ iem ann surface of $z^{1=2} ; z$ being a com plex variable, we notioe that the tw o sheets discussed above are joined along straight lines (T he com plex counterparts are branch cuts) that cannot be crossed; we call these barriers. Furthem ore, as we have seen above, going to the next plane is to com pensate for a phase, the trajectory must re ect from the barrier. A ltematively, after three re ections, we can re ect third rhom bus back onto itself (hence com pensating for the phase in the third step), i.e. the fourth rhom bus com es to lie under the third rhom bus. If we continue re ections now, the sixth rhom bus $w$ ill come to lie under the rst rhom bus. In this picture the point in $F$ ig. 32 w ill becom e a ( m onkey) saddle point. C ontinuing the process of re ections, we can get planes connected by cuts between the saddle points. This construction, projected onto two dim en-


Fig. 3.1: Refection of the rhombus about a $2 \pi / 3$-vertex. The vertices 2 and 4 are interchanged on third reflection.


Fig3.2: Apearance of a saddlepoint a the vertex 1, that results in the cuts in fig. 3.3
sions, entails an orbit looking like a zig-zag line. W e can now construct the fundam ental region using six replicas of rhom bus, and subsequently tessellate the two-dim ensional plane by staking the fundam ental regions side by side, exploiting the translational sym m etry. On doing so, we will generate a barrier billiard shown in Fig 3.3 w ith two sets of planes (call them top and bottom ) interspersed w th each other.

N ow concentrate our attention on the barrier billiard where the barrier to gap ratio is two. the barrier constituted of contributions from two rhom bi and hence, there are two distinguishable sub-barriers giving rise to a single barrier of length tw ice that of gap. C lassi cation of the periodic orbits had been carried out for a barrier billiard w ith barrier to gap ratio equal to unity [3]. It m ust be noted that the barrier billiard corresponding to the rhom bus problem is $m$ ore general than the barrier billiard studied in [3]. since the barrier to gap ratio is two and m oreover the barriers appear in an $o b l i q u e ~ m$ anner at an angle of $=3$.

First, we observe that bifurcations of the orbits take place at the two ends of the barriers and at the center of the barrier (e.g. one exam ple of such bifurcation is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 3.3, where two neighbouring tra jectories on the opposite side of a tra jectory O A B C :bifurcate at end of a barrier near vertex C). This is due to the fact that each half of the barrier is contributed from two di erent rhom bi in the fundam ental region, and the point ofbifurcation actually corresponds to a vertex.

The single connected surface ism ade up oftw o planes - a top and bottom . U nder the covering of the surface by fiundam ental regions (double hexagons), the surface divides into altemate arrays of both planes containing barriers. O bviously, it does not $m$ atter which plane is called top (or bottom ). This argum ent allow s us to choose an origin which, for obvious reasons, dictated by sym $m$ etry of the barriers, is chosen to be the center of the barrier, denoted by $O$ in $F$ ig.3.3. $C$ alling the length of a side of the rhom bus by $L$, the barrier length is 2 L and gap length is L . On the vertical axis, the perpendicular distance getw een adjacent arrays of a (top/bottom) plane is $\overline{3} L$. Since the factor of $\overline{3}$ is com $m$ on in the verticalaxis, we choose to $m$ easure the length in this direction in term sof $\overline{3}$, thereby m aking the ordered pairs labeling the points purely consisting of integers, ( $q ; p$ ). For instance, a point $O^{0}$ in the Fig. 3.3 w ill.be labeled by $(3 ; 2)$. A typical tra jectory on this surface w ill be $m$ ade up of altemate $m$ otions in the top and the bottom planes via barriers (i.e. when a tra jectory hits a barrier on a upper plane it sim ply appears on the lower plane and vioe versa). Since every plane consist of an identical


Fig. 3.3: Tesselation of the plane by stacking the fundamental regions exploiting the translational symmetry. Barriers are shown by thick lines. Trajectory OABC ... can be straighten as $\mathrm{OA}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$.. . Two nearby trajectories parrallel to 0 ABC are also shown. Owing to the symmetry of the barriers, the integer labelling can be done, e.g. the point $0^{\prime}$ can be labelled as (3,2).
array of barriers, the trajectory starting at an angle w ith the plane from an initialpoint and ending on an equivalent point on the sam e plane constitutes a periodic orbit (see e.g. Fig. 3.4). Instead of follow ing the zig-zag path, we can unfold the trajectory into an exactly equivalent straightened version as shown in F ig. 3.3. A s stated above this straightened trajectory will lie on both planes, crossing planes at the barriers. Subsequently, we m ust decide which directions lead to periodic orbits. By virtue of the integer labeling, it is clear that all those directions that end on (integer, intgger) ordered pair correspond to periodic orbits. Leaving apart a factor of $\overline{3}$ in the vertical direction, these directions correspond to rational gradients on each (top, bottom ) plane. A lso, starting from origin, we must consider only those end points such that $q$ and $p$ are 00 -prim $e$, since only such pairs results in prim ary periodic orbits. If $q$ and $p$ are not co-prim e but have g.c.d. $k$, then orbit ending at ( $q ; p$ ) represents $k^{\text {th }}$ repetition of a prim ary periodic orbit ending at ( $q=k ; p=k$ ). By the sym $m$ etry of the barriers on the plane, we need to restrict ourselves to an upper-half region only. Further taking care of geom etry of our system, we restrict further to either p q or q 3p; obviously then, three classes em erge, viz. (q;p) can be (i) (odd,odd), or, (ii) (odd,even), or, (iii) (even ,odd). N ext, we have to classify the num ber of bands or fam ilies of periodic orbits that correspond to each direction.

For equalbarrier to gap ratio, it was show n that any tra jectory w ith irrational gradient can be approxim ated arbitrarily well by tra jectories w ith rationalgradients, utilizing $K$ lein's string construction or the continued fraction expansion. H ow ever, those tra jectories never close in position and $m$ om entum both, rather they form a curious zig-zag path, for quadratic irrational gradients, has a fractal dim ension. For the barrier in our case, sam e holds. H ence, we conclude that the tra jectories w ith any irrational gradient do not close. It, therefore follow s that if we take into account all the rational gradients avoid over-oounting and classify di erent fam ilies/bands, we w ould have enum erated all the periodic orbits.

W e shall now usem odi ed form of intervalexchange transform ations and nam e it polar construction for the reasons of clarity and easy generalization.

### 3.2.2 P olar C onstruction

Exploting the periodicity of barrier-gap-barrier-gap... string, we w rap the basic string of skew ed sets of barrier-gap-barrier on two circles representing two di erent planes. Each circle has three basic divisions, com ing out of


Fig.3.4: The periodic orbit (ADCBA) in the rhombus abcd is equivalent to the periodic orbit (ADC' $B^{\prime} A$ ') in the barrier biliard, which closes on equivalent point on the other plane.
two barriers (from two mombi, joined together) and a gap. Each division now corresponds to an angle $2=3$ : The fact that barriers on two planes are stacked in a skewed $m$ anner is accounted for giving appropriate phase di erence between equivalent points on two circles (see. Fig. 3.5). A (q;p) direction can be represented these circles by the follow ing procedure.

D ivide each segm ent of the inner (outer) circle into p parts, after xing the origin at the point joining the tw o sub-barrier segm ents. T he origin of the outer (inner) w illbe at an angle of $q=3 p$ from the origin of the inner (outer) circle $m$ oving along the circular arc in a de nite sense (we use anticlockw ise $m$ ovem ent). A fter xing the origin, the outer circle has to be divided into $3 p$ parts of equal length w ith one division at the origin. Since there are two gradients, positive and negative, we adopt the convention ofm arking outside (inside) of the circle as a representative of positive (negative) gradient.

To follow a trajectory, we start w ith an arbitrary point on one of the $p$ sub-segm ents of the barrier segm ent on the right of the origin, on the inner circle. N ext point will be on the outer circle just at the sam e distance (num ber of sub-segm ents) from the origin (of the outer circle) as the previous point was from its respective origin. A s is clear from earlier discussion, these pointsm ust alterbetw een the outer and the inner circles. The follow ing point com es on the inner circle $q$ segm ents aw ay from the originalone, and the next on the outer circle $q$ segm ents aw ay from the earlier one, and so on. G oing on in this way, after a nite number of points, we will reach the starting point on the inner circle and that would $m$ ake one periodic point. A though this is generally valid, in som e cases it $m$ ay not lead to the $m$ inim al length of the orbit. Such would be the case when, exactly after half the num ber of re ections, the trajectory will close, i.e., reach a point corresponding to the sam e respective sub-segm ent as it started with, on the other circle. At rst sight, it might sem erroneous to consider this as a periodic orbit. H ow ever, it $m$ ay be recalled that though the procedure of erecting barriers and subsequent polar construction is a way leading to an easy classi cation of orbits; how ever, $m$ ore fundam entalidea is the straightening of tra jectory-re ecting the dom ain about the edge on which the particle is incident. For the cases where the length of an orbit tums out to be double by polar construction, one can easily see that using the dom ain-re ection $m$ ethod, one gets the correct length. T hus, w thout am biguity, the trajectory in the polar construction m ust be considered periodic even if it seem $s$ to be closing on the other plane (see, for exam ple illustration in Fig. 3.4). W ith this clari cation, we need only think in term s of the polar construction. D i erent sequences ofbarriers
and gap correspond to the di erent orbits.

### 3.2.3 C lassi cation

A s we have seen above, the $m$ ost elem entary classi cation is in ( $q ; p$ ) being (odd;odd); (odd;even) and (even;odd). H aving set the origin at the center of the barrier, the trajectory sets o in som e rational direction and reaches either a center of som e barrier or end (left or right) of som e barrier. It is rather obvious to see that for each of the three cases w ritten above there are subclasses which we shall call: the center-to-øenter (C C ) case and the centerto edge (CE ) case. O ur P rocedure of classi cation is in follow ing steps:
(i) using the polar construction, we depict the trajectory on the circles, with an opening and an ending point on one of the sub-segm ents of the segm ent. W e go on to the other to other sub-segm ents of the sam e segm ent, exploring the positive and negative gradients till both sides of the circles are lled. In all, we must ll12p points.
(ii) check if the orbit has already closed a halfw ay on the outer circle or, equivalently, on the other plane.

W th these steps in m ind, we now take up each class separately and classify the bands of periodic orbits in full.

C ase 1: O dd-O dd C E
W e rst describe through a sim ple exam ple as to how we would arrive at general conclusions. O ur approach would be to $m$ ake a conclusion based on em pirical data obtained by "brute force". At the end, we w ill provide w ith a rationale supporting and explaining the conclusion obtained.

Let us consider the case of $(q ; p)=(1 ; 3): T$ he corresponding polar construction is shown in F ig.3.5. A s can be seen, point 1 and point 10 identify w ith each other, form ing a periodic orbit after six bounœes. A lso, there is an orbit w ith negative gradient. It should be noted that all the sub-segm ents are visited by just these two orbits. The orbit close a halfw ay of (3q;3p) ;on the other plane and, there are tw o bands of orbits. D raw ing the polar construction for other odd-odd CE cases, it can be seen that there are only two bands of orbits as seen above.

N ow we com e to discuss the rationale behind this classi cation of orbits. D ue to the polar construction, each sub-segm ent is an arc of angle $2=3 \mathrm{p}$. Translating the form ation of periodic orbit by the polar construction into an


Fig. 3.5: Polar map for the odd-odd center-edge case

$$
(q, p)=(1,3)
$$

equation, we trivially get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}(2=3 \mathrm{p}) \mathrm{q}=2 \mathrm{M} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where N denotes the num ber of sub-segm ents and M denotes the num ber of rotations by 2 . Henceforth, we call $N$ by the "crossing index" and $M$ by the "rotation num ber" eq.(3.1) is sim ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Nq}=3 \mathrm{Mp} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$; $q ; p$ are positive integers. Since this is a CE case, $q$ is not a multiple of three, ie. qG3l; l"Z:Thus, the only way in which eq.(32) can be satis ed is if $q=M$ and $3 p=N$ :N ote that $M$ and $N$ willbe odd asboth $q$ and $p$ are odd. The crossing-index on one circle is $3 p$ im plying that the total crossing- index is $6 p$ after which the orbit closed. In all, there are 12p sub-segm ents and hence there are exactly two bands of periodic orbits.

In general, the crossing-index is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=[q ; 3 \mathrm{p}]=\mathrm{q} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the rotation num ber is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=[q ; 3 p]=3 p \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where [a;b] denotes the low est com $m$ on $m$ ultiple of a and $b$. Trivially, for the $C E$ case, $N=3 p$ and $M=q ; f o r$ the $C C$ case, $N=p$ and $M=q=3$.

C ase 2: O dd-o dd C C
$F$ irstly, all the rational directions pointing tow ard an in nite num ber of avenues correspond to the bands of periodic orbits. Points ( $q ; p$ ) corresponding to avenues are of the form (3 (2k 1);1); 7 : For each direction there w illbe two bands corresponding to positive and negative gradients.

W e go over to a representative of a general case, viz., $(q ; p)=(3 ; 5)$. The polar construction is depicted in $F$ ig. 3.6. There are three strings of points corresponding to three bands of periodic orbits. Including the opposite gradients, there are four distinct bands in all. The string starting w th point 1 and ending w ith point 11 closes after six re ections. Sam e is the case for 1B 11B orbit. The opposite gradient counterpart of 111 (1B 11B) is equivalent to itself, starting from the outer circle (at 6 (6B)). So these strings give us two bands of periodic orbits. C are m ust be taken for the periodic orbit starting w ith the point 1A. The orbit closes at 6A
as this is the subsequent corresponding to 1A on the inner circle and the gradient $m$ atches. The orbit1A 6A closes after four re ections and occurs in a band. Taking the opposite gradient, we get tw o bands of periodic orbits here. For other odd-odd C C cases, we obtain the sam e results as in the above $m$ entioned case. Let us now see the reason for the occurrence of four bands of periodic orbits in this case.

From eqs (3.3) and (3.4), $M=q=3$ and $N=p$. For each orbit, the crossing-index will be 2 p accounting for the other circle also. In total, there are 12 p segm ents and it clearly follow s that there $m$ ust be six bands of periodic orbits. Subtracting the two equivalent bands, we are left with four bands.

C ase 3: O dd Even C E
C onsider $(q ; p)=(1 ; 2)$. The polar construction is drawn in $F$ ig. 3.7. $T$ here is a bifurcation in the band of trajectories starting with point 1, and further continue the two bands, prim ed and unprim ed, to eventually close at $13^{\circ}$ and 13 respectively. This feature, whidh can be succinctly put as bifurcation of the vector elds at vertioes and continuation of trajectories in the form of bands (a signature of zero Liapunov exponent), is typical of pseudo-integrable system. The points 1 and $6^{\circ}$ are, indeed, identical. $O$ nbits em anating from 1 and 60 ( 1 A and $6 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}$ ) w ill be the sam e. C onsequently, on allow ing the opposite gradients, we get just tw o bands of periodic orbits. A ll other exam ples of this class give rise to sam e num ber of bands and the orbit-types are also sim ilar. Of course, the lengths and other details will be di erent.

As the argum ents for justi cation follow on the same lines, we do not repeat them for this and further cases.

C ase 4: Odd E ven C C
For this case, consider $(q ; p)=(3 ; 2)$, polar construction for the sam e is drawn in $F$ ig. 3.8. D ue to bifircations, we have drawn double the $m$ arks, thus, $m$ aking explicit that we have to 1124 p points in all. A s can be seen from the diagram, there are four bands of periodic orbits: an orbit corresponding to the string $12::: 9$; another onbit corresponding to the string 1A 2A :::9A; and a positive-negative gradient pair, $1^{1} 2^{0}::: 5^{0}$ (plus the opposite gradient). It is interesting to see that bifurcations of these vector elds take place at all possible places, viz. $Z_{1} ; Z_{2}$ and $Z_{3} . C$ onsidering other odd-even cases, one can easily se that the sam e conclusions about the num ber ofbands etc. will hold, leaving apart the ner details.

C ase 5: Even-O dd C E


Fig. 3.6 : Polar map for the odd-odd center-center case

$$
(q, p)=(3,5)
$$



Fig. 3.7 : Polar map for the odd-even center-edge case $(\mathbf{q}, \mathrm{p})=(\mathbf{1 , 2})$


Fig. 3.8 : Polar map for odd-even center-center case

$$
(q, p)=(3,2)
$$

C onsider $(q ; p)=(2 ; 1)$, the polar construction is shown in $F$ ig. 3.9. There are tw o bands ofperiodic orbits. In fact, the periodic onbit form ed w ith opening point as the negative-gradient-equivalent of the point 1 is identicalto the orbit 1A $2 \mathrm{~A}::: 7 \mathrm{~A}$, starting from 2A $: T$ hence, strings $12::: 7$ and its negative gradient counterpart are the two bands in this case. C ase 6: Even-O dd C C

A general case can be studied through the exam ple of $(q ; p)=(6 ; 5)$, polar construction being depicted in F ig. 3.10. A theugh the diagram is getting rather com plicated, in the sam e $m$ anner as discussed earlier, it can be concluded that there are fourbands of periodic orbits. G eneral validity of this conclusion can be veri ed without undue hardship. The results of this section, along w ith the lengths of the periodic orbits and the phase space areas of the bands in which they occur are sum marized in Table I. This concludes ourdiscussion on the enum eration and classi cation of the periodic orbits in $=3$ rhom busbilliard. T he periodic orbits of other billiards such as H annay $M \propto$ raw billiard [3] or rectangle billiard $w$ ith slit at the center can also be enum erated and classi ed in the sam e way.

### 3.3 The H -M B illiard

The particle $m$ oves here in a con guration space where a hard line-segm ent barrier is placed at the center parallelto one of the sides of the periodic cell of side length $L$, and the length of the barrier is halfof the side length (i.e. $L=2$ ). The invariant integral surface of this system has genus equal to two $(g=2)$. The fundam ental region consist oftw o œlls, due to presence of a barrier (see, Fig. 3.11). The opposite boundaries of the fundam ental region are identi ed topologically resulting in one handle of a sphere. The barriers in each cell of the fiundam ental region has to be identi ed topologically which results in another handle of the sphere. By stacking the dom ains of the billiard side by side in both the orthogonal directions, one obtains an in nite lattioe of barriers and gaps, w th barrier to gap to ratio unity. O ne can label the end points ofbarriers by integer-pairs which form lattioe points. It can be easily seen that the straightened version of a rational gradient ( $=\mathrm{p}=q \boldsymbol{j})$ trajectory $w$ ill initially $m$ eet lattice point ( $q ; p$ ) and then repeat itselfby $m$ eeting lattioe points ( $\mathrm{m} q$; mp ) where $\mathrm{m} 2 \mathrm{Z}: \mathrm{On}$ the other hand, the irrational gradient trajectory will never visit any lattice point though it will come arbitrarily close to m any lattice points, hence w ill never be periodic. T hus the periodic


Fig. 3.9 :Polar Map for the even-odd center-edge case $(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{p})=(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$


Fig. 3.10:Polar Map for the even-odd center-center case

$$
(q, p)=(6,5)
$$

orbits in the system are the ones which hit any lattice point ( $q ; p$ ) in this array of barriers, the gradient of such trajectories will.be given by jp=qj. By above argum ents, we need to consider only the pairs ( $q ; p$ ) such that $q$ and $p$ are co-prime since they only give a prim itive periodic onbits, and points ( m q; m p ); where m 2 Z , gives m repetitions of a prim itive periodic orbit corresponding to ( $q ; p$ ). Each such ( $q ; p$ ) gives di erent num ber of bands or fam ilies of periodic orbits, depending on whether the pair is odd-odd ( $0 ; 0$ ) or even-odd (e;o) or odd-even ( $0 ; \mathrm{e}$ ). The length of periodic orbit in a given fam ily corresponding to a lattioe point ( $q ; p$ ) is given by

$$
l=C L \frac{q}{\left(q^{2}+p^{2}\right)}
$$

where c depends on the num ber of fam ilies of periodic onbits. The periodic orbits can now be enum erated and classi ed exactly sam eway as done in the case of the $=3$-thom bus billiard [3]. The result is sum $m$ arised in the table 32.

### 3.4 The Single S lit R ectangle billiard

A nother simple exam ple of pseudo-integrable billiard is a simple modi cation of $H \mathrm{M}$ billiard, where instead of periodic cells one can consider a linear barrier of length $L$ placed at the center of the rectangle ( $L ; 2 L$ ); parallel to longer side. This sim ple m odi cation results in the pseudo-integrable billiard whose invariant surface is topologically equivalent to a sphere w th three handles (genus, $g=3$ ). The fiundam ental region now consist of four replicas of the con guration space instead of two as in the $H \mathrm{M}$ billiard. O pposite sides of the fundam ental region are identi ed as well as each pair of barrier lying one above each other has to be identi ed separately which results in genus 3 surface (see Fig. 3.12). By stacking the dom ains of the billiard side by side in both the orthogonaldirections, one obtains an in nite lattice of barriers and gaps, w ith barrier to gap to ratio unity, which is exactly same as the lattice of barriers and gaps one obtains in the case of $\mathrm{H} M$ billiard. O ne can proceed in the sam e way as before to label lattice point. T he periodic orbits can then be enum erated and classi ed using polarm aps. T he result is sum $m$ arised in table 3.3. In this chapter we have developed a m ethodology to enum erate and classify periodic orbits of som e pseudo-integrable billiards. The sam em ethodology can be used to study large num ber of rationalpolygonal billiards in particular one can apply technique to rectangular billiard


Fig. 3.11: H-M billiard (pqrs). Fundamental region requires two replicas as shown. V,H represents identified sides of a periodic cells. $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ are barriers in respective cells. A trajectory abcdefg... starts at 'a', meet H at ' $b$ ', due to identification it is then transferred to ' c ' and so on. Note that ' d ' is equivalent to ' e ' since lower side of B is identified with upper side of $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ and vice versa.


Fig. 3.12: The fundamental region of a single slit rectangle billiard which requirs four copies of configuration space $A_{1}$. Side identification is indicated by $1,2,3,4$ and barriers by $B$ and $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$.
w ith m any slits (or barriers) or L-shaped billiards.

| T ype | C lasses | N o. of Fam ilies | C losing <br> Point | Length | $B$ and <br> A reas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C enter-œenter | odd-odd | $\begin{aligned} & 1-1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (q=2 ; p=2) \\ & (q ; p) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pq}}=2 \\ & \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pq}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{R}} \\ & 2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | odd-even | $\begin{aligned} & 1-1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (q ; p) \\ & (2 q ; 2 p) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pq}} \\ & 2 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pq}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{R}} \\ & 2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | even-odd | $\begin{aligned} & 1-1 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (q ; p) \\ & (2 q ; 2 p) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pq}} \\ & 2 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pq}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{R}} \\ & 2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | odd-even | 1-1 | (3q; 3p) | 3 Lf fpq | $3 A_{R}$ |
|  | even-odd | 1-1 | (3q; 3p) | 3L $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pq}}$ | $3 A_{R}$ |

Table 3.1: Sum m ary of the results obtained in this chapter about periodic orbits of $=3$-thom bus billiard. The symbol $f_{p q}=\left(q^{2}+3 p^{2}\right)^{1=2}$, $L$ and $A_{R}=$ $3^{1=2} L^{2}=2$ are length and area of the billiard. Note that total band area occupied by periodic orbits assigned to a single lattice point is alw ays $6 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (ie. the phase space area of the billiard).

| C lasses | N o. of <br> Fam ilies | C losing <br> P oint | Length | B and <br> A reas |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| odd-odd | 1 | $(4 q ; 4 p)$ | $4 L f_{p q}=2$ | $2 A_{R}$ |
| even-odd | $1-1$ | $(q ; p)$ | $L f_{p q}$ | $A_{R}=2$ |
| even-odd |  | 1 | $(2 q ; 2 p)$ | $2 L f_{p q}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{R}$.

Table 32: Sum mary of results for single $H \mathrm{M}$ billiard, $T$ he symbol $f_{p q}=$ $\left(q^{2}+p^{2}\right)^{1=2}$, L and $A_{R}=L^{2}$ are length and area of the billiard. A gain here totalband area occupied by periodic orbits assigned to a single lattioe point is alw ays $2 A_{R}$ (ie. the phase space area of the billiard).

| C lasses | N o. of <br> Fam ilies | C losing <br> P oint | Length | B and <br> A reas |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| odd-odd | 2 | $(4 q ; 4 p)$ | $4 L f_{p q}=2$ | $2 A_{R}$ |
| even-odd ${ }^{1}$ | 2 | $(2 q ; 2 p)$ | $2 L f_{p q}$ | $A_{R}$ |
| even-odd ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | $(2 q ; 2 p)$ | $2 L f_{p q}$ | $A_{R}$ |
| odd-even | 2 | $(4 q ; 4 p)$ | $4 L f_{p q}$ | $2 A_{R}$ |

Table 3.3: Sum m ary of results for single slit rectangle billiard, The sym bol $f_{p q}=\left(q^{2}+p^{2}\right)^{1=2}, L$ and $A_{R}=2 L^{2}$ are length and area of the billiard. H ere also totalband area occupied by periodic onbits assigned to a single lattioe point is alw ays $6 A_{R}$ (ie. the phase space area of the billiard).
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## C hapter 4

## $G$ row th $R$ ate of $P$ eriodic $O$ rbits

### 4.1 Introduction

An im portant characteristic of a dynam ical system is the asym ptotics of the num ber of periodic onbits (or grow th rate of periodic onbits) having lengths less than or equal to $1 . \mathrm{W}$ e denote this fiunction by F (1). From the point of view of application, sem i-classical theory of spectral statistics can be carried out only after know ing the exact form of this law. The problem addressing the distribution of the periodic orbitsw ith the period ofthe onb its is discussed in this chapter. The answer to the problem is known only for the chaotic dynam ical system s which possess only unstable, isolated periodic orbits. In such cases grow th rate of periodic orbits is known to be exponential[1, 2]. e.g. For so called axiom A system sF (l) is given by

$$
F(l)=\exp f l g=1 \text { as } l \text { ! } 1
$$

where > 0 is the topological entropy of the system. For the pseudointegrable and their special cases, the alm ost integrable billiards (A polygon $P$ is called alm ost integrable if the group $G_{P}$ generated by the re ections in the side of P is a discrete subgroup of the group ofm otions of the E uclidean plane $R^{2}$ ), there are som e con jectures and incom plete results.

For exam ple a theorem due to $[3,4]$ states that in case of any arbitrary polygon P; the function $F$ (l) grows slower than any exponential, as l! 1 . By C orollary (2.1), F (1) is bounded above by the num ber ofgeneralized diagonals of length less than 1 . The sub-exponential grow th rate of the num ber of generalised diagonals is estim ated from above by the entropy of the billiard,
which is zero 5,6$]$. The above theorem is the only known upper bound on the periodic orbits in general polygons. As for a lower bound, no result has been established yet. On the other hand, periodic orbits in rational polygons have e cient bounds from below and from above. The proofs of these estim ates use the theory of holom orphic quadratic di erentials on $R$ iem ann surface. W e state here a theorem $[7,8]$ regarding this,

Theorem 6 Let $P$ be a rationalpolygon. Then there are positive constants $0<c_{1}<C_{2}$ such that for all su ciently large values of 1 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} 1^{2} \quad F \text { (l) } \quad \& l^{2}: \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These quadratic bounds are not likely to hold for general (irrational) polygons. The expectation is that, for general polygons there are polynom ial bounds on $F$ (1).

C on jecture 1 Let $P$ be an arbitrary polygon. then there exist positive constants $C_{1} ; C_{2}$ and integers $1 \quad n_{1} \quad n_{2}$ such that for su ciently large lwe have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} l^{n_{1}}<F(\mathbb{l})<c_{2} l^{n_{2}}: \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

For arbitrary rationalpolygons condition (4.1) m ay wellgive best possible estim ates on the $F(1)$ : H ow ever, there are non-trivial exam ples when the asym ptotics of $F$ (l) can be com puted exactly.

O ur investigations on som em odels are carried out analytically w ith com parisons shown w ith the num erical results. W e sharpen the existing theorem on proliferation law for alm ost-integrable system $s$ in a signi cant $m$ anner. O ur analysis enables us to give a general law of proliferation of the periodic orbits in the pseudo-integrable billiards.

If we consider an integrable system, corresponding to an alm ost integrable system, $P$ and let $g$ be the genus of the surface $R$ corresponding to $P$, denote by j jand jP jthe respective areas of and $P$, $G$ utkin [9] proved that there exists a constant $c_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\mathbb{I})=c_{1} \frac{g}{\mathrm{p} j} 1^{2}+0(\mathbb{I}): \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $C_{1}\left[1 ; \frac{\dot{p j} j}{j j}\right]$ :

### 4.2 Law of P roliferation of P eriodic O rbits in P seudo-integrable B illiards

O ur results in the previous chapter clearly show ed that there are countable num ber of fam ilies of periodic onbits. By a fam ily of periodic orbit, we m ean an isolated trajectory closing after an odd num ber of re ections, or a band of tra jectories closing after an even num ber of re ections. W e have also seen that the periodic trajectories only occur in bands for the $=3$ rhom bus billiard due to its equivalence $w$ th the barrier billiard. The num ber of fam ilies of periodic orbits of length less than $x$ is nite for any $x$,we shall call this num ber by Counting Function, $F(x)$ now on.

In the subsections below, the law for an alm ost integrable and a pseudointegrable billiard $[10,11]$ is derived.

### 4.2.1 The /3R hom bus B illiard

W e now present number-theoretic argum ents to obtain $F(x)$ for the /3rhom bus billiard. Subsequently, we shall discuss and com pare our results w th the above-m entioned results by $K$ atok [3] and $G$ utkin [9]. The length of periodic onbits in the given fam ily corresponding to the lattice point (q;p) where g;p are co-prim e is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
l=c_{2} L \frac{q}{\left(q^{2}+3 p^{2}\right)} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2}$ depends on fam ily of periodic orbits as seen in the Table 3-1 of chapter (3). If $l<x$ for a given fam ily of points ( $q ; p$ ) should be counted in $F(x)$. We can draw a circle of radius $h_{1}\left(=q_{0} L\right.$ ) then, all points ( $q ; p$ ) having fam ily of periodic orbit w th length $C_{2} l_{1} \quad x$ (or $\ddagger \quad x=q$ ) should be considered for the calculation of $F(x)$. Referring to $F i g$. 4.1, area of a
 denote the integer (fractional) part of a number by [...](f...g). T he num ber of lattice points in OABC is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{N} & =\quad\left(q_{p}+1\right)\left[\frac{\left(q_{p}+1\right)}{\overline{3}}\right] \\
& =\quad\left(q^{p}+1\right)^{2}=\overline{3} \quad(q+1)\left[\frac{\left(q_{p}+1\right)}{\overline{3}}\right] \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

On an average, $\left[\left(q_{0}+1\right)={ }^{p} \overline{3}\right]$ is $1 / 2$ (obviously); hence


Fig. 4.1: Lattice points of $\pi / 3$-rhombus billiard. Area of OABC is $l_{1}^{2}$. We count points lying in $\pi / 3$ sector only.

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \quad p_{\overline{D^{2}}}^{3}+\frac{\left(2 q_{p}+1\right)}{\overline{3}} \quad \frac{\left(q_{0}+1\right)}{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

T herefore,num ber of lattioe points in a quarter circle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{q}=N\left(\frac{I_{1}^{2}}{4}=4\right)=l_{1}^{2}=\frac{N}{4} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the probability that two random ly chosen numbers are co-prime is ( $6={ }^{2}$ ) [13], the num ber of co-prim e lattice points is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{C}=\left(\frac{6}{2}\right)\left(\frac{N}{4}\right) \quad \frac{\left.\mathrm{P}_{3}\right]_{1}^{2}}{2 L}+\frac{A^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3}}{4} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left(4 \quad P_{\overline{3}}\right)_{l_{1}}=L+2 \quad P_{\overline{3}}: \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For reasons discussed in the previous section, we are concemed in nding the num ber of points in a $(=3)$ sector, the area of which is tw o-thirds that of a quarter circle. H ence, for ( =3) sector,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{0}\left(I_{1}\right) \quad P_{\overline{1}}^{L_{1}^{2}} L^{2}+\frac{A}{2^{P} \overline{3}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking only the dom inant contribution ( $\mathrm{O}\left({\underset{1}{1}}_{2}^{2}\right)$ ), w ith the help of Table (3.1) (cf. chapter 3), we can w rite for the num ber of periodic orbits whose length is x as

$$
\begin{gather*}
F(x) \quad 2\left(P_{\text {oocc }} N_{\text {oocc }}(2 x)+P_{\text {oocc }} N_{\text {oocc }}(x)+P_{\text {oecc }} N_{\text {oecc }}(x)\right. \\
 \tag{4.11}\\
+P_{\text {oeccc }} N_{\text {oecc }}(x=2)+P_{\text {eocc }} N_{\text {eocc }}(x)+P_{\text {eocc }} N_{\text {eocce }}(x=2) \\
\\
\left.N_{\text {ooce }}(2 x=3)+P_{\text {ooce }} N_{\text {occe }}(x=3)+P_{\text {ooce }} N_{\text {ooce }}(x=3)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where ( $\mathrm{P}_{\text {oocc }}$ ) is the probability that given co-prim e lattice point is of odd-odd, centre-centre type and so on, $\mathrm{N}_{\text {oocc }}(\mathrm{l})$ is total num ber of odd-odd, centre-centre type co-prim e lattice points contained in the sector. O ut of the four points, only one is odd-odd (or even-odd or odd-even), also two out of three points are of centre-edge type and one is of centre-centre type. Therefore, we have

$$
P_{\text {oocc }}=P_{\text {oecc }}=P_{\text {eocc }}=\frac{1}{9} ;
$$

$$
P_{\text {ooce }}=P_{\text {oece }}=P_{\text {eoce }}=\frac{2}{9}:
$$

Thus we can write
$F(x)=2\left(\left(4+1+1+\frac{1}{4}+1+\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(-\frac{x^{2}}{9} \overline{3} L^{2}\right)+\left(\frac{4}{9}+\frac{1}{9}+\frac{1}{9}\right)\left(\frac{-\mathrm{p}^{2}}{9} \overline{3} L^{2}\right)\right)$
or

$$
=\frac{53}{27^{5} \overline{3} L^{2}} x^{2}:
$$

In term s of $f$ jthis can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=0: 049733 \frac{2}{P j} x^{2}: \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, apart from the dom inant term that is quadratic in $x$, there w ill be term s of $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\mathrm{O}(1)$. It is, how ever, im portant to note here that term of $O(x)$ is not related to the orbits periodic after an odd number of re ections (hence, isolated). T hese term s only present $m$ ore exact expression for $F(x)$ arising from the above argum ents. Their origin is in the points contributing to $F(x)$ lying on the boundary of the sector. O $n$ the sam $e$ lines as above, term sofo (x) and $O$ (1) are found to be (26=81) (4 $\overline{3} \quad 3)(x=L)$ and $(12=27)(2 \overline{3} \quad 3)$ respectively. Thus the counting function is of form

$$
F(x)=\frac{53^{p} \overline{3}!}{81 \mathrm{~L}^{2}} x^{2}+\frac{26}{81 \mathrm{~L}} \quad\left(4^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{3} \quad 3\right) x+\frac{12}{27} \quad\left(2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{3} \quad 3\right):
$$

### 4.2.2 The H -M B arrier B illiard:

$W$ e now present our calculation for the $H$ annay $-M \propto$ raw billiard [12]. Recall the discussion about this billiard in the previous chapter where we have argued that the periodic orbits in this system are the ones which hit any lattice point ( $q ; p$ ) in this array of barriers, the gradient of such trajectories w ill be given by $j=q j$. Therefore we consider only the pairs ( $q ; p$ ) such that $q$ and $p$ are co-prim e since they only give a prim titive periodic orbits, and points ( m q; m p); where m 2 Z , gives m repetitions of a prim itive periodic
orbit corresponding to ( $q ; p$ ). Each such ( $q ; p$ ) gives di erent num ber ofbands or fam ilies of periodic orbits, depending on whether the pair is odd-odd (o;o) or even-odd ( $\mathrm{e} ; \mathrm{o}$ ) or odd-even ( $0 ; \mathrm{e}$ ). The length of periodic orbit in a given fam ily corresponding to a lattice point ( $q ; p$ ) is given by

$$
l=C L \frac{q}{\left(q^{2}+p^{2}\right)}
$$

where c depends on the num ber of fam ilies of periodic orbits. It can be seen from table (32) of chapter 3, that, for ( $\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}$ ) as [12]

$$
\mathrm{c}=\begin{array}{cccc}
8 & 4 & \text { one band, clbsing at }(4 q ; 4 p) & \text { for }(0 ; 0)  \tag{4.14}\\
\gtrless & 2 ; 2 & \text { two bands, each closing at }(2 q ; 2 p) & \text { for }(0 ; e) \\
1 ; 1 ; 2 & \text { two bands cbosing at }(q ; p) \text { and one at }(2 q ; 2 p) & \text { for }(e ; o)
\end{array}
$$

If $1 \quad x$ for a given fam ily of points ( $q ; p$ ), the contribution from this fam ily of ( $q ; p$ ) should be counted in $F(x): D$ raw ing a quarter circle of radius $h_{1}$ in the quadrant under consideration, all points ( $q ; p$ ) having fam ily of periodic orbitsw ith length $\mathrm{cl}_{1} \quad \mathrm{x}$ (or $\ddagger \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{c}$ ) m ust be considered for the calculation off ( x ). The quarter circle is inscribed in a square OABC w th side length $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ( $F$ ig. 4 2). thus the area of this square is $l_{1}^{2}$ and the area of the quarter circle OAC is ${\underset{1}{2}}_{1}^{2}=4$. We shall denote the integer (fractional) part of a num ber by [ ] (fg). The num ber of lattice points in the square O A BC is given by

$$
\mathrm{N}=\frac{\mathrm{H}_{\underline{I}}^{\#}}{\mathrm{~L}}+1!_{2}^{0} @ \frac{\mathrm{I}_{1}}{\mathrm{~L}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{I}_{1}}{\mathrm{~L}}+1_{2}^{\mathrm{A}}
$$

Taking fractional part of $1_{1}=\mathrm{L}$, on an average as $1=2$, we can w rite

$$
N={\frac{I_{1}}{L}}^{!}+\frac{\frac{h}{1}^{!}}{\frac{1}{4}}
$$

Then the num ber of lattioe points in a quarter circle is just

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}=\frac{\mathrm{N}^{!}}{\mathrm{L}_{1}^{2}} \quad \frac{{\frac{\mathrm{~L}_{1}^{2}}{}}_{!}^{4}}{}=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{4} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the probability that two random ly chosen numbers are co-prime is $\left(6={ }^{2}\right.$ ) the num ber of 00 -prim e lattice points in quarter circle is


Fig. 4.2: Lattice structure of H-M billiard and single slit rectangal billiard is same though fundamental regions are not. End points of the barriers can be assigned integer lablelling.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}} & = & \frac{6}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{4} \\
& =\frac{3}{2 \mathrm{~L}^{2}} \mathrm{l}_{1}^{2}+\frac{3}{2 \mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{l}_{1}+\frac{3}{8} \tag{4.16}
\end{array}
$$

The counting function can now bew rilten explicitly as (using equation (4.14))

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=P_{\circ \circ} N_{\circ \circ} \frac{x}{4}+2 P_{\circ e} N_{\circ e} \frac{x}{2}+2 P_{\circ e} N_{\circ e}(x)+P_{e \circ} N_{e \circ} \frac{x}{2} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where again e.g., $\mathrm{P}_{\circ}$ is the probability that a given co-prim e lattice point is of (odd; odd) type and $\mathrm{N}_{\circ}(\mathrm{x})$ is the total num ber of odd-odd co-prim e lattice points contained in the quarter circle of radius $x\left(=N_{c}\right)$ :Trivially,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\circ \circ}=P_{\mathrm{oe}}=P_{\mathrm{eo}}=\frac{1}{3} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{array}{r}
F(x)=\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}} \frac{\mathrm{x}}{4}+3 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}} \frac{\mathrm{x}}{2}+2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{x})^{i}  \tag{4.19}\\
\frac{45}{32 \mathrm{~L}^{2}} \mathrm{x}^{2}+\frac{15}{8 \mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{x}+\frac{3}{4}
\end{array}
$$

This is the asym ptotic law of proliferation of periodic orbits for system under consideration. H ow fast actual $F(x)$ converges to equation (4.19) depends on the rate of convergence of $\mathrm{P}_{\circ \circ} ; \mathrm{P}_{\circ \mathrm{o}} ; \mathrm{P}_{\text {eo }}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in accordance w ith equations(4.18) and (4.16) respectively. It can be easily seen that $P$ converges rapidly to $1=3$. In Table (4.1), we com pare the actual num ber of co-prim e pairs w ith the results obtained by equation (4.16). It can be seen that (even) at $x=50$, the $\%$ di erence betw een the num erical and analytical results is only $2 \%$. For sim ilar reasons, we get an equally rem arkable agreem ent in the case of the $=3$-rhom bus billiard as seen in F ig.(4.3).

### 4.2.3 The Single S lit R ectangle B illiard

This billiard has been already described in the last chapter. A gain we consider only the pairs ( $q ; p$ ) such that $q$ and $p$ are co-prim e since they only give a prim 计ive periodic orbits, and points ( $\mathrm{mq} ; \mathrm{mp}$ ); where m 2 Z , gives m repetitions of a prim 斗ive periodic orbit corresponding to ( $q ; p$ ). Each such ( $q ; p$ ) gives di erent num ber ofbands or fam ilies of periodic onbits, depending on $w$ hether the pair is odd-odd ( $O ; O$ ) or even-odd ( $(\mathrm{e} ; \mathrm{O}$ ) or odd-even ( $(\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{e}$ ). T he length of periodic orbit in a given fam ily corresponding to a lattioe point ( $q ; p$ ) is given by


Fig. 4.3:A log-log plot of the counting function, $F(x)$ vs. the length $l$ of the primitive periodic orbits. Dashed curve represents theoretical results eq. (4.13) and the solid curve represents actual $F(x)$ obtained numerically.

$$
l=C L^{q} \overline{\left(q^{2}+p^{2}\right)}
$$

where c depends on the num ber of fam ilies of periodic onbits, which is now changed due to a sim ple $m$ odi cation of $H-M$ billiard to single slit rectangle, and is now given by (ref. Table 3.3 of chapter 3)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{8}{\gtrless} 4 \text { two bands, each cbosing at }(4 q ; 4 p) \text { for }(0 ; 0) \tag{420}
\end{align*}
$$

Rem aining analysis is sam e and willnot be repeated here. P roliferation law of periodic orbits can be deduced sim ilarly and willbe ofsam eform $a x^{2}+b x+c$.

The sim ilarity of equations (4.13) and (4.19) indeed suggest an im mediate generalization. H ow ever, rst of all, let us discuss the reason underlying the di erence betw een the quadratic coe cient obtained by us and the one by Gutkin in the theorem paraphrased earlier in this section. T he calculations show that this di erence is of an order or so. T he reason is as follow s. In considering the num ber of lattioe points form ed by stacking fundam ental region of the corresponding integrable system, the condition of co-prim ality w as not taken into account by G utkin. A s explained earlier an orbit labeled by a pair ( $m \mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{m} \mathrm{p}$ ), where m 2 Z , gives $m$ repetitions of a prim itive periodic orbit corresponding to point ( $q ; p$ ) where $q$ and $p$ are co-prim e. H ence, ignoring the co-prim ality condition leads to an over counting of the periodic orbits. Further, due to sym metry in the tessellated two dim ensional plane, calculation need to be perform ed for ( $=4$ ) sector in the single slit or $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{M}$ billiard and for $(=6)$ sector in the $=3$-thom bus billiard. In general, of course, for a dom ain $w$ ith a discrete sym metry of order $N$, only a ( $=\mathrm{N}$ ) sector needs consideration. Finally, one m ust note that a basic di erence between the lattice generated by fundam ental polygonal billiard and corresponding integrable system, lies in the incom plete tessellation of the plane by non-integrable billiards. For instance, the barriers are of zero width and nite length in the two exam ples considered in this section. It is this structure that enables us to com pletely classify the orbits via integer labeling. The relative weight ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{oo}} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{oe}} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{eo}}$ ) in $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{M}$ billiard and ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{oocc}} ; \mathrm{P}_{\text {ooce }}$ ) etc. in the ( $=3$ ) -rhom bus billiard for di erent types of co-prim e lattioe points di er in di erent system and lead to a di erent quadratic coe cient. H ence, to
give a general form ula for the law of proliferation of periodic orbits exactly dem ands a com plete enum eration and classi cation of periodic orbits. A 1though this im portant question cannot be answ ered today, a general recipe in the follow ing is presented which com es very close to an exact form ula for quadratic coe cient.

### 4.3 P roliferation of P eriodic O rbits C onsidering $R$ epetitions

W e now discuss the nature of this law if repetitions of the orbits are also counted. this nds application in the sem i-classical theory of spectral statistics. If we follow on the sim ilar lines as above, we obtain the asym ptotic behaviour detailed below .

If we are counting repetitions of the prim itive orbits of length, $l<x$, then the prim itive orbits of length betw een x and $\mathrm{x}=2 \mathrm{w}$ ill not be repeated; the prim itive orbits $w$ ith length $1 ; x=2<l<x=3 \mathrm{w}$ ill be repeated once; the prim itive orbits $w$ ith length $x=3<l<x=4$ will be repeated tw ioe and so on. Thus taking into account these repetitions, one can write an expression for num ber of 'e ective' co-prim e lattice points w ithin a quarter circle of radius x;N (x) as,
$N_{r}(x)=N_{C}(x) \quad N_{C} \frac{x}{2}+2 N_{C} \frac{x}{2} \quad N_{C} \frac{x}{3} \quad+:::+n \quad N_{C} \frac{x}{n} \quad N_{C}(1)$
Here $n$ is the largest integer less than $x$, we have neglected $N$ ( 1 ) ( < 1) since there are no periodic orbits of length less than 1 in the system we have considered. Equation (421) can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{r}(x)=N_{c}(x)+N_{c} \frac{x}{2}+N_{c} \frac{x}{3}+:::+N_{c} \frac{x}{n} \quad n N_{c}(1) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the $m$ odi ed counting function, $F_{r}(x)$; for say $H M$ billiard becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{r}(x)=\frac{1}{3} N_{r} \frac{x}{4}+3 N_{r} \frac{x}{2}+2 N_{r}(x) \tag{423}
\end{equation*}
$$

substituting equation (4.22) in equation (4.23), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{r}(x)= & \frac{1}{3} \frac{A\left(n_{4}\right)}{16}+\frac{3 A\left(n_{2}\right)}{2}+2 A(n) \quad \frac{3}{2} x^{2} \\
& +\frac{B\left(n_{4}\right)}{4}+\frac{3 B\left(n_{2}\right)}{2}+2 B(n) \quad \frac{3}{2} x \quad\left(n_{4}+n_{2}+n\right) \quad \underline{3} \tag{424}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n_{4}$ and $n_{2}$ are the largest integers less than $\mathrm{x}=4$ and $\mathrm{x}=2$ respectively. $A$ and $B$ are given by

$$
A(n)={ }^{x} \frac{1}{i} \frac{B(n)}{i^{2}} ; \quad{ }^{x} \frac{1}{i}
$$

A sym ptotically $(\mathrm{n}!1)$, $A(n)={ }^{2}=6$ and $B(n)=\log (n)+\quad$ where () is the Euler -M ascheroni constant, equal to $0: 5772157::::$. T he proliferation law w ith repetitions for otherbilliards considered above can sim ilarly be deduced.

The rate of convergence of actual $F_{r}(x)$ to equation (4.24) depends upon the rate of convergence of actual $N_{r}(x)$ to equation (422). In Table (4.1), we com pare actual num ber of 'e ective' co-prim e pairs $N_{r}(x)$ w ith that given by equation (422). N ote that the \% di erence betw een actual'e ective' 0 prim e num bers and those obtained from equation (422) at $x=50$, is $5 \%$ ( it $m$ ay be recalled that this gure is alm ost 2.5 tim es the one observed for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ). It is for this reason that the convergence to the quadratic law (equation (424)) is much slow er if one considers repetitions.

To conclude, we have show n analytically that the rate of proliferation of the periodic orbits is exactly quadratic (in length) asym ptotically. W e have seen that this is in com plete agreem ent with extensive num erical calculations on $t w o m$ odelpseudo-integrable system $s$. The reason underlying the asym $\mathrm{p}-$ tote, $\mathrm{ax}^{2}+\mathrm{bx}+\mathrm{c}$; to the counting function is clearly related to the tessellation of the two dim ensional plane by the fiundam ental region of the billiards.

### 4.4 G eneralization

It is well known that a rational polygon can periodically tile a surface everywhere at, in the sense of null G aussian curvature, except at isolated vertex points of singular negative curvature. A periodic structure that tiles the alm ost everyw here at surfacem ay consist of several polygons and hence space can be assigned distinct labels (albeit com plicated) taking account of
di erent periodicities, in a spirit sim ilar to the one presented above. To enu$m$ erate distinct prim itive periodic orbits, one needs a condition analogous to the co-prim ality condition required by the tw o system s discussed above, since out of all lattice points lying on the sam e line of a given slope only one w ill give a prim itive periodic orbit. Let us denote the probability of the " $\infty$ prim ality condition" to be satis ed by distinct labels $P_{c}$. Furtherm ore, the classi cation entailing each distinct labelw ill give rise to relative weights in whidh the orbits willbe distributed, let us denote it by $\mathrm{P}_{j}$ ( $j$ denote classes). For a polygon w ith sym $m$ etry group of order $N$, the points to be considered w illibe restricted to a $=\mathrm{N}$ sector. This num ber can be w ritten as

$$
N_{L}={ }_{i}^{X} \quad{ }_{i} X^{2}+{ }_{i} X+{ }_{i}=N
$$

where the sum $m$ ation is over all the periodicities. A nd num ber of " $\infty$-prim e" points are $N_{L C}(x)=P_{C} N_{L}(x)$. For each class of periodic orbit for which the weight is $P_{j}$; there $m$ ay be $k$ types of periodic orbits closing at length $\mathrm{kj}^{\mathrm{X}}$. $W$ e assum e that $k$ rem ains constant for given class. $W$ ith this the counting function becom es

$$
F(x)={ }_{j}^{x} P_{j}^{x} N_{L C} \frac{x}{k j}
$$

and hence coe cient off $(x)=\mathrm{ax}^{2}+\mathrm{bx}+\mathrm{c}$ are

Hence, counting function $w$ ill quadratic and convergence $w$ ill depend on both $P_{C}$ and $P_{L}$. H ow ever, If $k$ is not constant but depends on $x$ then above analysis $w$ ill have to be $m$ odi ed and $F(x) m$ ay not be quadratic.

| x | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ <br> eq: $(4: 16)$ | $=\mathrm{dif} \mathrm{f}$ <br> C ol:2;3 | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{r}}$ <br> eq: $(4: 22)$ | =diff <br> C ol:5; 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.0 | 2 | 5 | 150.0 | 2 | 4 | 100.0 |
| 4.0 | 6 | 9 | 50.0 | 6 | 9 | 50.0 |
| 5.0 | 10 | 14 | 40.0 | 12 | 17 | 41.6 |
| 6.0 | 16 | 19 | 18.7 | 18 | 23 | 27.7 |
| 7.0 | 20 | 26 | 30.0 | 26 | 34 | 30.7 |
| 8.0 | 28 | 34 | 21.4 | 36 | 46 | 27.7 |
| 9.0 | 36 | 42 | 16.6 | 48 | 59 | 22.9 |
| 10.0 | 46 | 52 | 13.0 | 62 | 75 | 20.9 |
| 20.0 | 190 | 200 | 52 | 280 | 313 | 11.8 |
| 30.0 | 424 | 443 | 4.4 | 654 | 707 | 8.1 |
| 40.0 | 764 | 782 | 2.3 | 1188 | 1264 | 6.3 |
| 50.0 | 1192 | 1217 | 2.0 | 1876 | 1977 | 5.3 |
| 60.0 | 1718 | 1747 | 1.6 | 2720 | 2848 | 4.7 |
| 70.0 | 2330 | 2372 | 1.8 | 3724 | 3876 | 4.1 |
| 80.0 | 3048 | 3093 | 1.4 | 4884 | 5063 | 3.7 |
| 90.0 | 3876 | 3910 | .8 | 6208 | 6406 | 32 |
| 100.0 | 4770 | 4822 | 1.1 | 7684 | 7912 | 2.9 |
| 200.0 | 19088 | 19193 | .55 | 31066 | 31593 | 1.7 |
| 300.0 | 42972 | 43114 | .33 | 70162 | 71009 | 12 |
| 400.0 | 76402 | 76585 | 24 | 124974 | 126145 | .94 |
| 500.0 | 119372 | 119604 | .19 | 195484 | 197005 | .78 |

Table 4.1: C om parision between actual and theoretical values for $N_{C}$ and $N_{r}$
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## C hapter 5

## T w o P oint C luster Function and Form Factor: A D iagonal A pproxim ation

### 5.1 Introduction

W e C onsider the discrete spectrum $\mathrm{E}_{1} ; \mathrm{E}_{2} ; \mathrm{E}_{3} ; \quad$ of f -dim ensionalbound quantum system. A smentioned in the chapter (1) one can de ne a "global" function $N(E)$ which gives num ber of levels less than or equal to $E$ on the set of this discrete levels. The function $N(E)$ is obviously a staircase like function, since it jum ps by unity at the occurrence of an eigenvalue $E_{i}$ and rem ains constant otherw ise. O f course if spectrum consist of degeneracies then jum $p$ in $N(E)$ at the particular degeneracy $w i l l$ not be unity but equal to num ber of degenerate levels at particular point on energy axis. Typical functionalnature ofN ( $E$ ) is therefore non-analytical. W hen we approxim ate $N$ ( E ) by a sem i-classical expression we use approxim ate analytical form for the exact staircase. W e use sam e sym bolN ( $E$ ) for both actual staircase and its sem iclassical approxim ation, m ostly we w illlbe talking about sem iclassical approxim ation below .

A s m entioned earlier it is possible to separate sem i-classical approxim ation to $N(E)$ in a $s m$ ooth part $N_{a v}(E)$ and the uctuating part $N_{\text {osc }}(E)$ (i.e. $\mathrm{N}(E)=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{av}}(E)+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{osc}}(E)$ ). In the particular case of a particle (of m ass $m=1=2$ ) in polygonal box (or polygonal billiard) of area $A_{R} ; N_{a v}(E)$ is
given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathrm{av}}(E)=\frac{\mathrm{A}_{R} \mathrm{E}}{4 \mathrm{~h}^{2}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{E}}}{4 \mathrm{~h}}+\mathrm{C} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{D}$ is length of the param eter of the boundary, and $C$ is a constant containing com plex inform ation on the geom etricaland topologicalproperties of the billiard.

This average behaviour $m$ ay be elm inated in order to characterize and com pare the uctuation pattems of di erent system s whose corresponding $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{av}}(\mathrm{E})$ is not same. For this purpose it is convenient to ' 'unfold' ' the original spectrum $\mathrm{fE}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ through the m apping E 7 ! r

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}=N_{\text {av }}\left(E_{i}\right): \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The e ect of (52) is that the sequence $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ has on the average a constant $m$ ean spacing equalto unity, irrespective ofthe particular form ofthe function $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{av}}(E)$. By construction $r_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ i $1=2(i=1 ; 2 ;$ :::) and the departures

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=r_{i} \quad(i \quad 1=2) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $r_{i}$ from its average value i $1=2$ are the level uctuations. A s a result of unfolding the spectrum in a way $m$ entioned above it is obvious that the average part of level density of unfolded spectrum $d_{a v}(y)$ is unity.

### 5.2 SpectralF luctuation $M$ easures

The level uctuations can be characterized in a system atic way using the $k$-point correlation finctions and $m$ easures derived from them. The $k$-point correlation function is de ned as [1,2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{k}\right)=\frac{n!}{(n \quad k)!}{ }_{1}^{71}:::_{1}^{Z^{1}} P_{n}\left(x_{1} ;:: x_{n}\right) d x_{k+1}:: d x_{n}: \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n}$ is a joint probability density function of the levels $f x_{i} g_{j=1:: n}$ and $1 \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{n}$. The $R$ is thus a probability density of nding a level(regardless of labeling) around each of the points $x_{1}:: x_{k}$; the positions of the rem aining levels being unobserved. Each function $R_{k} ; k>1$ contains term $s$ of various kinds describing the grouping of $k$ levels into various subgroups or clusters.

In practice it is convenient to introduce $k$-level cluster functions obtained from $R_{k}$ by subtracting out the low er-order correlation term $s$, as

Here $G$ stands for any division of the indices $[1 ;::: ; k]$ into subgroups $\left[G_{1} ;:: ; G_{m}\right]$ : For exam ple,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{T}_{1}(\mathrm{x}) & =\mathrm{R}_{1}(\mathrm{x})  \tag{5.6}\\
\text { and } \\
\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) & =\mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{R}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right) \mathrm{R}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

$M$ easuring the energies in the units of the m ean levelspacing $=1$ and introducing the variables $y_{i}=x_{i}=$, (this is nothing but the unfolding $m$ entioned earlier) the cluster functions can be w rilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{k}\left(y_{1} ;::: y_{k}\right)=\lim _{n!}{ }^{n} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right): \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $Y_{k}$ 's are then well de ned and nite everyw here. T he cluster functions being isolated from the e ects of the low er correlations, vanishes as the separation
$r=j r_{1} \quad r_{2} j b e c o m$ es larger and larger. A m ong these two-level chuster function $Y_{2}$ is ofprim e im portance. W hen the collection of levels are treated as a classical C oulomb gas, $Y_{2}$ de nes the shape of the neutralizing charge cloud induced by each particle around itself [2]. A s m entioned earlier many uctuation m easures can now be expressed in term $s$ of the $Y_{k}$ 's. W e shall discuss this at appropriate place.

Consider now one point $m$ easure, the energy level density function $d(y)=r_{i}^{P} \quad(y \quad y)$, where $\quad$ is usual $K$ ronecker's delta function. The average w ith respect to the probability distribution function $P\left(y_{1}::: y_{n}\right)$ (so called ensem ble average) of the level density function is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { hhd }(y) \text { ii } & ={ }_{1}^{R} d y_{1} \quad{ }_{1}^{R} d y_{n} d(y) P\left(y_{1}::: y_{n}\right) \\
& =n_{1}^{R} d y_{1} \quad{ }_{1}^{R} d y_{n} \quad(y \quad y) P\left(y_{1}::: y_{n}\right):  \tag{5.8}\\
& =R_{1}(y)=T_{1}(y)
\end{align*}
$$

A lso the density-density correlation function (for energy) is de ned as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =n_{i=1}^{P^{n}} \quad{ }_{1}^{R} d y_{1} \quad{ }_{1}^{R} d y_{n} \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
y \\
y
\end{array} \quad\left(y^{\rho} \quad y\right) P\left(y_{1}:: y_{n}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\quad(y \quad y) R_{1}(y)+R_{2}\left(y ; y^{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

H enœ, from equation (5.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hhd }(y) d\left(y^{0}\right) \text { ii }=\quad(y \quad y) R_{1}(y)+R_{1}(y) R_{1}\left(y^{0}\right) \quad T_{2}\left(y ; y^{0}\right) \text { : } \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the ensem ble average is sam e as the spectral average (see e.g.[3]), which is de ned as (for level density)

$$
\begin{equation*}
h d(y) i=\frac{1}{y}_{y=2}^{y z=2} d x d(x) \text {; } \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can w rite in an asym ptotic lim it

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hd }(y) i=R_{1}(y)=Y_{1}(y)=d_{a v}(y)=1 \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hd }(y) d\left(y^{0}\right) i=\quad(y \quad y)+1 \quad Y_{2}\left(y ; y^{0}\right): \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus two-point correlation function $R_{2}\left(y_{1} ; y_{2}\right)$ or cluster function $Y_{2}\left(y_{1} ; y_{2}\right)$ are related to the spectral average of density-density correlation function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}\left(y ; y^{0}\right)=\operatorname{hd}(y) d\left(y^{0}\right) i \quad(y \quad b \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{2}\left(y ; y^{0}\right)=1+\quad(y \quad y \quad y) \quad \text { hd }(y) d\left(y^{0}\right) i: \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (5.13) and (5.14) are the im portant relation to develop sem iclassical understanding of spectral uctuation properties in which we are
interested. Since we can w rite density of states as sum of average and uctuating part $\left(\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{av}}(\mathrm{y})+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{osc}}(\mathrm{y})\right)$ the density-density correlation function becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { hd }(y) d\left(y^{0}\right) i= & h\left(d_{\mathrm{av}}(y)+d_{o s c}(y)\right)\left(d_{\mathrm{av}}\left(y^{0}\right)+d_{o s c}\left(y^{0}\right)\right) i \\
= & d_{\mathrm{av}}(y) d_{\mathrm{av}}\left(y^{0}\right)+d_{\mathrm{av}}(y) h d_{o s c}\left(y^{0}\right) i \\
& +d_{\mathrm{osc}}(y) h d_{\mathrm{av}}\left(y^{0}\right) i+\operatorname{hd}_{\mathrm{osc}}(y) d_{o s c}\left(y^{0}\right) i ;
\end{aligned}
$$

since hdosc $(y) i=h d_{o s c}\left(y^{0}\right) i^{\prime} \quad 0$; and also $d_{a v}(y)=1$; we nally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hd }(y) d\left(y^{0}\right) i=1+h_{o s c}(y) d_{o s c}\left(y^{0}\right) i: \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing this, equations (5.13) and (5.14) can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}\left(y ; y^{0}\right)=\operatorname{hd}_{o s c}(y) d_{o s c}\left(y^{0}\right) i+1 \quad(y \quad y \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
Y_{2}\left(y ; y^{0}\right)=\quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y & \quad y \tag{5.17}
\end{array}\right) \quad \operatorname{hd}_{s c}(y) d_{o s c}\left(y^{0}\right) i:
$$

These are the equations that we w ill use below to study spectral uctuation properties as we can substitute sem i-classical expression obtained in chapter (1) for $d_{o s c}(y)$. N ote, existence of ( $y \quad y$ ) in eqs. (5.16) and (5.17). Since $R_{2}$ or $Y_{2}$ must be free of -function, density-density correlation function also $m$ ust contain sim ilar -function. Indeed this is due to the self-correlation of a level.

A ll the relevant inform ation to evaluate sem i-classical periodic orbit sum is obtained in the previous two chapters. For an easy reference we w ill reproduce brie $y$ those results in the follow ing section. Further the exam ple, we consider here ( $=3$-rhom bus billiard) also possesses a sym $m$ etry $w$ th respect to both the diagonals. This results in $m$ odi cation of the sem i-classical expression for the density of states, since one can now work with decom posed state space due to sym $m$ etry. These issues are also discussed in the follow ing section before we take up study of statisticalm easures.

### 5.3 Sem i-classical Density of States

As stated in chapter (1) the oscillatory part of density of states in case of pseudo-integrable is given by [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(E)=\frac{m}{2 h^{2}} R^{x} e_{i}^{Z z} \operatorname{dqH}_{0}^{(1)}{\frac{l_{i}}{h} p}_{2 m E}^{l} \exp \left(i_{i}\right): \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all sym bols are as explained in chapter (1). H ere we take $m=1=2$, then by taking real part and in the asym ptotic lim it ( $\mathrm{h}!0$ ) we get (note that 's are integers)

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\text {osc }}(E)=\frac{1}{8{ }^{3} h^{3}}{ }^{1=2} \sum_{i=4}^{1=} \frac{A_{i}}{l_{i}^{1=2}} \cos \frac{l_{i} p}{h} \bar{E}+\left(i_{i} \frac{1}{4}\right)^{!}: \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e now '"unfold'' the energy using only rst term of $N$ av for the reasons of sim plicity (as a trade o between sim plicity and accuracy), through $m$ apping $E!r_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{A_{R} E}{4 h^{2}}: \tag{520}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $m$ eans unfolded levels $w i l l$ not have $m$ ean spacing equal to unity exactly but only approxim ately. In fact from equation (5.1) it is easy to see that mean level spacing after unfolding is less then unity by a factor (1 $\left.\quad L_{D}=\left(\bar{P} A_{R} r_{0}\right)+C=r_{0}\right)$. Since for sem i-classical analysis is valid for large value of $r_{0}$, it is obvious that unfolding levels using just a leading term of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{av}}(E)$ will not introduce serious error in the analysis for large value of $r_{0}$.

From equation (5.20) we can see that

$$
\frac{d r_{0}}{d E}=\frac{A_{R}}{4 h^{2}}, d_{a v}(E) ;
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(r_{0}\right)=d(E){\frac{d r_{0}}{d E}}^{1}, 1+d_{o s c}(E){\frac{d r_{0}}{d E}}^{1}=1+d_{o s c}\left(r_{0}\right): \tag{521}
\end{equation*}
$$

The oscillatory part of the density of states (eq.(5.19)) can then be written in term s of rescaled energies as

$$
d_{o s c}\left(r_{0}\right)=@ \frac{r_{0}{ }^{1=4}{ }^{1}{ }^{3=4} A_{R}^{3=4}}{}{ }^{1} \times \quad \frac{A_{i}}{i} \frac{s}{l_{i}^{1=2}} \cos l_{i} \frac{4 r_{0}}{A_{R}}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i & \frac{1}{4} \tag{522}
\end{array}\right) \quad:
$$

A sm entioned above when a quantum dynam ical system possesses a sym $m$ etry, its state spacem ay be decom posed into subspaces ofde nite sym $m$ etry type. The Schrodinger equation can then be restricted to these subspaces resulting in a sym $m$ etry-projected spectrum. It is interesting to know how these sym $m$ etries in uence the uctuation properties of the whole spectrum.

### 5.3.1 Sym m etry-pro jected G reen's function

Let $\hat{H}$ be a quantum $H$ am iltonian for a system of $f$ degrees of freedom, invariant under a discrete group $G$ : $W$ e w ill assum e that $G$ acts classically as a group ofE uclidean point transform ations (i.e. com bination oftranslations rotations, and re ections). The quantum mechanical action of $G$ in the $x$ representation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}(g) \dot{x} i=\dot{j} \quad x i \tag{523}
\end{equation*}
$$

where g is an elem ent of G and g x denotes its action on x . By virtue of this sym $m$ etry, $\hat{H} \mathrm{~m}$ ay be restricted to a subspace which is invariant under the sym $m$ etry and whose states transform according to an irreducible representation of $G$. The pro jection onto an invariant subspace is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m}={\frac{d_{m}}{j G} j_{g 2 G}}_{x}^{m}(g) \hat{U}^{>}(g) ; \tag{524}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $m(g)$ is the character of the $m{ }^{\text {th }}$ irreducible representation ofdim ension $d_{m}$, and $j G j$ is the order of group G [5].

A sem i-classical approxim ation for $G_{m}(\mathbb{E})$, the trace of the sym $m$ etrypro jected $G$ reen's function is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathbb{E})=\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathbb{E} \quad \hat{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}}\right)^{1}\right]: \tag{5,25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The poles of $g_{m}(E)$ are the energy eigenvalues of sym $m$ etry $m$ and the pole strength give the eigenvalue degeneracies. O ne can now follow steps given in chapter (1) to obtain the periodic orbit sum form ula. The sym m etrypro jected $G$ reen's function, $G_{m}\left(x ; x^{0} ; E\right)=h x P_{m}(E \quad \hat{H})^{1} \dot{j} x_{i}$ can be obtained by restricting to an invariant subspace only which is given by [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{m}\left(x ; x^{0} ; E\right)={\frac{d_{m}}{j G} j_{g 2 G}}_{m}^{m}(g) G(g \quad x ; x E): \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It m ay be noted that the fullsem i-classicalG reen's function involves sum over orbits betw een $x^{0}$ and $x$, its sym $m$ etry pro jection involves a larger fam ily of orbits. T he sum over classical orbits is taken for orbits that begin at $x^{0}$ and end at $g \quad x$, a point related to $x$ by sym $m$ etry. These orbits can be associated in a one-to-one fashion $w$ ith orbits on a sym $m$ etry reduced phase space.

A generalform ofsym $m$ etry (discrete) pro jected oscillatory part ofdensity of states can be obtained from the corresponding trace form ula [6]. In the
case of pseudo-integrable polygonal billiards it reduces to (in an unfolded spectrum )

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{o s C}^{m}(r)=d_{m} \frac{r^{1=4}}{{ }_{3=4} A_{R}^{3=4}}{ }_{j}^{!} \frac{A_{j}}{l_{j}^{1=2}} \cos l_{j} \frac{s \times}{A_{R}} \frac{4}{4}+{ }_{j} \quad{ }_{m}\left(g_{p}^{m}\right): \tag{527}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the term $s l_{p} ; A_{p}$ are length and band area of the periodic orbits in the prim titive polygon that tessellate full phase space. T he sum over all m, of equation (527) reproduces equation (522).

The spectrum of the $=3-$ thom busbilliard is com posed of supenposition of four di erent $m$ odes due to system sym $m$ etries [7]. Sem i-classically, it is not possible to distinguish all fourm odes [4] separately. H ow ever, it is possible to separate equilateral triangle $m$ odes (odd parity classes) where wave function is zero on the shorter diagonaland pure rhom bus m odes(even parity classes) where gradient of wave function is zero on the shorter diagonal. This can be done by incorporating and exploiting the sym metry of the system in a sem i-classical treatm ent, to obtain sym m etry pro jected spectrum .

W ew ill follow treatm ent of [6] to study e ect ofsym $m$ etries on the uctuation properties of the spectrum . W e take longer diagonal along $y$-axis and shorter diagonal along x-axis. $=3-$ hhom bus billiard has sym m etry group $C_{2} C_{2}$, consisting of re ections about $x$ and $y$ axes. The elem ents of the group can be denoted by $x^{a} y^{b}$, where $x$ and $y$ represent com $m$ uting re ections about a respective axis. The prim itive cellV [6] is then halfequilateral triangle having sides half of longer ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}$ ) and shorter ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ) diagonals and one side of the rhombus $L$. The group has four one dim ensional ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in (527) is therefore 1) irreducible representations, labeled by num bers ( $\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}$ ). T hese num bers describe parities under re ections about $x$ and $y$ axes respectively. For states, even under x re ection, $\mathrm{p}=0$, and odd under x re ection $\mathrm{p}=1$. Sim ilarly, q takes values 0 or 1 depending on parity under y re ection. The characters of the group can be easily determ ined in this case and are given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}\left(g_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\operatorname{expf} \quad \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{p} \underline{1}+\mathrm{q} \mathrm{~g}_{1}\right) \mathrm{g} \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here, $a_{1} ; b_{1}$ are num ber oftim es $l^{\text {th }}$ prim itive periodic orbit bounces from $L_{x}$ and $L_{y}$ respectively, $n$ counts repetitions of periodic orbits and $g_{1}^{n}$ in group elem ent $x^{a_{1}} y^{b_{1}}$. P ure rhom busm odes are therefore represented by $p=0 ; q=0$ or 1 and the equilateral triangle $m$ odes by $p=1 ; q=0$ or 1 .

Recalling the com $m$ ents about the $M$ aslov indioes in the chapter (1), one can see that the character of the group actually play a role of the $M$ aslov
indioes in this case. T hus, for the pure rhom busm odes $N$ eum ann boundary condition is applied to the shorter diagonal $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and then bounces on the sam e are not taken into the consideration. For equilateral triangle $m$ odes how ever, since one have D irichlet boundary condition on $L_{x}$, bounces on it should be counted.

From the polar constructions we have used [8] in chapter (3) to determ ine and classify the periodic orbits $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ can be determ ined easily. It can be seen that $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ is alw ays even, hence qdo does not play any role here. $T$ his is the basic reason that one can not separate these tw o parity classes (belonging to integrable and pseudo-integrable parts) further into the four di erent m odes. A lso it tums out that for all the centre-edge orbits, $a_{1}$ is even. However, for all the centre-œentre orbits there are three bands of periodic onbits each occupying area of $4 A_{R}$ ( $A_{R}$ is area of $V$ ). Two of these bands bounces odd num ber of tim es on $L_{x}$ and one band bounces even num ber of tim es (i.e. $a_{1}$ is odd for two bands and even for one band). The sam e is true for side L , since total num ber ofbounces on three sides of $V$ are alw ays even. $U$ sing all these facts discussed above, equation (527) becom es
$N$ ote, repetition index $n$ is absonbed in $a_{j}$ here. $A_{j} ; l_{j}$ and $A_{R}$ represent respectively the band area of periodic orbits of prim tive cell $V$, length of the periodic orbits and area of half equilateral triangle. The M aslov indiges,
${ }_{j}$ here counts bounces only on the side L of the prim itive cell $V$. Thus for the pure rhom bus $m$ odes since $p=0, j w i l l$ play im portant role, while for equilateraltriangle $m$ odes $(p=1),\left(\begin{array}{ll}j & \left.a_{j}\right) \text { is alw ays even for all the periodic }\end{array}\right.$ orbits. If therefore, 's are neglected one willend up in erroneous results.

U sing above discussion and follow ing the steps of [6] we w rite density of states for the pure rhom bus $m$ odes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{o S C}^{m}(r)=\frac{2 r^{1=4}}{{ }^{3=4} A_{R}^{3=4}} \times \frac{A_{j}}{j} \frac{s}{l_{j}^{1=2}} \cos l_{j} \frac{4 r}{A_{R}} \quad \overline{4} \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for centre-edge orbits. For all centre-edge points, $A_{j}$ w ill be $12 A_{R}$ i.e. sam e
as phase space area. A nd for centre-œentre orbits we w rite

Here, superscripts a;e;o over sum $m$ ation sign represents all repetitions, even repetitions and odd repetitions of the prim itive periodic orbits. This is sim ple to understand, since centre-edge points do not result in periodic orbits of di erent lengths in case of the rhom bus there contribution will be sam e as the rhom bus or in the half equilateral triangle. H ow ever, note that each centre-œentre point results in two kinds of bands of the periodic orbits one having the sam e length as that of the periodic orbits in the half equilateral triangle and other having length twice of the sam e. These orbits do not belong to the half equilateral triangle. The density of states for equilateral triangle $m$ ode has sam $e$ form as (5.30). It is simple to check that adding these contributions we do get density of states for the com plete rhom bus. We shall now tum our attention to study of two-level cluster function.

### 5.4 T w o-Level C luster Function

In this section we shall obtain a closed analytical expression for two level cluster function in pseudo-integrable billiards using sem i-classical fram ew onk. To do this it is clear from equation (5.17) that we need to evaluate sem iclassical product hod ${ }_{o s c}(y) \mathrm{d}_{\text {osc }}\left(y^{0}\right) i_{\text {; }}$ (i.e. spectral average of density-density correlation function.

### 5.4.1 D ensity-density correlation function

As de ned above density-density correlation function (oscillatory part) is given by $d_{o s c}(y) d_{o s c}\left(y^{0}\right)$. We consider correlation of levels around $r_{0}$ i.e. we $w$ illput $y=r_{0}+r_{1}$ and $y^{0}=r_{0}+r_{2}: r_{1} ; r_{2} \ll r_{0}$ then using equation (522) correlation function becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos \mathrm{q} \frac{4}{\frac{4}{A_{R}}}\left(I_{k} \mathrm{p} \overline{r_{0}+r_{2}}\right)+\quad{ }_{k} \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad 0
\end{aligned}
$$

or by using sim ple trigonom etrical identities
where $=(j \quad k)$; term $s$ due to $M$ aslov indices(ie. num ber ofbounces on the billiard wall). In the case of polygonal billiards it can be seen that the num ber of bounces of a particle on wall is alw ays even ifboundary conditions on the all segm ents of the boundary are sam e either D irichlet or N eum ann [9]. H ence, we w ill drop them from our analysis until we consider an exam ple w here they becom es im portant (these are the cases w here boundary conditions are $m$ ixed i.e. on som e segm ent it is D irichlet, on the others it is $N$ eum ann).

It is easy to see that due to local averaging the rst term in the above equation will be negligibly sm all even when statistically signi cant num ber of levels are considered. T he second term also vanishes, unless

$$
\frac{\mathrm{s}}{\frac{4}{A_{R}}} \quad_{j}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{r_{0}+r_{1}} \quad{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{r_{0}+r_{2}}<1
$$

The reason for this is that in the sem i-classical lim it this term $w$ ill oscillates rapidly $[10,11]$ (计 is w ell know $n$ that ifargum ent ofcos or sin function is large, any sm all change in it w ill result in rapid oscillations). D ue to these rapid oscillations, spectral averaging will result in negligibly sm all contributions unless above condition is satis ed.

Since $r \ll r_{0}$ we can approxim ate $P \overline{r_{0} \quad r} \quad P \overline{r_{0}}(1 \quad r=2 r)$ to write above condition as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{s}{\frac{4 r_{0}}{A_{R}}}+\frac{r}{2 r_{0}} 1<1 \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for a sim plicity we assum e w ith out loss of any generality $l_{j}=1+=2$ $l_{k}=1 \quad=2$, and $\underset{(2)}{ }=+(\quad) r=2$. N ote the presence of correlation range 'r = $\mathfrak{j}_{1} \quad \kappa_{2} \mathrm{~J}$ ' in the denom inator of the second term, whidh appears because we are dealing $w$ th density-density correlation function directly and not $w$ th it's Fourier transform as generally done. The equation (5.33) indicates that one can separate the correlation function (5.32) in two parts as

The rst part is known as diagonalpart $(=0)$ and other part is called as o -diagonalpart ( $\in 0)$.

N ow let us take close look at condition (5.33) which can be w ritten as

$$
+\frac{r}{2 r_{0}} l<\frac{s}{4 r_{0}}
$$

This is equivalent to follow ing conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { for } 0<0  \tag{5.34}\\
& \text { for }<0 \text { and } j j<\frac{r l}{2 r_{0}}: \frac{r}{2 r_{0}} l \quad q \frac{q}{\frac{A_{R}}{4 r_{0}}} \frac{r}{2 r_{0}} l \\
& \frac{A_{R}}{4 r_{0}}<j j<\frac{r}{2 r_{0}} l \\
& \text { for }<0 \text { and } j j>\frac{r l}{2 r_{0}}: \frac{r}{2 r_{0}} l<j j<\frac{r}{2 r_{0}} l+\frac{q}{\frac{A_{R}}{4 r_{0}}}
\end{align*}
$$

O ne can see that in $\lim r_{0}!1$, (or equivalently $h!0$ ) : ! 0 ; hence, o -diagonal contributions $m$ ay not be im portant in the asym ptotic lim it and one can safely rely on the diagonal approxim ation only. Generally, one is interested in the asym ptotic properties of spectral uctuations only.

In practioe, how ever, one alw ays works w ith nite $r_{0}$, in fact $m$ ost of the num ericalstudies deals w ith atm ost few thousands of levels. It should beem phasized that in such cases o -diagonal contributions may not be negligible since nite num ber of levels are considered.

W hen $=0$ (ie. $f=h_{k}$ ) this condition becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<\frac{1}{r} \overline{\frac{S}{A_{R} r_{0}}}=\frac{l_{\mathrm{H}}}{2 r} \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{H}=\mathrm{P} \overline{4 A_{R} r_{0}}$ is 'H eisenberg length' (which corresponds to well known $H$ eisenberg tim e $t_{H}=2 h_{a v}(E)$ rvia relation $l_{H}=2 t_{H}^{P} \bar{E}$ ).

The equation (5.35) stipulates a condition for the validity of the diagonal approxim ation. For any given $l_{1}$, it is su cient to $w$ ork $w$ ith diagonalterm $s$ for the length of periodic orbits satisfying above condition, since then one can see that in general 0 for su ciently large num ber of levels. This condition is sim ilar to the one obtained fordiagonalapproxim ation forFourier transform ofY 2 (known as the form factor), except the presence ofcorrelation range $r$ in the denom inator. It is obvious from this condition that for any xed $l_{\mathrm{H}}$ diagonal approxim ation is strictly valid only at r 0 . For $0 \ll r$ and $l_{H}=2 r<l$ the contribution ofo -diagonalterm $s m$ ay not be insigni cant.

Here, in this and next chapter we consider diagonal term s only. O ur results w ill therefore valid for $r \ll 1$.

### 5.4.2 D iagonal approxim ation

W e can neglect rst term ofeq. (5.32). Since we are interested in correlations over the num ber of few $m$ ean level spacings, $m$ uch sm aller than total num ber of levels considered ( $r \ll r_{0}$ ) we can approxim ate ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{r_{0} \quad r \text { by }}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{r_{0}}\left(1+r=2 r_{0}\right)$; then density-density correlation function becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0 s c}\left(r_{0}+r_{1}\right) d_{o s c}\left(r_{0}+r_{2}\right)=\frac{r_{0}{ }^{1=2}}{2^{3=2} A_{R}^{3=2}} x \frac{A_{j}^{2}}{l_{j}} \cos \frac{2 l_{j r}!}{l_{H}} ; \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=j j_{1} \quad r_{i} j$ and $l_{H}=P \overline{4 A_{R} r_{0}}$, the $H$ eisenberg length.
W e have seen in chapter (3) that the periodic orbits occurring in the pseudo-integrable polygonalbilliards can be classi ed into di erent fam ilies according to the nature of lattioe points corresponding to the periodic orbit as well as to the pro jected phase space area occupied by the bands of periodic orbits. W e have seen this via few exam ples of such billiards. For these billiards density-density correlation function can be written as

H ere, subscripts ; denotes classes of bands of the periodic onbits, A A are pro jective phase space area occupied by the periodic orbits in the given class, $g \mathrm{~g}$ denotes degeneracy in the lengths of the periodic orbits. O ther sym bols have their usualm eaning. The second term on RHS takes care of system atic length degeneracy occurring in di erent classes. H ow ever, we do not take into account accidental degeneracy here. The sum $m$ ation over $j$ in the above equation can be replaced by integration in the continuum lim it, choosing a proper $m$ easure. H euristic argum ents to obtain such $m$ easure are given below

### 5.4.3 Sum ming over all the periodic orbits

C onsider a length interval ( $1 ; 1+\mathrm{dl}$ ) such that $\mathrm{dl} \ll \mathrm{l}$ but su ciently large enough to contain $m$ any events by events here, we $m$ ean occurrence of a
periodic orbit of a length w ithin the above interval). The proliferation law of the periodic orbits, which gives the average num ber of periodic orbits of length 1 for a class , has a form

$$
\mathrm{F} \quad(\mathrm{l})=\mathrm{a} l^{2}+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
$$

where a is system dependent constant. In our analysis we w ill neglect O (1) term. N um ber of periodic onbits having length between (dropping subscript
tem porarily), $l$ and $l+d l$, is given as $n=2 a l d l$. $H$ ence the $m$ ean spacing betw een periodic orbits is $1=2 a l$. O ne can divide intervaldl in $n$ cells each of the length equal to the $m$ ean spacing. T he length of the $i^{\text {th }}$ periodic onbit, $l_{i}$ " ( $1 ; l+d l$ ) can be written as $l_{i}=1+i=2 a l+i$. Here, $i$ 's represent local uctuations in the actual periodic orbit lengths from the average lengths $l+i=2 a l$. It is therefore, reasonable to assum e that $i^{\prime}$ 's are distributed sym $m$ etrically around zero. M ost of the $i^{\prime}$ w illbe closely distributed around zero w ith $s m$ all fractions extending up to few $m$ ean level spacings. The sum $m$ ation of form ${ }^{P} \cos (A l)=l$ over $j$ for $l_{j} "(l i+d l)$ can be $w$ ritten as

In our case $A=\frac{q}{\overline{A_{R} r_{0}}} r=2 \quad r=\eta_{H} \ll 1$, hence $A \quad 0$. o ne can also notice that 2 nd and 3rd term $s$ in the RHS bracket of above equation are $\ll 1$. U sing these facts one can w rite leading order term $s$ of the above sum $m$ ation as

$$
\mathbb{X}_{j=0}^{1} \frac{\cos A 1+\frac{j}{2 a l}}{l_{j}}, \frac{\cos (A l)^{n} X^{1}}{l}{ }_{j=0}^{\cos \frac{j}{2 a l}} \frac{\sin (A 1)}{1}_{j=0}^{X^{1}} \sin \frac{j}{2 a l}:
$$

N ow summations on RHS can be easily perform ed by substituting, $n=$ 2 a 1 dl and using trigonom etric relations (see e.g.[12] page 30)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{n=0} \frac{\cos \left(A\left(l+\frac{j}{2 a 1}\right)\right)}{l_{j}}, \quad \frac{\cos (A l)}{1} \cot \left(\frac{A}{4 a l}\right) \frac{A d l}{2}+\frac{\sin (A l)}{1} \frac{A d l}{2} \\
& \text {, } \frac{\cos (A l)}{1}(2 a l d l)^{P}{ }_{k=0}(\quad 1)^{k} \frac{2^{2 k} B_{2 k}}{(2 k)!} \frac{A}{4 a l}+\frac{\sin (A 1)}{1} \frac{A d l}{2} \\
& \text {, } \frac{\cos (A l)}{1}(2 a \operatorname{ld} 1)^{h} 1 \frac{A^{2}}{64 a^{2} l^{2}}+O\left(\frac{A^{3}}{l^{3}}\right)^{i}+\frac{\sin (A l)}{1} \frac{A d 1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $B_{2 k}$ 's are Bemoulli's num bers. N eglecting term sof order $O\left(l^{1}\right)$ and higher as their contribution to sum $m$ ation is negligibly sm all, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j=0}^{1} \frac{\cos A\left(1+\frac{j}{2 a 1}\right)}{l_{j}}, \frac{\cos (A l)}{l} 2 a \operatorname{ldl} \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sam em easure can be deduced from follow ing sim ple relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{n=0}^{n} \frac{\cos \left(A l_{j}\right)}{l_{j}} & =\quad R_{\frac{\cos (A l)}{1} P}^{P}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & j
\end{array}\right) d l \\
& =\frac{R}{1} 2 a l d l+\frac{\cos (A l)}{1} 2 a \frac{\cos (A l)}{1} d_{0 S C}(l) d l
\end{aligned}
$$

H ow ever it should be noted that 2nd term will have non-trivial contribution unless $\mathrm{A} \ll 1$ as indicated above. This is the reason for which one can not apply sim ilar treatm ent to periodic orbit sum in density of states(e.g. eq. (522)) or for o -diagonal term s as well.

Thus, retuming to discussion on correlation fiunction, using above results the leading term in density-density correlation (5.37) becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{osc}}\left(r_{0}+r_{1}\right) d_{\mathrm{osc}}\left(r_{0}+r_{2}\right)= & \frac{r_{0}^{1=2}}{3=2_{A_{R}^{3}}^{3=2}} f^{P} \\
& +g^{2} A^{2} a I  \tag{5.39}\\
& ;(1 \quad ;) g \operatorname{AA} A_{1 ; 1}^{P}
\end{align*}
$$

where

I can be sim ilarly de ned and a is appropriately chosen coe cient. In the subsequent analysis this second term will not be shown explicitly, though it $w$ ill be included in the calculation of a speci c exam ple. The choice of a $m$ easure enables us to w rite two-point density correlation as
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{a g^{2} A^{2}}{A_{R}} \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $l_{11}$ has usualmeaning as above. In $\lim$ it $l_{n a x}!1$ rst term in the bracket represents contribution to the self-correlation term (ie., ( $r_{1}^{(\xi) \text { ) }) ~}$ of the levels. In writing the two-level cluster function $Y_{2}$ we rem ove this self-correlation singularity as in eq.(5.19). It $m$ ay be noted that eq.(5.41) indicates that $Y_{2}\left(r_{1} ; r_{2}\right)$ is just a function of $r=j_{1} \quad r_{2} j$. Hence, we write the cluster function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{2}(r)={ }^{X \quad} \frac{\mathrm{sin} \frac{2 I_{\min ; r}}{\mathrm{In}_{r}}}{\mathrm{r}}: \tag{5.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere, h:::i denotes spectral averaging as stated earlier.

### 5.4.4 Taking spectral average

The spectral average refers to all levels in an energy range that is classically sm all, ie. sm all in com parison with $r_{0}$, but large in com parison with the $m$ ean level spacing, which is unity in an unfolded spectrum or to be more precise large in com parison $w$ th the energy range de ned by outer energy scale de ned by Berry [10]. T he outer energy scale is given by $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in , where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in is the period of the shortest classical closed orbit. It m ay be noted that each classical onbit w ith period T causes deviation ( ) of a level from the $m$ ean position by order $h=T$. Hence, outer energy scale corresponds to the largest deviation of a level from the $m$ ean. In term $s$ of num ber ofm ean level spacing it is given by $r_{\text {out }}={h d_{a v}}=T_{m}$ in $\quad{ }_{H}=2 f_{n}$ in . In our case this range (say, ) then roughly becomes ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{r_{0}} \lll<r_{0}$, since $\mathrm{I}_{1} \quad o^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{r_{0}}$ :
$W$ e now consider spectral average of a function $f A r={ }^{p} \overline{r_{0}}$

$$
\mathrm{f} \frac{\mathrm{Ar}}{\mathrm{P} \frac{r_{0}}{\bar{r}_{0}}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{Z}^{-2}} \mathrm{~d}^{0} \mathrm{f} \frac{\mathrm{Ar}}{\overline{r_{0}+0}} \text { ! }
$$

Since ${ }^{0} \ll r_{0}$ we can approxim ate this as

Expanding $f$ in the Taylor series as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{f} \frac{\mathrm{Ar}}{\overline{r_{0}}} 1 \frac{0}{2 r_{0}}=\mathrm{f} \frac{\mathrm{Ar}}{\overline{r_{0}}} \frac{A^{2} r^{2}}{2 r_{0}^{2}} \quad \frac{A r}{2 r_{0}^{3=2} f 0 \frac{A r}{\bar{r}_{0}} \frac{A^{2} r^{2}}{22_{0}^{2}}} \\
0 \frac{A \cdot \bar{r}_{0}}{\bar{r}_{0}}+::
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\mathrm{A} r<{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{r_{0}}$ we can approxim ate spectral average as

W hich can be easily evaluated and we get

It is thus obvious that second and higher order term s can be neglected (since, $\ll x_{0}$ ) if $f^{0}$ and higher derivatives are also sm all. W e w ill encounter here trigonom etric functions (e.g. $\sin ; \infty$ and sin integrals) only.

Thus after spectral averaging the tw o-level chuster function becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{2}(r), X \quad \frac{\sin \frac{2 \lim _{\operatorname{in} ;} r}{\operatorname{li}_{r}}}{r} \tag{5.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s per convention negative sign of $Y_{2}(r)$ represents positive correlation and vice versa. For the nite spectrum occurring between $r_{m}$ ax and $r_{m}$ in tw o-level cluster function is given by $[13,14]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{2}(r)=\quad(r) \quad \frac{1}{r}{ }_{r_{\mathrm{m}} \text { in }}^{\text {发ax }} \mathrm{dr} r_{0} \operatorname{hd}_{\mathrm{osc}}\left(r_{0}+r_{1}\right) d_{\text {osc }}\left(r_{0}+r_{2}\right) i \tag{5.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=r_{m}$ ax $\quad f_{m}$ in . N ote, that we have used here a sim ple box fiunction as a window function de ned in [14] which takes care of the nite number of levels and should not be m isunderstood as double averaging. U sing eq.(5.44), $Y_{2}(r)$ becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{2}(r)^{\prime}, X \quad \frac{1}{r r}{ }_{r_{\min }}^{\text {rax ax }} d r_{0} \sin \frac{2 l_{n} \text { in; } r}{l_{r 1}} \tag{5.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration in (5.46) is thus easy to carry out and $Y_{2}(r)$ can then be
written in sim ple analytical form as

A part from dependence on the energy window ( $r_{\text {m in }} ; r_{\text {m ax }}$ ) selected, one can see that $Y_{2}(r)$ depends on two param eters (1) $l_{n}$ in; , shortest periodic orbits in each class (we w ill call it length param eters) and (2) , which is a $m$ easure of phase space area occupied by bands of periodic orbits in class . W ithout referring to any system, it is interesting to study how these param eters a ect the behaviour of $Y_{2}(r)$. Fig. 5.1 show se ect of variation in length param eters where we have considered three classes of periodic orbits w th sam e value of param eter and energy w indow. The length param eters are, for curve a) $10,20,30$, b) $10,20,40$, c) $10,20,50$, d) $10,20,70$. The 's are sam e for all classes and curves: .3333 . 3333 3333. N um ber of levels considered are 100-1000. Curve ( g ) is for G . O E. A s one increases highest length param eter one gets $m$ ore and $m$ ore level repulsion. This indicates that the presence of relatively longer periodic orbits will result in level repulsion in the quantum spectrum. In $F$ ig. 52 we show e ect of variation of param eters
for sam e values of length param eters.T he param eters are, for curve a) $.6,2,2$, b) $2, .6,2$, c) $2,2, .6$, d) $1,1,1,8$. The "lengths" are sam e for all classes and curves:10,20,30. N um ber of levels considered are 100-1000. O ne can see here that as weightage increases for the longer length param eters, $Y_{2}(r)$ deviates from Poissonian (ie. $Y_{2}(r)=0$ ) show ing $m$ ore and $m$ ore negative correlations or level repulsion. A s far as the e ect of energy $w$ indow is concemed we can see from above equation that as $r_{m}$ ax 1 and $r_{m}$ in $r_{m}$ ax so that $r \quad r_{\text {max }}, Y_{2}(r) \quad \max \left(4 I_{n}\right.$ in; $\left.={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{r_{\text {max }}}\right)$, hence approaches a Poissonian as $r_{\text {max }}$ ! 1 in the diagonal approxim ation. R ate of approach is to Poissonian is basically govemed by $1={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}}$. It $m$ ay be noted that $\max \left(l_{n}\right.$ in ; ) is also im portant param eter since it w ill decide when one would expect P oisson like results. For exam ple in the case of $=3$-thom bus billiard (pure rhom bus $m$ odes ) $\mathrm{max}\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{in}}\right.$; $)=2 \overline{93 L}$; (L being side length of the


F igure 5.1: T wo levelcluster function: e ect ofvariation in length param eters (see text)


Figure 52: Two level cluster function: e ect of variation of param eters (see text)
billiard), hence to see real asym ptotic behaviour one should consider num ber of levels $m$ ust be at least a order of m agnitude larger than 1600 levels. Unfortunately there exist few num erical results in this range. M ost of the num erical experim ents can therefore be term ed as sub-asym ptotic. In such cases as m entioned above o -diagonalcontributions may be signi cant. A ny com parison of our results $w$ th num erical experim ents should be $m$ ade by keeping this discussion in $m$ ind.

W e now consider two-point cluster function for $=3$-thom bus billiard. W e reproduce relevant inform ation in table 5.1 and 52. In $F$ ig. 5.3 we show $Y_{2}$ for com plete $=3$-rhom bus billiard, including both even and odd states that corresponds to two pure rhom bus modes and two equilateral triangle m odes. In F ig 5.4 we consider tw o pure rhom busm odes com binedly (i.e. even states). E ect ofnum ber of levels considered is show $n$ via curves a) represents energy window $(47 ; 370)$ b) $(420 ; 740)$ c) $(47 ; 743)$ and d) $(1000 ; 2000)$. It is evident from these gures that even states are m ore ' 'away'' from the Poissonian than the com plete rhombus case. This is obvious due to fact that com plete rhom bus billiard spectrum is supenposition of spectrum s of four m odes described above. O ne can also see that as we increase num ber of levels $Y_{2}$ approach P oissonian. Since spectralm easures we consider here directly depend on $Y_{2}$ we expect sim ilar trend in case of these $m$ easures.

### 5.5 The Form Factor

In this section we will consider one of the interesting quantity, the Fourier transform of two-level cluster function, known as the Form Factor [2]. It is well know $n$ that the Fourier transform of the density of states gives im portant inform ation about classicalbehaviour of the system, i.e. it generates periodic orbit length spectrum of the classicalsystem. Sim ilarly the form factor yields im portant inform ation about periodic orbit structure in the classical system. Before we elaborate this point, let us derive a sem i-classical expression for the form factor. W e w ill use de nition of [13] to derive expression for the form factor

$$
\mathrm{b}_{2}\left(\mathrm{)}=2_{0}^{2} \mathrm{drY}_{2}(\mathrm{r}) \cos \left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & \mathrm{r} \tag{5.48}
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

where is a dim ensionless tim e, related to t by

$$
=\frac{t}{t_{\mathrm{H}}} \quad \quad t_{\mathrm{tr}}=2 \mathrm{hd}_{\mathrm{av}}:
$$



Figure 5.3: Two level cluster function: for com plete $=3$-thom bus billiard (see text)


F igure 5.4: Two level cluster function: for =3-rhom bus billiard pure rhom bus m odes (see text)

U sing (5.47) the sem i-classicalexpression for the form factor is given by (since only nite num bers of levels are considered, we w ill integrate above equation from $r=0$ to $b_{1}=r=2$, this $w$ ill not change our results since $Y_{2}(r) \quad 0$ for large r)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\xrightarrow{\text { imax }} \sin \left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & \mathrm{~g}
\end{array}\right) \cos \left(\max ^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~b}_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\xrightarrow{m \text { in }} \sin (2 \quad \mathrm{l}) \cos (, \mathrm{m} \text { in } \mathrm{b})
\end{aligned}
$$

where,$m a x(m$ in $)=2 l_{m \text { in }}=l_{l_{1}, m a x(m \text { in })}$. A s $b_{1}$ becom es large enough, then we can approxim ate sine integrals as follow s,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Si}(x)^{\prime} \quad \overline{2} \frac{\cos (x)}{x} ; \operatorname{si}(x)^{\prime} \quad \frac{\cos (x)}{x}: \tag{5.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting above relations in (5.49) we get, for $<\mathrm{m}$ in ( $\mathrm{max}=2$ )

And for $\quad \max (, \max =2)$
$b_{2}()=x \quad\left(\frac{r_{\text {max }} \cos \left(, m a x b_{1}\right) \quad r_{\text {in }} \cos \left(, m \text { in } b_{1}\right)^{\prime}}{r} \frac{\cos (2 \quad \mathrm{p})}{\underline{Q}}+0\left(\frac{1}{2}\right):\right.$
Various im portant properties of the form factor has been discussed in [13, $14,15]$. W e bring out som e new (not reported so far) features of the form factor. In general, form factor $b_{2}()$ saturates to 0 for 1 due to discrete nature of the spectrum . For 1;ig( )! 1. In case of chaotic system $s$
diagonal approxim ation leads to $m$ onotonically increasing ( ) form factor. The contribution of the o -diagonalterm show ever cancels this rise to restore correct asym ptotic behaviour of the form factor [15].

From (5.49) one can see that there will be a spike at $=0$, due to $\sin (2 \mathrm{~b})=\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{which}$ is ( )) factoronRHS.For0<<min min $=2)$, ${ }_{\mathrm{P}_{2}}(\mathrm{)}$ w illibe -1 since contribution of the sam e factor becom es negligible and
$=1$. It should be noted that above expressions for $b_{2}()$ have independent contributions from the classes of periodic orbits in the diagonalapproxim ation. The classes of the periodic orbits are characterized by length of shortest periodic orbits ( $l_{n}$ in; ) that can be arranged in increasing order. For m in ( m in $=2$ ), corresponding contribution from that fam ily w ill decrease (in absolute sense) and saturating ultim ately to 0 as becom es greater than $; m$ in $=2$, resulting in net increase in the form factor. If lengths ofshortest periodic orbits in di erent classes are well separated then P m ay still be less than next ( $m$ in $=2$ ), the form factor $w$ ill saturate at $\quad>1$, where sum $m$ ation is now taken over all classes except one (i.e. ). This thus leads to a "step" like structure in the plots of the form factor. If how ever, length of the shortest periodic orbits are not wellseparated steps $m$ ay $m$ erge into each other. The step structure is thus a hallm ark of existence ofm ultiple, distinct " characteristic tim e scales" (hence also length scales) in these system s.

In g. 5.5 and 5.6 we study e ect of variations in the length param eters and 's on the form factor. A gain here choioe of various param eters is sam e as that in case of the tw o-point cluster fiunction. T hough general conchusions that can be draw $n$ are sim ilar to that discussed in the previous section, i.e., the existence of larger length param eters or $m$ ore weightage (via. param eters) to higher length param eters shows deviation aw ay from Poissonian behaviour. M ost im portant feature is im prints of classes of periodic orbits. W e have considered three classes for all the cases and the form factor rises to zero in the sam e num ber of "steps". Existence of steps in the form factor are thus signatures of the classes of bands of $m$ arginally stable periodic orbits in the classical system. This feature is apparent in the plots of the form factor in som e of the works $[13,14]$ where system $s$, not far aw ay from the integrability, like kicked rotor or dom ino billiards are considered and in the exam ples that we will consider in this paper. W e wish to draw attention of readers to the fact that the form factor saturates at 0 in long lim it and does not rise $m$ onotonically as in case of chaotic system in the diagonal approxim ation used above. This in tums im plies that o -diagonal corrections
$m$ ay not be considerable as far as the form factor is concemed. A s our study indicates the o -diagonal contributions $m$ ay only a ect the form factor in the transition region where it rises from 1 to $0 . E$ ect of the o -diagonal term $s$ on step like behaviour is di cult to guess. R ecent approach ([16]) to treat o -diagonalterm s in term s of diagonal contribution how ever, indicates that step like behaviour m ay be preserved even after consideration of o diagonal contributions. Secondly, since diagonal form factor saturates at 0 as expected, o -diagonal term $s m$ ay only change the transition region $m$ ost likely attening it.

O ur analysis thus brings out a im portant feature of the form factor that has not been paid attention so far. W ith increasing energy, rise in these steps becom e sharper and sharper taking the form factor closer the P ossonian one. A lso ifdi erences in the length param eters are $s m$ all, this step structure $m$ ay not be easily visible. This is evident from $F$ ig. 5.7 and $F i g .5 .8$ where we plot form factor for com plete rhom bus and for even states respectively. D i erence between two cases is self evident, rise is shanper in the form er case than the later case.

In this chapter we have obtained closed analytical expressions for two interesting quantities that are used to study spectral uctuation properties using diagonal approxim ations. This is an im portant step whidh should ulti$m$ ately lead to com plete understanding of spectral uctuations by including other contributions such as o -diagonal term $s$, di raction e ects etc.


Figure 5.5: The form factor: E ect ofvariation of the length param eters (see text)


Figure 5.6: The form factor: $E$ ect of variation of the param eters (see text)


F igure 5.7: The form factor: For com plete $=3$-rhom bus billiard (see text)


Figure 5.8: The form factor: For pure $m$ odes of $=3$ - rhom bus billiard (see text)

| Type | C lass | C losing Point | $B$ and <br> A rea |  | $I_{n}$ in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| centre-centre | odd-odd | $\begin{aligned} & (q=2 ; p=2) \\ & (q ; p) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{R}} \\ & 2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R}} \end{aligned}$ | $\underline{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{p}_{3 \mathrm{~L}} \\ 2^{\mathrm{p}} 3 \mathrm{~L} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | odd-even | (q;p) <br> (2q;2p) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{R}} \\ & 2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{36} \\ & \frac{1}{18} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p}_{21 \mathrm{~L}} \\ & 2^{\mathrm{p}}{ }_{21 \mathrm{~L}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | even-odd | (q;p) <br> (2q;2p) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{R}} \\ & 2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{36} \\ & \frac{1}{18} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P_{39 L} \\ & 2^{p} 39 L \end{aligned}$ |
| centre-edge | odd-odd | $(3 \mathrm{q}=2 ; 3 \mathrm{p}=2)$ | $3 A_{R}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $3{ }^{\text {P }} 37 \mathrm{~L}$ |
|  | odd-even | (3q; 3p) | $3 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R}}$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $3^{P} 7 \mathrm{~L}$ |
|  | even-odd | (3q; 3p) | $3 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R}}$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $3^{\mathrm{P}} 7 \mathrm{~L}$ |

Table 5.1: Sum m ary of results for com plete $=3$-thom bus billiard.

| Type | C lass | C losing Point | $B$ and <br> A rea | R epetitions |  | $l_{n}$ in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| centre-centre | odd-odd | $(\mathrm{q}=2 ; \mathrm{p}=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 A_{R} \\ & 8 A_{R} \\ & 8 A_{R} \end{aligned}$ | all <br> even <br> odd | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{8}{18} \\ & \frac{5}{36} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & p_{3 L} \\ & 2^{p^{2}} 3 \mathrm{~L} \\ & p_{3 L} \end{aligned}$ |
| centre-centre | odd-even | (q;p) | $\begin{aligned} & 4 A_{R} \\ & 8 A_{R} \\ & 8 A_{R} \end{aligned}$ | all <br> even <br> odd | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{18} \\ & \frac{5}{144} \\ & \frac{1}{16} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & p_{93 L} \\ & 2^{p} 93 \mathrm{~L} \\ & \mathrm{P}_{93 \mathrm{~L}} \end{aligned}$ |
| centre-centre | odd-even | (q;p) | $\begin{aligned} & 4 A_{R} \\ & 8 A_{R} \\ & 8 A_{R} \end{aligned}$ | all <br> even <br> odd | $\frac{2}{18}$ $\frac{5}{144}$ $\frac{1}{16}$ | $\begin{aligned} & p_{39 L} \\ & 2^{p} 39 L \\ & P_{39 L} \end{aligned}$ |
| centre-edge | odd-odd | $(3 \mathrm{q}=2 ; 3 \mathrm{p}=2)$ | $12 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R}}$ | all | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $3^{P} 37 \mathrm{~L}$ |
|  | odd-even | (3q;3p) | $12 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R}}$ | all | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $3^{\text {P }} 61 \mathrm{~L}$ |
|  | even-odd | (3q; 3p) | $12 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R}}$ | all | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $3^{\text {P }} 19 \mathrm{~L}$ |

Table 52: Sum m ary ofresults for =3-thom busbilliard: Only P ure R hom bus $M$ odes. $H$ ere $A_{R}$ is area of $V$, half equilateral triangle.
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## C hapter 6

## Short and Interm ediate R ange SpectralF luctuation M easures

### 6.1 Introduction

W e shall obtain expressions for three im portant and popular spectral uctuation $m$ easures nam ely spacing distribution, num ber variance and spectral rigid ty using diagonal approxim ation for pseudo-integrable billiards. Spacing distribution provides inform ation about spectral uctuations on short energy scale i.e. on the scale ofm ean level spacing. O ther tw o $m$ easures are called as interm ediate range spectralm easures since they provide inform ation about uctuations on few $m$ ean level spacing. In this chapter

### 6.2 N earest N eighbour Spacing D istribution

N earest neighbour spacing (NNS) distribution is the probability density P (S) of nding the nearest neighbour of a given level at $r$, in the range $r+S$ to $r+S+d S$. A s stated above P (S) distribution of any given system enables us to study ne scale texture (i.e., on the scale ofm ean level spacing) of the spectrum.

For Integrable system s spacing distribution is very wellm odeled by P oisson distribution $(\mathbb{P}(S)=\exp (S))$ since the energy levels are random due to num ber theoretic properties. On the other hand in case of chaotic system $s$ w th tim e reversal sym m etry ( $m$ odeled by GOE of random $m$ atrix the-
ory (RMT)) spacing distribution is given by the $W$ igner distribution function.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(S)=\frac{-}{2} S \exp \quad \frac{S^{2}}{4} ; \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided the level sequence has been norm alized to unit m ean level spacing. RM T is expected to be applicable only on those tim e scales where the variables associated w ith the classical dynam ics are random enough, to fiully random ize the $m$ atrioes, associated $w$ ith the corresponding quantum operators. M oreover, due to underlying assum ptions on which fram ew ork ofRM T is build, it is not expected to shed any light on non-universal behaviour of spectral uctuations which is the characteristic of a given system.

Various form ulas have been proposed to analyse P (S) distribution for the system $s$ in the interm ediate regim e $[1,2,3]$. H ow ever, these $m$ odels are not applicable for the pseudointegrable system s because ofbasic di erences w ith otherm ixed system s.

The aim ofthis section is to develop a m odelforP (S) distribution in pseudointegrable system s . O nce such m odel is developed it can be extended to $m$ ore general class ofm ixed system where alm ost regular and alm ost chaotic states coexist. W hen the spectrum is unfolded to unit m ean spacing ofneighbouring levels everyw here, one can use probabilistic argum ents e.g.[4,5] to develop expression for $P(S)$ distribution.

The conditionalprobability $g(S) d S$ of nding a level in the interval ( $r+$ $S ; r+S+d S$ ), given one at $r$ is related to $P(S)$ in the follow ing way. C hoose a segm ent of length (integer), and divide it into sm all intervals, all of the sam e length ". W e place $m$ arkers at random, independently of one another, w th the probability $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{dS}$, into the $s m$ all intervals. The rst $m$ arker above 0 w illhit any particular sm allintervalw ith probability $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{g}=$, and m iss any other sm all intervalw ith probability $1 \quad \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{f}=$, where is som e coordinate inside the sm all interval in question. N ow we choose a contiguous interval of length $S$, and require the probability that none of the $m$ arkers fall $w$ thin $S$, while there is a $m$ arker in interval $(r+S ; r+S+d S)$. $W$ e have to form the product of all the $1 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{~g})=$ for $0 \ll \mathrm{~S}$, and multiply with $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{S})=$. In the lim it of sm all " or large we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(S)=C g(S) \exp \quad 0_{0} d S^{0} g\left(S^{0}\right) ; \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

C on RHS is used so as to satisfy condition ${ }_{0}^{R_{1}} P(S)=1$ : U nderlying assum ptions in deriving this relation are: 1) G iven a level at $r$, the probability that
another levelw ill be around $r+S$ is proportional to $S$ and does not depend on $r$. This assum ption though applied for all $S$, is valid only for $s m$ all $S$. In other words, this assum ption $m$ eans (1) the two point correlation function $R_{2}\left(r_{1} ; r_{2}\right)$ is linear in $j r_{1} \quad r_{2} j$ and (2) the probabilities in various intervals of length $S=m$ obtained by dividing $S$ into $m$ equal parts are $m$ utually independent. In other w ords three-point and higher correlations are negligibly sm all. Though both of these the assum ptions are inaccurate, above relation gives accurate result for G OE of random $m$ atrix in term $s$ of $W$ igner sum ise, which indicates that errors arising due to these assum ption alm ost cancel each other [6]. It is, therefore reasonable to expect that above relation would yield good approxim ation to $P(S)$ distribution in pseudointegrable billiards as for such system $s$ levels are expected to be less correlated than that in the chaotic system s. Strictly speaking, $P$ (S) depends not only on tw o-point correlations but on higher correlations too [6, 7].

In case of hom ogeneous spectrum (i.e. density of states alm ost independent of energy ), unfolded spectrum w ith unit $m$ ean spacing everyw here conditionalprobability density $g(S)$ is nothing but the two-point correlation function, or $g(S)=1 \quad Y_{2}(S)[4,8]$. In term $s$ of this the $P(S)$ distribution can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(S)=C e^{S}\left(1 \quad Y_{2}(S)\right) e^{R_{S}} \mathrm{Y}_{2}\left(S^{0}\right) d S^{0} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our case $C=1$. $U$ sing expression for $Y_{2}(S)$ derived in the last chapter and carrying out necessary algebra one can write NNS distribution for pseudointegrable billiards as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(S)=A(S) \exp (B(S) \quad S) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

```
where A (S ) = 1 Y (S).A nd
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{{ }_{2}^{2}{ }_{\text {max }} S^{2}}{2} \operatorname{si}(, \max S)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{x_{\text {min }}}{r} \operatorname{Si}(, m \text { in } S)+\frac{\sin (, m \text { in } S)}{2}+\frac{m \text { in } S}{2} \cos (, m \text { in } S) \\
& +\frac{{ }^{2}{ }_{\text {im in }} S^{2}}{2} \operatorname{si}(, m \text { in } S \text { ) } \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Si}(x)==2+\operatorname{si}(x)$ and,$m$ in (max) $=2 l_{m \text { in }}=l_{f, m}$ in (max) . The expression for $P$ ( $S$ ) is clearly norm alized. For sm all $S$, neglecting term $s$ of order $O\left(S^{2}\right)$ and higher, one obtains

$T$ herefore $d P(S)=d S \quad j_{j}=0 \quad A^{2} \quad 0$, show ing level attraction near $S=0$, which is weaker than that of a Poissonian distribution for a nite num ber of levels but approaches the sam e in the sem i-classical lim it. For large $S$ again our expression approaches Poissonian distribution. To see this behaviour clearly we study from (6.4), dependence of P (S) on length param eters and param eters s. we will consider only three classes of the periodic orbits. Fig.6.1 show s the e ect of variations in the length param eters and Fig. 62 shows the same for 's, param eters chosen are same as that in case of two-point cluster function. A gain conclusions that can be drawn from these gures are sim ilar to that stated in the previous chapter. In the high energy lim it, again P (S) distribution converges to a Poissonian distribution. For nite energy levels how ever, $P(S)$ distribution show s m ixed behaviour of weaker level attraction as well as level repulsion w ith respect to P oissonian and GOE respectively, for di erent values of S. N ote, a closeness of curve (d) in F ig. 6.1 to GOE for S < 1; though curve do not rise as much as that of G O E onem ay easily m isunderstood it as show ing G O E kind ofbehaviour.

In Fig. 6.3 and 6.4 we show plots ofP (S ) distribution for com plete rhom bus and even states of the rhom bus. $P$ ( $S$ ) for com plete rhom bus approaches com paratively faster to P oisson distribution reasons are obvious as it is a superposition of four di erent $m$ odes. In $F$ ig. 6.4 for curve (a) we choose levels $r_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $=47$ and $r_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $=370$, to com pare our results w th that of num erical results of [11] for approxim ately sam e num ber of levels. A s stated earlier our results are in good agreem ent with num erical results for $S$ < 1 . This can be m ore clearly seen from Fig. 6.5 where we show cum ulative P (S) distribution. The curve (a) in this gure is our results and num erical results are shown


Figure 6.1: N earest neighbour spacing distribution. Efect of variation of length param eters (see text)


F igure 62: N earest neighbour spacing distribution. Efect of variation of param eters (see text)
by triangles and dots (for two di erent $m$ ode of even states). Results are in close agreem ent for $S$ < 1, how ever for $S>1$ deviation of our results is too large to be correct. This behaviour of P ( S ) distribution using diagonal approxim ation is also in contradiction w ith recent studies $(9,10])$, where one get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(S)=4 S \exp (2 S) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain for $S \ll 1$ our results (for nite num ber of levels) are in agreem ent $w$ th eq. (6.7) but deviates appreciably for $S>1$. This contradiction $m$ ay be rem oved by considering o -diagonal contributions. A s the calculation of $P(S)$ involves exponential of integration of $Y_{2}(S)$, any error in $Y_{2} w$ ill be am pli ed exponentially and e ect of this error will be $m$ ore for $S>1$. Further im provem ents are therefore necessary in (6.4) to take account of o -diagonalcontributions.

### 6.3 T he N um ber $V$ arian ce

In the preceding section we have discussed one of the im portant $m$ easure for spectral uctuations on the ner energy scales. On the interm ediate scales or on the scale of few $m$ ean level spacings, im portant $m$ easures are spectral rigidity and num ber variance. B oth of these statistic provide sim ilar inform ation on spectral correlations. In this section we w ill discuss num ber variance for the pseudointegrable billiards. The num ber variance ${ }^{2}\left(L ; r_{0}\right)$ is de ned as the variance of the distribution of the num ber of levels in intervals $\left[r_{0} ; r_{0}+L\right], n\left(L ; r_{0}\right)=N\left(r_{0}+L\right) N\left(r_{6}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }^{2}\left(L ; r_{0}\right)=<\underline{n}\left(L ; r_{0}\right) L\right\}>; \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, < > denotes a usual spectral averaging overAr com pletely random spectrum that follows Poissonian behaviour shows a linear trend for a num ber variance, ${ }^{2}\left(L ; r_{0}\right)=L$, whereas on the other hand, for the chaotic system $s$ where levels are strongly correlated, a num ber variance is asym ptotically given by ${ }^{2}\left(L ; r_{0}\right) \quad\left(2={ }^{2}\right) \ln (L)+: 44$ for $L>1$. The num ber variance ${ }^{2}\left(L ; r_{0}\right)$ depends on $Y_{2}(r)$ via relation (e.g., see [12])

$$
{ }^{2}\left(L ; r_{0}\right)=L \quad 2 \int_{0}^{Z_{L}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
L & s) Y_{2}(s) d s \tag{6.9}
\end{array}\right.
$$



Figure 6.3: Nearest neighbour spacing distribution. com plete $=3$-thom bus billiard (see text)


F igure 6.4: N earest neighbour spacing distribution. pure rhom bus m odes of $=3$-thom bus billiard (see text)


Figure 6.5: Cummulative nearest neighbour spacing distribution. =3rhom bus billiard (only even m odes) (see text)

U sing results of last chapter, we can exactly integrate above equation to get sim ple analytical form for ${ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{r}_{0}\right) \mathrm{as}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2}\left(L ; r_{0}\right)=L \quad 2^{\mathrm{P}} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\mathrm{r}_{\text {max }}}{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~L} \operatorname{Li}(\mathrm{max} \mathrm{~L})+\frac{\mathrm{L} \sin (; \quad ; \max \mathrm{L})}{6} \\
& +\frac{; \max ^{2}}{6} \operatorname{Cos}(\quad \text { max } L)+\frac{2}{3 \text {; max }} \operatorname{Cos}(\quad \text { max } L) \\
& +\frac{{ }^{2}{ }_{\text {max }} \mathrm{L}^{3}}{6} \operatorname{si}\left(\max ^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~L}\right) \quad \frac{2}{3{ }_{\text {max }}} \\
& \frac{r_{m \text { in }}}{r} \operatorname{LSi}(; m \text { in } L)+\frac{L \sin (; \quad ; \text { in } L)}{6}+\frac{m \text { in } L^{2}}{6} \operatorname{COS}(; m \text { in } L)
\end{aligned}
$$

where again $\quad m$ in (max) $=2 l_{m \text { in }}=l_{f, m \text { in }(m a x)}$ : For sm all $L$, one can see that
${ }^{2}\left(L ; r_{0}\right)$ L i.e. it show s Poissonian behaviour, as L increases, we can approxim ate $\operatorname{Si}(x) \quad=2 \quad \cos (x)=x$ and hence $\operatorname{si}(x) \quad \cos (x)=x,{ }^{2}\left(L ; r_{0}\right)$ starts deviating from the Poissonian and oscillates around a non- universal value as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2}\left(L ; r_{0}\right)=L \quad L^{P} \quad 2^{P} \quad{ }^{n} \frac{r_{\text {max }}}{r} \frac{\left.h_{\sin (m ; m a x}\right)}{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{r_{m \text { in }}}{r} \frac{\left.h^{L \sin (~} \quad \text { m in } L\right)}{6} \quad \frac{\cos (; m \text { in } L)}{3 ; m \text { in }} \quad \frac{2}{3 ; m \text { in }} \text { io }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the saturation value for very large num ber of levels (i.e. L) is given by
$T$ his behaviour is very $m u c h ~ a k i n ~ t o ~ t h a t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ s p e c t r a l ~ r i g i d i t y ~ a n d ~ s a t u r a-~$ tion value is correctly given by 1 ' 231 , where $3 ; 1$ is obtain directly (i.e. not from $Y_{2}$ ) in the sext section. In the Fig. 6.6 and 6.7 we show this behaviour as well as e ect of variations in the length param eters and param eters respectively as done earlier. T rend is sam e. H ence our conclusions are also sim ilar to the one stated earlier and hardly needs any elaboration. In

Fig. 6.8 and 6.9 we show m ore realistic cases nam ely com plete rhom bus and even states of the rhom bus respectively. In F ig. 6.9, one can com pare our results for energy level w indows $(47 ; 370)$ (curve (a)) w ith num erical results of [13]. D iscounting oscillations of num erical results agreem ent is good even for $r>1$. $T$ his is because, num ber variance involves sim ple integration over $Y_{2}$ and any error due to o -diagonal contributions is not as much am pli ed as that in the $P(S)$ distribution. In the next section we will con $m$ this in the case of another spectralm easure know $n$ as spectral rigidity.

### 6.4 The Spectral R igidity

In this section we obtain [14] the D yson $M$ ehta $3^{3}$-statistic for pseudointegrable billiards and show that it is nonuniversalw ith a universal trend, also that this trend is sim ilar to the one for integrable billiards. W e present a form ula, based on exact sem iclassical calculations and the proliferation law of periodic orbits, which gives rigidity for the entire range of L. To consolidate our theory, we discuss several exam ples nding com plete agreem ent w th the num erical results, and also the underlying fundam ental reasons for the nonuniversality.

In their statistical theory of energy levels of com plex system s, D yson and M ehta [15] proposed the -statistic to study spectral uctuations on the interm ediate energy scale, the $m$ ost popular being the 3 -statistic de ned as a local average of the $m$ ean square deviation of the spectral staircase from the best tting straight line over an energy range corresponding to L m ean level spacings.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }_{3}(L)=\min _{(A ; B)}^{*} \frac{d_{a v}(E)}{L} d_{L=2 d_{a v}}^{L=2 d_{a v}} \mathbb{N}^{*}(E+") \quad A \quad B^{{ }^{\prime \prime}}\right] \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{E})$ is spectral staircase introduced in chapter (1) (keeping in consonance w ith existing literature we use symbol $L$ for correlation range in this section, and not to be confused w th sym bol for side length used earlier ). h:::i represents spectral averaging over energy scale m uch larger than what is called as outer energy scale but much sm aller than classical scale[16], which is already discussed in the previous chapter. Though, ${ }_{3}(\mathrm{~L})$ can also be expressed in term s of the two-point correlation function, we prefer to use above direct de nition to obtain an expression for 3 in pseudo-integrable


F igure 6.6: The num ber variance: Efect of variation of length param eters. (see text)


Figure 6.7: The num ber variance: $E$ fect of variation of param eters. (see text)


F igure 6.8: The num ber variance:com plete =3-rhom bus billiard (see text)


Figure 6.9: The num ber variance: for even $m$ odes of $=3$-thom bus billiard (see text)
billiards. $M$ inim izing 6.11 over $A$ and $B$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 12{\frac{d_{a v}(E)}{L}}_{\substack{2 \\
L=2 d_{a v}}}^{L=R d_{a v}} \quad \mathrm{~d} N(E+)^{\# 2} \tag{6.12}
\end{align*}
$$

For a Poisson spectrum (by which integrable system s are very well modeled) ${ }_{3}(\mathrm{~L})=\mathrm{L}=15$. C onsider another trivial exam ple of a spectrum of the harm onic oscillator in one dim ension. Its spectrum is also called picket fence spectrum due to equi-spacing between levels. $N$ ( $E$ ) for such case is a ideal staircase and obviously $m$ ean square deviation of a best $t$ from this staircase is constant ( $1=12$ ) independent of $L$. For the chaotic system S w ith tim e reversal sym m etry which are m odeled by G O E of random $m$ atrix theory rigidity is given by $3(\mathrm{~L})=\ln \mathrm{L}={ }^{2}$ :007. It m ay be noted that for $\mathrm{L} \ll 1$, the staircase nature of N ( E ) leads to the lim it 3 ! $\mathrm{L}=15$, whatever distribution (non-singular) the levels have. W e now proceed to obtain expression for spectral rigidity in psedo-integrable billiards.

A asym ptotic sem iclassical approxim ation for $N(E)={ }_{0}^{\mathbb{R}} d\left(E^{0}\right) d E^{0}$ in case of pseudointegrable billiards is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(E)=\sum_{\overline{1=4}}^{2^{3} h} \times \frac{A_{j}}{\sum_{j}^{3=2}} \cos \frac{\frac{1}{j}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{E}}}{\mathrm{~h}} \frac{3}{4} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this in eq. 6.12 we can obtain the rigidity as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.{ }_{3}(L ; E)=\frac{E^{1=2} \times 4^{3} h}{j} x_{k} \frac{A_{j} A_{k}}{l_{j}^{3=2} I_{k}^{3=2}} \cos \frac{\left(P \overline { E } \left(I_{j} \quad \sharp\right.\right.}{}\right)^{\prime}\right) \quad G\left(y_{j} ; y_{k}\right) \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(y_{j} ; y_{k}\right)=f\left(y_{j} \quad \mathbb{X}_{k}\right) \quad f\left(y_{j}\right) f\left(y_{k}\right) \quad 3 f^{0}\left(y_{j}\right) f^{0}\left(y_{k}\right) \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f(y)=\sin y=y ; \quad y=L l=\left(4 d_{a v}(E) E^{1=2} h\right)=L \mathcal{F} f_{1} . A$ ll other sym bols have their usualm eaning.

The $m$ ain questions that ensue from the num erical studies $[17,11,18,19]$ are : a) are the levels of $P \mathbb{B}$ uncorrelated and $m$ in ic a Poisson process in a certain range of $L$, as seen in $\mathbb{B}$ ?; b) is there any saturation of rigidity in
$P \mathbb{B}$ if $L$ exceeds the system dependent range, as seen for the $\mathbb{B} ? ;$ ) what is the essential di erence between $P \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B}$ and chaotic billiards in term $s$ of level correlations ?; d) can we obtain a form ula for the rigidity such that Poisson and non Poisson results follow in a naturalw ay for the $\mathbb{B}$ and $P \mathbb{B}$ respectively ? . Here we answers all these questions to a large (som etim es com plete) extent. This success holds due to the fact that the rigidity is a direct consequence of the proliferation law w ith som e sim ple, nontrivialm odi cations in the known form alism shown below. Recalling discussion in the last chapter, we em ploy the uniform ity principle [20] and retain also, apart from the diagonal, the o-diagonal part corresponding to the system atic degeneracies in the lengths of po's (giving sam e contribution to the rigidity as the diagonal tem s). From the exact results on som e of the P IB s obtained in previous chapters, one can classify the bands of the po's in such a way that the pro jective phase space area occupied by allperiodic orbits in a given class (de ned by corresponding lattioe points where po's closes) (say ) is identical (A ). W ith this in $m$ ind, we can write ${ }_{3}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{E})$ as

$$
{ }_{3}(L ; E)=\quad \frac{E^{1=2}}{4^{3} h}{ }^{P} \quad g^{2} A^{2}{ }_{j} \frac{G(y ; j)}{l_{i j}^{3}}
$$

where $\left.G(y)=1 \quad f^{2}(y) \quad 3 f(y)\right)^{2}$ and $G$ reek subscripts again denote classes of periodic bands. In (6.16), $g \mathrm{~g}$ denotes the num ber of po's w th the sam e action belonging to the class ( ), and $i_{i} ;$ is the usual $K$ ronecker symbol. In the above equation the sum $m$ ation ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{j} G\left(y_{i j}\right)=l_{i j}^{3}$ can be w ritten $\mathrm{as}_{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { in }}^{\mathrm{R}_{1}} \mathrm{dF} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{l}^{3}$ in the continuum lim it due to them athem aticalnature of the sum $m$ and, where $d F$ represents number of periodic orbits $w$ ith in length $l$ and $l+d l$. $T$ his $d F$ can be deduced from the proliferation law (average or asym ptotic part) as we have done in the earlier chapters. We then have (after unfolding spectrum via rescaled energies $r_{0}=E d_{\mathrm{av}}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{L^{2}}{8{ }^{3=2} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R}}^{3=2} \mathrm{r}_{0}^{1=2}}\left[\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{~g}^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{2} \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{I}_{2} ;{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{P} \quad 1 ; 1 \mathrm{~g} \text { gA A b I } \mathrm{I}_{2}\right] \tag{6.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{I}_{1 ;}=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{Y} \text { in; }} \mathrm{dy} \mathrm{y}^{2} G(\mathrm{y})
$$

and

$$
I_{2} ; \int_{y_{\mathrm{m}} \text { in } ;}^{\mathrm{z}} d y y^{3} G(y)
$$

both these can be evaluated easily $w$ ith $Y_{m}$ in $=\quad L=L_{m a x}$. A nd
where $I_{r}$ is $H$ eisenberg length already introduced earlier. Forsm all $y_{m}$ in $; I_{1}=$ $2=15 ; I_{2}=1=9$; and for large $y_{m}$ in $; ~ I_{1} ;=L_{m a x}=\mathrm{L} ; I_{2} ; L_{m a x}^{2}=2^{2} L^{2}$ : For $L<m$ in $L_{m a x}=$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.{ }_{3}\left(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{r}_{0}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{L}}{15 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R}}}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \quad \mathrm{~g}^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{2} \mathrm{a}+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{P} \quad 1 ; 1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{gAA} \mathrm{~A}\right] \\
& \left.+\frac{L^{2}}{72{ }^{3=2} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R}}^{3=2} r_{0}{ }^{1}=2} \stackrel{\mathrm{P}}{[ } \quad \mathrm{g}^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{2} \mathrm{~b}+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{P} \quad 1 ; 1 \mathrm{~g} \text { g A A b }\right]: \tag{6.18}
\end{align*}
$$

For $L \gg \max L_{\text {max }}=$;

It is im portant to note that $m$ inim um and maxim um (over ) $L_{m a x}$ correspond respectively to the longest and shortest (over ) orbits of the set containing shortest periodic orbits of di erent 's. It is the consequence of this observation that w ill lead us to understand the fiundam ental distinction betw een the spectralcomelations of integrable and pseudointegrable billiards.

Ignoring $I_{2}$ for the sake ofbrevity, the form ula valid for the entire range of $L$ is given by (denoting $L_{m a x}=L=f_{r}=L l_{\mathrm{m}}$ in; by ),

$$
\begin{align*}
3\left(L ; r_{0}\right)= & \frac{1}{2}^{P} \quad\left[\quad \frac{2}{3}^{3} \frac{3}{10}^{5}\right. \\
& \frac{2}{15} \cos (2=\quad) \quad \frac{1}{15}^{2} \sin (2=)+\frac{1}{15}^{3} \cos (2=\quad) \\
& \left.+\frac{3}{5}^{4} \sin (2=\quad)+\frac{3}{10}^{5} \cos (2=\quad) \quad \frac{4}{15} \operatorname{si}(2=\quad)\right] \tag{620}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\operatorname{si}(x)=\frac{R_{1}}{x} d t t^{1} \sin t$ and $=A^{2} g^{2} a=A_{R}$. Eq. (6 20) along w ith the lim iting results (6.18), (6.19) ; which clearly establishes relation between 3 and inform ation about the classical periodic orbits such as proliferation law, band areas, degeneracies in lengths etc. This result applies to all integrable and pseudointegrable billiards. To understand the form ulae better, we now propose to exam ine som e paradigm system $s$ carefully, and subsequently com pare the results $w$ th the know $n$ num erical results.

In this regard, we consider the speci c exam ples of an Incom $m$ ensurate Rectangle B illiard ( $\mathbb{R} B$ ), the Single Slit R ectangle B illiard (SSRB) and the $=3$-thom bus B illiard (RHB).
$F$ irstly, let us consider the $\mathbb{R} B$ with sides ( $L$; L), being an irrational num ber. T he all periodic orbits fall in a single class occupying projective phase space area $4 A_{R} \quad\left(A_{R}=L^{2}\right)$, except the two shortest periodic orbit bands parallel to either pair of sides of $\mathbb{R} B$. The area of these tw o shortest periodic bands is $2 A_{R}$. The proliferation law for the $\mathbb{R} B$ can be easily found by em ploying the ideas of stacking and replication, we get (oounting all the repetitions of the ppo's),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{IRB}}(\mathrm{l})=\mathrm{al} \mathrm{l}^{2}+\mathrm{bl}=\frac{}{16 \mathrm{~L}^{2}} l^{2}+\frac{+1}{4 \mathrm{~L}} l: \tag{621}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing $a, A\left(=4 A_{R}\right)$ in (9) we get com plete quantitative agreem ent w ith results obtained earlier [19] for $L<L_{m}$ ax $=$. A s observed in [19], the oscillations in 3 (L) are rather weak beyond the "crossover regin e".
To get the correct saturation values of 3 (L), we have to consider O (1) term in eq. (621). Taking account of this, in the region where $L<L_{m a x}=$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{3}\left(L^{\prime} ; r_{0}\right)=\frac{L}{15}+\frac{1}{9^{\frac{2}{2}=2}}+\frac{1}{L^{2}} \frac{1}{0}^{1=2} \tag{622}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term is quite sm all as com pared to the rst one due to $r_{0}{ }^{1=2}$ factor. For $L \quad L_{m a x}=$, on the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{3}\left(L ; r_{0}\right)=\frac{r_{0}^{1=2}}{2^{3=2}} 1+\frac{\mathrm{p}-(+1)^{!}}{2} ; \tag{623}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in very good agreem ent w ith the num erical results.
O ur next example is the single slit rectangle billiard, which is a simple variation of the barrier billiard already discussed in previous chapters. Recall that this is an exam ple of a P $\mathbb{B}$ whose invariant surface is topologically
equivalent to a sphere with two handles (genus, $g=3$ ). The law of proliferation is the same as $a^{2} l+b l . W$ e can obtain $a ; b$ for di erent classes of bands in this system in sim ilar m anner as in chapter (4).
$W$ ith these, for $L<m$ in $\left(L_{m a x}=\right)=r_{0}=4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{3}\left(\mathrm{~L} ; r_{0}\right)=\frac{L}{15}+\frac{1}{18^{P} \overline{2^{3}}} \frac{L^{2}}{r_{0}^{1=2}} \tag{624}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $L \gg \max \left(L_{m a x}=\right)={ }^{q} \overline{8 r_{0}=}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{3}\left(L ; r_{0}\right) \quad \frac{{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}+13}{12^{3=2}}+\frac{9^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}^{5}}{\#} r_{0}^{1=2} \tag{625}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e will discuss these results after we present calculation for yet another well studied system - the $=3-$ thom bus billiard. This is an alm ost integrable system with an invariant integral surface of genus two. For this system tessellation of the plane is not com plete and results in $m$ ore general barrier structure [21]. A gain, recall that each trajectory from origin to a coprim e pairs, ( $q ; q_{q}$ ) represents ppo ending at $c(q ; p)$. W e then get for $\mathrm{L}<\mathrm{m}$ in $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{max}} ;=\right)=2{r_{0}=37^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{3}\left(L ; r_{0}\right) \quad \frac{L}{15}+\frac{1}{3^{7=4} 2^{1=2} \quad 3=2} \frac{L^{2}}{r_{0}^{1=2}} \tag{626}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $L \gg \max \left(L_{\text {max }}=\right)={ }^{q} \overline{2^{p} \overline{3} r_{0}=3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{3}\left(L ; r_{0}\right) \quad 237 \frac{r_{0}^{1=2}}{3=2}+\frac{s}{\overline{r_{0}=2}} \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From expressions and exam ples discussed above, one can clearly see that there is an universal trend of ${ }_{3}(\mathrm{~L})$ with L for integrable and pseudointegrable billiards. M ore precisely, for $\mathrm{L}<\mathrm{m}$ in ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{max}}$; ) , the rigidity is very well approxim ated by $\mathrm{L}=15$, and for $\mathrm{L} \gg \mathrm{max}$ ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{max}}$; $=$ ) it saturates w ith a crossover connecting these two lim its sm oothly. The extent of the crossover region is given by the di erence between $m$ in and $m a x \quad o f L_{m a x}$; , or in other words, depends on the spectrum of lengths of shortest periodic orbits over . N onuniversal aspects, thus, arise due to nontrivial classi cation depending upon the degree of tessellation of invariant surface in term s
of a system -speci c fundam ental region. For instance, in $\mathbb{R} B$, tessellation is com plete and there is only one class of bands ( $=1$ ); the crossover region is expected to be of lesser extent - a fact fully corroborated by the num erical experim ents. In the SSRB, there is a barrier (gap to barrier ratio is unity) in a rectangle which gives rise to a periodic untessellated arabesque in term s of which classi cation is facilitated - the number of bands here is seven. Sim ilarly for the R HB, the num ber ofbands is eighteen. Im portantly, it should be noted that the value of $L$ at which the spectral rigidity deviates from the P oisson value of $L=15$, and the value at which saturation sets in, depends upon the lengths of the shortest periodic orbits distributed over various classes adm issible in a given system. Indeed, this is the fundam ental source of nonuniversality.

Let us discuss the num erical results on various pseudointegrable billiards. M ost of the studies have been on rhom bus billiards [11], square tonus billiard and its generalizations [17] and singular billiards [22]. T he analysis for the singularbilliards w as carried out and one understands the level spacing statistics [23]. The study of the two-level cluster function (in particular ${ }^{2}$ (L)) does not give the G OE result [24] although the levelspacing is G O E raising, thereby, a question currently beyond explanation. T herefore, we concentrate to explain the results for non-singular system $s$.

Perkaps the paradigm pseudointegrable billiard is the RHB [11, 18]. In both these studies, one can observe that the rigidity is interm ediate to P oisson and GOE.From our analysis, taking the energy and param eters from these num erical work, it tums out that deviation from $\mathrm{L}=15$ would occur at L 1 and 2 respectively. W e ilhustrate this in Fig.6.10, where we compare our analytical result w th that of the num erical work [11] and the agreem ent is clearly evident. H ere also as in the case of num ber variance errors due to neglecting o -diagonal contribution do not a ect much. The crossover vahues are also correctly predicted by our analysis. W e show behaviour of

3 for $s m$ all values of $L$ in $F$ ig.6.11, where deviation from $L=15$ is evident. In F ig. 6.12 we show 3 for com plete range of $L$; where the crossover region and the saturation can be seen. Since the num erical results are not available for higher energy and for larger range of $L$, the saturation cannot be clearly seen in the num erical experim ents. It is, therefore, desirable to carry out extensive num erical work for higher energy and for larger range of $L$. The form ulae $(6.18,6.19)$ provide the guidelines for choosing appropriate num ber of levels to bring out all the salient features of the system $s$ discussed above. O ur analysis also explains the results of [17] where one gets $L=15$ for very
sm all values of $L$ and there is a saturation regim e. U nfortunately, because of constraints over levels available, the belief of an interm ediate behaviour between that ofP oisson and GOE has been pursued for quite som etim e. O ur analysis clearly reveals, that such a behaviour does not exist and the spectral rigidity never becom es G OE.

The occurrence of periodic orbits in the bands is a likely reason for the slow rise of $3(L)$ in large $L$ region and overall stronger uctuations than the G OE result. A recent result on Stadium billiard indicates this possibility too [25] - in this work, $3(\mathrm{~L})$ is show $n$ to be rising well above the G O E curve if the contribution of the bouncing ball $m$ odes is taken into account. In chaotic system s like this (also, e.g. the Sinaibilliard) the analysis of bands can be carried out using the above theory and it is expected that there exist a departure from GOE as well as a rise in spectral uctuations after som e $L$ decided by the length of the periodic orbits in the band. Recently, non-genericily of the rigidity arising from banded orbits is discussed for the Stadium billiard [26].

In conclusion, we have obtained good approxim ation for the ${ }_{3}$-statistic in an close analytical form for system $s$ in which periodic orbits of the $m$ arginal stability (in bands) occur, from which Poisson and non $P$ oission results follow in a natural way. A nswers to the basic questions we have asked above are as follow s: a) The levels of $P \mathbb{B}$ are uncorrelated and m im ic a Poission process for $L$ < $m$ in ( $L_{m a x}$;) which depends on shortest periodic orbit of a given system, hence nonuni̇versal value. This condition also stipulate $m$ inim um num ber of energy levels that one should be consider in the num erical experim ent to observe this e ect. b) For $L \gg \max$ ( $L_{m a x}$; ) which depends $m$ ainly on the longest of the shortest p.o. am ong the di erent classes, the spectral rigidity saturates to a nonuniversal value. c) The uctuation properties of $P \mathbb{B}$ and $\mathbb{B}$ di er essentially in the extent of transition region. In $\mathbb{B}$ transition region $w i l l$ be of less extent, since there is only one class of p.o., deviation from a P oission and saturation is determ ined by sam ep.o. (i.e. shortest one). In P IB because large num ber of classes of p.o. are present, all shortest p.o. am ongst the di erent classes play im portant role in determ ining shape and extent of crossover region.


Fig. 6.10: Spectral rigidity for $/ 3$-rhombus billiard. Curve (a) is our result for no. of levels $=350$, triangles represents numerical result and dashed line is Possonian L/15.


Fig. 6.11: Spectral rigidity for the rhombus. Full curves represents rigidity for $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ and 1000 levels as marked. $\backslash$ marked on the curve shows point $L=\min _{\alpha}$ ( $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max }, \alpha}$ ) where respective curve starts deviating from L/15(Poissonian).


Fig. 6.12: Spectral rigidity for the rhombus as in fig. 6.11. Start of saturation is marked by $\backslash n$ respective curves at $L=\max _{\alpha}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\text {max }}, \alpha\right)$
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## C hapter 7

## C onclusions

The work presented in this thesis provides rst step to attain com plete understanding of spectral uctuation properties in pseudointegrable system s w thin sem iclassical fram ew ork. It also supplem ents our know ledge about underlying reasons for universal and non-universalproperties ofspectral uctuations. Furtherm ore, close analytical form $s$ for various spectralm easures are obtained, which in our know ledge were not available before this work (albeit under diagonal approxim ation only).

Sem iclassical fram ew ork needs com plete inform ation about periodic orbits of a system under consideration. In particular one needs to specify actions of periodic orbits and their stability properties. For exam ple, in the case of pseudointegrable billiards we need to know lengths and band areas of the periodic onbits in a given system. This is a herculean task, and there are vary few system $s$ about which com plete inform ation regarding this is available. D i erent $m$ ethods are developed to gather inform ation about periodic orbits in di erent kinds of system (e.g. sym bolic dynam ics). These m ethods are som e tim es system speci c and som etim es m ore general. In chapter three we have developed a m ethodology to enum erate and classify periodic onbits of som e pseudo-integrable billiards. H ere we have exploited im portant geom etrical attributes of system s under considerations. To our know ledge these are only pseudointegrable system $s$ about which com plete inform ation regarding periodic orbits is available. T he sam em ethodology can be used to study large num ber of rational polygonalbilliards in particular one can apply technique to rectangular billiard w th m any slits (or barriers) or L -shaped billiards or its further generalization. This is one of the open problem in whidh we will be interested in future. This w ill also give us opportunity to dealw ith higher
genus pseudointegrable system s.
A sit tumsout from our analysis proliferation ofperiodic orbits (or grow th rate) in one of the $m$ ost im portant factor in the sem iclassicalm ethods. Spectral uctuation properties indeed depends on the exact asym ptotic proliferation of the periodic orbits in the system. W e obtained this law in chapter four using probabilistic argum ents. We proved that the law of proliferation of periodic orbits in the pseudointegrable billiards under consideration is quadratic in length, in fact, of the form $a l^{2}+b l+c . W e a l s o ~ o b t a i n e d ~$ coe cients $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}$; c explicitly using probabilistic argum ents.

A com plete know ledge about periodic orbits enabled us to obtain analytical expressions for various spectralm easures. M ost im portant of these is tw o-level cluster function which is studied along with its Fourier transform in chapter ve. To obtain two level cluster function one have to evaluate density-density correlation function rst. The sem iclassical approxim ation for density correlation function leads us to sum $m$ ation over all periodic orbits. This form ulation does not explicitly brings out various factors that a ect spectral uctuations in the system. U sing sim ple but non trivial argu$m$ ents we convert this form of sum $m$ ation to the integration. It then tums out that proliferation law play im portant role in this integration and hence, in the spectral uctuations. This conversion to integration enables us to obtain analytical expressions for various spectralm easures. This also enables us to study e ect of considering nite num ber of levels on the spectral uctuations. In particular spectral properties depends on 1)lengths of shortest periodic onbit occurring in di erent classes of periodic orbits. 2)phase space areas occupied by classes of periodic orbits along $w$ th respective coe cients of proliferation law which forms a factor in our analysis. In particular we show im portance of factor $l_{m \text { in }}=l_{1}$, where $l_{11}=P \overline{A_{R} r_{0}}$ is the $H$ eisenberg length. From our analysis it is clear that this ratio form ax ( $l_{m}$ in $)$ should be $\ll 1$, for one to talk about asym ptotic properties ( $\mathrm{h}!0$ ) of spectral uctuations. For $=3-$ thom bus billiard this condition indicates that the num ber of levels considered should be at orders ofm agnitude larger than 1600. For other pseudointegrable system this num ber $m$ ay still.be $m$ uch higher. Though it is m eaningfulto study statistically large num ber of levels in the non-asym ptotic regions, this willbring not bring out universal properties of that class of system sas spectral uctuations are not free of system dependent param eters. This chapter thus we are able to de ne classical param eters that govems spectral uctuations and extent of their in uence. In the case of form factor even diagonal approxim ation gives correct asym ptotic ( >> 1) form unlike
that in chaotic system sw here it w as found that diagonalapproxim ation leads to linear rise in the form factor in asym ptotic region ( $\gg 1$ ), which was com pensated by o -diagonal contribution to give correct saturation. This indicates that o -diagonal contribution in pseudointegrable system $s$ can affect only transition region. Further, we have shown that im prints of di erent classes of periodic orbits can be seen in the behaviour of the form factor. $T$ his feature is still gone unnotioed though $m$ any num erical experim ents do have these im prints.

In chapter six we consider short and interm ediate range spectralm easures, nam ely spacing distribution, num ber variance and spectral rigidity. W e also com pare our results w ith num erical experim ents available. W e found that interm ediate range $m$ easures are $w$ ell $m$ odeled by our theoretical expressions. $T$ he departure from num erical results are not serious and $m$ ay be attributed to o -diagonal contributions. Spacing distribution how ever, agree w ith nu$m$ erical experim ents only for short correlation range this is due to fact that any error due to o -diagonal contributions is exponentially am pli ed hence rises fast for larger correlation ranges. This can be im proved further by considering o -diagonalcontributions.

W e now enlist som e open avenues that our work suggest

1) To obtain com plete understanding o -diagonal contributions should be taken into account explicitly. This is how ever di cult task. Recently developed indirect $m$ ethod by B ogom olny to consider these contributions in term $s$ of diagonal contribution $m$ ay proved to be fruitfil in this regard. Som e prelim inary study con m s our conclusions above. It also indicate that approach to Poisson like behaviour is further slowed down by these contributions.
2) A s m entioned in the thesis trajectories of pseudointegrable billiards resides on foliated surface of genus greater that two. This therefore do not allow trajectories to explore phase available as in the case of chaotic system s . The singular points in the phase space, how ever, results in di raction of tra jectories that hits it. T hese di racted orbits are therefore not con ned to one foliated surface but $m$ ay visit $m$ any $m$ ore via $m$ ultiple di ractions thus in itating chaotic behaviour. T hese should be properly accounted for.
3) Yet, another kind of orbits which are on the edges of the band of periodic orbits can give di erent contribution to periodic onbit sum hence should be studied in detail.
4) Extension of $m$ ethods developed here to higher genus system $s$ is also a one of the im portant problem.
5) C an one generalise these results on two dim ensionalpolygonalbilliard to $n$-dim ensional polyhedra.
6) U sing sem iclassical form alism and com plete inform ation about periodic orbits can we develop a $m$ ethod to extract inform ation about the eigenfunctions for these system s.
7) O ne of the im portant applied eld where study about polygonal birliards can be used is sem ioonductor $m$ icrostructures where dim ensions of a system is $m u c h ~ s m$ aller that the $m$ ean free paths of electrons (hence electron im itates billiard like dynam ics). To design and develop m icrostructure with desired properties it is im portant to develop com plete sem icalssical understanding of such system s .

I hope this is just a beginning of $m y$ persuasion of know ledge about dynam ical system s in general.

